HOUSE OF LORDS MANUSCRIPTS.

VOLUME II.—(NEW SERIES.)

THE

MANUSCRIPTS

OF THE

FOUSE OF LORDS,

1695-1697.

[In continuation of the Volumes issued under the authority of the Historical Manuscripts Commission.]

Ordered to be Printed, 19th March 1903.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE,
BY EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE,
PRINTERS TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from YRE AND SPOTTISWOODE, EAST HARDING STREET, FLEET STREET, E.C., and 32, ABINGDON STREET, WESTMINSTER, S.W.; or OLIVER AND BOYD, EDINBURGH; or

E. PONSONBY, 116, GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

1903.

Margaret Carnegie Library



Accession No. 40381

Class Book









VOLUME II.—(NEW SERIES.)

THE

nsc 6

MANUSCRIPTS

OF THE

HOUSE OF LORDS,

1695-1697.

[In continuation of the Volumes issued under the authority of the Historical Manuscripic Commission.]

Ordered to be Printed, 19th Manch 1903. College Library
Withdrawn

LONDON:
PRINTED FOR HIS MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE,
BY EYRE AND SPOTTISWOODE,
PRINTERS TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY.

And to be purchased, either directly or through any Bookseller, from EYRE and SPOTTISWOODE, EAST HARDING STREET, FLEET STREET, E.C., and 32, ABINGDON STREET, WESTMINSTER, S.W.; or

OLIVER AND BOYD, EDINBURGH; or

E. PONSONBY, 116, GRAFTON STREET, DUBLIN.

1903.

ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE FOLLOWING CALENDAR.

- MS. Min.—MS. books containing Minutes of the Proceedings in the House, and intituled "Journal."
- Com. Book.—MS. books containing Minutes of the Proceedings of Select Committees.
- Priv. Book.—MS. books containing Minutes of the Proceedings of the Committee for Privileges.
- Joint Com. Book.—MS. books containing Minutes of the Proceedings of Joint Committees of Lords and Commons.
- Long Cal.—MS. list of the Acts, both Public and Private, numbered consecutively for each regnal year, in the order in which they are kept in the Victoria Tower.

pair and mostly of mail 40381 in at his common series

and the state of the property of the state o

INTRODUCTION.

The Manuscripts of the House of Lords, being no longer printed by the authority of the Historical Manuscripts Commission, will for the future appear as separate volumes, of which this is the second. For the sake of convenience, they will be printed in the same form as the volumes already issued by the Commission.

This Volume, which includes two Sessions, begins with the meeting of a new Parliament, the Prorogation on 3 May 1695 having been followed, after further Prorogations, by a Dissolution, proclaimed on 11 October, immediately on the arrival of the King, who returned to England shortly after the successful conclusion of his campaign in the reduction of Namur, which he attributed in a large measure to the courage and bravery of the English troops.

Both Houses of Parliament met on 22 November, and on the following day the King delivered his speech to them. He took notice of the difficulty caused by the "ill state" of the coin-"a matter of so general concern and so great importance" that he left it entirely to the consideration of Parliamentrecommended them to pass some good Bill for the encouragement and increase of seamen, and to consider of such laws as might be proper for the advancement of trade, especially that of the East Indies, and expressed a wish that some way might be thought of to raise the necessary recruits during the war without giving occasion of complaint. In view of the late opening of the Session, he urged them to make all possible despatch of the "great business" before them, reminding them that the prolongation of the previous Session had been advantageous to the enemy, and that the French were already making great preparations for being early in the field in the coming year minute after all and thought the management of the fam when

(8.)

The Lords lost no time in proceeding to discuss the questions thus recommended to their consideration. On 3 December they went into Committee to consider the state of the nation in relation to trade, especially as to the Act for an East India Company passed in Scotland earlier in the year, on the following day they took into consideration the state of the coinage, and on 6 December they decided to present an address to the King for a list of the Navy and Army, and ordered the merchants to lay before the House an account of their losses at sea during the past year.

Of the subjects mentioned in the King's speech there was one which beyond all others demanded some immediate action, in order to remedy an "insupportable grievance," and this was the "ill state of the coin." There were two kinds of silver coins in circulation - one with a milled edge and the other without. Constant clipping of the latter had so diminished them that the value of guineas had risen to 28s. or 30s., and the current silver was estimated to be worth little more than two-thirds of its nominal value. Bills to prevent clipping and coining had been frequently introduced and passed, and severe punishments were constantly inflicted upon offenders; but nothing could prevent these practices, and the depreciation of the silver coin went on from year to year. Projects for reforming the coinage had been from time to time discussed and rejected, probably for fear of the dislocation of trade which must inevitably attend the carrying out of any change; but it was now agreed to be imperative that some means of remedying so great an evil should be found, whatever the cost might be.

Early in 1695 Somers had proposed that a proclamation should be issued, announcing that, after a certain day, all silver should pass by weight; but this expedient had been laid aside, and Montague, who was now Chancellor of the Exchequer, had to devise some means of effecting a recoinage of the silver in circulation which would interfere as little as possible with the general trade of the country. The details of the scheme which he drew up in conjunction with Locke and Isaac Newton, and the difficulties with which he had to contend in carrying it out, may be gathered from the contemporary histories, where are fully set out the arguments used in Parliamentary debates for

and against recoinage by the Court party, the Country party, and the Jacobites.

The first step towards recoinage was taken by the Lords, who presented an address to the King praying him to issue a Proclamation, fixing a day after which clipped money should cease to be current coin; the Commons, though they did not join in that address, presented a similar one, and the Proclamation was accordingly issued. The question then was how to provide for the loss involved in recoining the clipped money. The Commons decided that it should be borne by the public, and that the money should be raised by a Window Tax, which would be less objectionable than a Hearth Tax, inasmuch as there would be no necessity for entering the houses. On 27 December the Commons sent up to the Lords a Bill, of which no copy is in existence, "for regulating the Coinage of the Silver Money" of the Kingdom. The Lords' Amendments to it, which appear in the Journals of the two Houses, extended its operation to all clipped money, suspended the coining and importation of gold coin, and allowed for a time the exportation of coin like other merchandise.

They did not insist upon these Amendments when the Commons disagreed to them; but they had also struck out part of a clause which provided for the erection of not less than four mints in various parts of the country, and, as they refused to give way on this point, the Bill was dropped in the Commons. At a conference which took place upon these Amendments the Commons protested against an alleged infringement of their privileges by the insertion in the Bill of clauses imposing pecuniary penalties, to which the Lords retorted that, as the Commons had delivered a bare assertion only, they would content themselves with as positive a denial of it. This protest, and the Commons' reasons for disagreeing to the Lords' Amendments, are now printed for the first time (No. 978).

The first Coinage Bill having thus been lost, owing apparently to the apprehensions of the Lords that if more mints were erected the secret of milling might be divulged to the coiners of false money, the Commons set to work upon another one, and in a week's time they sent up a Bill "for remedying the ill state of the coin," which four days later received the Royal Assent.

Like the former Bill it contained a provision for the erection of at least four country mints, on discovering which the Lords appointed a Committee to inspect precedents and draw up a communication on the subject, which was to be delivered to the Commons at a conference, protesting against such an innovation in the procedure between the two Houses, but allowing it to pass for the present, in consideration of the importance of the Bill. The records of the proceedings of the Committee were however expunged from the MS. Minutes, and they do not appear in the Journals. It may, therefore, be assumed that the Lords came to one of two conclusions—either that the importance of the Bill warranted an unusual course of proceeding, or that their apprehensions about the mints were not so well-founded as to justify them in adhering to their objection.

The dislocation of trade in consequence of the calling in of the silver money turned out to be even more serious than the opponents of recoining had prophesied, and the "malcontents," as they were termed, were able to compel the Ministers to introduce a Bill to promote the bringing-in of milled, broad, or unclipped money, which might be exchanged for the clipped money, and to encourage persons to bring plate to the Mint to be coined. The Ministers endeavoured to carry an Instruction to the Committee on this Bill to consider the price of guineas. Though they failed in this at first, they eventually succeeded in getting it fixed by the Bill at 26s., which was further reduced in the Lords. They also prevailed upon the House of Commons to drop the first part of the Bill, which, in its final form, as passed by the Lords, fixed the price of guineas at 22s. and prohibited the use of plate in public-houses, the export of bullion, and the currency, after 4 May, of clipped money, leaving out all reference to the exchange of unclipped and clipped money. (See No. 1048.) Another result of their efforts was the passing of a fourth Bill, which took off the obligation to coin guineas, and prevented the importation of gold coin; but this Act was repealed in the following Session. The only other measure relating to the coinage which comes into the Calendar is a Preventing of Counterfeiting Act passed in 1697 (No. 1155); but two Acts were passed earlier in the same Session, one to induce the public to bring in the hammered money to be

recoined, and the other to encourage the bringing in of wrought plate to be coined, while a third Act imposed duties to meet the loss on recoinage.

A Session of Parliament at this period would hardly have been complete without an inquiry into Naval administration; and the two Sessions under consideration were no exception to this rule. In that of 1695-6 it was the insufficient protection afforded by His Majesty's ships to the mercantile marine that came under investigation. The papers (No. 959) show how well-founded were the complaints made by the merchants. No less than 108 ships seem to have been actually taken by the French, while the loss of 25 more was indirectly caused by the failure of the Admiralty to provide convoys and cruisers. Some of these cases were attended by circumstances of special aggravation. Thus one vessel was carried off from an Irish harbour. where her owners may well have thought that she was safe, while another was rendered so defenceless by the impressment of almost the whole of her crew that she fell an easy prey to the first French privateer that attacked her. And the East India Company came to the Committee with a sad story. On 13 June, in view of the expected arrival before long of several of their ships, they made urgent application to the Admiralty for cruisers to be sent out to look after them. The Admiralty replied that they would do what they could; but a month later the Company learnt that the number of cruisers, instead of being increased, had been reduced. Early in August the Company, having received intelligence that the French Admiral, De Nesmond, was about to sail from Brest with a considerable force for the express purpose of intercepting their ships, renewed their application for more cruisers, but were met with the reply that the Admiralty could send out no more. The ships were now arriving. One was attacked by two French privateers, but made so good a defence that she was able to escape from them. Her captain reported that he met with no men-of-war till he arrived off Portland. Two others were taken by De Nesmond. A fourth was forced ashore by a privateer on the Irish coast. These occurrences, as news of them arrived, were reported to the Admiralty, who, however, held out no hope of any effectual steps being taken for the protection of the rest

of the expected shipping. The Company now, in their distress, petitioned the Lords Justices, by whom the Government was being carried on in the King's absence abroad; and on 14 September the Duke of Shrewsbury acquainted them that a squadron had been ordered to go as far as Ushant to look after their ships and oppose De Nesmond. But, notwithstanding this order and a further memorial from the Company to the Admiralty, three more ships were taken by the enemy 20 leagues off the coast of Ireland. The Company estimated their loss at 1,500,000l. Other bodies of merchants also suffered severely. Formidable lists were handed in by the Barbadoes Merchants and the African Company, the losses of the former being put down at 387,100l., those of the latter at 199,540l.; and further lists were presented, showing an aggregate loss of 345,600l.

The answer made by the Admiralty to these complaints amounted to this—that they had not ships enough. They had done the best they could with those they had, but the Navy was not large enough for what was required of it. They gave a detailed account of the way in which they had disposed of the force at their command, and brought a counter-charge of rashness and foolhardiness against the masters of the merchantmen, accusing the merchants of trading during the war with almost the same freedom as in time of peace. In the month of September 74 cruisers had been employed out of a total of 176 available ships in His Majesty's service.

Incidentally they laid some of the blame on the Marquess of Carmarthen, whom they represented to have been dilatory in putting to sea, and slow in finding the squadron which he was to command. When he did eventually come in sight of it engaged in convoying a number of merchant ships, he took it for a French fleet, and ran into Milford Haven for shelter. Lord Carmarthen indignantly repelled the reflections passed upon him, and much of the Committee's time was devoted to an inquiry which could have been carried out more properly and efficiently by the Court-Martial which he had demanded; and not the time of the Committee only was thus taken up, but that of the Commissioners of the Admiralty also, as they complained with some bitterness. "The service suffers infinitely by our being

here," they said. "We are pressed every day in the year with business at the office; but we will attend when your Lordships command," as, indeed, they could not help doing. But their protest fell on deaf ears, for the next words written by the clerk are, "They are desired to be here again on Saturday." This expenditure of valuable time might, no doubt, have been avoided if the Commissioners had ordered a Court-Martial; but they held themselves to be incompetent to do that, in the case of a Flag Officer, without the King's command. The vessels composing Lord Carmarthen's squadron were, at the time when he was appointed to command them, cruising in the Soundings under Captain Cole. The Marquess, in the papers which he laid before the Committee, accounts for his delay in going on board his ship at Spithead, and describes the efforts which he made to find his squadron. He complains of the indignity put upon him, an Admiral, by his being sent in a single ship to look for his squadron. He explains the mistake which led to his taking shelter from his own ships in Milford Haven, and which was followed by his recall. No doubt these various circumstances were calculated to produce a prejudice in his mind against Captain Cole, which soon found vent in an open quarrel, the account of which given by Cole throws a curious light on the manners of the time, and justifies Macaulay's application to Carmarthen of the epithet "eccentric." The sympathies of the Committee were with Lord Carmarthen, whom they acquitted of all blame, while they expressed their opinion that Cole should be forthwith tried by a Court-Martial; but Ralph declares that the measures of the Government, "who had been more than ordinarily careful of our navigation," were rendered partly useless by the mistake committed by the admiral, who, when he took shelter in Milford Haven, "left the sea open to the enemy."

When Parliament reassembled in October 1696, after the prorogation, events had taken place which called for strict inquiry. The recall of the fleet engaged in the abortive Cadiz Expedition and the loss of Newfoundland were occurrences of a nature likely to arouse national indignation, and the House only reflected public sentiment when it set on foot an investigation, which, at any rate in its inception, promised not to lack thoroughness and vigour.

The Admiralty were called upon to furnish detailed accounts of the Navy, showing the number, condition, and stations of the King's ships; the Navy Board were required to give particulars of the stores; and Sir George Rooke was ordered to lay before the House copies of all orders, letters, and papers received by him relating to the conduct of the expedition. Certain questions were put to the Commissioners of the Admiralty, but their answers were deemed so unsatisfactory that a Select Committee was appointed to sift the matter more thoroughly. The efforts of the Committee, however, failed to elicit all the desired information. Individual Commissioners declined to speak in the name of the Board, always falling back on the demand that the questions should be put and answered in writing. The Board's reply to the all-important inquiry whether they considered the fleet to be "sufficient for the protection of England, Ireland, trade, and the plantations," had been evasive. "Not knowing," they said "the force of the enemy's fleet, nor where they may employ the same, we cannot give any opinion" as to the sufficiency of the English fleet. In vain the Committee pressed for a categorical reply. The Commissioners were too wary to commit themselves; but they were confident that the naval power of this country was much greater than it had ever been before. The complete list furnished by them shows a total of 277 ships, either at sea or preparing to put to sea, while 18 more were on the stocks. Nor were they at all content to submit without protest to the imputation of having neglected the interests of the country, but repelled the strictures of the Committee with considerable energy. Here is the note which they appended to their answers to certain questions addressed to them :- " And having now answered the several questions sent to us by their Lordships, we beg leave to observe that we thought we had little reason to expect being called in question for our proceedings of the last year, after having so happily got together, in four days' time, such a considerable fleet for the preservation of the kingdom, so visibly and so imminently in danger." This refers to the expectation of an attack by the French fleet on the English coast. The Admiralty Board were not responsible for the return of the fleet from Cadiz, which was carried out in obedience to the King's orders, issued at the end

of January, and not communicated to the Admiralty till two months later. And, as regards Newfoundland, they were able to show that they had duly complied with the directions given by Order in Council for the despatch of convoys thither.

In the end the inquiry was abandoned as not likely to lead to any good results, but not before much light had been thrown on the favouritism and injustice displayed by the Admiralty in connection with the issue of Letters of Mart. Doubtless there was some truth in what was said by Sir R. Rich, one of the Commissioners, that these were sought by many merchants for their own purposes; but it is impossible to resist the conclusion that partiality, if not corruption, prevailed in the management of this business. That the owners of a vessel carrying 60 guns, and commanded by a man who had already made his mark by a successful engagement with a French frigate, should be driven, by the refusal of the authorities at home, to obtain a commission from the Dutch Government, constituted a grievance, which was much aggravated by the fact that for other vessels and other owners Letters of Mart were obtained without difficulty.

The papers produced before the Committee on the State of the Trade of this Kingdom (No. 1115) are of considerable interest as illustrating the difficulties which had to be contended with by the home Government in the early days of the colonies. The inquiry was chiefly directed to the obstacles met with in the collection of customs duties in the plantations, especially in those vested in Proprietors and not directly under the King's Government. Conspicuous among such Proprietors was William Penn, whose authority extended, not only to Pennsylvania, but also to the territories then known as the counties of Sussex. Kent, and Newcastle upon Delaware, which he held under a lease granted by James II. when Duke of York. Baltimore was sole Proprietor of Maryland, Samuel Allen of New Hampshire, and other plantations were owned by companies of Proprietors. The conditions of government were not the same in all. In some the Governor was appointed by the Crown, in others the appointment was made by the Proprietors subject to the King's approval, while in a third class the Proprietors practically appointed whom they pleased. The Commissioners of Customs were represented in the American

plantations by their Surveyor-General, Edward Randolph, a zealous officer, whose reports could not fail to rouse the indignation of those whom he criticised. Rightly or wrongly, he attacked the administration of Penn's territories, which he declared to be infested by pirates and smugglers. The state of commercial war which existed between England and Scotland made it inevitable that a Scotchman wielding authority in the plantations should be regarded with distrust. Quakers were everywhere viewed with suspicion. It followed that Randolph felt that his case against Penn was much strengthened when he could point to the fact that in Pennsylvania the Secretary of the province was a Scotchman and the Attorney-General a Quaker. To this it was easy for Penn to reply that there was no law against a Scotchman holding such a position, and that a Quaker might be honest, able, and zealous. But he went a good deal further in defence of the Governor, Markham. Randolph had described him as a favourer of pirates. "He desired me," he added, "to make him Collector of the Customs in Pennsylvania, having (as he wrote me) but a small maintenance, by which your Lordships may please to take notice that Governors under such necessities will be easily tempted to do and connive at unlawful things." The intention here was, of course, to find fault with the meagre salary allowed to the Governor by Penn; but the latter chose to take it otherwise. "If his (Markham's) poverty be an objection, he shall be changed if the King pleases; but for that reason E. Randall (Randolph) ought not to be Surveyor of the Customs, who, I have reason to believe, is not worth five hundred pounds, if one. in the whole world," Yet Penn made no charge of misconduct against Randolph, whose suggestions were readily adopted by the Commissioners of Customs. Moreover, his argument was endorsed by the Commissioners for Trade, when reporting on the nomination by the Proprietors of the Bahamas of Nicholas Webb as their Governor. Finding that the salary proposed to be allotted to him did not exceed 100l. a year, they stipulated that it should be increased before his nomination received the King's allowance, "lest he be tempted to use the same courses as his predecessors," who had connived at piracy and illegal trading.

Certainly the picture drawn by Randolph of the condition of the proprietary plantations was sufficiently bad to justify the demand for further powers. The Bahama Islands "have been and still are a common receptacle for pirates and illegal traders." In Carolina, "about four years ago, 70 pirates came with a vast quantity of gold from the Red Sea; they had liberty to stay in the country, or upon giving their bond to leave it. The Governor got well by them." At Massachusetts Bay, though the Governor was appointed by the Crown, the people had made no provision for his maintenance "on purpose to discourage men of honour and abilities to live amongst them." In Maine "the country and the people are most of them destroyed through the neglect and ill-conduct of the owners of it." And so on. What was really wanted was the means of enforcing the Plantation Trade Act of the previous Session. To this end special instructions were given by the Commissioners of Customs to the officers who n they were sending out to the plantations, and who were to be accompanied by Randolph. The standing instructions issued to the Governors were insufficient, because, as the Commissioners tell the Committee, "the Governors of the Proprietors' Islands take no notice of any instructions sent them." Penn was asked by the Committee what objections he could make to putting the government of the Proprietors' Plantations into the King's hands. He replied that the country would be worth nothing to him the moment after he lost his government. The Proprietors as a body stood upon what they alleged to be their rights. One remedial measure recommended by the Commissioners for Trade was the establishment by the Admiralty of vice-admiralty courts in the plantations. It was stated that any governor could have a Vice-Admiral's commission by applying for it, but that the Proprietors' Governors would not apply. Nevertheless the proposal elicited a protest from the Proprietors, who maintained that their respective grants and charters implied the conferment of admiralty jurisdiction, with power to erect admiralty courts. They excused their past neglect to exercise that power on the ground of their conviction that such courts would be both useless and costly, but professed their readiness now to take the necessary steps for their establishment. In

direct opposition to the Proprietors' claim was the opinion of the Attorney-General, Trevor, who found nothing in the charters that could operate in restraint of the King's power to erect admiralty courts; whereupon the Proprietors changed their tactics, and petitioned the King that their Governors might have Vice-Admirals' commissions, conferring the same powers as those exercised by the Governors of His Majesty's other plantations.

The attitude of the Proprietors, however natural, was somewhat provocative. Penn brought forward several counterproposals, contained in papers which he laid before the Committee. In the last of these he made four suggestions, of which one, when explained, was intended to remedy certain grievances of his own, another was based on a strange misappreciation of the relations existing between the different communities on the other side of the Atlantic, while the remaining two amounted to no more than a pious desire for their moral improvement. The paper was well replied to by Charles Brooke, Collector of Customs at New York, who remarked, in reference to Penn's recommendation that one province should not protect the runaways of another, but that justice should prevail, "This is well proposed, but the ways and means to effect it are wanting"; and, of the further suggestion that the people should be under a stricter discipline in their manners, yet cherished, and kindly as well as justly used by their respective magistrates, "The advice is very good, and to be wished by all honest men it were put in execution." Penn's proposals seem to have made little impression on the Committee, whose chairman expressed to him their opinion that the Proprietors' Governors should receive the same instructions from the King as His Majesty's Governors, and that the Proprietors should be held liable for the misbehaviour of their Deputies. "If," he added significantly, "there be further complaint against the Proprietors after this, the Parliament may possibly take another course in this matter which will be less pleasing to them." The Report of the Committee was in accordance with this pronouncement, and was followed by an Address to the King, asking him to direct that the Proprietors should enter into security here that their

Deputy Governors would obey all instructions sent to them by him, or by those acting under his authority.

The papers connected with the inquiry into the probable consequences of the establishment of an East India Company in Scotland (No. 955) afford but a faint echo of what is described by Dalrymple as having well-nigh created a civil war between Scotland and England. Though Paterson, notwithstanding the part he had played in the founding of the Bank of England, could count but few followers among responsible men south of the Tweed, his influence in Scotland was unbounded; and the excitement caused there, first by the prospect which he unfolded of the wealth and national aggrandizement that must accrue to his countrymen by the operations of the new company, secondly by the storm of opposition which his plans evoked in England, was intense. But it will be seen that the character of the inquiry soon changed. The English East India Company, after having attacked their Scotch competitors, were obliged to act on the defensive, and repel the onslaught of those English traders who were not of their number, while the Committee addressed themselves to the task of settling the terms on which they should be incorporated by Act of Parliament. This was probably due to two causes. Paterson seems to have soon abandoned the idea of establishing a competing trade with the Indies, and to have centred all his energies on his unfortunate Darien scheme. So the danger which threatened the English traders receded. At the same time the interlopers probably became convinced that they had made a false move in joining the Scotch company, and adopted the plan, which could not be condemned as unpatriotic, of attacking the privileges of the English corporation. The arguments on both sides have now no more than a historical interest, but it must be allowed that they were urged with much ability and some eloquence. The whole controversy, however, had one good result. It helped to bring to the front the question of a parliamentary union between England and Scotland. It was intolerable that the legislatures of the two Kingdoms should be at war with each other. Had not the King, to use his own words, "been badly served" at Edinburgh, that state of war need not have arisen, and the miserable fiasco of the Darien enterprise might have

been averted; but the carelessness of his representatives, in allowing the Scotch company's Bill to become law, with all its startling provisions, did not a little to confirm the growing conviction that something more than a common sovereign was needed to preserve friendly relations between the two countries.

One of the subjects recommended in the King's speech on 23 November 1695 for legislation was the increase and encouragement of seamen, and early in the following year the Seamen's Act (7 & 8 Will. III. c. 21) was passed, some of the amendments to which appear in draft among the papers for March 1695-6. In the previous autumn the King and Queen had handed over Greenwich Palace to Commissioners, who were to use it as a hospital for the relief of scamen, and an annual sum had been granted from the Treasury for its endowment. The Act now passed provided for the payment by every seaman employed in an English ship of 6d, a month out of his wages towards the support of the Hospital, the method in which the money was to be collected being left to be arranged by the Admiralty. It also enacted that any seaman might register himself for the King's service, in which case he was to receive a bounty of 40s. a year, to be received into the Hospital when disabled, and to have his widow and children provided for besides sundry other privileges (No. 104). The inducements do not however appear to have been sufficient to attract the men, for in December 1696, when the House ordered the Commissioners for the Admiralty to give an account as to the effect of the Act, the obstructions, if any, which prevented its working, and the proposals which they could suggest to remove the obstructions, the answer was that only 4,656 men had registered themselves, who were serving in the King's ships, that there was great difficulty in collecting the 6d. a month, and that several alterations in the Act ware required in order to remove obstructions (see No. 1082). These alterations, which were chiefly suggested by the Commissioners for Registering Seamen, were embodied in an amending Act passed in 1697, which also imposed a penalty for lending the certificates of registration for the purpose of enabling unregistered s amen to escape impressment.

With regard to ecclesiastical and religious matters the most important event of this period was the passing into law of a Bill for the more easy recovery of small tithes. A number of Bills dealing with this subject had been brought in in previous Sessions, some of which have been noticed in the earlier volumes, but it was not until January 1695-6 that a measure for this purpose was successful in getting through the Second House, and settling for a time a question which had been before Parliament for 20 years. During the passage through the House of this Bill, which received the Royal Assent on 13 February 1695-6, two clauses were proposed, one enacting that no persons should be excommunicated for non-payment of small tithes, which was rejected; the other, which forms Section 14 of the Act, providing that no persons suing in the Exchequer or any Ecclesiastical Court for sums under 40s. should benefit by the Bill (No. 993). An Ecclesiastical Courts Regulation Bill, passed by the Lords but dropped in the Commons, proposed to do away with excommunication for contempt of court in cases not directly concerning the Christian faith or reformation of manners, and to substitute a certificate to the Court of Chancery of contumacy, and proceedings in the Court of King's Bench (No. 1043). 'A measure to prevent Papists from disinheriting their Protestant heirs came up on 22 April 1696, and was being considered when the Prorogation put an end to it (No. 1055). It enacted that no conveyance of lands made by a Papist since 1688 should bar a Protestant heir, and that property devoted to the support of priests, education of children in Popish seminaries, or to superstitious uses, should be vested in the King.

The papers specially affecting the Crown are chiefly concerned with the plot to assassinate the King, announced on 24 February 1695-6, and consist of the speech delivered on that day (No. 1019), a draft of the Association for the Safety of the King's Person, a printed account of it (which was voted a malicious and scurrilous libel), and letters from absent Peers making excuses for not attending the House in answer to the summons (No. 1022). There are also drafts of two amendments made to the Act for the Security of the King's Person, passed in April 1696, by which all persons holding office under

(g.)

the Crown, even the household of the Prince and Princess of Denmark, were compelled to subscribe to the Association. One of these amendments allows Quakers to make a declaration, instead of taking the oaths of allegiance and supremacy, and the other concerns offices of inheritance, which are exempted from the operation of the Act (No. 1053).

The early part of the second Session is memorable for the proceedings upon the case of Sir John Fenwick, who had been apprehended in June 1696, and was attainted by a Bill which came up from the Commons on 26 November (No. 1081). The papers relating to this Bill include the prisoner's original Confession, stated by Lord Hardwick, in one of his Notes to Burnet's History, to have been among Lord Somers' manuscripts, which were burnt in the fire in Lincoln's Inn in 1752. However, it is printed in extenso, with almost complete accuracy, in Dalrymple's Memoirs, and there is nothing fresh to be learnt from the original. What is of more interest is the account, contained in the Clerk's manuscript minutes, of the proceedings in the House during the progress of the Bill, which supplements and illustrates the official record in the Lords' Journals. particular, the Earl of Monmouth's cross-examination of the Duchess of Norfolk and Matthew Smith, and the speech made by him in self-exculpation, present a vivid picture of his struggles to escape the censure of the House. Among the papers is a letter from William Fuller, addressed to the Lord Keeper, offering to give evidence against Sir John Fenwick, and enclosing a Petition to the two Houses of Parliament (No. 1080). There is no notice of it in the records, but the Journal of the House of Commons has an entry of the receipt of a letter from Fuller addressed to the Speaker, which the House decided should not be read. There are also a number of letters of excuse from Peers sent in answer to the Lord Keeper's letter of 14 November 1696, requiring their attendance at the proceedings upon the Bill for attainting Sir John Fenwick

The Commons in March 1695-6 sent up a Bill to enable the King to grant licence of alienation in mortmain (No. 1036), which was dropped in the Lords; but in the following month an almost identical Bill became law, under the title of the

Charitable Gifts Act (7 & 8 W. III., c. 37) (No. 1052), the chief difference being the omission of a long preamble contained in the first Bill stating that the Act of Settlement had put an end to the dispensing power of the sovereign.

In the category of measures affecting Parliament may be included a Bill of 1695, introduced in the Lords but dropped in the Commons, which proposed to amend the Oaths (Ireland) Act of 1691, so as to enable Irish Peers to take the oaths in England, the reason given in the preamble being that all the Irish Peers who lived in England, and did not go over to Ireland to take the oaths (as required by the Act), were thereby deprived of making their proxies in the Irish Parliament, "to the great prejudice of such peers, and the weakening of the English interest in the * * * realm of Ireland." (No. 974). Two measures relating to elections are included in the Calendar. The Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill (No. 1016) is the Bill to which the King refused his assent on 10 April 1696, and which, amongst other provisions, required every county member to have a real estate of 5001... and every borough member one of 200l. a year. The City of London, in a petition against the Bill (No. 1028) upon which they were heard by Counsel, urgel that it would disqualify very many eminent merchants and traders fit to represent the City in Parliament; but, in spite of their opposition, it passed the Lords without amendment. In the Commons a clause exempting the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge from this requirement had been proposed and rejected, and a clause enacting that all elections should be "by a balloting" had been defeated by the use of the "previous question." A similar Bill sent up by the Commons in December 1696 allowed merchants of seven years' standing, with real or personal estat s of 5,000l., to sit as borough members. Investments in any company, or in the Bank of England, were not to constitute the holders merchants. This measure, against which petitions were received from Norwich and many other towns, was defeated on the second reading (No. 1093).

Among other papers relating to trade and industries appears a Bill for suppressing Hawkers and Pedlars, which was sent up in 1695-6 (No. 1046). It resembles those passed by the Commons

in 1692-3 and 1694, and like them was rejected by the Lords. The Act of 1696-7 contains provisions taken from these Bills, but differs from them in granting licences to Hawkers and Pedlars, and so making them contribute towards the transport service for the reduction of Ireland. A Petition (No. 1047), presented to the House in March 1696 by merchants and owners of ships trading to the Plantations, relates to the Plantation Trade Bill then being considered, and points out that, if foreignbuilt ships are prevented from trading with the Plantations, the effect will be to reduce the number of ships in which the produce of the Plantations can be carried, the want of ships at present occasioning high freights and a scarcity of goods. The Bill, which was apparently aimed at frauds and abuses committed by Scotchmen and others in the Plantation trade, received the Royal Assent in April. No. 1050 is a Bill for restraining the wearing of wrought silks, Bengals, and dyed, printed, or stained calicoes, imported into England, and produced and manufactured in Persia and the East Indies. It enacts that all such goods shall be exported again and not worn in England, and imposes a fine of a hundred pounds for retailing them. Numerous petitions from Linen-drapers, Dyers, Printers, &c., were presented against the Bill (No. 1051), and in Committee it was amended in several important particulars, but no further proceedings are recorded. In the following year (1696-7) a similar Bill was sent up by the Commons, which the Lords amended by extending it to all wrought silks imported, and all calicoes printed or stained out of the realm. Petitions from the hamlet of Bethnal Green and the Gloucestershire clothiers give the views of the weavers and the clothiers about this trade (No. 1121). The Commons disagreed to the Lords' amendments as injurious to the export trade, and as imposing additional penalties, which ought to originate only in the House of Commons, and the Bill was lost.

Since the passing of the Act of 1688 prohibiting trade with France, which was intended to encourage privateers, but had not been successful in doing so to any great extent, several Bills designed to remedy the defects of that Act had been from time to time sent up by the Commons, but they had failed to become law. Another measure "to continue the

Acts prohibiting all trade with France and for the encouragement of privateers" was sent up in January 1695-6, which was opposed by certain merchants trading to the Eastland Countries, who feared its effect upon trade (Nos. 991, 994). The House proposed to give an Instruction to the Committee of the Whole House to see that no treaties with foreign countries were interfered with by the Bill; but, such a course being unusual, an inquiry was first held into precedents for it. Eventually the Bill went to a Select Committee, where it was amended, and, the Commons disagreeing to the amendments, it suffered the same fate as its predecessors. A measure was introduced in February of the same year, which was intended to prevent trade with France and the export of wool, required all wool shorn within reach of the sea to be entered in the Custom House or next port, and limited the amount to be exported to the Channel Islands (No. 1006). It was not proceeded with after the first reading.

Two papers relating to the Wool Act, passed in April 1696, are interesting. One is a petition from Exeter merchants praying for freedom of trade with Ireland, which they had enjoyed until 1692, when the Act of that year deprived them of it; the other, a clause added to the Bill in the Lords' Committee, providing for cruisers from the North Foreland to the Isle of Wight, in order to seize vessels exporting wool (No. 1054). A Bill sent up from the Commons in April 1697 to restrain the number and ill practice of brokers and stock jobbers brought forth a Petition from Merchants and Traders in the City of Lendon against a clause making void contracts for more than three days in which premiums had been raid for liberty to deliver or receive, &c., any share in any joint stock, &c. (No. 1162). The clause was so amended in Committee as to apply only to future contracts, but the House refused to agree to the amendments, and the Bill became law in its original form. In January 1695-6 a Bill to supply the defects in an Act passed in the previous year, so far as it affected the trade in lustrings and alamodes, was introduced. It was apparently intended to put an end to the monopoly enjoyed by the Lustring Company, and to let the Weavers' Company of London and other similar companies share in the trade; but the opposition of the Lustring Company was strong enough to procure its rejection without a division (No. 984). In the following year a petition was presented on behalf of several hundreds of shop-keepers (No. 1153) against a clause in the Lustring Company, &c. Bill then before the House, which enacted that any person served with a writ should give bail to answer the forfeitures and penalties, or in default suffer judgment against him, and in Committee the obnoxious words were struck out. This Bill, which was to encourage the Royal Lustring Company, and practically confirmed their position as monopolists, making the possession of unmarked lustrings and alamodes an offence under the Act of 1694-5, received the Royal Assent in April 1697, on the same day as a Bill to increase His Majesty's duties on lustrings and alamodes, also sent up by the Commons.

A Petition of the Company of Distillers (No. 1056) against a duty of 2d. per gallon on Low Wines relates to the Spirit Duties Act, which received the Royal Assent on 27 April 1696, and imposed duties upon low wines or spirits of the first extraction. A curious circumstance about this Bill is that it amends an Act passed in the same Session which imposed penalties upon the Master of the Mint if he did not coin a sufficient proportion of shillings and sixpences. Another Petition from the Distillers' Company, presented a year later, prays to be heard against a clause in the Party Guiles Bill allowing all persons to distil spirits from malted corn or cider. That Bill repealed a portion of the Spirit Duties Act requiring brewers to declare how much strong beer and how much small they intended to make of each brewing (No. 1151). A Petition against an amendment made in a Supply Bill of the same year was received from the Company of White Paper Makers, while the Stationers presented a counter-petition (No. 1132), the question being as to a clause to prevent the use of white rags for the manufacture of brown paper.

One of the numerous Bills for the ease of Sheriffs in the execution of their offices, and in passing their accounts, introduced during this and the following reign and passed by the Commons, came up on 5 March 1696-7, and was considered and amended in Committee of the whole House, but got no further (No. 1136).

The Masters and Servants Bill, a measure passed by the Commons for the more easy recovery of servants wages, recites that tervants hired by the year are often dismissed without wages and become burdens to the parish, that Justices of the Peace doubt whether under the Act of Elizabeth they have power to compel payment, and that there are complaints against the servants (No. 1044). It is apparently intended to prevent servants having any claim upon the parish in which their masters live, and enacts that either party may complain to a Justice of the Peace, who may make an Order.

The Marriages of Infants Bill (to prevent undue marriages of infants and for better securing the guardianship of them), as introduced in 1697, was intended to prevent "loose idle or disorderly persons" from marrying infant heireses, but in Committee it was amended so as to apply to boys under sixteen as well as girls under fourteen. It was brought in on 10 April, after the consideration of Knight's Bill (No. 1158), which was probably the immediate cause of its introduction, but dropped in the Commons with the prorogation (No. 1159).

The Offices (Sale and Purchase) Bill, sent up by the Commons in February 1696 7 (No. 1124), for the "prevention of corruption," imposed penalties for bargaining for or selling offices and places of trust. It provided that no one already in possession should be ejected because of any such purchase, and the Lords amended it by applying this provision to future appointments, besides adding clauses excepting offices of inheritance, and such as by law might be executed by deputy, provided the deputation was not sold, and exempting the judges from its operation. A clause exempting the officers of the City of London (No. 1126) was rejected. The Commons disagreed to the clauses and the Bill dropped with the Session.

A clause appears among the papers of 1697, which was brought up for insertion in a Bill from the Commons dealing with some defects in the laws for the relief of the poor. In the clause is a recital of the abuses which have existed in the case of select vestries, such as the appropriation by vestrymen of the funds, or the expenditure of them "in extravagant and unnecessary feastings and other eating and drinking." The Bill was to facili-

tate the removal of poor persons from their own parishes to other parishes where they might find work, and the clause proposed to abolish select vestries, and substitute elected vestries, but it was not persisted with (No. 1161).

In regard to the law affecting creditors and debtors, there are several papers in the Calendar referring to Bills which came before Parliament during the two Sessions of 1695-6 and 1696-7. The Escapes Prevention Bill (No. 1049), a measure introduced in the Commons and laid aside in the Lords in 1695-6, was intended to defeat some of the devices by which prisoners for debt were in the habit of obtaining their liberty in spite of their creditors. This object was attained in the following year, when the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Act was passed into law, which was designed to make the confinement of the prisoners more strict, and was also aimed at various abuses known to exist in relation to prisons, especially at places of sanctuary, such as the Whitefriars, where debtors could defy their creditors. This Bill, which was foreshadowed by clauses in the Law (Reform) Bill of 1690 (see Hist. MSS. Commission, 13th Report, Appendix, Part V.) brought forth a number of petitions which are set out in the Calendar, some of them from prisoners, others from persons who had complaints to make of extortion and other malpractices on the part of prison officials, and several from those who were interested in offices attached to some of the prisons, such as the office of Warden of the Fleet, &c. (No. 1114). The prisoners and also the Warden of the Fleet, protesting against the abolition of the Rules of the prison, point out that the system of keeping prisoners in streets near the prison was established to prevent infection, which might be expected to follow if all the prisoners were confined within the prisons, where there was only room for a small proportion of their number. The Bill was considered by a Select Committee, who had the assistance of the Judges, several of the petitioners being heard by their counsel or in person. During the proceedings, an accusation of breach of privilege, made against John Tillev by Richard Luxford, one of the petitioners, was inquired into by the Committee, but no action was taken upon it, Tilley's explanation being that it was the result of a conspiracy to ruin him, entered into in the interest of Col. Baldwin Leighton,

another claimant to the office of Warden of the Fleet. The controversy about this office, which was of some value and originally included a mansion house, thirteen houses, and all the shops in Westminster Hall, besides the office of keeping the Palace at Westminster, had been going on for some years and has been mentioned in several volumes of the Calendar. Charles II. having granted the office to Sir Jeremy Whichcote, it came in course of time to Richard Manlove, and the question in dispute was whether it had been forfeited by his extortion (of which he was found guilty by Inquisition), and whether in that case it passed to Col. Leighton, who had obtained a grant of it from the King, or to the Reversioner. In 1692 Leighton had applied to the House for relief, but had been refused a hearing then, and he presented another Petition to the House in February 1696-7, making a second application for justice against Tillev (No. 1111). The House referred the Petition to a Select Committee, to consider how far it was proper to take cognizance of the matter contained in it; and, after an exhaustive inquiry, an elaborate Report was made to the House of the precedents and arguments employed, and leave was given to Leighton to bring in a Bill for his relief. unsuccessful Appeal by Tilley in relation to the Bill for the sale of the office in 1692 is noticed elsewhere. Three Petitions presented to the House in February 1695-6 from various creditors of bankrupt and other debtors pray to be heard against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, a measure which enabled two-thirds of the creditors to make a composition with the debtor, while two other Petitions are from creditors praying to be heard in favour of it. The Bill, which somewhat resembles a Bill introduced in 1694-5 but not proceeded with, received the Royal Assent in April. (See No. 1116.)

A number of Estate Bills appear among the Papers, most of them being, as usual, brought in for the sale of land, in order to pay off debts or make jointures, or for the improvement of estates. Of those relating to London, the Marquess of Tavistock's Estate Act is for the purpose of making a wet dock at Rotherhithe (then called Redriffe), and so improving the estate of Wriothesley, son of Lord Russell, afterwards 2nd

Duke of Bedford (No. 1041); the Duchess of Buccleuch's Estate Act (No. 1042) is for the improvement of land in the parish of St. Martin's in the Fields belonging to the widow of the Duke of Monmouth, who had been created Duke of Buccleuch on his marriage, his wife being already Countess of Buccleuch in her own right. No. 1101 is a Bill to enable James, Duke of Ormonde, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, to raise money for payment of debts and for encouraging English Plantation in Ireland, which was amended by inserting in the title that it was for the Duke's grandson, Charles Earl of Arran (here described as Lord Weston, but really created Lord Butler of Weston) to make leases of his estates in Ireland. The deaths of Lord Holles and his son, whereby the barony became extinct, occasioned the introduction by their kinsman, the Duke of Newcastle, of an Estate Bill (No. 1108). He succeeded to the property, and having already inherited a great part of the Cavendish estates, became one of the richest subjects in the Kingdom. The Colepeper Estate is the subject of a Bill brought in by Lord Fairfax (No. 1143), who married the daughter and heiress of Thomas Lord Colepeper, formerly Governor of Virginia. By this marriage Leeds Castle and other English estates came to the Fairfax family, and an enormous property in Virginia. The claim of John Peshall and his wife (mentioned elsewhere) to a dowry of 80l. was apparently satisfied by a payment of 4,000l., but the then Lord Colepeper opposed the Bill, and, before the hearing of the parties was concluded, the prorogation put an end to the proceedings. There are one or two other papers relating to private Bills. A proviso inserted in the St. James' Parish Act prohibits the placing of more than two bells in the church or steeple (No. 1021). Petitions are presented by two persons interested against the Bill for re-vesting in the Crown the manor of Tutbury, Needwood Forest, &c., which had been granted to the owner of the land on which the fort at Sheerness was erected (No. 1040), and from Gloucester and other places in the neighbourhood against a Bill for making the Wye and Lugg navigable (No. 1017). A Bill for re-vesting in John Spademanthe mediety of the Rectory and Church of Llandinam, Montgomeryshire, in case he should have forfeited it by not taking the oaths

(No. 1137), was rejected, apparently in consequence of a Petition from the Chapter of Bangor (No. 1142), stating that the Rectory was vested in that body by Act of Parliament for pious and charitable uses. There are also two Naturalization Acts, one of which is to naturalise the children of Henry de Nassau, Lord of Auverquerque, the Master of the Horse and companion in arms of William III., whose life he had saved at the battle of St. Dennis in 1678 (No. 1013). The eldest son was in 1698 created Earl of Grantham.

As usual, there are numerous cases relating to Privilege, and to Protections granted by Peers to their Servants. Although the Privilege of the King's servants had been abolished in 1693, two Petitions praying for discharge from arrest appear in the Calendar, one from Henry Killigrew, a Gentleman of the Privy Chamber (No. 964), the other from Thomas Davis, one of the Messengers to the King (No. 1039), who had been arrested while serving a warrant for high treason. An Order for the attendance of the persons concerned in the case of Davis was made, but on the following day, after the Order against protecting the King's Servants had been read, they were discharged. A Bill respecting Privilege of Parliament came up from the Commons in February 1695-6, its object being to reverse the judgment given against Sir William Williams for what he did as Speaker of the House of Commons,* and for asserting the rights and freedom of Parliament (No. 998). A Committee was appointed to examine precedents, and the Bill was read a second time and committed, but no further proceedings took place.

The Protections granted by Peers to their Servants continue to be a fruitful source of complaints of various kinds. Charles Brockwell, menial servant and secretary to the Earl of Bradford, complains that his wife's clothes have been seized by the landlord and an attorney for diet and boarding (No. 1086), while John Ellison, a creditor of James Howard, states that his debtor, though able to pay, shelters himself behind a Protection from his kinsman the Duke of Norfolk (No. 1098). The Under-Sheriff of Middlesex petitions to be indemnified for

^{*} He had been fined 10,000l. for authorizing, as Speaker, the publication of the Votes in which Dangerfield's Plot was set out.

discharging Abel Weekes, arrested for debt, but protected by Lord Morley and Monteagle* (No. 1096). Susannah Harrington prays that a Protection given to her husband by the Earl of Warrington may be set aside, in order that be may be compelled to answer in a cause of separation or divorce (No. 1152). The Bishop of Llandaff complains that two persons have seized his estate (No. 1130). A cheesemonger in King Street and a bailiff are reprimanded for arresting a servant of the Duke of Richmond (No. 1160). The Earl of Derby complains that his Steward has been committed to the Fleet. The defence being that the Steward is a Common Attorney and not entitled to privilege, the question was referred to the Committee for Privileges, with the result that a Standing Order was passed that no Attorney or Solicitor was to be allowed Privilege (No. 1065). Derby figures in other cases; in one Lady Charlotte Cooper desires to proceed against him for a legacy, while in another his mother complains that her dowry is in arrear (Nos. 1141, 1148). He is also concerned in a Petition from the executors of Lord Widdrington, praying that he may waive his privilege as regards the estate of William Stanley, to which he lays claim, in order that they may be enabled to prove the wills of Stanley and Lord Widdrington (No. 1144). Lord Hastings prays leave to proceed at law against his father, the Earl of Huntingdon, in the matter of an estate left to him by his mother, and the House appoints seven Lords to "endeavour an accommodation" (No. 1091).

Other cases in which privilege was made use of in order to evade claims or obstruct the course of justice are those of Sir Miles Cooke, a Master in Chancery, who refused to pay a solicitor employed on behalf of his son, then a prisoner in Newgate for high treason (No. 1113): the Earl of Warrington, whose nephew complains that, by insisting on his privilege, he has defeated judgments and decrees obtained against him (No. 1154); and the Earl of Nottingham, whose creditors complain that they cannot get payment for work done, and that there has not been an interval of privilege long enough to enable them to sue him at

^{*} L. Morley and Monteagle was a prominent offender in the matter of Protections, and was committed to the Tower in 1691 after an investigation by the House. (See Hist. MSS. Com., 14th Rep., App., Part VI.)

law (No. 1092). On reading the petition of the creditors in this last case, the House made the Standing Order that the filing of an original should not be a breach of privilege.* An instance of a similar misuse of privilege by a member of the House of Commons occurs in the case of Serjeant Coward, who had married Lord Mohun's widow, and, by insisting on privilege, prevented a decree of the Court of Chancery as to his wife's jointure from being carried into effect (No. 1135).

In the case of the B shop of St. David's (No. 1035) the House referred the question of his privilege to the Committee for Privileges, who, "finding great difficulty in the matter," left it to the House. The Bishop, however, declared that he would waive his privilege, as he had done in a previous case in 1691–92. (See Historical MSS. Commission, 14th Report, Appendix, Part VI., No. 538.)

In two notable disputes about privilege the grievance was that a Peer, after agreeing to waive his privilege, had resumed it. One is the famous suit between the Earl of Bath and the Earl of Montagu about the estate of the 2nd Duke of Albemarle, which has been noticed in previous Calendars (No. 1066). The two Earls had agreed in 1693 to waive their privilege in all matters relating to the estate, and the Earl of Montagu now complains of a breach of the agreement, while his opponent tries to justify his action in resuming his privilege. The dispute was settled by a fresh agreement; and when, a year later, the Earl of Montagu complained of a similar breach of the new agreement, the House ordered the Earl of Bath to waive his privilege on the terms proposed by his opponent.

The other case of resuming privilege relates to the sale by Lord Berkeley of Stratton of his house in Piccadilly.† He had apparently agreed one morning to sell it to the Duke of Devonshire, and the same afternoon, just as he was going to sea, he agreed to sell it to the Marquess of Normanby, and the latter brought a Bill in Chancery for the execution of the agreement. The complaint made by Lord Normanby is that, after the three parties had agreed to waive privilege, the Duke resumed his;

^{* 8 0 74}

[†] Berkeley House occupied the site of Devonshire House, was tenanted for a time, until this sale took place, by Princess Anne, and is described as being the last house in Piccadilly.

but the Duke declared that he had given his counsel no authority, and endeavoured to induce the House to express an opinion whether he had or had not waived his privilege. Failing in this attempt, he decided not to insist upon it (No. 1067).

A conference with the Commons upon the subject of privilege took place in November 1696, in consequence of a complaint by John Peshall that Lord Fairfax, standing upon his privilege as a member of the House of Commons, refused to pay to Peshall's wife, a natural daughter of Lord Colepeper, the dowry in consideration of which he had married her. At the conference the Commons communicated a Resolution that no member of the House of Commons should have any privilege, except for his person, against a commoner in any suit or proceedings when the House was not actually sitting for the despatch of business. Upon this the Lords prepared a Bill for the greater ease of the subjects in recovering their just debts. Before going into Committee on it, they considered the book of Protections and the cases of Ellison and Smith, already noticed (Nos. 1096, 1098), and made an Order, to the same effect as the Standing Order of 1712, abolishing all written Protections. The Bill proposed to go further than the Act of 1701 dealing with this subject, in allowing actions to be brought during the Session, but it was laid aside after having passed through Committee of the whole House (No. 1089).

Numerous Appeals were presented to the House in the course of the two Sessions which are included in this volume, some of which may be briefly noticed. In No. 962 is revealed a curious transaction, being an attempt to recover 500l. as payment for services in procuring a marriage between Thomas Thynne, known as "Tom of ten thousand," and Lady Ogle, heiress of the Percy estates, who afterwards married, at the age of 17, a third husband, the "proud" Duke of Somerset, Thynne having been murdered in the year of his marriage at the instigation of Count Königsmark. The fact of this Appeal having been brought is evidence that the marriage to Thynne actually took place, as to which some doubts have been expressed, in spite of the statements of Luttrell and Shower.

An Appeal respecting the timber on some estates in Westmoreland relates to the purchase by Colonel Graham

son of Sir George Graham, of Esk, of the Bellingham property, including the manor of Levens, in that county, to which in the following year he was allowed to retire, after his arrest on suspicion of being in communication with his former patron, James II. (No. 985). An alleged infringement of the rights of the Heralds by a person who had painted coats of arms for coffins and marshalled funerals was the occasion of a suit in the Court of Chivalry of the Earl Marshal, brought by the King's Advocate in that Court (No. 995), and of an Appeal by Writ of Error against a prohibition granted by the Court of Exchequer. The House in this case, which had already been considered in 1691, upheld the Court of Exchequer. marriage settlement of the Duke of Southampton, whose wife was the daughter and heiress of Sir Henry Wood, came before the House once more in the form of an unsuccessful Appeal by Sir Cæsar Wood against a decree by which the other co-heir obtained half the estate (No. 999). Bromley College, an institution founded in 1666 by Dr. Warner, Bishop of Rochester, for the maintenance of widows of clergymen, and since enlarged by means of subsequent endowments, is the subject of an appeal by Lee Warner against a decree of a Commission of Charitable Uses (No. 1005). An insurance for 100l., depending upon the taking on or before Christmas Day 1696 of the city of Casale,* in Montferrat, by the King of Spain or Duke of Savoy, is the occasion of an Appeal (No. 1009) by Charles Davenant, Doctor of Laws. The premium paid appears to have been 60 guineas. A dispute about an estate in Herefordshire is the subject of an Appeal (No. 1029), the question being whether it had been purchased absolutely or in trust, the owner of it having served as a captain in the Royalist Army, and his property having been sequestrated when the Parliamentary Army took Hereford. for agistment tithes is made in No. 1069 by the Rector of Yeovileton, Somerset, against the Appellants for depasturing and fattening their oxen for ten years. A custom of the City of London by which, if a testator left no children living at the time of his death, the widow was entitled to one-half of the

^{*} Casale, though one of the strongest places in Europe, was surrendered by the French to the Duke of Savoy in an unaccountably short time after its investment.



MANUSCRIPTS

OF THE

HOUSE OF LORDS.

948. Nov. 22.—Garter's Roll.—A Roll of the Nobility of England, delivered to the Clerk of the Parliament. Signed Tho: St. George, Garter Principal King of Arms. It contains 165 names. Parchment Collection.

1695. No. 943.

944. Nov. 22.—Test Roll (30 Car. II. Stat. 2. c. 1).—Roll for the Parliament begun this day containing the signatures (158) of Lords to the Declaration in the Act of 1678 for the more effectual preserving the King's Person and Government by disabling Papists from sitting in either House of Parliament. Parchment Collection.

945. Nov. 22.—Writs of Summons.—Writs of Summons to the following Lords:—

1,	Charles, D. St. Albans	Writ dated	12 Oct. 1695.
2.	Charles, D. Shrewsbury	22	,,
3.	William, M. Halifax	72	
4.	Aubrey, E. Oxford	55 -	550
5.	John, E. Bridgewater	22	22
6.	Edward, E. Warwick	22	15 Nov. 1695.
	Charles, E. Manchester	,,	12 Oct. 1695.
	Thomas, E. Stamford	. ,,	
	Charles, E. Carlisle	22	27
	John, E. Marlborough	"	
	Francis, E. Bradford	22 -	22
	Henry, E. Romney	27 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	99
	Henry, V. Longueville	?? ??	22
	Henry [Compton], Bp. of Londo	n ,,	22
	Robert, L. Willoughby of Eresby	17	27
	Charles, L. Berkeley of Berkeley	7	22
	Robert, L. Hunsdon		99
	John, L. Vaughan	"	
	John, L. Colepeper	22	22
	Robert, L. Lucas	"	"
	John, L. Berkeley of Stratton	"	>>
		55	27
	Charles,* L. Granville	29 ,	99
	Francis, L. Guilford	??	"
24.	Sidney, L. Godolphin	"	99

^{*} Entered wrongly in L. J. as "John."

1695. 25. John, L. Jeffreys Writ dated 12 Oct. 1695.

- 26. Hugh, L. Cholmondeley No. 945. [Took the Oaths this day. L. J., XV. 597-8.]

946. Nov. 22.—Writ of Summons (L. Dartmouth).—Writ of Summons, dated 16 Nov. 1695, to William, L. Dartmouth. [Sat first in Parliament this day. L. J., XV. 598.]

947. Nov. 23.—Writs of Summons.—Writs of Summons, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to the following Lords:—

George, D. Cumberland.
 Edward, E. Sandwich.

3. Nathaniel [Crew], Bp. of Durham. [Took the Oaths this day. L. J., XV. 600.]

948. Nov. 23.—Writ of Summons (E. Anglesey).—Writ of Summons, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to James, E. Anglesey. [Sat first in Parliament this day. L. J., XV. 600.]

949. Nov. 23.—North v. Cecill.—Petition and Appeal of Dame Anne North. Petitioner, whilst she was sole, made a lease of a house in Bristol to Phillip Cecill, Esq. She afterwards married Sir Dudley North, Knt., who sued and outlawed Cecill for arrears of rent, and got the Sheriff of the County to levy several sums on his lands in Mon-mouthshire to satisfy the said arrears. Ceeill afterwards exhibited a Bill in the Court of Exchequer, and obtained a Decree ordering Petitioner, as her late husband's Executrix, and Mr. Thomas Edwards, her Attorney, to account for the sums levied. In the Account settled by the Deputy Remembrancer, Petitioner was charged with the sums levied by the Sheriff, as well as the sums paid to Edwards, which were the same money, so that she was charged twice over. Appeals against the Decree. Signed Ann North; Countersigned R. North, Wm. Banastre. L. J., XV. 600. [At the Hearing, on 18 Feb., Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. Dod appeared for Appellant, and Sir Bartholomew Shore and Mr. Browne for Respondent. For the former it was alleged that some money remained in the Sheriff's hands, and for the latter that he had no way of compelling the Sheriff to pay. MS. Min. Appeal dismissed with 10l. costs. L. J., XV. 673. Annexed :-

(a) 13 Dec.—Answer of Phillip Cecill, Esq. The sum in dispute is only 10l., which is alleged to have been charged twice over. It is beneath the dignity of the House to consider so small a matter. Signed Phill. Cecill; Countersigned Fran. Browne. Endorsed as brought in this day. MS. Min. See also L. J., XV. 673.

950. Nov. 25.—Writ of Summons (L. Raby).—Writ of Summons, dated 16 Nov. 1695, to Thomas, L. Raby. [Introduced (by Order of the House, after production of precedents), under special limitation, this day. L. J., XV, 601 in extense. On 2 Dec. the House ordered that the reason why Lord Raby was introduced should be entered in the Roll of Standing Orders. Ib. 602.]

951. Nov. 25.—Writs of Summons.—Writs of Summons, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to the following Lords:—

1. Algernon, E. Essex.

2. John, L. La Warr. [De La Warr in Garter's Roll.] [Took the Oaths this day. L. J., XV. 601.]

952. Dec. 2.—Writs of Summons.—Writs of Summons, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to the following Lords:—

1695.

No. 952.

1. Gilbert [Burnet], Bishop of Salisbury.

2. Ralph, L. Eure.

3. Hugh, L. Willoughby de Parham.

4. Peregrine, L. Keviton [L. Osborne in Garter's Roll].

Fook the Oaths this day. L. J., XV. 602.]

953. Dec. 3.—Writ of Summons (D. Southampton).—Writ of ummons, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to Charles, D. Southampton. [Took to Oaths this day. L. J., XV, 603.]

954. Dec. 3.—Whitaker v. Pawlin.—Petition and Appeal of Edward Vhitaker, Gent. (See No. 396, 13th Report, Hist. MSS. Comm., appendix, Part V., for the circumstances of the Cause). A Report of ohn Loggin's estate in the hands of William Pawlin and William oggin was made and twice confirmed; but, on John Loggin's eath, and on the departure beyond the sea on His Majesty's service of Villiam Wallis, who could prove the account, Respondents brought esh exceptions to the Report, and obtained an Order referring the leport back to the Master. Appeals against this Order. Signed by appellant; Countersigned Wm. Whitelocke, Edw. Hildeward. L. J., V. 602. At the hearing, on 8 Jan, Mr. Finch and Mr. Dobyns ppeared for Appellant, and Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. Filmer for espondents. MS. Min. Appeal dismissed with 20l. costs. L. J., IV. 633.

Annexed:

(a) 16 Dec.—Joint and Several Answer of Wm. Pawlin and Wm. Loggin. The Orders complained of are just. Signed by Respondents, and countersigned by Tho: Powys and J. Jekyll. Endorsed as brought in this day, "and paid 2s. to Mr. Walker for the file thereof." See also L. J., XV. 633.

955. Dec. 3.—East India Company (Scotland) (State of the Nation).

-Papers produced before the Committee of the Whole House, in passequence of an Order made this day, on report from the C.W.H. on the State of the Nation, for the attendance of Merchants trading to the East and West Indies and Africa, and also the Commissioners of the Customs, to give an account wherein the Act of Parliament, lately tade in Scotland, for a Company trading to Africa and the Indies, may be prejudicial to the trade of this kingdom into those parts L. J., XV. 603). The MS. Min. and the Com. Book supplement the tords' Journal as follows:—

On 2 Dec. the House was moved to consider the state of the coin f the kingdom;—the trade of the kingdom in general, and that which elates to East India particularly. Moved to appoint a day to take to consideration the State of the Nation .- On the 3rd the House rent into Committee on the State of the Nation, E. Huntingdon in the hair. Moved to consider the state of the coin. Moved to consider ne state of trade first. The Scotch Act concerning trade, dated 6 June 1695, was read. Moved that the East India Company and ne persons trading to the West Indies be sent to, to give the House n account wherein the Act is prejudicial to the kingdom as to trade. 'urkey Company and other Companies trading [to] give the House an count of trade. To give an account of the inconveniencies that nay happen from the Act. That some of the Commissioners of ustoms be sent to also, to represent how prejudicial this Act may be trade. Ordered to report as in L. J., XV. 603.—On 5 Dec. Io se again in Committee, E. Huntingdon in the chair. Then the Commissioners of Customs and Merchants were called in. Sir Robert Southwell heard as to the Act. The Act gives liberty to trade Custom

free. When ye consider our trade is clogged with Customs, they wil undersell us. As to the West Indies, we have officers, and w correspond with the Governors. There are some duties paid there We cannot tell where they will plant. I cannot tell where, withou invading the King's property, they can plant or pitch. The merchant named in the Act, if they please to name the place, we can say more Sir Patience Ward heard. The East Indian Company heard by Dorrington [Doddington]. He says the East India Company hav drawn up their Reasons, and he was heard to them. We must no pretend to go to foreign markets with them. They will undersell us They will draw off great stocks from us. They will set up manufacture for English manufactures. They are not accountable t anybody. We pay great taxes. Delivers a paper (b below). The African Company were heard, and delivered a paper (a below). Mr Percival heard as to the West Indies. Mr. Sam. Shepheard heard t the East and West India Trade. This Act is carried on by the presen East India Company, several of them being Committee men named i the Act. By the enlargement of the trade to India we can continu the trade; and this way the Act of Scotland will be of little value If our calicoes and other commodities pay no duty, the Customs wil They withdraw. Being called in again, the Order was read t Mr. Shepheard, and he was told he might speak to this matter. This Act, he says, was begun by people in England, and they are making lends to several for subscribes, and to me, and I would not subscribe We pay a subsidy and additional duty. If we draw back all ou subsidy, we are on the same foot in Scotland, and we pay no duty For the business of Africa, I cannot find where they can plant. The cannot bring a Scotch commodity there already. Answer to Shepheare There are many places in America not under His Majesty. Instance given. Mr. Doddington heard again as to the East India Company in support to it. If the Scotch Company go on, the Scotch pedlar will bring in daily, and the owlers and others will fill England. The tounage of ships. We employ many persons. Mr. Gardner heard I take it that the trade of England, Scotland and Ireland, the greates trade they drive, it [is] for the advantage, so each other doth no overbalance to prejudice the other. Want of security. This wa hath made other countries endeavour to increase their trade. Securin the trade will be a great encouragement to trade. Asked if he ha subscribed to the Scotch Company, he said, No.—On 9 Dec. papers (a and (b) below were read. Proposed to call in the Merchants summone on Saturday last (see L. J., XV. 607), and ask them why these person who are merchants in England, have incorporated themselves in the East India Company in Scotland, which may be prejudicial to England That the Merchants give in a list of the names of such persons as have engaged themselves in this Company, and what stocks they hav Agreed to (paper c¹). The Merchants were called in and asked they were the men. They say, Yes, they are. Then, being asked the 1st Question, answer, We did not understand it would be prejudici to England. We are traders. Asked whether they trade in Englan they say, Yes. We did not think it any crime in us to be incorporate in Scotland. We understood no hurt in it. If we had, we shou [have] advised upon it. Fowles says he is a Scotchman and denise James Cheseley. He was born beyond sea, but naturalised. The Coutts, a Scotchman. Hugh Frasier, a Scotchman. Joseph Cohe d'Azevedo. Walter Stewart, Scotch. The 2nd Question was aske That they give a list of the names of such persons as have engage themselves in this Act. They say, When the books were full,

elivered them to the Scotch gent[lemen], and they are carried over. We have a copy of names. We can bring in a list in time. Lord Belhaven, Robert Blackwood, James Balfour. Ordered That on Thursday next they deliver a list of the names of such persons as have subscribed to the East India Company in Scotland, and what tocks they have; and that they put against the name of every person of what nation he is. Mr. Lancaster, Mr. Douglas, Mr. Tho: Shinner, Paul Duckmeney, Anthony Merry, Mr. Glover. Asked, if they know ny man to be of the Company that was not a subscriber, they answer, hey know none. Asked who treated with them to have their names nserted, they answer, We trade and deal to Scotland. We were known n Scotland, and so came in. They withdrew. Proposed to be called n and asked apart who they applied to in England for obtaining this Act in Scotland, who obtained it, and in what manner it was obtained. Theseley: I know [not] anybody in England that was applied to lirectly or indirectly. The people in Scotland knew us, and that is he reason they put in our names. At Mr. Paterson's request it was hat this Jew and another was put in it. I have heard five or six years since that Scotland had a design for such an Act. He withdrew. James Smith called in and asked as before: I know nothing of it. heard of it by these gentlemen. I know nothing more. I know nothing of procuring the Act. Moved That Mr. Wm. Paterson be summoned. Mr. Smith says he lives in Denmark Street, by Soho. Ordered that Mr. William Paterson do forthwith attend this House. Ordered to move the House That Robert Blackwood and James Balfour lo attend, &c. as in L. J. Then Lancaster was called in and reard to the above said question: I know nothing of obtaining the Act. It was passed before I knew it. I knew nothing till I saw the Act, nor any articles previous to it. Asked if he knew the persons engaged to carry on the Act, answers, I heard none but some of these engaged in the Act, and they have been seeking ten years how to settle trade, and are now settled in Scotland. Moved to send to the Commission sioners of the Customs that they lay before this House what they think may obviate the inconveniencies that may arise to the trade of England from the Act for crecting an East India Company in Scotland. Agreed to. After some time the House was resumed. Then follows the report as in L. J. Then, the House being informed that Mr. Paterson was at the door, he was called in and sworn. The Lord Keeper, by direction of the House, [said] that what he should declare on oath should not turn to his prejudice, and he was asked as follows: - What he knows of soliciting and negotiating for obtaining the Act for establishing an East India Company in Scotland? Answer: I have been conversant in foreign trade. I solicited abroad. In 1691 I returned to England. I had a proposal to the Bank of England, but not rewarded. In May I was solicited by Scotch gent[lemen] that if I would give my opinion as to the Scotch Act I should be rewarded. From my opinion the Act was drawn. As to the passing the Act I know not. Asked if he did not transact with gent[lemen] here? Answer, Mr. Cheseley and Mr. Coutts proposed it to me. Asked if he has the book of subscriptions, he says he believes it was delivered to the Scotch gent [lemen]. Asked if he knew of any shares promised for rewards? Answer, I know of none but what was to me that some I mentioned * * * I believe the book may be had. Ordered that Mr. Paterson attend on Thursday next. The rest as in L. J.—On 12 Dec. Mr. Blackwood and Mr. James Balfour were called in, and asked for the books. They say they sent the books into Scotland, that he hath duplicates of them. I did not hear of it till

yesterday. He sent the books on Tuesday last by the post. The withdraw; and, being called in again, asked if he had the duplicate h says? Answer: The Secretary has a copy, and is without. Mo Roderick Mackenzie was called in, sworn, and asked if he has a cop of the book? He says he has a copy of the subscribers' names. Aske when the book was sent away, he says he knows nothing of the tim when or whither it is sent away. Mr. Blackwood was sworn. Askewhen he had the book? Answer, it was Friday last, and I gave it mean to send it away. I know not whether he went on Tuesday of Wednesday morning last. I do not know where it is. My man i gone to Scotland. Mr. Balfour, sworn, delivers the copy of th preamble to the book. (Paper c^1 .) They withdrew. The Commissioners of Customs delivered their Paper (c^2) , as ordered on Monda last. The East India Company delivered their Paper (c³), as ordered on Monday last. The Hamburgh Company delivered their Paper (c⁴) as ordered on Monday last. The West India Merchants called in Ordered to prepare their remedies against to-morrow morning. Ma Gardner's Paper (c5) delivered pursuant to the Order of Monday last In C. W. H. on the State of the Nation, E. Huntingdon in the chair the preamble [to] the book of subscriptions (Paper e^1) was read, as we as a list of the subscriptions (Paper e^1). Papers (e^2) , (e^3) , and $(e)^5$ were read. The African Merchants delivered their Paper (e^6) , and ordered on Monday last, which was read. An Address to the King of the subject was agreed to be drawn up. Report as in L. J.*—O 13 Dec. the Address was brought up and agreed to, and a messag sent to the Commons to desire a conference to obtain their con currence. Papers were delivered by the Jamaica and Leeward Islan Merchants (Papers d and e).—On 16 Dec. L. Chamberlain (E. Dorset) E. Kent, L. Colepeper, E. Craven, and Bp. Peterborough were added t the former Managers of the Conference with the Commons on th Address.—On 18 Dec. the Turkey Company were called in and delivere a paper, which was read (Paper f).—On 20 Dec., in C. W. H., Huntingdon Chairman, Papers (a) to (f) inclusive, with the exception of $(c)^1$, were read. Proposed: (1) that all Englishmen be prohibite to join with the Scotch; (2) that an East India Company be established by Act of Parliament; (3) that if any Scotch ship tradin thither trade to England it shall pay; (4) that the laws be bette executed in the West Indies; (5) that some of the Plantations, which do not immediately depend on the King, but in proprietors Then it was agreed, as a first head for a Bill, to prohibit all English men and all traders in England to join with the Scotch Company, under penalties; that the subjects and inhabitants of this kingdom discouraged under severe penalties, &c. as in L. J. Then moved, the a discouragement be put upon all English and Irish seamen ar shipwrights from being assistant to them under severe penalties, ar upon any ships belonging to the Scotch Company, if they come in any port of England; and that all builders in England or Ireland 1 prohibited from building ships proper for that service or navigatio Agreed to. Proposed to establish an East India trade in Englar by Act of Parliament, with such powers, privileges, and immunities may obviate the inconveniencies that may arise by the Act lately pass in Scotland. Then the House was resumed and report was made as L. J. The Commissioners of Customs were this day ordered to gi an account with respect to the Plantations, (L. J., XV. 619), and

^{*} Here occurs the following cancelled entry in the MS. Min. "Mored, that ad may be appointed to receive what may be proposed in order to a Union betwee England and Scotland."

the 30th they were ordered to attend on 3 Jan. in pursuance of that Order, and a further Order was made directing them to make a Return of the Exports and Imports of the Trade (ib. 623-4.) - Accordingly on 3 Jan, they were called in and delivered several papers (Papers g and h) pursuant to the two Orders of 30 Dec. (L.J., XV. 624. Min.) These were referred to a Select Committee (L.J., XV. 631-2) which sat on 8 Jan. The papers were read. Sir Rob', Southwell and Mr. Clarke were called in and asked why the Officers in Carolina, &c. had not sufficient encouragement for putting the Acts of 12 and 15 Car. II. in execution; and why they did not apply to the Council or Lords of the Treasury for their letter to the Governors, &c.; and whether they think not such a letter would be more effectual than their own letter (Paper g^2)? Answer: The officers where the proprietors are are so hampered with their partial proceedings that our surveyor is not able to cope with juries and courts, &c. He proposed the sending for the proprietors and admonishing them; and added, We are now preparing a Bill for remedying a great many things which the former Acts are short in. Our letter is grounded on the authority of the Law. The Treasury, if we had applied to them, would have left the writing the letter to us.—They are told they will do well to prepare the Bill mentioned by them with what convenient expedition may be; and that they have been wanting in not applying to the Treasury, and in thinking their own letter would be sufficient. Then it was Ordered, That the Commissioners of the Customs do with all convenient speed bring to their Lordships the total of every manufacture exported or imported for every year (by itself) for the last three years, and that they thereby show from or to what country or place the said manufacture was so exported or imported; which may be done without transcribing their books. Report as in L. J., XV. 634, ending "and the said account to be laid before this house if sitting." (Com. Book).—On 16 Jan. the Commissioners of the Customs are called in before the same Select Committee, Sir Rob', Southwell gives in a Report from the Commissioners, with two papers annexed, which are read (Papers $(i)^1$, $(i)^2$ and $(i)^4$). Being asked whether the House of Commons have not at any time had such account of the balance of trade as is now desired, he says in 1674 there was a balance made up of what related to France only. This they had by tradition. The desired account for the time past cannot be had, but may be for the future. They deliver in several specimens of Accounts of Importations and Exportations, which are perused (Papers (i)³, (i)⁶, (i)⁶ and (i)⁷). They withdraw. After some time they are called in again and directed to proceed in the work expected from them in pursuance of the Order; and that they give as particular account as they can for the time to come, with the least prejudice to the Merchants that may be. They are also told that, they having acquainted the Committee that they were preparing a Bill for strengthening the Act of Navigation, they hope they are not forgetful of it. They say the Bill is actually now in Mr. Attorney's hands, in order to be presented to the Parliament; and that they have applied to the Lords of the Treasury for letters to the Governors of Plantations, &c., and the King has referred it to a Committee of Council, and they are to attend them to-morrow. They withdraw. Ordered to report as in L. J., XV. 646. (Com. Book).—On the same day the Merchants trading to the East Indies and the East India Company were called to the Bar of the House, and the Order of the 13th (L. J., XV. 639) was read to them. Sir Benjamin Bathurst

1695. No. 955. 1 6 9 5. — No. 955. delivered a paper from the East India Company (Paper k), which was read while they were at the Bar. The other merchants trading to the Indies desire a copy of it. They withdrew. It was proposed to call them in again and ask them if they have anything in writing, otherwise they shall be heard; and that they need not have a copy of the paper delivered, but to answer to the Order. Ordered. The Merchants were called in and told as above. They say it is a paper not fit to be delivered, but it was taken and read while they were at the Bar (Paper 1). They were heard as to the treaties concerning trade; and since the English nation has been treated by the Mogul very kindly, their forts are not of advantage against the country. A letter from the Mogul read. Mr. Shepheard was heard as to a ship sent by the Company called the Constantinople Merchant. The Benjamin: five F[rench] men[of] war, came to her. She was protected by the country prince. They dare not attack ships in the ports of the Mogul: so the posts are of no advantage. Before ever there was a joint stock, there were traders to India. The trade to Turkey is a great advantage and honour to the nation, and this Company may be of the like under such regulations. Sir Benjamin Bathurst heard in answer to what was said as to ports: The Fort St. George is very tenable, as we take it to be. The Merchants desire a copy, and a little time to put it into method for the House. Mr. Shepheard heard as to a regulated company and the improvement of the trade and the quantities of bales of ships increased and do increase yearly. The Dutch forts are raised where they can compel the princes, which our Company do not say they can. Our interlopers have been admitted to trade by the princes in the country. The East India Company asked what yearly charge they are at in maintaining their forts, Sir Ben. Bathurst says it is a vast charge; but without the books he cannot tell. The East India Company asked what they have sent in their last ships. Sir B. Bathurst heard. They withdraw. Mored that the Merchants trading to the Indies may be ordered to put what they said in writing. Ordered as in L. J., XV. 642 (MS. Min.).—On 22 Jan. the Merchants and others trading to the East Indies were called in and told what the Order was; and, the Order (of 16th inst.) being read to them, the Merchants delivered a Paper (m1) as did also the East India Company (Paper m2). The papers being read, the East India Company heard by Alderman Tench: The pepper trade, without a regulated company, perforce will be lost. The Company were at a vast charge to maintain the pepper trade. The Merchants heard by Mr. Harrison for those trading to the Indies. A gentleman heard as to the port in the Mogul's country. Several merchants heard on either side. Mr. Gardner offers a paper (n), which was read. Some persons replied. They withdrew. (MS. Min.).—On 28 Jan., the House being put into Committee for the reading of the Papers already delivered, Bp. Salisbury in the chair, the three papers delivered on 22nd (Papers m1, m2 and n) were read, and the Committee went into consideration of the papers read and what was said at the Bar. Moved to resolve that this trade be carried on by a joint stock. After debate, question put That it is the opinion of this Committee that the East India trade ought to be carried on by a joint stock by Act of Parliament. Resolved in the affirmative by 46 votes to 24, tellers L. Cornwallis and L. Godolphin.—On I Feb., in C. W. H., Bp. Salisbury Chairman, it was moved to consider what the joint stock should consist of, for the good of the nation. Moved to hear Merchants as to what stock can be employed in that trade, and

what the sum is. The East India Company and Merchants were called in and told the opinion of the Committee of 28 Jan., and to lav before them what stock is necessary for this trade-what or how great a stock is necessary. The Merchants desired time to consider of it. The East India Company: 1,500,00[0]l. is established, or two millions. If there is opportunity there may be more. Asked whether that trade can employ more than two millions. Merchants answer, as that trade may be extended, they may employ more. East India Company: This reflects on us. Mr. Shepheard heard: I sent a ship last year to fetch pepper from the island of Maio. Mr. Sedqwick heard: We have 150,000l. of goods sometimes in our warehouse. Mr. Harrison desires a week's time. Merchants withdrew. Moved to give time until Tuesday next to hear the Merchants. Report and Order as in L. J., XV. 657. Then the Merchants were called in and told the Lords allow them time to consider of what answer to give to the question asked them until Tuesday next.—On 4 Feb. the parties were again called in before the C. W. H., and asked what sum of money is necessary for that trade. The Merchants say they are of opinion 3 millions is necessary, and give their thoughts in the matter. Asked by East India Company how this money shall be employed, Mr. Harrison heard to this matter. The East India Company heard to this. A power to be given by Act of Parliament to call in such a sum of money as they shall have occasion, in cases of losses, as this year. Several others heard. Sir James Houblon heard for East India Co. as to the prohibition of calicoes in France. Asked if they had anything more to offer, the East India Co. heard. One heard that has been in the South Seas, as to the pepper trade. The country is very well known. The Merchants heard also: If they have less than 3 millions, the trade will lessen; and then we may vie with all nations. Asked Mr. Shepheard, How much proposed you to vend in one year, suppose the stock were 3 millions? I am of opinion we might very well sell 300,000l. worth. Merchants &c. withdrew. Proposed That three millions be the joint stock. That the stock be at least 1,500,00[0], and not exceeding two millions. That a stock not exceeding three millions may be subscribed, that may be for the carrying on the East India trade. That a joint stock of three millions may be subscribed for the carrying on the East India trade. That the books shall lie open till there shall be a subscription of three millions, which whole sum, or as much thereof as the subscribers to the joint stock shall judge necessary for the carrying on of the East India trade, shall be employed. This last motion agreed to. Report and Order as in L. J., XV. 660. See also ib., 661.—On 11 Feb., in C. W. H., the East India Co's Charter, dated 28 Sept., 6 Will. & Mary, was read, and report made as in L. J., XV. 666. (MS. Min.)—On 12 Feb., before the Select Committee appointed the day before (L. J., XV., 666), the regulations of the 1st Charter are begun to be read. A time to be appointed how long the books shall lie open. The regulation for the books lying open till a sum is subscribed is read. No person by it is to subscribe more than 10,000%. To take an oath that the money subscribed is his own proper money. A third part of the sum subscribed to be paid at the time of subscription; the remainder at a time to be appointed on closing the books. Every 1,000l. to have a vote; and if he have more than 10,000%, he shall have but 10 votes. No sum less than 1,000/. to have a vote. A person not free of [the] Company to pay 51. admission, and the oaths to the Government and the oath to the Company to be taken. The Court of Committees to consist of

1:695. No. 955. 1695.

persons having at least 1,000%, stock, and who shall have taken the said oaths. The Governor and Deputy to have each 4,000%, stock, and the 24 of the Committee to have each at least 1,000/, stock, and the said Governors to be chosen out of them. On the death of the said Governor or Deputy or Committees, a new election [and] choice to be made, who are to take the said oaths. A power to make byelaws not repugnant to the laws of the land, the Governor being present, the same to be approved by a General Court. No permission ships to be granted, on forfeiture of the Charter. Nothing (except saltpetre to the King) to be sold by private contract, and no lot to be set up exceeding 500l. 100,000l. at least of English goods to be yearly exported to the East Indies. 500 tons of saltpetre for His Majesty's use when required, paying 381. 10s. per ton for the same in time of peace and 451, in time of war. And all dividends to be paid in money. Au accountant to keep a book to lie open for all persons concerned to look into. The Charter to be for 21 years; and a year before expiration thereof new subscriptions may be made. That, if the Company shall not conform to such regulations as the King shall make within one year, then this Act to be void. (See L. J., XV. 724-5.) The other Charter is read. Ordered that the Charter dated 7 Oct. 1693 be produced at the next meeting by the East India Company. Every person subscribing 1,000%, shall, as often as required by any one member, swear that the money subscribed by him is not in trust for any other person. No foreigner to be of the Company. The Governor, Deputy, and Committees to swear that he hath in the stock, at the time of his election, the sum required by the Charter dated 9 Nov. No Governor nor Deputy to serve above two years, nor to be chosen again within two years. There shall not be continued above 16 of the old Committees longer than a year. The Company may empower the Captains and Seamen employed by them to bring home such goods as the said Company shall license. That account be yearly given to the Council of the value of the goods exported the preceding year by the Company. None of the goods exported to be reimported into any of His Majesty's kingdoms. A General Court to be called when required by six members that have votes, and not to be broken up but by the consent of the majority. Private Committees to be appointed by the General Court. No money to be lent but by the General Court. No member of the Company to dispose of any part of the stock without consent of a General Court. The cash of the Company to be kept at such place as the Court shall direct. No goods to be delivered till the money be actually paid or security given. The cash to be kept by three of the Committees. No sum shall be disposed without account, unless where previous direction is first given. The duty of the Customs to be paid at once if the King require it, allowing 41. 10s. for a year, and for the additional duty 61. 5s. A power of revocation on three years' warning (cp. L. J., XV. 724-5). Ordered that Mr. John Pollexfen and Mr. Daniel Shelden attend the Committee on Saturday (Com. Book).—On the 15th Feb. the Select Committee were informed that Mr. Daniel Shelden was so very infirm that he could not attend. Mr. John Pollexfen is called in and asked whether any, and what, of the commodities that are brought from India are prejudicial to the manufactures of this kingdom. He says the East India trade is now different from what it was. They formerly brought home no manufactures, but all spices. Since '70 they [have] brought manufactures, as cabinets, china wares, &c.; and this in return of our moneys. The cloths sent to India hinder the like quantity that would be sent to Turkey. 500,000/. yearly in bullion goes to the Indies. They bring nearly 400,000/. of manufactured goods yearly, which hinders our manufactures which would otherwise be made here. The manufactured goods in India cost not 1 in 20 they would cost here. If this war had not stopped the bringing in East India goods, we should by this time have seen everybody dressed in them. Companies have bodies, but it is said they have no souls; if no souls, no consciences. We send the Indies a million yearly, and bring none back. This trade is carried on by the exportation of money. The Indians will take any nation's money that comes to them. They exclude none. He gave in a paper of manufactured goods imported from the Indies. The Dutch sent no money till of late. They are masters of the Spice Islands. Formerly their hangman wore calico, to discountenance the wearing of it. The Dutch procure India goods by the barter of spices, &c., going from port to port. Mr. Marshall delivers in the Charter [of 7 Oct. 1693] required the last day. The members of the East India Company that attend being called in and asked whether any, and what, of the commodities that are brought from India are prejudicial to the manufactures of this kingdom, say, nothing imported from thence prejudices the manufactures of England, but wrought silk has been complained of. The price of lacquered goods made here is thrice as dear as we can at any time afford them for from thence. We carry out pieces of eight and bullion, and 100,000/. yearly in woollen manufactures. There has been sent out 300,000/. a year in bullion, which, with 100,000% in manufactures, will maintain (?) the trade well. The Dutch carry out money, but they carry also spices. We carry iron, cordage. They withdrew. Then Mr. Pollexfon and Mr. Shelden were ordered to submit written answers to the above question, and what further information they can give in relation to the East India trade. (Com. Book).—On the 18 Feb. Mr. Pollexfen, being called in, delivers in writing his paper, as required by the last Order (Paper p). He says Mr. Shelden is so ill and full of pain that he is not able to do any business. Mr. Marshall, one of the East India Company, says a Committee is appointed to attend, and he hopes they will be here presently. Mr. Pollexfen's paper (p) is read. Mr. Pollexfen says this trade has the worst foundation of any trade. It exports nothing considerable but bullion, and imports little but manufactured goods. The interlopers manage the trade thither in the same manner as the Company. It is impossible to carry on the India trade otherwise than by silver. From '75 to '85 is entered in the Custom House books in gold &c. above 4,000,000l. In one year was exported 1,300,000l. in money to the Indies. The East India Merchants [? Company] are called in and told they may have a copy of Mr. Pollexfen's paper, and may answer. Asked what commodities imported they export again, and what the value of them may be, answer: There is nothing imported by us but is exported again. Two thirds in value is exported. This is to be made out by the Custom House books. Our books will not show it. We sell them here, and the buyers export them. What we export is all profit to the nation. They pay the freight, first cost, Customs, and profit. We always exported more than the prime cost. They withdraw. They are ordered to answer Mr. Pollexfen's paper on Friday.—On 21 Feb. the East India Company are called in. Mr. Marshall acquaints the Committee that the Company have, ever since the last meeting, been attending the House of Commons, and so have not yet had time to prepare an answer

1695. No. 955.

to Mr. Pollexfen's paper, but will do it as soon as conveniently [may be], and pray they may have till this day sevennight, or leave to acquaint the clerk if they are sooner ready, which the Committee directed accordingly.—On 2 March the Company's answer (Paper r) is read. A paper of Mr. Shelden's (Paper g), delivered in by Bp. London, is read, as also another paper of regulations (Com. Book of date).—On 4 March the regulations taken 12 Feb. (see above) are read and agreed to with amendments. They are set out in extenso in L. J., XV. 724-5. It was further agreed that a reasonable valuation be made of the present Company's stock; that the time for the continuance of the Act be reserved for the consideration of the House; and that they shall be obliged to trade in their own ships of not less than

burthen (this last clause marked to be further considered) (cf. ib., 725) (Com. Book) .- On 6 March, the merchants attending on behalf of the East India Company being called in and asked concerning what ships they trade in, answer, Our ships are hired ships. The proprietors of them have shares in the Company. We contract for them for sixteen years, which is as long as the ship will last. They are built purposely for us. There are four or five building now on contract with us. We have an officer attends the building the ships to see that every plank and rib is as good as it ought to be. They withdraw. Agreed that the East India Company be obliged to employ constantly in their trade fifteen ships at least of 500 tons and upwards (see L. J., XV. 725). Being called in again and asked how they value their present stock, they say their stock is 150,000l.; but they are not empowered from the Company to give a particular answer. They say they will be ready to give an estimate in particular of the value of their stock, with their vouchers, on the 9th, which was ordered accordingly (Com. Book).-On 11 March the East India Company are called in. They, viz., Mr. Doddington, Mr. Ward, Mr. Marshall, Mr. Sedgwick, &c.. deliver in an estimate of their stock and an account of their debts, which are read (Paper s). They speak to several particulars in it. Being asked what they mean by the last line relating to stock, Mr. Doddington says, These are shares which we can sell, and bring the money into the stock (Com. Book of date) .- On 14 March they are told that the above paper (s) ought not to be kept as a secret, and that therefore the Committee will give it to some persons to make observations thereon against Tuesday morning, and that they be then ready to answer objections. Mr. Daniel Shelden and Mr. John Pollexfen were then ordered to have copies of the paper, and to make observations thereon in writing. The parties withdraw. Question, Whether there is a power given to the Committee by the House to take into consideration objections in particular against the East India trade, in order to make regulations for the prohibition of any manufactured goods imported by the East India Company? Resolved in the Negative. Contents 1; Not Contents 6. Ordered, that the House be acquainted with the slender appearance of Lords at this Committee; and that, if the House thinks it a matter of importance, they will give order it be better attended, and particularly on Tuesday morning next. (Com. Book.) The MS. Min. of 16 March contain the following entries, afterwards cancelled: - The House moved that some instructions be given to the Committee of East India Company. The power of the Committee was read: 11 Feb. 1695. Moved, to consider whether these directions are large enough. House moved from the Committee that the Lords do not attend the Committee as they ought.

(No entry in L. J.)—On 17 March Mr. Pollexfen and Mr. Shelden deliver in their separate observations on the East India Company's paper (s), which are read (Papers t and u). Then the East India Company also is called in, and the papers are read again. Mr. Shelden has his paper returned to him to amend, and he delivered it in the following day, when it was read. On the 18th Mr. Pollexfen desired that in the last article of his paper "Thomas" might be inserted instead of "Mary," which was done. The evidence given by the parties and Capt. Gifford on the 17th and 18th March is set out in (Com. Book.)—On 20 March it was ordered Paper (r) below. to report the several regulations for an East India Company that were agreed on by the Committee on the 4th, and also the estimate given in by the Company of their stock, and the observations made thereon by Mr. Shelden and Mr. Pollexfen (Papers s, t, u); to leave the time for the continuance of the Act to the House; as also for the House to set the value of the stock of the present East India Company (Com. Book).—The Committee reported on 1 April, when Papers o, p, q, r, s, t, u, and v were reported. (MS. Min.) The consideration of the Report was adjourned to 7 April, but the matter dropped.

The papers are as follows:

(a) 5 Dec. An account from the Royal African Company of England, relating to the Scotch Act. The trade of Africa cannot be carried on but by maintaining of forts and castles in that country of sufficient strength to defend and protect the natives trading with the English from the violence of their neighbours, and also to defend the English from any abuses or violences the natives or other nations shall offer to them, which charge cannot be defrayed but by a joint stock, exclusive to others; which the Scotch by this Act having established, they will be enabled to defray the same, and consequently engross the said trade from the English, unless the English have the like powers. By reason of the freedom from Customs and other great advantages given to the Scotch by the said Act, they are able to trade much cheaper than the English, and therefore trading persons will naturally remove themselves and their effects thither, which will tend much to the impoverishing of the English nation, trade ever increasing in all countries according to the encouragement it receives from the Government in such countries. By reason the Scotch have by the Act power to make reprisals on the English for any damage they shall judge the English shall do to them, and the English not the same power to right themselves, the Scotch have a manifest advantage above the English herein. This Scotch Act with respect to the trade of America is so nationally pernicious to us that, when once they have colonised themselves in Plantations in America, our commerce in sugar, cotton, wool, skins, masts, &c. will be utterly lost; for their privileges are such that their nation must be the magazine for all those commodities, and the English Plantations and the traffic thereof lost to us, and the exportation of our own manufacture yearly decreased; and thereby this nation will lose the benefit of supplying foreign parts with those commodities, the want of which, in order to balance our trade, by reason of our losses at sea, is too sensibly felt; and the Act of Navigation, which was designed for the benefit of this nation, will be useless to us. Signed (By order of the Royal African Company) Saml. Heron, Secretary. [Delivered this day, and read 9 Dec. and again 20 Dec. MS. Min.].

1695. No. 955

(b) 5 Dec. A paper delivered by Mr. Doddington from the East India Company. The Governor and Company of Merchants of London trading unto the East Indies submit the following particulars, relating to the English trade to the East Indies, being what the shortness of the time will admit of. By reason of the great advantages granted to the Scotch East India Company, and the duties and difficulties that lie upon the said trade in England, a great part of the stock and shipping of the nation will be carried thither. By the power the Scotch have in their Act to make reprisals for damage done them by land or sea, they may find pretences enough to assume that power to annoy the English; which the English have not to retaliate upon them. The trade of Irdia not being to be carried on to the advantage of any nation otherwise than by a joint stock exclusive to all others, as appears, not only by all the companies established in Europe, but also by the opinion of the Parliament in Scotland in this very Act, that Company will thereby have much the advantage of the English in the carrying on of that trade and defraying those charges that do necessarily accompany the maintaining of it, since they have thereby a liberty of excluding all interlopers, upon penalty of forfeiting one-third of their ships and cargoes, which the said Company have power to seize by force of arms; whereas the English cannot prevent interlopers at present, as appears by the many now going out. Above all, the greatest inconveniency that will arise to this nation by the Scotch Act is that all the ships, vessels, merchandize, goods, and other effects whatsoever, belonging to the said Company, shall be free from all manner of restraints or prohibitions, and of all Customs, taxes, cesses, supplies, or other duties imposed, or to be imposed, by Act of Parliament, whereas there are very high duties charged upon the English; by which means Scotland is made a free port for all East India commodities, and consequently those several places in Europe which wereformerly supplied from England will be by them supplied much cheaper than can be done by the English, and thereby this nation will lose the benefit of furnishing foreign parts with these commodities, which has hitherto been a great article in the balance of our foreign trade, and the English navigation (of so great import to this kingdom) will be likewise much diminished. Scotland being made a free port, as aforesaid, the said commodities will be unavoidably brought by the Scotch into England by stealth, both by sea and land, not only to the prejudice of the Euglish trade and navigation, but also to the great loss of the King in his Customs. The members, officers, servants, or others belonging to the said Company are, by the said Act, made free denizens there, and also free in their persons, estates, and goods employed in the said stock and trade, from all manner of taxes, cesses, supplies, excises, quartering of soldiers, or levying of soldiers, or other impositions whatsoever, and the seamen in their service secured from being impressed, which is a great encouragement for English merchants, seamen, and others to transplant themselves thither; from which differences of privileges between the two nations it may reasonably be concluded that divers, both merchants and seamen, will accordingly remove themselves, their families, and estates into the kingdom of Scotland, and thereby carry away a considerable part of the stock and hands that support the trade of this nation. The advantages granted

by the Scotch to their Company seem so evident, that not only a great many of our countrymen have subscribed large sums for the carrying on that stock, and thereby, we humbly conceive, have, as much as in them lies, acted against the interest of their own country, but also some of our present adventurers have done the like; and how far the same is a violation of their oath taken to this Company we humbly submit to your Lordships, for which we can give no other reason than the prospect of the great advantage they shall have in joining with the Scotch Company. We humbly apprehend that, if the Scotch Company be carried on with the stock and assistance of the English, little or none of our English provisions or manufactures will be made use of by them in carrying on their trade, but they will immediately endeavour to set up all our manufactures in Scotland, and thereby not only supply India, but other markets, with them, and inevitably lessen our exportation of the English manufactures. By comparing the grants of all European crowned heads and States for a joint East India Stock with this of Scotland, we cannot find but that they have granted them all the privileges, powers, and protections that any one or all of them have, which must needs give them the advantage of this kingdom, both in India and Europe, and at last utterly impair the trade thereof. Signed (By order of the Governor and Company) Ro: Blackborne, Seey. Dated East India House, 5 Dec. 1695 [Delivered this day and read on the 9th and again on the 20th. MS. Min.].

(c) 12 Dec. Papers delivered at the Bar this day in obedience to Orders of 9 Dec. (L.J., XV. 608), as follows:—

 $(c)^{1}$ 12 Dec. A list of all the persons living in England who are proprietors in the joint stock of the Company to Scotland trading to Africa and the Indies, together with the preamble to the book of subscriptions, endorsed "Procemium," being the draft Articles of Association, as follows :- At London, the 6th day of November Pursuant to an Act of Parliament of the kingdom of Scotland, entitled Act for a Company trading to Africa and the Indies, we underscribed do, each of us for himself and not one for another, become obliged for the payment of the respective sums by us severally subscribed, subject to the following rules and conditions, viz.:—That the joint stock, or capital fund, of the said Company do consist of £.600,000 sterling (six hundred thousand pounds sterling); whereof one quarter part shall be paid at the time of subscription to two or more of the persons named in the Act of Parliament, and the remainder thereof in such parts and proportions, time and manner, as the said company shall from time to time direct and appoint. That, if any of the subscribers or proprietors of the said stock or capital fund shall not pay or cause to be paid the remaining part of his, her, or their subscriptions in such time, manner, parts, and proportions as shall be from time to time appointed by the said Company, or in case they or any of them shall become indebted to the said Company any other ways howsoever, the part or share of stock in the said fund belonging to such person or persons shall from thence forward be and remain to the use of the said Company, to be by them sold and disposed of for paying and satisfying such

debt so become due unto them. * That in regard Mr. Willian Paterson, and others concerned with him, have been at grea pains and expense in making several considerable discoverie of trade and improvements in and to both Indies, and likewise in procuring needful powers and privileges for a Company of Commerce from several foreign princes and States, and for which he and they have contrived, suited, and designed the said Company in consideration whereof it is hereby agreed that the said William Paterson, his executors, administrators, or assigns, shall out of th first payment have and receive two per cent. of the money to b subscribed in the said capital fund, as also three per cent. of th issues, profits, and products of the said fund, for the space of on and twenty years, which shall be redeemable for two per cent more of the said capital fund any time in five years. That th government, management, power, and disposition of the said join stock or capital fund, and other matters, things, and effect whatsoever, of or belonging to the said Company, shall in all time hereafter be and remain in a Court of directors, consisting of th persons named in the said Act of Parliament, together with such others as shall be proprietors of the respective sums of on thousand pounds or more in the said joint stock or fund, an who shall likewise be deputed in writing by such other proprietor therein as, including such thousand pounds sterling or more, shall complete the sum of twenty thousand pounds sterling thereof provided that none be admitted to depute more than one person fo one and the same sum or proportion of his stock. And, in cas the full number of fifteen persons be not so deputed by the stoc. in one month after one moiety thereof shall be subscribed, or if th full number of thirty persons be not so deputed in one month after the whole shall be subscribed, in either of the said cases th Court of directors for the time may, by majority of votes signifie by scroll and scrutiny, complete the said numbers or either of them. And it is hereby declared and understood that the person named in the Act of Parliament, or the survivors of them, are were, and ought to be a complete Court, until others be adde unto them in manner aforesaid; and that the manner of con pleting the numbers and continuing the succession of such fift directors, the appointing the times and places of meeting, the quorum of persons, the constituting and empowering of com mittees and sub-committees of their own number, fixing of servants, settling of fees and salaries, and all other matters an things relating to the said Company, shall be ordered, fixed, an settled in the constitutions to be made by the said Court of directors, and that every director or member of the said Cour and all others concerned in the said Company, be concluded by and subject unto such elections, successions, scrutinies, censure deprivations, disabilities, ordinances, and rules, as shall be made and contained in such constitutions. That the said joint stock an capital fund shall be, remain, and continue subject unto all suc further and other rules, conditions, and qualifications, and to l used, governed, ordered, and disposed of as the said Company sha from time to time direct and appoint, pursuant to the said Act Parliament of Scotland. (Two papers.) [Ordered on 9th Dec and delivered and read this day. MS. Min.]

^{*} The Clause following down to the words "five years" is added at the end of the Paper.

(c)2 12 Dec.—Paper delivered from the Commissioners of Castonis this day. The Commissioners observe, in general, That the Act for encouraging of Shipping and Navigation in Scotland in the year 1661 being by this late Act rescinded and dispensed with for 10 years, and a freedom thereby granted to this Scotch Company from all manner of Customs and other duties imposed, or to be imposed, by Act of Parliament or otherwise during the space of twenty-one years, must in consequence have a very fatal influence upon the trade, navigation and revenue of Customs in England. And, if it may not be supposed that an Act, which in its consequences may endanger the good correspondence which is necessary to be preserved between the two kingdoms, may be repealed, they propose, as the best expelient to remedy the inconveniences by the said Act, that there might be such an establishment of those trades liable to be injured thereby as may give encouragement to the people and inhabitants of England to employ their stocks and estates for the carrying on those trades to the best advantage; and, on the other side, that the subjects and inhabitants of this kingdom be discouraged, under severe penalties, from engaging in the stock or management of the said Company. As to the West India trade, with respect to his Majesty's English Plantations, the Acts of Trade and Navigation do, in great measure, provide for the security thereof; for that, as the laws of England now stand, none of the enumerated commodities, as tobacco, sugar, cotton-wool, indigo, ginger, fustick, or other dueing wood, can be shipped at any of the said plantations until sufficient scenrity be first given, in the penalty of 1,0001, for every ship or vessel under 100 tons burthen, and of 2,000l. for every ship or vessel of a greater burthen, with condition to bring the same to England, Wales, or Berwick, and there to unload and putthe same on shore, the danger of the seas only excepted, in such shipping as is provided for by the said Acts. Nor can any European goods be exported to any of the said Plantations but what shall be laden in England, Wales or Berwick, and in ships duly qualified and navigated as aforesaid, under the penalty of forfeiture of ship and goods, except salt for the fisheries of New England and Newfoundland, wines of the growth of the Madeiras and Western Islands, or Azores, and servants or horses from Scotland or Ireland, and provisions of the growth or production thereof, But it may be further necessary that the Governors respectively. in the respective plantations, and the several officers employed under them, be awakened on this occasion to put the aforesaid laws in a vigorous execution; and, moreover, that a certain number of vessels of competent force be appointed to cruise on the coasts of America and elsewhere, with suitable instructions to the commanders for seizing, and bringing in as prize, all such ships and vessels as shall be found trading in contempt of the aforesaid laws, or any of them. Signed Robt. Clayton, J. Ward, Rebert Southwell, Walter Yonge, Ja: Chadwicke, Sam: Clarke. Dated Custom House, London, 12 Dec. 1695. [Delivered and read this day, and on 20 Dec. MS. Min.

(c)³ 12 Dec.—East India Company's paper. It having been universally practised, by all European nations using the trade to the East Indies, to carry it on by a joint stock, and it having been found by experience that, the greater privileges and immunities are granted to the subjects of any nation for carrying

1695. No. 985. on any particular trade, such trade will inevitably fall into the hands of that nation, the Company conceive the most effectual if not only, remedy to prevent the inconveniencies to Englar which may arise by that Act as to the East India trade, is establish by Act of Parliament the Company and joint store for the trade to the East Indies, with such privileges at immunities as shall be found consistent with the interest are present circumstances of this kingdom. Dated East India Hous this day, and signed (By order of the Governor and Company Ro: Blackborne, Secretary. [Delivered and read this day, and on 20 Dec. MS. Min.]

- (c)⁴ 12 Dec.—The Hamburgh Company's paper. They humb conceive that an effectual prohibition of all Englishmen, and a others residing within this kingdom of England, from bein concerned in or with the Scotch East India Company might a remedy. [Delivered and read this day and on 20 Dec. Mim.]
- (c) 12 Dec.—Mr. Gardner's paper. He suggests, as to the Ea India trade, (1) That the trade from England may be made more easy and diffusive, and, in order thereto, that it may permitted to trade to the East Indies from all ports, and by : persons, in England. (2) That the whole duty paid on Ea India goods may be repaid on exportation. (3) That no Engli nor Scotch, nor any other person residing in England or Irelar may be permitted to be concerned in the said Scotch Compar on severe penalties. (4) That all ships belonging to Scotlan and trading by virtue of the said Act, which shall put into a port in England or Ireland, bound out or home, may be oblig to pay 25 per cent, to the Crown, or to the East India Compa of England, before they are permitted to sail again. As to t West India trade, That the danger is not yet so near, and m very easily be prevented as soon as it shall be seen where th intend to settle, and in the meantime it will be some restra (i) to enact, as above, that no person residing in England sh be permitted to be concerned, directly or indirectly, in the Scot Company, (ii) that they shall receive no relief nor assistant abroad from any English Colonies or subjects. Signed Jo Gardner. [Delivered and read this day, and on 20 Dec. M. Min.]
 - (e) 12 Dec.—Paper from the Royal African Company. The offer that the trade to Africa, which is in a particular many of very great advantage to England, the goods carried thit being only the manufacture of this kingdom, and the returned for the most part in gold, elephants' teeth, beeswax a dyeing wood, cannot be carried on but by maintaining of for and castles in that country of sufficient strength to defend a protect the natives trading with the English from the viole of their neighbours, and also to defend them from any abuser violences the natives, or other nations, shall offer to them. When the charge they conceive cannot be defrayed but by a joint step (exclusive to all others), which the Scotch by this Act have established, they will be enabled to defray the same, and conquently engross the said trade from the English, unless English have the same privilege. For whatever nation have

forts and castles on any river or place in that country doth always prohibit and hinder any other people or nation to trade within the territories belonging thereto. And therefore the No. 955. Dutch and all other nations have established companies and joint stocks for the African trade, exclusive to others, with powers

and privileges sufficient to enable them to maintain forts and eastles there. And if the Scotch had not created a Company exclusive to others, they conceive their other privileges granted in the said Act would not have given their Lordships such apprehension of mischief to this kingdom as their Lordships are now apprehensive of. They therefore conceive that the proper and only remedy to prevent those great mischiefs that must otherwise attend the trade of England, if they go on to enjoy these privileges as to the African trade, is to establish a Company

with such powers as by their Lordships shall be thought proper, exclusive to all others, whereby to enable them to maintain the said forts and eastles, without which the said Company do offer to their Lordships that they do find, by manifest experience, it

is absolutely impossible to preserve the said trade to England. Signed (By order of the Royal African Company of England) Sam: Heron, Secty. [Delivered and read this day, and on

20 Dec. MS. Min.]

d) 13 Dec.—Paper delivered this day by the Jamaica Merchants. (MS. Min.) For the trade of Africa and the West Indies, it will be a considerable time ere the Scotch Act can affect them, and the remedies to prevent any mischiefs that may accrue to those trades by the said Scotch Act may be most properly applied, and with the best judgment, when we see what it is that that Company does offer at. The remedies that occur at present are these. For Africa:-That the trade to Africa may be open and free, or in a regulated company like that of Turkey, wherein every trader contributes to the public charge according to the proportion of his trade, whereby negroes will be sold in the West Indies on easier terms, and so all the commodities from thence may be produced cheaper, and by this means all the ports and places in Africa will be so plentifully supplied with all manner of goods that the Scotch Company will find little encouragement to engage in that trade, especially it being considered that the English, having so many plantations, when they miss of gold and teeth (having proper cargoes aboard), can always meet with negroes, which they can always dispose of in the English plantations, especially at Jamaica, an island very convenient for the Spanish trade, from whence the Spaniards supply themselves with negroes, paying for them with gold and silver. These are such advantages above the Scotch that that Company can make no great profit by the trade of Africa. And for the West Indies:-The productions of all the plantations are so very cheap in times of peace, that, though all the difficulties of the first settlements are now over, our sugar works do not frequently produce above 5 per cent. upon the capital laid out upon them, and the same may be said of indigo, cotton, and ginger; that many planters have sold their sugar works for one-half of what they cost. When we began our plantations, the Portuguese in Brazil were the chief makers and vendors of those commodities; but that government laying such high customs, not only upon what they imported, but exported, made sugars,

1695.

&c. so dear that the great profit the English planters then made gave the first rise to our plantations, and in a great measure los the trade to Portugal. The best way to prevent any mischief from the Scotch Act is to make the trade as easy as may be and particularly, if hereafter the Scotch make any settlement is the West Indies, that then, whereas upon exportation we now draw back all the several duties, except one-half of the obsubsidy, which is no great matter, that that half may be draw back also. Signed Gilbert Heathcote, Bartho: Gracediet Agents for the Island of Jamaica. [Delivered this day, an ended to 20 Dec. MS Min.]

read on 20 Dec. MS. Min.] (e) 13 Dec.—The Leeward Islands paper, delivered this day (MS. Min.) Remedies offered by the Leeward Islands Merchants (i.) That all persons, English, or other inhabitants of this kingdon be, upon severe penalties, forbid directly or indirectly to have an stock or interest in the Scotch Company. (2.) That all the dutie of East India goods, paid or secured to be paid at the importation thereof, be repaid on exportation. The several duties are now a drawn back except half the subsidy, which is about two and half per cent., and amounts not to any great sum in the year; bu if this be returned also, it will set us upon the level with the Scote in the foreign markets. (3.) That the trade to India be made a diffusive as possible, either by declaring it a free trade, or b erecting a regulated company (as is the Turkey), maintaining b the contribution of their own traders an ambassador at the cou of the Great Mogul, and consuls in the principal ports, by which the English and their effects will be preserved and protected, they have all along been in the Ottoman Empire, with an incom siderable charge to so vast a trade. For there can be no great encouragement given to the Scotch Act than to keep the trade India straitened. Nor would it consist with the justice of the Lordships to prohibit the freeborn subjects of England to engage themselves in the Scotch trade to India, and at the same time n to suffer them to trade thither from their own native countr And, whereas it is commonly objected that many buyers w enhance the prices of the commodities in India, the manufactur of India consist chiefly of cotton or of silk, or both; cotton sowed and gathered in less than nine months. There is lar enough to produce a thousand times more cotton than is now one year, and they want no hands to make the cotton into calicoo if they can have vent for them. The same is to be said of t. silk; for one silkworm produces hundreds, and hundreds w produce thousands, if the inhabitants can find their account them, as hath clearly been evidenced by Cassambaser, a town Bengal, which some years since made but four thousand bales silk a year, upon merchants buying up what they brought market, have now multiplied their silk to eighteen thousand ba a year. And what was told to one of our English merchan lately in India-who, at his eating a chicken, said to the Bany that he was beholden to their religion that he had a chicken cheap (which cost but a halfpenny), because they eat none; t Banyan answered, You are mistaken, Sir, for, did we eat chicke every body would breed them and they become much cheaper is most true as to the commodities and products of that count By enlarging the trade to India greater quantities of our Engl goods will be exported, and more of theirs brought home, wh will make them as cheap as formerly they have been, and leave little or no inducement to the Scotch to seek after that trade. Signed Sam. Shepheard, Ben: Rokeby, Streynsham Master, Juo: Shipman, Edwd. Littleton, Josh Martin, John Lloyd, Richd Harrison, Peter Godfrey, Rob. Raworth, Gilbert Heathcote.

[Delivered this day, and read on 20 Dec. MS. Min.]
(f) 18 Dec.—Levant Company's paper, delivered this day (MS. Min.) They propose as remedies that a law prohibiting, as well all his Majesty's natural born subjects of England, as Jews or other foreigners residing in this kingdom, from being any ways concerned in their persons or estates, directly or indirectly, in the Scotch Company, or their trade to or from Asia, Africa, or America, under such penalties as shall be most conducible for the effectual preventing thereof. That the said law may further prohibit all the mariners and navigation of this kingdom, together with the shipwrights and other artificers relating thereunto, from all employments, or being aiding or assisting to the said Scotch Company in their trade into any parts of Asia, Africa, and America, aforesaid. That effectual care may be taken for the better preventing the exportation of wool and all other materials for the making of manufactures into the kingdom of Scotland, as well as into all foreign parts and places whatsoever. And that all due encouragement and protection be given to the trade of the nation into those parts of the world which the Scotch Act hath particular Signed Gab: Roberts, Dep: Govr. relation unto. London, 17 Dec. 1695. [Delivered this day, and read on 20 Dec.

(q) 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Papers delivered this day by the Commissioners of Customs in answer to Order of 20 Dec. (L. J., XV. 619), as

follows :-

(g) 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from the Commissioners of Customs, as follows: - In obedience to your Lordships' commands signified to us the Commissioners of His Majestv's Customs by an order of the 30th ulto, requiring us to attend your Lordships this day with an account in writing, whether, as the law now stands, there is a sufficient power in Carolina, Maryland, Pennsylvania, and other plantations where there are proprietors, to collect the King's duty there, and whether there be the same security to prevent the inconveniences that may arise to the proprietors and planters there from the Act of Parliament in Scotland for erecting an East India Company in that kingdom, as there is in other plantations. We do humbly acquaint your Lordships that Carolina, Maryland, Pennsylvania, with the three counties of Newcastle, Kent, and Sussex, and other plantations held in propriety by grants from the Crown, are subject to the Acts of Trade and other plantation laws, in like manner as are all the other of the English plantations. Yet we are doubtful whether the said plantation laws are so well executed, and the breach thereof so vigorously prosecuted, in Carolina, Pennsylvania, and the Jerseys and Rhode Island, as in the other plantations which afford better maintenance and encouragement to those who are employed under us in collecting his Majesty's duties and executing the aforesaid laws. But upon this occasion we have prepared a letter to be sent to the governors of the respective plantations in America by the first opportunity of conveyance, as was mentioned in our late report to your Lordships, which we hope will have that good effect as in great measure to

1695. No. 955.

prevent the mischiefs and inconveniences threatened to this king dom from the Scotch Act with respect to the plantation trad copy of which letter we herewith humbly present to your Lordships and we are now taking care more particularly for the guard as security of those plantations, whether in proprietorship or otherwise where the Scotch and other illegal traders may most likely expet to find the best opportunity and encouragement for carrying of their designs so directly tending to the diminution of his Majesty Customs and the great detriment of the trade and navigation of this kingdom. Signed Robt Clayton, J. Ward, Robert Southwell C. Godolphin, Walter Yonge, Ja: Chadwicke, and Sam: Clark Dated Custom House, London, this day. [Delivered this day together with next paper. L. J., XV. 628. See also Com. Bool

8 Jan. (q)² 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Draft of circular prepared by the Commissioner of Customs, referred to in preceding and appended thereto, a follows :- Sir, There having been an Act lately passed in Scotlan for erecting a Company to trade from thence to India, Africa an America, wherein many persons have interested themselves b large subscriptions, in order to a vigorous carrying on the trad from those parts, which, in time, may tend to destroy the trade an navigation of England, and carry it to Scotland, we did lately, i obedience to an Order of the Right Honourable the Lords Spiritus and Temporal assembled, lay before their Lordships in writin what we conceived the proper means to remedy the inconveniencie arising by the said Act. And their Lordships, with the Common in Parliament assembled, having in an Address represented to h Majesty the great advantages thereby granted to this Company and the obstructions this Act will unavoidably bring to the general trade of this nation, and particularly that, when the Scotch sha have settled themselves in plantations in America, our commerce in tobacco, sugar, &c., will be utterly lost, for the reasons there mentioned, we do herewith send you copies of the said Act, wit copies of our said report and of this Address, with his Majesty most gracious answer to the said Address, as the best means awaken and excite you to a vigorous execution of the several law making this kingdom the staple both of the commodities of other countries and places for the supply of the said plantations. Ar as we hope that the said laws, and the orders and instructions which from time to time we have given in pursuance thereof to the several officers employed under us within your Government, w be sufficient to prevent the inconveniences and mischiefs which may arise from this Act, so we desire you will please, mo especially at this time, to see that all and every of the said office do strictly pursue their duties, according to the laws of Englan relating to the plantations which they have in charge fro us, and our aforesaid orders and instructions, and give you account of every ship trading within their respective districts, the form and method prescribed by us. And it will most especial concern you to be very careful that the persons employed und you as Naval officers, for the taking of bonds and the giving o and taking in of certificates, be persons of known integrity, as that they be under oath and security for the faithful discharge their trusts. On the other hand, that no officer within yo government may pretend ignorance, or be imposed upon,

receiving or accepting forged certificates mentioning security to be taken by the Officers of the Customs in some Lort of England, Wales or Berwick, for the return of ships with their ladings to this kingdom, or other certificates for ships discharging their ladings from the plantations in some port of England, Wales, or Berwick, when, in reality, no such bonds have been here given, nor ladings here discharged, but the ships therein mentioned have gone directly to and from Scotland (of which we have many instances now before us). We shall, for preventing these frauds and abuses hereafter, every three months, or as often as conveyance shall offer, send you an authentic and attested list of every ship or vessel, with the master's name, that hath entered in any port of England, Wales, or Berwick for any of his Majesty's plantations, and given security to return from thence with her lading, and a like list of every ship that hath discharged her lading from

and had a certificate thereof from any custom-house of England, Wales, or Berwick, in order to the vacating the security given with you. And, if any ship, pretending to come from England with a certificate of giving bond here, or a certificate of discharging her lading in some port of this kingdom for vacating the bond given to you, shall not be found in one of those lists respectively, according to the order and date of such certificate, you may conclude such certificate to be forged, and, as the case shall happen, it will be incumbent on you either to prosecute the bond as forfeited, or to seize such ship as shall presume to load or take on board any of the enumerated commodities by colour of such counterfeit certificate; unless it may so fall out that a ship shall arrive with a certificate from England before such list comes to your hands, whereby to discover whether it be a true or counterfeit certificate, in which case it will be advisable for you to take good security in the country for the carrying such of the enumerated plantation goods as she shall there load to some port of England, Wales, or Berwick. We likewise remind you, on this occasion, of the clause in the Act of Navigation made in the 12th year of the reign of King Charles the Second, which provides that no alien or person not born within the King's allegiance, or naturalized, or made a free denizen, shall exercise the trade or occupation of a merchant or factor in any of the plantations, on pain of forfeiture of all his goods and chattels, and we desire you to cause a due observation thereof within your government. And let the Commanders of his Majesty's ships of war, or others having commission from his Majesty, coming to

, be furnished with copies of the instructions which we have heretofore purposely prepared and sent you, in pursuance of the said Act of Navigation, by which Act they are authorized to seize and bring in as a prize all such ships and vessels as shall be found offending contrary thereunto, for whose encouragement one moiety of the forfeitures, after condemnation, is thereby allotted them and their companies, to be divided and proportioned amongst them according to the rules and orders of the sea in case of ships taken prize. And, as by the Acts of Trade and Navigation the governors of the plantations are particularly enjoined, by solemn oath and under the penalties of being removed from their respective government, to do their utmost that all the matters and things therein contained shall be punctually and bona fide observed, according to the true intent and meaning thereof, to we expect you will particularly take care that no ships or vessels

be permitted to import or export any goods or commodities to or from your Government but such as shall be duly qualified and navigate as thereby is directed, having a more particular eye and regard to those places which are most conveniently situated for the Scote and other illegal traders to load and discharge at, as And, withal, let none be accepted or taken as security with master

And, withal, let none be accepted or taken as security with master of ships but such as shall be persons of sufficiency and value, and inhabitants on the place. It remains only further that we remine you of the Act of Trade, made in the 15th year of the reign o King Charles the Second, which provides that no European good (except what are therein accepted) shall be imported into any o his Majesty's plantations, but such as shall be laden and shipped in England, Wales, or Berwick, and in ships and vessels qualified and navigated as therein is directed, under the penalty of forfeitur of ship and goods. And every person or persons importing an goods or commodities whatsoever into any of the plantations is, by the said Act, to deliver to each respective governor, or the person authorized by him, within twenty-four hours after such importation their names and surnames, and a true inventory and particulars o all such goods, together with the place or places in which the said goods were laden or taken in, under the like penalties of forfeiture [Appended to preceding. See Com. Book, 8 and 16 Jan.]

(h.) 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Papers delivered this day by the Commissioners of Customs in answer to the second Order of 30 Dec

(L.J., XV. 624), as follows:-

(h)1. 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from the Commissioners of Customs In obedience to their Lordships' commands by the Order of the 30th of December, requiring them to lay before their Lordships is writing an account of the exportations and importations of the Trade for three years last past, they state that, supposing their Lordships intend a particular and distinct Account of the severa species of goods exported and imported, they called before then (1) as regards the imports into London, the Collectors, Receivers and Examiners of the Inward Receipt of this Port, (2) as regard the exports from London, his Majesty's Five Searchers, and (3 as regards the outports, Mr. Lytcott, the Comptroller Genera of the Accounts of the Customs, and asked them how long the would take to prepare the account required. Their answers ar appended hereto, from which it will appear that it will be a wor of time and labour. But they can very readily prepare an account of the produce of the Customs outwards and inwards uporthose exports and imports, whether gross or nett or both except for the last year, the accounts of which from the outport are not yet completed. Signed Robt. Clayton, J. Ward, Rober Southwell, C. Godolphin, Walter Yonge, Ja. Chadwick, an Sam. Clarke, and dated Custom House, London, this day. L.J. XV. 628. See also Com. Book, 8 Jan.

(h)². 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from the Collectors, Receivers, an Examiners of the port of London to the Commissioners of Customs. The work required, as regards the imports, cannot be performed with less than twelve able hands and in less that eight or nine months' time at least in the port of London; an near as many hands would be required for the outports. The regular staff could not do it. Signed Wm. Waterson, Chr. Towe Hen. Wolstenholme, Tho. Granger, E. Storey, and John Shene.

[Appended to preceding.]

(h). 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from His Majesty's Five Searchers to the Commissioners of Customs. The exports from London for the three years are entered in nine large folio books, about 700 folios each. The work could be done by nine men in six months. Signed Cha. Beauvoir, P. Bertie, Tho. Bates, J. Walker, Robt. Burton. Dated London, Searchers' Office, 2 Jan. [Appended to (h)].

(h)⁴. 3 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from the Comptroller General of the Accounts of the Customs to the Commissioners of Customs. There are 50 outports, from each of which there is sent up every quarter a book of the receipt of the Customs and New Impositions, which for three years are 12 Quarter books for each porthering in all 600 Quarter books, which are by the Comptroller General of the Accounts of the Customs transmitted to the Auditor of the Imprests successively with each year's account of the Customs &c. The account of each particular commodity can be best obtained from Customs officers of each respective port. The books of some of the ports are very inconsiderable, but those of some others would require three or four days each to extract all the entries required. Signed J. Pope, Comptroller General, and dated Custom House, London, this day.

[Appended to (h)¹.]

(i.) 16 Jan. 1695-6.—Papers delivered this day by the Commissioners of Customs to the Select Committee on the former papers (q, and h.) and read. (Com. Book.) They are as follows:—

(i)1. 16 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from the Commissioners to the Lords' Committee. In obedience to the Order of the 8th inst., requiring them to bring the total of every manufacture exported or imported for every year by itself for the last three years, showing from or to what country or place the said manufacture was so exported or imported (Com. Book of date), they had called for further reports from the officers who had already reported (see above), which reports are hereunto annexed, together with schemes for the manner of their intended performance. The work would probably not be completed this Session, and would in any case only give an approximate result, perhaps not within 40 per cent. of the real balance of trade. For by the Book of Rates there is but one duty on linen, which differs in value from 5 groats to 10s. per ell, and in thread there is a much greater disparity; and wine of one country, from the meanest to the most valuable, pays the same duty. And, as the officers have had no other command or care upon them than that of the King's duty, so the merchant is generally desirous to keep the distinction of his goods secret, and that his neighbours might not know his particular stores. The officers have farther taken notice that the past year has afforded a greater exportation of cloth, baize, lead, tin, and other our native commodities, than ever was known in England. But, this resulting from the extravagant rate of guineas by which they were bought, the effect will be that we shall fall very short hereafter in exporting these commodities, till the foreign markets that are glutted have discharged their hands. And, if the gold brought in, which purchased these goods, were as exactly known as the goods may be, yet the unwonted accident in this case will not afford any judgment for the measure and balance of trade for the future. On the 13th inst. they

1695-6

No. 955.

received the Order of the House of the 9th (L.J., XV. 634 They were already preparing such an account as therein calle for, and will press it on. Signed Robert Southwell, J. Ward Robt. Clayton, Walter Yonge, Ja : Chadwick, Sam : Clarke, an C. Godolphin. Dated Custom House, London, 14 Jan. 1696 [Delivered, together with annexes $(i)^2$ and $(i)^4$, to the Selection Committee this day, and read. Com. Book.

(i)2. 16 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from the Collectors, Receivers, an Examiners of the port of London to the Commissioners. The have further considered the matter, and submit a specimen of th account required (see next paper). It will take 8 or 9 months Signed Wm. Waterson, Chr. Tower, Tho: Granger, Hen: Wolsten holm, Jon, Shend, and E. Storey. [Appended to preceding.]

(i)3. The Collectors' specimen of an account of importations for three years. Holland. It is in book form, with blank column under 229 headings of commodities, and concludes with a blan abstract of imports and exports. Endorsed as received 2 Jan [Referred to in preceding, and delivered to the Select Committe

and read on 16 Jan. Com. Book.]
(i.)⁴. 16 Jan. 1695-6.—Report from His Majesty's Five Searcher to the Commissioners. They have further considered the matter They cannot prepare an account of the exports of the severa species of goods of our own manufacture to all parts of the worl in less than four months, and it will take as long to prepare a account also of all certificate goods, viz. : foreign goods importe and afterwards exported, as it will the officers inwards to give a account of all goods imported. They submit a scheme of the designed method (see next paper), and pray the same, with the books, may be laid before their Lordships, to avoid any mistake Signed Cha: Beauvoir, Robt. Burton, P. Bertie, J. Walke Tho: Bates. Dated Searchers' Office, Custom House, London 10 Jan. 1695. [Appended to $(i)^1$.]

(i)5. The Searchers' Specimen of an account of exportations for three years. An account of the several species of goods shippe and exported to Holland from the Port of London, from 1st. Ja 1692-3 inclusive to 1st Jan. 1693-4 exclusive, as appears by H Majesty's Books kept in the Searchers' Office, Custom House, vi

15	וןטא האטטעג ה עום:	0 111 (1	10 000	or CIICI	D 0111	.cc, c			9
	Long Cloths	-	m - 1	ya	-	-	- 1	444	
	Short Cloths				-	ú j	· 2	21	
	Spanish Cloths,	alias	naire	ow lis	ted W	ester	n		
	Cloths -			-	es :		- 2	$13\frac{1}{2}$	
	Cloth Rashes	-	-	7	m	-	3	$35\frac{1}{4}$	
	Northern dozen,	Doub	ole	4	-	-	- 1	89§	
	Northern dozen,					100		891	
	Kerseys -	-		-	-	-	- 3	59	
	Penistones -		-		444		* 1 1	$68\frac{1}{2}$	
	Woollen cloth in	remi	ants	per lb			- 18	350 lbs.	
	Tin, per cwt., q	t 112	lbs. T	er cw	t	10	920	ewt.	
	Lead, per fodde	r -	_ ^		248	fod.	141	ewt.	
	Pewter, per cwt	t	-	~ 9	99 ewi	. 0 q	r. 17	lbs.	
	Iron, per ton	-	-	-	- 3	32 to	ns 8	ewt.	
	Perpetuanes per								
	Stuffs per ps. &	îb. 2	50 ps	. qt. 4	255 H	b.			
	Serges per ps. &								
	Single Bayes, p							365 ps	3. :
	Double Bayes,							565 ps	3. :
								~	

1695 - 6.

No. 955.

The rest of the return, which is in one continuous sheet, contains blank columns for 36 other commodities, and appended is the following note:—These, with the rest of the species of goods herein mentioned, with above 200 sorts of goods more of our own manufacture, besides goods formerly imported and since exported again, must be thus reduced for all ports of the world, as well as to Holland, before we can give an account in form as in the paper annexed, which we presume is what their Lordships require. Endorsed as received 2 Jan. [Referred to in preceding, and delivered to Select Committee and read on 16 Jan. 1695–6. Com. Book.]

(i). Abstract of above, so far as filled in, with the same heading. Referred to in the note on preceding, and appended thereto.

(i)⁷. Form of account wherein it is proposed the exportations and importations for three years from and into out-ports should be extracted, delivered to the Commissioners by the Comptroller General, to be sent to the several ports if approved. It is as follows:

From Michaelmas 1692 to Michaelmas 1693.

Portus Barnstaple and its members.

English Manufactures exported.

For Spain:						
Perpetuanas -	-	-	2 -			10,000%.
Serges	-	-			**	8,000
Barnstaple Bayes	-		•	, n	-	500 ptts.
Minikin Bayes	-	Est em		-	-	450 ptts.
White Herrings	- 1	40	· · · ·	-	-	1,500 barr
Woollen Hose -	- '	-	-	~	-	2,000 doz.
For Portugal:						
Perpetuanas -"	-	<u>-</u> '				7,500%.
Minikin Bayes		- a	se 21	="		1,300 ptts.
Red Herrings -		-			-	1,800 barr.
Tin, unwrought	-	-	-	-	-	85 cwt.
For English Plantation	S:					
Beer	- 14	4	-		~	1,000 barr.
Biscuit -	w	m E0	-7	m ²¹	-	1,500 lbs.
Wearing apparel 1	nade	up	-		-	\$120 suits
Shoes	-	•	-	-	-	1,080 lbs.
Wrought Iron -	10 001	en/Co	44.00	w07	-	750 cwt.
Barrels of Butter	496.7	-	well	w*	-	150
Cheese		auto.	esty	*	-	2,000 lbs.
For Holland and Fland	ers:					
Woollen Hose		-	+ .	**	-	50 doz.
Serges	-	- 1	-	no.	-	880 lbs.
Barnstaple Bayes	~	-	-	~	• 1	250 ptts.
For Denmark:	to.	1	17	7		
Woollen Hose		10.00		1 100	-	150 doz.
Woollen Cloths		20 TT	# A		~	75

1695-6.	For the Straits:						
James San Land San	Barnstaple Bayes	-	_	-	,		1,500 ptts.
No. 955.	Minikin Bayes	-	-	-		. à ,	850 ptts.
	Red Herrings -	-	-	-	- ,	· **	1,000 barr':
	White Herrings	10	-	-	86	-	550 barr: 300 doz.
	Worsted Hose	-	-	-	- 1	'=	300 doz.
	Conges dous -	-	-	-	w 1	•	500 qtalls.
	For Newfoundland:						
	Malt	-	-	-	***	-	20 qs.
	Pease	-		-	-	-	5
	Bread - '-	-	-	-	-		10 cwt.
	Beer		-	-	-	and .	3 tuns.
	Herring nets -	-	-	-	-	m,	8 val. 9 <i>l</i> .
	Lines - / =	-	~	-	₹.		20 doz.
	Certificates f	or Fo	oreigi	n Goo	ds ex	ported	l.
	For Spain:						
	Tobacco -	-	~	-	-	44	1,800 lbs.
	White Sugar -	-	-	-	ret.	*-	40 cwt.
	Brown Sugar	-	-	-	-		500 ewt.
	Ginger	-	•	-		-	20 cwt.
	Newfoundland dry	fish	-	-	-	**	1,500 qtalls.
	For Holland and Fland	lers:					
	Tobacco -	-	-	-	-	-	1,600 lbs.
	Brown Sugar	-	-	-	-	- · · ·	500 cwt.
	Ginger	•	-	-	-	• .	15 cwt.
	For the Straits:						
	Tobacco -		-	-	-	40	1,000 lbs.
	Brown Sugar -	-	-	•	-	- ,	120 cwt.
	Ginger -	-	-	-	-	•.	8 cwt.
	For Sweden:						
	Tobacco -	-				-	1,200 lbs.
	White Sugar -	-	-	-	-		15 cwt.
	Brown Sugar -	-	-	-	•		- 56 cwt.
	Ginger	-		-	-,	-,	5 cwt.
	For Ireland:						
	Tobacco · ·	-	-	-			1,500 lbs.
	Brown Sugar -	-		-		-	800 cwt.
	Wrought-iron	-	-	~	-	-	20 cwt.
		Good	ls Im	ported	l .		
	From Spain:						
	Wines	-	-	_	-	-	350 pipes.
	Oil	-	-	-	-	-	120 pipes.
	Oranges and lemo		-	-	Her	1. 4	20,000
	Raisins Sols 4	-	-	-	-	11000	340 cwt.

From	n Portugal :	16956.
	Olives - 40 b Wine - 180 p	arr:
	Wine - 180 p	ipes. No. 955.
	Cork - 30 c	
	n the Straits:	
2.00	Wine 21 120 n	ingg
	Oil 62 2010 20 20 20 20 80 p	ipes,
	Lipari Raisins 200 c	nt
	Oil 80 p Lipari Raisins 200 c Currants 110 c	wt.
17	n Holland and Flanders:	
r roi		14.
	Cambries 500 p Chairs 36	its.
	Mum - 22 28 b	
	Rhen. Wine - 105 a	umes.
From	m Norway:	
	Middling Masts	
	Deals 2,800	
	Deals 2,800 Balks 500	
Fro	m Russia :	
		owt
	Potoshos + 400 - 150 c	wt.
1	Hemp - 300 c Potashes - 300 c Russia Hides 500	7 17 04
From	m Hamburgh:	
	Narrow Germany Cloth - ' 40 8	etts.
	Iron Pots 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3	
Es es. 5	maddors 2000	3 W L.
	m the English Plantations:	
0.00	Tobacco 10 12 11 20 20 20 20 20 20 200,500	lbs.
	White Sugar - 20,100	cwt.
₹7 .	Brown Sugar 80,000 Indigo 3,800 Ginger 750	cwt.
	Indigo - 3,800	lbs.
r., r	Ginger 750 Cocoa nuts 350	cwt.
\$1.000 L	Cocoa nuts	cwt.
\$1480 h	Lime Juice 1- 400	gans.
	Aloes	108.
5.161	Lime Juice 400 Aloes 120 Suckatts 250 Rum 390	IDS.
A 3.5	Molasses 41-450	cwt.
15 Fro	m Ireland : was a vine in . The respective of the contraction of the c	
	Sheep's wool 5,000 Horses 28	stone.
[Refe	red to in $(i)^1$, and delivered to Select Committee and Jan. 1695–6. Com. Book].	
	6 Jan. 1695-6.—East India Company's paper, deliv-	ered this
(n.) I	at the Bar by Sir Benjamin Bathurst, pursuant	to Order
of	13th (L. J., XV., 639). They offer, first, That	the most
or proi	per means to preserve the said trade to the nation	on is to
P.O		

establish it by Act of Parlament in a joint stock, exclusive to a others. Secondly, The Company conceive that no other join stock can preserve or carry on the said trade so much to th honour and advantage of the nation as the present East Indi Company, settled by his Majesty's late Charters in the yea 1693. For both which assertious the said Company do, with a submission, crave leave to lay before their Lordships the reason hereunto annexed. As for powers and privileges requisite to b given to an East India Company, they cannot lay before their Lordships a better precedent than those contained in a late Act o Parliament, passed in Scotland, for a Company trading to Afric and the Indies. Signed Ro: Blackborne, Secy. Dated Eas

India House, London, 15 Jan. 1695. Reasons humbly offered why a joint-stock will best carr on and preserve the East India trade. 1. It has been found by common experience, that all nations who have had desire to procure to themselves a trade to India have mad use of establishing a joint stock for the gaining thereof and, upon trial, have found all other means ineffectual, an therefore have continued the same. And certainly the mos proper means to preserve a trade is the same by which it was a first acquired. And this was the reason why the Scotch hav chose this method rather than any other way. 2. That, in case o any difference between the traders and the Indians, the strength joint stock will always maintain in India will enable them t preserve, not only their factories, but oblige the Indians to d them justice, which cannot be done by any other way of trade 3. That, in case of any difference or dispute with any Europea nation in India, such joint stock will be best able to preserve their trade. 4. That, in case of any considerable loss or want of profi in the trade, a joint stock must still struggle with it, their whol stock being appropriated for that trade; whereas, in any other wa of trade, every man trades for as much or as little as he pleases and discontinueth when he will. Such traders will trade no longe than they find the same answering their expectation, but will qui the trade upon the least discouragement. 5. That it is very we known, how moral soever the people of India are said to be, ye their forms of government do not admit those open ways to justic practised in Europe, and therefore the double arguments of force or money to the Governors must always be had ready, otherwise upon every little dispute, they will overrun and seize the person and effects of those that trade any other way, which will alway be fomented by the joint stocks of other European nation 6. Whereas joint stocks have, at a very vast expense, obtaine many great privileges and immunities from the Indians, a coining of money, trading custom free, and many others too larg to be here particularly inserted, if any other way of trade b introduced, the said privileges and immunities will be utterly los and, if ever re-obtained, must be at a very great charge. 7. It very well known that in the year 1602 the Dutch, seeing the errors of a loose trading to India, did, after some years' experience of the misfortunes attending the same, reduce the whole into or united joint stock, with such privileges as they have ever sine enjoyed, which have carried their trade to the height it now i And it cannot be denied but the genius of trade hath appeared there in its utmost strength; and it is to be feared, unless the like methods be pursued in this nation, the East India trade w

pass to some other country, trade always taking its course where it finds the best treatment and is allowed the greatest advantages. 8. But, admitting another way of trade might struggle with some difficulties, yet, in case of any breach between the English and Datch, certainly the whole trade will be lost, they being so very strong in India in numbers of subjects and fortifications, which have not only been got, but are now maintained and daily improving, by their being brought to a joint stock, which can never be withstood any other way. And it is to be doubted, unless great encouragement be given to a joint stock, that also will hardly be able in such case to continue and preserve the trade to this nation.

Reasons humbly offered for the establishing the present East India Company by Act of Parliament. 1. His Majesty was pleased, by his Royal Charter of 11th Nov. 1693, to constitute the present East India Company for the term of twenty-one years, with and under regulations, the most material whereof were agreeable to the votes of the late House of Commons. In which charter his Majesty, designing to make the said trade more national, general and extensive than before it had been, was pleased to direct that 744,000l. should be added to the then joint stock; whereupon books of new subscription were laid open, and the said sum was accordingly raised, whereby above seven hundred new adventurers were then encouraged to come into the said stock, and many others since, so that it is conceived the present Company is in justice possessed of and entitled to the trade during the continuance of the aforesaid term. 2. That the present Company, upon the encouragement of his Majesty's said charter and their dependence that it should be continued unto them during the said term, have very much enlarged their trade, not only by sending out divers ships with very great cargoes, but have also, at great expense and hazard, laid the foundation of a great trade for the vending of English manufacture in the north parts of India and south parts of Tartary. It is therefore humbly conceived the present Company in equity should reap the fruits thereof, especially if it be considered that they have received no benefit since the said subscription, but have been so unhappy as to sustain very considerable losses by the capture of their ships. 3. It cannot be justly said that the present Company have not been, or are not, able to carry on their trade to the utmost extent, both to the advantage and preservation of it to the nation. (1.) Because they have since the said subscription sent ont many ships with very great cargoes, and are now sending out eight more, richly laden. (2.) Because they expect the return of fifteen ships from India, with very rich cargoes. (3.) Because they are better able to preserve the said trade than any other company, as well by reason of the ability of the adventurers, as by means of their present establishments in India, and those many great immunities and privileges which have been obtained from several princes of India at very vast charge; all which will be either utterly lost, or rendered altogether useless to this nation, if a new company be erected in prejudice to them. (4.) Further, if it be reasonable to draw an argument from the constant usage of all other nations trading to India, it may be averred that no nation whatsoever, having once erected a company with a joint stock for the carrying

on that trade, did ever think it prudent to dissolve such compar and to erect another. (5.) To this may be added the inconvenience that will arise, both to the nation and present Company, in er a new joint stock should be erected in prejudice to the n-Company. First, as to the nation, the trade is now under a f currency, ameliorated and improved by the experience of ma years, and the Company's servants, affairs, and the management thereof settled, whereas, if the present Company be dissolv and another erected, it cannot be resettled without a very great expense of time, money, and labour. And, notwithstanding all t care the profoundest wisdom can take, foreigners will make su advantages between the drawing off the old and settling a n company as this kingdom can hardly ever recover, and a n joint stock, by reason of contrariety of opinions, inexperience, a for other causes, must for some years rather run backwards the forwards, as did the joint stock begun in 1657, which, after six seven years trading without any dividend, sunk at least thi per cent. of the original value. Secondly, as to the Company may be observed that all the expense they have been at in settling of factories and colonies will be wholly lost, and, particular, a growing revenue (at present) of about thirty thousa pounds per annum must actually cease. Besides, it is justly be feared, if ever the account of a dissolution of this prese Company should arrive in India, their factors and serva (although now honest in respect to their employments) may tempted to lay hold of such an occasion utterly to defraud Company of such part of their stock as shall be then in Inc which will be a great addition to the losses they have lat sustained. [Read this day. MS. Min.]

(1) 16 Jan. 1695-6.—Paper of merchants trading to the East Ind delivered this day. They propose that a regulated company v answer all the desired ends of the East India trade. By Ambassador at the Court of the great Mogul, and consuls at chief ports, the effects of all Englishmen will be safe, and th privileges secured to them. The evil of a monopoly will entirely prevented, also that of stock-jobbing, which hath ruin many families. More places of trade will be discovered, and export and import of all those commodities much increased, ma buyers of the English manufacture, and as many sellers of the from India, whereby ours must rise and the other fall considera in price. There will be yearly opportunities for all conditions men to adventure to India, which will make this trade diffusive, whereas in a joint stock none can be admitted after subscription is full and the books closed, but at the sellers' rates. Greater number of ships and seamen will be employ his Majesty's Customs very much improved, and many facto settled in the several places of India, and the trade drove to extent. Nothing than this can so effectually disappoint Scotch Act, when their Lordships shall have taken off the subupon exportation. As to the powers requisite for such company, there needs no more than will serve to raise so m money by a rate per cent. from the traders to the East Indie shall be necessary to defray the public charges, abroad of amba dors and consuls, and at home of servants and house rent, which cannot in any year surmount five to six per cent. Sig Gilbert Heathcote, S. Shepheard, John Lloyd, Geo: White, J Fentzell, Streynsham Master, Edw: Littleton, Abraham Beake, Tho: Lucas, Ben: Rokeby, Josh Martin, Jno: Shipman, Edm: Harrison, Rich^d Harrison, John Albertson, Sam: Lock.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 955.

Read this day. MS. Min.]

(m) 22 Jan. 1695-6.—Papers delivered and read this day, pursuant to Order of 16th (L. J., XV. 642), as follows:—

(m)¹. 22 Jan. 1695-6.—Paper of the merchants trading to the East Indies. They submit that the best way to carry on that trade to the general advantage of the nation is by the establishment of a regulated company, whereby all the subjects of England may have liberty to concern themselves therein at all times upon an equal foot, and so the manifold evils of a monopoly by a joint stock be avoided. It will be a great encouragement for adventurers to make new discoveries, when every one may have the management of his own affairs, and reap the benefit of his own industry; the trade will be driven to its utmost extent, more ships employed, his Majesty's Customs advanced, many of the younger sons of the gentry provided for by beneficial employments, greater quantities of the commodities of our native growth and manufactures exported by many buyers, which will consequently raise the value here, and larger importations from India accordingly lower the price of those goods by the many sellers at our markets, give us great advantages to surmount our European competitors in that trade, and enable us to supply all these parts of the world with the commodities of those countries much cheaper than they, especially if the whole subsidy may be repaid upon all that shall be shipped off for parts beyond the seas. And lastly, by such an establishment two great evils, which have been practised by the present joint stock, will be effectually remedied, viz., the taking up vast sums of money at interest upon a Common Seal, which is a thing very unequal and hazardous to the subject, and that pernicious trick of stock-jobbing, whereby several families have been already ruined. Now, for the constitution of a Corporation to manage this trade, and the powers requisite for such a Company, they do further most humbly propose that a convenient number of persons be appointed and chosen annually to direct the general affairs, who may be empowered to levy money at a certain rate per cent. on all merchandise, as may be agreed by a general Court of Adventurers, which shall be the Company's stock for maintaining an Ambassador at the Court of the Great Mogul, consuls at the principal ports, making such settlements as may upon due consideration be judged necessary, and for defraying all other public charges. That every person at his admittance into the Company shall pay for his freedom a small sum for the uses That the Adventurers at a General Court may make aforesaid. such bye-laws for the better regulating and carrying on the trade as shall be approved of by the Lord Keeper, the Lords Chief Justices, and Lord Chief Baron, for the time being, to be consistent with the laws of the land.

Signed John Albertson.

Ben. Rokeby.

Will. Benson,

Nath: Gould.

Adam Bellamy. Edward Darell. Richard Chiswell. Joseph Woolfe, N. Waite. Peter Paggen. Jno. Cary. Streynsham Master. Ar. Shallett. Peter Godfrey.

John Fentzell. Jn. Baskett. Sam. Locke. P. Priaulx, jun. Robert Atwood. Edm. Harrison. Gilbert Heathcote. Sam. Shepheard. Jno. Shipman. Edwd Littleton. Josh Martin.

Abraham Beake John Wright. Tho. Lucas. George White. John Lloyd. Richard Harrison. Fra. Annesley.
Will. Stewart. Pr Albert.

(m)2. 22 Jan. 1695-6.—Reasons, humbly offered to the L Spiritual and Temporal, for carrying on the trade to I in a regulated company. Though, at the first constitution a joint stock, it is made as diffusive by the subscription many in small sums as the nature of the thing is cap of, yet, by purchase or otherwise, it soon comes into hands, and is attended with all the evils which a destru-monopoly brings with it, without having the least of t specious and pretended advantages so much talked of. And assertion that the Dutch out of choice have settled that tra a joint stock, and that the Scotch have so settled because the most advantageous, is ill grounded, being done by then the reasons following:—The Dutch had the beginnings of trade to India in the minority of their State, when they strug with the mighty power of Spain in a long and bloody war, the Spaniards and the Portuguese (who were then under the monarch) being masters of the whole trade to the Indies States, to weaken their enemies, wisely encouraged merchan send ships into those seas by giving them commissions of and they effectually did it by taking considerable booties them, and by augmenting still their force had greater su at sea and seized on some of their fortifications. This br the merchants of their several towns to join, whereby, prosec their trade and their privateering several years, they became considerable, when the States united them farther that might yet be more serviceable to annoy the enemy, and br the whole trade into a joint stock, and gave it mighty priv as of paying no Customs in or out, &c., which they enjoy t day. This was the reason so long since that induced the to this settlement, and not that they thought it was best so for the general good of the trade, or that it could not be a managed by a Regulation, if their circumstance had been other but as an engine of war and depredation on their enemies. East India Company of Holland being thus established, as i by conquest, and thereby encumbering themselves with fortresses in the South Seas and Ceylon, to maintain to then their most advantageous Spice Islands (without which the pany must fall), there seems a necessity upon them to driv trade in a joint stock. For, indeed, their establishment in is another Commonwealth, and their charge to maintai many forts is so extravagant, being forced to send out 12 hundred men yearly, that, though they bring home man rich ships annually, yet their dividends are but small, and suspected by most to be rather out of their quick stock, f owe, and continually take up, vast sums at interest, at b well as in India, wherein our Company hath imitated though they had no Spice Islands or profitable Colonies

defray those charges, but only a plain trade, which might as well, may much better, be carried on without forts. And, as we cannot in the one, so, we humbly conceive, there is no reason to imitate them in the other, because we have better ways, even by a Regulation, to outdo them. For, anno 1653 to 1657, while our trade to India lay open to all, the Holland East India Co. sunk greatly in their stock and credit, we undersold them in all those commodities, and brought home spice, in spite of them, procured at Macassar, a considerable mart in the South Seas, where we once had a settlement, and elsewhere, which gave them such apprehensions of losing their trade that, to prevent it, they employed some persons to influence Oliver Cromwell to establish this present joint stock, anno 1657; and they gave also pensions in England to forward the design. And, although they so much applaud the Dutch, calling them a wise people, masters of the mysteries of trade, &c., they do not tell your Lordships that they have not the Act of Navigation upon them; so that the condition of the people of England will be infinitely different from theirs, for if the Holland Co. set their goods too high, and use the people ill, though they cannot send ships to India, they can send to England, France, and Spain, or any other country, to buy all goods except spices. But, if our Company be thus established, the people of England have no way to help themselves, for the Act of Navigation prohibits the importation of all goods from any port or place except that of their growth, production, or usual place of their first shipping. And then, if an Act passes that none is to trade to those parts but A., B., and C., and their brethren, then can no East India commodities be imported but by them. And then if those gentlemen will demand 1001, for what cost them but 51, the people must pay it, or be without, for we can buy none of these goods in Holland or anywhere else; because these two laws have staked us down, and wholly subjected us to those men. In all other taxes we have this satisfaction, that we know the most can be demanded of us; but, were this Company settled, we are left to the boundless will of A., B., and C., and their brethren, to order them from time to time as they list. The Company do indeed pretend that they cannot set their own rates and get what prices they please, because their goods are always exposed to sale by public candle, and put up low, where every person is admitted to buy, and the buyers set the price. Now, that this salvo is insignificant is known almost by every trader's constant practice; for, when a ship or any goods are exposed to sale by the caudle, the sellers have always friends in the place, who bid until it comes up to the seller's price. This relief by a public sale will not help us. Besides, the Company can import so little that the people's wants of the commodities will force them to give what price they please. The Scotch chose a joint-stock before a regulated company, because they never yet had any trade to India, nor have their merchants wherewithal to manage it, so must call in the assistance of other nations both for money and experience, which, God be thanked, is not our case, being very well acquainted in those parts of the world, having a large improved experience in trade, and stock enough to carry it on to its full extent, such a genius to traffic and navigation that, wherever we have met with competitors, and not been elogged with joint-stocks (whose managers me chosen, not because of their knowledge of those trades, or for

1 6 9 5 – 6. No. 955. 1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 955. their integrity, or any other endowment, but because they wi promote the particular interests, and observe the directions, some towering men), we have out-traded them all—witness Turkey, Spain, Portugal, &c., and in the West India trade, which the Dutch manage in a joint stock, we have so much exceed them as no history can parallel. And, since the present East Ind Co. do much value their forts, we humbly say that Fort St. Georg is situate where there is neither port nor harbour, only an oproad where no ships can ride, upon the breaking up of the monsoons, with any tolerable safety, nor is this road well con manded by the town or fort, nor can any passengers or goods landed or shipped off in other than the country boats, which a exposed to great hazard, and can be managed by none but t natives, and carry but 6 or 8 bales at a time. Nor have the water or fuel or provisions enough within themselves to hold o a ten days' siege, being supplied with all from the country. It the worst seated for trade, or to make investment of goods, of a on the coast of Coromandel, and it is now much oppressed by Custom-house the Mogul hath lately set up very near it, whi exacts 5 per cent. upon all goods going in and coming out of t place. So we believe the Company had no such pennyworth of when they agreed to allow the former Company 6,000l. for Bombay, it is true, is more defensible, there is a port, and it m be relieved by sea; yet it is a place without commerce, and t safety and conveniency of ships harbouring there would be mo were it in the Mogul's hands, for he will not suffer any hostili in his ports or roads, as we have instanced to your Lordships the Constantinople Merchant, 1665, in the Dutch war, and t Success and Benjamin at Surat 2 years since from the French Moreover, this place is extremely unhealthful; but, to inform yo Lordships more truly, we set down four paragraphs of Sir Ju Child, the Company's General, and two more of their Coundated 7th June, 1689, to the present East India Co., viz.: "V wish this Island may prosper and flourish suitable to your desir but we truly think it will never be otherwise than a charge to yo and, if the Mogul takes the country round about us, it will be no door to an impossibility to maintain the fort against him. T shop-keepers are, at the best, poor needy fellows, and what the sell is but just enough to supply their necessities from hand mouth. However, in compliance to your order [we] shall see a raise a moderate duty on the shops, as may be most for your interest. We shall be glad to raise your revenues suitable to ye desires, and wish the Island was in such a condition as to be a to bear such a charge as your honours propose; we fear that will never be in our days, and find no reason to hope that it e will be. If there be a Dutch war, believe it from us that at b we shall be but in a bad condition in these parts of the wor they being so very numerous. But, for your island of Bomb we do verily believe they will never offer to meddle with it; for cannot conceive what should induce them to such an undertakin And the writers presume to add, nor anybody else, except it w to make a present of it to the Great Mogul, for the Dutch f forts chargeable things where they support the trade, and will be so mad to load themselves with them where no trade is to expected. But, should they be so, it is not doubted the sa fate will attend that as did Gambo, a fort of our Royal Afri-

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 955.

Company's, mounted with 74 pieces of cannon, which surrendered to Monsr. de Genes with 3 French frigates, upon his first summons, in July last. All the good these two main forts of St. George and Bombay have done the Company is, they have raised them in opinion and greatness of themselves, for they have, without any regard of the honour of the nation-which they only pretend unto-exposed it the most ever subjects did; for, after their factors had run above 300,000l. in debt at Surat, their president made his escape from thence by particular order of the company in these words-That he should leave them, but leave them as the Israelites did the Egyptians. They took, and contrary to the faith of nations plundered, those very ships they had given their passes to, and sent home several of the goods which they sold and divided. After they had so taken the ships they made a formal declaration of war, and proceeded thereto, until by woeful experience they found the inconsistency of war with trade, and what a condition they were in; as doth appear by the following paragraphs of the aforesaid letter, viz. : "We cannot see any hope of trade without making peace with the Mogul, and we must then pay our old debts, and after that is done we shall not be overstocked with money. Your honours may be assured that the Mogul is a potent prince, and he doth not at all value your trade. His subjects are not so poor as you are pleased to think. We heartily wish the wars with him and his subjects were at an end. Your honours may firmly believe that we are willing enough not to part with anything that we have taken, more than what we see of absolute necessity for your interest. Your honours have neither Mergeen nor Chattegaum, and so, we fear, will not have so honourable a peace with the Mogul as is expected; but we shall do what we can towards it, as becomes us." And accordingly they did, and sent up Mr. Geo. Welden, an Englishman, and Abraham Navarra, a Jew (which latter, when they kept their High Court of Admiralty in India, was styled his Majesty's Attorney General), to the Court of the Great Mogul to sue for peace; where [when] they had been sometime, and made their way by presents, they were admitted to the Mogul's presence, but it was in the posture of malefactors. obtained his pardon, and allowance of trade, in a firman granted them in the words following, viz. :- "All the English having made an humble submissive petition that the ill crimes they have done may be pardoned, and requested a noble firman to make their being forgiven manifest, and sent their Vakeels to the heavenly palace, the most illustrious in the world, to get the royal favour, and Ettimand Chawm the governor of Surat's petition to the famous court equal to the skies being arrived, that they would present the great King with a fine of 150,000 rupees to his noble treasury resembling the sun, and would restore the merchants' goods they took away to the owners of them, and would walk by the ancient custom of the port, and behave themselves for the future no more in such a shameful manner; wherefore his Majesty, according to his daily favour to all people of the world, hath pardoned their faults, mercifully forgiven them, and out of his princely compassion agrees that the present be put into the treasury of the port, the merchants' goods be returned, the town flourish, they follow their trade as in former times, and that Mr. Child, who did the disgrace, be turned out and expelled." Having thus laid before your Lordships the honour this Company hath done 1695-6. No. 955. the nation in prosecuting the East India trade, and showed how useful their forts are to preserve the trade, especially in time o war with the Dutch, we humbly proceed to the privileges the said Company do pretend to have obtained in India with vast expens and charge, and do aver-That they are all owing first t the embassy of King James the first by Sir Thos. Row, ann 1615, of which the Mogul certified the King in these words-"I have sent forth my firmans through all my countries to thi effect; That, if any English ships or merchants shall arrive it any of my ports, my people shall permit and suffer them to d what they please freely in their merchandising cases, aiding an assisting in all occasions of injuries that shall be offered them that the least cause of discourtesy be not done unto them, that they may be as free, or freer, than my own people." 2. Thos in the Bay were the effect of a remarkable cure one Mr. Bowder an English surgeon, wrought about 40 years since upon a perso of great quality, for which he refused to receive any other recompeuce than a general grant that the English nation shoul have a free and unmolested trade in those parts, which was give and bath been continued until this late unaccountable war, but b the peace restored in the words following, viz. :- "Know this that the good fortune of the English hath directed them the righ way to end their faults, which the King out of his great favour and mercy, always ready to pardon, doth freely forgive them therefore his clear and unspotted order is such which you are t observe as you do his that you pray unto, that, so soon as the gracious firman reaches your hands, you suffer nobody in you jurisdiction to molest, hurt, or hinder the English nation in the business, but suffer them to carry it on in the same manne as formerly. This understand, and act accordingly." By a which it is most evident that the Company have not any privileg exclusive of the rest of the nation, as it manifestly appeare in the two free-trading ships the Seymour and the Henry However, the said Company did what they could to oppose them for the Seymour, in which was Captain Pitt and Mr. Catchpole arriving in the bay, were accused as pirates by the said Company factors, and as such brought before the Government; and h Excellency Sir John Goldsburrow, their Commissary General over all India, having heard that the Henry, in which was Mr. White was gone in (sic) another private ship to Surat, did write to the President there acquainting him—"That an English pirate, or Captain Pitt, was come into the bay on pretence of trade. The the Government had seized him, his ship, and goods, and the upon examination he had confessed that there was another gor for Surat, vizt., Mr. White, as great a pirate as himself; requiring the said President to acquaint the Government therewith, that h might be seized also to prevent all further damage to the Mogul subjects." All this notwithstanding, and that those people ar extremely exasperated against pirates, having suffered so much b piracy, they could not by this artifice be drawn from the accustomed justice, but, having entered into the matter, though the Company's factors bribed high at Surat to prevent it, that the might take it pro confesso, they, upon the merit of the cause admitted both those ships to trade, and to return to England wit the produce of their eargoes in the proper commodities of thos places, which were as good and as cheap as ever were purchase

1695-6. No. 955.

by the Company; nor did they pay any more Customs duties or acknowledgments than the present Company always did, or now do; and this present Company are so far from having enlarged the trade to India that they had, before this late charter and subscriptions thereupon, reduced their trade to so low an ebb that the farming it out to Jews, Armenians, and others was the chiefest of their business, for their drift was not to enrich the nation, but themselves. And their present circumstances are such, that, without an Act of Parliament exclusive to all others (upon which not only they, but the meanest of men, may raise money to carry on the trade), they cannot, upon their own bottom, send out the number of ships they have declared to dispatch thither this year; although, above the vast sums they owe at interest, they have advanced 25 per cent, upon their stock in taking 801, paid in guineas at 30 shillings a piece for 100%, and give bills under their Common Seal for paying 1121, at the end of two years, which is 20 per cent, per annum for the money received. And, to avoid the law against extortion, the condition is, if twelve of the ships named of those they have abroad and now going out do miscarry, then their bond is void, but if any one of them arrives it is in full force and virtue. The merchants of England are an industrious people, and lovers of trade. They do not, upon small—no not upon great—discouragements, give it over, but do rather endeavour to recompense themselves by the same voyages; otherwise the Newfoundland, the Virginia, and many other trades that are closely pursued, had been left off long since. Where there is any hope of vending their home commodities, they are ready to carry them even to unknown and but in part discovered places, far beyond a joint-stock, who cannot, being once so constituted, (for it would be against their oaths should they) prefer the public good in prejudice to their joint stock, which such companies were never known guilty of, always exporting and importing no more of any merchandise than what will yield them their stated profits. Whereas particular merchants in a regulated company (who cannot make combinations) will carry out and bring home much greater quantities of all commodities-employ many of the younger sons of the gentry, who may settle houses, raise estates there, without being at the pleasure of a few men, whereas in joint stocks few go but men of broken fortunes, or youths to be bred up at mean salaries-add greatly to our navigation-increase the Customs, and will, in every point, be of infinite advantage to the public as well as themselves, whose right and property it is that the commerce and traffic by sea be as unlimited and free as the inland trade. It is therefore humbly hoped that your Lordships will erect a regulated company for India, whereby the right of the subject may be preserved, and the trade carried on to the interest and honour of the nation. Signed by the same persons who sign the principal paper, with the exception of Feutzell, Richa Harrison. and Shipman. [Read this day and on 28th. MS. Min.]

(m)². 22 Jan. 1695-6—East India Company's Paper. The Company, in answer to the objections of those who appeared in behalf of a regulated company (Paper I), add to their former paper (k) the following:—(1) As to the objection that a joint stock would be a monopoly, which would be avoided in a regulated company, they answer they cannot apprehend a joint stock established by Act of Parliament can be called a monopoly, especially when every body

1695-6. No. 955 has liberty to come into the same at the market price. (2) And as for the objection of having but one buyer and one seller, it must be distinguished whether it means one buyer and one seller in England or in India. If in England, a joint stock must buy in common with others. As to selling, the goods of a joint stock are always exposed to public sale by inch of candle, and put up at low rates where every person is permitted to buy, and the buyer sets the price. And by their present constitution they are restrained from all private contracts, nor can they expose above five hundred pounds value in any one lot, by which means all imposing upor the buyers is rendered impracticable; and it may be observed that they are obliged to put up all their goods to sale by inch of candle nor can they buy them again for the Company's account, either to pu a greater price upon them here, or to carry them abroad. As to the objection that a joint stock can get as much by a small trade as by a greater, the present Company cannot divide anything ou but profit, and are obliged to employ 1,500,000l. stock; by which it is evident that it is the Company's interest to extend this trade to the utmost it is capable. For, should they not import sufficient quantities of goods, their neighbours would supply, not only foreign markets, but "our own" also, by bringing in goods by stealth, as they now do. And it is well known, before this present war, that India goods were sold so cheap for several years in England, that most of the Europeans were supplied from hence and even the Dutch themselves with calico. As to India, it is the interest of England there should be but one seller of English commodities and one buyer of India goods there, whereby the English manufactures are better vended and put off, and the India commodities bought at lower rates, than otherwise they would, and consequently can be afforded, both in England and for foreign markets, at lesser prices than otherwise they could And it is the interest of the Company to carry out much English manufactures as they can possibly find a vent for because their ships do otherwise go dead freighted; and, if any profi can be made by the English manufacturers, it is clear gains to the trader, whereas bullion admits of little or no increase of advantage between England and India. Whereas, on the contrary, it is well known the Indians always raise the price of their goods if severa buyers come to their markets. As to the objection that the Com pany's forts and castles in India are useless, they answer that the are not only useful, but absolutely necessary for securing the Com pany's trade, as well against the insults and designs of the native as Europeans, instances of which they crave leave to lay befor their Lordships as follow:—(i.) For the want of such forts at the Spice Islands were lost to the Dutch in the time of Kin James and King Charles the First, and they have by forts preserve that trade ever since solely to themselves; nor have they eve failed, where they have once obtained footing, to establish them selves by erecting fortifications; witness the island of Zeilo [Ceylon]. (ii.) For want of such forts the English were drive from the trade of Maccassar, in the beginning [of the reign] of Kin Charles II., and from the trade of Bantam in the year 1682; i both which places the Dutch have since secured the said trad by erecting forts, by which means they well hoped to hav engrossed all the pepper trade to themselves, as they did of th other spices, and had certainly effected it, but that the Compan did secure some part of it by virtue of our forts at Carwar, and 1695-6. hy a very great charge in erecting other fortifications on the island of Sumatra, and in the Queen of Altingas country; from which it doth plainly appear that no part of the pepper trade can ever be secured to this nation without forts and fortifications, and, if ever any other of the spice trade can be retrieved, it must be maintained and supported by the like methods. Another instance of the necessity of having forts in India is that thereby the Company have secured both their persons and effects from the insults of the natives; for, when the Mogul's army lately overran the kingdom of Gulcondah [Golconda], a part of the army came down near Fort St. George and plundered the Portuguese at St. Thomas, and demanded 40 or 50,000l. at a time of the English, but, finding the strength of the place, went away re infecta; and the like has been attempted several times by others, and we must observe a very considerable part of our investments are made in these parts. Another instance of the necessity of having forts is the protecting "our" ships from Europeans when in enmity with his Majesty, as was done in 1672, when the Dutch, having 22 men of war near Fort St. George and had taken 3 English ships, the 7 others were protected under Fort St. George. In the year 1690 six French men of war, having taken a Dutch ship on the same coast, were in pursuit of the Dutch Vice-Admiral and four more, who having news thereof, retired to Fort St. George, and, lying under the protection of the said place, were attacked by the French; but [they were] soon beaten off by the fort with great loss, whereas they had certainly been taken had it not been for that fort. Another instance is the protecting our ships and effects from Indian pirates, who are now grown very numerous and strong, some of whom attacked and boarded Capt. Tyrril in the *Phænix* man of war, and had like to have taken him; and at another time the ship President, of 600 tons, in the like manner; and do often take ships out of Surat river, but have never yet attempted to set on any in Bombay road. It has been said the Mogul protects all ships in his river, whereas there are many instances both of European and Indian ships that have been insulted there, and in particular the Dutch did lately keep in two French ships in the Bay of Bengal, and, it is reported, have since taken them. Though at this time the Mogul has subdued a great part of India, yet, whenever he dies, it is very probable that that great empire will break into several pieces, and great wars will arise, and certainly our fortifications will, in such case, preserve their trade and factories, and hinder them from being overrun by one party or other, especially if it be considered that Bombay did hold out a siege of 16 months against 20,000 of the Moors, who were forced to retire. And, though the Co. have no fort in the Bay of Bengal, yet most certainly it would be of great use and advantage to have one to protect their trade there. These forts are not only made use of to protect their factories from their enemies, but are also the magazines of most of their Indian goods and Naval stores, to supply their European and Indian shipping in case of any emergency; and, should we have war with any European nation, it were impossible without such stores to support any war against them in India. Lastly, it is very evident by the practice of all European nations that they judge the use of fortifications to be absolutely necessary in the carrying on their trade in India, and certainly it will be a very

No. 955.

1695 - 6. No. 955.

vain attempt for any nation to hope to propagate or continu such trade without the like methods. As to the objection that regulated company will be a means to enlarge the trade much better than a joint stock, they answer, this allegation, though specious and made much use of, is in fact directly contrary, which the better to make appear, they must first desire them to expla what they mean by the words national and extensive. If by the they mean more persons may be concerned, that part in fact contrary, for in the Muscovy, Hamburgh, Turkey, and Eastlar Companies, which are the only regulated companies in Englanin all of them together there is at this time not above half s many actual traders as are now concerned in the present join stock to the East Indies. To which may be added that regulated companies the trade is restrained to experienced tradin merchants and no others, whereas, in the trade to India in a join stock, all degrees of persons of the nobility, gentry, elergy, widow orphans, and all degrees of persons, as well as merchants, are c may be concerned in it, although not skilled in the said trade. by the words extensive and national, they mean that a great sum of money will be employed in that trade, they answer that joint stock is no more confined to a particular sum of money for carrying on their trade than is a regulated company, but can wit much greater ease extend their trade to the utmost degree the the nature thereof is capable than can particular merchants. by national or extensive they mean that a regulated company wi open and enlarge the trade in India to such places as have no been attempted by a joint stock, they conceive the contrary evident by the Turkey Company, who, though established above 100 years, have not been known to have settled any trade but : three places, viz., Smyrna, Aleppo, and Constantinople; nor dot it seem reasonable to believe that any private person should i out or send a cargo to places unknown, when he neither know whether the goods he sent out are vendible there, or whether I can meet with proper goods for his market at home. But it has always been observed that the particular traders in a regulate company content themselves to go to a certain known place trade, ever taking a measure of their profit and loss before the go out, and ever pursuing their private certain interest withou regard to the advantage of the nation. And truly it is [un] reason able to expect that any private or particular person should, at h own risk and charge, make discovery of any new trade, when he sure others will come in and reap the advantage of it; wherea in a joint stock, though they should make losing voyages if the attempt to open any trade, they are better able to bear the los and to struggle with any difficulty which may by perseverand be overcome, since they are sure the profit at least will make amends. And, for proof of this assertion, the East India Con pany's stock have made several attempts for enlarging of trade their very great charge, some of which have succeeded, as in the trade to China, Macao, and Tonquin, and upon the west coa of Sumatra, Malabar, and Attinga, by which means part of the pepper trade is yet secured to us, as also they have attempted tl discovery of many other places for the enlargement of their trade: their very great charge which have not succeeded, as Mindana the coast of Melinda, and the great island of Japan, the last which cost the Company no less in the experiment than 50,000l. and the East India Co. have, in these fruitless attempts, lost ver

1695 - 6. No. 955.

great sums of money, yet, nevertheless, they are not discouraged from making any further attempts, and, in particular, are now opening a trade in the north part of India and Tartary for a greater consumption of the woollen manufactures. And, whereas it is affirmed that a regulated company, or open trade, is the best means and way of preserving and enlarging the trade to India, and to cope with the Dutch and disappoint the late Scotch Act, they answer that all European nations have taken a contrary method; and, though some of them, as particularly the Dutch, had at the beginning divers little companies afoot, yet they thought it prudence to reduce them into one joint stock with such privileges and immunities as ever since hath preserved them. And, were there up other trader to India but themselves, there might be some arguments made for a regulated company. Yet, since all other nations have taken the contrary method, yet it will be as improper for us not to take the like method as they do, otherwise it will be like a husbandman throwing down his inclosure for improvement and preserving his stock, whilst his neighbours keep up theirs. Neither can any reasonable man affirm, in case any wars should break out between any European nation trading into India and the English, that the traders in a regulated company or open trade can preserve or defend the trade, which will be as vain an assertion to affirm [as] that a loose body of men can cope with united and regular forces. Besides, it must be observed that joint stocks have always very great effects in India, which will perpetually oblige them to be watchful to preserve their own stock, and consequently the trade to the nation, against all the Europeans and Indians; whereas private traders will only send out and convert their goods in India, and come home with their estates. For the traders of the Turkey Company do leave a great part of their stocks in Turkey, yet they constantly hold a correspondence from thence, and give direction and advice how to proceed in case of any difficulty. But, by reason of the distance to India, and the length of the voyage, no private person will ever trust or leave any considerable stock there, it being very well known that, if any person die in India, it is not without great difficulty that his relations do receive his estate or effects. Whereas it is alleged that a regulated company will be a better means to employ gentlemen's sons and others in that trade than can be done by a joint stock, they answer that the contrary is true, forasmuch as there are now, at this present, more gentlemen's sons and others employed by the present Company in India than is by the Turkey Co. in all their factories; only with this difference, that the Turkey merchants take some times 5, 6 or 800l. with a gentleman's son, to employ him in their trade, whereas the [East India] Company do employ them all gratis. And, for great and defensible ships, there are more built and employed for that trade than any other trade out of England, the Company for building of such for that trade allowing considerable advantages for their better encourage. ment. But, if ever that trade should be managed by a regulated company, the ships that would be employed by such private traders would be of much less burden and force. Signed (By order of the Governor and Company) Ro : Blackborne, Seev. Dated East India House, London, 22 Jan. 1695. [Read this day and on 28th. MS. Min.

(h) 22 Jan., 1695-6.—John Gardner's proposals. John Gardner, of London, merchant, offers the following method or scheme for the establishment of the present East India Co., which he humbly

1695-6. No. 955. conceives may prove a good expedient to preserve the said tra and, as much as possible, reconcile the different interests with general satisfaction and advantage of the whole kingdom. I. T the present East India and Joint Stock be settled by Act Parliament, exclusive of all others, but on the following ter years. II. That all others shall have liberty to tr thither, paying 20 per cent. outwards (or 15 per cent. outwards: 5 per cent. homewards) to the Company towards their pul charge. III. That all that desire such licences shall be obli months before their sh to give notice to the Company shall be ready to sail from England, to the end the Company n consider the better to manage their own trade, so as to prev glutting the market. IV. That one per cent. be paid by licensed ships towards the maintenance of the Hospital at Gre wich for Seamen. V. That all duties be repaid on exportation East India commodities, which is but 21 per cent. more than present, and in lieu thereof one per cent. be paid more at impor VI. That it may be lawful for any persons to come in w new subscriptions to the present stock till the day

next, not exceeding 500,000*l.*, and valuing the presstock at 80 per cent. VII. That one per cent. be paid to Crown on all transfer of stock. VIII. That all persons resid in England or Ireland be forbid being concerned, directly indirectly, in the Scotch East India Company on severe penalt The proposer is ready to demonstrate the reasonableness advantage of all the said propositions. Signed John Gardr

[Read this day and on 28th. MS. Min.]

(o) 1 April 1696.—Preamble and conclusion of the Report of Committee, leaving out the Regulations. Ordered 20th March, a made this day. L. J., XV. 724-5, in extenso.

(p) 1 April 1696.—Mr. Pollexfen's Paper, dated 17th Feb., reported this day as follows :- 1. That the goods imported fr East India, till about the year 1670, were only drugs, spices, s. petre, and some coarse calicoes; the trade in other manufactu goods and raw silk a new trade. 2. The Charter to the E India Company was confirmed by King Charles II. in the 1 year of his reign; the law for permitting the exportation of bull was made the 14th year, at the solicitation of that Company; then little silver or gold was exported to India. After that was made, then began the exportation of bullion to India, a quite contrary to what was intended by that law, vast quantitie our milled money (because it was our weightiest) melted do then called bullion, and exported; and then began our trade manufactured goods from India, and 72 or 73 throwers, weave dyers, and other artificers, were sent over by the Company to In to teach the Indians to make their goods and several handics commodities fit for the European markets. 3. That about 3, tons of manufactured goods were brought from India to Engli communibus annis for several years before the war, which hin the consumption of so many of the manufactures of Europe, th being only some few sent to Turkey; what share we have in loss is difficult to decide, but most considerable. 4. For it obvious that a great part of the said manufactured goods are sp in England, and in the room of our own, viz.: their silks, pair and dyed calicoes, instead of our home manufactured silks, Norw stuffs, perpetuanos, sayes, authorines, gauzes, and crapes, d not only for vestments of both sexes, but for hanging rooms, beds,

1 6 9 6. No. 955.

5. That the coarse calicoes, fine muslin, and other linens do hinder the improvement of our linen manufactures, so necessary and advantageous for this nation, which, if encouraged, would in a short time make sufficient and fit for all uses, so as to want none but some find [fine] hollands, cambries, and lawn, which might be purchased in the room of our own manufactures and products. 6. That the cabinets, japan and lackered ware, screens, fans, trunks, chests, baskets, as also petticoats, gowns, shifts, sheets, quilts, neekcloths, handkerchiefs, clouts, girdles, garters, pillow-beers, chinaware, and many others, do cost this nation a great sum of money annually, and are a great hindrance to our people's employ. 7. That the commodities sent into foreign parts do, in some measure, hinder the consumption of our manufactures abroad. 8. That the raw silk imported in great quantities, and the goat's hair, &c., hinder the consumption of so much from Turkey, from whence we could have it in return of our manufactures and products. 9. That the cotton-yarn and cotton-wool hinders the consumption of so much from our plantations. 10. That the Indians are ingenious, have material cheap, and wages at a half-penny to a penny a day. What costs there 1s. will cost to be made here above 20s., which disables the European traders from contending with them, and for that reason, as this trade in a few years did increase apace till this war, so [it] may increase, if permitted, to the ruin of our best fabries, and the starving our people. 11. That the exportations from India, before the war, were about 50,000l. communibus annis in builion, and about 30,000l. per annum in manufactures, by the Company, besides bullion shipped out by others, or in others' names, which may be half as much more. 12. That it may be reduced to a certainty that bullion hath been carried out, but whether the goods transported to foreign markets do bring us back in return as much could never yet be demonstrated, and it is doubted if one half comes back. 13. That trades carried on by the exportation of our products and manufactures cannot, generally speaking, be bad, for [they] leave a great blessing behind them; but no advantage by the exportation from trades carried on by the exportation of our bullion, rather of great inconveniences, and therefore reasonable to have a watchful eye over such trades. 14. That it is presumed we spend annually at home of East India manufactured goods and toys about 400,000l., and that it is near so much loss to the nation, it being possible that some trading men may enrich themselves by trade that may impoverish the nation in general, and that many muslins are spent at this time which come in from Holland by stealth, which come very costly to us. 15. That, if the expense of goods manufactured in India be by example and laws prohibited, care may be taken, by some such method as used by the Lutestring (sic) Company, to secure the expense of our own manufactured silks, stuffs, and linens, in the room of them; no silks from France at present, and very few from Italy. 16. That such prohibition would not only encourage our own manufactures, upon which the value of lands and employment of our poor depends, but prevent the exportation of much of our coin, and probably many of the inconveniences feared from the Scotch East India Company, and the importation of the East India goods taken from us by the French last summer. 17. That to settle the trade to East India for 21 years by Act of Parliament in a Company by a joint stock, without such restrictions, would, in effect, give up our best fabrics and artificers to the

1 6 9 6. No. 955.

mercy of a corporation, who are bodies, but, 'tis usually said, ha no souls, and some think no consciences, the common seal bei. to answer for all bad actions; for as have increased in 25 year when calicoes were a scandal to be worn, to be now the choice ornaments of our greatest gallants, so 21 years more m. increase to the ruin of our best manufactures. 18. That t cloths the East India Company send now to India more th. formerly prove no advantage to us, for, being sent thence to Pers [they] hinder the expense of so many in Turkey which were former sent thence to Persia, so that the exportation to India may reckoned all bullion in effect. 19. That no objection can well made against the trade in drugs, spices, saltpetre, &c., becau [they] cannot well be had on better terms; nor to the man factured goods that are transported hence to foreign part because [it] increases our trade, employs our ships, and will carried on by other nations if we should neglect it. But if appear to be luxury and ill-husbandry, which hath been the ru of great nations, and laid their honour in the dust, to despise or own and send our gold and silver to India from whence it ca never return to us, whereas it may be kept here to circula amongst our own people by spending our own, in a time when v are in danger of a want of coin, so necessary for the carrying on commerce and payment of fleets and armies that we can neither l rich or safe without it, must be submitted to your Lordships' gre wisdom and judgment. The original of our riches is from the labour, diligence, and industry of our people in getting out of the bowels of the earth, from our lands and the sea, what may h improved and made useful for carrying on our foreign trade, upo which also depends the increase of our seamen and navigation, which our strength consists, and the support of millions whose I Providence hath cast on trade, These great concerns the depending on trade, it may properly be said it is to the bod politic as blood to the body natural, not only to support the mai but particular members, and, if not allowed its due circulation, ma afford too much nourishment to some, but occasion a consumptic or withering of the rest. Hope of gain is the mother of trade i general, but more particularly of such as get trades incorporate in joint stocks, which seldom extend to relieve the meanes usually only the richest, traders, who, being weary of toil, minut gains, and trading in common upon the same foot equal wit others having good purses to support specious pretences and t pay the charge of solicitations and grants, make it their busines to get some good trade incorporated, which is usually attende with dominion over their fellow subjects, and the blessings of monopoly to them and their heirs for ever, as may be instance from the East India Company. Thus, incorporating of trades ma be a good way to advance some trading men, but whether it ma advance the trade of the nation in general, or answer with the equal distribution of favour, justice, and protection, which all h Majesty's subjects hope for and expect from the Government, the question. When a trade is first incorporated it is usuall granted that all may subscribe that will, within a time prefixed those that do not come in by that time to be excluded. Corpora tions when once settled are not easily broken; those who hav the possession claim it as an inheritance. This for East Indi hath continued ever since 1657. Those who were beyond sea, c

unborn, or since grown up, not then capable to subscribe, have thought it hard that they should be excluded, happily only because they were under a natural incapacity at that time to come in when the books were open. The separating of a great part of trade to the particular advantage of some few as hath always been attended with a kind of civil war amongst the trading people, and it may be feared will always with murmuring and discontent [of those] who are deprived of their birthrights, and that it is unequal they should be excluded from such trades at the same time when the members of such incorporations may come into them. If it were granted to the inhabitants of Surrey and Sussex that they should have the sole selling of corn and cattle to the City of London, the inhabitants of the adjacent counties would probably complain, as well as the citizens. The incorporating of trades in joint-stocks settles all trades in London, which is thought to be already too big, if compared as a head to a body; from thence all ships and commodities belonging to such companies must have their egress and regress, which may be a prejudice to other trading cities, as well as to particular persons. [It] cannot answer the ends designed by trade, which can only be attained by permitting trade to be as large and diffusive as possible; for, though at the first erecting of companies a great stock be subscribed, yet [it is] seldom taken in. The stock first subscribed for the East India Company was 744,000l.; only 373,000l. taken in, because it was found more for their interest to take up 600,0001. upon a common seal, trade with that, pay the lenders 4 or 5 per cent., and divide 100 per cent. to themselves, than to take in more stock or adventurers. this made it very unequal to such as were not of the Company, so there was great inequality amongst themselves. Though there were many subscribers at first, yet some, to get the ascendant over the rest, soon engrossed the stock after the trade began to thrive, and, when it was in its most flourishing condition, ten men had one quarter, and thirty-seven one half, to which adding the great advantage such men made by private sales, owning of ships, private trade, and otherwise, having the sole management and disposal of all in their hands, the rest that were concerned had no proportion of gains to the others. If the stock and adventurers be since increased, it will appear upon examination that it was done to relieve the necessities the companies lay under, and for their own advantage, and not out of good will to the The extraordinary gains this company have divided

have not risen so much from foreign trade by the goods transported, as by the advantages made by trading with other persons' money, and the extraordinary rates at which they have sold their goods to our own people, which hath amounted to a considerable tax upon the nation, as corporations in trade ever were and must be. If other trades should be also incorporated and the stocks so engrossed, how few of the millions that must live by trade would be supported by it, and what a charge or tax would be on the rest of the nation who are not concerned in trade! To pay in all commodities what would be advanced by the privilege of having but one buyer and one seller to a trade would soon swell all gentlemen's expenses. If not good for all, why good for part? As good pretences and weighty arguments may be made for the incorporating any other trade as for that to India, and likely were made when King James the First incorporated the Spanish trade, and King Charles the

1696. No. 955.

Second the Canary trade. Buying and selling of shares in stock no salve to these objections, for that still leaves the door to come into trade as narrow as to come into any man's possessions of land if they will not sell, or not but at extravagant rates-all others must be kept out; and at best but exchanging Thomas Rowe for Thomas Only liberty in trade can make trade great, and greatness can make it beneficial; a narrow contracted trade cannot afford to the nation in general gains as when large and diffusive, though [it] may be sufficient to enrich a few men, if the advantages be confined to them, for such may make great gains, though trade little by selling dear, and therefore not under any necessity to make bold adventures to discover, enlarge, or force trade. The discovery of many trades, where we now spend great quantities of our pro ducts, is owing to the industry and adventures of particular men not to companies. The most proper way to increase and enrich this nation is to give as much liberty as can consist with safety. But other arguments may be drawn against such constitutions from the late proceedings of the East India Co. [in] procuring commissions by most thought to be illegal, upon which several men were put to death at St. Helena and Fort St. George, for setting up a Court of Admiralty and Court Martial there, and for the commanders of their own ships, as well as of men of war sent on purpose and a the charge of the public, to seize and destroy such as were called interlopers, as they did to the value of about 200,000l., levying frequently great mulcts and fines on their factors, seamen, and others, imposing strange oaths, and expending great sums of money to carry on such designs. As by these proceedings [the Company] bids defiance to all laws hitherto, so it may be feared will they here after, though one law more should be made to bind them. Where temptations are great, and transgressions private, laws are often broken. A great stock and thriving trade may soon put an East India Co., if settled by Act of Parliament, beyond the control of laws and government; if it should walk in former steps, [it] may be sooner set up than pulled down, and prove an unlimited constitution under a limited monarchy, if measures may be taken from what hatl passed. If settled by Act of Parliament for 21 years, though the trade in that time increase to be 20 times more than at first, [it is not likely [they] will increase their stock or take in more adven turers, but keep all to the few that may engross it at first. avoid most of these evils, this regulation is humbly proposed: That a company be established; a governor, deputy-governor and 24 assistants named, to be renewed annually by the general Cour of Adventurers; the sole trade to India to be granted to this Company, exclusive to all but such as shall come in upon the follow ing terms:—1. To pay 20 per cent. of all adventures [they] shall send to East India, the said Company never to deny licence at an time to such persons as shall pay in to them the said 20 per cent 2. That such persons as thus become adventurers to India shall by paying the said 20 per cent., become members of the said Company, and shall be capable to be chosen governor, deput governor, or assistant, and have a vote in the general Courts whe [they] have, by paying the said 20 per cent., paid 1, 2, or 3001. as may be adjusted. 3. That the 20 per cent. shall be manage by the said company for the advantage of those who pay it in and out of it to pay the charge of forts and castles, if though necessary to be maintained, and all other public expenses, an

1 6 9 6. No. 955.

when they have an overplus to make dividends, such adventurers to be entitled to shares thereof, according to their respective proportions and time paid in. Many other regulations may be necessary, as to act by a common seal, &c., which may be inserted in the charter, and some left to general Courts. Thus there may be a great stock in a short time to answer all pretences made for a joint stock, the trade made national, all may at all times come in upon as good terms as those before. All the adventurers will be as one body upon the same foot; the inconveniences of a monopoly prevented; complaints silenced; and the Dutch out-traded, because we shall, if [we] carry on the trade thus, probably undersell them in all places in Europe, which is the only way to beat any nation out of a trade. In India [they] must be kept in awe by the power we may hope to have over them here at home, and by the favour of the princes there; for it is impossible, considering what lands and ports [they] are in possession of, and how (they) exceed us in strength in those parts, to make our party good with them. Which is all humbly submitted to your Lordships' better judgments. [Delivered to and read by the Select Committee on 18 Feb., pursuant to their Order of 15 Feb. Com. Book.]

.) 1 April 1696. Mr. Shelden's Paper. Some things that perchance may be thought fit to be taken into consideration, upon the settling and regulating of a new East India Company, &c. First, that no discontents may be given to the members of the present Company, a reasonable valuation be made of their present That whatever it amounts to be made up to 2,000,000/, by stock. new subscriptions. That books be kept at several places, for any Englishman to subscribe what he thinks fit. That, if such subscriptions amount to a greater sum than can well be employed in the trade to East India, it be reduced to such a stock as shall be thought absolutely necessary for the carrying on of that trade to the best advantage by a proportionable deduction out of each man's subscription. That 500l, paid in have one vote. That 2,000l. paid in have two votes. That 3,000%, paid in have three votes, and so to 10,000l. ten votes; but that no person have above ten votes, though he hath above 10,000%, paid in. That no person have any vote for stock in trust. That there be a governor, deputy, and twenty-four Committee-men chosen, as formerly. That no person shall be capable of being governor, or deputy, unless he hath 2,000%, stock paid in. That no person shall be capable of being a Committee-man unless he hath 1,000%, paid in. That the Governor, Deputy, and Committee be chosen every year, and that they take the usual oaths to be true and faithful to the Company. That every person who desires to be concerned in the new company be obliged to take the usual oaths, and be made free by paying a small fine, before he have any credit for stock in the Company's books. That the Company may have power by their charter to make by-laws for the better managing of their affairs. That all such by-laws be approved of a General Court before they be in force. That, after they are so approved of, they be observed by all the Members of the Company, provided they are not contrary to the laws of the land. That every General Court and Committee be summoned by the Governor, or in his absence by the Deputy, and that either the Governor or Deputy be present at every General Court and Committee. That, upon le'ate in the General Court or Committee, if the voices be equal

the Governor have the casting voice, and in his absence th Deputy. That, when both Governor and Deputy are present, th Deputy have only a single vote as a Committee-man, and the then the Governor have likewise a single vote as a Committee man, and the casting vote besides, if upon debate the votes at equal. That the said Company may have the whole benefit of the trade to the East Indies and those countries mentioned in the charter of the present Company, and that none besides the sai Company shall trade directly or indirectly to any place within th limits of their charter, under such forfeitures and penalties as sha be thought fit to be mentioned in their charter. That all transfer of stock shall be entered in a book kept in the accountant's office to be seen and perused by any person concerned in the Company stock. Several other things may be thought on, and these may l better worded and put into some better methods when there is an certainty of having a new charter confirmed by Act of Parliamen The House of Lords and the House of Commons having declare by their votes that the East India trade is necessary and beneficia to this kingdom, and [that] the best way to preserve and carry on the said trade is by a Company united in a joint stock exclusive of a others, I hope the next thing they will please to consider of wi be the settling and regulating the said stock and Company, ar that it may and will be done without lessening, and indeed ruining the said trade by prohibiting several sort of goods brought from India, especially wrought silks and calicoes. The reasons give for the doing of it are, as I am informed, because they are on curiosities and not necessaries; that the general use made them doth very much prejudice and lessen our manufactures he in England, hinders the employing of our own countryme exhausts and carries our money out of the kingdom. But I desi those gentlemen who think so to consider whether it is possible persuade or force the subjects of England, or indeed of any tradit nation, from making the curiosities of those countries they trace to necessaries to them, or to be contented or satisfied only wi what their own country produceth. But, if we were such ange as to be so governed, yet I cannot think it would prove so gener and great an advantage and benefit to the nation as some a pleased to say it would be. I confess, if foreign manufactur were prohibited and none but our own were made use of, it mig increase the quantity of our manufactures and the number of o weavers; but I am sure it would be some years before we cou make sufficient quantities of goods to supply us, and, if they we of silk or cotton, they would cost us 501. per cent. more than the brought from India. And, though upon such an encouragement some persons might leave their former employments and become weavers, and others choose rather to be weavers than other trades, yet I cannot think more workmen in general would be employed than now are, for there is work enough for all persons, and no man in England need be idle unle And whoever considers what manufactures of silk a linen we have in England (I am very sure) will not think t importations from India are so prejudicial to them as some s they are. I confess they do hinder the sale of those sorts of good made in Italy, France, and Holland; but I suppose nobody w think it advantageous and beneficial to the nation to bring good from those countries that may be brought from India much bet

and cheaper. For example, having no sarsenets, ducapes, taffetas, or plain silks for linings made in England, we formerly had them from Italy and France; but those we have of late years brought from Bengal are found to be more durable and useful, and are sold here in England for little more than half the price of those brought from Italy and France. As for linen, having no manufactures of fine linen here in England, sure no Englishman will be for pro-hibiting calicoes, unless he hath more kindness for the Low Countries than his own. And, if the King and Parliament thought fit to propose to the Dutch the prohibiting of calicoes here in England, I believe they would give a very considerable sum of money to have it done; for, though they could not bring calicoes to us, because they are prohibited, yet they would be assured we should carry our money to them for their lawns, cambries, and fine hollands, which would be a great advantage to them, and as great a disadvantage to the subjects of England, because we should pay much dearer for their lawns, cambries, and hollands than we did for our fine calicoes. I know several persons say that the trade and [?in] curiosities to and from India exhausts and carries away our money; but I desire those persons to consider that the East India Company can send out nobody's money but their own. And is it to be imagined they would send any out, unless they had very great reasons to hope it would return again to them here in England by the circulation of trade? I am sure everybody thinks the East India trade hath been very profitable; but how could it be so, if it carried away our money and did not bring it back again to us? I know the Company did, and do, send considerable sums in bullion to India; but they always contrived to bring into England as much as they thought they should be obliged to send thither, and, though perchance upon some sudden occasion they might be forced to buy bullion here in England, yet was it ever known that, by transporting it, they lessened the quantity of our money so much as to make the nation sensible of it? And, if a right calculation could be made of the value of those East India goods they have exported from England to other countries, I verily believe it would amount almost to as much as the value of the bullion they have sent to India, without deducting what would have been drawn from the nation by the commodities of other countries if we had not been supplied from India. And, as some persons blame the Company for increasing the trade by bringing in curiosities and goods not formerly brought from India, so others blame them for not having more improved it; though, I think, without reason, for, since the year fifty-seven, the trade hath been very much improved and increased. Perchance of late years it hath not been so, because the last Company was under such circumstances that it had not been prudently done of them if they had ventured and spent a considerable part of their stock for the improvement of a trade the future benefit of which they might lose the next session of Parliament; but, had their charter, with good regulations, been confirmed by Act of Parliament, no doubt but the East India trade had been in a much better condition than now it is. I therefore hope his Majesty and the two Houses of Parliament, having declared the trade to be necessary and beneficial to the nation, (that it may continue to be so) will settle it by an Act, and upon all occasions will encourage navigation and trade, which I am sure is a considerable branch of the riches, strength, and glory of England;

and I think the East India trade to be a very beautiful blossom of that branch. And, as all nations aim at something, I heartily wish ours did at universal traffic and command at sea, and that all good counsels and endeavours for obtaining both were followed and encouraged. [Delivered to the Select Committee by Bp. London on 2 March, pursuant to their Order of 15 Feb., and read the same day. Com. Book. Reported to the House on 1 April. L.J., XV. 724, and MS. Min.]

(r) 1 April 1696. East India Company's answer to Mr. Pollexfen's Paper. In obedience to your Lordships' commands, we have perused and considered the long voluminous answer given in unto your Lordships, pursuant to your Lordships' Order of the 15th instant, wherein we humbly conceive the author's main design appears to be an invective against the trade to India in general, as disadvantageous to the nation. Had we no other testimony to offer to your Lordships that the trade to the East Indies is a profitable and advantageous trade to this kingdom than the unanimous vote of the Commons of England in Parliament assembled, after mature consideration thereof, this, we humbly conceive, was enough to have discouraged the author of this paper from publishing his opinion in opposition to so great and wise a body. But, the author having descended to particulars, we humbly crave leave of your Lordships to answer him in his own way. And, as to his first proposition, we say that the author is mistaken in matter of fact, when he alleges the Company's trade in manufactured goods and raw silk was a new trade till after the year We further crave leave to add that, the Spice trade having been wholly cut off from the English, by the great power and indirect ways of the Dutch, for above 60 years, and most part of the pepper trade by the loss of Bantam about 14 years since, the English Company have not been able to make any considerable investments but in the calicoes, silks, drugs, saltpetre, raw-silk, cottons and cotton yarn, goat's wool or carmania wool, To the second, we do with humble and other products of India. submission show to your Lordships that the liberty given for the exportation of bullion was the result of a Council of Trade soon after the restoration of King Charles the Second (the most of which Council were your Lordships' noble ancestors, peers of this realm), and not procured by the solicitations of the East India Company. But, had it so been, we do humbly conceive, and we do hope we shall in the sequel of this answer satisfy your Lordships, that liberty of exporting bullion in the trade to the East Indies is the great increase of the same. And, whereas the author suggests as if this was the only trade that required the exportation of bullion, he bath herein made a great mistake, nothing being more publicly known than that great quantities of bullion are wont to be exported in the trade to Turkey, the East Country. and other places. The author's accusation that the Company sent out throwsters, weavers, dyers, and other artificers, is the same that was formerly made use of against them by the Tunkey Company before King Charles II. in 1681, whereunto that Company then gave the following answer : - "As to the accusation of sending throwsters, weavers, and dyers, the East India Co say the whole accusation is a mistake, except only as to one or two dyers, which they have usually sent to the Bay of Bengal and no other part in India. Nor would they have sent any thither but that they did believe, and think they are able to prove to your Lordships, that it was for the nation's as well as the Company's advantage, especially as to the plain black silks, which they principally intended, and such are generally a foreign commodity here, very few or none being made in England." To the 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, and 7th propositions that [? as to] those several enumerated commodities which the author represents as too much interfering with the woollen, silk, and linen manufacturers of this kingdom, both as to the consumption here and exportations abroad, we answer that this is no doubt true in matter of fact; but we humbly conceive it may with as much reason be argued that all foreign commerce is prejudicial to the common interest of England, for that without it we should, and have wherewithal to, feed and clothe ourselves, and thereby keep our own people in full employ. And we cannot but observe to your Lordships how short-sighted the author hath been herein, or how little he hath considered that, should these several commodities be prohibited importation directly, the French, Dutch, and Scotch would soon furnish us with them at a far greater price, when we should to our cost find that the strictest prohibition could not hinder from purchasing Indian and other manufactures at extravagant prices; instances of which are seen daily in the great quantities of French and Flanders laces and French silks which are worn here, and (since the unhappy loss of the Company's ships) of fine muslins, &c., which are imported and run in without Custom from Holland. The 8th and 9th propositions make the trade of India interfere with other foreign trades. We wish the author had linked hereto the tenth proposition, wherein he commends the ingenuity and parsimony of these natives of India, and cheapness of their products; and then we humbly submit it to your Lordships' great judgment, whether is best for the interest of England to go to a dear or a cheap market for the foreign wares we stand in need of. And this, we conceive, does not otherwise operate upon the English manufactures than the silks and linens from Italy, France, Holland, and Germany, only with this difference, that those are imported to us much dearer, and with not above one half of the Customs, or one tenth of the freight, which the Indian silks and calicoes pay, which is all net profit to this kingdom. We might make an argument to your Lordships that this trade, as it hath been driven on by a joint-stock, hath employed great numbers of defensible ships, and that, in the time of their full trade, there hath been near 100,000l. per annum paid to them, the greatest part of which is net profit to this kingdom. And we might easily show to your Lordships that this trade is more for the advantage of and more necessary to England than to any other kingdom, in regard that France and Holland, our chief competitors herein, depend much more than we do upon the fabrics of linen and silks, yet do not discourage their Indian trades; and it is certainly the interest and advantage of England to have silks and linens from the cheapest markets, whereby we impoverish our neighbours by supplying ourselves and them with such goods as work against and beat out their manufactures. To the 11th proposition, we take leave to assure your Lordships that the author hath made a very wild guess

at the exportation of so much bullion and manufactures to India, the Company having not annually sent out so much as 400,000/. sterling of bullion and manufactures, computing one year with

1696. No. 955.

another. But this we observe is to usher in his 12th proposition wherein he suggests his doubt whether the one half thereof b again exported, and consequently that the nation must be at th expense of 400,000l. per annum in Indian commodities, which h comes to in his 13th and 14th propositions, whereto we do answe that, when the Company had the most free and uninterrupted trade their sales never amounted to one million per annum, excepting one year; that, by the nearest computation, at least two thirds o their imports were exported again, which must bring in at leas double of the first cost in India. And whether, according to thi calculation, there must not be near twice as much bullion (or wha would cost us our money) imported again by the benefit of thi trade, to the addition of the stock of the kingdom, your Lordship will be able to judge. And, further, your Lordships may pleas to observe that the annual charge of the Company for the carrying on this trade is about 100,000l., which is born out of the trade and so much got by the subjects of this kingdom in their service here and abroad. The 15th and 16th propositions press ver hard for a prohibition of goods manufactured in India, wherein w presume the author hath made a very false step in his theory o trade, and builds upon a very weak hypothesis, it being a undeniable maxim, and what is the general practice and policy of all trading countries, that trade ought not to be limited or restrained, but left to its free current; nothing being more visible than that, if it be stopped or dammed up in one part, it will overflow in another. Thus we see, in the present prohibitions of French wines and linens, the expense of those sorts of commodities is no lessened in consumption here, but they are brought in upon us from other countries at much dearer rates; and, since the scarcity of calicoes, occasioned by the severe losses of the Company's ships we find the want of them is supplied by the linens of Holland Germany, and Poland, which are worn and used in lieu thereof and cost us, it may be, thrice as much as our expense for thos uses has been in calicoes. How far this will answer his 17th 18th, and 19th propositions, we must humbly submit to your Lord ships, whereby he seems to foretell the ruin of our fabrics an artificers, should an East India trade be settled by an Act of Parliament in a company by a joint stock without restriction and grounds his argument from the present ill circumstance in respect to the coin and scarcity of bullion. Your Lordships will herein judge for us that, when the East Indi trade was driven to its height, we never had so great plent of bullion and silver money in England as then. And, had the present Company's ships arrived in safety, we should have by th time found above a million of money more than we now hav or, at least, less sent out for the balance of our expense and charg This policy appears to us no better than his, who, having found liberal mine, would yet, for good husbandry's sake, shut it up to say the expense in working it. We cannot but observe to your Lore ships how the author concludes his paper, after a great deal political discourse about trade, and the danger of erecting corporate tions for carrying on thereof; and that he hath laid down for argument that joint stocks are narrow interests, and will never trace at large, but content themselves with great profit on a small stock and that therefore the leaving this trade to the East Indies ope or settling it in a regulated company, would be the best way

this — No. 955.

having it improved, and of managing it to its full extent; this, he says, would prevent his before-mentioned threatened evils. In this conclusion, we humbly conceive, the author has fully discovered both the weakness of his arguments and the strength of his inclination; for, says he, the trade is dangerous to the fabries and artificers of England, though limited and circumscribed in a joint stock, but the trade, when carried on to its full extent by a regulated company, when there will be much more bullion sent out and more of the product and manufacture of India brought in, then will the evils threatened to our fabries and artificers cease. We have here a large field to expatiate on, and might show your Lordships the incongruity of this paper and the disingenuity of the author, not only in borrowing a malicious satire against all corporations, but in pressing his opinion against the great judgment of your most Honourable House. But we shall choose rather, with humble submission, to lay before your Lordships our opinion as to the fabries of England, that, so far as this nation increases in the manufactures of silks and linens, so far, in all probability, shall we find the decrease of our woollen manufactures; and whether it is not more eligible to make our staple of our own than of foreign materials, your Lordships will judge. Our noble staple of wool would, no doubt, yet admit of a vast improvement, and employ all the artificers in England, but whether the benefit they find by increased wages upon the silk and linen manufactures may not, in time, much lessen, if not wholly destroy, our foreign trade in woollen goods, is much to be feared; and it may with great truth be affirmed that nothing will so effectually keep up the price of wool as the cheap and full manufacturing thereof. It may further be observed how these fabrics have drained the country of its inhabitants, there being hardly found enough to carry on the woollen manufactures and husbandry, though at excessive wages, which we humbly conceive may be of dangerous consequence; and with humble submission we think nothing can tend more to the destruction of this so profitable and most valuable trade of the woollen manufactures than the excessive prices they are brought to, which will encourage our neighbours to fall upon the same fabrics, and which have within a short time increased to a great degree in Scotland, Ireland, France, Holland, and some parts of Germany. Your Lordships, we humbly hope, will excuse us that we answer not to the many calumnies which the author heaps upon the East India Company, since this regards the former Company and hath no reflection upon the present; but his dislike of ten men having so great a part of the stock looks more like envy than argument, and is more agreeable to the principles of Levellers than merchants, whose emulation in trade is commendable, and whose spur to industry is hope of increase. Your Lordships will see the little good that prohibitions in trade have done; the severe prohibition of money from Spain is a lively instance thereof, and may it not be expected, and is it not daily seen, that all nations take their measures from the practice of those they trade with, and immediately find [means] to make reprisal for all impositions on, or prohibitions of, their product and manufactures? Your Lordships upon these considerations, will, we doubt not, conclude, with your own resolution, that the trade to India should be carried on by a joint stock, and we hope we have satisfied your Lordships that this trade is a profitable and advantageous trade to this nation. All which

is humbly submitted to your Lordships' great judgment and furtle consideration. Signed (by order of the Governor and Compar Ro: Blackborne Seev. Dated East India House, 27 For 1695. [Delivered to Select Committee on 2 March, pursuant their Order of 19 Feb., and read same day. Com. Book. Report to the House on 1 April. L. J., XV. 724, and MS. Min.]

to the House on 1 A 1 April 1696. Est	timate of the	XV. 72 East I	24, and MS India Comp	l. Min.] any's stock
21 Feb. 1695, as foll Creditors:	lows—		-	£ 8
By Lead 9,000 Maunds	s remaining at	Surat a	s by advices	<i>A</i>
lately received thenc By Money owing by the	e	-		2,531
by advices lately rec	eived thence -	1 mm 1 mm		8,212 10
By Cloth sold out of whereof not yet ret	the Modena i	n Persia	the effects	
thence				13,440 (
By Good Debts and Re Malabar and in Pers At Broach as by S	ia, vizt.	and on	the Coast of	
books E.E Carrwarr [Carv	- Rupees	20,168	6	
as by their bo F.F page Calicut as by the	ooks odas 23,406 i heir	s 93,624	0	
DOURS A. ISUII	20b.505	73,388	0	
Bombay as by the books R. Xera Gombroon [G	phs 256,463 om-	192,347	0	
bron] as by t books F.F. (Shah	G.G. lees 1,323,080	196,012	0	
	Rupees	575,539	6 is Sterlin	ng 64,74 2
By Good Debts and subordinate factories, At Fort St. Georg by their books K Vizagapatam as their books K. York Fort as their books F. Ry Fort St. David by their books B Tonqueen as their books Q. Ditto more as Fort books K sent thither since	vizt. e as c.K. pagodas by s as by tale by tale 18,660 by t.K.	74,985 21,214 18,524 22,442 13,822 6,018	0 0 0 0 8	ng 70.652 18
By Good Dobte and				ig 10,002 it
By Good Debts and vizt.		не Вау	or Bengal	
At Chutanuttie as their books L.L. Cassumbuzar as	Rupees	371,737	1	
their books L.L.	_ `	34,862	15	
Maulda as by the books L.L.	-	259,618	0	
Decca n as	by			
their books X. Pattana, noth but Dead Stock	ing	104,672	0	
but Dead Stock Ballazore as		_		
their books Q.	2	97,913	0	
	Rupees			a 100 000° #
		-00,000	o is oterin	g 100,000 7

By Good Debts and Remains at St. Helena as by their books No. 24 By the yearly Customs at Gombron of 1,000 tomands at 32,624 control of 1,000 tomands at 32	£ 721	s. 4	d.	1696.
3l. 6s. 8d. per tomand amounts to 3,333l. 6s. 8d. (as by their last books F.F. and G.G. which at 10 years purches is	99 999	C	ن	No. 955.
Chase is - By Revenue at Fort St. George as by their last books K.K.	33,333	6	8	
9,9021. per annum at 10 years purchase is	99,020	0	O	
By ditto at Bombay as by their last books R. 9,538l. per annum at 10 years purchase is	95,380	0	0	
By ditto at Fort St. Davids as by their last books B.		0	/>	
3,948%. per annum at 10 years purchase y fifteen ships now in India upon their return home, their	39,480	0	0	
cargoes amounting to	543,771	11	8	
By five per cent, for contingent charges in investing the said 543,7711. 11s. 8d.	27,188	11	7	
By fifty per cent. advance on the aforesaid 543,7711.11s.8d.	,			
in consideration of the great advance in the price of bullion and all other English commodities then carried				
out and also of the interest and insurance and the				
profits to be made on the sale of those cargoes in India	271,885	15	10	
By Fort St. George, Fort St. David, the Island of Bombay	-11,000			
and St. Helena, Bencoolen on Sumatra with the buildings at and on all the chief and subordinate Factories				
which are settled and supplied with Factors proper Officers and Soldiers together with the Ordrance				
mortars arms & ammunition with other Stores and				
mortars arms & ammunition with other Stores and all the Capitulations Privileges and Immunities pur-				
chased at a vast expense in the several places within the limits of the Company's Charter	370,000	0	()	
By four ships now at Spithead and the Samson in the				
Downs, their cargoes amounting to By five per cent. for contingent charges of investing the	239,580	17	3	
said 239,580 <i>l</i> . 178. 3 <i>d</i>	11,979	0	10	
By the Company's parts as owners of seven ships By Imprest Money to the owners of several ships now in	16,436	1	1	
the Company's service	31,560	6	0	
By Leases and buildings in London By Debts due in England	9,600 23, 576	0	0 11	
By Cash remaining the 20 February, 1695	61,532	5	10	
By Goods bought, shipped and ready to be shipped - By five per cent. Contingent Charges of investing the	72,179	7	11	
said 72,179l. 7s. 11d	3,608	19	5	
By Remains in the Warehouses unsold By Stock belonging to the General Adventurers	5,390	0	0	
149,432l. 19s. 6d. valued at 75 per cent.	112,074	14	8	
£	2,336,483	10	1	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		_	
By the advance that may reasonably be expected by the Company on the return of their 15 ships now abroad.				
By the Debts owing in England to the Company from				
several persons now in controversy about 60,000l. By several Doubtful Debts remaining in India, not in-				
cluded in the above-mentioned articles, 142,541l. 14s. 6d.				
Debitors: For the Debt owing at Surat, with all interest due to the				
16 January, 1694, as by advice lately reed thence	257,062	10	0	
To Money in England owing on their Seal at interest until the 20 February, 1695			7	
To Money due for interest since the 30 September last -	817,127 24,000	3	7	
To Money due for Custom To Money due for Freight and Demurrage not yet	7,522		5	
adjusted	5,269	0	0	
· ·	1,110,981	9	0	
To Balance	1,225,502	1	1	
X	2,336,483	10	1	

1696.

No. 955.

To Money demanded of the Company by sundry persons in controversy 25,000%.

London the 21 February, 1695.

I assert this to be a true Accompt according to letters and books received from India, and our books here, only the several articles of valuation are done by the order & direction of the Governor, Deputy Governor & Committee of the East India Company.

Signed Francis Beyer. [Delivered to Select Committee 11 March, pursuant to their Order of 6th, and read the same Com. Book. Reported to the House on 1 April. L. XV. 724, and MS. Min.]

(t) 1 April 1696. Mr. Pollexfen's Observations on preceding pa

In obedience to your Lordships' Order of the 14th this instant Ma have perused the paper laid before your Lordships by the East India C pany, being an account of what stock they have, and of what debts owe; and upon such articles as are liable to objections, have made following observations, which in all humility submit to your Lordslipudgment and correction.

The 2nd article on the Credit side, the Debt charged owing by the Great Mogul, appeared to be but an old pretence, not likely ever to be recovered, therefore recharge it, being

The 4, 5, 6, 7 articles amounting to 244,7221. 9s. 5d. for good debts & remains in the Subordinate Factories of Malabar and Persia, Fort St. George, Bay of Bengal and St. Helena appear to be for dead stock and remains of accounts brought from book to book, for several years past, no accounts from Malabar since ann. 1687, and the rest so uncertain and liable to so many objections as are not likely to be worth 100,000%, therefore recharge

The 8, 9, 10, 11 articles for Customs and rents at Gombron, Fort St. George, Bombay and Fort St. Davids, amounting to 267,193%. 6s. 8d., and the 370,000%. charged in the 15 article for the said Forts & Islands of St. Helena, all amounting to 637,193%. 6s. 8d., it is humbly conceived may be reduced to 50,000%, because the Company cannot pretend to the said Forts and Islands nor Customs and rents as an inheritance, but as intrusted to them for public good, a dissolution of the Company bringing them to the King, of course, and paid to the former Company only 20,000% for Fort St. George, Island of Polleroon [Poleron], Bantam and other places of more importance than what now have, and the Custom and quit rents many came with, and are so annexed to the said Forts as cannot be separated, the titles to most precarious, and the charge in receiving the said rents amounting to more annually than the said rents and Customs, allowing the sum of 50,000%, out of the sum above mentioned rephave.

above mentioned, recharge
The 14 article of 50 per cent. advance on the Cargo of 15 ships, being no advice is yet come of the arrival of 4 of them, it's supposed 25 per cent may be sufficient for interest and insurance, the 5 per cent being admitted charged for contingent charges, therefore recharge

The last article, being for stock belonging to the general Adventurers, 149,432l. 19s. 6d. charged, at 75 per cent., ought not to be computed at more than what the Stock is found now to be worth, which, at most, may be about 25 per ent., therefore recharge

8,212 1

144,722

587,193

135,942 17

74,709 16

57,000l. which was sent out by the Thomas, one of ships whose Cargoes are allowed in the accolaid out and employed, as is supposed, to load solution and Defence which were taken by the coming home, and thereby the cargoes of the	the Re-	£		
are sunk so much, therefore recharge the said		1,007,781		0
		1,007,781	U	
The Balance of the East India Company amount Abating as above:	s to -	1,225,502 1,007,781		1
Remains as Stock clear		217,721	1	0
The 16 March, 1695[-6]. Signed John Pollexfen.		_		
[Delivered to Select Committee on I their Order of the 14th, and read the sa Reported to the House on I April. L. Min.]	ame day. J., XV.	Com. 724, and	Boo l M	ok. IS.
) 1 April 1696. Mr. Shelden's Paper in			er (
Creditors: By the Cargoes of 15 Ships now in East India, it appearing by the Company's books and the testimony of those employed in the buying of the goods contained in the said Cargoes that their prime cost did really amount to	£ 8.	d. £ 8	8.	d.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	27,188 11	7		
50 per cent, profit on the adventures in the said 15 ships, which I think may be allowed in consideration of Interest, Insurance, Salaries and allowances to Factories in India, presents to Governors, profit upon the goods in the said ships, and in consideration that the present Company is not to have any future benefit or advantage upon the returns of the said ships, the said 50 per cent, amounting to	71,885 15	10 — 842,84	5 19) 1
By the Cargoes of 4 ships at Spithead and the Samson, the Company making it appear by their books and other good testimonies that the prime and real cost of the said Cargoes did amount to 2. 5 per cent. for Contingent charges, being a	39,580 1 7			
proportionable share according to the values of the said Cargoes, the said 5 per	11,979 0	10 251,55	9 19	3 1
By Shipping for the Company's shares in 7 ships, if the said ships are now in being and the said shares really worth	ol nee t d	16,43		
By Impress money, the Company making it appear they have really paid upon that account		31,56		

. MANUSCRIPTS OF THE HOUSE	OF LO	RDS.	
By Leases and Buildings in London, if so let and made use of as that they may be	£	s. d.	£ 0.000
reasonably valued at By Debts in England, if made appear to be		•	9,600
good By Ready money in Cash By Goods bought, some of which are shipped		-	23,57¢ 61,532
and the rest ready to be shipped, the Com- pany making it appear by their books and other good testimonies that their prime			
and real cost amount to 5 per cent. for Contingent charges, being a proportionable share according to the value	72,179	7 11	
of the said goods, and amounts to -	3,608	19 5	75,788
By Goods in the Company's Warehouse, it being made appear by their books and other good testimonies that they really			5,390
By Stock belonging to the General Adventurers 149,4321. 19s. 6d., and if the Com-			0,000
pany makes it appear that the said Stock was really and not notionally paid, in the			
said Stock, may be reasonably valued at 60 per cent., amounting to By Sundry Accounts for good Debts and		-	89,659
Remains in East India, as appears by their Books of Accounts sent from thence: By Cloth sold out of the <i>Modena</i>	13,440	0 0	
By 9,000 Maunds of Lead remaining at Surat, and valued at 2,531 · 5 0			
Good Debts and other Remains there amounting to -64,748 2 11	67.279	7 11	
By Good Debts and Remains at Fort St. George	70,652	15 0	
By Good Debts and Remains in Bengal	108,600	7 6	
By Good Debts and Remains at St. Helena amounting to	721	4 0	260,693
But whether the present Company shall be allowed what they value their said debts and remains at, I humbly submit to your Lordships' consideration, that which			
makes it seem the more reasonable they should, is because not only several of the Committee who have the reputation of very			
worthy men but their accountant, thought by every body to be a very honest man,			
affirmed in the account delivered to your Lordships the Company hath not credit			
for any bad debt or dead stock, and I and an Article not entered to their credit but			
at the foot of their account wherein they make their doubtful debts amount to			
142,541 <i>l</i> . 14s. 6d. which they do not desire to have credit for.			
By their Forts, Factories which they value at [£]370,000, a sum that perchance may be thought room then they are worth but			
be thought more than they are worth, but considering the great charge and expense they have been at, both at Fort St. George,			
Bombay, Fort St. Davids and for Ordnance, Arms, Ammunition and Stores in those			
Forts and in building and settling Fac- tories in most parts [of India, perchance]			
your Lordships may think it reasonable (I confess I do) to allow them for their said			150,000
Forts			100,000

150,000

By Revenues at Fort St. George, valued at 10 £ s.	7. £	N.	d.
	0		
At Bombay 95,380 0			
At Fort St. David 39,480 0			
At Gombron 33,333 6	8		
	- 267,213	6	8
I think the said Revenues at 10 years'			
purchase are valued too high, but I leave it			
to the consideration of your Lordships, who			
are the best judges, and I am sure will be			
so just to the Company as to allow them what you think the said revenues are really			
what you think the said levenues are learly worth.			
By the Great Mogul, whose debt I thought			
desperate, but by what I heard some of the			
Company and their Accountants say before			
your Lordships I am in hopes it will not			
prove so. For, if the Company is to pay			
money at Surat and have the Mogul's			
order to deduct what he owes them, I am			
very sure those that receive the money of			
the Company will be very willing to allow			
the Mogul's debt of	8,212	10	0
Debtors:	£	8.	d.
For the Debt owing at Surat with all Interest due to the			
16 Jan. [16]94, as by advice lately received thence	257,062	10	()
To Money due in England owing on their Seal at Interest	,		
until the 20 Feb. 1695	817,127	3	7
To Money due for Interest since the 30 September last -	24,000	()	()
To Money due for Customs	7,522	15	ŏ
To Money due for Freight and Demurrage not yet			
adjusted	5,269	0	0
m 7.1 "	1,110,981		()
To Balance	707,661	. 9	1
	1,818,642	7.1	1
To which Balance is to be added what your Lordships	1,010,012	1.2	1
shall think reasonable to be allowed for the Revenues			
at Fort St. George, Bombay, Fort St. Davids and			
Gombroone [Gombron].			
Likewise what is due from the Great Mogul, if the Com-			
pany have given your Lordships any reason to believe			
the debt he owes will ever be paid.			
Fo Money demanded of the Company by sundry persons			

London the 21st February.

in controversy 25,000%.

[Delivered to Select Committee on 17 March, pursuant to their order of 14th, returned to Shelden to be corrected, and delivered gain and read on 18th. Com. Book. Reported to the House on April. L. J., XV. 724, and MS. Min.]

April 1696. Account of the hearing of the East India Comany, Mr. Pollexfen, Mr. Shelden, and Capt. Gifford, before the elect Committee on 17 and 18 March, reported to the House this ay. It is as follows:—The Committee giving the East India company leave to speak to the several Articles in Mr. Polexfen's paper, they answered to the effect following:—To the rest Article, concerning the debt owing them by the great Mogul, hey said that debt would be paid to them when they paid the much reater debt owing by them at Zarat [Surat]. This is but a new ebt; it was when they were at war with the Mogul; and to take it out they produced a letter dated 15 November 1694. To the ext Articles, vizt. 4, 5, 6, 7, they say they have cast out their addebts in the account. They want their books from Zarat. They could neither have their letters nor books again, which were aken by the French in the Modena, though they solicited often

and carnestly for them. Capt. Gifford also informed their ! ships that the Captain in the Princess, in which were the 1 and letters belonging to the Company which were taken b French, endeavoured to have got the said books and letters a but could obtain neither, nor could Capt. Gifford prevail to his own books or papers, taken from him in the Seymour. say they have had no ships come home with dead freight. say the old Company agreed with the Armenians to bring goods at 18l. per cent.; but the new Company never did any thing. At Fort St. George debts have always been due to Company, as will, they say, appear by their books. To the 10, and 11 Articles, the Company say they are at a charge in lecting their revenue, which is risen much of late years. the King determines this Company, their successors they must pay them, for they will have the inheritance; but Mr. lexfen told them they never claimed this as an inheritance t now. They say they have spent 100,000l. in endeavouring retrieve the pepper trade. Every particular fort hath had addi made to it. Above 100,000 inhabitants in India pay them and in seven years more the number may be double what it is They say their rents are worth more than 10 years' pure because 100l. here carried to the Indies is worth 120l., and carried to Barbadoes and those plantations will bring but 80 reason of hurricanes, and rents there are valued at seven purchase at the least. Some of the grants, they say, are ma the Company, others of them to the English nation, by they mean the Company, for they know no other English na They say the charge of maintaining their forts has been defi out of the advantage of the trade. Their rents, they say, arisen within seven years. The charge is somewhat equivale the rents, but it is no other than private persons that trade th must be at, with factors. To the 14th Article, they say the offered at this time by the merchants 150l. per cent. for ships now at India. That when these ships return they receive a good market here, by reason of their late losses. T last Article, they say they submit that to the valuation of stock. In answer to the last paragraph, a letter to the Com dated at Zarat, January 16, 1694 is read. It shows that Defence and the Resolution were not laden by the Thomas, for they were laden at Zarat when the Thomas was at Be Mr. Shelden and Mr. Pollexfen being asked whether a list of subscribers to the present East India Company, and what east them have subscribed, would not be the best information to I the true value of the stock of the said Company, they said would give no light what the stock is; it would only inform originally it was, but not what is the present value. [See Book, 17 and 18 March. Reported I April. L. J., XV. 724 MS. Min.]

956. Dec. 3.—Griffith v. Harries.—Petition and Appeal of Journal of Griffith, clerk. Petitioner, owing Hugh Harries 900l. for law chargave him a lease absolute of the glebe and tithes of his vicara Lanellen, Monmouthshire, worth 27l. a year, as a mortgage, and a of his Rectory and tithes of Langattock juxta Usk, Monmouth worth 80l. a year, in trust, for payment of the debt. Harries refuse come to an account, pleading a general release to 1st May 1685 insisting that the lease of Lanellen was no mortgage but an absentate. He obtained a Decree against which Petitioner applications.

ned by Petitioner and countersigned W^m. Dobyns, Fran: Browne., XV. 602. [At the hearing together of this Petition and that enkin Griffith and John Morris (No. 957) Sir Bartholomew Shore Mr. Dobyns were heard for the Appellant, and Sir Wm. Williams Sir Tho: Powys for Respondent. Appellant had paid 150l. for ricarage of Langattock. Respondent pleaded a release. MS. Min.] nnexed:—

No. 956.

1695.

- 24 Dec.—Answer of Hugh Harries, Gent. The lease of Lanellen was an absolute purchase, and he gave more for it than it was worth. Has also had to provide a curate during Appellant's long absence. Respondent enjoyed it quietly for 6 years until one John Morris, an attorney, instigated Appellant to disturb him. Appellant has made himself a prisoner in the Fleet on a judgment obtained by Respondent, but is at large. Respondent has not received anything from Langattock except on account of Jane and Frances Watkins. Appellant has brought his Appeals (see next No.) separately in order to increase expense. Endorsed as brought in this day. (See L. J., XV. 637.)
- 57. Dec. 4.—Griffith and Morris v. Harries.—Petition and Appeal enkin Griffith, clerk, and John Morris, Gent. (See No. 956.) The to Harries of Lauellen was a void lease, and Griffith leased the nises to Morris, who brought an ejectment against Harries. ries, however, obtained a Decree giving him the quiet possession he premises during Griffith's life. Appeal against the said Decree. ned by Appellants and countersigned W^m Dobyus, Fran: Browne. J., XV. 603. [Heard together with Griffith's other Appeal . 956) and judgment delivered on 10 Jan. 1695–6. L. J., XV. 637.] nnexed:—

(a) 24 Dec.—Answer of Hugh Harries, Gent. The lease of Lanellen was an absolute purchase, and Morris had full notice of it before his pretended lease. *Endorsed* as brought in this day. [See L. J., XV. 637, and MS. Min., 10 Jan. 1695–6.]

(b) 27 Dec.—Brief to move to have the two Appeals heard the same day. Sets out the circumstances of the two Appeals. See L. J., XV. 622.

58. Dec. 4.—Parkyns' Estate Act [H.L.].—Amended Draft of an for enabling Sir Thomas Parkyns, Bart., to sell certain messuages, is, and hereditaments in Huby and Easingwould in the county of k, and for settling other lands and hereditaments of greater value cu thereof. A clerical amendment was made in each House. [Read this day; Royal Assent 21 Jan. following. L.J., XV. 603, 647. 8 Will. III. c. 6. in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 10 Dec.]

nnexed:—
(a) 10 Dec.—Consent of Sir Thomas Parkyns and Dame Elizabeth Parkyns, dated 18 Nov., and attested by Wm. Pierrepont, John Thomhagh, Jo: White and Ricd Slater. Also consent of trustees of Dame Elizabeth Parkyns. Signed Will: Frankland, Tho: Wakefeild, Jno: Clegg. Attested as to Frankland by Leo: Smelt, Geo: Skaife, Hen: Jackson; as to Wakefeild and Clegg by Chr Goulton, B. Walters, Jo: Ashey. [Produced before the Select Committee this day. Com. Book.]

(b) 10 Dec.—Affidavits of Samuel Parsons as to the value of the Yorkshire Estate, and of Gregory Wilmot as to the value of the Lincoln shire Estate. Sworn, 20 Nov. before Tho: Thomson. [Produced before the Select Committee this day. Com. Book.]

959. Dec. 6.—Naval Miscarriages (Merchants' Losses at sea). Papers connected with the enquiry into Merchants' losses at s which began with a Resolution of the C. W. H. on the State of t Nation this day. See L. J., XV. 606.

The MS. Min. and Com. Book supplement the Journals as follow

I. MERCHANTS AND THE ADMIRALTY (Papers a to s).

On 6th December, in C. W. H., it was moved that the East Inc Company and others lav before the House the great losses they ha had by sea. Resolved, That the East India Company, &c., lay before this House an account of their losses this last year. After some tir the House was resumed, and E. Huntingdon reported the Resolution as in L. J., XV. 606. (MS. Min.)-Accordingly, on 10 Dec., the Bar, the following accounts in writing were delivered by t merchants :---

 1. The East India Company
 1,500,000 (Paper a)

 2. The Barbadoes Traders
 387,100 (Paper b)

 3. The New England Merchants
 114,000 (Paper c)

 4. The Levant Merchants
 No loss (Paper c)

 5. John Gardner's losses
 13,300 (Paper c)

 6. Pennsylvania Merchants
 11,800 (Paper c)

 7. Leeward Islands Merchants
 138,000 (Paper g)

which papers being read, the East India Company's papers rea Proposed to ask them whether they conceive those losses did arise f want of cruisers? The East India Company called and asked t question. Answer, There were very few cruisers. Second question Whether they made application for cruisers? Answer, We made app cation for cruisers, and we gave account of Nesmond's being out. were answered that there was a considerable number of cruisers abroa We made application several times after in expectation of the oth ships, and there were cruisers sent by Hobson [?Hopson], but wheth they went so far or not, I cannot tell. Asked, if they made application writing, they say, Always in writing. Asked, if they keep a book their actions in this case, they say they have most in writing. Ordere That the East India Company do lay before this House wh applications they made to the Commissioners of the Admiralty f cruisers, and what answers they received from them. The Compa were called in again and told by the Lord Keeper, That you draw in writing, and lay it before the House, all the transactions betwee you and the Admiralty in writing. The Barbadoes Paper (b) w read, and the Merchants called in and asked to give account where t ships were lost. The Content ship, lost at Barbadoes by a hurrical The Plymouth. Where those ships were lost, and what the conceive the reasons are. They delivered a Paper (b1), which w read. Asked, whether they made application for cruisers. Answer We made application the 26 July. Another paper was delivered a read. They were ordered to deliver a particular account on Frid Then the New England Merchants were called in and we asked the former questions, and ordered to give account in writing Friday next. *Pennsylvania Merchants* called in. They made t same application as the Virginia Merchants. Leeward Islands res and they called in and asked as before. Answer, We never made a particular application. We will set down the manner (?) of the loss every ship. A Paper (h) was delivered from the Jamaica Merchants, a read. They were called in, as the former, and told they must delive on Friday next, the times when and where the ships were taken.

per (i and i1) delivered from the Royal African Company, and read. ey were called in, and told they must set down the time of the loss the ships. (MS. Min.) On 13 Dec. Papers were delivered (1) the East India Co. (Papers k), (2) the New England Merchants uper 1), (3) the African Co. (Paper m), (4) the Barbadoes Merchants per n), (5) Jamaica, and (6) the Leeward Islands (Paper o). In W. H. on the Papers delivered on 10th, E. Huntingdon in the ir, the Paper delivered this day from the East India Co. was d. The New England Paper read. (MS. Min.)—On 14 Dec., in Select Committee on the above Papers, they were read. Part of two Acts relating to cruisers is read. The Paper concerning the st India Co. to be sent to the Admiralty, all the particulars therein ng such as require particular answers. As to the New England per, to be sent to them to require their answer to the complaint that re were not cruisers in the Channel, according to the Act of Parliant, most of their losses having happened in the Channel. As to the ican Company, to give answer to all the losses therein, except the first . As to the Barbadoes Mcrchants, to answer why those eleven ships e first eleven] were detained five months after the time appointed them to sail, till the season of the year came in which the hurricanes ally happen. As to the next sixteen (viz., Nos. 20 to 31, 34, and 37 Paper b), why the Barbadoes Merchants had no convoy, and why cruisers stayed not out in their stations, according to the desire of the rchants. As to the Leeward Islands Paper, no time being expressed en they had their losses, to be sent back to them to have it further plained. As to the Merchants trading to the Leeward Islands, no plication having been made by them to the Admiralty for cruisers, Paper not to be referred to the Admiralty, save [as] to the tigua Merchant. As to the Antigua Merchant, taken February 04-5 out of Berehaven in Ireland, how that ship came to be lost, I what care has been taken of the coast of Ireland. Proposed t the House be moved that the Admiralty give account how cruisers and convoys were disposed the last year (see Paper p^2). dered that Report be made of these particulars to the House. om. Book. Cf. L. J., XV. 613.)

On 6 Jan., at the Select Committee on the Papers delivered by the miralty on 27 Dec., L. Ferrers in the chair, the Paper marked No. 1 aper p^1) was read. On consideration of the first paragraph, it was ered that the House be moved that His Majesty be applied to that ch applications as were made to the Council touching the stop of the rbadoes Fleet on the 19 April 1694 to 7 May following, with account what was ordered thereupon in Council, may be laid before the ouse. Part of the Paper delivered in by the Barbadoes Merchants Dec. (10 Dec., Paper b) last was read. Proposed that Sir Jo: publon be asked why the Barbadoes Fleet was detained three months lahalf before the 19th of April'94 after it was ready, and why they not sail after the embargo was taken off. The Papers given by Admiralty, in answer to the Order of 14 Feb. the last Session, to be re at the next meeting. The Order of 14 Feb. 1694, and the lmiralty's answer to it, were read. The abovesaid Report and testion are postponed. Ordered that Rob^t. Heysham, Edward istwell, Rowland Tryon, and Stephen Skynner, Barbadoes merchants, attend on Friday. Sir John Houblon and the two Secretaries to Admiralty are called in. They are asked what years they mean ten they say, in their answer to the first Question, "August" and January" (see Paper p^1). Mr. Bridgman says the Merchants' Paper) is confused. It relates to 1694. They desire they may bring a

Paper with the dates put into it tomorrow. Proposed they be that their answers as to the cruisers (Paper p2) are defective, and have not sufficiently explained their stations, nor how long they at sea, where they have said "till further orders." That they directed to give an account of every individual cruiser and con what station employed in, how long continued on that station, and time of their having been at any time in harbour; and, whatever they begin to give account of, they should give an entire accour her service before they speak of any other. Instead thereof, following Order was made and read to them, Ordered that the L Commissioners of the Admiralty do set down in distinct columns times all the cruisers and convoys of the Fleet were ordered to t several services, how long they remained upon them, for what co they left them, and in what roads or harbours they remained the of the time, and upon what occasion; and do send the same to t Lord-hips with what convenient speed may be. And this account be given from 1st Sept. 1694 to 1st Oct. 1695. (Com. Book.)

Paper q.*).

On 13 Jan. Sir John Houblon and the Secretaries of the Admir are called in. Sir John Houblon delivers in a Paper (q) more exa drawn (as to the dates) touching the Barbadoes merchants, &c., w is read. He desires the Paper No. 1 (Paper p1), delivered into House 27 Dec. last, may be given him back, this being the same, amended in the dates. The Barbadoes merchants are called in. above-mentioned Paper is read again. Sir John Houblon s in '94 the Tiger came out with twenty-four merchant ships. I Bernuda they met with a hurricane. Ten merchant ships were. The Tiger returned to Barbadoes to be repaired. In April Tiger came out with twenty ships, and they came safe. The that came without convoy, both while the Tiger was repairing and a she came away, were lost. When the convoys will be ready for t now? That should have been so 1st Jan. When they desire longer time, we were forced to take off one of their convoys for King's service. One that is to go with them in its place will be rein two or three days. There is nothing wanting but a few men, w. order is given for. (This is for the Virginia merchants.) The con was ready according to the Order of the Council on 1st Dec.; they desiring not to go till 1st Jan., we disposed the convoy, bec it should not be unemployed. The Woolwich (that is to go C mandour (sic)) is now at Long Reach. But she is to go with the Virg merchant. The Admiralty are directed to give positive aust tomorrow morning when the convoy will be ready to go with Barbadoes Fleet, which Sir John Houblon undertakes to do. Perry says, If they have not cruisers in the Soundings as wel convoys, they shall be still in danger of losing our heavy ships, reads a letter of 10 Feb. 1694-5, from Plymouth, that there were but two cruisers. When our ships were taken in August, there t four men of war in Plymouth, and none of them would go out. posed that the matter of cruisers be considered before this Commi finish all the business. (Com. Book.)—On 14 Jan. the Comissioners of the Admiralty are asked when the convoy to Barbadoes Fleet will be ready. Sir John Lowther \ says he beli it will be near the end of the month before it will be ready. Mr. P says all their ships have cleared Gravesend. Sir Robt. Rich says

A Memorandum by the Clerk states that L. Ferrers told Sir John Lov that their Lordships did not admit of the Commissioners sitting down; bu reason of his infirmity, if he sat behind, out of sight, there would be no retaken of it.

1695.

No. 959.

ve not vet brought the list of their ships to the Board, though quired on Friday last. He says Mr. Perry and other merchants plied to the Council for a longer time than 1st Dec. Mr. Priestman: would have been ill in us to have let the convoy lie by when ready. re East India ships now have that convoy. The cruisers are fitting Plymouth. Admiralty: Except accidents, the convoy will be ready the latter end of this month. Question: When will the Virginia avoy be ready? Admiralty: That convoy will be ready sooner an the other; or, at farthest, when the other is ready. The erchants desire the convoy may be in the Downs. Admiralty: he convoy will be in the Downs, if one of the ships that is in Portsouth can be ready soon enough to come. They are called in and d that the Committee have taken notice of their saving that the nvovs will be ready for the Barbadoes and Virginia Fleet by the end this month, and the House will take notice of it if they are not idy; but their Lordships hope they will take care to be accordingly idy. (Com. Book.)

On 15 Jan. the Commissioners, on the notes taken being read over them, said, if the winds stand westerly three or four days longer, the nvovs for the Barbadoes and Virginia ships will certainly be ready; t, if it do not, no other ships can be fitted by the end of the month, cause ships to the West Indies must be both inwardly and outwardly ted otherwise than ships to other places. The Colchester is in the er at Woolwich, the Woolwich in the Long Reach, the Prince Orange in the Downs, and the Prince George at Portsmouth.

The five ships for the convoys are the Colchester

Woolwich, for Barbadoes, with the Virgin Prize coming from the owns to the Nore; the Woolwich is at Long Reach (for Virginia) th the Prince of Orange in the Downs, and the Prince George Portsmouth. Another frigate, called the Southampton, is fitting Portsmouth, in case the Colchester cannot get from Woodwich ne enough. (Com. Book.)—On 22 Jan, the Commissioners of e Admiralty are sent for. The Committee's Order of the 6th Jan. read. They say the Return is ready, and only wants signing com. Book).—On 23 Jan. a gentleman from the Admiralty delivers the account of the cruisers required by Order of 6 Jan. He ithdraws (see Paper q^*). The memorial (at Aug. 6th, fol. 16) read (ce Paper p3). Query (1) Why the ships were then dispersed? Query) Whether they had ships cruising 60 or 80 leagues from Cape Clear, nd how many, and at what time? Query (3) Why no additional rength was sent into the Soundings? The Memorial of the East ndia Company of 6th Aug., as also that of 10 Sept., to be laid before e Committee by the Admiralty. That the House be moved that oplication be made to the King that the Petition of the East India ompany of 12th Sept. to the Lords Justices, and the other Papers iven by them to the said Lords Justices at any time in relation to neir ships, may be laid before the House. Query (4) Why the dmiralty gave the East India Co. for answer that they could be send out the strength they desired? The Commissioners' memorial Fol. 21 (Paper p^3 , Sept. 12) is read. (Com. Book) (see also J., XV. 649).—On 27 Jan. the Admiralty Paper marked No. 3 Paper p^3) at fol. 21, 12 Sept., is read. Query (5) How they came to x a station to this squadron? (Com. Book.)—On 7 Feb. the ommissioners of the Admiralty are asked whether the convoys or the Virginia and Barbadoes Fleets are sailed, or in what forwardness ne) are. Capt. Priestman: I believe the Fleet is sailed to-day, if the ind be at north east. On the 5th inst. the Virginia convoy, viz.,

the Woolwich and Prince of Orange were in the Downs, and t Prince George and Hawk fireship at Spithead, under orders Plymouth. The Barbadoes convoy, viz., the Colchester is at t Nore and under orders for the Downs, and the Virgin Prize is the Downs. But some of the merchants have not yet clear Gravesend. The paragraph of 6 Aug. in the Paper marked No (Paper p3) was read. The Commissioners, being asked why the shi were then dispersed, say The Cruisers Book will show why they we so, and where they went. There might be intelligence of the Fren being abroad and we not have it, nor believe it.* It must appear wh time of day the intelligence came of the French squadron, and wh time L. Kiveton's squadron was dispersed. We went to the Lor Justices, and got part of the ships for the Straits sent, as soon as heard from the East India Company. They are asked whether they h ships cruising 60 or 80 leagues from Cape Clear, and how many, a at what time? They say, there were two ships ordered to cru 60 leagues west of Cape Clear. Being asked why no addition strength was sent into the Soundings? Say, We sent all the ships had within our command. We never had ships enough for trade a other services. There was a squadron going into the Straits then. other ships that could be got together were sent into the Soundin, Why did you give the East India Company for answer that you cou not send out the strength they desired? Because we had no mo ships. We can give your Lordships a particular account where all t ships were, and under what Orders, on the 5th, 6th, and 7th Aug. He came you to fix a station to this squadron? When we have ground to fix a station, we do it; but when we have not so, we leave it to t captains. We do, as often as we can, comply with the Merchants the station proposed by them. Ordered that Sir John Lowther a Sir John Houblon be desired to be here on Monday, with the ot Commissioners, and that they give a particular account where all t ships were, and under what Orders they were, on 5th, 6th and 7th At (See Paper s). (Com. Book.)—On 10 Feb. The Commissione say the account called for is preparing, and, as soon as ready, shall brought. They desire they may have the questions asked them on t 7th in writing, that they may give answers in writing to them. The withdraw. They are called in again, and the five questions put them on the 7th (viz., the four given above in italics, and why Ca Cole was not tried, see Notes III. and IV. below, Pages 69 to 75), a their answers to them, are read. They desire they may have the of question, namely, "Why the ships were then dispersed?" with t others, and may answer them all in writing. They are told they she sent them. They withdraw, and the said several questions are reand agreed to be worded as follows, and sent to them to give answers in writing with what convenient speed they can. The text of t questions is given in Paper (r) below. (Com. Book).—On 19 F Mr. Cooling is directed to acquaint the Commissioners that Committee will sit again on the 22nd, and they hope they will then ready with the answers to the questions given them at the le meeting -Ou 22 Feb. a gentleman from the Admiralty gives in answers (Paper r). (Com. Book.)—After a further adjournment matter dropped.

II. THE "AVARILLA" (Papers t).

On 13 Dec. Mr. Bailey was called to the Bar and asked concernic Capt. Doyley and Capt. Massam's pressing his men. He said it was a supersymmetric concerning the concerning

^{*} See also Com. Book, 21 Jan.

In the captains that pressed the men. He could prove it, so far as nature of the case could bear. Moved to appoint a Committee to mine into this matter. Ordered that a copy of Mr. Bailey's Paper per t^1) be sent to the Admiralty, as in L. J., XV., 611. (MS. a.—On 17 Dec. the Admiralty's answer (Paper t^2) was read, they were ordered to acquaint the House when Capt. Doyley, the otain of the Bristol, returns, and whether Capt. Massam had been England lately or when they expect him. (MS. Min.; L. J., 615.) The Admiralty's answer to this (Paper t^3) was received 19 Dec.

III.—L. KIVETON and the Admiralty (Papers p^3 and u to ab).

on 6 Jan. L. Kiveton,* acquainting their Lordships that he coners himself reflected on in the Paper marked (3) (Paper p^3), desires may have time to put in an answer thereto in writing, which is ordingly ordered. (Com. Book.)—On 11 Jan. L. Kiveton gives an answer in writing (Paper u^1) to the Admiralty Papers, a y of his letters to the Admiralty (Paper u^2), and a copy of his rnal (Paper u^3). The Paper of the Commissioners of the Admiralty rked (No. 3) is read to fol. 11 (Paper p^3 , down to 20th July). L. veton says Capt. Dilkes' name is omitted at the Council of War for ng into Milford; that the Captain ship, ordered him, was one of line of battle ships under L. Berkeley. (Com. Book.)—On Jan. L. Kiveton's answer (Paper u¹) is read. Sir John Houblon is led in and told that in it L. Kiveton denies the most material things heir Paper, and their Lordships intend to read it by paragraphs, t they may, if they please, speak to it, if they are ready. Sir J. ublon desires a copy of it, and that the Admiralty may rejoin. He is he has not here my Lord's original letters. Their Paper was wn up by the whole Board, and it is hard he alone should answer. en Paper (p^3) begun to be read by paragraphs. The 1st paragraph read. The 1st paragraph in L. Kiveton's answer (u1) is read. Sir in: We intended constantly a squadron of six men of war and two ships in the Soundings. These five were to relieve the others, two two. The next paragraphs in both are read. Sir John: We ered him two ships. The Weymouth was cruising. L. Kiveton. e Dorsetshire and Weymouth were not then cruising, as in ir memorial. The Weymouth was cleaning 13 June, and came out the dock 14 June. The Dorsetshire came into Spithead 13 June. e Admiralty's Order and letter of 25 May are read. By what lers the Lenox sailed from the Nore to Spithead? Sir John: A or two before L. Kiveton had Orders we had ordered her to Spitad. A postscript in the said letter of 25 May is read. Ordered at the Admiralty send copies of the Orders under which Captain le and Captain Hubert (Hubbard) cruised in the Soundings at the ne L. Kiveton was to join them. Sir J. Houblon remembers not at after 25 May my lord ever came to the Board, or had leave to go Chatham. If we may have a copy we can answer. Mr. Bridgeman vs he cannot say my lord was not there, but knows not whether after May. Mr. Burchett remembers my lord was there, but not that he d leave to go to Chatham. A copy of a letter of 17 June from L. 1 6 9 5. No. 959.

The Marquess of Carmarthen, who sat in the House of Lords as Baron Osborne Kiveton, was represented by the Admiralty (1) as having been slow to leave bour to protect the merchant fleets; (2) as having failed to find Capt. Cole's advon, which he had been ordered to take the command of; and (3) as having staken it finally for a French fleet, and run into Milford to escape it.

Kiveton to the Admiralty is read (see Paper u2, No 2). The ne paragraph (Paper u^1) of 20 June and answer to it were read. Sir Houblon says he thinks about the 2nd June they sent to seek my lor and it was said he was not in town. It being very late, the Lords ri with intention to meet again when the House thinks fit. (Cor Book.)—On 14 Jan. Sir John Lowther gives in copies of the Orde relating to Capt. Cole and Capt. Hubbard's cruising in the Soundin. (Paper v), which are read. The 1st and last of said Orders (of 22 Ap. and 20 May) are read. Proposed to ask the Admiralty why they gr Orders so at large that they know not how to come at their cruiser Their Paper No. 3 (Paper p³) is begun to be read. The 1st paragraphies read, as also L. Kiveton's answer to it. Sir J. Lowther: The was notice given to Capt. Cole and to my lord that the ships that we cruising were to be sent to him two and two at a time, and so the for ones to be sent in. The next paragraph (Paper u1, 25 May) is real Sir John says he cannot answer to that long reply upon memory. 0 search of the Minute Books they find no leave nor permission to given to my lord for his stay in town. They have examined the sceretaries and clerks, and they remember nothing of it. Question Whether my lord ever was at the Board after 25 May before h sailed? Answer, They have made enquiry and no one can remembe his having been there. Sir Robt. Rich says he remembers my lor was once at the Board between his Orders and going on board, an spoke of his private occasions detaining him in town. The Boar agreed my lord's goods should be on board the galley with the Lenox. That he needed not go on board till the Lenox was a Spithead. That the Lenox being come to Spithead, and hearing nothing of my lord, Mr. Burchett let his lordship know that the Lenox had orders to sail without him if he came not there by such time. L. Kiveton says he believes he was with them eight, nine, or ten times. Sir Robt. Rich positively affirms that my lord was with them and knew of this matter before he had his Orders. He pressed us much before he had his Orders. Sir John Lowther says his method is, 'I pray put what you desire in writing, and you shall have the Board's Resolution.' Part of L. Kiveton's letter to the Admiralty, of 17 June '95, is read (see Paper u2, No 2). Sir Robt. Rich says he believes my lord was with them just about the time the Lenox was at Spithead. They then hearing my lord was in town and enquiring for him, he came afterwards to them; and, except that one time, he is positive he never saw my lord at the Board. L. Kiveton desires Major Stopford may be heard as to his having been at the Board after 4 June. Mr. Bridgeman remembers that Major Stopford was there with my lord, but he remembers not the time. They withdraw. L. Kiveton desires Major Stopford and Lieut. Charles Christian may be sworn, and that Billop may have the protection of the House. Ordered, That the House be moved that such witnesses as my Lord Kiveton shall produce may have the protection of the House in going and coming. That the House be also moved that a Message be sent to the Commons that my Lord Cutts may have leave to come to be examined. (Com. Book.)-On 15 Jan. Major Joseph Stopford (sworn at the Bar), being asked whether he was with L. Kiveton at the Admiralty, and about what time, says he believes he was, about 3 June. He believes so, because he has my lord's Order, under his hand, which was signed 4 June. To the best of his remembrance it was between 12 and 1 o'clock. He received this Order the day after he had been with L. Kiveton at the Board, which was the 4th June; and he

eves it was on Monday. He withdraws. Charles Christian orn), being asked whether he remembers L. Kiveton's going to the niralty, says he saw him the 4th June (Tuesday night) come out he Admiralty, out of the little room where the Board sits. He in the next room to it when he saw my lord come out. Sir Robt. th says that room is kept private. None come there but whom we I for in. Mr. Christian says my lord Kiveton sent for him in re. He thinks it was between 8 and 9 o'clock at night. He says was also with my lord there the 28th May. He withdraws. L. eton, being asked by the Commissioners of the Admiralty, says man was accused and condemned at a Court Martial for bases v, pardened by the King. Capt. Christopher Billop (sworn), being ed whether he ever saw L. Kiveton at the Admiralty between May and 14 June, says, after my lord told him he had an Order to cinto the Soundings, he waited on my lord to the Admiralty about for 29 May; and afterwards, about 4 or 5 June, he saw my lord go the outer room where the Commissioners sat. He told me, after came out the first time, the Admiralty would not believe M. smoud to be out with so many ships. The latter time, he told me I Commissioners had given him hopes of an additional strength to squadron. He withdraws. The Commissioners say this witness ands indicted for forgery and subornation of perjury. He has forfeited or two recognizances. One is levied, and the other, they think, eving; but had sent to the solicitor for fuller information. In the rgin is a memorandum that on 24th Jan. the Clerk was directed, in p notes he is to transcribe for the lord in the chair, not to mention w part of Billop's evidence, there being no need thereof. L. Cutts, other of L. Kiveton's witnesses, being not yet sworn, their Lordships re to go into the House in order to swear him, and to return to reive his deposition. John, L. Cutts, being sworn (see MS. Min.), to Committee sit again. His Lordship, being called in and asked viether he saw L. Kiveton at the Admiralty in May last, says out he went on board for Flanders on 30 May. That a few days fore (but whether one, two, or three days he remembers not) he saw In at the Admiralty in the antechamber. I asked him for his galley, ed he said he was going to sea himself. Thinks L. Kiveton said he ms there to solicit to get more ships. He withdraws. Sir Robert Rich eys without doubt L. Kiveton did press for more strength, and said te squadrou was too weak; but it never appeared to the Board it was L. Kiveton proposes the Commissioners be asked thether he ever had orders to go to Spithead. The Commissioners y sometimes exceptions are taken to our Orders being too general, pretimes too particular. Sir Robt, Rich takes notice that L. Kiveton sterday said he believed that the Order he gave Major Stopford was ven him the day before he brought the said Major Stopford to the dmiralty, but the Major himself says he believes it was the day after. The Commissioners are asked why they give Orders so at large that ney know not how to come at their cruisers. Mr. Priestman: The order will answer for itself if read. The first part of the Orders of 2 April and 20 May (Paper v) is read. They say there is no better ay of finding cruisers than the Order sets forth. The postscript of heir letter to L. Kiveton is read. The Order is to find out such and uch trades, and every seaman knows which way such trades come.

ometimes we have been blamed for giving too loose Orders, ometimes for their being too particular. The Commander-in-Chief Iways, the first port he comes to, acquaints the Board what station he

has taken, and where he may be found. If L. Kiveton had been board the 2nd June, there had been no neglect in his Lordship; 1 the service suffered by his not being on board when the Lenox ca to Spithead the 2nd June. And we put no stress on what happer before. L. Kiveton says he went on board the Lenox on 14 Ju at which time he wrote a letter to the Admiralty Board from on board the said Lenox. The Commissioners say they have no more to say this matter, but leave it before their Lordships. L. Kiveto answer (Paper u1) to 17 June is read. Sir Robt. Rich gives in copy of Mr. Burchett's letter of 10 June '95 to L. Kiveton (Paper a which was read. He says, There is nothing in my Lord's answ where he was between the 2nd and 14th June. L. Kiveton: I ha proved by my witnesses where I was the 4th June. Commissioner Where was his Lordship the other ten days? L. Kiveton says he w often with them. He received the positive Order of 10 June Wimbledon the 11th, as he was going down to his ship, and proceed on the 12th, and hoisted his flag on the 14th in the morning, and sail that night; and wrote to the Commissioners when he was unmooring L. Berkeley, being asked, says at 11 that night his Lordship call on him, and the Lenox was then at St. Helens. L. Kiret complains of his being sent in a single ship. The Commissioners s there are instances of Flags sent in single ships-Mr. Russell one Monsieur Almond last summer. We weakened not the squadron f my lord. The King commanded a squadron to be sent, and we cou not, at that time, send a stronger squadron. My lord was the prop person to send, and we believe he would have taken it ill if anoth had been sent. The solicitor mentioned by the Admiralty being her the Commissioners desire he may be heard as to the trial of Cap Billop. The service stands still while we are here. We accu not my lord, but we justify ourselves. We could not have defend ourselves without saving what we have said concerning my lor The service suffers infinitely by our being here. We are press every day in the year with business at the office; but we w attend when your Lordships command. They are desired to here again on Saturday. (Com. Book.)—On Tuesday 21 Jan. tl Commissioners are enquired for at the door, but, not being there, the Yeoman Usher is sent for them to the Admiralty Office; who being come, L. Kiveton desires [that the paragraph of] the Paper market No 3 (Paper p3) from the Admiralty, of 26 July, * may be read, which was accordingly done. Sir Robt. Rich : L. Kiveton gave us account of h coming into Milford, and by a Council of War, and in the sight of or own ships, which he took to be French ships. L. Kiveton's letter 23 July and the Council of War are read (see Paper u2, Nos. 15 & 16 Sir Robt. Rich: We mentioned not these advices because we had no suc advices, nor believed anything of them. † L. Kiveton: The reason my calling the Council of War is omitted in the Paper given in by the Commissioners (Paper p3). Mr. Priestman: If we have not put all the Orders and letters, we have them here. L. Kiveton, being asked by the Commissioners, says, We were off the Land's End about 4 miles, and about 6 leagues from Falmouth, when we saw the ship which we thought to be 5 leagues off, and a hazy day. The Commi. sioners remember not whether they had intelligence of any squadro of French men of war being out. L. Kiveton's letter of 24 July read (see Paper u2 No. 17). Commissioners: We took the Cai

^{*} As to L. Kiveton's taking refuge in Milford. † See also Com. Book 7 Feb., and Notes I above, page 68.

diz trade to be safe without my lord, but much more so when he with them. He was ordered to protect the trade that was not teeted, and not the trade that was protected. L. Kiveton: I had such instruction. Commissioners: It was but eight days from your ag from Plymouth to Kinsale. Part of the Admiralty's Paper and lord's answer is read (Papers p^3 , u^i). L. Kiveton's letter of 20 y to L. Capel is read (see Paper u^2 N° 14). L. Kiveton says no ler came to him in time to take care of the East Indiamen parlarly, the said Order not coming to him till he was at Plymouth, ie thinks. Mr. Priestman: There were Orders to take care of the et Indiamen. The Order of 2 Aug. '95 is read, as also L. Kiveton's er of 7 Aug. (see Papers p^3 , u^2 , N° 20). The Order of 5 Aug. is (Paper x). Mr. Priestman: | Because you complained of being tout with too few ships, when you had more than were with you on you were sent for home]* we [?you] said there were too to accompany a Flag. There were more ships with you, and to et you, when you complained of being sent out with so few, than en you were called home. (Com. Book.)—On 22 Jan. the Order he Admiralty to L. Kiveton of 5 Aug. is read (Paper x). My 's answer of 5 [should be 8] Aug. (Paper u², N° 21), is read. nmissioners: The beginning of the Order says the ships should be arated. They were not to be together. Question, Whether there the like direction from the Lord Justices for the second Order as the first, that is, for the Order of 5 Aug. as for that of 2 Aug.? Robt. Rich: If we are accused of a crime, I hope we shall have e to answer and not speak off hand. Mr. Priestman: We laid Kiveton's letter before the Lords Justices, and they left it to us to eve L. Kiveton, as we thought fit, and the Board met and did ik fit to relieve him. L. Kiveton: I sailed the 8th of August from ford by Order of 5 Aug. I received it on the 8th, in the morning. Lordship received the Order of 2nd on the 6th, and weighed often, was getting ready when the Order of 5th came. There were se ships and a fireship with L. Kiveton in Milford.—The Order of July '95, for calling Capt. Cole to a Court Martial, was read per y). Question, Whether he has ever since been before a Court tial?† Commissioners: Your Lordship will find by the cruising k how far Capt. Cole and the Portland have been within our ch since. L. Kiveton gives an account of Capt. Cole's disobedience him, and abusing his Lordship. L. Kiveton's letter of 8 Aug. is (see Paper u^2 , N° 21). The last paragraph of L. Kiveton's answer per u^1) is read. Question, Why the L. Kiveton was not tried at a irt Martial, as he desired? Mr. Priestman: I believe, if my lord any answer at all, it was that they were not willing to give an wer till the King had been acquainted with it. Sir R. Rich: I w not that any Flag has been tried without the King's privity or mand; but we have laid it where we ought to do. ok).—On 23 Jan. a letter from Mr. Burchett to L. Kiveton of July 1695 is read (Paper aa), and the Clerk is directed to make ppy of the notes he has taken for the lord in the chair, to the end ters of fact may be reported. (Com. Book.)—On 24 Jan. it ordered that the Clerk put not in his notes the evidence given by istopher Billop the 15th inst., there being no need thereof. m. Book.)—On 1st Feb. the Select Committee read the notes en relating to L. Kiveton (Paper ab), and ordered that the lord in

[•] These words are scored through.

[†] See also Com. Book 7 Feb., and Notes IV. below, pages 74, 75).

the chair acquaint the House that he is ready to make report of wh relates to L. Kiveton in the memorial given in by the Commission of the Admiralty, when the House thinks fit to receive it. In oth matters their Lordships are not yet ready. (Com. Book.) See at L. J. XV. 657.—On 6 Feb. L. Ferrers reported to the House t notes taken by the Committee so far as they related to L. Kivet (Paper ab), and, the latter having been heard in his place, it was mov to enter on the Books That the Lord Kiveton hath behaved himself the last summer's expedition at sea with courage, conduct, and fidelit Agreed to. (MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 662.)

IV. L. KIVETON and Capt. COLE.*

(Papers r (Question 6), u^2 (Nos. 22, 23), u^3 , y, z, and ac to af.)

On 27 Jan. the Admiralty Paper marked No 3 (Paper p3) at fol. 2 12 Sept., is read. That a time be appointed to examine the matterning Capt. Cole. That the Yeoman Usher intimate to t Commissioners of the Admiralty that he believes some day this we there will be occasion for their attendance on the Lords' Committee and also for Capt. Cole's and Capt. Hobson's attendance at the sai time. That some of the East India Company attend at the same time. when the Commissioners and Capt. Cole attend. (Com. Book.) On I Feb. Mr. Cooling acquaints the Committee that the Admira have sent for Capt. Hobson and Capt. Cole, and that the former is town, and the latter is not well but hopes to be here in a day or to Ordered that, if Capt. Cole can be ready to attend next Thursday, Commissioners do then attend with him and Mr. Hobson. (Co Book.)—On 6 Feb. L. Kiveton's letter of 12 Aug. to the Admira is read (see Paper u², N° 22). The Commissioners' letter of 14 Au in answer thereto, is read (Paper ac). Part of L. Kiveton's letter 17 Aug. to the Commissioners is read (see Paper u2, No 23). Order that the Commissioners is read (see Taper u, N 25). Odds that the Commissioners of the Admiralty be desired to be here morrow, and that Capt. Hobson and Capt. Cole do then atter (Com. Book.)—On 7 Feb. L. Kiveton's letter of 12 Aug. is re relating to Capt. Cole (see Paper u², N° 22), as also the Admiralt answer to it of 14 Aug. (Paper ac). The Commissioners are ask why Capt. Cole was not tried?† They answer, My lord restored here. to his command himself. My lord was best judge of his complain and had it in his power to try him. Mr. Smith's Journal (Paper z) here, and gives account of the other complaint. He is since unftunately drowned at Plymouth. Part of L. Kiveton's letter 17 Aug. to the Admiralty is read (see Paper u², N° 23). Mr. Prie man: I never had any extraordinary opinion of Capt. Cole, thou others have an esteem for him. I believe he was not tried because lord put him in his ship agaiu. The letters from Cole to the Boa I believe, were the reason he was not tried. The letters are he He was sent to fetch the mast ship because he was the first ship t was in the way. Otherwise, he had not been sent. Capt. Cole called in. The Commissioners give in an extract of Mr. Smit Journal (Paper z), which is read by paragraphs. Cole: W. Smith was chasing a small ship, the Lichfield at the same t was chasing another ship, and, we being a small squadron, and

^{*} See also Notes III. above, 22 Jan., page 73. † See also Com. Book, 22 Jan., Notes III. above, page 73, and Paper r, (Question

perceiving he gained any ground, towards night I called him . L. Kiveton's letter of 12 Aug. to the Admiralty is read n. Cole: I have disobeyed no order. My lord abused me in ruage, pinching, and blows, so that I was not out of my cabin some time. He kept me a prisoner on board of a hired ship days. I continued off the Land's End 48 hours to meet the pert, who was gone off with some gentlewomen to Bristol. I no orders to contradict my going to Mount's Bay. I left not my till two in the morning. I saw no ships, and I went thither to the Admiralty account that I was left without ships. I own I ived an Order not to leave my lord without signal. My lord ed the signal to be two lights; but I saw none when I went The witnesses I had sworn were to prove my lord's abusing and turning me out of my ship, and sending me aboard an hired . He withdraws. The Admiralty being desired to bring Cole to ourt Martial, Sir Robt. Rich gave in a letter from Capt. Cole to the pairalty, dated 11 Aug. '95, which is read and given back (Paper Capt. Cole's letter to L. Kiveton of 6 Aug. '95 is read (? Paper Sir Robt. Rich: We desire all that are within reach may be nined in this matter. Though Sir John Lowther do not now act, he was then at the Board. Sir George Rooke was also present. lieve other reasons will be given why he was not tried. nutual accusations of the Flag and the Captain. If you will have tried out of hand, nothing in us shall hinder it. They withdraw. ered to report that it is the opinion of the Committee that Captain

's trial appears as cancelled. he Papers are as follows:—

(a) to (i) 10 Dec.—Papers delivered this day (L.J. XV., 608) at the Bar, in obedience to Order of 6 Dec. (ib., 606), by various trading Companies, stating their losses. Read this day, and ordered to be amended (ib., 609, and MS. Min. Note I. above, page 64.) They are as follows:—

be forthwith tried by a Court Martial (Com. Book.). The same L. Ferrers made the above report to the House, which agreed to it. Min. No entry in L.J.) An entry in MS. Min. of the bintment of a Committee to draw up an address to the King for

(a) 10 Dec.—East India Company's Paper, handed in by Sir Benjamin Bathurst. They lost last year the following ships:—

Princess of Denmark - - 670 133 40 laden from the Bay of Bengal.

Seymour - - - 500 — — laden from the same place.

Taken by the French 150 leagues west of Scilly, in August last, and carried into Brest.

Men. Guns. Tons. Success 400 32 laden from 80 the Bay of Bengal. Defence 750 150 50 laden from Surat. Resolution 650 130 40 laden from Surat.

Taken by the French 20 leagues off the coast of Ireland, in September last, and carried into Port Lewis.

Henry - - - 350 tons laden from Sura Forced ashore by the French, and stranded in Venta Bay in Ireland, about July last.

The cargoes of the Company's said ships, with their share the ships Seymour and Henry, would have sold here for least fifteen hundred thousand pounds sterling. Dated Ea India House, London, the 9th December 1695, and signed, order of the Governor and Company, 'Ro.: Blackborne, See'.'

(b) 10 Dec.—Barbadoes Merchants' Paper. Account of part their losses to and from Barbadoes, since the first Septemb 1694 to the first September 1695, from and to the port London, being value 387,100l. sterling.

TOHOOH, DOING TAXAB DOTTILE BY	
1 The Content Capt. [Samuel]	
Snipe	
2 Plymouth Ja. Gould	
3 Merchant Adventurer, Thomas	
Simpson 4 Barbadoes Merchant, Thomas	
4 Barbadoes Merchant, Thomas	
Flint	
5 Soldadoe, Richard Griffin -	hhd.
6 Phanix, Capt. Page - *	764
6 Phanix, Capt. Page 7 Victory, Capt. Earle	
8 John, Capt. Wilde	
9 Experiment, Capt. Follett -	
10 Two Bro[thers], Capt. Pen-	
niston -	
11 Dolphin, John Sanders)
12 W[illia]m & Mary Capt.	
Eldred	700 & gold
13 Joseph, Capt. Emberly	400
14 Hopewell, Capt. Dickeny -	500
15 James & Robert, Bell Ross -	600
16 Bilboa Factor, Capt. Godfrey	300
20 Adventure, Robert Williams -	350
17 Prosperity, Capt. Browne -	300
18 Shield, Capt. Howell	400
19 Sarah, Capt. Corbin	400
21 Deborah & Martha, Capt.	
Martyn	400
22 Reformation, Capt. Sanders -	250
23 New Industry, Capt. Strutt -	650
24 Providence, Capt. Saywell -	110
25 Hannah, Capt. Morris -	300
26 Avarilla, Capt. Robinson -	400 & gold.
27 Providence, Capt. Johnson -	160
28 Isaac, Capt. Tucker	120
29 Swallow, Capt. Grainge -	300
30 Joan, Capt. Childpoole [or	
Davis in Paper n	120
31 Friends' Adventure, Capt.	
Deering	400
32 Castor, Capt. Wheeler	200
33 Robert, Capt. Meeres	100
34 William, Capt. Smith	400
35 Bilboa Factor, Capt. Johnson -	400

36 Bordeaux Merchant, J. Phil- pott - 400 37 Biscay Merchant, Jonathan	° 1695. No. 959.
Francis 400 9,824 at 251. ** hhd.	£.
£. 38 John & William, Capt. Shanks 3,500 39 Diligence, Capt. Baynham - 5,000 40 Three Brothers, Daniel Hod-	2*0,000
Gold, Ginger, and Cotton, valued Thirty-seven ships at 2,000%. each	11,500 56,000 74,000
ź	3.387,100

The whole loss is three hundred and eighty-seven thousand and one hundred pounds. Dated London, Dec. 9, 1695. also(n).

(b) 10 Dec.—Memorial by Barbadoes Merchants accompanying preceding paper. It is as follows :-

May it please this Honourable House. In obedience to your Lordships' Order, we the Barbadoes Traders have brought up a list of losses since September 1694 to September 1695, which amount to the value of 387,1001. sterling, and about 200,0001. of which was taken by the French in or near the Soundings, which is a double loss to the nation; and the other 187,100l. was east away, and about 350 men lost, by the unseasonable time of the year, which was occasioned by the detaining our ships here five months after the time prefixed, and so forced to be winter ships, which was the loss of them. We have several times applied ourselves to the Admiralty for relief; sometimes we had some, and other times [it] was answered they had no ships, which made several sit down with these heavy burdens on their shoulders, and some have sunk under, not being able to bear them. And we most humbly pray that your honours will take our miseries and losses into consideration, and give us some better prospect of security for the future, that we may have some encouragement to adventure our little estates, which are left once more abroad, in hopes of better success. The losses from the plantations are double to the nation, being all goods that would have been exported to have supplied the army with the proceeds, and kept up the exchange, which is still an addition to our loss; for, if we have not effects to pay our army, the foreigners will have our silver to be sure, for exchange is governed by the balance of trade, which we beg your Lordships' care of.

(c) 10 Dec .- New England Merchants' Paper. Identical with their amended Paper (1), except that it wants time and place

of capture, and the last paragraph.

(d) 10 Dec .- Levant Merchants' Paper. They have had two fleets, with convoys, to and from Turkey, besides some single ships, which, by God's blessing and the great care of the Admiral, are all safely arrived in England, with a very con-

siderable estate. They have sustained no loss in their tra at sea this year, except a small vessel of about forty to called the Serpent Ketch, which was surprised by the Fren in a port of Cyprus. And they do further pray your Lordsh to accept their most humble acknowledgment of your Lo ships' great care of the trade of this Company, and of nation in general. Signed Gab. Roberts, dept. Gov., a dated London, the 10th December 1695.

(e) 10 Dec. Mr. Gardner's Paper. Losses sustained last ye by John Gardner, of London, merchant, in ships taken by French, vizt., his particular concern in the said ships :--

	0
	£
The Friendship, Capt. Tippits, from Barbadoes -	5,500
The John, Capt. Harman, from Guinea	2,500
The America Merchant, Capt. Sunderland, from	
Barbadoes	3,000
The Bridgwater, Capt. Haughton, from Nevis -	800
The Adventure, Capt. Williams, from Barbadoes	1,000
The Appletree, Capt. Sare, to Barbadoes	500
11	
Sum Total £	13,300

Which said losses, with what others have befallen the trad the said Gardner takes to have happened through a wro method in carrying on and securing the trade; and the s Gardner hopes he is able to propose such a method as m for the future, greatly enlarge and secure the trade, when he is ready to do as their Lordships shall order. Signed J Gardner.

(f) 10 Dec. Pennsylvania Merchants' Paper. Account of of their losses from Pennsylvania and New York, from September 1694 to 1st September 1695:-

	I
The Pennsylvania Merchant, Samuel Harrison,	
taken on the coast of Scotland and coming	
north about, laden with furs, skins, peltry,	
and tobacco; ship and cargo value	4,300
The Friends' Adventure, Thomas Lucas, from	
New York, taken the 8 July last near Cape	
Clear, laden with oil, whalebone, logwood,	
furs; ship and cargo value	3,800
The Industry, John Thomas, from Pennsyl-	
vania, was taken the 2 August, off Portland	
and Isle of Wight, laden with oil, whalebone,	
logwood, and furs; value	3,700
208 (1100) 024 240 1	

£ 11,800

£

Dated London, 9 Dec. 1695.

(q) 10 Dec.—Leeward Islands Merchants' Paper. Iden with (o), save that it is without the dates and places of cap and the note at the end.

(h) 10 Dec.—Jamaica Merchauts' Paper. An account of ships lost to and from Jamaica this year, 1695, with a modest computation of their value:—

1695. No. 959.

	£
The Don Carlos, John Lord master, a Br.	istol
from Jamaica	- 4,000
The Phanix, Edward Ireland	- 3,500
The , Phelps master	- 2,000
The Susanna	- 2,000
The Phænix, Vivian master, a -	- 10,000
The King William, yacht, Samuel Anth	ony,
from Jamaica	- 5,000
The Mary, Daniel Nedham	- 12,000
The Robert and William, Edward Moore -	- 8,000
The Neptune, Toddy	- 6,000
The Mermaid, John Taylor	- 8,000
The , Griffith Lawrence	- 8,000
Two Bristol ships more, outward bound -	Na montana
	£ 68,500

and they have had twelve ships arrive.

Also three men of war and two fire-ships are lately come from Jamaica, and did not take one merchant ship under their convoy, but left a fleet of ten or twelve ships to be convoyed only by the Ruby man of war, that fleet being worth, at least, two hundred and fifty thousand pounds sterling, and those men of war and fire-ships stayed one whole month in Virginia (after their departure from Jamaica), by which time most, if not all, the merchant ships in Jamaica would have been laden and despatched, if notice had been given that they would have stayed so long for them.

Valued at Prime

(i) 10 Dec.—African Company's Paper, as follows:—

Anno 1689-

Losses since	Cost,	as	per			
	Inv					
	£	8.	d.	£	s.	d.
Taken by the French on						
the north parts of						
Guinea, ships and car-						
goes	8,620	4	2			
Hare, in Guinea	500	0	0			
Alexander	961	1	0			
Maryland a S						
North Sound Nevis	265	7	0			
Unity, at Sierra Leone						
	2,809	15	11			
Loyal Factor	476	6	5			
Happy Conclusion -	455	19	3			
Friends' Adventure -	628	17	5			
William and John -	1,594	3	0			
				16 498	17	0 (0)

1695. No. 959

Ann	1690—	71 7 7	D*			
		Valued at 1 Cost, as		9		
	Losses since the War.	Invoic				
		£ s.	d.	£	8.	d.
1	Two Friends, a Barba-	E09 14	0			
Turkha	does	528 14 1,225 16				
In the	Seaflower a Jamaica {	350 0	Õ			
L	Swan, a Montserrat -	312 0				
				2,416	10	4
	0 1691—					
(Berkeley Castle, and					
	goods in her, a Bar-	1,844 19	9		•	
	badoes Guinea frigate, and her		-			
In the	cargo	9,359 17	0			
211 0110	Ann and Mary	1,662 7	7			
	Lisbon Merchant - •	1,777 9				
ļ	Elizabeth	242 17 180 10				
	Insiquin	100 10		15,068	1	1
Ann	o 1692—			ŕ		
	Scipio)	312 5				
	Advice Barba-	3,966 2	5			
	3 Brothers does	865 15				
	Loyal Steed		0 2			
In the	Caesar Benjamin	2,276 14 $583 2$				
	Mediterranean Jamaica	227 16				
7	James .	997 2				. —
	3 Brothers, a Antigua -	527 7	3	10 476	10	10
				12,476	10	10
Ann	o 1693—					
(Carolina, a Jamaica	1,195 0	0			
	Richard and James An-	228 10	, 0			
	James An- Blossom tigua	241 5	0			
}	Vintage	382 0	0			
	Diamond and in Capt.	10.000 (
	Dolberry, 325NK of gold	10,230 C				
	Antelope Ann, Capt. Thomas	140	, ,			
In the	Leech, in the north					
	parts of Guinea:					
	tons. £ s. d					
	32 of Gum 2,264 10 0 45 of Teeth 9,000 0))				
	25 of Wax 2,500 0	,)				
	125 of Wood 7,500 0 0)				
	and in gold,					
	&c 2,000 0	- 23,264 10	0 0			
				36,281	5	0

F

Anno 1694—						1695.
Losses since the War.	Cost, as Invoic	per				No. 959
The Tiger Bridgetown China Mer- chant Henry and William African Eagle ship, and goods in her William Biscay Mer- chant Prosperous	£ s. 2,532 4 658 15 2,656 16 1,104 1 4,000 0 1,366 13 677 18 455 1 184 10	d. 1, 9, 11 0 0 2 5	£	8.	d.	
Bilboa Factor William and Mary Hopewell Shield 3 Brothers, Montserrat Agreement, Antigua The French descent at Jamaic The re-taking Senegal and Go 1695. This year, by a separate	ree -	- 2	7,719 80,000 80,000 89,500		4 (sic) 0 0	
Signed, by order of the A.	frican Co		9,890 v, Wa	_	4 - k Yard.	
accountant. (i) 10 Dec.—African Company preceding Paper, viz.:—An sustained this present year, 169 Lost, in the ship Three Recommander, which was Guinea by a French material company.	y's second account o 95. Brothers, taken on	l Pal f the Capta	per su losse Huds	pples the	menting	
being killed in fight - 'Lost, in the ship Return, Ca on the coast of Guinea, b Lost, in the Avarilla, Capt after a stout resistance, in t by a French privateer. T of this ship was that gree pressed out of her at Bark was returning to England	apt. Reeve y a Frence. Robinsoche chops of The occasi at part of badoes, fro	es con h man on con of the on of her n	nmand n of wand Chann the le	er, ar ler, eel, oss	3,000 4,000 7,000	
Lost, in the Guinea Galle the Company, from Guin privateer near the Land's	nea, taker				1,650	

1 6 9 5. — No. 959. Lost, in the several ships called— Deborah and Martha

Reformation Providence Jane

from Barbadoes

Lost, in the Eagle, from Antigua

All taken by the French near the coast of England J. There are several ships also this last summer taken by the French and carried into France, coming from the Plantations, of which we have yet no invoices nor exact account. But, as near as we can compute the same, our loss in the said ships amounts to the sum of

£. 29,6

Signed, by order of the African Co., Warwick Yard, accountan [Enclosed in preceding, and referred to therein as "a separ Paper." The dates ordered to be added. (MS. Min.) also (m)].

(h) to (o) 13 Dec.—Papers delivered in this day (MS. Min.; entry in L.J.), in pursuance of Order of 10th Dec. (L.J. X 609), by the same trading Companies, in explanation of the Papers of 10 Dec. (See L.J., XV. 613, Com. Book and No. I. above, page 65). They are as follows:—

(k) 13 Dec.—East India Company's Paper. On the 13th June last they made an address to the Admiralty setting for that, being in expectation of five of their ships therein nar to return from India, they humbly desired that some of Majesty's frigates might be appointed to cruise to the westw of Cape Clear, from the 10th July to the 10th of Septem following, or until they met with them, for the safety of said ships, and to see them brought into the Downs, and t none of the men might be impressed out of the said ships their arrival in the river Thames; which address being present to their Lordships by some of the Committees, they were that their Lordships would do what they could, and hoped have a 3rd and 4th rate ship off Cape Clear, and others in Soundings. On the 17th of July following, they, understand that 6 of his Majesty's ships, that were to join the Marques Carmarthen's squadron and to ply in the Soundings and Cape Clear, were then come into Plymouth, whereby Company's ships, then daily expected, might be exposed to danger of the enemy, a committee thereupon was appointed attend the Lords of the Admiralty to move them that the 6 ships might be ordered to return to their statious, and to off Cape Clear for the safeguard of the Company's expe shipping, which application was made accordingly, but w was done thereupon the Company know not. On 6th Aug following they, having received advice that Monsieur Nesmond was ready to sail from Brest with 6 men of war two fire-ships, with a design to intercept the English and Di East India ships homeward bound, represented the same to Admiralty, praying that a further strength might be adde the men of war already appointed to cruise abroad to look for their returning ships, which were suddenly expected ho

in whose safety and welfare not only the Company, but the public, was much concerned, and that the Company had reason to believe the goods laden on the said expected ships would, on their arrival here, yield his Majesty above 150,000l. Custom. Whereunto their Lordships returned answer that they could send out no more ships, there being eight in the Soundings and one or two off Cape Clear. On the 3rd of September a Committee of the said Company was appointed to attend the Lords of the Admiralty, and to pray that some men of war might be in the Soundings, and to acquaint their Lordships that the Company had been informed by Captain Clarke, of their ship Hawk, that he had fought with and escaped from 2 French privateers in the Soundings, but had met with no men of war till he came off Portland; which was done accordingly. On the 10th of September aforesaid, having had the sad intelligence that Count Nesmond had intercepted to the westward of Scilly the ships Princess Anne [Princess of Denmark in Paper (a)] and Seymour, valued by the French at ten millions of livres, and having advice that the said Count Nesmond, with a stronger squadron, was again going from Brest, with a design to waylay their other ships, they did represent the same unto the Lords of the Admiralty, praying that a sufficient strength might be appointed to look out for protecting the said returning ships, which, if they should miss of Nesmond's squadron, vet would be in great danger of the many privateers then abroad, one of which forced the Henry, an East India ship, on shore near Dingle Bay in Ireland, where she was lostand, had it not been for the great resistance the Hawk (one of the Company's ships then lately arrived) had made in fighting with 2 French privateers in the Soundings, she also had been taken. Unto which said address the Lords of the Admiralty replied that they could not send out any ships to look out after Count Nesmond, but were ready, as much as in them lay, to assist the Company. Whereupon the Governor and Company presented an humble petition to the then Lords Justices of England, therein reciting the addresses they had made to the Lords of the Admiralty and the answers received thereupon, and praying their Excellencies for a sufficient squadron of ships for their said expected shipping, who were pleased to refer them to the Lords of the Admiralty to give them such assistance, by ships to the westward, as possibly they could; and, upon the desire of the Lords of the Admiralty, the Committee gave in a paper in writing of the stations most proper for the frigates to lie in for securing the Company's expected shipping, being about the place where they heard the two first were taken. On the 14th September the Duke of Shrewsbury acquainted the Governor, Sir John Fleet, that the Lords Justices had ordered out a squadron of men of war to go as far as Ushant, to look out for the Company's expected ships and after Count Nesmond. The 25th September the Company again addressed the Lords of the Admiralty, representing the loss of the 2 ships, and their expecting 3 or 4 other ships suddenly from India, and fearing they should fall into the enemy's hands, who, they were well assured, were gone out again to the same station where they took the last in order to intercept the said expected ships; they therefore prayed that a sufficient squadron of ships might be immediately sent out to

cruise, for about 20 days, 150 leagues from the westwar far as to the south-west of Scilly, or thereabouts. The the said memorial, being laid before their Lordships, was them laid before the Lords Justices, with their favour opinions, as they assured the Company. True copies of which memorials, before recited, are hereunto annexed. T with some verbal applications to enforce the said memor are the transactions which the Company have had with Lords of the Admiralty. What number of cruisers the Lord the Admiralty did or could send out, or how far their or were obeyed, we know not, but humbly conceive a suffic number of cruisers might have preserved not only the Compa ships but many others. Signed, by order of the Governor Company, "Ro. Blackborne, Secy." Dated East India Ho London, the 13th December, 1695.

 $(k)^{1}$. Memorial from the East India Company to the Admir of 13 June 1695, referred to in preceding paper, where substance of it is set out. The Company's ships named the

								Tons.
Resolution	ı	-	-	-	-	100	-	650
Defence			-	-	-	-		750
Royal Ja	mes	and	Mary		***		-	670
Princess o	f De	nmar	k -	-	+	-	-	670
Hawk	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	400
appended to	pree	eding	:].					

 $(k)^2$. Memorial from same to same, of 6 August 1695, referre in (k) above, where the substance is set out. Appear to (h)].

 $(k)^3$. Copies of letters from Paris to the Company, giving information supplied by the latter to the Admiralty in above and succeeding memorials. The letters are as follow

Paris, Aug. the 5th 1695 .- I am very sorry that my letters ! been lost in the packet boat that was taken by a Dun privateer, but I am glad you have guessed so right, therefore I send you the copy of the very same letter

Paris, July 1st 1695.—Here you will find the confirmation what I told you in my last of the 24th ultimo. They from Brest that Monsieur de Nesmond is very busy in fit out six men of war, three whereof are from 72 to 80 g two of 66, and one of 58. They are most certainly design to go and cruise upon the convoy from the East Indies the Dutch expect in a little time from Batavia and Ceylon, they don't hear that the English Company expects any; the Commander is to sail afterwards to the Cape of Good H and from thence to the East Indies, to favour the expedition Monsieur de Servigney, of which I have given you a l The King gives for this enterprise 3 battalion account. his best forces, making above 1,500 men, six mortars, and pieces of great cannon, with a proportionable quantity of sorts of ammunition, fireworks, and grapples. Several gineers and officers are ordered to go on board, so that i is a great design on foot on their side, and which is like t a fatal blow to the English and Dutch, if not timely preven 'Tis said that [the] squadron will set sail towards the le

11695... No. 959.

end of this mouth, but, according to my intelligence, [it] cannot be till the middle of the next. They design to take in fresh provisions and other refreshments at St. Jago, belonging to the Portuguese. Each of those ships has 600 or 700 men of equipage, who are all chosen men, and as able to fight on land as to serve as seamen; so that Monsieur de Nesmond may, upon any occasion, land 4,000 men. Two light frigates have been sent by the north of Scotland to get intelligence of that convoy, and they are to join Monsieur de Nesmond at a certain place at sea. Some privateers have also been ordered to cruise in the way, so that our commanders may have timely notice of the ships that shall come from that country. I wonder you can't believe me about Monsieur de Genes ; he is gone for Quebec, and is to join M. de Nesmond, who is to be attended by a large fly-boat, of 7 or 8 guns only, to carry provisions, and will be in the nature of a hospital. The design is kept very secret, but now you may rely upon what I say, as the event will justify it.

Paris, 5th.—I had yesterday advice that M. de Nesmond is gone out of the basin into the great road, with the six men that are to sail under his command. He will sail away with the first fair wind. There is no alteration in the design, though since

my last I have been inquisitive in this matter.

Paris, Sept. the 9th 1665.—An express came last night to Monsieur de Pontchartrain, with advice that the Marquis of Nesmond has brought into Brest two English East India merchant men, richly laden, which he took, the 17th ultimo, about 150 leagues west of Scilly. I wonder that your Company, which had timely notice of this design, did not send an advice boat to order their ships to steer more westerly; but it seems they did not believe the advices I sent you, but rather some idle stories of your London Gazette, which, without any ground in the world but its ignorance, has positively said that the Marquis de Nesmond had sailed towards the South Sea. However, the Admiral will put again to sea in a few days, the prisoners having told him that three ships more were coming the same way. I don't know if this success will cause any alteration in his project, and if he will proceed on his intended expedition towards the Cape, but I shall enquire about it, though 'tis very like the same design is still on foot, for none of the seamen are suffered to come ashore. He has brought also into that harbour two Barbadoes ships, the Friend and [the] Providence. [Appended to (k).]

(k)4. Memorial from the Company to the Admiralty, of 10 Sept. 1695, referred to in (k) above, where the substance is set out.

[Appended to (k).] $(k)^5$. Petition from the Company to the Lords Justices, of 12 Sept. 1695, reciting the above three memorials to the Admiralty, and the Admiralty's answers thereto (see k above), and praying the Lords Justices to direct that a sufficient squadron of men of war be appointed to look out for the Count Nesmond and the Petitioners' expected shipping, which (together with the cruisers that [it] is earnestly requested may continue in their stations in the Soundings and off Cape Clear till the 20th October) the Petitioners humbly pray may be immediately sent out, and ordered to steer their course 1 6 9 5. No. 959. first to Ushant, and endeavour to look into Brest to learn Nesmond be gone out, and, if he be, that then the said squad may forthwith proceed W. by N. $\frac{1}{2}$ N. to the length of Mizzen Head in Ireland, and thence 60 leagues west into sea, and cruise there off and on to the Soundings till the October, if they have no intelligence sooner. [Referred to (h) and appended thereto.]

(k)6. Copy of Minute, dated 12 Sept. 1695, of the proceedi that day following upon the presentation of the above petit to the Lords Justices, as follows: - The Governor hav delivered in the foregoing petition to their Excellence Thursday the 12 Sept. 1695, the Lords of the Admira were sent for to their Excellencies, and, after an hour's t and longer, the Governor, Committees, and several of Adventurers then present were also called in before the Excellencies and acquainted with his Majesty's Orders stopping Sir Geo. Rooke's squadron; and, after several discount between their Excellencies, the Lords of the Admiralty, and Governor and Committees, the Company were referred to Lords of the Admiralty, who promised their utmost assistan and accordingly the following desires, being given in unto the Lordships, were granted, being first signed by the Committee deputed by the Governor, &c. to attend their Lordships pray for such assistance as they should think requisite; wh they did in heec verba:

Those ships that are already cruising off Cape Clear to be orde to cruise 60 leagues to the westward of the Cape, and so be plying off and on in that station; and the ships from Kins

to join with them and do the same.

The Liehfield and the ships at Plymouth to be ordered to those already in the Soundings, and cruise from the latitude

49 to 51 on the outward part of the Soundings.

That the cruise of both squadrons do last till the 20th of Octol unless they hear or meet with the East India ships sooner, and such case that they send such ship or ships into the next sport with sufficient convoy.

These ships expected home from India are the Defence Resolution from Surat, and the Success from the B

and probably the London Frigate from the Fort.

This is what we humbly conceive may be serviceable towards preservation of the said ships, all which we submit to y Lordships, and humbly pray that, if any other ships can exwithin your Lordship's reach in any convenient time, y Lordships will please to order them to join with the shiplying off Cape Clear. The original signed by Geo. D dington, Ra: Marshall, and Rob*. Dorrill.

Ships now in the Soundings :-

Rupert, Lenox, Crown, Owner's Love fireship.

Weymouth
Portland
Anglesey
Foresight
Dreadnought, at Plymouth
Hawk fireship
Lichfield, at Portsmouth
Soundings.

£. 114,000

Stirling Castle, at Plymouth, refitting, to join them also, if made ready.

1695. No. 959.

[Enclosed in preceding. Referred to in (k).]

(k). Memorial from the Company to the Admiralty of 25 Sept. 1695, referred to in (k), where the substance is set out. [Appended to (k).]

(1) 13 Dec.—New England Merchants' amended Paper. A list of losses to the New England merchants from October, 1694, to the 1st December, 1695, amounting to one hundred and four-teen thousand pounds, having all been taken by the French, and there has been but two small ships arrived since January last, no convoy having been appointed for the New England trade during the whole war, but only for the mast ships.

The Abigail, Jno. Barrell, taken about Nov. '94 in Channel, valued at The Mary, Robt. Seers, Augt. '94, in Channel 15,000 The Joanna, Aaron Beale, Nov. '94, in Channel 6,000 The Pelican,* Daggett, Nov. '94, in Channel 10,000 The Three Brothers, Saunders, Nov. '94, 10,000 Channel -The Swan, Gilbert, Oct. '95, in Channel 40,000 The William and Mary, Herbert, Ap. '95, The Trial, Horton, Nov. '94, off Cape Ortegal 5,000 The Elizabeth, Price, Nov. '94, in Channel -1,000 The Sarah, Wilson, Nov. '94, off Cape Ortegal 3,000 The Falkland, Long, Dec. '94, in Channel -The Phanix, Fifield, Nov. '94, off Cape Ortegal 4,000

The above ships coming home without convoy, we knew not the time of their departure, so no application could be made to the Admiralty, but might have been saved had there been cruisers in the Channel, according to the Act of Parliament, as we humbly conceive. As to the above said ships being taken, we verily believe to be true. Signed Saml. Ball, John Ive, Fra: Cawfield, Richd. Soame.

(m) 13 Dec.—African Company's amended Paper, adding dutes to their previous Paper (i) above (see MS. Min., 10 Dec.), as follows:—

An account of the Royal African Company's losses from March 1695 to October 1695.

	L.
The Three Brothers, Capt. Hudson, taken by a	
French man of war on the north part of Guinea,	
in April, 1695	3,000
The Return, Capt. Reeves, taken between Old	
Calabar and Barbadoes, in May	4,000
The Guinea Galley, Capt. Piles, taken by two	
privateers near Cape Clear the 1st of August, and	
carried into Brest	1,650
The Avarilla, Capt. Robinson, taken by two	
privateers off Scilly the 23 August	7,000

^{*} The 'Friendship' in earlier Paper (c).

The Deborah and Martha, taken by two privaReformation,
Providence,

both taken in the Channel, much about
the Same time, the Joan from
Barbadoes and the Eagle from
Antigua

By several ships from the Leeward Islands, of which

no invoice yet come to hand

£. 29,6

Signed, For the Royal African Company of England, Sa Heron, Secty. [Delivered this day, and read in Select Comittee on 14th, where these losses, except the first two, we referred to the Admiralty for explanations. MS. Min., 13 Dec Com. Book, 14 Dec.]

(n) 13 Dec.—Barbadoes Merchants amended Paper. It adds their previous Paper (b) the following notes:-Nos. 1 to 1 These eleven ships were lost in the hurricane in Barbado September 29th, 1694, being several of them detained fi months after the fixed time appointed to sail from London Nos. 12 to 19. These eight ships were lost in the hurrica after they departed Barbadoes, being ships that were detain here five months after their prefixed time of their sailing fre London, so were winter ships, which that trade will not allo Nos. 20 to 31 and 34 to 37. These sixteen ships we taken by the French privateers in and near the Soundings a off the Land's End, about 23 Aug. last twelve of them, and fo about January last. Nos. 32 and 33 were forced ashore th by the enemy. Nos. 38 to 40 were taken accidentally going out to Barbadoes. We have made several applications to the several applications to t Admiralty in July and August last, and acquainted them the the fleet expected had no convoy with them, so pressed t harder for the cruisers to stay out. Dated London, Dec. 1695, and signed Robt. Heysham, Edward Haistwell, Rowla Tryon, Steph: Skynner. [Referred to the Admiralty f explanations. See Com. Book, 14 Dec., and L.J., XV. 613.]

(o) 13 Dec.—Leeward Islands Merchants' amended Paper, substituted for their previous Paper (g). It is as follows:—

A list of ships that were lost last year, on their way hor from the Leeward Islands to the port of London, fro September 1694 to October 1695; with an estimate of the value of the said ships, and the cargoes on board them.

Taken by the French, vizt.:-

1694, Sept.—The Endeavour, Capt.	Burthen. 150 tons	3
Dagger, taken in the latitude of 36 degrees, value about - 1694, Sept.—The Wolf, Capt. Purvis,	400 tons	7,
taken with the Endeavour 1694, Oct.—The Agreement Capt. Symmonds, taken near the Western	250 tons	20,
Islands		19

	Burthen.	£.	1695.
1694-5, Feb.—The Antigua Merchant, Capt. Perry, taken out of Berehaven	200 tons		No. 959.
in Ireland	1.40	10,000	
1695, April.—The <i>Dove</i> , Capt. Eyres, taken in the Soundings	140 tons	6,000	
1695, May.—The Good Friends, Capt.	120 tons		
Strode, taken in the Soundings 1695, May.—The Mary, Capt. Lambe,	180 tons	6,000	
taken in the Soundings		8,000	
1695, June.—The Eagle, Capt. Ewins, taken in the Soundings	250 tons	12,000	
1695, Aug.—The Swan, Capt. Stone,	70 tons		
taken in the Soundings 1695, Sept.—The Union, Capt. Milk,	80 tons	3,000	
taken off the Lizard		5,000	
1695, Oct.—The Gilbert and Hester, Capt. Porter, taken 40 leagues west of	250 tons		
Cape Clear		12,000	
1695, Oct.—The Elizabeth and Mary, Capt. Lyle, taken in company with the	250 tons		
Gilbert and Hester		12,000	
Cast away, vizt.:—			
1694, Oct.—The Samuel, Capt. Edgerton, upon Scilly	180 tous	8,000	
1695, July.—The Palm Tree, Capt.	150 tons	0,000	
Turner, in the West Indies 1695, July.—The Endcavour, Capt.	200 tona	7,000	
Addison, in the West Indies	200 tons	10,000	
		138,000	

The ships from the abovesaid Islands not coming home in fleets, but singly as they are loaded, occasioned that no application was made to the Admiralty for cruisers to secure them. Signed Rd. Cary, Saml. Ball. [See L. J., XV. 613, and Com. Book, 14 Dec.]

(p) 27 Dec .- Papers delivered from the Admiralty this day (L. J., XV. 622), in answer to Orders of 14th (ib., 613), and referred to a Select Committee on 4 Jan. 1695-6 (ib., 629), as

follows :--

(p1) 27 Dec.—Report of the Admiralty on the six questions

referred to them on the 14th, viz.:

(1) To give an account how the ships mentioned in an annexed list (see Paper b) delivered by the Barbadoes Merchants came to be detained five months after the time prefixed for their sailing, which occasioned their being lost in the hurricanes, and why the sixteen ships which were taken in the Soundings and off the Land's End came without convoy, and that the cruisers stayed not in their stations as the merchants

(2) How the ship Antigua Merchant came to be taken in Feb. 1694-5 out of Berehaven in Ireland, and what care has

been taken of the coasts of Ireland (see Paper o).

(3) To give an account why there were not cruisers in the Channel from October '94 to 1st Dec. '95, for want whereof, the 1 6 9 5. No. 959. New England merchants say (see Paper l), several of the ships in their passage home without convoy were taken, to value of 114,000l.

- (4) To give answers to the loss of several ships of the Ro African Company's, mentioned in the annexed list (see Paper between March '95 and Oct. following, off Cape Clear, Sci and in the Channel.
- (5) To give an account in writing how the cruisers and c voys appointed by Act of Parliament were disposed of this l year.
- (6) And to give answers also to the several complaints of East India Company, contained in a paper of theirs annex (see Paper k).

Their answer is as follows:-

To the 1st, That we did, in answer to your Lordships' Or of 14 Feb. 1694,* acquaint your Lordships how we proceed with relation to the getting the ships ready which were design for Barbadoes; that on 19 April following we were direct by Order in Council to stop the Barbadoes and Jamaica conve till further Orders, upon a representation that some of merchant ships were not ready; that the 7th of May following the stop was taken off by Order of Council, and the conv ordered the 8th to proceed, which they did accordingly the of June, as wind and weather would permit. And, as to sixteen ships, part of which are said to have been taken by enemy in August‡, and the rest in January last§, near Soundings and off the Land's End; We do acquaint your Lo ships that [| the Tiger being relieved by the Bristol and Play pri which were appointed to attend on the Island of Barbadoes, s sailed from that island the 2nd of April 1695 with 24 merch ships bound for England (having Orders to bring all that we ready to sail with her), and her Commander gives an accor that he arrived at Falmouth the 24th of May 1695, and all t merchant ships with him; so that there were no men of w at Barbadoes when the ships that were taken as aforesaid ca from thence, except those which attended on the Island, a we do not know why they did not come in company with t Tiger;] but that this Board did, upon the merchants' application tion the 10th of July last, direct the several ships which we cruising in the Soundings to take care for the security of the as well as of those expected from the East Indies and ou parts, as will more particularly appear by the extracts of o Orders in the paper hereunto annexed.

To the 2nd: We know not how the Antigua Merchant can to be taken in Feb. 1694-5 out of Bere harbour in Ireland, be do acquaint your Lordships that, for protecting the ships which should come from time to time on the coasts of that kingde from the enemy, the Lords Justices there¶ had, at the time the ship was taken, five or six ships under their immediate directions.

and two fourth-rates were cruising off Cape Clear.

^{*} In corrected Paper (q) "1694-5."

† Paper (q) adds "1695."

[†] In Paper (q) "1694." § In Paper (q) "1694 5.

This passage, down to the end of the square brackets, is supplied from corrected Paper (q), instead of the following:—"there were no men of war condition, as we believe, to come with them," which appears in this Paper.

¶ In Paper (q) "of Ireland."

To the 3rd, 4th, and 5th: We do acquaint your Lordships that we have taken all possible care to dispose of the several cruisers in such stations where they might be of most service, that the whole number directed by Act of Parliament have been employed, as your Lordships may be informed by the account hereunto annexed (Paper p2), and that we have used our best endeavours to secure the trade; but, in regard the enemy have constantly men of war and great numbers of privateers in the Soundings, where the sea is very wide, which ships of war and privateers have been chased by our cruisers far into the sea, where the trade has most suffered, that the merchants do trade during this time of extraordinary action with almost the same freedom as in time of peace, it is, in our opinion, altogether impossible for the greatest number of cruisers to secure them all from the enemy's hands, especially when the masters of the merchant ships under convoy do expose themselves to hazard by leaving the said convoys when they come within some distance of the land, as has often happened, to the occasioning the loss of great numbers of ships. We are sorry the Plantation trade, and particularly that of Barbadoes, has suffered so very much, they frequently coming home without convoys; but this has in in a great measure been occasioned by the extraordinary mortality those parts have of late been afflicted with, which not only disabled the men of war from convoying home with the trade at the usual times, or of doing any service whilst there, but some of them from returning at all till they could be supplied with men; and upon this occasion we cannot but observe that, until the merchants trading into foreign parts can be obliged to sail in fleets, and at stated times, it will be morally impossible to provide for the security of their ships

And, as to what relates to the complaints of the East India Company, your Lordships will receive herewith a particular account (Paper p^3) of what applications those gentlemen have made to this Board, and what Orders we have from time to time given upon their said applications for the protection of their ships expected home, which account we hope will give your Lordships satisfaction. Signed E. Russell, J. Lowther, H. Priestman, Robt. Austen, R. Rich, Jno. Houblon; By command of the Comrs., Wm. Bridgeman. Dated Admiralty Office, 23 Dec. 1695. [Read on 6 Jan. 1695–6 before the Select Committee, and withdrawn by the Admiralty for correction. Com.

Book. See Paper (q) for corrected version.

(p²) 27 Dec.—An account of the number of Cruisers employed the last year, and of their stations, and of the times appointed to them respectively to cruise in those stations. See No. 975. From this return it appears that from 50 to 74 cruisers were employed together at various times during the year 1695. According to the latest orders issued prior to the 1st August there were employed on various services at that date:—

In the North Sea.—1 Third Rate, 2 Fourth, 1 Fifth, and 1 Sixth Rates

In the Channel.—1 Third Rate, 1 Fourth, 5 Fifth, and 7 Sixth Rates

Off the Lizard.—1 Sixth Rate

In the Bristol Channel.—2 Fifth Rate and 3 Sixth Rates

1695, No. 959.

Off Cape Clear.—1 Fourth Rate	1
In the Soundings.—7 Third and 6 Fourth Rates -	13
On the west of Ireland.—Three Fourth Rates -	3
On the Irish Coast.—4 Fifth Rates and 1 Sixth	
Rate	5
On the north west coast of Scotland.—1 Fourth Rate	1
With the Duke of Shrewsbury 1 Fourth Rate -	1
On the East Coast.—3 Fourth Rates, 6 Fifth	
Rates, and 2 Sixth Rates	11
From Kinsale to Spithead.—1 Fourth Rate	1
Off the North Foreland.—1 Sixth Rate	1
	_
	61
	_

A monthly abstract at the end shows that there were employed In December 1694 - 🐃 53 Vessels "January 1694-5 -" February 50 99 March 1695 "April May " June 99 99 " July 61 " August 61 23 99 " September 74 October 70 99 67 November

Signed as preceding paper. [Read at the Select Committee on 6 Jan., and Admiralty ordered to make it more explicit

Com. Book, 6 and 13 Jan. 1695-6. See Paper q*.]

(p^s) 27 Dec.—An account of the memorials delivered to the Admiralty by the East India Company for protection of their ship coming home, and what ships have been from time to time appointed to cruise for them. On 13 June 1695, memorial from the Company (Papers k and k^1) for some frigates. At that time there were eleven ships in the Soundings. On 25 May, 1 Carmarthen ordered to cruise there in the Lenox, but, detained by private affairs, did not reach Plymouth till 17 June, when he wrote to the Admiralty that he would wait there till joine by a light frigate, as he heard the French were in the chol of the Channel, and he did not know exactly where to fin his own squadron (see Paper u2 No. 2). On 20 June, answer, the Admiralty ordered him to wait there for the Rupert and Foresight and take them to the Sounding On 21 June the Rochester was ordered to join him, an the same day he wrote to say he was detained by contrar winds, and that he had stopped the Rupert and Foresigh hoping to have leave to take them with him. Otherwise h would sail without them; but he complained of a Flag bein sent alone to find his ships. On 22 June he wrote again having received permission to take the two vessels, all expressed himself as satisfied. On 24 June the Crown was ordered to join him, and she and the Rochester reache Plymouth on 7 July, and sailed on the 8th. The Crow having been disabled in a chase, and captured a 6 gun prize and convoyed two Virginia ships, finally left Plymouth for th Soundings till Sept. The Rochester took two 10 gun Frenc

privateers, and left Plymouth again on 22 July. On 24 June the Weymouth also was ordered to join him, and did so. On 26 June M. Carmarthen sailed from Plymouth, but was forced back by contrary winds. On 28 June he wrote he was getting under sail again. On 6 July M. Carmarthen wrote that he had not found his squadron, so he had put into Kinsale to make inquiries, intending to return to Plymouth if his squadron had done so, as he did not think it proper for a Flag to cruise with only three ships. On 9 July he wrote enclosing an extrac' from a letter of Captain Cole (commanding his squadron) to the Collector of Customs at Kinsale, from which, and from information given by a convoy from the Straits, he inferred that Cole had sailed to England; and he called a Council of War, which decided that M. Carmarthen and his ships should return to Plymouth in company with the Straits fleet. On 10 July Captain Cole reached Plymouth with eight ships, and informed the Admiralty that, having heard from the Germoon adviceboat that M. Carmarthen had sailed westward to look for him and take command of his vessels, he also sailed westward in search of him till the 8th, when he returned to Plymouth for repairs. On 12 July the Admiralty ordered Cole to join M Carmarthen with four ships, leaving the others to refit. Cole sailed on 18 July, but on 23rd L. Berkeley ordered him to join his fleet. On 17 July orders were sent to M. Carmarthen to send two foul ships to convoy the Canterbury mastship from Kinsale to Plymouth. On 19 July my lord wrote from Cork to say he had been driven in there by stress of weather, but hoped to sail in the evening, and would await orders at Plymouth. In another letter of same date he said that, hearing of the loss of an East India ship, he decided to see the Cadiz fleet safe within the Lizard before parting with any man of war. On 20 July he was ordered to send the Weymouth to Portsmouth to be cleaned, so soon as the Coventry joined him. On 22 July my lord was ordered to sail from Plymouth with 11 ships and join Capt. Cole in the Soundings, but the Stirling Castle, Capt. Dean, and another ship were ordered on 24th specially to look out for the six East Indiamen off Cape Clear. On 25 July my lord was ordered to convoy the Cadiz fleet no further than Scilly, and return to the Soundings. On 26 July L. Berkeley was ordered to send the Captain to him there; but M. Carmarthen, on his way from Cork to Plymouth, meeting Cole with some men of war and merchant ships sailing from Plymouth to the Soundings on the 23rd, mistook them for the enemy, called a Council of War, and with their concurrence ran into Milford Haven with his ships and convoy. On 27 July Orders were sent to my lord explaining the mistake, and ordering him back to his station in the Soundings, after sceing the Cadiz fleet safe, and on 30 July the Crown was ordered to go straight to the Soundings. On 28 July my lord wrote from Milford that Cole, with the Dreadnought, Rochester, and Hawk fireship, had come in there and explained the mistake, and my lord remarked that, if Cole had sent the Rochester, which was a clean ship, to inform him what the suspected ships were, the mistake would have been avoided. He added that he would go to Plymouth, and return to the Soundings with such of his ships as were cleaning at that port. On 2 August, pursuant to directions from the Lords Justices,

1695. No. 959.

M. Carmarthen was ordered to leave the Cadiz fleet to th own convoy, and proceed at once to the Soundings. On t same day he wrote from Milford that he was waiting a fair wind, but had ordered the Foresight and Roches to cruise off Cape Clear, and expected to be joined by tanglesey. On 5 August he was ordered, after he had se the Cadiz ships to Land's End, to send all his ships, exce the Lenox and Hawk fireship, with Capt. | Cole into t Soundings, and himself return to Plymouth with those to vessels; where being arrived, he was at liberty to come to tow in regard the ships of which his squadron consisted we disposed of on several stations, and thereby not a sufficient number together to accompany a Flag. On 7 August he wro from Milford to acknowledge receipt of the Order for him to s to the Soundings, and said he would proceed thither. On 6 Au the Company sent another memorial for more ships to me M. de Ne-mond (see Papers k, k^2, k^3). This was submitted the Lords Justices. On 14 Aug. Cole, who was cruisi between Land's End and Mount's Bay, was ordered into t Soundings, and the Rupert and Owner's Love fireshwere also ordered there. On 15 Aug. the Kingfisher Anglesey were ordered to take the goods of the Hen East Indiaman on board. On 16 Aug. Messrs. Fawken Heathcote, Rokeby, and Godfrey wrote to ask that the shappointed to assist the *Henry* at Dingle Bay should look of for the Edward on her way from India; and orders were se the same day to that effect. On 20 Aug. Capt. Myngs, of the Lenox, was ordered to convoy the Barbadoes and James fleets outwards, and then repair to the Soundings, and tal command of the ships there, after sending back the Drea nought to Plymouth. On 31 Aug. the Weymouth w ordered to the Soundings after being cleaned, and on 3 and Sept. the Stirling Castle, Portland, and Foresight we also ordered there. On 7 Sept. the Commander in Chief Cape Clear was ordered to look out for the East India ship On 10 Sept. another memorial from the Company (Pape h, k4) was followed, on the 11th, by a more stringent Order the same effect. On 12 Sept. the Company petitioned the Lords Justices (Papers h, h^5), and a Committee detailed the assistance they required (see Paper h^6). Whereupon, the same day, Captain Jumper, of the Weymouth, was ordered wi four ships from Kinsale to cruise off Cape Clear, and Car Cole with four ships from Plymouth was ordered to t Soundings and look out for the East India ships; and t Lichfield and Stirling Castle were also ordered to the Soundings (see Paper h, which suggests these Orders). 18 Sept. the like Orders were sent to Capt. Dilkes of the Rupert, in the Soundings, who was to put himself und Capt. Cole's command. At the time these Orders were set the ships concerned were stationed as follows:-

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{To cruise off} \\ \text{Cape Clear} \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \textit{Anglesey} \\ \textit{Foresight} \\ \textit{Weymouth} \\ \textit{Portland} \end{array} \right\} \text{were cruising off Cape Clear} \\ \text{sailed from Plymouth thith} \\ \text{18 Sept.} \end{array}$

Dreadnought
Hawk fireship

Stirling Castle was defective and could not

1 6 9 5. No. 959.

To cruise in the Soundings

go from Plymouth.

Rupert
Lenox sailed from Plymouth
Crown 10 Sept., and were

Lenox Crown Lichfield Owner's Love fireship sailed from Plymouth 10 Sept., and were cruising in the Soundings.

If the Company had told the Admiralty in time that their ships had been ordered to come to Galway, these vessels might have been posted there and saved them. On 13 Sept., on hearing that two East Indiamen had been captured and taken to Brest, Rear Admiral Hopson was ordered to take seven ships from St. Helens (part of the fleet designed for the Straits), and endeavour to intercept the French Fleet off Brest. On 20 Sept. Mr. Hopson wrote that he was off the Lizard. 21 Sept. he wrote off Ushant that the Saudadoes had looked into Brest Water and seen 19 men of war, 14 of them great ships, some three deckers, and had been chased by two; so he durst not remain there, but concluded to cruise 40 leagues west by south from Ushant, in the hope of intercepting the enemy's Fleet and their prizes going home. On 27 Sept., on receipt of another memorial from the Company (Papers k, k^7), Hopson was ordered to cruise 150 leagues west of Scilly until 20 Oct., and look out for East India, Barbadoes, New England, or other ships. On 1 Oct. he acknowledged receipt of this Order. On 29 Sept. he wrote, off the Lizard, that he had been on his way to his new station when he learnt, from some French prisoners taken by a Guernsey privateer, that the French Fleet of 19 sail, consisting of two ships of 100 guns, and the rest of 50 to 60 guns each, commanded by M. Nesmond, and lying at anchor between Belle Isle and Gibrol . . ., had some design on our coast, especially Spithead. So, to prevent a surprise, he and his captains thought it best to come back to the Channel, and lie between the Lizard and the Start, so as to be at hand in ease of nced. The westerly winds were keeping the French ships in harbour, so he hoped the merchant ships would get safe home. He met with no privateers, and therefore believed the enemy were manning their ships. On 2 Oct. the Lords Justices, having received orders from the King that Sir Geo: Rooke should forthwith proceed to the Straits, ordered Mr. Hopson back to Spithead. On 15 Oct. Capt. Jumper, of the Weymouth, at Kinsale was ordered to take three other ships, and leave two to cruise off Cape Clear, and himself with another go into the Soundings. Dated Admiralty Office, 23 Dec. Signed as preceding papers. [Read repeatedly in Select Committee as Paper No. 3. Com. Book. Notes I. above, pages 67 and 68. The imputations against Lord Carmarthen were answered in later papers (see Papers u and Notes III. above, pages 69-74).

(q) 13 Jan. 1695-6.—Corrected Answer of the Admiralty, dated 23 Dec., and substituted for Paper (p¹) above on 13 Jan.
 1695-6. The alterations are noted on (p¹). Signed as

preceding papers. See Com. Book, 6 and 13 Jan. Notes

above, page 66.

(q^2) 23 Jan.—Account of the cruisers and convoys from a 1st September 1694 to the 1st October 1695, with their Orderime of sailing, from what place, into what port put in, and a reasons of their putting in and stay, with other observation Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Rich, Jno. Houblon. Command of the Comrs., Wm. Bridgeman. [Supplementary Paper p^2 . Laid before the Committee this day pursuant to Orde 6 Jan. See Com. Book.]

(r) 22 Feb. 1695-6.—Answers of the Admiralty to six question

asked them on 7th and 10th Feb., as follows:-

(1) Question.—Why the Lord Kiveton was recalled, and why t

ships were dispersed?

Answer.—The Board not being satisfied with my Lord Carms then's proceedings in his cruise, and his carrying the ships in Milford upon the sight of some men of war with Capt. Cowhich were ordered to be under his command, laid it before a Lords Justices the 2nd August last, who, according to apprehensions, were no better satisfied therewith than we, a left it to us whether his Lordship should be relieved; wherefor upon further consideration at a fuller Board, it was resolved should be recalled, and in the softest manner, and according Orders were sent to him the 5th of August; and, as for dispersion the ships, we gave no Orders for the same, only those who directed the Lord Carmarthen to bring the Lenox and Hamfireship to Plymouth.

(2) Question. What ships were cruising 60 or 80 leagues from

Cape Clear, and how many, and at what time?

Answer.—The Weymouth and Portland sailed from Plymow the 18th of September, joined the Foresight the 3rd of Octob upon the coast of Ireland, and cruised till the 23rd of the sainouth.

(3) Question.—Why no additional strength was sent into a Soundings?

Answer.—There were no other ships, as will appear by the herewith delivered.

(4) Question.—Why was answer made to the East India Company that the strength they desired could not be sent out?

Answer.---There were no other ships to send, but the squade appointed to go to the Straits.

(5) Question .- Why did you fix a station to Capt. Col-

squadron at this time, and not at other times?

Answer.—The East India Company particularly de

Answer.—The East India Company particularly desired that the ships which could be got ready to go into the Soundings might cruise from the lat. of 49 to 51 on the outward part of the Soundings, and that the ships off Cape Clear might cruise belongues to the westward of it, and that both squadrons might continue to cruise till the 20th of October.

(6) Question.—Why, after the complaints made against Capt. College was not tried at a Court Martial, but employed as

Commodore ?¶

Answer.—Capt. Cole having written us a letter (of which the annexed is a copy) (see Paper ad) complaining of hard usage from

my Lord Carmarthen, we did not think it reasonable to try him and not his Lordship. Not fit to try my Lord without the King's directions. Signed H₃ Priestman, Robt. Austen, R. Rich, Jno. Houblon. By Command of the Commrs., Wm. Bridgeman. [Delivered to the Committee this day. Com. Book. See Notes I, above, page 68.]

1695. No. 959.

s) 22 Feb. 1695-6. A particular account where all the ships in the Fleet were the 5th, 6th, and 7th of August, and what Orders they had. It shows—

On East Coast 2 Fourth, 3 Fifth, and 1 Sixth	
Rate	6
At Blackstakes 1 First, 1 Second, and 1 Third	U
Rate	13
At Kingroad 1 Third, 3 Fifth, 1 Sixth Rate	5
At Sheerness 1 Fourth, 2 Fifth, 2 Sixth Rate	5
At Longreach Sixth Rate	1
At Longreach 1 Sixth Rate - At the Nore 2 Second, 2 Third, 1 Fourth, 2 Fifth,	1
1 Sixth Rate	8
At the Downs 6 Third, 1 Fourth, 7 Fifth, 11 Sixth	0
Rute -	25
Rate	
At Spithead 2 First, I Second, 1 Sixth Rate	Ī
At St. Helens 1 Third, 1 Fourth Rate	4
At Dirmouth 1 Fourth Date	2
At Plymouth 1 Fourth Rate At Jersey 1 Sixth Rate	1
T CI I CI I TO C	
	I
In the Channel 1 Fourth, 3 Fifth, 3 Sixth Rate	7
At Milford 4 Third, 3 Fourth Rate	
On way to Soundings 2 Fourth Rate	2 4
At Kinsale 2 Fourth, 2 Fifth Rate	
Off Cape Clear 1 Third, 1 Fourth Rate	2 5
On Coast of Ireland 4 Fifth, 1 Sixth Rate -	
On West of Ireland 1 Fourth Rate	1
On Coast of France 1 Sixth Rate	1
Between Downs and Ostend 1 Sixth Rate	1
Newfoundland Convoy 1 Fourth, 1 Fifth Rate -	2
In Norway 1 Third, 2 Fourth, 1 Fifth Rate -	4
Under King's Orders 1 Fourth Rate	1
To follow D. Shrewsbury 1 Fourth Rate	1
	101
In the Mediterranean 3 First, 5 Second, 24 Third,	
17 Fourth, 3 Fifth, 8 Sixth Rate	, 60
In the West Indies 1 Third, 7 Fourth, 6 Fifth,	
1 Sixth Rate	15
	176

Dated Admiralty Office, Feb. 1695. Signed, by Command of the Comrs., Wm. Bridgeman. [Delivered this day to the Committee, pursuant to Order of 7 Feb. Com. Book. Notes I. above, page 68. See also Paper p^2 .]

t) Papers connected with the case of the Avarilla (see Notes 11. above, pages €8 and 69), as follows:—

t) 10 Dec.—The case of the owners of the ship Avarilla, burthen 350 tons. The said ship was, in September 1694,

permitted by the Government to go to Guinea in the servi of the Royal African Co., manned with 60 men and 30 gur and from thence intended to Barbadoes and Virginia. June 1695 she arrived at Barbadoe- with her freight of negro having by the providence of God not buried one man, a had one man sick during the voyage to that place. Bu immediately upon her arrival there, Capt. Doyley, command of his Majesty's ship the Bristol, pressed fifteen of his seame and in 3 days after Capt. Massam, commander of a small friga pressed six men, upon which seven or eight more deserted t ship, for fear, as is supposed, of the same usage. The remained then on board but four common seamen, besides bo and about twenty officers; and, upon consultation, they though it not rafe to proceed to Virginia, being so disabled, but determine their outward bound voyage at Barbadoes, the mas there disposing of his negroes, and lading home from thence London. But, finding his number of men insufficient to naviga his ship and make any reasonable defence in case of an attack thought it advisable to redeem four of his men of the capta that pressed them, at five pounds per man, and withal gave the captain of the Bristol ten pounds to convoy him clear of t Leeward Islands. In his passage home to England, in compa with eleven sail more, was met with by two French private in Soundings, and, after a fight of three hours, in which t captain and six more were killed and six or seven wounded, t said ship and four men were taken, to the loss of the interes in that single ship of above twenty-five thousand pounds. all probability, had he had his complement of men, he mig have escaped, the two privateers not exceeding him together number of guns, but were encouraged to assault him by insufficiency to defend himself. This is the third ship t some of these gentlemen have lost by pressing their men at a and in foreign parts. · Signed Arthur Bailey. [Deliver this day (L. J., XV. 608), pursuant to Order of 6 Dec. 606), and on 13th a copy ordered to be sent to the Admira (ib. 611 and MS. Min.)]. (t2) 17 Dec.—Answer of the Admiralty to preceding.

have never been applied to by the owners, but, having had so notice of the matter from a letter read to them when attend the Lords Justices on 3 Sept. last, resolved to await the arri Capt. Doyley, first lieutenant of the Tiger, v succeeded the commander of the Bristol on his death in West Indies, but who was still out there, to have the ma strictly inquired into and the offenders severely punish Signed J. Lowther, H. Priestman, Robt. Austen, R. R. Jno. Houblon. By command of the Comrs., Wm. Bridgen Dated 16 Dec. [Delivered this day, pursuant to Order 13 Dec. (L. J., XV. 611; MS. Min.), and Admiralty order to acquaint the House of the movements of Captains Doy and Massam. (L. J., XV. 615; MS. Min.)]

(t³) 19 Dec.—Further answer of the Admiralty. They acquaint the House when Capt. Doyley arrives, and, as to C Massam, if he be captain of a small frigate, it is what they h yet no advice of, no such officer going from hence; but ships at Barbadoes will be relieved shortly, when he may be England, about the end of next summer. Signed as preced with the additional signature of E. Russell, and dated 18 I [Delivered this day, pursuant to Order of 17 Dec. L. J., XV. 1695.

No. 959.

(u) 11 Jan. 1695-6.—Papers delivered this day to the Select Committee by the Marquess of Carmarthen (Lord Kiveton) in answer to reflections upon his conduct in the Admiralty's Paper (p³ above). Com. Book, 6 and 11 Jan. Read on 13 and 14 Jan. and following days. Com. Book. See Notes III. above, pages 69 to 74. They are as follows:—

pages 69 to 74. They are as follows:—
(n') 11 Jan. 1695-6.—The Marquess of Carmarthen's answer to
the memorial delivered by the Lords of the Admiralty to the
House of Lords, 23 Dec. 1695, for so much as concerns the

Marquess in the said memorial.

13 June.—The said memorial begins with an application made by the East India Company to the Lords of the Admiralty, 13 June 1695, desiring that some frigate might be appointed to cruise to the westward of Cape Clear from the 10th July to the 10th Sept. following, or &c., as per said memorial.

Answer.—This application was made but the day before I went on board the Lenox at Spithead, of which I had no notice, nor by the said memorial was that cruise desired by the

Merchants until the 10th July following.

In the said paragraphs the ships Dorsetshire and Weymouth are said to be cruising at that time in the Soundings, together

with other ships therein named.

Answer.—That the ships the Dorsetshire and the Weymouth

were neither of them in the Soundings at that time.

25 May.—The said memorial saith that on the 25 May 1695 I had orders to sail with the Lenox from Spithead into the Soundings, and take under my command the Dreadnought, &c.

Answer.—My Order was not to sail with the Lenox from Spithead into the Soundings, as by the memorial, nor was the Lenox at Spithead till 2nd June following, and their Lordships of the Admiralty did write me a letter of the 25 May, together with the Order of the same date, wherein they own the said ship Lenox to be then at the Nore but ordered to the Downs; as by their letter.

n the said paragraph of the memorial it is said that I, being detained by my private affairs in town, did not reach Plymouth with the aforesaid ship Lenox before the 17th June

following.

etter of the 11th June (? Paper w) written to me by their order, which letter was the first positive direction I received for going on board, nor do they mention my letter of the 14th June, when I wrote to them from on board the Lenox at Spithead, and was preparing to sail, which was but twelve days after the arrival of the said ship at Spithead, and but three days after the said positive order of the 11th. And I was so far from being at any time detained in town by my own private affairs, that my time was taken up in the manner and for the reasons following, viz.:—

May.—My reasons for staying in town.—Their Lordships' Order of the 25 May being not at all pressing, as appears by the said Order, I went to their Lordships and acquainted them it was necessary I should go to Chatham to give directions about a frigate I was then building there for his Majesty, by his particular command, which their Lordships permitted, and

thereupon I went down thither twice or thrice, and upon return always attended and solicited them to allow three or for clean nimble frigates might be added to that squadron I to command, which, I told them, in regard the number was small, and they all, except one, heavy sailers and foul, wo render it impossible for me to comply with that part of their Lo ships' Order for protecting the trade, unless what I desired mig be added to them. For that, Brest being so advantageou situated, with the least industry in the world they might only gain frequent intelligence of our strength and proceeding but also at any time with great ease send out too grea squadron for us to deal with, especially considering that fr the main body of any squadron appointed to that station protect the trade there must, of necessity, be some sh detached to look for homeward bound merchant ships; that not only those which come from different parts, but en those that come from the very same place, do often fall i

the Soundings in different parts of it.

This solicitation, which I thought so necessary, took up a gr part of my time, and at last their Lordships gave me h that my desire in the matter would, in a short time, be comp with, and also that, by the time I arrived at Plymouth, should find the Weymouth and some other fitting ships worders to join me, without which hopes, I acknowledge, I sho much sooner have laid down my commission than h undertaken so hazardous and ridiculous an enterprise (an err never any Flag had before this time been sent on), in a heavy sail ship alone, to look out for a squadron in time of war, in the la compass of the Soundings, and which their Lordships (in the letter of 25 May, sent me with their Order of the same of for this proceeding) show that they cannot inform me w any certainty where to find, and at a time when it was strong reported that the Marquis de Nesmond was at sea, or de expected to be so, with a greater force than was to be un my command. So that, not having any other ship to m them, I might as well have fallen in with that squadron my own, or at least so near as that it would have b impossible for so heavy a sailer to have got off again. your Lordships will find, by the subsequent Orders, that Admiralty did not think fit to let me depart from Plymo till they had made an addition of some ships to me.

17 June.—In the next place, they mention the receipt of a le of mine of 17 June, which they call my first, but was second, in the extracting of which will be found many alt tions and omissions disagreeing with my original letter, vizt. That the Weymouth was not then at Plymouth, when I we

That the Weymouth was not then at Plymouth, when I we in said letter she was there; and I have reason to doubt said nothing of her, because they had before given me he of having her in my squadron, being a nimble sailer and cle and [it] was one of my reasons for calling in at Plymouth, to her with me, being sent out with never a ship to attend Flag, instead whereof I found her appointed to attend fishery, which a worse sailer would have done as well.

They represent the matter of Mons. Nesmond very unfairly,

They represent the matter of Mons. Nesmond very unfairly, not at all agreeable to the words of my said letter. They part of my said letter, viz., "till an opportunity of wi They represent me as afraid of misfortunes which m

happen under my circumstances, and speak it in such a manner as if that concern were for myself and not for the service, in which I do appeal to my letter, which I desire may be read.

20 June.—Their Lordships mention their Order to me of 20 June to remain at Plymouth till the Rupers and Foresight should

arrive, &c.

Answer .- This Order shows that their Lordships thought it fit for me to remain at Plymouth till I could be joined with more ships, which confirms the opinion that I did well in putting

in there, and staying for more ships.

The 21 June their Lordships say that the Rochester was ordered to join me in the Soundings, but she came not to me till I was at Milford, and I did write the letter of the same date, as is said in the memorial, wherein was that expression, that it was a wonder to all seamen that a Flag should be sent in a single ship to find out his squadron.

Answer.—I could not tell where to seek my squadron, neither from the Admiralty nor by any other directions they had given me.

23 June.—The next thing mentioned is my letter of the 23 June, which, by mistake, is said to be dated the 22nd.

I desire the said letter of the 23 may be read, to show that I took the best care I could to obey orders.

The 24 June they say the Crown was ordered to join me in

the Soundings. Answer .- The Crown never joined me at all, nor the Wey-

mouth till at Milford. Their Lordships make mention of my letters of the 26 and 28

June. I desire the said letters may be read, which will show I used all the diligence I could in getting from Plymouth, and for securing of the trade in the best manner I was then able.

6 July .- They say that, after my letter of the 28 June, they had

no account from me till 6 July.

Answer .- I had no opportunity of writing sooner, and desire my said letter may be read, to show how I was forced to run

up and down to seek my squadron.

9 July.—Their Lordships take notice of the extract of a letter I sent them, from Capt. Cole to the Collector of the Customs at Kinsale, as also of my letter of the same date to their Lordships, wherein I give them an account of the Council of War I then called, and the result thereof, as also of the arrival of the Straits fleet at Kinsale the 8 July.

I desire that both my said letter of the 9 July, and the result of that Council of War, may be read, as also the copy of the extract of Capt. Cole's letter to the Collector of the Customs at Kinsale, by all which it will appear that it was impossible for my squadron to be in that station where I had any reason to expect to find them, and that it was the opinion of the Council of War, as well as my own, that it was advisable for me then to return to Plymouth for further orders. Besides, I thought it of service to convoy the Straits fleet, since, by the Council of War, my stay in the Soundings was not thought advisable.

10 July.—The next day after the dute of my letter viz. 10 July, they say that Capt. Cole arrived at Plymouth, &c., and gave an account to their Lordships that on the 2 July he was informed by the Germoon advice-boat that I was sailed to the westward, in order to take upon me the command of the

1695.

No. 959.

squadron, and that on the 8 July he called the captains board, who agreed 'twas best for the service to go to Plymon the ships being in want of repair as well as provisions. He give an account also of his stations between the 2 and 8 July, Answer.—Although the stations mentioned in this letter of Ca

Cole to the Admiralty doth not speak his stations to be when had reason to look for him, yet I have cause to doubt whetl he was at that time in the stations there mentioned, by co paring my own journal therewith. But, if he were, it appear by his said letter that all the captains then with him were opinion that they ought to go to Plymouth, by reason of th want both of repairs and provisions, insomuch that my joini them would have served only to have come back with them.

12 July.—Their Lordships mention an Order to Capt. Cole 12 July to get ready some ships to join me in the Sounding and say that on the 18th he sailed with four ships there

Answer.—Of these Orders to Capt. Cole, or of the time of l

sailing from Plymouth, I know nothing.

17 July.—They say that the day before Capt. Cole sailed from Plymouth, viz. 17 July according to their computation, the sent me Orders, as by their memorial.

Answer.-These Orders did not come to me till 27 July, when was at Milford, so that I could not put them in execution.

19 July.—Their Lordships mention two letters of mine, both dat the 19 July from Cork harbour, and that I gave an account one of them of the news I had heard of the loss of an Ea Indian ship called the Henry, and the expectation of t arrival of another, and of my calling a Council of War upo that news.

Answer.—This shews the result of that Council of War, and the cause why I could spare no ships from me, by reason of the result of that Council, and here I desire that my letter to Lo Capel of the 20 July may be read, to show that I took the be care I could for their protection, after I was so tied up by the

said Council of War.

The 20th July their Lordships mention an Order directed to m about the Weymouth.

Answer.—This Order came not to me till I was returned

Plymouth.

22 July .- Their Lordships mention a long Order of the 22 July wherein they take notice of six East India ships which we

suddenly expected.

Answer. This is the first intimation which was given to me from the Admiralty about any East India ships, and I did not receiv the said Order till I was returned to Plymouth by advice of the Council of War, as aforesaid.

25 July .- They also mention an Order of the 25 July, which cam

not to me till I was returned to Plymouth.

Answer .- This Order approves my conveying the Straits flee within the Land's End, as had been the opinion of the Counc of War before.

The 26th July their Lordships give an account of a mistake whic happened, by taking the men of war which were with Capt. Cole and the Merchant ships which were then in his Company, to be squadron of French men of war.

Answer.—This mistake occasioned my calling a Council of War, the result whereof was that I should go with the ships under my command, together with the Straits fleet, into Milford Haven

for protection of the said merchant ships.

Note. That the said mistake had been prevented if Capt. Cole would have permitted the Rochester to have borne down to us, being to windward of us, and clean, and was offered by the commander of the said ship Rochester, which none of us could do, being so far to leeward of the ships with Capt. Cole.

I desire my letter of the 23rd July, and of the result of the Council

of War of the same date, may be read.

24 July .- Their Lordships make no mention of my letter ef the 24th July.

This letter may be read, if your Lordships are so pleased.

27 July.—They mention an Order of 27 July.

I received the said Order at Milford, which also confirms the opinion of the Council of War of the 19 July.

28 July .-- They mention my letter of the 28th July, which takes notice of Capt. Cole having stood off and on shore for several days without shewing any colours, as also my sending word at that time how the mistake of going into Milford might have been prevented by Capt. Cole.

I desire this letter may be read.

2 Aug.-Their Lordships take notice of a letter from me of the 2nd Aug. acquainting them that I had ordered the Foresight and Rochester to cruise off Cape Clear and the Blasquets till I joined them, or further order, and that I did believe the Lord Deputy would appoint the Anglesey to join them, I having written to his Excellency for that purpose.

Answer.—I sent these two ships upon a private intimation from an Officer in the Admiralty, although their Lordships were not pleased to give me any notice thereof from themselves, and I gave myself great hopes that they would be joined by the Anglesey upon a former letter I had written to the Lord Deputy, when I had heard of the loss of the Henry East India

On the said 2nd Aug. they inform your Lordships that, pursuant to the directions of the Lords Justices, they sent me Orders at Milford to proceed from thence into the Soundings, and to leave the Cadiz ships to come from Milford with their proper convoy, &c.

Answer .- The date of this Order may occasion some mistake, being of the same date with my forementioned letter in the last paragraph [see previous answer of this date]; for I did not receive the said Order until the 6th of Augt., and did, by my letter of the 7th Augt., answer the said Order of the 2nd, shewing my readiness to obey the same, and I desire my said letter of the 7th may be read.

5 Aug.-Their Lordships mention their Order of the 5th Augt., directing me to come to Plymouth with the Lenox and Hawk fireships, and to send the rest of the ships of my squadron into the Soundings to cruise under the command of Capt. Cole, and give for reason that there was not a sufficient

number together to accompany a Flag.

Answer .- At this time I had a better squadron to have done service withal, having with me a fireship more than I had at my first going out, and knowing certainly where to find the Rupert, Foresight, and Rochester; besides that their 1695.

No. 959.

Lordships, at that time, knew that by their Order the Stirli Castle and Portland were cruising in the same station whe I had then sent the Foresight and Rochester, whereby t squadron would have been stronger than at any time before, a yet this time was taken, not only to call me from that servi under pretence that there were not ships enough to accompa a Flag, but to send Capt. Cole into the Soundings, who, inste of going directly into that station, went to Mount's Bay, co trary to order, and whom, by the experience of his form miscarriages, their Lordships had no reason to have trusted a service of such importance, and especially after having be directed by a late Order of their Lordships to be tried at a Cou Martial (for his miscarriages), which was then depending and n executed.

Their Lordships take no notice of my letter of the 8th Augus wherein I desired to be called to a Court Martial, and which

desire may be read.

The next paragraph in their memorial relates to the East Ind Company's receiving advice concerning Mons. Nesmond's sails from Brest, with six men of war and two fire-ships, with design to intercept the English and Dutch East India ships expecte home, and their desire to have a further strength appointed! cruise in the Soundings.

Answer.—I was then discharged from my command, & am no further concerned with anything contained in the said memorial.

After my arrival at London, I did again several times appl myself to the Admiralty, desiring to be tried by a Court Martin conceiving great injury to have been done me by their proceedings, but was answered by some of them that they wondere why I should desire to be tried by Court Martial, when the knew no reason for it. But, pressing still for a trial, I wa answered by some of them that, when that Board thought fit t call any one to a Court Martial, they would do it without an application to them. Being unsatisfied with such answer by reason of the false reports which had been spread of me upo this occasion, I acquainted his Majesty with the hardship I la under in undergoing such censures and not being able to procur any public vindication.

Signed 'Carmarthen.'

[Laid before the Committee by L. Kiveton this day. Com. Book

See Notes III. above, pages 69 to 74.]

(u2) 11 Jan. 1695-6.—Copies of letters sent by the Marquess of Carmarthen to the Lords of the Admiralty from 14 June to 17 August 1695.

No. 1. 14 June, Lenox, Spithead. Ship is now unmooring Will do his best to carry out orders of Admiralty.

No. 2. 17 June, Plymouth Sound. My Lords, Just before m departure from Spithead I spoke with Capt. Hubbard, expecting to be informed where it was most probable to find the squadro in the Soundings, but understood by him that Capt. Cole' Orders was so large that he was at liberty to proceed as he thought most convenient, which made it so difficult for me to find him that [it] caused me to touch at this port, in hopes I migh obtain some more certain intelligence of him, I was als informed at Spithead that the Weymouth was here; and she being one of the ships that your Lordships, when I last saw you gave me hopes should be joined to my squadron was also another

reason for my calling in here, in expectation that she might have received her orders accordingly, and that I might have taken her along with me; but, on the contrary, I find the Weymouth, instead of going on this expedition, which is of great consequence, and which she is very fit for, is ordered only to attend the Fishery off Rye &c., which service, with submission to your Lerdships' better judgment, a much heavier sailer would perform as well; for, Capt. Cole's station being so very uncertain, and finding the Lenor sail so extremely ill, unless I have some nimble frigates to look upon any number of ships we may meet, it is equal that, endeavouring to find Capt. Cole, I may happen so near a French squadron, before I can make what they are, as may make it impossible for me to get off again. Since my coming here I am informed that the Marquis de Nesmond is at the chops of our Channel with seven sail of clean ships of good force, and, if that be true, I cannot see, without running the greatest risk in the world, how I can go with this heavy sailing ship alone to join the rest of my squadron; and I cannot but take notice to your Lordships that I am the first Flag that ever was sent towards an enemy in such a manner, and your Lordships is the first Admiralty that ever hazarded a Flag so, if I meet with no other assistance. But, the wind being now come to S.W., and if it should continue so, will hinder me from proceeding till another opportunity of wind, and, if it be possible for me to receive your Lordships' answer before it changes, I should hope you would permit my further stay here till I am joined with at least one light frigate more to accompany me. But, if I receive not your Lordships' answer before the wind does change, I shall not fail to use my speedy endeavours to find out my squadron according to your Orders, whatever the consequence be, though it is a strange errand for a Flag to be sent on, to look for his squadron without any certain directions where to find them. I have already acquainted your Lordships with the misfortunes I expect will attend the service

by the miscarriage of the squadron which are appointed to be under my command, unless three or four clean frigates for scouts are adjoined, that we may not be on a sudden surprised by too strong a force for us to deal with, and too clean ships for us to get away from if we should be so unfortunate as to be forced to make use of our heels, which I do assure your Lordships shall not be done, whilst I have the honour to command, till reduced to the last extremity. I don't question but your Lordships will consider what a considerable part of the remaining English sea force would be wanting if we should be cut off, or any other way separated from joining my Lord Berkeley, and there should be occasion for us; though by ourselves we are but a weak squadron, considering our station. Therefore, it being my opinion always to provide against the worst, and having been informed that the French have called in their privateers from Toulon to Brest, which gives me reason to believe they design to make some attempt in our Channel this summer, I cannot but once more remind your Lordships of the misfortune I think is most likely to fall on this squadron, and also on the hardships you are pleased to put upon me in this service, that, if we should unfortunately miscarry, it may not lie at my door, having

1695.

No. 959.

acquainted your Lordships of the ill consequence that will m probably happen upon these proceedings. If your Lordsl can give me any certain account how I shall find out Capt. Co I should be glad you would speedily do it, that I may not too long wandering in the great compass of the Soundings to purpose, as also your answer to this letter will very me oblige, &c. P.S. Since my writing this, though I thought to have come into the Sound, yet it has blown so hard that, well those ships that were bound eastward under the convoy the Smyrna Factor, as also those that were bound westwa were forced to return hither. Here is also arrived a fleet colliers under the convoy of the Joseph and Jolly pri [Read 13 Jan. before the Committee. See Notes III. about

pages 69 and 70.7

No. 3. 21 June, Plymouth Sound. Has been detained by coutr winds, but will sail now at the first opportunity, his gale having arrived. Has detained the Rupert and Foresig ordered to cruise between the Start and Scilly, for twentyhours, hoping they may be ordered to join him, after which will not delay to endeavour to find the ships designed for hi though both to seamen and all other it is a wonder that a F should be sent alone to look for his squadrou. Has received t Orders about the Lichfield, and accordingly got under well with the Rupert and Foresight, but had to stand into she again to send his galley for news from the Admiralty. W dismiss these two ships if he gets no Orders about them. G the Lichfield is to be cleaned, but, unless he gets some of ship in her stead, there is nothing that sails tolerably in l

No. 4. 23 June, Plymouth Sound. Has received the Admiralt satisfactory Orders, and is glad he detained the Rupert a Foresight. Will now sail, but would have had to put back he had sailed before. Doubts not he will now be able to give very good account of his proceedings. P.S. Has seen an Ow sent to Commissioner St. Lo interfering with that sent to him f the Lichfield to convoy the Katherine storeship to Ireland but has taken her with him, and will convoy her into Co harbour. With great difficulty had French colours provided f the Rupert and Foresight, and paid £25 for a suit for the Lenox. Desires to be repaid, and that the rest of his squadre may be furnished with them, for it is a wonder to him the colours of that kind should not always be provided for a cruising squadron.

No. 5. 26 June, Lenox, Plymouth Sound. Has just got und sail with a N.W. wind, though he fears it will back again S.W. Will cruise between 20 and 30 leagues W.S.W. fro Scilly, and between that and Cape Clear, but often off Ca Clear, in hopes of meeting the East Indiamen. Will also ha an eye towards Brest, and, when he can spare his galley and clean frigate, will let them look into Broad Sound; and b galley shall sometimes look into Brest water and report what there or in Camarett Bay. As soon as he joins his squadre he will appoint a foul ship to convoy the Katherine and

ketch that lies off St. Michael's Mount.

No. 6. 28 June, Plymouth Sound. Has been driven back by wir and thick weather, but is now getting up anchor in order proceed. Having been importuned by the Master of a mercha ship now lying in Torbay, he has sent the Germoon and his gatley to convoy her and several other ships hither, the Dutch man of war that had convoyed them thither having no further power. Hopes their Lordships will not be angry. Sees now some vessels coming about the Mewstone, which he believes to be those above mentioned.

No. 7. 6 July, off Kinsale. Has been cruising off Scirly, between 20 and 40 leagues round, and from S. to W. of Cape Clear. 15 or 20 leagues from it, but has neither seen nor heard of his squadron. Has stood in to the Old Head of Kinsale for news. If it is not in Cork Harbour, he will look for it 60 or 80 leagues or more between S. & W. from Cape Clear: but, if it is gone to England, he will return to Plymouth, as he hopes their Lordships will not think it proper for a Flag to cruise with only two ships besides his own, slow and very foul. Monday last saw three French privateers of about 20 guns off Seilly, and gave chase. The Bridget Galley engaged one for two hours, but finding her too big, left ler at nightfall, as he could get no assistance. The Foresight got near another, which threw all ber lumber and several carriages overboard and went away before the wind, and, night coming on, also got away. Saw another on Wednesday, but could not come near. His arrival has pretty well cleared that station of privateers. Saw one New England ship going into Falmouth.

No. 8. Extract of a letter from Capt. Cole to the Collector of Kinsale, dated from aboard H.M.S. Dreadnought, 18 June. Sir, I received yours bearing date the 10th of June, wherein you acquaint me of one Noble Smith with three privateers more came the last night before Cross Haven, a place which I cannot pretend to come to, my time of cruising being out in these parts two or three days ago, which has been occasioned by contrary winds and calms. But if it should so happen that the wind will permit and without loss of time to my former station, which is in the Soundings, I will endeavour to take a range

that way.

No. 9. At a Council of War held on board H.M.S. Lenox in Kinsale Harbour, 7 July, present M. Carmarthen, President, Capts. Christopher Myngs, Tho: Dilkes, and Hovenden Walker. Whereas, having sufficiently beaten the most proper stations off Scilly and Cape Clear for finding Capt. Cole and H.M. ships with him, and arriving at Kinsale, by the best information we gain here judge that he is gone for England. It is therefore the opinion of the Council of War that the Rt. Hon. the M. Ca marthen proceed, with the ships under his command, for Plymouth, in order to his receiving such directions as the Lords of the Admiralty shall think most conducing to the service.

No. 10. 9 July, Lenox, Kinsale Harbour. Having had news from the Governor of Kinsale agreeing with the above extract from Cole's letter of 18 June, came into Kinsale Harbour to give the two ships with him a pair of boothosetops, and called a Council of War, the result of which is enclosed, having therein also the advice of Capt. Whetstone and Capt. Owen, whom he found here with their ships. Yesterday 80 or 100 sail from the Straits arrived under the convoy of the Devonshire, Mary, and Berkeley Castle, two Ostenders, and two

1695. No. 959. 1695. No. 959.

Hamburghers. They had crossed his station and seen not of Capt. Cole. Is thus confirmed in his opinion that Col gone to England, and thinks it best to accompany the flee far as Plymouth, as it is dangerous to let it go on with slender a convoy. After which, would be glad to join squadron and carry out his orders. Desires answers both Plymouth and at Kinsale, and hopes this will always be though place most requisite for any squadron in the Soundings to enqu for intelligence.

No. 11. 19 July, Cork Harbour. Sailed on the 15th with fleet, but was driven back by the wind. Is now unmoor On the application of Capt. Owen of the Roebuck at Kim who wanted a lieutenant, has caused Mr. Justinian Mille midshipman in the Navy almost four years, two on board Royal William, and now a volunteer with him, to be exami and appointed, and begs the appointment may be confirm P.S. The wind has come to the southward, so he cannot sail.

No. 12. 19 July, Cork Harbour. Immediately after send away his letter, heard of the unfortunate loss of one East In ship, and of the expectation of another. Fearing lest sending to give assistance to the trade one way he might backwit another, he called a Council of War, and sends the result.

No. 13. At a Council of War held on board H.M.S. Lenox Cork Harbour, 19 July, present M. Carmarthen, Preside Capts. Christopher Myngs, Tho: Dilkes, Hen. Haughton, J. Jennings, Wm. Whetstone, Wm. Beawes. A letter be produced giving an account of the unfortunate loss of Henry East Indiaman, and the daily expectation of Edward East Indiaman, it was proposed whether one or men of war might be spared from the Marquess of Carmart (in consideration of the convoy now under his care) to cru off Cape Clear and to the westward of it, for meeting with said ship Edward, or any others that may fall in that way, is the opinion of this Council of War that the Marques Carmarthen do not part from any one man of war until fleet from Cadiz now under his convoy be seen safe within Lizard.

No. 14. From M. Carmarthen to Lord Capel, dated 20 Ju Lenox, Cork Harbour. Sends him result of Council of W Was himself of opinion that a ship or two should be forthw sent to cruise off the coast of Kerry, in order to meet Edward or any others, that being a place that a great p of our trade generally fall in with, and as little attended w our men of war. But his hands were tied by the Council of W As soon as he can get within the Lizard, intends to send Foresight, Capt. Walker, back to Kinsale, and proposes t Lord Capell should order the Anglesey, now at Kinsale, join Capt. Walker and cruise under his orders at the afores station until the writer returns to this coast, which he ho will be very soon; when he would furnish a cruiser for t station if L. Capell would furnish another, which would enough to secure the trade thereabouts, because for their be protection he will often look in there with his whole squadr P.S. Since the loss of the Henry, above 100 of her a seamen may be straggling; therefore begs L. Capell to g

1 6 9 5. No. 959.

orders to take up as many as possible for his squadron, in which several ships are so very ill manned as cannot be imagined, some of the 70 gun ships not having above half their complement. Is already assured of the assistance of the Governors of Cork & Kinsale. The King allows 20s. to every man that takes up and secures an able seaman for service on board a king's ship. Wishes this extended to this country. Will see it punctually performed for as many men as shall be produced for his squadron.

No. 15. To the Admiralty. 23 July, Lenox, off the Land's Sends result of Council of War called on discovering 18 sail of ships supposed to be French men of war, having no reason to believe there can be such a squadron of English ships in the Channel. When he gets to Milford, he will take care to make such an additional defence, by taking guns out of the merchantmen and placing them ashore, as may be sufficient to secure the fleet, if they follow and make any attempt upon it, which he has reason to believe they may, seeing they give chase and are not above 5 leagues off. Hopes his squadron may be ordered to reinforce him. Knows not as yet where they are. P.S. There is nothing done in Ireland but what the French have immediately intelligence of by the correspondence of their privateers, which confirms his opinion that these ships are French, and were waiting purposely for him; and, if they are French, he hopes the Admiralty will consider that the Turkey and West India fleets are expected home, and therefore appoint a sufficient number of ships to disappoint the enemy's design.

No. 16. At a Council of War held on board H.M.S. Lenox at sea, 23 July, the Lizard bearing S.E. by E. 4 miles distant at 12 at noon; present M. Carmarthen, President, Capts. Christopher Myngs, Tho: Dilks, Hen: Haughton, John Jennings, Hovenden Walker, Wm. Beawes. Upon intelligence sent to us by Mr. Jones, Deputy Vice Admiral of the west part of Cornwall, that since Thursday last 17 sail of great ships has been often seen plying off Mount's Bay and the Lizard, together with an affidavit made at Cork by a man that was taken out of a French privateer commanded by one Welsh, and several other concurrent intelligences (from privateers and others that have been taken) of a French squadron of at least 20 sail of line of battle ships that would in a very short time be ready to sail from Brest, with many other accounts to the same purpose in Ireland, and we (the Land's End bearing S.E. by E. of us 4 m. distant) now having sight of 18 sail to the southwestward of us, we cannot but believe they are that French squadron of which we have been so often informed. Therefore, upon its being proposed what was best to be done in consideration that the wind is westerly, and these ships right to windward of us, and all the ships now with us being very foul and heavy sailers, so as we cannot possibly fetch into Falmouth before they would certainly fall in with us, it is the opinion of this Council of War (nemine contradicente) that we make the best of our way with the merchantmen under our convoy for Milford, for the better security of the fleet, till further intelligence or our being reinforced.

No. 17. 24 July, Milford Haven. Has lost sight of the suspected ships, and has reached Milford with sixty odd 1 6 9 5. No. 959.

merchantmen, and all the men of war except the Gloucesti which was bringing a rich ship from Youghat. Yesterday abo 16 of the merchantmen tacked after the two Ostend men of wa Hopes they may get by the strange fleet. Will survey tharbour tomorrow to provide against attack; for, though it is fine harbour, yet it is so open and wide that he cannot as see any place ashore whence the enemy could be annoyed. their Lordships find these ships are French men of war, is sure the will be of opinion that a small force will be sufficient to protect the bomb vessels in the Channel, for they cannot at this time send to great a force to the mouth of it. The French cannot want force enough to give us disturbance in these parts, nor will they lose an opportunity, as the situation of Brest gives them the advantaged frequent information as to our force. As he can secure the me chantmen here, doubts whether he should not, with all the men war except the Berkeley Castle, make the best of his way Plymouth to join any fleet sent out to these parts, which ough to be more looked after than any other, considering the dail expectation of our homeward bound trade and their convo-Awaits orders.

No. 18. 28 July, Milford Haven. Last night the *Dread nought*, *Rochester*, and *Hawh* fireship came in an reported that the suspected squadron was only Capt. Cole, with some merchantmen outward bound, in all 18 sail, which has stood off and on shore several days without showing any colours which caused them to be suspected. Hopes their Lordship cannot be angry at the care of the Council of War for the preservation of so great a fleet. Will take the fleet to Plymouth and leave them there to go on with their own convoy. Will then take his own ships now being cleaned, and proceed with them to the Soundings. Acknowledges receipt of Orders of 12, 15 and 17 inst. by the *Dreadnought*, together with a Commission for a Court Martial to examine into a matter relating to the *Portland's* chasing.

No. 19. 2 Aug., Milford Haven. Still waiting for a wind. H.M. hired ship the *Prince of Orange* has come in from Virginia, having seen part of that convoy safe into the Bristol Channel. Has ordered the *Foresight* and *Rochester* to cruise off Cape Clear and the Blasquets, and believes the Lord Deputy of Ireland will order the *Anglesey* to join them.

No. 20. 7 Aug., Milford Haven. Has received Orders of 2 instant distributed them to the Straits fleet and the Prince of Orange. Will proceed to the Soundings when the wind serves. Could not have got out before, as the wind has been blowing hurricanes, except on the 2nd, when there was a slatch which enabled the ships already reported to get out. His rendezvous will be 20 or 30 leagues between S. and W. from Scilly, or the same distance from Cape Clear, and he will send into Kinsale

and Plymouth for orders from time to time.

No. 21. 8 Aug., Milford Haven. My Lords, Just as I was getting under sail in pursuance of your Lordships' Orders of the 2nd inst., I received your later Orders of the 5th, according to which I shall not fail with a great deal of willingness to proceed, though it seems a little to confirm the truth of a report, which some [of] my friends have informed me is in London, that your Lordships are so displeased with my proceedings since you have honoured me with the command of this squadron, that

1695. No. 959.

vou have designed to call me home in order to send Admiral Hopson in my room; and, though I must confess several unfortunate accidents have happened since my departure from England, yet I am so very well assured that what I have done does not deserve the ill character which I hear your Lordships have given me. And, if so, I desire your Lordships will do me the justice to let me be called to a Court Martial, that I may justify my proceedings, and not lie under the censure of those people who know nothing of the reason thereof. I must confess I am very much surprised at the reason your Lordships give for calling me home, when at my coming out you thought a lesser number of ships than I have now was sufficient to accompany me as a Flag, which gives me great reason to believe the aforesaid report. Therefore I cannot but again earnestly desire to be called to account for my proceedings, it being the only way for the truth thereof to be known, and a piece of justice I hope your Lordships will not deny anybody, and I shall take it as the

greatest obligation you can lay upon Yrs. &c.

No. 22. 12 Aug., Plymouth Sound. Sailed on the 8th from Milford with the Straits fleet, and anchored this day with the Lenox and Hawk fireship, which he has ordered to be cleaned, and then to proceed, according to Orders of 5th. Has left the fleet to proceed up Channel. "Having received several abuses and affronts from Capt. Cole, Commander of the Dreadnought, for which at first (upon his writing a submissive letter to me, a copy of which I hereby send your Lordships) i was inclinable to forgive him; but since that he continues to be [so] very stubborn, unmannerly, and disobedient to order, that I caunot but acquaint your Lordships therewith, and desire you will do me the justice to have the matter inquired into at a Court Martial; and, that your Lordships may be something better informed of the particulars, I have also herewith sent you copies of the depositions of Capts. Jennings and Jumper, who were present at his first abuse and disobedience. I am also further to let your Lordships know that, in pursuance to your Orders of the 5th, I gave Capt. Cole mine, a copy whereof you will also find here, and on the 10th in the evening, after having sent for Capt. Cole, who would not come to me, I went on board him to acquaint him with your said Orders, and to tell him it was not in my power to order any more ships with him, the rest being already appointed on other service, but that I was to send the Lenox and Hawk fireship after him as soon as they were cleaned and ready." Adds that, wishing to keep him a little longer with him, as he feared it would come on to blow hard, he ordered him not to part company without a signal; but he did so at 12 at night, which is another part of his disobedience. Notwithstanding their Lordships' Orders for his coming up to town, he will remain here in ease they may have any further commands for him before he leaves. repeats his desire for a Court Martial on Cole. "I'll suffer if I dont prove him a knave, a coward, and a fool."

No. 23. 17 Aug., Plymouth. "My Lords, I received your Lordships' letters of the 14th, and with the first conveniency design to set out of this place in order to come to town; and, in answer to that part of your letter relating to my not trying Capt. Cole, though a Court Martial was called, your Lordships will find with my last a copy of his submissive letter, upon 1695.

No. 959.

which I forgave him; however, though that was not the or reason of the designed Court Martial, yet the wind with whi I got under sail happening fair that morning occasioned it be put by; but, since he has been so ungrateful, and as by copies of what I have already sent your Lordships I hope of find there is sufficient reason for bringing him to a Court Marti I dont prove him to be what I have before pretended I wery willingly be punished for him." Has dismissed Gunner, Boatswain and Carpenter of the Hawk firest for neglect of duty, and replaced them by others; and given directions to Commissioner St. Lo for a survey of the stores. [Laid before the Committee by L. Kiveton this da Read repeatedly. Com. Book. See Notes III. and I where were the stores. See Notes III. and I where were the stores.

above, pages 69 to 75.] (u3) 11 Jan. 1695-6. — A Journal of the proceedings of Right Hon. the Marquess of Carmarthen in H.M. Len in the Soundings, between 14 June and 18 August 1695. contents are for the most part reported in preceding letters. adds thereto that on 14 July he wrote to the Admiralty. report the Devonshire's blowing up. On 25 July he order the Devonshire's tender to be manned with 50 men and arms to look for a French shallop, which had taken several merchan men; she brought her in on 27th, on which date also appear an entry of the receipt of Order of 12th to examine at a Con Martial the reason why the Captain of the Portland was once by Capt. Cole and once by Capt. Maine, called off fro chase, with an extract of the Captain's Journal enclosed. 30 July sent the French prisoners to Pembroke. On 17 Au there is a note that, though the Admiralty take notice of Cole abusing him, they say not a word of his disobedience in leaving

(v) 14 Jan. 1695-6.—Copies of the Orders under which Cap Cole and Capt. Hubbard cruised in the Soundings at the time

the fleet. [Laid before the Committee by L. Kiveton this day

M. Carmarthen was to join them.

Com. Book. See Note III. above, p. 69.]

(1) Order to Capt. Cole, dated 22 April 1695, to take the Dorsetshire, Elizabeth, Lichfield, Captain, Weymouth Kingfisher, and Hawk and Owner's Love fireships, and cruise to the Soundings for twenty days in company wis such Dutch ships as may be ordered to join him, to me flects of merchantmen from the Canaries and from Portugand convoy them to the Lizard.

(2) Order to Capt. Hubbard, of the *Dorsetshire*, at Plymout dated 22 April, to the same effect; but, if he meets Cap Cole in the *Dreadnought*, he is to put himself under Cole

command.

(3) Similar Order to Capt. Hubbard, addressed to the Down (4) Order to Capt. Cole, dated 20 May 1695, to take the Ker and Stirling Castle, in addition to the Captain, Lichfiel and the two fireships, if at Plymouth, and to cruise for days in the Soundings, to meet the Portugal fleet and convoit to the Lizard; and to send back the Dorsetshire at Elizabeth to the Downs, and the Weymouth to Plymout to be cleaned. [Produced at the Committee this dapursuant to Order of 13 Jan., and read on 14 and 1 Com. Book. See Notes III. above, pages 70 and 71.]

(w) 15 Jan. 1695-6.—Letter from Mr. Burchett to M. Carmarthen. My Lord, there being a necessity for the Lenox to be in the Soundings as soon as possibly may be, my Lords of the Admiralty have this night sent Orders to her Commander to proceed thither in forty-eight hours after his receipt thereof, and their Lordships command me to send you the enclosed copy of the said Order, for your Lordship's information therein. Signed J. Burchett. Dated Admiralty, 10 June 1695. [Read this day before the Committee. Com. Book. See Notes III. above, page 72.]

x) 21 Jan. 1695-6.—Admiralty Order to M. Carmarthen. Whereas several ships appointed to be under his orders are now disposed of on several stations, so that there will not be a sufficient number together to accompany a Flag, he is directed, as soon as he arrives off the Land's End with the Cadiz ships, to send back to the Soundings, under Capt Cole's orders, all the men of war with him but the Lenox and Hawk fireship, which he is to bring to Plymouth to be cleaned; after which they are to join the others in the Soundings, and he himself is to reprir to town. Signed as other Admiralty Papers (but not by Russell), and dated Admiralty Office, 5 Aug. 1695. [Read this day and on 22 Jan. before the Committee. Com. Book. See Notes III. above, page 73.]

y) 22 Jan. 1695-6.—Copy of Admiralty Order to M. Carmarthen. Whereas information had been given to the Admiralty by the Commander of H.M.S. Portland that during his being in the Soundings he chased some ships, and, upon his coming up with them, was once called off by Capt. Cole, and another time by Capt. Main of H.M.S. Kent, a more particular account of which is annexed, M. Carmarthen is directed to hold a Court Martial to enquire into the matter. Signed John Lowther, Henry Priestman, Robt. Rich, Geo. Rook, John Houblon. By command of the Commrs., J. Burchett. Dated Admiralty Office, 12 July 1695. [Read this day before the Committee. Com. Book. See Notes III. above, page 73.]

z) 7 Feb. 1695-6.—Extract of Journal of Capt. Wm. Smith, Commander of the Portland. On 4 June 1695, at 11 a.m., espied a sail, and gave chase till 2 p.m., when the Commodore made a signal to give over, though Smith had raised the hull. On 15 June, about 10 a.m., gave chase to what looked like a sail, but was only a white cliff. The Kent signalling a sail to windward, all the fleet gave chase till 5 pm., when all except the Kent and Portland left off. About 8 p.m. the chase ran up French colours and opened fire, but beyond the range of the Portland's guns. At 9 p.m. the Kent, which was a league astern, and pursued by a lusty ship, brought to, and fired a gun for the *Portland* to do so also. The chase being one of near 60 guns, the *Portland*: left him and went to the assistance of the Kent. At 11 p.m. sent on board the Kent to ask why he had been ordered to give up the chase, and to say it was his opinion still to pursue him; but Capt. Main answered that there were two great ships to windward of him, and he could not fight them without help. Portland therefore lay close by him all night. [Delivered in and read this day. Com. Book. See Notes IV. above, page 74. Referred to in preceding paper.]

1695. No. 959. 1 6 9 5. No. 959. (a a) 23 Jan. 1695-6.-Letter from Mr. Burchett, of Admiralty, to M. Carmarthen. My Lord, I am sorry y Lordship had the misfortune to miss the ships which were under your command in the Soundings; but I hope they'll re time enough to you to prevent any inconvenience from enemy's ships which are or may come out from Brest. this comes, I suppose your Lordship will have advice that Lord Berkeley has bombarded St. Malo and Granville, the la of which towns is totally destroyed. They are now going Dunkirk, and the worthy Engineer, Mr. Musters, threat that place very much with his machines. God send he make his words good, and that we may have good success aga that place and Calais, which I suppose will also be attempt We have yet no news from Mr. Russell, but 'tis gener thought that he has, ere this, bombarded Marseilles, and he the towns between Toulon and that place into great confu by the constant motion of the Fleet. Some East India chants attended the Board this morning, and say they sudden expect 6 rich ships home from thence; but I only give I Lordship this notice thereof as from myself, knowing that will take the necessary care for their security upon coming into the Soundings. There is no other news what is in the Printed Papers, and herewith your Lord will receive the Gazette, to which I refer you; and, hearty wishes for your good success, I remain, my L your Lordship's obedient humble servant, J. Burchett. Admiralty Office, 18 July 1695. [Produced this day be the Committee. Com. Book. See Notes III. above, page

(a b) 6 Feb. 1695-6.—Notes taken at the Committee by Clerk, so far as relates to the L. Kiveton. They are alm verbatim the entries in the Com. Book from 11 Jan. to 23 Jinclusive (see Notes III. above, pages 69 to 73) drawn up wivew to reporting this branch of the subject this day, omitting evidence of Billop. L. J., XV. 662. Com. Book, 23, 24 Jan.

1 Feb.

(ac) 7 Feb. 1695-6. Copy of letter from the Admiralty M. Carmarthen. Have received his letter of 12 Aug. Plymouth. "We have considered of what you write touch the abuses and affronts you have received from Capt. (Commander of the Dreadnought, together with the sev papers relating to that matter, by which it appearing to that you did appoint another to command the ship, and con the said Capt. Cole in order to his being tried at a Co Martial which your Lordship had appointed to examine matter, we desire you will let us know whether he has tried, and, if so, that you will transmit to us the determina of the Court Martial. But, if he has not been so tried, have determined it shall be done as soon as conveniently be; and in the meantime we desire your Lordship will let know how he came to be admitted to the command of his after your Lordship had suspended him therefrom, and put under confinement." With regard to his remaining at Plyme for further orders, they repeat that, his ships being disposed there is no further occasion for a Flag officer to command the and he is at liberty to come to town when he thinks Signed John Lowther, Hen: Priestman, Robert Austen, R Rich, Geo: Rook. Dated Admiralty Office, 14 Aug. 1695. [Read this day before the Committee. Com. Book. See Notes

IV. above, page 74.]

ad) 7 Feb. 1695-6.—Copy of letter from Capt. Cole to the Admiralty. On Friday the 2nd inst., being on board of H.M.S. Dreadnought, where their Lordships were pleased to give me the honour to command, and entertaining of Esqre. Middleton and some of his family, together with several of the gentlemen of the country, from whom I had received great civility, my Lord Marquess of Carmarthen came on board, and with him Capt. Jennings, about 9 of the clock in the evening, and went away very well pleased, to my thinking, and satisfied with every thing; and at one his Lordship was pleased to come on board again, and at two went away again, and was gotten into his boat, and Capt. Jennings going over the side (as the officers who attended upon deck gave me an account), Capt. Jennings should say something lewd, that my Lord Marquess was affronted; upon which I begged of Capt. Jennings to let me know which way it should happen, but he would give me no answer but what seemed to be in anger; upon which my Lord Marquess was pleased to come in again, and, I being then in the cabin talking with some of the gentlemen, he took hold of me, and said to me "You dog, I will have you out." Upon which I replied "My Lord, I will go with you where you please"; and, going into the steerage, he was pleased to call me as many rogues and villains as he thought fit, and oftentimes punching me on the breast and pulling me by the cheeks, from that place into the waist, where he continued doing the same, at which time I only desired to know his reason for using me so in the ship where I commanded; and I do suppose, if he had had his bayonet, which he often called for, I should not have had breath enough to have given this account. Afterwards he was pleased to confine me to the First Lieutenant's cabin with two sentinels over me; and about 4 in the morning Capt. Wade came on board, by his Lordship's order, to take possession of the ship; and that evening I was sent aboard the Berkeley Castle, where I am a perfect stranger, but the Commander very civil to me; and to remain a prisoner until his Lordship's further order, for what reason I know not. [1] cannot understand, by what enquiries I have made by most of the officers in the ship, that I said any unmannerly thing to his Lordship but the forbidding his trumpeters to sound at that unseasonable time of the night, a thing I thought a Commander might have done without being turned out and being used like a dog. I humbly make it my request to their Lordships that they might please to give their orders for the examining the officers of the Dreadnought, by which, and their Lordships' goodness, I hope to have justice done me. I was persuaded by some gentlemen to make my submission to my Lord Marquess, which I did, at which he was not satisfied, but sent me a letter by a gentleman belonging to the Lenox, which you will find amongst the enclosed; and, upon receiving that letter, not knowing what he intended to do with me, and in regard of my health, and being under great affliction at that time, I made a further submission. At six yesterday my signal was made on board of the Flag, and I, not being in a condition to go on 1695. No. 959. 1695. No. 959.

board, having not been out of my cabin since my last being board the Dreadnought, I sent my First Lieutenant on boa to know the occasion, who was threatened by many hard work and did not return till between 7 and 8; at which time r Lord Marquess came on board, and was pleased to use me w all the ill language that any gentleman could think of a threatenings. On the 6th inst., being then a prisoner aboard Berkeley Castle, at which time I was giving you the account the other side, but my Lord Marquess did take the pains to se a man 40 miles after the Post to intercept my letters. I this to continue between the Land's End and Mount's Bay, expecti the Rupert from Bristol, which I do suppose has orders come this way. Part of the enclosed are copies of orders received from my Lord Marquess. Signed as correct (command of the Commissioners) by Wm. Bridgeman. Dated board the Dreadnought, at sea between the Land's End a Mount's Bay, 11 Aug. 1695. [Read this day before the Committee. Com. Book. See Notes IV. above, page 75.]

(a e) 7 Feb. 1695-6.—Copy of letter from Capt. Cole to 1

(ae) 7 Feb. 1695-6.—Copy of letter from Capt. Cole to Carmarthen. May it please your Lordship, I have not be sensible till this day of any offence I had given your Lordshi nor did I believe, by the enquiries which I have made for several of the officers and some others which attended in cabin the whole time, that I had any way offended your Lordship; for I am sure I never had any such intention. By finding myself condemned by people of a better quality, I humber your Lordship's pardon, and remain the humblest of your Lordship's servants. Signed Tho: Coalle. No date. tified as preceding. [Probably read before the Committee day. Com. Book. See Notes IV. above, pages 74 and

Referred to in preceding paper.]

(af) 7 Feb. 1695-6.—Copy of letter from M. Carmarthen Capt. Cole. Sir, I am very sorry that any officer whom I ha the honour to command should at any time give me reason do anything that he himself should think a hardship done h by me, and I not be ready to show and do him all the just imaginable; but by your letter I find you have none of the good opinion of me, for if you had you would have wrote to sooner, that you might (at least) have known what had be your offence from my mouth, and not trust to inferior officers a cabin boys, who could know nothing of the matter; and, if the did, however, the least capable to judge of it, or advise you it. But, since such are your advisers, and that you (by the opinion) may perhaps think that a submissive letter under you hand might fly in your face, I think fit to send this of you back to you again, and I do assure you that I shall never ma use of any dishonourable advantage against the worst of enemies, and am very well satisfied that I have already sho too much good nature towards you, after such brutish usage, few Flags else would have done, even such as I am cert would have made any sensible man (that is not of a brut nature) have sent a much more submissive letter than wha have as yet received from you. So that I have given direction for a Court Martial tomorrow morning, at which I should very glad to find you can give any good reasons for your p ceedings. I am, in the mean time, your humble serve Carmarthen. What witnesses you think most convenient for your defence, Captain Wade, who is now the officer appointed at present to take the charge and command of the *Dreadnought*, is ordered to send them along with you to the Court Martial, which is to be at 11 o'clock tomorrow morning, at which time you are ordered to appear at the said Court Martial. Carmarthen. *Dated* on board the *Lenox*, Milford Haven, 6 Aug. 1695. *Certified* as preceding. [Probably read before the Committee this day. Com. Book. *See* Notes IV. above, pages 74–75. Referred to in Paper (ad.)]

Dec. 7.—Writ of Summons (Bp. of Bristol).—Writ of Summons, 12 Oct. 1695, to John [Hall], Bp. of Bristol. [Took the oaths y. L.J., XV. 607.]

Dec. 9.—Watkins v. Shatterden. Petition and Appeal of m Watkins, John Watkins, John Pugh, Samuel Watkins and others, poor kindred of William Pennoyer, Esq., deceased. ners, being residuary legatees of William Pennoyer, brought a zainst Thomas Shatterden Esqre., Thomas Trench and William rick, executors of Judith Davison deceased, reliet and executrix chael Davison, deceased, one of Pennoyer's executors, for an tof about £1700 of Pennoyer's estate in their hands. Richard, Esqre., Pennoyer's other executor, had accounted for the money hands. Davison's executors pleaded a Release given to Judith ton, and obtained a Decree, against which Petitioners appeal, as vere not parties to the Release. Signed by Wm., John, and al Watkins and John Pugh, and countersigned Wm. Whitelocke, owys. L.J., XV. 607. [At the Hearing, on 25 Jan. 1695–6, Finch and Sir Thos. Powys were heard for Appellants, and Bartholomew Shore and Mr. Filmer for Respondents. Appeal ised. L.J., XV. 652; MS. Min.]

nexed :-

a) 27 Dec.—Answer of Thomas Shatterden, Esqre., Thomas Trench and Wm. Sedgwick, as above. Pennoyer made Loton and Davison his executors, and Samuel Crisp, Benjamin Andrews and Anthony Wilson supervisors, and John Davis, his servant, accountant to the executors. The Release, which was for £1200, was executed in presence of Samuel Crisp. Signed by Respondents, and countersigned P. Crawford. Endorsed as brought in this day. See L.J., XV. 652.

2. Dec. 9.—Hall v. Potter. Petition and Appeal of John Hall John Keene, Esqres., executors of Tho: Thynne, Esq. deceased. Thynne gave George Potter a Bond of £1000 to pay £500 within 198 after Thynne married Lady Ogle. Potter got a verdict for 1000, but Petitioners obtained a Decree in Chancery to set aside Bond. Mrs. Jane Potter, Potter's administratrix, obtained a Per reversing Petitioners' Decree with Costs. Appeal against this Decree. Signed by Appellants, and countersigned Fra: Winnington, Dobyns. L.J., XV. 608. [At the Hearing on 11 Jan. 1695–6, Sirvers Winnington and Sir Thos: Powys were heard for Appellants, atter stating that Respondent was to promote and procure the 1. Mr. Serjeant Levinz and Mr. Finch were heard for Respondent, atter declaring that the Bond was given voluntarily. Decree sed. L.J., XV. 638; MS. Mip.]

1695. No. 959. 1695. No. 962. Annexed :-

- (a) 21 Dec.—Answer of Jane Potter, Widow. The Decappealed from is just. Signed by Respondent, and counsigned Ja: Selby. Endorsed as brought in this day. MS. M. See L. J., XV. 638.
- 963. Dec. 10. Writ of Summons (D. Bolton).—Writ of Summo dated 12 Oct. 1695, to Charles, D. Bolton. [Took the oaths this d. L. J., XV. 608.]
- 964. Dec. 10.—King's Servant's Privilege (Killigrew). Petition Henry Killigrew, Esqre., one of His Majesty's Gentlemen of the Pr Chamber in ordinary. Complains of having been arrested at the of Mrs. Ann Pope and imprisoned in Newgate, contrary to Privilege Parliament, and prays to be released. [Read this day and dismiss L.J., XV. 609.]
- 965. Dec. 11. Writ of Summons (D. Newcastle).—Writ of Summodated 12 Oct. 1695, to John, D. Newcastle. [Took the oaths tday. L. J., XV: 609.]
- 966. Dec. 12. Powell's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act vesting several messuages and lands belonging to Samuel Powell, E in trustees for payment of his debts. The Amendments are the insert of the names of the trustees, and of words excluding taxes &c. fr the rent-charge payable to Elizabeth Powell. No Amendments in Commons. [Read I a this day; Royal Assent 21 Jan. following. L. XV. 609, 647. 7 & 8 Will, III. c. 10 in Long Cal. See also Calbook, 4 Jan. 1695-6.]

Annexed :-

- (a) 4 Jan. 1695-6.—Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Made in Sell Committee and reported this day. Com. Book, and L. XV. 629.]
- (b) 4 Jan.—Consents of Elizabeth Powell and her father a brother, Thomas, Lord Folliottand Henry Folliott, Esq. Attes as to the two Folliotts by Ro: Harley. [Produced in Commit this day. Com. Book.]
- 967. Dec. 14. E. Kent's Estate Act.—Amended draft of an Actenable Anthony, Earl of Kent, and Henry Grey, his son and happarent, to make a jointure for Jemima, wife of the said Henry Grey The Lords' Amendments are purely formal. No Amendments in Commons. [Read lathis day; Royal Assent 13 Feb. following. L. XV. 612, 668. 7 & 8 Will, III. c. 14 in Long Cal. See also CoBook, 30 Dec.]

Annexed :-

- (a) 30 Dec.—Letter from Mary, Countess of Kent, to E. Stamfor consenting to the Bill. [Read in Committee this day. Co. Book.]
- (b) 30 Dec.—Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Made in Comittee and reported this day. Com. Book.]
- 968. Dec. 16. Stoughton's Estate Act.—Draft of an Act for vestithe Estate late of Sir Nicholas Stoughton and Sir Lawrence Stought Barts., deceased, in trustees to be sold for the payment of their de andraising portions for the daughters of the said Sir Nicholas Stought A saving clause on behalf of Dame Mary, late wife of Sir Lawren and now wife of Watkinson Payler, Esquire, was added by the Commo [Read 1a this day; Royal Assent 13 Feb. following. L. J., XV. 668. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 16 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 23 D

entry in L. J., XV. 655 is wrong, as only one amendment was by the Commons. The MS. Min. of 29 Jan. 1695-6 contain the of the Commons' Amendment being read and agreed to, which sting in L. J.]

1695. No. 968.

nexed:--

a) 23 Dec.—Copy of above, signed by Anne Glyd, as consenting thereto, in presence of Willim. Brockman, Rich: Jewell, and Tho: Peyton, on Dec. 18. [Produced before the Committee this day. Com. Book.]

Dec. 16. Lady Katherine Fanc's Estate Act.—Amended draft Act for enabling the Lady Katherine Fanc to sell the reversion of a fee farm rents given to her by her grandfather, Jona Bence, The Amendment is purely clerical. No Amendments in the nons. [Read 1* this day; Royal Assent 21 Jan. following. L. J., 614, 647. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 5 in Long Cal. See also Com., 9 Jan. 1695-6.]

D. 16 Dec. Thornhill c. Clifton.—Petition and Appeal of Captain Thornhill. In 1648 Robert Thirlby, Clerk, then Master or Rector Hospital of St. John-without-Blyth, Notts, and the Brethren of aid House, by consent of Sir Gervase Clifton, deceased, then n of the Hospital, brother-in-law to Appellant's mother, had her portion in consideration of the surrender of a former demised to Appellant's father, John Thornhill, a messuage ands, for the lives of Robert Clifton, Jane Clifton, and Millicent, ppellant's mother, at a rent of 11l. 10s. 6d. Appellant's father ed and enjoyed the premises, and he and Appellant improved them ly; but the Respondent, Sarah Clifton, brought an Ejectment, nding that the premises had been assigned by Appellant's father gre and Pate in trust for himself for twelve years, and then to his and to Robert and Jane Clifton for their lives, and that Sir ase, on Respondent's marriage with his son Robert, and in conttion of a portion of 3,000l., had declared the premises to him. tervase had also left Jane 500%, additional portion on condition she surrendered the premises to Robert. The pretended assignby Appellant's father was made during the wars, and upon no deration, and never left his custody until his death, when it was I among his papers by one Jenkins, a servant of Sir Gervase. , the surviving trustee, had assigned the premises to Parkhurst, in for Respondent Sarah, being assured by one Foxeroft, her agent, she had agreed with Appellant. After the death of Sir Gervase Robert Thirlby, Sir William Clifton became patron, and his lain, Clifford Thirlby, since deceased, Master or Rector. Appellant ed to Sir William for a new lease for his own life, and Sir William, g under age, gave him a promise that he would, when of age, make xchange of Mrs. Pack's life for his, Mrs. Pack being Jane Clifton, surviving life. Appellant gave up his old lease, and the new lease drawn up; and, on Sir Wm. going to France, and Appellant, who his lieutenant, having been ordered by him to go to Landguard, Sir William ordered his steward, Mr. Greaves, to take the lease lifford Thirlby to be sealed. Thirlby put off sealing the lease, after Sir Wm. and Mrs. Pack were dead, Respondent obtained a from Sir Gervase, and made the tenants attorn to her. Petitioner bited his Bill in Chancery, but it was dismissed. Appeals against liemission. Signed by Appellant, and countersigned R. Thornhill, : Stanley. L. J., XV. 614. [At the Hearing, on 10 Feb. 1695-6.

1695. No. 970. Sir Thos: Powys and Sir Bartholomew Shore, on behalf of the Appellant, contended that the Respondents took advantage of the lease not being actually sealed, the seal being locked up, but that the client had an equitable right. Mr. Finch and Mr. Dobyns, for it Respondents, called attention to an erasure in the lease, the form admitting that the Appellant might have a title in honour, though min law or equity. Appeal dismissed. L. J., XV. 665; MS. Min.] Annexed:—

- (a) 22 Jan. 1695-6.—Answer of Sir Gervase Clifton, Bart., and Sarah, his mother. The property in question was the how called the Spittle, and lands thereto belonging, and was wor 901, a year. The Trustees were John Ayre and Hercy Pal Sarah's Trustee was her father, Nathaniel Parkhurst, Esqu Millicent, on making a lease of part of the premises to or Martin Cressey, got Robert Clifton to join in it, there acknowledging his right. On Millicent's death, Appella made no claim to the original lease, but by some means he g possession of it; and, having been told by one Alexand Denton, of the Middle Temple, that he had no right to it, took it to Respondent and offered to give it up if she would give him some money to buy clothes, as he was very poor. S agreed to give him 201., and ordered a suit of clothes for him but, on his misbehaving himself, she withheld the clothe Thereupon he got possession of the original lease again, an took it to Edward Stystead, the then notorious cheat of the town, who altered the date, so as to invalidate Pate's assignment of it. Signed by Respondents. Endorsed as brought in the day. See L. J., XV. 665.
- 971. Dec. 18.—Blount's Estate Act.—Letter to the Earl of Marborough, signed by Lady Jane Blount, and dated 23 Dec., giving be assent to the Bill, and stating that fear of prejudicing her health be prevented her attendance at the Committee. [The Bill was broughfrom the Commons this day; Royal Assent 21 Jan. following. L. XV. 616, 647. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 7 in Long Cal. The aboletter was read in Committee on 23 Dec. Com. Book.]

Annexed: -

- (a) 23 Dec.—Consent of Ralph Freeman and Charles Cæsar, d Trustees, to the Bill. Signed Ra: Freman, Char: Cæsa Attested Tho: Chapman. Dated 25 Nov. [Produced before the Select Committee this day. Com. Book.]
- 972. Dec. 19.—Writ of Summons (Bp. Bangor). Writ of Summon dated 12 Oct. 1695, to Humphrey [Humphreys], Bp. of Bango [Took the Oaths this day. L. J., XV. 617.]
- 973. Dec. 21: Brooke's Estate Act. Draft of an Act for vestile Manor of Madeley in the County of Salop in Trustees for certa purposes therein mentioned. No Amendments in either House. [Read this day. Royal Assent 21 Jan. following. L. J., XV. 619, 647 & 8 Will. III. c. 8 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 4 Jan. 1695-6
- 974. Dec. 21.—Oaths (Ireland) Amendment Bill. Amended draft of an Act for [amending] altering part of an Act made in third year of his present Majesty and the late Queen, intituled An Act for abrogating the Oath of Supremacy in Ireland and appointing oth Oaths.

^{*} Additions in italics, omissions in square brackets.

1695. No. 974.

Thereas, in and by one Act of Parliament made in the third year he reign of his present Majesty and her late Majesty the Queen eased, entitled Au Act for the abrogating the Oath of Supremacy reland and appointing other Oaths, it is, amongst other things, eted that, from and after the last day of January then next ting, no person that then was, or then after should be, a peer of realm of Ireland or a member of the House of Peers there shall or make his proxy in the said House of Peers, nor sit there ng any debate in the said House, until he first take the oaths, and make, subscribe, and audibly repeat the declaration of the said mentioned and expressed in the House of Peers of Ireland, in ner and form as in the said Act is directed. By force whereof and] every [the] peer[s] of the said realm of Ireland, now or ch shall be hereafter resident and inhabiting in this kingdom, and b, by reason of [their] his employment[s] in this kingdom, by ness, or other urgent and weighty considerations, [have] hath not or shall not be personally present in the present or future Parnent or Parliaments of the said realm of Ireland to take the aforeoaths and make, repeat, and subscribe the said declaration in said House of Peers in Ireland, [are and are] is to be deprived debarred of and from making [their] his proxie[s] in the present future Parliaments of the said realm, although the said peer[s] Il take the same oaths and make, repeat, and subscribe the said laration within this kingdom of England, to the great prejudice of h peer[s] and to the weakening of the English interest in the said m of Ireland. For remedy whereof, be it enacted [and declared] by King's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent the Lords Spiritual and Temporal and Commons in this present liament assembled, and by the authority of the same,* [That all every the peers of the said realm of Ireland, who were resident inhabiting this kingdom on the first day of the meeting of the sent Parliament now held in Ireland, or who shall be resident or abiting in this kingdom on the first day of the meeting of any other liament to be held in the said realm of Ireland, and every person who er the first day of the meeting of any Parliament to be held in Ireland ll be created a peer of Ireland, or to whom the honour and title of a r of the said realm shall descend or come, and who shall be resident nhabiting in England at the time of such creation [or] descent or ning, to the intent or purpose only to enable and capacitate him or m to make his or their proxy or proxies in the said Parliaments in land, shall and may, in the High Court of Chancery or Court of ng's Beuch in this kingdom, in public and open Court between the urs of nine and twelve in the forenoon in term time, and if out of n time at the General Quarter Sessions for the County of Middlesex, open Court between the said hours of nine and twelve in the foren, take the oaths, and make, repeat, and subscribe the declaration the aforesaid Act mentioned, (that is to say) every such peer who s resident or inhabiting in this kingdom on the first day of the eting of the Parliament now held in Ireland in Hilary term next uing, and every peer who shall be hereafter resident or inhabiting this kingdom the first day of the meeting of any other Parliament be hereafter held in Ireland in the next term, or at the next General

The amendments noted in the succeeding, which was left out in favour of that Annex (a), drawn by the Judges, are taken from Annex (b), and were apparently se at first proposed to be made.

Quarter Sessions for the County of Middlesex after the calling

1695. No. 974.

beginning of every such parliament to be there held as aforesaid. A be it hereby further enacted that every such person who after the fir day of the meeting of any future Parliament in Ireland shall be creat or become a peer of Ireland, in the next term or at the next Gener Quarter Sessions for the County of Middlesex after such creation descent] or becoming a peer as aforesaid, unless such peer happen be a minor, and in such case in the next term or at the next Gener Quarter Sessions for the County of Middlesex after he shall accompli his full age of one and twenty years, and also in the next term or the next General Quarter Sessions for the County of Middlesex aft the calling or beginning of every other Parliament to be held there after such [descent or] creation or becoming a peer. All which shall put upon record in the said respective Courts, and a certificate shall forthwith made thereof and signed by the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keep or Keepers of the Great Seal, or Chief Justice of the said Court King's Bench, for the time being, or the Custos Rotulorum for t time being of the said County of Middlesex, respectively, and deliver to such peer so taking the said oaths, and making, subscribing, a repeating the said declaration as aforesaid, for which shall be paid.]* And the said certificate shall be openly read in t House of Peers in Ireland, and shall be filed in the said House, a also entered and registered there, as soon as conveniently it can af the making thereof. And all and every such peer [and peers residing or inhabiting, or which shall so reside or inhabit, in the kingdom at the time or times aforesaid,] taking the said oaths a making, subscribing, and repeating the said declaration, and causi the same to be certified, and such certificate to be openly read, file entered, and registered as is aforesaid, shall and may and is [and ar hereby authorized and enabled from time to time to make his [a their] proxy [and proxies] in the House of Peers in Ireland, [a shall be and are hereby freed and discharged from all pains, penalt and disabilities in and by the said former Act inflicted and ordained the making thereof,] and every such proxy [and proxies] shall, from and after the reading, fileing, entering, and registering of such certification cate as aforesaid, be received, allowed, and used as authentic in the sa House of Peers in Ireland, and shall be good and effectual in la according to the nature of proxies, for and during the continuance the Session of Parliament in which such certificate shall be so receive filed, entered, and registered, as aforesaid, any thing in the said form Act contained to the contrary in any wise notwithstanding. Provid always that nothing herein contained shall extend or be construed extend to make good any proxy [or proxies] of any peer of the sa realm of Ireland who, after the making of such proxy [or proxies shall be actually in the said realm of Ireland at such time as t House of Peers there shall be sitting, but that the same shall be vo and [are] is hereby declared to be void, from the time of the arrival such peer in the said realm of Ireland. Provided also that nothi herein contained shall extend to make good any proxy [or proxies] any peer of Ireland who, before the making of such proxy [or proxies being actually in the said realm at such time as the said House Peers there shall be sitting, shall refuse or neglect to take the st oaths, and make repeat and subscribe the said declaration, accordi

to the true intent and meaning of the said former Act, but that t

^{*} Here is marked for insertion the clause set out in Annex (a).

d proxy [and proxies] shall be void, and the said peer [or peers] bject to all & every the pains, penalties, and disabilities in the said

mer Act contained, as if this Act had not been made.

Read 1^a this day. L. J., XV. 620. On 6 Jan., in C. W. H.,

Rochester in the chair, it was moved that the oaths be taken in the
ancery, King's Bench, or Quarter Sessions, and that the Judges
awa Clause. That the peers of Ireland that reside in England, if they
ll send their proxies to the Parliament in Ireland, shall be obliged to
be the oaths and subscribe the declaration in the Chancery or King's
neh in term time, or out of term time in the Quarter Sessions for
County of Middlesex; and the Judges present to draw the Clause

Annex (a)). On 7 Jan. the Judges delivered the Clause drawn by
am pursuant to the directions of the Committee, which was read and
ended. Other amendments were made on both days, and the Bill
s reported on the 7th (MS. Min.), passed, and sent down to the
mmons, where it dropped.]

Annexed :-

(a) 7 Jan. 1695-6.—Amended* Clause prepared by the Judges, pursuant to Order of C.W. II., and added to the Bill this day (MS. Min., 6 and 7 Jan.). It is as follows :- That [all and] every person [and persons] who now is [or are], or at any time hereafter shall be, a peer of the said realm of Ireland, before he shall be capable to make any proxy in the present or in any future Parliaments of the said realm of Ireland, shall either take the oaths and make, repeat, and subscribe the declaration in the said in part recited Act of Parliament mentioned, in the manner therein prescribed, or else he shall take the said oaths and make, repeat, and subscribe the said declaration in the High Court of Chancery or Court of King's Bench in this kingdom in term time, or in the public and open Court of the General Quarter Sessions held for the County of Middlesex in the vacation time, between the hours of nine and twelve in the forenoon, and during the time of the taking the said oaths and of the making and repeating the said declaration all pleas and proceedings in the said respective Courts shall cease. which shall be put upon record in the said respective Courts. And a certificate shall be forthwith made thereof, and signed by the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, or Lords Commissioners of the Great Seal of England, Lord Chief Justice of the Court of King's Bench, or the [Custos Rotulorum] Clerk of the Peace of the county of Middlesex for the time being or his Deputy, respectively, and shall be delivered to such peer so taking the said onths and making, repeating, and subscribing the said declaration as aforesaid. And it is hereby enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that the taking the said oaths and making, repeating, and subscribing the said declaration in the said High Court of Chancery, or in the said Court of King's Bench, or in the said Court of General Quarter Sessions for the county of Middlesex, in such manner as aforesaid, shall be as sufficient and available to capacitate and enable every such peer to make his proxy [or proxies] in the present or any future Parliaments in Ireland, as if the same had been done in the House of Peers in Ireland, according to the said recited Act, anything therein contained to the contrary notwithstanding.

1695: No. 974.

^{*} Additions in italies, omissions in square brackets.

1695. No. 974. (b) 6 Jan. 1695-6.—Paper of Amendments proposed to be made in the first enacting Clause, before it was left out in favour of Annex (a). The draft is marked where these amendments should come in, and they are all noted in the text above.

975. Dec. 21. Navy.* List of Ships for the Fleet in the Channel and Mediterranean:—

Rate.	Ship's Name.	Men.	Guns.	Rate.	Ship's Name.	Men.	Guns
1	Britannia	754	100	3	Berwick Breda Burford - Captain Content - Elizabeth Expedition		
2	Albemarle - Duchess - Neptune - Ossory - Sandwich - Vanguard - St. Michael - Royal Katherine -	640 582 524	90 90 84		Hampton Court - Ipswich - Kent	436 436 432 389	70 74 72 66
50	Boyne Cambridge Chichester Cornwall - Cumberland - Devonshire Humber Lancaster - Newark	476	80	4	Defiance Montague Dunkirk	389 346 332 346	64 62 60
	Norfolk Russell Shrewsbury - Torbay				Falmouth Rochester Romney Dragon	226	48

List of Convoys and Cruisers, including those in the West Indies.

Rate.	Ship's Name.	Men.	Guns.	Rate.	Ship's Name.	Men.	Guns
3	Lenox Resolution	356 332 346 274	70 70 64 60 60 58	4	Chatham	274 197 187	50 50 50
	Newcastle Oxford Woolwich	274	- 54		Bonadventure - Bristol Burlington Centurion	226	48

^{*} Compare List in C. J., XI. 348-350.

1695 No. 975.

Ship's Name.	Men.	Guns.	Rate.	Ship's Name.	Men.	Guns.
Chester Colchester Crown			5	Tiger (hired) - London Merchant Garland)	120 45	3 2 32
Dover Foresight				Pearl }	130	3 0
Harwich Lincoln				Richmond Dolphin	105	28
Lichfield Norwich	226	48		Roebuck }	115	26
Portland Pendennis				Assurance Society	80 45	26 22
Reserve Ruby			6			
Severn - Southampton -			ľ	Bideford Dunwich		
Weymouth				Jersey Name not given -		
Coronation Princess Anne -	197	. 48		Maidstone Newport	110	2 }
Tiger IIampshire	226	46 46		Penzance (110	4.1
Kingfisher Africa	216	46		Queenborough - Seaford Seahorse		
Prince of Orange Samuel & Henry -	177	44		Solebay Swan		
Ruby prize Prince George -	190 120	46		Henry prize -	90	24
Sweepstakes - Prudence	180	42 42		St. Albans prize - Rupert prize -	90 85	18
Saudadoes prize - Snyrna Factor -	180	40		Lark 1		6patrs.
Smyrna Merchant Mary galley	140	40 34		Mariana prize - } Swallow prize -	85	18 18
Joseph Charles galley -	120	34 32		Greyhound }	75	16
Arundel Dover prize -				Essex prize - Germoon	70 50	16 10
Experiment - Hustings -						4patrs.
Mermaid Milford	10-	20		Albrough, ketch - Hind, pink		
Sapphire Sheerness	135	32		Jolly Martin, ketch -	50	10
Shoreham Sorlings	40			Quaker, ketch - Roe, ketch		
Virgin prize				Wren, pink J Portsmouth prize	45	10
			<u> </u>		1	

Memorandum: That out of this last list are to be taken 4 fourth is, besides some small frigates, for the fleets in the Channel and literranean. Dated Admiralty Office, 20 Dec. 1695. Signed Russell, J. Lowther, H. Priestman, Robt. Austen, R. Rich. Houblon. By command of the Commissioners, W. Bridgeman. Seeived from the Admiralty this day* (MS. Min.), and read 7 Jan. owing, and referred to the Committee on the Admiralty papers as Merchants' Losses at sea (No. 959). On 6 Dec., in C. W. H. on the te of the Nation, it was moved to consider the state of our Fleet—this Majesty be moved to lay before us an account of our Fleet, and what stations they are . . . Moved to take into consideration

^{*} Not, as stated in L. J., XV. 630, on 31 Dec. See also MS. Min., 7 Jan.

1695. No. 975. the seafaring matter. An account of the Army and an account of the Navy. A list of the Land Army and a list of the Navy . . . Address for a list of the Navy and the ports they are in, and the condition and state of the Fleet, moved for . . . After some time House resumed and E. Huntingdon reported as in L.J., XV. 606. On 11 Jan., in Select Committee, the Order of Reference of 7 Jan. was read, and it was Ordered that the Commissioners of the Admiralty do with all convenient speed, send to their Lordships a particular account of what condition every ship in the list by them delivered to the House 21 Dec. last, is in at present, as well as of all other ships omitted by them in the said list, except those in the Mediterranean and West Indies, and in what time the ships not now in good condition can be Com. Book. On 22 Jan. the Commissioners fitted for service. being asked what answer they gave to the above Order, replied We acquainted His Majesty with it, and he has as yet given us no direction; but on Sunday last he told us we should have his answe suddenly. Com. Book. See also No. 959.]

976. Dec. 27. Deere v. Gibbon.—Petition and Appeal of Edward Decre. Petitioner's father, William Deere, purchased in 1642 from Howell David and his wife Nest a property called Penkellivedy, is the parish of Coychurch and County of Glamorgan, and entered int possession of one moiety, the other being in jointure to Joan, mothe of the said Howell David. On her death, however, while Petitione was an infant, his mother commenced a suit for the recovery thereof but died before it was determined, and the suit was neglected. Bu one William Gibbon, pretending a title to the first moiety as heir c Howell David under a mortgage, and to the second by grant from on Punter, who, he pretends, was heir to Nest, finally obtained a Decre in Chancery, at the Grand Sessions held at Brecon, allowing his clair to the second moiety with costs, but dismissing his claim to the first without costs, on the ground of its having been 45 years in the posses sion of the Deeres. Appeals against this Decree with regard to the second moiety of the estate and the costs as to the other moiety Signed by Appellant, and countersigned Tho: Powys and Wn Dobyns. L. J., XV. 622. [At the hearing, on 5 Feb. 1695-(Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. Dobyns appeared for Appellan and Mr. Finch and Mr. Price for Respondent. A preliminary objectic as to the competency of the Appeal from the Grand Sessions of Wale was raised. Sir T. Powys said it was very clear there was no relianywhere else. The Courts are equal to the Chancery. Mr. Doby cited Eyre's Appeal from the Duchy of Lancaster, and Wainewright from an Order of Sessions. Mr. Finch could not say they were frequen He knew it had been attempted and denied. Mr. Price believed the had been appeals to Chancery. Ordered to go on with the Caus It was urged for the Respondent that he had been in possessic above 50 years. The objection was the Judges made a Deer instead of ordering another trial. Decree reversed. L. J., XV. 661 MS. Min.

Annexed :-

(a) 28 Jan. 1695-6.—Petition of William Deere, Appellan brother, praying to be allowed to enter into the Recognizan instead of Appellant, who was ancient and infirm, and not al to travel. L. J., XV. 654.

(b) 28 Jan. 1695-6. Answer of William Gibbon, Gent.—Day Richard Howell, Respondent's great-ancestor, settled one mode of the estate, on the marriage of his son Howell David w Nest, daughter of John Rees, upon the said Howell and Nest, and upon their issue in tail, with reversion to his own right heirs, and the other moiety upon himself and Joan his wife, and then to Howell David, with like remainders as the other moiety. Howell David mortgaged the first mentioned moiety to William Deere, and afterwards died without issue. On Joan's death, 34 years since, the other moiety descended to Respondent's father, Gibbon Richard, son and heir of Richard Gibbon, son and heir of Gibbon Richard, brother and heir to David Richard Howell. The mortgage on the other moiety could not be paid off, owing to the late wars and the infancy of Respondent's ancestors. A Bill exhibited in Chancery against Respondent in the same matter by Appellant, who was a pauper, was dismissed. Appellant had not paid the costs. Countersigned Ro: Price, Hen. Remfrey. Endorsed as brought in this day. MS. Min. See L. J., XV. 660.

(c) 20 Dec. 1697.—Petition of Appellant. Notwithstanding the Judgment of the House (L. J., XV. 660), Respondent has brought a new ejectment against Appellant and obtained a verdict for one moicty, and he has imprisoned Appellant for non-payment of 10l. costs, though he owes him above 500l. on account of rents wrongfully received. Appellant had bought the Equity of Redemption of the mortgaged moiety from one Punter for 5l. Prays Respondent may be ordered to answer. L.J., XVI. 183. [On 28 Jan. 1697-8 Mr. Grove and Mr. Cresset were heard for Appellant on this Petition, and Mr. Serjeant Wright and Mr. Price for Respondent. Petition dismissed. L.J., XVI. 204; MS. Min.]

(d) 17 Jan. 1697-8.—Answer of Respondent to preceding Petition. He has taken no advantage of the Decree reversed by the House, and has brought his ejectment at law for the unmortgaged moiety only. Appellant, suing in formâ pauperis, has brought a multiplicity of vexatious suits against Respondent, and has caused him to spend 300l. for what is worth only about 12l. a

year. Endorsed as brought in this day.

977. Dec. 27.—Poulet's Estate Act.—Amended* Draft of an Act to enable the Lord Francis Pawlet to [settle four hundred and fifty pounds per annum annuities on his younger children as a provision for them] charge his estate with provisions for his younger children. Does not include a Saving Clause, which was added by the Lords. No Amendment in the Commons. [Read 1a this day; Royal Assent 13 Feb. following. L. J., XV. 622, 668. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 15 in Long Cal. In Select Committee, on 14 Jan., Mr. Strowd offers several Amendments, which are made with the consent of the persons concerned. They are mainly formal. E. Bridgewater shows a letter from the Lady Richardy, whereby she consents. A consent, signed by Isabella Bellasise, F. Richardy, Honnora Bergavenny, Catherine Talbot, and Barbara Webb, is read. Com. Book.]

Annexed :-

(a) 14 Jan.1695-6.—Amended clause. [Substituted this day for the whole of the enacting clause, but to the same effect. Com. Book.]

(b) 14 Jan. 1695-6.—General Saving Clause. [Added to the Bill

this day. Com. Book.

1695. No. 976.

^{*} Omission in square brackets, addition in italies.

1695. No. 978.

978. Dec. 27 .- Coinage (Silver) Bill. Papers relating to a Bill for t regulating the Coinage of the Silver money of this Kingdom. [T Bill was brought from the Commons this day. (L. J., XV. 622. It was committed to C. W. II. on the 30th, and then it was moved th an Address be made to his Majesty to prolong the time in the Pr clamation. Then it was moved to send to the Officers of the Mint f a Return of how much money they could coin a week (see α and below). In C. W. H., E. Bridgewater chairman, the title at preamble were read and postponed. Moved to address the King prolong the time after which Crowns and Halfcrowns may not g The first enacting clause read and postpoued, and so the other claus down to that which recites the Proclamation. Then the same motion for an Address was repeated. Moved That a Clause be prepared, upon the debate of the House in the Committee, that money may go weight. That money be received by weight, and a Clause drawn upon the debate by a Select Committee appointed for that purpose. Agreto postpone all the Clauses to the Clause 9th Skin, 8 line. A Sele Committee was then appointed. (MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 623.) On t 31st, in Select Committee, it was proposed that the L. C. Justice desired to make an addition to the first Clause in 9th Skin, to con prehend all the money in the kingdom, as well as that brought into t Exchequer; and that he prepare a Clause to make all clipp money, till it can be recoined, to pass by weight. The L. Justice brought in an addition as above, which was agreed to reported as Paper marked A (see f below). There is added note that it was altered the next day. (Com. Book.) On the 31st, C. W. H. on the said Clause, E. Bridgewater Chairman, it was res and the first blank was agreed to be filled up with (25 Jan. 1695) a the second with (before 10 Jan. [Feb.]† 1695). The Clause was agre to as amended. A Clause was offered and read, to be added to t Bill, and the substance was referred to the Select Committee. Order that any Lord at the Committee may offer any Clauses or Amendmen to the Bill at the Select Committee. (MS. Min.) The Bill waccordingly considered in Select Committee on 1 & 2 Jan., a reported with amendments. (Com. Book.) On 2 Jan., in C. W. I the amendments reported from the Select Committee were agre to; the Clause offered at the Select Committee, which it had made part of the Act, was read and waived. The Clause concerni

^{*} The proceedings on this subject prior to this, in pursuance of the Kin Speech, are given in MS. Min. as follows:—On 2 Dec. House moved to consist the State of the Coin of the Kingdom. (MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.)—3 Dec., in C. W. H. on the State of the Nation, E. Huntingdon in the Chi Mored to consider the state of the Coin. Then moved to consider the state Trade first.—On 4 Dec., in C. W. H. on the State of the Nation in relation to Coin of this Kingdom, same Chairman, Moved to make an Address to the K that no English Coin should be imported. Moved that the clipped money called in by a day certain. Moved that an Address be prepared for a Proclamat for that purpose. Proposed to consider who should bear the loss upon calling the money. Moved That a Committee be appointed to draw something, upon debate this day in C. W. H., to be offered to the House. After some time, House was resumed, and E. Huntingdon reported as in L. J., XV. 604.—On 5 I Address reported from Committee as in L. J., XV. 605, and communicated to Commons. On 16 Dec. Moved to prepare the former Address concerning C so as to fit it for His Majesty. The Address made 5 Inst., and sent to Commons, was read. Committee appointed to draw the Address, which drawn, reported, and ordered to be presented.—On 17 Dec. King's Answer as L. J., XV. 615. (MS. Min.) Nothing more is recorded until the Bill came up from the Commons.

† Cf. C. J., XI. 379 and L. J., XV. 635.

1695. No. 978.

Mints was read, being specially reported to C. W. H. After the amendments in 11th Skin (as to the Mints), a Clause was read, red to the Select Committee, and by them laid before C. W. H., cerning the transporting of money, and, being amended as to the es, was agreed to (see h below). In 11 Skin, 16 line, a Clause was red and read against coining guineas, and agreed to after the blanks been filled up (see g below). A Clause for taking money only by ght in the Exchequer was laid aside. (MS. Min.)*-On 8 Jan., r the Resolution to vindicate the rights of the House (see m below), motion for adjournment was carried by 29 votes to 19, E. Fevers-1 and E. Monmouth Tellers. (MS. Min.) - On 9 Jan. the motion to st on the amendments concerning the Mint (11 Sk., 13 & 15 lines) carried by 32 votes to 28, E. Scarsdale and E. Marlborough lers. (MS. Min.)—The Select Committee, E. Bradford chairman, ointed to draw up Reasons, agreed to Reasons by 7 votes to 1. (Com. ok, 10 Jan.)—The Bill then appears to have been dropped by the nmons, who sent up another Bill on the same subject, for remedying ill state of the Coin, on 17 Jan., which was passed without amendit, and received the Royal assent on 21 Jan. (L.J., XV. 643, 647.) & Will. III. c. 1 in Fol. Ed.) The C. W. H. on this second Bill orting specially on 18 Jan. that it contained a Clause for erecting of its, similar to that in dispute in the former Bill, a Select Committee appointed to inspect the Books in reference to this matter, and, if v find this not usual, that they proceed to draw what shall be red at the Conference. L. Cornwallis, M. Normanby, E. Rochester, Bolton, D. Bridgewater, &c. were named of the Committee. (MS. 1., 18 Jan.)—On the same day this Committee met, E. Rochester in chair, and the Clerks offered some precedents (of tacking), which e read, viz. :- 8 March, 23° Eliz., the Bill for fortifying the Borders ards Scotland, and the old Bill withal. Agreed to be reported. March, 29° Eliz., an Act for the sale of Thomas Hanford's lands. reed to be reported. 23 Feb. 1691, the Poll Bill.—On 20 Jan. se further precedents were offered: 17 May, 3° Jac., Bill concerning veyors is read, but not to be reported. 30 March 1671, Report cerning the Bill for Brandy [Foreign Commodities Bill] was read ordered to be reported. A Paper was drawn and agreed to be orted to the House as fit to be said to the Commons at a Conference. m. Book, 18 & 20 Jan.)—On 20 Jan. E. Rochester reported the ve Precedents to the House, and also what was drawn up to be red at the Conference, as follows :- The Lords conceive, while there Bill pending between the two Houses for the regulating the coinage the silver money of this kingdom, wherein their Lordships have tgreed to a particular Clause in that Bill in relation to the thishing four Mints in the remote parts of the kingdom, that it is trary to the known and usual methods of proceedings in Parliament t the same Clause should by either House be brought into a new Bill ile it remains undetermined in the old, which their Lordships have ught fit to represent to the Commons, to the end that, for the future, innovations in the methods of proceedings between the two Houses y be carefully avoided. For the present their Lordships do not st on the observing of this method; but, in regard to the importance his Bill at this time, have agreed to it without any alteration or endment. A Message was sent to the House of Commons by Sir les Cooke and . . . , to desire a present [Conference] concerning

T': Lords' Amendments are given in extense in C. J., XI. 378, except in the control of the Clauses A, B, and C, which are in extense in L. J., XV. 635.

1695. No. 978. the methods of proceedings in Parliament upon the occasion of the B intituled An Act (MS. Min.) The whole of these entri in the MS. Min., from the reference of the matter to the Select Comittee are expunged, and do not appear in the Journals.]

The papers are as follows :-

(a) 31 Dec. Certificate of the Officers of the Mint that they ecoin 20,000l. a week, and in another month will be able to exbetween fifty and sixty thousand pounds a week. (L. J., X

624-5. In extenso.)

(b) 31 Dec. Minute of the examination of the Officers of the Mithis day at the Bar. Being asked whether they cannot provide me mills sooner, they answer A day or two sooner possible may be do but not sooner. Being asked, if they have mills as they propowhat sorts of money they can so coin, they answer crowns a half crowns; if otherwise, almost two thirds. (See also Min.)

Min.)
(c) 31 Dec. Draft Order referring the Bill to a Select Commit

this day. (L. J., XV. 625.)

(d) 3 Jan. 1695-6. Parelment containing Lords' Amendments 4 Pr., line 41, in 6 Pr., line 8, and in same Pr., line 13, reported fr C.W. H. this day. (L. J., XV. 627; C. J., XI. 378. In extens

(c) 3 Jan. 1695-6. Parchment Clause inserted by the Lords Press 7, line 21. Reported from C. W. H. this day. (L. J., X

627; C. J., XI. 378. In extenso.)

(f) 3 Jan. 1695-6. Parchment Clause, marked A, inserted by Lords in Press 9, line 24, as to the weighing of clipped of reported this day, but, on being disagreed to by the Commo desisted from, upon question, on 9 Jan. (L. J., XV. 627, 634 In extense.)

(g) 3 Jan. 1695-6. Parchment Clause, marked B, inserted by Lords in Press 11, line 16, prohibiting importation or coining gold coin, reported this day, but agreed to be desisted from

9 Jan. (L. J., XV. 627, 635. In extenso.)

(h) 3 Jan. 1695-6. Parchment Clause, marked C, inserted by Lords, to follow preceding Clause B, permitting export of as merchandize, reported this day, but agreed to be desisted f on 9 Jan. (L. J., XV. 627, 635. In extenso.)

(i) 7 Jan. 1695-6. Commons' Reasons for disagreeing to some the Lords' Amendments, drawn up and offered at the Conference this day. (C. J., XI. 381; L. J., XV. 633.) - They are to

following effect:—

Pr. 1, 1. 14 (to add "and diminished"). The Commons disa; because they are of opinion that all or most of the hammemoney that is not clipped or diminished unlawfully does want nor less of the due weight by constant use and wearing, who notwithstanding is current coin and absolutely necessary carrying on the present commerce by tale while the clipped me is recoining.

Pr. 6, l. 9 (instead of "at convenient villages" read "to corporate"). The Commons disagree because in several courthere are no market towns or towns corporate within a conver

distance for taking such receipts.

Pr. 6, 1. 40 (leave out from "Trier" to "and" in 1. 43). To disagree because they think it too great a trust to be lodged in Receiver and Trier alone, without such control as the Companda appointed.

Pr. 9, 1, 24 (the insertion of Clause A, (f) above). They disagree because it is inconsistent with the design and purpose of the Bill sent up from the Commons, and does wholly alter the known and accustomed method of commerce in this kingdom, and must necessarily be attended with great difficulties and inconveniences.

Pr. 11, Il. 13 & 15 (opposing the erection of at least four Mints). The Commons disagree because the erecting Mints in the country may be a great means of keeping the moneys there which must otherwise be brought up to London, to the dissatisfaction of

his Majesty's subjects in the remote parts.

The Commons disagree to the Clause marked B ((q) above), because the prohibiting the importation of gold, which is the return of our manufactures, may be a great prejudice to the foreign trade of this kingdom, and the plenty of gold coins, together with the restoring the silver coins to the standard, will most effectually lower the exorbitant price of gold.

They disagree to the Clause marked $\tilde{C}((h)$ above) because it is very nureasonable to export money in this time of great scarcity thereof. k) 7 Jan. 1695-6. Commons Protest, delivered at the close of the Conference this day, as follows: - The Commons likewise hold themselves obliged to take notice that in the Clauses sent down from their Lordships there is a charge, and there are pecuniary penalties laid upon the subject, which ought to have their commencement only from the House of Commons. (See L.J., XV. 632,

1) 9 Jan. 1695-6. Lords' Message agreeing to the Commons' Amendment to the Lords' Amendment in 6th Press, 1. 13, and desisting from all their amendments except those in Pr. 11, 1. 13, as to the Mints, on which they insist. (See L.J., XV. 634-6.) Delivered at the Conference of 11 Jan. (Ib. 638.)

m) 10 Jan. 1695-6. Lords' Answer to (k) above, contenting themselves with a denial. (L.J., XV. 637. In extenso.) Delivered at the Conference of 11 Jan. (Ib. 638.)

n) 10 Jan. 1695-6. First Draft of preceding.

79. Dec. 30.—Army. A list of the General Officers and of the onels and Commanding Officers of his Majesty's Land Forces, with

Duke of Schonburg and Leinster, General of the Horse. Earl of Oxford -

Monsr. D'Auverquere Earl of Portland Lord Viscount Galway Earl of Scarborough -Lieutenants General. Duke of Ormond Earl of Ronney Earl of Rochford Sir Henry Belasyse -Comte Nassau -Sir Thomas Levingston Charles Churchill, Esqr. George Ramsey, Esqr. Earl of Rivers -Monsr. de la Meloniere

Majors General.

Col. Eppinger - -Turl of Macclesfield -Marqs, de Miremont -

1695. No. 978.

William Steuart, Esqr.

Monsr. de L'Estang Richard Leveson, Esqr. Elias de Boncour Thomas Erle, Esqr. The Lord Cutts Henry Lumley, Esqr. Sr. David Collyear Bojeslave Schack Edward Fitzpatrick, Esqr.
Baron de Hompesch Lord George Hamilton
William Selwyn, Esqr. -

Brigadiers.

William Sorwyn, 23541.					
REGIMENTS AND COLONELS.	Troops and Com- panies.	Commission Officers.	Non-Commission Officers.	Private Men.	
Horse, Troops of Guards \{ 1st, Earl of Scarborough 2nd, Duke of Ormond 3rd, Earl of Rivers 4 George Cholmondly, Grenadr. Guards Duke of Queenborough, Scots Guards Earl of Oxford, Royal Regimt. Brigadr. Henry Lumley, Queen's Regt. Brigadr. Richard Leveson Colonel Cornelius Wood Col. Francis Langston Col. Hugh Wyndham The Duke of Schonburg & Leinster - The Lord Viscount Galway	1 1 1 1 1 1 9 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	16 16 16 11 11 40 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29 29	5 5 5 20 5 45 45 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	200 200 200 118 531 531 354 354 354 354 354 354 354 354 354 354	
Dragoons. Brigadr. Edward Matthews - Col. Will:am Lloyd Earl of Essex Sir Thomas Levingston Col. Charles Ross Col. Richard Cunningham Col. Edward Leigh Earl of Denbigh Marquis de Miremont	8 8 8 8 8 8 8	38 38 38 38 38 38 38 38	72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72 72	480 480 480 480 480 480 480 480 480	The second secon
The Earl of Romney, 1st Regt. of Guards John, Lord Cutts, Coldstream Guards Major Genl. Ramsey, Scots Guards Lord George Hamilton Brigadr. Edward Fitzpatrick Brigadr. William Selwyn Major General Charles Churchill Col. Henry Trelawny Col. John Beaumont	28 14 16 26 13 13 13 13	99 51 57 88 46 44 44 44 44	224 112 128 208 104 104 104 104	2240 1120 1280 1560 780 780 780 780 780	

Troops and Com-	Commission Officers.	Non-Commission Officers.	Private Men.	Together.
13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44 44	104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104	780 780 780 780 780 780 780 780 780 780	928 928 928 928 928 928 928 928 928 928
13	44	105	780	929
13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	44 44 44 44 44 44 18	105 105 105 105 106 106 105 40	780 780 780 780 780 780 780 780 780 500	929 929 929 929 929 929 929 920 558
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 2 4 3 3 3 4 3 3 3	6 6 14 9 9 9 8 8 8 8 8	50 50 150 80 80 80 60 100 100 100	58 58 168 92 92 92 71 112 111 111
	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 1	13	13	13

Danish Horse, Col. Rechteren Col. Rechteren Col. Schack Col. Tengnagel Col. Tengnagel Col. Schack Col. Tengnagel Col. Schack Col. Schack	REGIMENTS AND COLONELS.	Troops and Companies.	Commission Officers.	Non-Commission Officers.	Private Men.
Margs de la Forest -	Danish Horse,				
Duke of Wirtemberg, Guards	Marqs. de la Forest - ' - ' -	. 6 .	32	36	306
Brigadr. Haxthuysen 7 36 91 714	Danish Foot.				
Monsr. D'Auverquerc, 4th Tr. of Guds 6 28 36 402 Earl of Portland, Regt. of Horse - 6 28 36 402 Col. Steinbock - 3 16 15 198 Col. Rechteren - 3 16 15 198 The Marqs. de Monpouillan - 3 17 18 165 The Earl of Athlone - 3 17 18 165 Col. Tengnagel - 17 18 165 Col. Schack - 3 17 18 165 Col. Schack - 3 17 18 165 Col. Vittenghoff - 3 17 18 165 Col. Wittenghoff - 3 17 18 165 Col. Boncour - 3 17 18 165 Col. Wittenghoff - 3 17 18 165 Col. Wittenghoff - 3 17 18 165 Col. Wittenghoff - 2 17 18 165 Col. Wiltenghoff - 3 17 18 165 Col. Boncour - 10 45 90 800 Dutch Proot. Duke of Wirtemberg, Guards - 26 90 209 2381 Col. Wilks - 7 12 40 120 684 Col. Wilks - 7 12 40 120 684 Hanover Horse. Brigadr. Montigny - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Ohr - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616	Brigadr. Haxthuysen Colonel Ortz Prince of Sunderburg Colonel Erfa Col. Eppinger Col. Schoer	7 6 6 6 6	36 32 32 31 32 32	91 78 78 78 78 78	714 612 612 612 612 612
Earl of Portland, Regt. of Horse	Dutch Horse.	-			
Major Genl. Eppinger 10 45 90 800 **Dutch Foot.** Duke of Wirtemberg, Guards - 26 90 209 2381 Count Nassau - 12 40 120 684 Col. Wilks 12 40 120 684 Prince of Brandenburg - 12 40 120 684 **Hanover Horse.** Brigadr. Montigny - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Ohr - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616 **Wolfembuttle Foot.**	Col. Steinbock Col. Rechteren The Marqs, de Monpouillan The Earl of Athlone Col. Tengnagel Earl of Rochford Col. Schack Col. Vittenphoff	6 3 3 3 3 3 3	28 16 16 17 17 17 17 17	36 15 15 18 18 18 18 18	402 198 198 165 165 165 165 165
Major Genl. Eppinger 10 45 90 800 **Dutch Foot.** Duke of Wirtemberg, Guards - 26 90 209 2381 Count Nassau - 12 40 120 684 Col. Wilks 12 40 120 684 Prince of Brandenburg - 12 40 120 684 **Hanover Horse.** Brigadr. Montigny - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Ohr - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616 **Wolfembuttle Foot.**	Dutch Dragoons.			·. i	
Duke of Wirtemberg, Guards - 26 90 209 2381 Count Nassau - 12 40 120 684 Col. Wilks - 12 40 120 684 Prince of Brandenburg - 12 40 120 684 Hanover Horse. Brigadr. Montigny - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Ohr - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616		10	45	90	800
Count Nassau Col. Wilks - 12 40 120 684 Col. Wilks - 12 40 120 684 Hanover Horse. Brigadr. Montigny - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Ohr - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille - 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616 Wolfembuttle Foot.	Dutch Foot.	-			
Brigadr. Montigny 6 35 38 312 Colonel Ohr - 6 35 38 312 Col. Zuylen - 6 35 38 312 Colonel Turk - 6 35 38 312 Manover Foot. Prince of Hanover 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul - 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson - 7 26 99 616 Wolfembuttle Foot.	Col. Wilks	12 12	40 40	120 120	684 684
Col. Zuylen Colonel Turk Hanover Foot. Prince of Hanover Col. St. Paul Col. Cinquille Col. Hulson Wolfembuttle Foot. Prince of Brancoich Wolfembuttle Foot.					
Prince of Hanover 7 26 99 616 Col. St. Paul 7 26 99 616 Col. Cinquille 7 26 99 616 Col. Hulson 7 26 99 616 Wolfembuttle Foot.	Col. Zuylen Colonel Turk	6 6	35 35	38. 38	312 312
Col. St. Paul Col. Cinquille Col. Hulson Wolfembuttle Foot. Prince of Preservices	1				
Prince of Property	Col. St. Paul Col. Cinquille	7 -	26 26	99	616
Prince of Brunswick - 7 26 20 C16				40.1	
Col. Havengh - 7 26 99 616		7	26 26	99	616 616

ABSTRACT OF THE NUMBERS.	Troops and Com-	Commission Officers.	Non-Commission Officers.	Private Men.	Together.
C = 0 0	162	955	902	9996	11853
(00))S	82	387	738	5120	6245
	875	3200	7589	58553	69342
TOTAL	1119	4542	9229	73669	87440

[Delivered this day by E. Romney, by his Majesty's Command, resuant to Address of 7 Dec., and read 7 Jan. 1695-6. (L. J., XV. 7. 608, 624, 632.) On 6 Dec., in C. W. H. on the State of the ation in General, E. Huntingdon in the Chair, it was moved to apply His Majesty that a Muster Roll of the Land Army be laid before a House—an Account of the Army—a List of the Land Army—that the House be moved to address the King for a List of all the field and ad officers in the Army. After some time, House resumed, and escolution reported To move the House for an Address to the King for List of the Army in English pay, with the names of the General fficers and all other Commission Officers, and of what nation the regiments and Officers are. (MS. Min.) Nothing is recorded as wing followed upon the reading of this Paper on 7 Jan.]

980. Jan. 1. Warner's Estate Act.—Draft of an Act for enabling rustees to sell part of the Estate of Edmund Warner, deceased, for tyment of his debts and for preserving the rest for the benefit of his benefit. The Commons' Amendments are formal. No Amendments in the ords.

[Read 1a this day; Royal Assent 13 Feb. following. L. J., XV. 26, 668. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 18 in Long Cal. In Select Comittee, on 14 Jan., Mrs. Warner, the widow, Nathaniel Hawes, the andfather, and Mr. Nicholas, for Mr. Currance the mortgagee, were resent and consented to the Bill. Com. Book.]

981. Jan. 2. Haynes' Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act to table Richard Haynes Esq. to settle a jointure on his now wife, and to echange lands with the Trustees of Thomas Stevens Esq., deceased he Amendments made in both Houses are merely drafting ones. Read 1^a this day; Royal Assent 13 Feb. following. L. J., XV. 627, 58. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 17 in Long Cal. In Select Committee, on 1 Jan., the consents of the brothers and sisters of Richard Haynes and lat of his father-in-law were read, also the consent of the Trustees of Irs. Stevens. Com. Book.]

Annexed :-

(a) 14 Jan. Consent of Thomas Haynes, of Langredge, Somerset, brother of Richard, to his settling a jointure not exceeding 2001. a year on his wife Anne out of the Estate. Dated 6 Nov. 1695, and attested by Thomas Estcourt, Edward White, and Richard 1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 981. Williams. [Produced before the Select Committee this Com. Book.]

(b) 14 Jan. Similar Consent of James Haynes, another bro of the City of Bristol, Merchant, dated 19 Nov. 1695, attested by James Baskerville, William Thresher, and Ric Williams. [Produced before the Select Committee this Com. Book.]

(c) 14 Jan. Consent of Thomas and Hannah Hickes, brother law and sister of Richard Haynes, to the Bill, Christopher of Charlton, Anne's father, having conveyed to Richard ceproperty in Charlton on condition of his making a settle on her. Dated 22 Nov. 1695, and attested by Edmund B. Richard Williams, and William Jones. A memorandu endorsed, witnessed by Williams and Jones, that the consegiven on condition that the thirds of Mrs. Mary Haynes, wo of Thomas Haynes, the father of Richard, are not infrit [Produced this day before the Committee. Com. Book.]

[Produced this day before the Committee. Com. Book.]
(d) 14 Jan. Consent, similar to (a), of Thomas and Deacon, brother-in-law and sister of Richard Haynes. Deacon, brother-in-law and sister

Committee. Com. Book.]

(e) 14 Jan. Consent of Christopher Cole, of Charlton, father law of Richard Haynes, to his making a settlement of 20 year on his wife in lieu of the jointure formerly agreed provided he does so by Act of Parliament at his own Dated 30 Nov. 1695, and attested by Mary Whitson, Ric Williams, and Thomas Pierce. [Produced this day before Committee. Com. Book.]

982. Jan. 3. Stoner's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Ac enabling Thomas Stoner, Esq., son and heir of John Stoner, deceased, to make a Jointure and Settlement of his Estate in mar notwithstanding his minority. The Amendments in Lords' Commare purely clerical. No Amendment in the Commons. [Read laday; Royal Assent 21 Jan. L. J., XV. 628, 647. 7 & 8 Will. c. 9 in Long Cal. E. Fauconberg's consent was signified by Longueville, and Sir John Talbot gave his consent on 9 Jan. Book.]

983. Jan. 6. Heron's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act. enable Sir Charles Heron, Bart., to sell lands for payment of a po and debts. An Amendment inserted in the Lords' Committee n the payment of the portion of Elizabeth Heron and the arrears of maintenance the first object to which the money raised is to be dev The other Amendments and those made by the Commons are chie a formal character. [Read 1^a this day; Royal Assent 10 following. L. J., XV. 630, 733. 8 Will. III. c. 60 in Long In Select Committee, on 22 Jan., Mr. Common Serjeant, for Sir Ch Heron, says we know nothing of the equity of the Act. We know the trustees, so desire we may name one. The time given by the I indefinite. We pray it may be limited, otherwise, if the trustees, d sell, we cannot have relief in Chancery. Sir Charles Heron; The I for the benefit of the creditors. If purchasers know the time is lin they will not buy till near the expiration of that time, and so we muat under rate. Mr. Common Serjeant : If we may name as many tri as Sir Charles does, I shall not insist on my other proposition of a li

1695-6. No. 983.

ne. He desires his client may not be postponed in the payment of s debt, but that he may be paid according to the date of the mortgage, new withdraw. After debate, they are called in and advised to meet and tree amongst themselves as to the trustees. They are told that they ust procure the consent of all the creditors, or at least give them ntice of the Bill, and make affidavit of their several answers before a aster in Chancery, and that they shall have an Order to this purpose nnex a). On 10 February the consents of the persons mentioned annexes (b) and (d) are proved. The Common Serjeant renews application on behalf of Sir Charles Heron and says the ustees are strangers to us and the estates lie at a distance. If the fill pass, we cannot in Chancery bring a Bill to debar them from the putty of Redemption. On 12 February several amendments proposed with parties were made. Com. Book.]

Annexed :-

(a) 22 Jan. Order of Select Committee calling upon Sir Charles Heron to produce before the Committee on 10 Feb. the Consents or Answers of the Mortgagees mentioned in the Bill, testified before a Master of Chancery in Extraordinary. Appended to (b). See Com. Book, 22 Jan.]

(b) 10 Feb. Parchment Copy of the proposed Bill, endorsed with the Consents of Ralph Errington, of Beaufront, North-umberland, John Douglas, of Newcastle, his Trustee and Mortgagee in the Act mentioned, and Charles Shaftoe, of Carycoates, Northumberland, Executor of his late brother, John Shaftoe, Clerk, another of the mortgagees, all dated 30 Jan., and attested by William Carr and Cuthbert Stokoe, the consents of Douglas and Shaftoe being given on condition that the money to be raised suffice to pay off all mortgages, and also the portion of Elizabeth Heron and the arrears, together with all interest, costs, and charges, and be so employed; and also endorsed with the consent of John Armstrong, another of the Mortgagees, dated 10 Feb., and attested by Cuthbert Stokoe and George Allgood. [Produced this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.]

(c) 10 Feb. Affidavit of William Carr and Cuthbert Stokoe, of Hexham, sworn before Peter Potts, a Master of Chancery in Extraordinary, at Newcastle on Tyne, on 3 Feb., that on 31 Jan. they went to Ralph Errington and Charles Shaftoe, and on 3 Feb. to John Douglas, and saw them sign and seal their consents to the Bill. [Appended to (b). See Com. Book,

10 Feb.]
(d) 10 Feb. Parchment signed and sealed by Sir Charles Heron, Dame Anne Heron, Dame Elizabeth Heron, Charnock Heron, Matthew Heron, Wm. Lorraine, Wm. Graham, D.D., and with the mark of Cuthbert Heron, as consenting to the Bill, the provisions of which are set out. Dated 24 Dec. 1695, and attested, as to Dame Eliz. Heron and Cuthbert Heron by Geo. Errington and Joseph Blakey, as to Dr. Graham by George Allgood, Cuthbert Stokoe, and Will: Arrowsmith, and, as to the rest by George Allgood, Henry Harding, Gilb: Good, and John Ely. [Produced this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.]

(e) 10 Feb. Affidavit of William Carr and Cuthbert Stokoe, sworn on 3 Feb., at Newcastle, before John Douglas, a Master

1695-6.

of Clausery in Extrace linary, that they saw William Lor-ign and seal the above. [Appended to preceding paper.]

984. Jan. 11. Listings Bill.-Draft of an Act to supply with the it the Art made the last Session of Parliament (as to waves to Alathones and Lustrings , included An Act for granting His Mainty ward's latter that glasswares, stone and earthen bot constant from the carrying on the war against France. It is the fall wing effect: - Williams, for preventing III men to im that it centur great the titles of French Alamodes and Lustrings, an that and so, the same in this Realm, it is, amongst other things, prov while A till k 7 W. HI. t. In that no person or persons shall pres : on or sem wor sell a gain, or send beyond sea, any black Alam .. Levilings, there they have the sea, or mark which are already t The first grade at the Custom Horse, or the scalor mark also used 1. I share C many the the goods mathlactured by them in Engl mote the firstell soff all soft anymalified goods, and also under first a filled, for every offence, to the uses in and by the A climited and applicated, and thereby no provision being made the Company of Weavers of London, or for the Weavers of any of I spanie it any other City or Corporation in England, to seal the Ashron and Lushings made and manufactured by then E game, all we were except such as are of the Lustring Comp thereif, and to apply the defents of the said Act, the Bill provi that an Weavers, of all myanies in England as well as the Lusu Contant, may offer and sell or send beyond sea the Alamodes L strings man by them in England; and, for the better prevent the important is of Francis goods, all Alamodes and Lustrings alread and in Eugen a at it is marked as provided by the said A shall, on or before the day of , be sealed marked in the seal or persons and with such seal or mark the Conserved Weaver of Louise shall appears for London : this parts of this Realm, except towns wherein a Company Weavers is established in which case persons appointed by respective (ampanies shall have the scaling or marking of Alamaies and Lustrings made therein; and such goods, made af in England by the Lustring Compa the day of or by order Companies of Weavers, shall the scaled or marked aferesaid in the boan, before being out off from the warp. Perso whering, sening, or senting beyond sea any such goods not sealed advessed shall from the penalties and forfeitures provided in the s Act is the name? 2001- 10: malel or marked by the Lastri Congary, and many issoverer of frames shall have such reward is adjulated in the said Act. Every person hereby appointed to s or mark the sail good shall, before entering upon his duties, take card before a magistrate trily and faithfully to execute the powers a authorities contained in this Act, according to the best of his skill a knowledge. Read 18 this day, and the Lustring Company ordered have notice thereof. (L. J., XV. 605). Petitions to be heard again the Bill were presented by the Lustring Company on 17 Jan. and a Weavers working for the Company on 22 Jan. 3. 644, 648). On 29 J. Course were called in. Ser The man Powys for the Lustring Company is of Well a year week to France which is now made here. Mr. Doby the same read the reports on this case. Nahum Crosby, Ren-Henry Burron, and some others gave evidence. Reneu stating the

mployed 15,000 if not discouraged. Mr. Phipps and Mr. Scricant Darnell heard for the Weavers working for the Lustring Company. The atter said they starved thousands of Englishmen. The Weavers made hese silks before. Sir Bartholomew Shore, for same : It is agreed hey have made but 900 pieces, and have sold 15,000. They have pportunity to have goods from France by Ipswich, and to mark he French goods there. Robert Hutting, sworn: I made Lustrings n 1684-no great quantities-half a score pieces. It may be we vere somewhat short of what they do now. Mr. Tho: Holmes, worn: In '85 or '86 I knew Lustrings made. They were made in spitalfields-about 15 or 20 pieces. Some of them were ordinary, others better. I did not see them in the loom. There came two Englishmen and offered them me. Four or five thousand pieces in a year. I have dealt in these 30 years. I do not contribute to carry on this Bill. Oldham: I made Lustrings first of any Englishmen. went to Declue to know if he would dress them. Marjar [? Maria]: u '84 I made Alamodes in Spitalfields. I sold pieces to Mr. Holmes, and he asked me why I did not . . . Mr. Romane: I came into England in '81. Not a denizen. I have dressed 3,000 pieces for the 'ompany. In '86 I dressed. I was educated at Paris. I learnt to lress in England. Reads the answer to the letter. Cha: Galiard neard. Mr. Moore: I bought of Mr. Reneu. He sold them mo or French. Berringe sworn. Sam: Eccleston: I have bought French Alamodes of Mr. Reneu-about 40 or 50 pieces. (MS. Min.) The Bill was then rejected (L. J., XV. 655).]

Annexed :-

(a) 17 Jan. Petition of the Royal Lustring Company for making and dressing Alamodes, Renforces, and Lustrings in England. Petitioners have a Patent for the making of plain black Alamodes and Lustrings in England for fourteen years, which was obtained by the consent and well-liking of the Weavers Company, at which time there were but one or two looms in England, and those were set up by the Patentees; since which Petitioners have increased them to about 700, and have now sent considerable quantities of the Woollen Manufacture to Piedmont, to furnish that country therewith, that the Duke of Savoy may the better be enabled to prohibit the commerce with France, from whence he has hitherto been supplied with the woollen manufacture. But Petitioners have many enemies to hinder them in the public good, those of Lyons in particular having resolved to use all possible means to destroy the said Company. Petitioners understand that if the Bill should pass it would destroy their Patent, and utterly spoil that trade, by means whereof that manufacture would return to France from whence it came, to the great joy and advantage of His Majesty's enemies, and the ruin of many bundreds of families in Eugland now employed by Petitioners. Pray to be heard against the Bill. Signed Wm. Lewen, Dep. Govr., Paul Clowdesley, John Blondel, Wm. Sherard, Tho: Firmin, Peter Lekeux, E. Reneu and Lewis Gervaise, Secy. [Read this day, and Petitioners heard on 29 Jan. L. J., XV, 644, 655. See Notes above.]

(b) 22 Jan. Petition of the Weavers working for the Royal Lustring Company in Lutestrings (sic) and Alamodes. Petitioners have been constantly employed by the Company for some years in the dull time of trade, to their great relief, there being no 1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 984. 1695-6. No. 984. work for them to be found elsewhere. The Bill, if pass would in all probability destroy the Company and receptitioners to great necessity. Pray that the Bill may dismissed, or that they be heard by Counsel against it. signatures and marks are appended to the Petition. [Ruthis day, and Petitioners heard by Counsel on 29 Jan. L. XV. 648, 655. See Notes to principal paper.]

985. Jan. 14. Grahme v. Harnage.—Petition and Appeal In February 1688 Petitioner purchased of A James Grahme. Bellingham and his trustees the manors of Leveus, Barrowfi Underbarrow, Stavely, Stedall, Strickland, and Melkinthorpe, divers other manors, about 15 in all, in Westmoreland, toget with the timber and timber trees on the six last-named man which, by Articles of 5 Feb. 1688, were to be valued by Sir Geo Fletcher, Bart., and Thomas Shepheard by a time limited, and, fail them, by an umpire chosen by them (with the exception of suffici timber for repairs and other bootes in the purchased premise and the amount of the valuation was to be paid by Petitioner Bellingham, and devoted, in the first place, to paying off his credite Fletcher being a Member of Parliament and Shepheard sick, the never valued the timber or chose an umpire, and Respondents : other creditors of Bellingham obtained a Decree in Chancery for execution of the trust, and the issue of a Commission to value woods and timber; but the Court did not direct any trial or issue law as to what was timber within the intent of the Articles or usage of the Country. If the Petitioner refused to purchase at valuation, the timber was to be sold to the best purchaser. I Commissioners did not certify the value of the timber; but Sir Mi Cooke, a Master of the Court, inspected the Depositions returned the Commission, and valued the woods and timber at 2,3991. 19s. 3 allowing Petitioner only 911. 19s. 3d. for all bootes for repairs for all the manors and the farm tenants and customary tenants, w by custom claim the wood and timber upon their customary estat Petitioner put in Exceptions to the Master's Report, one of whe was that the wood was valued, as well as the timber, whereas timber only was included in the Articles, and the wood had been alrea paid for with the manors; but his Exceptions were overruled on offer by the Respondents to accept the Master's valuation, and, Petitioner's refusal to buy, Respondents became purchasers at 2,300 and obtained an Injunction to protect them in cutting and carry the wood and timber. Though limited to carry off all their barge of timber by July next, Respondents have left the bulk of it standi until now, thus getting the benefit of three years growth, and he sold it with assurance of five years' further liberty to cut and carry They are also cutting timber in other parts of Petitioner's estate, a threaten not to leave a stick standing. Petitioner applied to Court of Chancery, but could get no redress, as the Decree and t Order overruling his Exceptions were still standing. Prays that t Respondents (Richard Harnage, Jacques Wiseman, John Singlet George Howes, Joseph Devonish, John Briggs, Richard Sutton, Jan . Pawlett, William Smith, William Blinckhorne, and Peter Essingto may answer, that the said Decree and Order may be reversed, that Respondents may cut only timber on the premises and neither we nor timber on the rest of Petitioner's property, that they may not he any extension of time to cut and carry, and that a Commission m value the timber only. Signed by Petitioner, and countersign

lrew Wharton, C. Lawton. L. J., XV. 640. [On 2 March 1695-6. Cause was begun to be heard at the Bar, and Sir Bartholomew re spoke for the Respondents who have received the money are not in the Appeal; and that those who have [been] I their money ought to refund. Mr. Jones took the same ection. Mr. Finch and Sir Thomas Powys heard in answer. msel were asked whether, if the decree were reversed, the other ties would not be liable to refund. Mr. Finch: The estate will be icient to answer all! We think not. Sir Barth: Shore: They I be foreclosed, and can have no other way. They must refund hout hearing. Mr. Jones: They must refund without question. 2 Court of Chancery cannot intermeddle in the matter. After ate, L. C. J. Treby was heard. It was agreed to tell Counsel t the House would not now proceed for want of proper parties. 5. Min.; L. J., XV. 689. Then the Respondents named in Annex were added (ib. 691), and the Cause was finally heard on 16 March. . Finch opens the case for the Appellant. He reads the agreement cerning the timber and timber trees. Mr. Graham comes in a chaser, and the dispute is for this timber. Sir Thomas Powys, on same side: The question is whether wood and timber are the same ng. Timber is the only thing to be valued. The Master in ancery has taken upon him to value birch, elm &c. Trees are ued that we believe are not to be found in the woods. The Order, Articles, the Master's Report, and the Exceptions are read. Sir Shore (for the accepting creditors): If the creditors have taken stick that was not marked, they are liable. Mr. Jennings, on the ne side : Four inches diameter is allowed to be timber in this country. ked what is the value of the best timber trees in this bargain, says, as he is informed, not above 5s. a piece. Mr. Dobyns, for other Respondents: Mr. Graham might have appealed sooner. Penton, for Bellingham: If this had been valued aright, it uld have yielded 4,000l. more. Col. Graham was offered his ney and advantage. If the dispute had been made sooner, my ent might have had a surplus of money. Col. Graham was privy all. The creditors are not able to refund. Timber is not the ne in one county as in another. The Colonel comes too late. He mot make any better proof. Counsel heard for the trustees. ter replies, Order made as in L. J., XV. 706. MS. Min.*] Annexed :-

(a) 6 Feb. Answer of Richard Harnage, Jaques Wiseman, John Singleton, George Howes, Joseph Devonish, John Briggs, Richard Sutton, James Pawlet, William Smith, and William Blinkhorne, ten of the creditors of Allan Bellingham, Esq., deceased. Bellingham's estates in Westmoreland, Lancashire, Yorkshire, and Durham were vested in Charles Pidgeon, Esq., William Bellingham (Allan's brother and heir), and Robert Hilton, Gent., to be sold for payment of Bellingham's debts to Respondents and other creditors. Respondents, together with two other creditors, Daniel Allen and Peter Essington, purchased the wood and timber for 2,300l., on Appellant's refusal to purchase them at the price fixed by the Master, and paid into Court the balance of the price, after deducting 1,000l. in satisfaction of their claims. They have been so obstructed in

No. 985.

The House of Lords heard a cause between Col. Graham, privy purse to King tes, and Mr. Harnage, Mr. Rigby, &c., and after a full hearing reversed the Lord per's decree against Graham. Luttrell, 17 March.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 985. cutting the timber that several of the creditors have withdraw from prosecuting their interest, and the delay has been occioned entirely by the Appellant's opposition. Deny that the have sold the timber with assurance of five years time to consider and carry, but hope they will be allowed the customary time do so. Appellant's Exceptions to the Master's Report we overruled after due discussion. Signed by Harnage, Smit Singleton, Howes, and Sutton, and countersigned Ed: Jenning Endorsed as brought in this day. See L. J., XV. 706.

(b) 3 March. Petition of Appellant. The hearing bein appointed on 2 March, the House did not think fit to proceed with it until Francis Mollineux, Richard Rigby, Wm. Hudso Jeremy Davis, John Bignall, William Phillipps, Richard Si Wm. Hart, and David Allen, also plaintiffs in Chancery, thous not purchasers, and William Bellingham, Esq., brother, heir, ar residuary devisee of Allan, Charles Pidgeon, Esq., and Robe Hilton, Gent., trustees of the said Allan and defendants Chancery, should be made parties. Prays they may be made a L. J., XV, 691.

(c) 9 March. Petition of the above named plaintiffs in Chancer except Allen. Petitioners cannot obtain from one Richa Tolson, formerly their Solicitor, their papers to enable them answer, though they hold his receipt in full for all claims again them. Pray he may be ordered to deliver up the papers, a

for further time to answer. L. J., XV. 699.

(d) 10 March. Answer of Charles Pidgeon Esq. and Robe Hilton, Gent. Respondents have become only nominal truste since their co-trustee William Bellingham exhibited a Bill Chancery against them for an account of their trust, and are no way interested in the litigation, though they do not refuse act. Pray that the Appeal may be dismissed with costs. Sign by Respondents, and countersigned John Ward. Endorsed brought in this day. See L. J., XV. 706.

(c) 10 March. Answer of Francis Mollineux, Richard Rig William Hudson, Jeremy Davis, John Bignall, William Phillip Richard Sill, and William Hart. These Respondents refused join the first ten Respondents in purchasing the wood and timb and the trust has been fully performed in their case, so the they have nothing to do with the Appeal. Signed by Respondents, and countersigned Jam: Stedman, Ri: Osborne. Endors

as brought in this day. See L. J., XV. 706.

(f) 11 March. Answer of William Bellingham Esq. Most the creditors have been paid off or are being so. Respond knows nothing about the way in which the purchasers he managed or disposed of the timber, and is not concerned in matter; but he has heard that the Appellant himself bought Barrowfield timber for 500l. Appellant has his remedy at 1 in case of trespass, and has no ground of complaint against Decree or Order. Prays that the Appeal may be dismissed we costs. Signed Hen: Penton. Endorsed as brought in t day. See L. J., XV. 706.

(g) 14 March. Several Answer of Peter Essington. Respond married Margaret, Relict and Administratrix of Thomas Pr deceased, to whom Bellingham owed 55/. The Decree of Order appealed from are just and should stand. Signed

No. 985.

Respondent, and countersigned P. Crawford. Endorsed as 1695-6.

brought in this day. See L. J., XV. 706.

(h) 14 March. Answer of David Allen. Bellingham owed Respondent 2231., secured by a penal bond, which Respondent made over to Sir William Hicks as partial security for a larger debt. On being told that the timber belonged to the creditors, he was induced to sign a Letter of Attorney for cutting it down, which he revoked on learning that all the creditors were not to do the like. He refused to join in the purchase or sale of the timber, and is in no wise concerned in the Appeal. Prays that the Appeal may be dismissed with costs. Signed by the Respondent, and countersigned John Baggs. Endorsed as brought in this day. See L. J., XV. 706.

36. Jan. 16. Sands' Estate Act .-- Amended Draft of an Act for ing a moiety of the Manor of Shepton Mallet in the County of Somerset, a divided moiety of the Manor of Wells in the said! County, in stees to be sold for payment of a mortgage charged thereon and making a provision for the maintenance of Mary the wife of liam Sandes, Esq., and her children. The Lords' Amendments sisted of the insertion of the names of Trustees. In the Commons name of Richard Healy was added to the Saving Clause. [Read 14] day. Royal Assent 10 April following. L. J., XV. 642, 733. ill. III. c. 62 in Long Cal. In Select Committee, on 24 Jan., a or from William Sandes consenting to the Bill was read, and Mary des consented in person. Com. Book.] .mnexed :-

(a) 24 Jan. Lords' Amendments made in Select Committee this day. Com. Book.

87. Jan. 17. Dawson's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act for ing part of the Estate of Joseph Dawson, Esq., in Trustees for ment of debts and for provision for the maintenance and marriage of laughters. The Amendments made in Lords' Committee were to following effect:-In the recital of debts a debt of 1,100l. to n Wilcox, gent., was added; in the recital of the settled estates, in the enacting part vesting them in Trustees, the closes of pasture ed Great and Little Fenners and Fenner's Meadow, and South, th, and East Bowzers, in the occupation of George Waller, were ed, and Sare's Marsh was left out; and the names of the Trustees e inserted. The rest of the Amendments were merely formal. No endments in the Commons. [Read 1a this day. Royal Assent April following. L. J., XV. 643, 733. 8 Will, III. c. 64 in Long. On 4 Feb. Mr. Palmer, Mr. Wilcox, Mr. Dawson, and Mrs. vson gave their consents to the Bill. Com. Book.

(a) 4. Feb. Lords' Amendments made this day in Select Committee. They are described above. Com. Book.

88. Jan 21. Writ of Summons (L. Byron).-Writ of Summons, ed 20 Jan. 1695-6, to William, L. Byron. [Sat first in Parliament day. L. J., XV. 647.]

89. Jan. 22. Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's,—Petition and Appeal George Smith and Margaret his wife. Petitioner Margaret's idmother, Catherine Ferrers, being seized in fee of divers lands in nes, Surrey, which she had from her husband Edward Ferrers, led some copyhold lands worth over 100%, a year on her eldest son lien and his wife Susan, as her jointure, and the heirs of their es, with remainder to William's right heirs. William died, and 1695-6. No. 939.

Susan, his widow, their only child William being sickly, sut a Common Recovery, in the Court of the Manor of Barnes, to th of herself for life, remainder to her son William and the hei his body, remainder to the heirs of the survivor of her and her thus cutting off Petitioner Margaret who was youngest daught Edward Ferrers' second son Thomas, and thus, as the copyhold of the nature of Borough English, entitled to succeed to her William's property, failing his issue male. Lewis Rogle, a so Susan by a second marriage, succeeded to the property, and Petit brought before the Dean and Chapter of St. Paul's, Lords of Manor of Barnes, a Petition in the nature of a Writ of False J ment to reverse the Common Recovery, which the latter refus receive. Petitioner then applied to Chancery to compel them t so, Lewis Rogle demurred, and his Demurrer was allowed by Chancellor Jefferies. Appeal against the Order allowing the Demi and pray that Lewis Rogle may be compelled to answer. Si by Petitioners and countersigned Tho: Powys and Barth: S L. J., XV. 648. [At the hearing, on 25 Feb., Sir Thomas P. and Sir Bartholomew Shore appeared for Appellants, Mr. De for Rogle, and Mr. Brodrick for the Dean and Chapter. At conclusion of the arguments the Judges were heard. L. C of the Common Pleas stated the case. They stated as if the we was tenant for life, but she was tenant in tail. And so she c in; otherwise she had forfeited her life in it. He answered other questions asked him as to Lords of Manors. After de Appeal dismissed. L. J., XV. 682. MS. Min.]

Annexed:

(a) 5 Feb. Answer of Lewis Rogell.—The Common Reco complained of was suffered 40 years ago, and the Dem allowed 10 years ago. Appellant's suit below was dism as unprecedented. The Appeal is contrary to the Star Orders, being so long delayed, and would, if successful, multitudes of seitlements. Prays the Appeal may be dism with exemplary costs. Signed by Respondent, and cou signed Wm. Dobyns. Endorsed as brought in this day. L. J., XV. 682.

(b) 7. Feb. Several Answer of the Dean and Chapter of Cathedral Church of St. Paul, London.-Dr. Stillingfleet, Dean of St. Paul's, now Bp. of Worcester, and the Ch answered below that they could not receive Appellant's Pet as it was an attempt of the first instance, and in its n dangerous to the common assurances of Copyhold estates they professed their willingness to do as ordered by the of Chancery, after hearing the real defendant Rogle. are only concerned as Lords of the Manor, and pray the rights and advantages as such may not be prejudiced, and they be reimbursed their Costs. Sealed with the Seal c Countersigned St. John Brodrick. Endorse brought in this day. See L. J., XV. 682.

(c) 17. Feb. Petition of Respondents.—The Appeal is vexa Pray for a day for hearing. Signed Lewis Rogell. Rea

day. L. J., XV. 672.

990. Jan. 24. Barkhamstead Manor Act.—Amended Draft of a to enable Trustees to sell part of the Manor of Barkhamstead and t off the Incumbrances charged on the same, and to lay out the over in an Estate to be settled as the said Manor is now vested. A

its made in Lords' Committee on 29 Jan. consisted chiefly in the rtion of the names of trustees. No Amendments in the Commons. ad la this day. Royal Assent 13 Feb. L. J., XV. 650, 668. 8 Will. III. c. 20 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 29 Jan.]

1695-6. No. 990.

(a) 29 Jan. Lords' Amendments made in Select Committee this day. Com. Book.

31. Jan. 24. Trade with France Prohibition Bill.—Commons' rossment of an Act for continuing the Acts for prohibiting all e and commerce with France, and for the encouragement of ateers.**

hereas, by an Act made in the fourth and fifth years of the of King William and Queen Mary, intituled an Act for continuing Acts for prohibiting all trade and commerce with France, and for uragement of privateers, sufficient encouragement for privateers, or the captains, officers, or others commanding or serving in their esties' ships of war, was not enacted or provided, May it therefore se your most Excellent Majesty that it may be enacted &c. That the Act intituled An Act for continuing the Acts for prohibiting all trade commerce with France, and for encouragement of privateers, (except uch thereof as doth enact the continuing the said Acts for the term in mentioned) be, from and after the first day of April in the of our Lord one thousand six hundred ninety six, repealed, lled, and made void to all intents and purposes whatsoever, which Acts thereby continued, and everything therein contained, except hereby is altered or otherwise enacted, shall be and continue in for the term of three years from the said first day of April, One sand six hundred ninety-six, if the present war with France so long last, and from thence to the end of the next Session of ament after the expiration of the said three years.

nd be it enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that all ships and ls with their ladings, and all goods and merchandizes that shall be or seized as prize by any of his Majesty's ships of war, or by hip or ships set forth as privateer or privateers, or otherwise, shall ported and brought into some port of his Majesty's dominions, mmediately without breaking of bulk put into the possession [of lajesty's Commissioners of the Customs or their officers or agents e said port, who] and custody of such persons as his Majesty shall nt and constitute Commissioners for receiving, selling and disg of prize ships and goods, or their officers and agents in the said who shall and are hereby ordered in all points strictly to observe brections of this Act, together with such rules and instructions better performance of their duty as shall from time to time be them by the Lord Treasurer or Lords Commissioners of the sury and Lord High Admiral of England or the Commissioners secuting the office of Lord High Admiral for the time being and ved of by his Majesty in Council, which Commissioners constituted resaid, or their officers and agents, together with two or more persons as the officers or mariners of the respective ships shall t, are to take care of and preserve the same from embezzlements such time as the same shall be adjudged lawful prize, except it shall appear to the Judge of the Admiralty that the goods taken

e Lords' Amendments (see C. J., XI. 534) in Committee (Com. Book, 11, 14, \approx ud 25 Feb., and Annexes (a) (b) and (c) to No. 994), are incorporated in t, the omissions being marked by square brackets, the additions by italics.

1695-6. No. 991. are perishable; in which ease the said Judge shall take bail for said goods, [in] or order [to] the sale thereof, as he might have before the making of this Act, yet so as to be subject to such may of sale [and distribution] as is particularly provided by this Act.

And be it enacted that, as soon as conveniently may be after any vessel, goods, and merchandize, taken by any of his Majesty's shi war, shall be adjudged lawful prize as aforesaid, or ordered to be by the Court of Admiralty, the same shall, by virtue of a Commi to be issued out of the Court of Admiralty and directed to the missioners [of his Majesty's Customs] constituted as aforesaid, or officers and agents, and the Commander of such ship of war, or other person or persons by him appointed under his hand and see in default of such appointment by the said Commander to such per as the Court of Admiralty shall nominate in his behalf, be so inch of candle to the best advantage, public notice being first give the quantities and qualities thereof, and of the time and place of sale, at least one and twenty days before the time of such sale, a Royal Exchange in London, and the most public place in the said where such prize is to be sold, an account of which sale shall be f with transmitted to the Court of Admiralty by such persons by such sale shall be made, and the produce thereof, after the Cus and other duties are paid, shall be divided into eight equal parts, of which eight parts shall be and remain to his Majesty (unless man of war shall be under the command of a flag-officer or comm in chief of a squadron, and in such case one fourth part of the three eighth parts to be and remain to the said flag officer or mander), one other eighth part to be equally divided between the at Chatham for the relief of sick and wounded seamen and the Brethren and Assistants of Trinity House for the relief of the w children, and parents of persons slain in his Majesty's service, at other four eighth parts shall be paid to the Treasurer of the Na be by him distributed and divided within fourteen days next after r thereof in manner following, (that is to say) one of the said four parts to the captain of the said ship, one other of the said four parts to the commission and warrant officers, masters, mates, and and the other two of the said four eighth parts to and amon rest of the inferior officers, seamen, and soldiers, or to their attor attornies authorized to receive the same, on board such ship whe prize was taken. But, if such prize ship so taken by a man of w condemned, as aforesaid, shall appear to be a French privateer, em only as a privateer and not loaden with any sort of goods or me dize, the same shall be sold by the captain of the ship which to same, or some person or persons by him appointed, and the money arising from such sale (except so much thereof as sh expended in proceeding against such prize in [the] any Co Admiralty [or elsewhere]) shall be divided and distributed between among the captain, officers, masters, pilots, seamen, and soldiers on board the said ship when such prize was taken, and the flag or commander-in-chief, in and by such share and proportion herein before directed and appointed.

And be it further enacted that, if such prize ship or vesse be taken or surprized by any privateer or privateers and cond as aforesaid, be the same privateer or merchant's ship, the together with the goods and merchandize therein, and the a cables, rigging, tackle, guns, ammunition, furniture, and belonging to such ship or vessel, shall be and remain to the and persons interested in such privateer or privateers

No. 991.

ment of the tenth of the value of such goods or merchandize, ships 1695-6. vessels so made prize, or any other sum or sums of money, to Lord High Admiral of England, or the Commissioners for cuting the Office of Lord High Admiral for the time being, or other person or persons whatsoever, except the usual Customs, ositions, and other duties which are hereby intended to be paid for orize goods and merchandize taken as well by privateers as men of and brought into Eugland], in the same manner as other goods and chandize do, and under the same penalties and forfeitures for not ig thereof as other goods and merchandize are by law liable to, prood that the same be inventoried and appraised, and that an account he product and sale thereof be forthwith given to the Court of niralty.

and, for a further encouragement to all captains, officers, or others manding or serving in any of his Majesty's ships of war, and to all Majesty's subjects that shall fit and send out any private ship or s of war during this present war, Be it enacted that, if any of his testy's said ships or privateers shall in fight take, sink, fire, or otherdestroy any ship of war or private man of war of or belonging to French King, or any of his subjects, or of any other in the actual ice of the French King, the captains, officers, seamen, and soldiers uch ship or ships of war, and the captain and persons interested in a privateer, shall receive for a reward forty shillings per ton and pounds for every gun mounted in any such ship so taken, sunk, rl, or destroyed, be the gun in either of the said cases iron or brass, ne paid by the Commissioners constituted as aforesaid out of his riesty's part of the prizes, and, if they shall not have sufficient money heir hands, then by the Receiver General of his Majesty's Customs of the money that shall be in his hands for the Customs of the goods of Majesty's subjects or of others rescued from the enemy [And out is Majesty's part of the prizes]; of all which the Commissioners of Majesty's Customs are hereby required to keep a distinct account. rovided that, if the prize be a French man of war and taken by one

his Majesty's ships of war or hired ships in his Majesty's pay, the 'y shillings per ton and ten pounds per gun shall be in lieu of all res of such French ship, her tackle, apparel, furniture, provision, " stores, it being the true intent and meaning hereof that the same Il be adjudged to his Majesty and delivered to the Commisssioners the Navy.

and be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that if ship or vessel shall be taken as prize to the southward of Cape isterre by any of his Majesty's ships of war or privateers, and ied into Cales or any place or port [beyond the seas] within the aits of Gibraltar, such prize notwithstanding shall be adjudged in High Court of Admiralty of England; but yet it shall and may be ful for such person or persons residing in the Mediterranean, or ter parts abroad, as shall be by Commission from his Majesty or the al Court of Admiralty empowered in that behalf, to examine vnesses and papers, and, according as the case shall appear to him or n, to proceed so far in the cause as to appraise and deliver such we [either to the captor or] to the claimer upon bail to stand to the ermination of the said Court of Admiralty, to whom all the examinais and papers are to be forthwith transmitted, that the cause may be ermined.

And be it further enacted that, if any ship or vessel, goods, or "chandize of any of his Majesty's subjects, taken by the enemy, shall ere-taken by any man of war, privateer, or other ship, boat, or vessel 1695 - 6.

No. 991.

before the same shall have been carried unto any of the enemy's poffects commanded by a flag-officer, or condemned as prize in Admiralty Court, and the owner or owners thereof shall make suff proof of the property thereof, the same shall be restored to the own owners, paying salvage, if re-taken by a man of war one sixteenth of the true value thereof to be wholly divided amongst the cap officers, seamen, soldiers, and commanders-in-chief, in such proportibefore is directed in other cases, but, if re-taken by a privateer, the pay for salvage one eighth part of the true value of such re-taken s goods, and vessels, clear of all charges.

And be it further enacted that the shares of prizes taken reward hereby given to the commanders, officers, seamen and so serving in any of his Majesty's ships of war shall be in lieu and satisfaction of all shares of prizes by them taken, as well in fig otherwise, during the present war, any thing in an Act made i thirteenth year of the late King Charles the Second, intituled Ar and Ordinances for the regulating and government of his Maje Navy, ships of war, and forces by sea, or any declaration or order his Majesty, to the contrary notwithstanding.

[And be it further enacted that all ships, derelicts, and ve taken since the beginning of this present war by any of his Majemen of war, privateers, or otherwise, and condemned as lawful or derelicts, or which shall be so taken and condemned although fo built, shall be esteemed and adjudged free, and have the privile, English ships, the owners and proprietors of such prize ships or ve paying such duties as have been heretofore used and paid fo making and allowing prize ships free ships of England, any statute, or usage to the contrary in any wise notwithstanding.]

And, for the encouragement of fitting out large privateers better to annoy the enemy, Be it enacted that, when a prize be taken or re-capture made by two or more private men of w company, the same prize or salvage for re-capture shall be diamongst the said privateers, in proportion to the number of gun men in them at the time of capture and re-capture; Provided the gun on board such privateer shall be esteemed within the meaning intention of this Act, unless such gun shall be mounted, and weight, and carry a three pound ball, and that two pates well fixed, each with two chambers, shall be esteemed as one gun

Provided always and be it enacted that, if any captain, c mariner, seamen, or others, belonging to any of his Majesty's sh war or privateers, shall embezzle, purloin, conceal, and convey aw cause or procure to be embezzled, purloined, concealed, or con away, any part of the rigging, tackle, sails, furniture, or apparel prize ship or vessel, or any part or parcel of the goods or mercha taken [in the hold or between decks laden upon freight of such therein, and sufficient proof be thereof made in the Co-Admiralty, the persons so offending, and every of them, shall their respective shares of such prizes and treble the value of goods and merchandizes, tackle, furniture and apparel so embezpurloined, and suffer six months' imprisonment, without bail or prize, which said forfeitures or embezzlements, in case the prize be taken by one or more of his Majesty's ships of war and conde shall be divided and go, one moiety thereof to the informer, a other moiety thereof to his Majesty. If taken by one or privateers and condemned, then one moiety thereof to the inform

1695-6. No. 991

cother moiety thereof to the owner or owners of such privateer or vateers, paying the duties and customs for such goods and merandizes so embezzled. But, if such prize shall not be condemned trestored, then the whole forfeiture shall be and go to the person or sons to whom such ship or vessel shall be restored. All which differentiates shall be recovered in the Court of Admiralty, or in any his Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, by bill, plaint, or comation, wherein no essoine, protection, privilege, or wager of law, where the more imparlance, shall be allowed.

And be it further enacted that, in case any ship or vessel, or any ods or merchandizes of the growth, manufacture, or product of the ninions of the French King, shall be taken by any privateer, vateers, or otherwise, fraudulently by consent or collusion, the said p or vessel, goods, and merchandizes so taken, as likewise the whole the respective shares of such privateer, privateers, boat, or vessel by ich the same shall be so fraudulently taken with the privity of the ner or owners, upon proof thereof in the Court of Admiralty, shall be clared and adjudged to be good prize and forfeited, one moiety thereof his Majesty, and the other moiety to the informer who shall discover the ne. And, fin case such ship or vessel, goods, or merchandize, as afored, shall be taken fraudulently by consent or collusion of the commander captain of any of his Majesty's ships of war, such commander or tain shall forfeit double the value of such ship or vessel, goods, or rchandize so taken, one moiety thereof to his Majesty's use, and the ver moiety to the informer, to be recovered by action of debt, bill, int, or information in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record, wherein essoine, protection, privilege, or wager of law, nor more than one parlance, shall be allowed; and the said goods and merchandize, ship vessel, tackle, furniture and apparel, guns and ammunition, so taken consent, fraud, or collusion, shall be and is hereby adjudged to be end prize unto his Majesty.

And be it further enacted that the captain or commander in ef of any of his Majesty's ships of war or privateers, who shall so the any prize by consent, fraud, or collusion, and be thereof lawfully evicted, shall suffer twelve months' imprisonment without bail or imprize, and for ever after be incapable to serve his Majesty in any ce or office whatsoever. It that the recognizances or the bonds ered into by the captain or captains of such privateer or privateers of his or their sureties be declared to be forfeited and immediately the execution according to the course of proceedings in the Courter Admiralty.

And be it further enacted that, when any commander of a vateer or other vessel shall seize as prize and bring in any ship or issel which upon trial shall be cleared, such commander of the vateer or other vessel, together with the said ship or vessel, shall be iswerable for and liable to make good to the claimers all embezzlets suffered by such ship or vessel brought up as aforesaid, to be overed, together with costs of suit, in the said Court of Admiralty.

Provided always, and it is hereby declared and enacted, that thing herein contained shall be taken or construed to alter or make d any article, matter, or thing contained in any league, truce, or aty, now or which shall be in force between his Majesty or any her Prince, State, or Government whatsoever.

And, for the encouragement of such persons duly authorized [by (b) Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs] as shall make discovery

1095-6. No. 991. and seize any goods of the growth, product, or manufacture of Fr. imported into any of his Majesty's dominions contrary to the intent and meaning of this present Act, Be it enacted, by the author aforesaid, that all such goods so imported and seized shall be [. for and prosecuted in his Majesty's Court of Exchequer in such ma and form as is provided by an Act made in the thirteenth fourteenth years of the reign of the late King Charles the Sec Intituled An Act for preventing Frauds in his Majesty's Custor proceeded against and condemned in the Court of Admiralty; thirds of the said goods to be for the use of his Majesty [his heirs successors as perquisites of the Admiralty (they defraying the ch of the prosecution of such goods), and one third to the prosecutor, no composition to be made for his Majesty's part upon any preto whatsoever. All the said goods to be secured and sold in such ma as prize goods are by this Act appointed to be secured and sold, law or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

Provided always that every sum or sums of money paid the Treasury of the Navy by virtue of this Act shall be forth certified by the Treasurer, his eashier, or paymaster, to the Comsioners of the Navy, who are to charge him therewith, and to disch him by the payments duly made on the muster books or pay be to the parties entitled to the several proportions before mentioned.

+ And, for preventing abuses practised by privateers pretendin take as prize ships or vessels being within his Majesty's ports, river, havens, Be it enacted that, where any ship or vessel shall be take any of his Majesty's ports, rivers, or havens, by any privateer, prize upon condemnation thereof shall be and belong wholly entirely to his Majesty as a perquisite of the Admiralty of England the said captors to have such part or proportion thereof only his Majesty shall think fit to allow.

Provided always that this Act or anything therein contained and disamud, alter, or prejudice the just rights, customs, liberties privileges of the Cinque Ports, and the towns and members thereo the rights, authority, and jurisdiction belonging to the office of I Warden and Admiral of the Cinque Ports, [subject nevertheless to rules and directions in this Act, and so as the said Lord Warden Admiral shall not have any other or greater share in any prize to within the jurisdiction of the Admiralty of the Cinque Ports than a sherein reserved or appointed to his Majesty within the jurisdiction of the Admiralty elsewhere, according to the limitations, direct and distributions of this Act, and not otherwise] anything in this to the contrary notwithstanding.

p Provided always, and be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, this present Act shall commence on the first day of April, one thow vix hundred ninety six, and continue in force from that day for the of three years, if the present war with France shall so long last, from thence to the end of the next Session of Parliament. And, whe some doubt hath arisen when the aforesaid Act of Parliament, intit An Act for continuing the Acts for prohibiting all trade and comm with France and for the encouragement of privateers, was intended commence, Be it declared and enacted by the authority afore, that the said Act was not intended to commence and did not comm before the fourteenth of March, one thousand six hundred ninety-provided that nothing in this Act shall null or make void the

^{*} In Com. Book "imported, landed."

ences given concerning the ship Young Prince and the ship 1695 - 6. No. 991.

Modeste, otherwise called the Gilbert or Dartmouth Merchant. I be it further enacted that, where any ship or vessel shall be taken prize in the West Indies or America, it shall be lawful for the tors to carry such ship or vessel into any of his Majesty's ports in of his islands or plantations there, and to put the same into the session of the Chief Governor of such respective islands or retations, there to remain and be kept as aforesaid until the same Il be condemned or discharged, or otherwise lawfully disposed of, such persons as shall be lawfully commissioned in that behalf. I be it further enacted that, in case any ship or vessel shall be on as prize in the East Indies, or in any place beyond the Cape of and Hope, it shall be lawful for the captors to carry such ship or el into any port or place where any English factory is established, to put the same into the possession of the Governor or chief son of the said factory, to be preserved, as aforesaid, until demnation by such persons as shall be lawfully commissioned in t behalf.

Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Commons and read 1ª day. (L. J., XV. 650.) On Jan. 31 counsel were heard at the Bar n the Petition of Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries and ers (No. 994). Sir Thomas Powys: This Bill overturns several ties that have been made in relation to trade. As this Bill is, en any ship is seized, she must be put into the custody of the Custom use Officers. The mariners will be turned out before the trial. This very hard. The master and mariners must be turned out. The ishable goods are to be sold and the owners not made parties t. There is a clause empowers the Commissioners of the Customs unload perishable goods upon the capture. 1670, Denmark Treaty. '67 with the States General. Sir Bartholomew Shore: The evances our clients have lain under were from the Commissioners Prizes. We think it worse than the other Commissioners, [the mmissioners of Customs. It is put into those hands without any urity to the traders. '67, '74 treaties. It is put into the hands as the stom House Officers appoint. No provision for abuses committed privateers in ports. (MS. Min.) Then the Bill was read 2ª and nmitted to C. W. H., and the clerks were ordered to search precedents the House giving Instructions to a Committee of the whole House. S. Min.; L. J., XV. 556.)

In Feb. 7 the Clerks produced two precedents. The House then ent into Committee with L. Ferrers in the chair. The Bill was isidered, and referred to a Select Committee, with an Instruction the Committee to take care that nothing in the Bill be prejudicial to eign treaties. The Committee to have power to send for Sir Charles adges and other persons, an Order being made for Sir Charles to end and prepare any new clauses for encouraging privateers. (MS. n.; L. J., XV. 663.) The Committee, with E. Rochester in the air, considered the Bill on Feb. 11, 14 & 17, made various amendments dicated above), and postponed certain clauses, so far as they related

the Commissioners of Customs.

On 11 Feb. it was agreed to insert a clause providing that the Act ould continue for three years, and another declaring the time of the

nmencement of the last Act. (See Annex (c) to No. 994.)

On 14 Feb. a clause was ordered to be drawn up giving to the King men of war captured by men of war, paying for guns &c., and other for privateers to carry their prizes to be tried in the antations.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 991. On 17 Feb. the proposed proviso (See Annex (b) to No. 994) related the Cinque Ports was postponed till Lord Romney should be pre It was Ordered that the House be moved that the Commissio Prizes be laid before the Committee (see L. J., XV. 672), and Charles Hedges brought up clauses drawn by him.

On 19 Feb. the last clause relating to the Warden of the Ci Ports is read. Sir Charles Hedges speaks to the inconvenience of clause as it is. Mr. Dobyns (for the Lord Warden).—This is enacting clause, it only saves our right. Dr. Littleton (for the miralty).—This clause takes away that that is inherent in the Admiral, and gives it to the Lord Warden. It is implicitly an enaction clause. A memorandum is made that the House be moved, on repo the Bill, that the words ("together with costs of suit") may be left The Commissioners of Prizes, in pursuance of the Order made by House on the 17th (L. J., XV. 672), lay before the Committee Commission, dated 27 Sept., 6 W. & M., which is read. They are a what instructions they have had from the King in relation to pr Sir Roger Langley. We never had any instructions from the l other than the Commission. Question: By what authority take prizes? Sir Roger: Our authority is from the Commission, or Decrees of the Admiralty. They act by virtue of the Act of Parlia relating to privateers. Before that, they acted by virtue of a declar or proclamation. They are directed to bring the declaration or clamation and the first Commission on Saturday. On 22 Feb. Commissioners of the Prizes shew their first Commission. say they had no other Commission. Both are given to them h They give in a printed declaration, dated 23 May 1689, which read and returned to them. Agreed that the Commissioners of Customs throughout the Bill be left out, and Commissioners of P be instead thereof, and the said Commissioners to be subject to instructions of the Admiralty, and Sir Charles Hedges to preclauses to that purpose against Tuesday next, and to have the Bill amendments with him. On 25 Feb. Sir Charles Hedges offers amendments to the Bill, which, being read in the several p where they are to be inserted, are agreed to. (Com. Book.) The was reported, and the amendments agreed to, on the same day. (I XV. 682.) On 28 Feb. it was returned to the Commons. (Ib. 6 On 6 April the Lord Privy Seal reported from the Confer that they had received the Commons' reasons (See Annex (No. 994) for disagreeing to certain of the Lords' amendments. (I XV. 728.) On 14 April the House decided to insist upon the amendment, and a Committee was appointed to draw reasons insisting upon this and certain other amendments. (Ib. 735.) and 22 April the House ordered that the said Committee shoul revived, and that Sir Charles Hedges and some of the Commission of Customs should attend. (Ib. 738, 741.)
On 23 April the Committee met; E. Torrington in the chair.

On 23 April the Committee met; E. Torrington in the chair. Commissioners of the Customs and Sir Charles Hedges are pre The Commissioners say their whole time at the Custom Hous taken up about the Customs, and if this work should be put on the service would suffer. That the Officers of the outports that now employed have pay from the Commissioners of the Prizes. multiplication of duties by new Acts takes up so much time that shall not be able to execute the business of Prizes; and, if new of be added to them, they must divide themselves, and they sh then be a sort of Commissioners of Prizes by a new name. Res

agreed on for the Lords insisting on several of their amendments h were ordered to be reported. (Com. Book.) On 24 April the ous (Annex (e) to No. 994) were reported by E. Torrington upon debate recommitted to the same Committee for further conation; the Committee to meet on April 29. (L. J., XV. 744.) er proceedings, the prorogation taking place on April 27.]

2. Jan. 25. Chamberlain's Estate Act. — Draft of an Act to e Trustees to exchange lands of Sir James Chamberlain, Bart., fant, lying in the Common Hill or Field of Salford in the County con, for like quantities of lands there, in order to the making an sure. No Amendment in either House. [Read la this day; al Assent 7 March. L. J., XV. 652, 697. 7 & 8 Will. III. c. 30 ong Cal. See also Com. Book, Feb. 1, 5.] mexed :-

(a) 5 Feb.—Letter from Dame Margaret Chamberlain, mother of Sir James, to Mr. Anthony Ward, Furnival's Inn, consenting to the Bill. Dated Salford, I Feb. 1695-6. [Read this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.

3. Jan. 25. Small Tithes Recovery Act (7 & 8 Will. III. c. 6). aft Proviso, as follows :- Provided always and be it enacted that erson or persons hereafter shall be excommunicated for or by on of his her or their withholding or refusing to pay any small is not exceeding forty shillings. Endorsed as offered this day to ided to the Bill, and rejected. MS. Min.

'he Bill came up from the Commons on 16 Jan. (L. J., XV. 642), was considered this day in C. W. II., when the Title and Preamble read and postponed; the first enacting Clause read and agreed the several Clauses in the Bill read and agreed to, as to small s; the above Proviso offered, read, and rejected; the next Clause and agreed to, concerning removal out of the County (§ 10); the se concerning dividing the last year's tithes to the next Incumbent ne Justices agreed to be left out. The other Clauses read and agreed A Proviso (Annex a) was offered and agreed to. After (Costs) 3] add Clause A (Annex a). The last Clause read and agreed to. mble & Title agreed to. The motion to recommit the Bill on Jan. was negatived by 33 votes and one proxy to 30 votes, Tellers sandwich and E. Thanet. (MS. Min., Jan. 25, 27.) The Bill ved the Royal Assent on 13 Feb. (L. J., XV. 668).]

(a) 25 Jan.—Paper containing a rough draft and fair copy of a Proviso offered and added this day to the Bill as Clause A. forms § 14 of the Act. MS. Min.

14. Jan. 25. Trade with France Prohibition Bill .- Petition of ral Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries whose names are cribed, on behalf of themselves and divers other merchants, ing to be heard by Counsel against the Bill, which would, if it ed as it is now worded, be a great prejudice not only to Petitioners, to Trade in general. Signed Theodore Jacobsen, Will. Benson, Bloome, Tho: Stiles, Paul Darby, Peter Joye, David Debary, Josh. Martin. [Read this day, and Counsel ordered to be heard. ., XV. 652. See No. 991.]

unexed: -

(a) 25 Feb.—Lords' Amendments to the Bill. C. J., XI. 534. In extenso. [Made in Committee, 11, 14, 17, 19, and 25 Feb. (Com. Book), and reported this day (L. J., XV. 682). Noted 1695 - 6. No. 991.

1695-6. No. 994. in margin are the Resolutions of the Commons agreeing of agreeing with them, and the Resolutions of the Lords insi on or desisting from them].

(b) 25 Feb.—Engrossed Proviso, marked +, incorporated in of No. 991. [Added in Committee, before the Clause rel to the Cinque Ports, on 17 Feb. (Com. Book), and reported ordered to be engrossed this day. (L. J., XV. 682.) agreed to by the Commons on 27 March. (C. J., XI. 534. (c) 25 Feb.—Engrossed Clauses, marked φ, incorporated in

(c) 25 Feb.—Engrossed Clauses, marked φ, incorporated in of No. 991. [Added in Committee at the end of the on 17 Feb. (Com. Book), and reported and ordered tengrossed this day. (L. J., XV. 682.) Agreed to by

Commons. (C. J., XI. 534)]

(d) 6 April.—Commons' Reasons for disagreeing to fourter the Amendments made by the Lords. [Agreed to by the I of Commons 3 April (C. J., XI. 543, in extenso), and commonted to the Lords at the Conference this day. (L. J., XV.7)

(e) 24 April.—Lords' Reasons for insisting upon eight of Amendments. [Reported from the Committee appoint prepare reasons by E. Torrington this day, and recommit

the same Committee (L. J., XV. 744).]

995. Jan. 27. Oldys v. Domville.—Copy of Writ of Error Transcript of Record brought in this day (L. J., XV. 653), with of Judgment given 10 March attached. (L. J., XV. 701, in extension William Oldys, Doctor of Laws, King's Advocate in the Earl shal's Court of Chivalry, brought a suit in that Court before I Duke of Norfolk against Charles Domville for having infringe rights of Henry St. George, Knt. and Clarencieux King of Arm painting a coat of arms for the coffin of the wife of one Barkste Chelsea, to which the latter had no right, and marshalling her fi in August 1691, and the same for Elizabeth Godfrey, daugh Michael Godfrey of Woodford, and for William Sprignoll at Hig in the same year. The Court of Exchequer granted a prohibit stop the proceedings of Oldys in the Court of Chivalry, and the of Error was brought to reverse the Judgment of the Cov Exchequer. [On 22 Feb., at the Hearing, Mr. Serj. Darnell o This is the same Court which was held before the the cause. stable and Marshal. He cites precedents of holding the Court the Earl Marshal. Courts of Honour have been held for 160 back. L. Howard, Hen. VIII. 172, Tho: Howard. The Earl M. alone may hold his Court as well as if a Constable. Sidefin's 363. Dent 25, Q. Mr. Beresford for Plaintiff: Your Lord have vindicated the honour of this Court by committing person did not obey it. If a man comes here and wages war, he can be only in the Marshal's Court. The question is whether the Cour before the Earl Marshal is not as large and powerful as befo Constable, and whether this matter is not only cognizable before Court. Dr. Sutton's Case. The main point is whether a probi lies to the Court of Honour from any other Court whatsoever. 600 years the title of Marshal has been honourable. The Co Constable and Marshal runs into the Counties Palatine. Hub. Re They cannot produce one precedent of a prohibition to the Co Honour. The King's writs do not run in the County Palati Chester. The Court of Star Chamber sat without the jurist of other Courts. He cites several cases. Spelman's Glossary Sir Bartholomew Shore, for Domville: There is nothing in thi

1695-6. No. 995.

he King's honour is concerned in. The question is, Sir Henry teorge pretends only to marshal a funeral. My client has a pall, ts it out for 20s. Sir H. St. George says, I should have had 20l. the question whether there be any such Court as Earl Marshal's ig, we say there is none. The cases cited by the other Counsel ere is not also. 4 Inst., 125; 1 Inst., 74. The Constable is the In all the statutes that relate to it, it is so, before the Conand Marshal. We say the statutes take notice of it as a Court mmon Law. We have declarations of Parliament that the Council is not a Court of Law. We insist a prohibition does lie Court. It is but a limited jurisdiction. Prohibitions are daily d to the Court of Chester and other Palatines. They grant itions to the Admiralty and other Courts. Rolls Ric., 313. Dr. is Case. Mr. Phipps, on the same side: We say the Earl al alone cannot make a Court. Let them show where ever the Marshal had power by law. They have no power by Act of ment, we say. The Appeal slept for want of a Constable. it was ordered That the Speaker report what was said this day sdnesday next, and the Judges to attend, and D. Norfolk to have el if he think fit. On 4 March the Speaker (L.C.J. of Common reported what Counsel had offered at the Bar, and the House into debate of this matter. D. Norfolk moved for a day to be to satisfy the Judges that he has a right to hear and judge in cases. Question proposed, Whether the Earl Marshal's Court is he same as it was when there was a Constable and Marshal ? Whether the King's Bench does not send prohibitions upon that come before themselves? Proposed to consider whether n the power of the Privy Council to direct a Privy Seal or not? . King's Bench: The question is whether the Act of 16 Car. II., ikes away the Star Chamber, takes away this clause of the Privy I desire some time to see the Privy Seal, whether it was before uncil as a Court of Common Law. D. Norfolk says his Counsel attend the Judges. Judges then ordered to be heard on 9th. 10 March L.C.J. King's Bench heard: Six of us met, and we f opinion that the Act of Rich. II. is repealed by the Act of r. II. (He gives their reasons for it): So there can be no proceeding ation to the Court of the Earl Marshal. If this prohibition be lowed, the Subject has no remedy. The House went into debate s business. Judges heard as to what Courts they send prohibi-If any Court whatsoever exceeds its jurisdiction, we send pitions, as to the Court of Admiralty or other Courts; and this

If any Court whatsoever exceeds its jurisdiction, we send pitious, as to the Court of Admiralty or other Courts; and this common Law directs us, though they be new Courts, and into this Palatine. After Debate, Judgment affirmed.* MS. Min. nexed:—

a) 12 Feb.—Petition of William Oldys, Doctor of Laws, the Plaintiff, for a day for hearing. L. J., XV. 667.

his day the Lords . . . debated the Duke of Norfolk's case with the painters, and gave it against his grace. Luttrell 10 March.

1695-6. 996. Jan. 28. Accounts Commissioners.—Book entitled the Feast of St. Michael 10

No. 95

Page 1.

Fage 1.			
RECEIPTS. The GENERAL ACCO	OUNT for the Year	1695.	
Remains of Uash at Michaelmas 1694:— At the Exchequer, page 3 At the Custom House on Coinage, page 23 At the Excise Office, including the Balance of Salt.	£ s. d. 197,245 10 5 3,445 1 2\frac{3}{2} 21,010 17 9\frac{1}{2} 752 3 2	£ s. d.	1
At the Post Office		222,453 12 71	
More charged to the Exchequer, but not allowed there till the week after Michaelmas 1694, viz.:—			
At the Custom House on Customs On Coffee and Tea On New Impositions On Additional Impositions On Tonnage	4,779 19 32 444 13 11 10,099 16 10 815 13 115 1,898 7 92	18,038 11 93	
The Receipts within the time of this accompt were, viz.:— £ \$. d. At the Custom House on Old 151,593 1 03 Oustoms, page 15. On New Customs 347,047 7 43		20,000 12 14	240,
On Coinage, page 23 On Coffee and Tea, Old Duty 1,299 7 9 New for Transports - 2,528 5 8	498,640 8 43 8,351 9 31 3,827 13 5		
	510,819 11 1		
Tonnage, page 25	81,638 0 23		
On New Impositions viz.:— East India Goods, page 21 - 159,674 2 103 Wines 145,186 7 103 Tobacco 99,852 17 83	404,593 8 6}		
Additional Impositions	48,829 17 10 2,250 0 0	1,048,130 17 8	
At the Excise Office, on Old 448,592 1 103 Excise, page 27. On Low Wines 12,454 9 5	461,046 11 3 1		
Additional 9d. and Double 9d Double 21d. and 30d Excise for 99 years Additional Impost on Brandy Excise on Salt, page 31	461,046 11 31 289,549 4 82 595 5 0 146,400 17 11 8,440 11 51 60,692 5 01	9 6 6,72 4 15 5 \	
At the Post Office, page 33	_	966,724 15 54 87,912 9 9 36,819 4 24	
On Small Branches, pages 7, 9, 11 On Arrears of Taxes, &c., pages 11 & 13 On Grants for the Year 1695, page 13, viz.:—	2,031,322 19 9	30,017 4 25	
Sale of Annuities to 96 167,003 0 6 years. Annuities lapsed from 10,445 0 0			
24 July 1695.			
Third 4s. Aid 781,212 16 8	958,660 17 2	0.000.000.10.11	
		2,989,983 16 11	
To which add what has been received by Loans more than has been repaid within the time of this accompt, page 2A. On Tallies of Anticipation on 488,597 16 11	1,420,377 5 4	5,129,571 3 11 3	ı
On Tallies of Anticipation on 488,597 16 11 Excise, viz., Loans. On Pensions 3,875 0 0			
At the Post Office	492,472 16 11 54,531 12 9	1,967,384 15 0	
Transported -	_	_	7,096

ral State of Receipts and Payments of the Public Revenue between east of St. Michael 1695. 1695-6.

e z.								
The General Acco	OUNT for the	Year	1695.			PAYME	NTS	S.
e Right Hon. Edward Russell, Esq., out Excise, for building 27 ships, page 4. e Hon. Charles Bertie, Esq., for Ord-	£ _ s.	d.	£ 85,500		0	£	8.	đ.
ce for the said Ships.	_					97,500	0	0
ie said Edward Russell, Esq., for the rent service of the Navy,	1,812,123 13	43						
e said Charles Bertie, for Ordnance for said service of the Navy.	198,517 16	4	9.010.041	9	08			
e Right Hon. Richard E. of Ranelagh, the Land Forces.	2,495,694 17	13	2,010,641	8	84			
nar. Fox, Esq., and Tho. Ld. Coningesby, ditto.	63,229 9	63						
he said Charles Bertie, Esq., for the	2,558,924 6 206,323 4	71						
.in.			2,765,247	11	0]	1,775,889	0	9
e Charge of the Civil Government, viz.:- Edward Nicholas, Esq., Treasurer to	54,857 14	3				4,873,389	0	9
ler late Majesty, page 4. Bartholomew Burton, for Her Majesty's tock in the Bank,	8,000 0	0						
ecret Service to sundry persons, as per	_		60,857 23,430		0			
ecret Service to sundry persons, as per ticulars. Tuy Purse, page 6	=		41,000 53 ,764	0 16	0			
varurone tobes - faster of the Horse fofferer reasurer of the Chamber vorks ardens	. =		4,000 37,927 128,118	15	0			
lofferer			61,803	16	0			
Works - · · ·	=		35,982 3,228	18	61 10			
CWGIS WILL LINGS	_		15,176	2	10			
Law Suits	_		3,050 6,000	0	0			
At the Exchequer, page 8 At the Custom House, page 18	79,384 1 5,586 2	63	84,970	2	108			
Pensions	10.050.10		02,010		103			
At the Exchequer, page 8 At the Custom House, page 16	43,250 16 947 8	0						
Excise Office— £ s. d. For the Queen Dowager, 13,709 15 2 page 30.								
page 30. For the Princess Anne- For the Bailiffs of Yar- mouth.								
Post Office, page 36 - · · · ·	67,369 15 19,550 0	0	131 117	10	89			
ties and Free Gifts, page 8 assadors, Envoys, &c.— the Exchequer		Į	131,117 18,004	19	95			
the Custom House, page 18	45,004 0 680 0	0	45,684	0	1			
ards for Services	=		5,374	8 9 4	73 15 113 113			
rehending and prosecuting Highwaymen onery Ware, page 10	} =		2,475 4,177	12	3			
paid William Tottery	_		375 5 200	0	0			
ards for Services ards to Receivers lus of Accounts rehending and prosecuting Highwaymen onery Ware, page 10 paid ges of managing the Million Lottery e Commission for the Bank ges of passing Privy Seals and Commis- ns. couting Clippers and Lodging Prisoners in the Tower, &c.	=		4,091 632	0	10			
ecuting Clippers and Lodging Prigoners in the Tower As	=		50 1,550	0 8	0 7			
and Lodging Prisoners in the Tower, &c. citing of Taxes for Deer in Windsor Forest	-		200	0	0			
for Deer in Windsor Forest ges at an Installation at Windsor	=		100 53	0 4	6	784,356	18	42
Transported -	-		-			5,657,745	_	Lg

1695 - 6.

Page 1-cont.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

The GENERAL ACCOUNT	ST for the	Yea	r 16	95—cont.			
¹ Transported -	£	\$.	d.	£_	s.	a.	£ 7,337,4
From whence deduct— For Salaries and Incidents at the Excise	_			26,780	5	3	
Office, page 32. For Debentures, Salaries and Incidents at the	-			135,)33	3	31/2	
Custom House, on Customs. For Allowances on New Impositions, page 22 On Coinage, page 24				9,686 148		71 61	
On Additional Impositions, page 22 - For Salaries and Incidents at the Post Office,	10,979	7	0	3,982	5	5	
page 36. For Charge of Packet Boats	13,312	12	2	24,291	19	2	
For Incidents on Tonnage, page 28 - On Salt Duty Allowance for Herrings Ex-	1,234	15	в	731		6	
ported, page 32. Salaries and Incidents	389	3	2	1,623	10	0	
				1,025	18	-	203,
wned by Sir Robert Howard, viz.:— Arrears of Customs 4½ per cent.	1,165 7,500	0	0				7,134,
In several Articles, \(\frac{1}{d} \). each	- 0	0	91	8,665			
fore by the Hereditary and Temporary Excise, as by the State thereof.				840	15	1112	9,
							7,143,
			ı				
Page 1A.							
			_	20.00 1 3	100		00.0
The GENERAL ACCOUNT of MONEY borrows	ed on LOA	NS f	rom	28 Sept		d.	
Borrowed on the following Funds, viz.:				2	0.	20.	1

Hereditary and Temporary Excite:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 To 29 March 1695 To 28 June To 28 Sept. - + 95,151 14 9½ 96,075 13 4 344,193 13 10½ 37,796 14 8½ 573,21 Letter Money:-2,000 2,177 3,365 0 0 3 0 2,177 8 3 3,365 0 0 76,123 2 5 1 83,66 Paper, Parchment, &c.:-From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 24,68 New Customs : -472,039 19 500,956 6 272,959 19 5 21 41 41 1,245,9 1,927,47

Transported

1695-6.

No. 996.

The GENERAL ACCOUNT for the Year 1695-cont.

Transported 5,60	£ 57,745	3. 19	d. 13
nuities of 14 per cent. on the Million — 128,883 6 4	7,457		0
ct. page 10. On Surviverships 10,673 3 7			
On Tonnage, page 12 22,804 6 9 On Lotteries 25,841 2 3 5			
	88,201	18	111
est— the Exchequer, page 12 369,711 9 64;			
the Excise Office, page 32 14,375 17 4 the Custom House, page 20 450 0 0			
the Post Office, page 36 144 6 10	84,681	19	0.1
es paid this year which were struck the	100,001	10	oğ
ast, and included in that Account, viz.:- the Excise Office 225,199 1 02			
the Custom House 1,203 11 6			
the Post Office 22,205 9 2	48,608	1	83
6.4	86,695	3	61
at the Custom House, Loans formerly on - 15,000 0 0	,		-4
re to Mr. Knight, advanced by him last - 12,144 6 72			
year.	27,144	6	7 9
6.5	13,839	10	2
h remaining at Michaelmas 1695	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20	-
the Exchequer, page 14 544,460 16 103 the Excise Office, abating 21, 0s. 1d. in 15,229 10 113			
year former, page 32.			
t the Custom House, page 20 22,225 16 112 t the 1 ost Office, page 36 794 19 10			
a Salt Duty, page 32 1,152 9 0			
1 Coinage, page 24 6,515 0 113 590,378 14 73			
e charged at the Exchequer, not there allowed till the week after Michaelmas			
1695, viz. :—			
ew Customs 5,600 0 0 ew Impositions 28,043 16 1			
dditional Impositions 1,622 10 3			
onnage 4,078 8 7			
offee and Tea 221 17 0 39,566 11 11			
	329,945	6	61
7,1	143,781	16	81

Page 2A.

The GENERAL ACCOUNT of REPAYMENT of LOAN with Interest, from 26 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695.

					Principal.	Total.	Interest.
d in satisfaction of Funds undern				ral	_	******	
Customs :—					£ . 1. d.	£ s. d.	£ . s. d.
From 28 Sept. 1692 To 29 March 1695 To 28 June - To 28 Sept	to 29 Dec		•	1 1 1 1	50,948 13 13 5,248 5 11 11,000 0 0 3,400 0 0	70,596 19 0	8,271 2 5 2,190 3 7 4,194 6 4 1,712 9 7
lereditary and Tempe	rary Exc	ise:—					
From 28 Sept. to 29 To 29 March 1695 To 28 June To 28 Sept.	: :				80,151 14 9½ 88,575 13 4 351,693 13 10½ 37,796 14 8½	558,217 16 8	
	Tra	nsport	ed	-		628,814 15 9	16,368 1 11

1695-6. No. 996.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

. Acce	OUN	TS	Cor	ммі	SSIO	NE	ERS.		
Page 1A—cont.									
The GENERAL ACCO	UNT	of I	MONE	Y bo	rrowe	d oı			
Borrowed on the following Funds, vi	z. :	-cont.	Tr	ansp	orted	-	£ _ s	. d.	1,927,473
Third 4s. Aid:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1 To 28 June To 28 Sept	695		-		-	-	1,360,427 1 247,573 1 67,070	9 9 3 0 0 0	
Marriages, Births, &c.:- From 29 March to 28 June 1695 To 28 Sept.	7 4	-	-				457,966 1 93,166	1 8 8 1	1,675,071
Coals, Glass, &c.:-							000.050.1		551,132
From 29 March to 28 June 1695 To 28 Sept	-	-	-		-	-	206,056 1 182,238	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	388,294
Annuities:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695	-		-	ть С	-				206,678
Exchequer in General:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 To 29 March 1696	-	٠.		-			453,260 319,002	3 10 2 6	
			Trar	spor	ted	_			772,262 5,520,913

: 2A-cont.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 996.

The GENERAL ACCOUNT of REPAYMENT of LOANS, &c. -continued.

230 322					Principal.	Total.	Interest.
							-
	Trai	spor	ted		£ s. d.	£ 3. 628,814 16	
r satisfaction of Funds undermer	Loans of	the	sev-	eral			
r Money :							
om 28 Sept. to 29 29 March 1695 28 Sept	Dec. 169		-		2,000 0 0 2,177 8 3 28,241 15 4		
ndia Goods :-						32,419 3 7	
om 28 Sept. to 29 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694	:		:	38,426 13 01 24,635 0 81 42,631 10 2	=	4,065 13 4 2,610 2 2 2,914 5 0
28 June - 28 Sept	: :				39,929 15 9	<u>-</u>	2,421 0 8
inued Impositions						145,622 19 8	
om 28 Sept. to 29					30,000 0 0	_	1,570 6 5
29 March 1695		•	٠	•	1,719 14 94	31,719 14 9	93 19 5
inued Acts and Jos	int Stocks	:					2
om 28 Sept. to 29 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694	-	-	-	35,000 0 0	_	12,130 18 9 9,909 12 5
28 June -			-	-	60,800 0 0	_	9,125 13 6
· 28 Sept		•	•	•	49,800 0 0	145,600 0 0	6,816 19 7
itional Excise:-							
om 28 Sept. to 29 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694		:	-	32,525 12 11 38,908 7 101	_	10,520 1 2 8,277 6 10
· 28 June: -		-		-	40,100 0 0	-	6,706 17 5
· 28 Sept		•	-	-	44,785 15 93	156,319 16 7	7,185 15 3
itional Impositions							
om 28 Sept. to 29 22 March 1695	Dec. 1694		•		1,500 0 0	=	7,001 0 4 7,625 11 5
28 June - 28 Sept		-	٠		12,550 0 0		13,296 0 11 5,753 17 6
		•	•	•		14,050 0 0	0,100 11 0
! 4s. Aid :					2,000 0 0		1 050 10 10
om 28 Sept. to 29 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694	-	.,		105 2 2		1,656 18 10 947 0 0
28 June - 28 Sept			•		1,000 0 0	****	890 8 5 1,091 17 1
		•	•			3,105 2 2	1,002 1. 1
nd 4s. Aid:					420,375 7 11		20,128 0 3
om 28 Sept. to 29 129 March 1695 128 June	Dec. 1694				477,093 14 23		22,754 3 6
28 June - 29 Sept		-	-	-	98,971 9 6° 14,850 0 0		4,685 9 3 828 15 11
	•					1,011,290 11 7	2
r, Parchment, &c.	.— Doc. 169.t				12,300 0 0		1,706 16 10
29 March 1695				-	7,762 10 0		5,485 10 6
28 June - 28 Sept		-		•	9,000 0 0 5,000 0		3,591 5 3 6,241 9 4
Customs:-				1		34,062 10 0	
om 29 March to 28	June 168	95			100,000 0 0		1,215 12 6
28 Sept		-	-	-	120,000 0 0	220,000 0 0	3,329 18 7
d 4s. Aid :						220,000 0 0	
om 29 March to 28 28 Sept.	June 16	95	-		312,406 10 6 419,800 2 6	732,206 13 0	4,157 16 2 12,053 12 11
equer in General,	viz.:					732,206 13 0	
et of 3rd 4s. Aid.							1.
From 29 March to	28 Jane 1	1695			622,096 13 0		4,840 13 10
	Tran	sport	ed	*	622,096 13 0	3,155,211 7 2	229,998 12 8
							T

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

Page 1A-cont.

-	£	s.	d.	5,520
			-	
		2		
			2	2

An ACCOUNT of so much of the NET PRODUCE of the REVENUE and TAXES as was brothe Exchequer from 28 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695.

Received more of the following branches, viz.;— \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Customs:— \$\frac{1}{2}\$ \$\text{\$L\$}\$ s. \$\text{\$d\$}\$. From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 48,627 18 10\frac{1}{2}\$ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 7,069 3 5 To 28 June 15,365 15 9\frac{1}{2}\$ 76,649 19 4\frac{1}{2}\$ \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Customs:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 2,000 0 0 Arrears of Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9\frac{1}{2}\$ March 1695. To 28 June 99,289 2 2\frac{1}{2}\$ To 28 Sept. = - 108,912 - 1\frac{1}{2}\$ 225,000 0 1\frac{1}{2}\$ 304,814 19 6 New Impositions, viz.;— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 3\frac{1}{2}\$ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 2\frac{1}{2}\$ To 28 Sept. = - 43,556 8 9	Remaining in Cash the 28 Sept. 1694	£ s. d.	£ _ s. d.	197
From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 48;827 18 10½ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 7,069 3 5 To 28 June - 15,385 15 9½ To 28 Sept 5,587 1 3½ 76,649 19 4½ 2 Cuttoms:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 - 2,000 0 0 Arrears of Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 16,798 17 9½ March 1695. To 28 June - 99,289 2 2½ To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1½ 225,000 0 1½ 304,814 19 6 New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 3½ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 2½ To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	Received more of the following branches, viz.:			
1694. To 29 March 1695 - 7,069 3 5 To 28 June - 15,365 15 9½ To 28 Sept 5,587 1 3½ 76,649 19 4½ ½ Customs:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 - 2,000 0 0 Arrears of Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9½ March 1695. To 28 June - 99,289 2 2½ To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1½ 225,000 0 1½ 304,814 19 6 New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 8½ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 2½ To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	•			
To 28 June 15,365 15 9\frac{1}{2} To 28 Sept 5,587 1 3\frac{1}{2} 76,649 19 4\frac{1}{2} \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Cuttoms:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 2,000 0 0 Arrears of Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 28 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9\frac{1}{2} March 1695. To 28 June 99,289 2 2\frac{1}{2} To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1\frac{1}{2} 225,000 0 1\frac{1}{2} 304,814 19 6 New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 8\frac{1}{2} 1694. To 29 March 1695 24,410 8 0 To 28 June 48,375 16 2\frac{1}{2} To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	, 1694.			
Louioms:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 2,000 0 0 Arrears of Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9½ March 1696. To 28 June 99,289 2 2½ To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1½ 225,000 0 1½ New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 3½ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 2½ To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	To 28 June 15,365 15 93			
From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 2,000 0 0 Arrears of Customs:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9½ March 1696. To 28 June 99,289 2 2½ To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1½ 225,000 0 1½ New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 3½ 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 2½ To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9		76,649 19 43		
From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,165 0 0 New Customs:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9½ March 1695. To 28 Sept 99,289 2 2½ To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1½ 225,000 0 1½ New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 3½ 1694. To 29 March 1695 24,410 8 0 To 28 June 48,375 16 2½ To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9		2,000 0 0		
From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 9\frac{1}{2} March 1695. To 28 June 99,289 2 2\frac{1}{2} To 28 Sept 108,912 - 1\frac{1}{2} 225,000 0 1\frac{1}{2} 304,814 19 6 New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 3\frac{1}{2} 1694. To 29 March 1695 24,410 8 0 To 28 June 48,375 16 2\frac{1}{2} To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9		1,165 0 0		
To 28 June - 99,289 2 23 To 28 Sept 108,912 - 11 New Impositions, viz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 33 1694, To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 24 To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 16,798 17 91	1		
New Impositions, etz.:— On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 23 1944. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 24 17 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	To 28 June 99,289 2 21			
On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 81 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 21 To 28 Sept 43,558 8 9	7.0 70 120hr 100'312 - 14	225,000 0 11	304,814 19 6	
On East India Goods:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 81 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 21 To 28 Sept 43,558 8 9		-		
Prom 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 23 1694. To 29 March 1695 - 24,410 8 0 To 28 June - 48,375 16 24 To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9				
To 28 June - 48,375 16 21 To 28 Sept 43,536 8 9	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 45,224 1 31			
10 20 DCpt.	To 28 June - 48,375 16 21			
161,546-14 3	20 20 жери, — 43,036 8 9	161,546-14 3		
Transported - 161,546 14 3 304,814 19 6 1	Transported -	161,546 14 3	304,814 19 6	197

24-cont.

4.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 996.

The GENERAL ACCOUNT of REPAYMENT of LOANS, &c .- cont.

	Principal,	Total.	Interest.
Transported -	£ s. d. 622,096 13 0	£ s. d. 3,155,211 7 2	£ s. d. 229,998 12 8
satisfaction of Loans on the several funds undermentioned, viz.:—cont.	. *		
*2nd Quarterly Poll, £ s. d. m 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 101,000 0 0 0 29 March 1695 - 93,900 0 0 28 June 129,128 6 3 28 Sept 700 0 0	317,728 8 8	939,824 19 3	1,835 9 6 2,511 2 3 5,828 5 2 25 5 1
ities:		5,500 0 U	
ional Interest and Discount	-		15,625 19 6
Interest		_	113,876 15 41
ed this year more than repaid	- :	4,100,536 6 5 1,420,377 5 4	369,711 9 64
		5,520,913 11 9	

OMPT of what hath been issued out of the EXCHEQUER from 28 Sept. 1690 to 28 Sept. 1695, seept the issues in satisfaction of LOANS, which appear by a distinct accompt thereof.

the several Persons following, viz.:-	£ 5.	a.	£ 8. d.	£ 5. d.
we Rt. Hon. Edward Russell, Esq., for ve Navy:				5
m 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	569,478 10			
29 March 1695	582,929 3 202,987 11	113		
28 Sept	542,228 7	73		
20 St. pt. 2 2 2 2		- 2	1,897,623 13 43	
Ion. Charles Bertie, for the Ordnance: -				
lm 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	104,715 13	4		
29 March 1695	133,183 19			
28 June	69,008 13 109,932 13	8		
20 Dept	109,932 15	8	416,841 0 84	
			220,022 0 03	
Rt. Honble. Earl of Ranelagh, for the				
1rmy :- £ s. d.				
nn 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 468,905 9 51 694.				
29 March 1695 785,120 12 104	. 1 .			
28 June 844,470 12 73 28 Sept 397,198 2 2				
28 Sept 397,198 2 2	2,495,694 17	13		
	2,430,004 17	13		
Fox and Lord Coningsby, for the Irish				
om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 22,124 10 3				
129 March 1695 - 10 011 17 118				
· 28 June - 14 845 10 2				
· 28 Sept 16,217 2 0½				
	63,229 9	64	2,558,924 6- 71	
			2,500,524 0. 15	4.873,389 0 9
Transported · · •	-		-	4,879,389 0 9

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

1099-0-	ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.
No. 996.	Page 3-conf.
	The ACCOUNT of the EXCHEQUER RECEIPTS-conf.
	Transported - \$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{L} & s. d. & \mathbb{L} & \mathbb{L} & s. d. & \mathbb{L} &
	Received more of the following branches, viz.:-cont.
	Wines:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 10,497 2 1½ 1694.
	To 29 March 1695 33,206 4 0 To 28 June 67,186 1 34 To 28 Sept 25,839 2 44 136,728 9 94
	Tobacco:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dcc. 19,903 15 31 1694.
	To 29 March 1695 - 16,498 4 5 To 28 June 8,117 0 10 To 28 Sept 34,168 17 73
	78,687 18 21 376,963 2 3
	Additional Impositions: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 8,464 1 3 TO 29 March 1695 7,620 3 5 TO 28 June 10,610 19 8 TO 28 Sept 17,345 11 52 44,040 15 92
	Coffee, Tea, &c.:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 1,078 13 11 To 29 March 1695 655 3 0 To 28 June 1,246 4 9 To 28 Sept 1,070 8 8
	Transported - 4,050 10 4 Transported - 729,889 7 104 197,24
	Page 5.
	Transported - £ s. d. £ s. d. £ 197,2 197,2
	4½ per Cent.:- From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 2,250 0 0 To 29 March 1695 2,000 0 0 To 29 June 5,500 0 0 7,000 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
	From 29 March to 28 June 1695 3,020 0 0 To 28 Sept 2,112 10 0
	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 4,078 8 103 To 29 March 1695 5,189 8 8½ To 29 June 6,388 13 0 To 28 Sept 6,836 15 2½
	5 Tonnage:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 10,196 3 11½ To 29 March 1695 12,973 12 11 To 28 June 15,971 13 6 To 28 Sept 17,091 18 11½ 56,233 9 4
	78,726 15 13 823,
	1,020,

1695-6.

No. 996.

The ACCOUNT of the Issues at the EXCHEQUER-cont.

The ACCOUNT of the Issu	nes at the EXCHE	¿UER-cont.	
Transported -	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d. 4,873,389 0 9
the several Persons following, viz.:-			
OR THE CHARGE OF THE CIVIL GOVERNMENT:—			
dicard Nicholus, Esq., Treasurer to Her late Majesty: - om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 29 March 1695 28 June	12,000 0 0 500 0 0 29,357 14 3		
28 Sept	13,000 0 0		
arth, Burton for Her Majesty's Stock in he Bank,	6,000 0 0	60,857 14 3	
FOR SECRET SERVICE:-			
fon. (iny, Esq.:— £ s. d. om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 3,783 17 0}			
1694. 29 March 1695 3,804 0 11½ 28 June 1,805 0 0	9,392 18 0		
Vm. Loundes, Esq.:— om 29 March to 29 Sept. 4,717 4 6			
28 Sept 2,660 0 0	7,377 4 6		
ir J. Trenchard:— om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1,500 0 0			
28 Sept. 1695 1,160 7 6	2,660 7 6		
om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1,000 0 0 1694.			
28 Sept. 1695 2,000 0 0	3,000 0 0		
ir Wm. Trumbul : om 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 •	1,000 0 0	23,430 10 0	
Transported -	-	84,288 4 3	4,873,389 0 9
6.			
Purse:-	£_ 8. d.	£ s. d. 81,288 4 3	£ s. d, 4,873,389 0 9
1 18 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	4,000 0 0 31,500 0 0 5,500 0 0		
of the Wardrobe;— 1 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695		41,000 0 0	
Sept	12,000 0 0 38,384 0 9 3,380 15 5	53,764 16 2	
of the Robes : 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 8 Sune 8 Sept	1,500 0 0 1,500 0 0 1,000 0 0	00,101 10 2	
of the Horse:— 1 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	3,384 0 0 3,600 0 0	4,000 0 0	
June	29,443 15 0 1,500 0 0		
Transported -	_	37,927 15 0 220,980 15 5	4,873,389 0 9
		220,000 10	2,010,000 0 9

Page 5-cont.

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

The ACCOUNT of the EXCHEQUER RECEIPTS-cont.

		T	he A	CCC	OUNT (of th	ne E:	KCHEQUE	H I	TECE	IPTS—con	26.		
	10	XCIS	E. VI	Z	:			£	8.	d.	£	8.	'd.	£
			T.		' isporte	ed								1,020,7
Hereditary and 2	Tempe	nury	ţ-m											
From 28 Sept.	to 29	Deo.	1694		4	to Co	-	97,507		11 84				
To 29 March 1 To 28 June	698	9	20					95,750 364,018	13	101				
To 28 Sept.			40		-			53,021	14	81	610,298	10	94	
Additional :					£	8.	d.				010,200	10	-3	
Erom 28 Sept.	to 29	Dec.	1694		45,484	4	81 11							
To 29 March 10 To 28 June	000				47,669	17	91							
To 28 Hept.			-	n	52,210	4	1	103,927	K	1				
Additional :								100,021	0	7				
From 28 Sout.	to 20	Dec.	1694		22,717	1	11							
To 29 March 1 To 28 June	080				23,834		31 7							
To 28 Sept.					26,105	2	1	96,963	11	101				
Double :				-				-	and the same		290,890	16	113	
From 28 Sept.	to 20	Dec.	1694		40			, 817	13	8			7	
To 29 March 1 To 28 June	4000		,					187	8	11				
To 28 Sept.		10						. 2	18	2"	166	1	93	
21d. and 30d. per	Barr	·el :									100	1	22	
From 28 Sout.	to 20	Dec.	1694			-	-	175 143	15	34				
To 20 March 1 To 28 June				00			-	48	14	3				
To 28 Sopt.				lo .	-			12	9	9	380	5	7	
Ercise for 90 Yea	irs:-										.,00	")	•	
From 28 Sept.	to 29	Dec.	1694			۰	0	34,240 86 873	11	2				
To 29 March 1 To 28 June	000	-					-	36,373 86,571	12	60 80 7 8				
To 28 Sept.		da .		10	-	44	- 0	89,789	1	75	146,983	1.6	78	
				Ti	ranspo	rtec	- :		-		1,049,019	17	9	1,020,
Page 7.														
			-	1-0		and a					1		-	
				713.	HO 20 (19)	uh o d		£	.8.	d.	£ 1,049,019	s.	đ. 9	£ 1,020,
Additional Impo	st on	Bran	idy:-	-	ranspo						1,025,019	11	9	1,020,
From 28 Sept.	to 20	Dec.	1694		-	n .	-	598	9	101				
To 20 March 1 To 28 June		4		24		100	-	550 3,951	13	73				
To 28 Sept.	-	-				40		3,152	8	75	0.050	1	5.3	
Low Wines: -											8,253	1	93	
From 28 Sept.	to 20	Dec.	1694		70			3,858	4	6				
To 29 March 1 To 28 June	690	10	-		-	-	- 10	4,006 2,425	6	1	8			
	lu.		44	40	to.	žą.	-	2,462	5	3	10.754	1.0	1.1	
Salt Excise:									-	-	12,751	19	11	
From 28 Sept.	to 29	Dec.	1694				-	16,244	15	6				
To 29 March 1 To 28 June	695	-	-		-		4	11,020 9,891	9 5	91				
To 28 Sept.	1	-			00	-		22,145			50 202	Я	4.3	
Letter Money :-											59,302	9	41/2	1,129,
From 28 Sept.	to 29	Dec.	1694		-	20		***			6,500	0	0	
To 29 March 1 To 28 June				-	-				-		2,177 38,387	8	3	
					40	00	-	-				12	î	-
SMAL	L DR	ANCI	IES.	FIZ										76,
Secures:								0.000	,	10				
To 29 March 1	688 688	Dec.	1094	73	-	-		3,250 632	10	10 21				
To 28 June	p	-			-		-	1,802	2	88				
To 28 Sept.	ps.	04	69	99	-	-	65	699	1	54				1

Transported

6,383 19 33 2,226,

1695-6. No. 996.

ge 6 - cont.

				AUGU	es at the EXCHE	QUER-con	٠.				
	Tran	spor	ted		£ s. d.	£ 220.980	8. 15	d.	4,873,389	s. O	d.
11:						220,000			1		
m 28 Sept. to 29 29 March 1695 - 28 June -	Dec. 1694	-	-	•	10,110 4 0						
28 June					13,554 0 0 103,900 0 0						
28 Sept				-	554 12 0						
						128,118	16	0			
weer of the Chamb					001 15 0						
m 28 Sept. to 29 :	Dec. 1694				891 15 2						
28 June				_	52.023 1 0						
29 March 1695 - 28 June - 28 Sept		-	-	-	643 10 4 52,023 1 0 8,244 17 8						
						61,803	4	2			
5: to 90 I	loo 1601				5 970 2 11						
m 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 -	2 -				$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						
29 March 1695 - 28 June		-	-	-	24,902 12 44						
28 Sept		-	-	-	1,523 8 9						
*ns :						35,982	18	61			
m 28 Sept. to 29 I	lec 1691				350 0 0						
28 June 28 Sept			4	-	350 0 0 2,000 0 0						
28 Sept		-	-		878 4 10						
7 fat						3,228	4	10			
* and Plate:— in 28 Sept. to 29 I	Dec 1694				2,150 0 0						
20 June	* -		-	-	11,026 2 10						
28 June 28 Sept			-	- 1	2,000 0 0						
C						15,176	2	10			
Suits: - m 28 Sept. to 29 I	100 1694				1,000 0 0						
29 March 1695 .	, 1034				950 0 0						
28 June			-	- 1	600 0 0						
28 June 28 Sept		-	-	- 1	500 0 0						
						3,050	0	0			
	Tr	ansn	orted			468.340	1	91	4,873,389	0	9
								-			
ge 8,											
tic or											
P. O.					C e -2	_ ع		a	6	,	- d
ie o.	Tr	ansn	orted	-	£ s. d.	£ 468,340	8.	d.	£ 4.873.389	8.	d.
of Pensioners :-			orted	-	£ _s. d.	468,340	1	d. 9½	£ 4,873,389	s. 0	d. 9
			orted	-	£ _s. d.	£ 468,340 6,000	1	d. 9½ 0	£, 4,873,389	8.	d. 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28	June 1695	-	orted	-	_	468,340	1	91	£ 4,873,389	8.	d. 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28	June 1695	-	orted	-	- - 10.218 6 114	468,340	1	91	£ 4,873,389	8.	d. 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28	June 1695	-	orted	-	- - 10.218 6 114	468,340	1	91	£ 4,873,389	8.	d. 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 vies, &c.:— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 June -	June 1695	-	orted	-		468,340	1	91	£ 4,873,389	8.	d., 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 ies, &c.:— un 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 25 June 25 June	June 1695 Dec. 1694		orted	-	- - 10.218 6 114	468,340	0	0	£,4,873,389	<i>t.</i> 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 ies, &c.:— un 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 25 June 25 June	June 1695 Dec. 1694		orted	-	10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 14 10,911 2 11	468,340 6,000	0	0	£ 4,873,389	8. 0	d. 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 ies, &c.:— un 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 25 June 25 June	June 1695 Dec. 1694		orted	-	10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 14 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 34 9,377 10 0	468,340 6,000	0	0	£ 4,873,389	0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 ies, &c.:— un 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 25 June 25 June	June 1695 Dec. 1694		orted	-	10,218 6 11¼ 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8	468,340 6,000	0	0	£ 4,873,389	6. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners; — on 29 March to 28 ics, §c.; — on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 — 25 Sept. — oners; — m 28 Sept. to 29 I 24 March 1695 — 25 June 25 Sept. —	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694		orted	-	10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 14 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 34 9,377 10 0	468,340 6,000 79,384	1 0	63 63	£ 4,873,389	0	d., 9
of Pensioners;— om 29 March to 28 iins, §c.;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 25 June 28 Sept. omers;— omers;— 128 Sept. to 29 I 25 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 26 March 1695 25 June	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694	-	orted		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 12 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 32 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7	468,340 6,000	1 0	63 63	£,4,873,389	\$. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners;— om 29 March to 28 iins, §c.;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 25 June 28 Sept. omers;— omers;— 128 Sept. to 29 I 25 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 26 March 1695 25 June	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694	-	orted		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7	468,340 6,000 79,384	1 0	63 63	£,4,873,389	5. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:—om 29 March to 28 ins, \$c.:—om 29 March 1625—29 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1625—28 Sept. omers:—ome 28 Sept. omers:—28 Sept. omer 28 Sept. ome	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694	-	ported		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7	468,340 6,000 79,384	1 0	63 63	£,4,873,389	4. 0	đ. 9
of Pensioners:—om 29 March to 28 ins, \$c.:—om 29 March 1625—29 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1625—28 Sept. omers:—ome 28 Sept. omers:—28 Sept. omer 28 Sept. ome	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694	-	prted		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6	468,340 6,000 79,384	1 0	63 63	£,4,873,389	\$. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 iins, §c.;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695— 25 June 28 Sept. omers;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 25 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 26 Sept. omers;— display to 29 I 27 March 1695— 28 Sept. om 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 Sept.	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694		prted		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6 12,009 0 0	468,340 6,000 79,384	1 0	6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1	£,4,873,389	8. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 iins, §c.;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695— 25 June 28 Sept. omers;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 25 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 26 Sept. omers;— display to 29 I 27 March 1695— 28 Sept. om 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 Sept.	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694		ported		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6	468,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 0	6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1	£,4,878,389	8. O	<i>d.</i> , 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 iins, §c.;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695— 25 June 28 Sept. omers;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 25 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 26 Sept. omers;— display to 29 I 27 March 1695— 28 Sept. om 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 Sept.	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694		ported		10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 14 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 34 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 94 2,613 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6	468,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 0	6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1	£ 4,873,389	\$. 0	<i>d.</i> , 9
of Pensioners:— om 29 March to 28 iins, §c.;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695— 25 June 28 Sept. omers;— om 28 Sept. to 29 I 25 June 28 Sept. to 29 I 26 Sept. omers;— display to 29 I 27 March 1695— 28 Sept. om 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 Sept.	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6	468,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 0	6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1 6 1	£,4,873,389	8. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28 iies, &c. :— on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 25 June - 25 Sept on 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 March 1695 - 25 June - 25 Sept. on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 28 June - 28 Sept. on 29 I 29 March 1695 - 28 Sept. on 29 Sept. on 28 Sept.	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 14 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 34 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 94 2,613 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6	469,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 16	9 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	£,4,873,389	8. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28 iiis, §c.:— on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 2- June 1695 2-	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,613 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6 13,035 9 0 2,005 12 9 15,480 1 0 14,392 17 4	468,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 16	9 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	£ 4,873,389	8. 0	d., 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28 iiis, §c.:— on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 2- June 1695 2-	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,613 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6 13,035 9 0 2,005 12 9 15,480 1 0 14,392 17 4	469,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 16	9 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	£,4,873,389	8. O	d. 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28 iies, &c.:— on 29 March 1695 22 March 1695 23 March 1695 24 March 1695 25 Sept oners:— oners: oners:— oners:— oners: oners:— oners: oners: oners: oners: oners: o	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6 13,035 9 0 2,951 12 9 15,480 1 0 14,392 17 4	469,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 16	9 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	£,4,873,389	\$. 0	đ., 9
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28 iies, &c. :— on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 25 June - 25 Sept on 28 Sept. to 29 I 28 March 1695 - 25 June - 25 Sept. on 28 Sept. to 29 I 29 March 1695 - 28 June - 28 Sept. on 29 I 29 March 1695 - 28 Sept. on 29 Sept. on 28 Sept.	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6	469,840 6,000 79,384 43,250 18,004	1 16 19	6 1 6 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	£ 4,873,389	8. O	đ. D
of Pensioners:— on 29 March to 28 iies, &c.:— on 29 March 1695 22 March 1695 23 March 1695 24 March 1695 25 Sept oners:— oners: oners:— oners:— oners: oners:— oners: oners: oners: oners: oners: o	June 1695 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694 Dec. 1694				10,218 6 114 13,843 17 7 44,410 14 1½ 10,911 2 11 9,893 7 3½ 9,377 10 0 19,359 4 8 4,620 14 7 525 9 9½ 2,619 12 6 12,009 0 0 2,850 17 6 13,035 9 0 2,951 12 9 15,480 1 0 14,392 17 4	469,840 6,000 79,384 43,250	1 16 19	6 1 6 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	£,389	***	đ. D

1695-6. Page 7-cont.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

	The A	OCO TEST	00 +1	a 77	XCHEQUER RECEI	pre_con	t.	
(111 'D			OL DI	.C .L.	£ 8. d.		s. d.	£
Small Bro	inches, viz	.—cont. Transpo	rted		-	6,383	19 3 1	2,226,20
Tenths:-								
From 28 Sept. to 2 To 29 March 1695	29 Dec. 169	4 -	-	-	2,874 9 11½ 2,763 2 11			
To 28 June -			-	-	2,461 15 11			
To 28 Sept	* *,		-		1,059 15 8	9,159	4 53	
First Fruits:-	TD . 100.				7040 0 0	-,	2	
From 28 Sept. to 29 To 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694		**	-	1,843 2 6 1,343 2 6		-	
To 28 June ~				-	2,468 2 6			1
To 28 Sept			-	-	1,134 1 91	6,788	9 33	
Alienations:— From 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694	L	_		850 0 0	,		
To 29 March 1695 To 28 June -	- "		-	-	250 0 0 750 0 0			
	- •			•	780 0 0	1,850	0 0	
Temporalities:— From 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694	-			, ;	65	9 91	
		Transp	hatro			24,247	2 10	2,226,20
-		2 2 2 2 2 2				21,211		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Page 9.								
					£ 8. d.	£	s. d.	£
Times of Langes		Transp	orted	-		24,247	s. d. 2 10	2,226,2
Tines of Leases:— From 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694			6	1,604 17 8			
To 29 March 1695			-	*	116 2 3 1,499 6 4			
To 28 Sept				-	0 13 8			
ant of Land :						3,220	19 11	
From 28 Sept. to 29 To 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694	- 10		-	0 16 8			
To 28 Sept					19 16 8 13 3 4			
lent of Grants:-						33	16 8	
From 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694				9 3 4			
				-	57 10 0			
offeries:-						66	13 4	
From 28 Sept. to 29 To 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694		-		447 0 0 637 0 0			
To 28 June -	w' w	-	-		437 0 0			
heriff's of Counties :-						1,521	0 0	
heriffs of Counties:- From 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694	-		-	522 6 8			
To 29 March 1695 To 28 June			-		34 0 0 334 6 2			
To 28 Sept			-	-	46 17 8			
heriff's of Cities :-						937	10 6	5
From 28 Sept. to 29 To 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694		-	0	36 9 81	-		
To 28 June -		- :		-	12 5 4 48 1 8			- 5
To 28 Sept		~ *	-	- 1	2 2 0	98	18 81	
lule of Wood :-	. T 200					\$0	10 03	
From 28 Sept. to 28 To 28 June 1695	Dec. 1694		-	-	400 0 0 315 0 0			
To 28 Sept				-	600 0 0			
and seized :						1,315	0 0	
Frem 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694		-	- 1	- !	3	10 0	
ompositions:-								
To 29 March 1695	Dec. 1694			-	3 16 8 8 6 8			
To 28 June - To 28 Sept			-		10 8 4			
lecrivers General:—			-	•	8 2 6	* 30	14 2	
From 28 Sept. to 28 To 28 June 1685	Dec. 1694		to .		250 0 0			
			-	14	300 0 0	550	0 0	
From 28 Sept. to 29	Dec. 1694					11		
	222 200		and t					
		Transp	orted	•	the -	32,037	1 51	2,226,
The second secon								

1695-6.

No. 996

, 5-s Cunt.						
The ACCOUNT of the Is	sues at the Exche	quer-cont.				
	£ s. d.	£ s. 665,358 8	d.	£	8.	đ.
Is to Receivers:		665,358 8	49	4,873,389	0	9
1 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	116 4 9 487 3 6					
SJune	454 11 4					
8 Sept	1,284 9 61	2,292 9	11			
's of Accounts:— n 28 sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	1,535 0 0					
9 March 1695	870 13 3 502 6 103					
8 June	759 4 10					
hending and prosecuting Highwaymen,		3,667 4	113			
28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694	30 0 0					
29 March 1695	650 4 11					
28 June	935 7 3					
		2,475 12	2		_	
Transported -	-	673,793 14	72	4,873,389	0	9
NAME OF THE PARTY					-	
şe 10.						
	£ s. d.	£	d.	£	8.	d.
Transported -	-	£ s. 673,793 14	d. 7₹	4,873,389	0	9
nery Ware:	2,000 0 0					
29 March 1695	2,177 8 3	4,177 8	3			
m 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695	225 0 0					
28 Sept	150 0 0	375 0	0			
us of the Commission for the Million tery:						
rom 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 - 28 June	500 0 0 300 0 0					
	800 0 0					
'aid the Commissioners in reward	4,400 0 0					
108 of the Commission for the Bank: om 29 March to 28 June 1695	341 0 10	5,200 0	0			
d the Commissioners in reward -	3,750 0 0					
7es in passing Privy Seals and Commis- ns:—		4,091 0	10			
rom 29 March to 28 June 1695	91 9 0			1		
% 28 Sept.	541 7 1	632 16	1			
cuting (Tippers:— om 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695		50 0	0			
and Lodging Prisoners in the Tower, and						
rom 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 ° 28 Sept.	902 0 0			1		
	648 8 7	1,550 8	7			
ting Taxes:— om 29 Dec. 1691 to 29 March 1695	_	200 0	0			
May for Deer in Windsor Forest:— om 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695						
	-	100 0	θ			
om 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695	-	53 4	6			
tities of 14 per cent, on the Million Act:-				690,223	12	10
om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 - 31,951 16 1						
28 June 39.946 12 1						
28 Sept 23,706 7 9	128,883 6 4					
tities on Survivorship:—	· ·					
om 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 - 538 9 1 29 March 1695 4,932 3 2						
28 June 1,037 18 0 28 Sept 4,164 13 3						
-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	10,673 3 7	139,556 9	11			
						-
Transported -	-	139,556 9	11	5,563,612	13	74

1695-6. No. 996.

Page 11.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

					-						
Transported	The A	CCOTT	sw of	the	Exc	THEOLIER R	ECET	PTScont			
Hanaper:	2.100 42	00001		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				1 &		à.	£.
Hanaper:		Tra	uspo	rted		-	. Cos	32,037	1	51	2,226,
To 28 June	Hanaper:-		-			40.0	0.3				
To 28 Sept 1,250 0 0 0 1,300 18 2 2 2,578 2 9 Imprest Money repaid :	From 25 Sept. to 29 Dec. 169	94		-	1		7				1
To 28 Sept	To 28 June		_	_							
Imprest Money repaid From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 500 0 0 500 0 0 500 0 0 500 0 0 500 0 0 500 0 0 500 0 0 500 0 0 0	To 28 Sept	-	٠	44		1,390 18	2	1			
For Source Sour	Immuset Manay monaid :							2,978	2	9	
For Source Sour	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 169	94				500 0	0				
For Form 28 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 -	To 28 June 1695			**	-	340 0	0				
From 28 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 -	Wantaitunes for Maggan.					-		8,40	0	0	
Money repails by Mr. Villiers:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695	From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 Mai	rch 16	95			_		500	0	0	
Transported											
Taxes, vis.:— King Charles 2nd's Poll:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695	Money repaid by Mr. Villiers:							101	0	.0	
Taxes, vis.;	From 25 June to 25 Sept. 16	100	•	*	~			40+	U	-	36,
From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 -	Taxes, viz.:-							}			
Present Aid:	King Charles 2nd's Poll: -	e	3000					4 "		^	
From 29 Dec, 1694 to 29 March 1695 -	From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 M	larch	1695		•			15	1	U	
From 29 Dec, 1694 to 29 March 1695 -	Present Aid:-										
From 28 June to 29 Sept. 1695 14 10 0 First 12d, Aid: From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 37 2 6 Second Poll: From 29 March to 28 June 1695 8 17 0 To 28 Sept.	From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 A	Iarch	1695		-	_		0	6	8	
From 28 June to 29 Sept. 1695 14 10 0 First 12d, Aid: From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695 37 2 6 Second Poll: From 29 March to 28 June 1695 8 17 0 To 28 Sept.	First Poll										
First 12d, Aid:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 1695	From 28 June to 29 Sept.	1695	-			_		14	10	0	
Second Poll:	•										1
Second Poil: — From 29 March to 28 June 1695 -	First 12d. Aid:	1605	1					97	9	e	
From 29 March to 28 June 1695	From 20 oune to 20 Sept.	1000			•			91	4	9	
To 28 Sept.											
2s. Aid — From 29 March to 28 June 1695	To 28 Sant	e 1695	-	~							
28. 4id — From 29 March to 28 June 1695 - 73 18 10 To 28 Sept 20 203 5 10 Additional Aid:—From 29 March to 28 June 1695 - 36 19 6½ To 28 Sept 36 19 6½ To 28 Sept 513 5 Second 12 Months' Aid:—From 29 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 - 130 0 0 To 29 March 1695 - 513 5 First Quarterly Poll:—From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 - 312 0 0 To 28 Sept 312 0 0 Transported - 312 0 0 To 28 Sept 719 4 2 To 29 March 1995 - 110 7½ To 28 June - 10 110 7½ To 28 June - 10 10 111 First 4s. Aid:—From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 - 5666 1 1½ To 29 March 1695 - 5666 1 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 Sept 1266 1 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 June - 1266 1 1 12 887 2 1½ To 28 Sept 1266 1 1 12 887 2 12 To 28 Sept 1266 1 1 12 To 28 Sept 1266 1 1 12 To 28 Sept 1267 1 18 To 28 June - 1696 - 1694 - 1696 1 12 To 28 Sept 1668 1 12 To 28 Sept 1668 1 12 To 28 Sept 1668 1 12 Transported - 87740 11 8 To 29 March 1695 11668 1 7 To 28 Sept 1668 1 11,659 18 4 Transported - 1676 1 12 Transported - 87740 11 8 Transported - 1676 1 12 Transported - 1676 1 12 Transported - 1676 1 12	20 20 Nept		•	•	•	. 349 4		354	1	0	
To 28 Sept. 129 7 0 203 5 10	2s. Aid:—							1 .			
Additional Aid:— From 29 March to 28 June 1695	From 29 March to 28 Jun	e 1695	~								
### Additional Aid :— From 28 Sept		-	-			129 7	U	203	5	10	
Second 12 Months' Aid:	Additional Aid:-										
Second 12 Months' Aid:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 - 130 0 0 6 13 5	From 29 March to 28 June	e 1695	10		•	36 19	61	1			
Second 12 Months' Aid:	10 20 Бера,	-		•		64 13	6	101	13	01	
Transported - 5 13 5	Second 12 Months' Aid:-									2	
First Quarterly Poll:— From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 March 1695 -	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1	1694	-	۰	•	130 0					
From 28 Sept	10 25 Maich 1055 -		•	•		5 13	5	135	12	5	
Transported - 33 12 0 489 9 5 1,351 2 10½ 2,263,4	First Quarterly Poll:-							1	10	v	
Transported - 33 12 0 489 9 5 1,351 2 10½ 2,263,4	To 28 June	larch	1695	* '	-						
Transported -	To 28 Sept	-				33 12					
Page 13. Review of the Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept to 29 Dec, 1694 Transported First 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1684 To 29 March 1995 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 29 March 1695 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 Second Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 Transported Transported Transported								489	9	5	
Page 13. Review of the Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept to 29 Dec, 1694 Transported First 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1684 To 29 March 1995 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 29 March 1695 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second 4s, Aid: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 Second Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 28 Sept. Second Quarterly Poll: From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 Transported Transported Transported		Timo	nanov	r a				1.051	_	107	2 200 6
Review of the Quarterly Poll; From 28 Sept to 29 Dec, 1694 From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 23 June To 23 June To 28 June To 29 March 1695 From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 29 June To 29 March 1695 From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 29 Sept. Transported Transported		T 1 0	nshor	veu		_		1,551	2	105	2,263,0
Review of the Quarterly Poll; From 28 Sept to 29 Dec, 1694 From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 23 June To 23 June To 28 June To 29 March 1695 From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 29 June To 29 March 1695 From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec, 1694 To 29 Sept. Transported Transported	Page 13.				_			·			
Transported											
Transported						C .	a			,	0
Transported Transported To 28 Sept. To 29 Dec. 1694 To 29 Dec. 1694 To 29 Dec. 1694 To 29 Dec. 1694 Transported To 28 Sept. To 29 Dec. 1694 Transported Transported Transported Transported Transported To 28 Sept. Transported Transported To 28 Sept. Transported Transported To 28 Sept. Transported To 28 Sept. Transported Transported To 28 Sept. Transported Transported To 28 Sept. Transported Transported To 28 Dec. 1694 Transported Transported To 29 Dec. 1694 Transported Transported Transported Transported Transported To 28 Dec. 1694 Transported Transported Transported Transported Transported To 28 Dec. 1694 Transported Transp		Tra	nspor	ted	-	~ _°.	u.	1,351	2	101	2.263.0
To 28 June	From 28 Sept to 20 Dec. 10	0.4			1						-,,
To 28 June	To 29 March 1995	94		-		719 4	2 71				•
First 4s. Aid:	To 28 June	-				0 10	112			i	
From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 5,696 1 1½ To 29 March 1695	First 4s. Aid :-				- 1			721	5	81	
To 28 June	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec 16	94		-	-	5,696 1	13				
To 28 Sept	To 28 June -	*	-	-		987 2	13				•
Second 4: Aid.— 4id.— 456,540 1 7 7 Tro 29 March 1695 512,009 13 1 1 66,690 0 9 10,243 3 4½ To 28 Sept 16,694 16,243 3 4½ 1,050,482 18 9½ From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 11,650 18 8 111,866 17 8 105,195 19 2½ To 29 March 1695 11,699 18 4 11,690 18 4 11,690 18 4 Transported	To 28 Sept.	-									•
Second Quarterly Poll:	Second 4s. Aid :-						12	8.464	15	81	
Second Quarterly Poll:	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 16	94	-	-		456 540 1	7	-,-,1		- 2	
Second Quarterly Poll:	"To 29 March 1695	-	-	-	-	512,009 13	1				
Second Quarterly Poll:	To 28 Sept.	-	-	-	-						
To 28 Sept 111,868 17 8 To 28 Sept 105,195 19 21 11,699 18 4 Transported - 316,503 6 104	20 %0 bcpt.	-		-	-	16,243 3	45	1.050.486	10	0.1	
To 28 March 1695 111,868 17 8 To 28 Sept 105,195 19 2½ 11,699 18 4 Transported	From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 18	94				97 740 11	0	1,000,482	10	25	
Transported 105,180 19 24 11,699 18 4 316,503 6 104	10 29 March 1695	-				111.886 17					
Transported - 316,503 6 101	To 28 June	-	-	-		102,189 19	21				
Transported -	10 20 верь 2 2	•	-	-	-	11,699 18	4	010 500		403	
		Tra	nspor	ted				316,503	8	107	
								1,377,523	9	111	2,263,

1695-6.

ze 12. No. 996.

The Acco	UNT	of the I	SSU	Es at the ExcHE	QUER-cont.		
	Trans	ported	-	£ s. d.	£ s. 139,556 9	d. 11	5,563,612 13 73
ities on Tonnage :-							
m 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 23 March 1695				6,848 5 7 6,016 12 3 3,374 5 11 6,565 2 7			
ities on Laturies :					22,804 6	8	
m 29 March to 28 June 10	95 -			_	25,841 2	31	100 005 10 111
he Mint:-				1.0			188,201 18 114
nn 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 1694 28 Sept. 1695		•	-		3,940 17 3,516 12	3	7,457 10 0
est for money borrowed -			-	_	-		369,711 9 61
	Trans	ported	-	- '			6,128,983 12 12
tge 14.							
						-	-

Transported - aining in Cash, the 28 Sept. 1695	£ s. d.	£ s, . d.	£ s. d. 6,128,983 12 12 544,460 16 102
Transported -	time		6,673,444 9 03
			1

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

Page 13-cont.

The Acce	OUNT of the	EXCHEQUER	RECEIPTS-cont.
----------	-------------	-----------	----------------

	Trans	ported		\pounds $\overset{s.}{\rightarrow}$ $d.$	£ 1,377,323			£ 2,263,083
Paper, Parchment, &c.:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 169 To 29 March 1695 To 28 June To 28 Sept	4 -			10,200 0 .0 11,000 0 0 15,400 0 0 8,300 0 0		ò	0	
Hackney Coaches:— From 29 Dec. 1691 to 29 Mar	ch 1695	5 -	-	-	1,400		0	
Third 4s. Aid:— From 29 March to 28 June 1 To 28 Sept	695 -	· .		372,144 14 11 409,068 1 9	781,212	16	8	
Contributions on Salt: - From 29 Dec. 1694 to 29 Mare To 28 Sept	ch 1695	:	-	25 17 0 1 3 2				2,205,030
Bank Money:— From 28 Sept. to 29 Dec. 169- To 29 March 1695	4 -	-	-	587,472 9 8 19,999 19 11	607,472		2	
Annuities Exchanged:— From 29 March to 28 June 1 To 28 Sept	695 -	-	-	38,826 0 0 128,177 0 6	001,412	8	7⅓	
Annuities Lapsed:— From 28 June to 28 Sept. 169	5 .	-	-	167,003 0 6 10,445 0 0	727.440	•		
					177,448			784,94 5,253,06
Borrowed of Loans more than r	repaid	-	-		_			1,420,37 6,673,44
						-	-	

Page 15.

The ACCOUNT of CUSTOMS, from 29 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695.

		<i>'</i>	*		
To Receipts, viz.:- Of Old Customs:- In the Port of London:-	-	#	s. đ.	£ s.	d. £
From 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 16	94		0 13 6		
To 30 March 1695 To 29 June			07 0 0		
To 28 Sept			00 0 0		
In the Out Ports :	0.4		-	84,327 13	6
From 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 16 To 30 March 1695	94	- 25,92 - 12,26			
To 29 June		- 4,64	5 18 4		
To 28 Sept	•	- 77	6 6 01	43,616 15	03
Upon Bonds .— From 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 16	94	- 4.37	9-17 81		4
To 30 March 1695 To 29 June		- 12,40	7 4 103		
To 28 Sept		- 3,58 - 3,27	18 7 7 3 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3 4 3		
() New Customs :				23,648 12	
In the Port of London :-					151,5
From 24 Dec. 1694 to 30 Ma To 29 June	rch 1695 -	- 49,35 - 105,50			
To 28 Sept		- 157,44			
In the Out Ports:-				312,315 9	0
From 24 Dec. 1694 to 30 Ma	rch 1695 -	- 1,49			
To 29 June		20,64			
2 (20),000		- 12,00	± 11 05	34,731 18	41
					347,0
	Fransported	-	-	_	498,64
					1

1695-6. No. 996.

14-cont.

Trnsported -		£ s. d. 6,673,444 9 01

e 16.

The ACCOUN	T of CUSTOMS,	, fr	om 29 Sept. 1694	to 28 Sept. 1695.	Cr.
ance overpaid the 28 Se	pt. 1694 -	-	£ _ s. d.	£ _ 2. d.	£ s. d. 12,144 6 71
Payments into the Excheusioms:— u 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 169 0 March 1695 9 June 8 Sept.	£ s. 6 4 45,889 0 - 7,018 3 - 13,375 14	å. 5 7₹ 5	71,869 19 84		•
m 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 0 March 1695 8 Sept. usioms:— n 14 Dec. 1694 to 30 March	- 8,000 0	6 0 0	18,203 11 6		
95 19 June	- 93,116 10	513	230,600 0 0	320,673 11 21	
reorge Tuthil: rem 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 16 0 30 March 1695 0 29 June 0 28 Sept	- 50 0	0 0 0	125 0 0	220,010 11 24	
mas Hide:— rom 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1 0 30 March 1695 0 29 June - 0 28 Sept	- 12 10 - 12 10	0000	50 0 0		
	Transported		175 0 0	320,673 11 21	12,144 6 72

1695-6. ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996. - Page 15-cont.

	Transported -	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ 498,640
	1	-1		
		1		
		;		•
j		- 1		
	i	i		
		*		
į				
,		1		1
		*1		}
		1		
+ .				

Page 17.	8581			
: 22.	Transported -	£ . s, .d.	£ _s. d.	£ 498,640
		7 P	•	
		1.		,
		•		
	2			
	1 11 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	, -			
	:			
	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			

1695 5

16-cont.

No. 996.

The	ACCOUNT of	CUSTO	MS-	-con	ıt.	Cr.
Transfions and Annuities—cont.	ported -	£ 175	0		£ s. d. 320,673 11 21	£ s. d. 12,144 6 73
in 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 29 June 1695	£ s. d. 50 0 0 100 0 0 25 0 0	175	0	0		
	96 12 0					
195. 29 June - • • • 1	100 16 0	197	8	0		
of Berwick:- m 30 March to 29 June 1695	en	200	0	0		
ration of Lyme:— m 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 30 March 1695 -	50 0 0 50 0 0	100	0	0		
as Fuirfax :		200				
m 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 30 March 1695 29 June 28 Sept.	25 0 0 25 0 0 25 0 0 25 0 0	100	0	0	947 8 0	
Trans	ported -	-			321,620 19 24	12,144 6 73
18,)	

			£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d.
	Transported	-	-	321,620 19 21	12,144 6 75
nents to State and I	Exchequer Office	rs,			
Duke of Shrewsbury: n 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 0 March 1695 - 9 June - 8 Sept	1694 - 462 10 - 462 10 - 482 10	d. 0 0 0	1,850 0 0		
hn Trenchard: n 19 Sept. to 24 Dec. 0 March 1695 - 9 June	- 462 10	0 0 0	1,387 10 0		
illi a m Trumbal :— n 29 June to 29 Sept.	. 1695		462 10 0		
f Pembroke : n 3) March to 29 Jur	ne 1695	**	365 0 0		
Sarum:— n 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 29 June	1694 - 285 2 - 285 2	6	. 570 5 0		
Smith: - m 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 10 March 1695	1694 - 7 0	35	14 0 7		
	Transported	-	4,649 5 7	321,620 19 21	12,144 6 74

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996. Page 17-cont.

The ACCOUNT of CUSTOMS-cont. Transported -

TO-	10

Transported -	£ _ s. d.	£ s. d.
	·	

1695-6. No. 996.

18 cm/.

The ACCOUNT of CUSTOMS-cont. £ s. d. | 321,620 19 21 £ s. d. 12,144 6 79 Transported mph Chull rth :- £ s. d.
mn 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 - 37 10 0 |
3.) March 1695 - 37 10 0 |
28 Sept. - 75 0 0 150 0 0 un 24 Dec. 1694 to 30 March 1695 - -424 1 8 rs of the Pipe:— mu 24 Dec. [1694] to 30 Mar. 1695 - - - | 8) 12 4 Ady: -- on 30 March to 29 June 1695 - - -67 2 9 Tayler: - m:24 Dec. 1694 to 30 March 1695 75 0 0 0 29 June - 37 10 0 u Stancomb :- -om 3) March to 29 June 1695 - -- 5,386 2 4 tomas Baker, Consul at Algiers:--tom 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 - 150 0 0 29 June - - 150 0 0 300 0 0 miel Lodington, Consul at Tripoli:—
mi 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 - 95 0 0
29 June - 190 0 0
28 Sept. - 95 0 0 380 0 0 680 0 0

327,887 1 61

12,144 6 72

Transported - |

e 20.				
Transpx rest for Loans; — m 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1894 —	orted -	£ s. d.	£ s. d. 327,887 1 61 450 0 0	£ s. d. 12,144 6 73
23 June 18,90 28 Sept 20,11 nces: -	32 18 11 12 15 111 3 6 31		7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	:
* Bills:— 11 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 - 39	5 2 65			
30 March 1695 35 29 June 44 28 Sept, 42	9 1 1 3 11 3 6 13 2	1,623 5 6		
rries and Incidents:— m 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 - 11,13 30 March 1695 14,00 29 June 12,55 28 Sept 13,41	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	84,826 18 111		
		51,100 4 4	135,933 3 34	464,270 4 93
lance remaining in Cash 28 Sep	ptember			476,414 11 54
Old Customs New Customs			1,243 12 5 ¹ / ₄ 20,982 4 6	22,225 16 112
				498,640 8 48

1695 -6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

Page 21.

The ACCOUNT of NEW IMPOSITIONS, from 29 Sept. 1694 to 29 Sept. 1695.													D			
												£	s.	<i>a</i> .	£	
To Receipt	8 of											20	٥.	u.	~	
	lia Goods	:									,					
From To 30 To 29 To 28		to 24 695	Dec.	1694	-	-	-	-	P 	-	-	41,611 23,248 48,043 46,670	7 16	6ª 7	159,5	74 :
Wines :-	_										Ì					
From	29 Sept. March 1 June	to 24 .695	Dec.	1694			-		-			14,396 37,912 67,653 25,206	2 6 3 4	3773734	145,1	66
Tobacco	:															
From To 30 To 29 To 28		to 24 695	Dec.	1694			•	•	9			21,289 13,437 8,830 56,289	7 3 3 12	700484047	99,8	
																_

The ACCOUNT of ADDITIONAL IMPOSITIONS, from 29 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695.

To	Rece	ipt	s, v	z.:-	-														
	Fre	om	28 1	Sept	t. to	29	Dec.	1694	-		-	-	-					-	
	To	30	Ma	rch	169	5		-								-	-	-	
	To	29	Jui	ne	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	~	-	-	-	
	To	28	Sep	t.	-		~	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	

General State of Receipts and Payments, &c.

1695-6. No. 996.

The ACCOUNT of NEW IMPOSITIONS, from 29 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695. Cr.

C:	è.		

						0					
nents into the Ex-	cherne	r. viz	of -	٠.	- 1	£ 5, 1/,	.5	8, (1,	1 15	5. 6	<i>(</i> ,
nductionds:			7 -		1						
m 29 Sept. to 24 30 Maren 1695 29 June 28 Sept	-	091		-		41,611 16 101 23,248 7 63 48,043 16 7 46,670 1 101	159,674	2 104			
;											
m 29 Sept. to 24 io March 1695 20 J., e	Dec. 1					10,145 10 08 36,318 7 81 66,602 5 5 24,687 18 38	137,751	1 64			
10 j —											
m 29 Sept. to 24 80 March 1695 29 June 28 Sept			-			19,855 15 21 13,126 14 61 8,790 1 91 55,806 4 11	97,578	18 51			
conves on Wines									391,907	0 1	03
m 20 Sept. to 24 30 March 1695 29 June - 28 Sept	Dec. 1	694	•			4,250 14 8 1,593 18 101 1,050 18 10 516 14 41	7,412	6 4			
utures and Attor	cincis	on T	beeve	0: -	-						
m 29 Sept. to 24 30 March 1695 29 June - 28 Sept.	Dec. 1	1694				1,434 4 5 310 9 34 46 10 101 482 16 84	2,274	1 31	0.202		21
					1				9,686	7	-
							1		404,598	8.	61

											£	8.	d.	£	3.	. 4
wnts into					Same											
29 Sept.	to 21	Dec.	1694							-	7,810	4	48			
March	1695	-	-			-	-	-	-	-	7,985	10	8		0	
June	-		-		-	-	- 10		-	-	10,416					
Sept.	~	-		to .	-	100		-	-	-	18,635	15	3			
													-	44,847	12	5
tures and	l Allo	wane	·s:—							į						
29 Sept.	to 21	Dec.	1694		-		-	-		-	656	0	91			
March 1	605		-	-		-				-	1,270	9	111			
June		-		-	-	-		-	~	-	668	14	21			
Sept.	-		-	-		-	-	-	-	- 1	1,387	0	54			
														3,982	5	5
														48,829	17	10
													- 1			

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

Page 23.

Acco	UNT of	COFF	EE,	ΓEΑ,	dec.	, from	n 29 l	Sept.	1694	to 28 %	pt. 1	695,	
o Receipts, viz.:-									1		۶,		2
Of the Old Duty !-													
From 29 Sept. t To 30 March, 1	o 24 De	d. 1694			-	-			-	674 615	3	0	
To 20 June		-	-	-		-	-	-	-	10	-	9	1,2
New Duty for Tre	nanoria.	;a											
From 30 March	to 29 J	une 16	395					-	-	1,356 1,172	5	0	
To 28 Sept.					24		•						2,8
													3,8
			-										
					_	00	(1)	100	1 40 9	2 Santar	nhor	1695	
Acro	UNIP OF	4 4 4 1.	EH C	ENT	, fro	m 29	Sopt). 169 ^o	1 00 2	8 Septer £	8.		· 4
To Receipts:									1	24	5.	a .	
From 29 Sept. to	24 Dec	. 1694			4		•		-	-	-		2,5
												- 1	
					-	-							-
	Acco	UNT O	r Con	NAG	E, fr	om 1	Sept	. 1694	to 28	Sept. 1	395.		
										£	8.	d.	3,
To Balance remai	ning in	Cash,	1 Ser	t. 16	94		•	"					0,
To Receipts :-													
In the Port of Lo From 1 Sept. 1			1805		fo.					1,570	8	1	
To 1 June To 1 August	= -	- ALDIN	-	-	ь		-		-	1,901 1,939	()	0 0	
To 1 August To 28 Sept.		4			-	-	-		-	817	14	9	6,
P. M. Dut Dunts													,
From 1 Sept. 1		l Apri	1 1898	5 -	-				-	1,200	8	2	
To 1 June To 1 August		40			20				-		19	81	
To 28 Sept.				-	-	-	-	-	-	399	13	11	2.
													13
	_								(
12 mg 05													
Page 25.				-	-								
The	Accoun	of Tr	Ton	NAGI	s Du	TY, f	from	29 Se	pt. 1	394 to 28	Se ₁	ot. 169	5.
										£	8.	d.	
To Receipts, viz.:- In the Port of Lo		14											
From 20 Hept.			94				-		-	11,33	1 10	7	
To 30 March 1 To 29 June	695 -		-	-		-			- 1	12,300 20,41	10		
To 28 Sept.	0 0	-	**	-	-			-	-	17,36	5 4	3	61
In the Out Ports	-												
From 29 Sept.	to 24 D	100. 101	14		-		-	-	-	3,650	2 16	53	
Prom an nego	.695 -				-	:			-	3,34	2 6	111	
To 30 March 1 To 29 June										10,21	53 43	11"	
To 30 March 1 To 29 June To 28 Sept.	9 9	•	10	-	-			-	- 1				20
To 30 March 1 To 29 June		•	10	•				•					20,

General State of Receipts and Payments, &c.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 996.

ACCOUNT OF COFFEE, TEA, &c., from 29 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695. £ s. d. 'ayments into the Exchequer, viz .: th Old Duty :--From 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 Fo 30 March 1695 Fo 29 June 674 0 0 Fo 29 June -1,209 7 9 w Duty for Transports :-From 30 March to 29 June 1695 1,356 0 1,172 5 2,528 5 R 3,827 13 5 ACCOUNT of 41 PER CENT., from 29 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695. £ 8. d. 1 £ s. d Paum uts into the Exchequer :-From 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 2.250 0 0 ACCOUNT of COINAGE, from 1 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695. £ 8. d. y Payments into the Exchequer: From 29 March to 29 June 1695 To 28 Sept. 3,020 0 0 2,112 10 0 y Debentures paid : -From 1 Sept. 1694 to 1 April 1695 To 1 June To 1 August - - -To 28 Sept. - - -106 18 16 13 16 14 8 12 9<u>1</u> 148 19 64 5,281 9 61 6.515 0 113 Balance remaining in Cash, 28 Sept. 1695 11.796 10 6 The ACCOUNT of TONNAGE DUTY, from 29 Sept. 1694 to 28 Sept. 1695. Cr. £ 8. d. y Paym nis into the Exchequer :-From 29 Sept. to 24 Dec. 1694 To 30 March 1695 To 29 June 14,987 7 15,651 19 23,335 18 0 26,931 10 94 To 28 September 80,906 15 82

> 78 7 6 652 17 0

> > 731 4 6 81,638 0 14

From 30 March to 29 June 1695 To 28 Sept. Page 27.

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

No. 996.

	The A	CCOUR	NT of	Exc	ISE	GEN	ERA	L, from	10	ct. 16	94 to 30 S	ept	. 1695	5.
To Balance re	mininte	er in C	lanh	1 00	F 161	0.4		£	δ.	d.	£	8.	d.	£ 19,6:4
10 barance re	:mamma;	g m c	21211,	1 00	D. 10.	0.1	- (10,000
To Receipts of					, viz.	June								
Of Heredita														
From 1 O	ct. to 31	Dec.	1694				- 1	112,487						
To 1 Apri	[]] K9a	-	4			•		104,305						
To 1 July To 30 Sep		-				-		112,355 119,442						
10 50 Sep	10. =	-						110,440	10		448,592	1	103	
		70 * 4	0.1								110,000	^	100	
Additional							. 1	70 507	٥					
From 1 O			1094		•		-	72,527 67,513						
To 1 July	1 1000		_					72,054						
To 30 Sep	t							77,453		34				
2000000										-4	289,549	4	83	
Double 21d.	and 200	7											•	
From 1 O			1694					277	6	33				
To 1 Apri						-		116		5				
To 1 July	7 10		-					186	2	43				
To 30 Sep) "			**	44	69	es	15	7	10多				
											595	5	0	
Excise for 9	9 Years	12												
From 1 0	ct. to 3	1 Dec.	1694		-		-	36,464						
To 1 Apr	il 1695	-	-	**	-		-	33,680						
To 1 July		~	•	**	~			36,847						
To 30 Sep)U	es .	•		-	- '	-	39,408	9	51	140 100	1.7	11	
											146,400	14	11	
Additional														
From 1 0 To 1 Apr	ict, to 3.	1 Dec.			-	*	*	598		104				
To 1 July			01			*		550						
To 30 Ser		_						4,233 3,058		111				
20 00 201	7 01							0,000		115				
Low Wines.											8,440	11	$5\frac{1}{2}$	
From 1 0		1 Doc	1894					4,152	10	0.1				
To 1 Apri						-	-	3,693						
To 1 July				-			٠.	2,349		13				
To 30 Ser		4		44				2,258						
											12,454	9	5	
												_		906,032
				PPma										
				TIN	nspe	orted	-				_			925,656
														_
														_
														_
Page 29.														
								1 0		-	1 0		-	0

Transported -	£ _	s. d.	£	s.	d.	£ 925, 65 0

General State of Receipts and Payments, &c.

1695-6.

No. 996.

The Ac	COUNT of	EXCISE	GENE	RA	L, from 1 Oct. 1	694 to 30 S	ept. 169	5. (Cr.
					£ s. d.		s. d.		, d.
Payments into the	Exchequer	·, viz.;	-	- 1					
From 1 Oct. to 3	Temporar,	<i>y</i> :			13,406 4 11	1			
To 1 April 1695	1 Dec. 105		-		2,000 0 0				
To I Juny -			-	- [2,900 0 0				
To 30 sept.		•	-	- 1	14,100 0 0	32,406	4 11		
tdditional 9d, and	Dearlita Q.1			-		02,1			
From 1 Oct. to 3	1 Dec. 162	1 -			76,082 15 5				
To 1 April 1695				- ,	64,987 19 0				
Tal July -			-	- [71,504 16 44 78,315 6 2				
To 30 Sept			•	-	78,315 6 2	290,890	16 111		
Souble Ercise; -									
From 1 Oct. to 3	1 Dec. 1694	1 -	-	٠,	54 17 8				
To 1 April 1695 To 1 July -			-	-	0 8 14 137 8 14				
To 30 Sept			-		2 18 2				
200.100						195	12 1		
21.1. and 30d. per 1 From \ Oct. to 3	Barrel: -			1					
From 'Oct. to 3	1 Dec. 16	1 ~		-	473 11 0½ 116 0 3				
To 1 April 1695 To 1 July -				. 1	48 14 3				
To 30 Sept.				-	12 9 9				
						- 650	15 34		
E. cise for 99 Years	1:				20 201 0 22				
From 1 Oct. to 3 To 1 April 1695	1 Dec. 169	1 -	-		38,204 8 11 32,418 13 9½				
To I July -			-		36,571 12 34				
To 30 Sept.					39,789 1 72				
Additional Impost	on Brands					- 116,983	16 75		
From 1 Oct. to 3	1 Dec. 169	4 -	-	- 1	598 9 101				
To I April 1695			-	+ 1	550 9 4				
To 1 July - To 30 Sept			•	-	3,951 13 8 8,152 8 7½				
			•		5,102 0 79	- 8,253	1 6		
Low Wines :-					1000 10 11				
From 1 Oct. to 3 To 1 April 1695	1 Dec. 169	1 -	-		4,300 13 11 3,563 14 84				
To 1 July -					2,425 6 1				
To 30 Sept				-	2,462 5 3	10 851	10 111		
By Tullies paid or	Account	of Pens	ions, &	c.,		12,701	19 111	492,132	6 64
Viz. : -			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,					_
To the Duchess of E From 1 Oct. to 3	luccleugh :-	<u> </u>			1,400 0 0	1			
To 1 April 1695	1 1960, 103		-		1,300 0 0				
To I July -					1,300 0 0				
To 30 Sept.			-	•	1,300 0 0	- 5,300	0 0		
						!			
		Transp	orted	- [_	5,300	0 0	492,132	6 6
Page 30.									
		-							
		Transp	orted		£ s. d.	£,300	s. d.	£ 492,132	s. d. 6 6
To the Duke of Son	thampton :		Jorred			,,,,,,,		100,000	
From 1 Oct. to 3	31 Dec. 169	1 -	-		1,075 0 0 1,000 0 0				
To 1 April 1695 To 1 July -		1 1	-	-	1,000 0 0 250 0 0	1			
To 30 Sept	1 1		-		550 0 0	2,875	0 0	'	
Duke of Grafton :	-					2,010	-	1	
From 1 (let to 3	1 Dec. 169	1 -	-	-	500 0 0				
To 1 April 1695 To 1 July			-	-	500 0 0 1,000 0 0	1			
To 30 Sept				-	1,000 0 0 500 0 0	9 500	0 0		
Duchess of Grafton						2,500	0 0		
From 1 Oct to 3	21 1)00 160)4 -		-	250 0 0				
To I April 1695 To I July			-	-	250 0 0 500 0 0				
To 30 Sept	: :			:	500 0 0 250 0 0				
- Oper						1,250	0 0		

Transported -

11,925 0 0 492,132 6 64

1695-6.

ACCOUNTS COMMISSIONERS.

Page 29-cont. No. 996.

The ACCOUNT of EXCISE GENERAL-cont.

Transported	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ 925,656
			ı
Page 31.			
Transported -	£ s. d.	£_ ε. d.	£ 925,656
	£ s. d.	£ ε. d.	
Transported -	£ s. d.	£_ ε. d.	2
Transported -	£ s. d.	£_ \$. d.	2
Transported -	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	2
Transported -	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	2
Transported -	£ s. d.	£ s. d.	2

General State of Receipts and Payments, &c.

age 30 cont.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 996.

The Account of Excise General - cont. £ s. d. | £ s. d. 11,925 0 0 | 492,132 6 6Å Transported uke of Northumberland :-From 1 Oot, to 31 Dec. 1694
To 1 April 1696 - - - To 1 July - - - - - To 30 Sept. - - - - -1,150 1,250 700 0 1,300 n 4,400 0 0 e William Killigrew : -To I July - - To 30 Sept. - -500 0 0 Satisfaction of Loan :-From 1 Get. to 31 Dec. 1694
Fo 1 April 1695 - - To 1 July - - To 30 Sept. - - - -77,334 6 21 76,937 6 11 292,944 0 10 309,769 0 10 · the Prince of Denmark :-Trom 1 Oct. to 31 Dec. 1694
To 1 April 1695 - - To 1 July - - To 30 Sept. - - -13,500 0 0 0 0 53,500 0 0 From 1 Oct. to 31 Dec. 1694
To 1 April 1695
To 1 July
To 3c Sept. 3,552 8 93 4,062 8 93 2,552 8 93 3,552 8 93 13,709 15 2 w Bailiffs of Yarmouth :-From 31 Dec. 1694 to 1 April 1695 -160 0 0 67,369 15 2 Transported 869,271 2 61 £ s. d. £ 1. d. £ s. d. 869,271 2 64 Transported Interest for Loans:—
From 1 Oct. to 31 Dec. 1694
To 1 April 1695
To 1 July 2,398 16 4,270 18 4,456 19 13 3,242 17 14,369 11 4 From I April to 1 July 1695 To 30 Sept. 1 10 0 4 16 0 6 6 0 11.375 17 4 From 1 Oct. to 31 Dec. 1694 To 1 April 1695 - - -To 1 July - - - -5,332 11 5,423 1 5,434 5 0 5.494 21,684 7 6 Incidents: -From 1 Oct. to 31 Dec. 1694
To 1 July 1695 - - -3,085 4 3 2,010 13 6 5,095 17 9 26,780 5 3 910,427 5 15,231 41 Balance remaining in Cash, 30 Sept. 1695 925,658 16 21

Accounts Commissioners.

The ACCOUNT of SALT EXCISE, from 1 Oct.	1694 to 30 Sept. 1695	· ·
To Balance remaining in Cash, the 1 October - s.	$\frac{d}{s} = \frac{s}{s} \cdot \frac{d}{s}$	£ 1,3
To Receipts, viz.:— From 1 Oct. to 31 Dec. 1694	16,195 11 3 12,406 16 04 10,998 10 04 21,091 7 8	60,6
1		62,0
Page 33.		
The ACCOUNT of the POST OFFICE, from 29 Sep	£ s. d	}5. _£
To Balance remaining in Cash, 29 Sept. 1694	- ~ - "	. £
To Receipts: — From 29 Sept. to 31 Dec. 1694	22,(47 J2 1 23,217 3 8 21,697 4 3	П
To 30 Sept	- 21,040 9 9	87,9
Total transport	ed	88,6
		1
		,

General State of Receipts and Payments, &c.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 996.

ige 32—cont,

n	he Ac	COUL	T of	SALT	Exc	CISE.	from 1 Oct. 1694	to 30 Sept. 1695.		Cr.	
'anments into From 1 Oct. i To 1 April 16 To 1 July To 30 Sept.	0 31 I 95 -	eo. 1	.694	-	-	-		$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			d,
Howanes for From 31 Dec. To 1 July - ulars and E From 31 Dec. To 1 July - To 30 Sept.	1694 t	10 1 A	April	1695 - 1 6 95	-		748 19 6 485 16 0	1,234 15 6	59,302	9	41/2
To 30 Sept.							3 19 10	389 3 2		8	0.1
Smaller Telling	ming a	шСа	311, 00) သပ္မေ	L. 10:	JU ~		_	1,152 62,078 1	9	9

age 31.

AM													
The Accou	INT of th	he Po	ost (FFI	CE, from	29	Sept.	1694 to 3	0 Se	ept. 1	1695.	Cr	
Payments into the Exche							d.			_			
From 31 Dec. 1694 to	1 April 1	695		-	~	. ".	ч.	£ 2,135	9.		~	8.	u.
To 1 July				- !				3,065					
											5,200	0 9	8
Tallies paid, several, vi	2.7										0,20		
or the Works: -													
From 29 Sept. to 31 D		-	-	-	2,000								
	-	-		-	6,500								
To 1 July		-	-	-	3,500								
To 30 Sept		•	-		6,705	9	2			_			
he Gardens;								18,705	В	2			
From I July to 30 Sep	t 1695							600	0	0			
								000	U	U			
he Duchess of Grafton:													
From 1 April to 1 Jul;	y 1695		-		~			3,000	0	0			
?and of Pensioners :-													
From 1 April to 1 July	y 1695	-	•	-	2,400		0						
To 30 Sept.		-	-	-	3,300	0	0						
n satisfaction of Loan :-								5,700	0	0			
From 29 Sept. to 31 De	00 160 (0.000	0	0						
To 1 April 1695 -	ec. 1004		-		6,000		0						
To 30 Sept					3,177 1,500								
200100000					1,000			10,677		-2			
Pensions paid, viz.:-								10,011			38,685	0 17	K
"o the linke of Leeds : -											00/002	£ 1.1	U
From 29 Sept. to 31 De	ec. 1694	-	-	-	875	0	0						
To 1 April 1695	-	*		-	875	0	0						
To I July	-	-	-	~	875	0	()						
To 30 Sept	•	-	-	-	875)	0				,		
nuchess of Cleveland: -							_	3,500	()	U			
From 1 April to 1 July	y 1695	-		-	1,300	0	0						
To 30 Sept					1,050	0	0						
Earl of Rochester :-						-	[2,350	()	()			
From 29 Sept. to 31 De	ec. 1694		_	-	1,300	Ω	0						
To 1 April 1695			-		1,300								
To I July			-	- 1	1,300		0						
To 30 Sept		-		-	1,300		0						
Duke of Schonberg:-						_		5,200	0	0			
From 29 Sept. to 31 De	ec. 1694			-	1,000	0	0						
To 1 April 1695				-	2,000								
10 1 July				-	2,000		0						
To 30 Sept			-	-	1,000	()	0						
Sir John Somers :-								6,000	0	0			
From 29 Sept. to 31 De	e. 1694			_	500	0	0						
To 1 April 1695				- 1	500								
Tol July				-	500	Ű	0						
To 30 Sept.	-	-	44	- ,	500	0	0						
				1				2,000	()	0			
	Tra	aispo	rted	-	_		1	19,05)	()	0	43,883	3 7	1

1695-6.

Accounts Commissioners.

No 996.

Page 35.

The ACCOUNT of the Post Office-cont.

£_s. d. £ s. 88,664 12 Total transported -

General State of Receipts and Payments, &c.

ze 36.

1695-6. No. 996.

Tì	Cr.			
illran, Dockwya;	Transported	£ _ s. d.	£ s. d.	£ s. d. 43,883 7 1
rom 29 Sept. to 31 Dec. 'o 1 April 1695 - 'o 1 July - 'o 30 Sept		- 125 0 0 - 125 0 0 - 125 0 0 - 125 0 0	500 0 0	
teres' for Loans:— From 29 Sept. to 31 Dec. To 1 April 1695 - To 30 Sept	1694	-	108 0 9 24 1 6 17 4 7	19,550 0 0
acket Brats. from 29 sept. to 31 Dec. fo 1 April 1695 - Fo 1 July - Fo 30 Sept Fo 30 Sept.		- 5,443 19 11 - 2,801 1 9 - 2,508 1 1 - 2,469 9 5	13,312 12 2	144 6 10
laries - Prom 29 sept. to 31 Dec. Po 1 April 1695 - Po 1 July - To 30 Sept Cileuts: -		- 1,667 1 8 - 1,662 1 8 - 1,711 7 8 - 1,658 17 8	6,699 8 8	
From 29 Sept. to 31 Dec. To 1 April 1695 To 1 July To 30 Sept	1694	- 1,269 16 2 - 1,554 2 4 - 782 7 2 - 673 12 8	4,279 18 4	0.001.70
dalance remaining in Cas	sh, 30 Sept. 169	95 - —		24,291 19 2 87,869 13 1 794 19 10 88,664 12 11

igned P. Foley, Ro: Harley, H. Boyle, Tho: Pope Blount. lorsed as received this day from the Commissioners of Public ounts. L. J., XV. 654. [The House, on the 23rd, had ordered Commissioners appointed by a late Act of Parliament to examine and state the Public Accounts to send with all convenient and in writing a State of the said Public Accounts (ib. 649).]

1695-6. No. 997. 997. Jan. 31. Fownes' Estate Act.—Draft of an Act to en John Fownes, Esqre, to sell certain lands in the County of Downich were settled on his marriage, and to settle other lands of equal value to the same uses. No Amendment in either House. [Flathis day; Royal Assent 24 Feb. L. J., XV. 656, 679. 7 Will. III. c. 24 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 7 Feb.]

Annexed:-

(a) 7 Feb.—Statement setting out the provisions of the signed and sealed, as consenting thereto, by Robert Northle Trustee of the marriage Settlement, and Edward Yarde, fa of Anne Fownes, in presence of Giles Yarde, James Yarde, Da: Jones; by John Fownes and Anne his wife, in present of Amy Yarde, Chas. Fortescue, and Margaret Hooke; am Edward Yarde, brother of Anne Fownes, and a Trustee of marriage Settlement, in presence of Wm. Simon and Addis. Dated 23 Jan., and produced before the Select Comittee this day. Com. Book.

(b) 7 Feb.—Affidavit of Giles Yarde, of Churston Fer Devon, Gent., sworn on 23 Jan. before Rich; Hillersdon Totnes, that he saw the above consent executed by Ro Northleigh on 22 Jan. and by Edward Yarde the Elde

23 Jan. [appended to preceding].

(c) 7 Feb.—Affidavit of Chas. Fortescue, of St. Budocke, De Gent., sworn on 24 Jan. before Edw. Pollexfen at Plymothat he saw the above consent executed by John and Fownes on 24 Jan. [appended to (a)].

998. Feb. 1. Sir William Williams (Reversal of Judgment) Bi Commons' Engrossment of an Act for reversing a Judgment g against Sir William Williams for what he did as Speaker of the H of Commons, and for asserting the rights and freedom of Parlian Whereas an Information was exhibited in the Court of King's B in Trinity Term in the six and thirtieth year of the reign of the King Charles the Second against William Williams, Esquire, Spe of the House of Commons in the Parliament held at Westminster five and twentieth day of October in the two and thirtieth year of reign of the said late King, for the acting and proceeding of the William Williams, Esquire, now Sir William Williams, Knight Baronet, in Parliament, by the order and command of the Commor that Parliament, as their Speaker sitting in the said Parliamen manifest violation and contempt of the rights, privileges, and free of proceedings in Parliament; and whereas the Judgment of said Court of King's Bench in Easter Term in the second year of reign of the late King James the Second, upon the plea of the Sir William Williams to the said Information exhibited, is illegal against the freedom and rights of Parliament, contrary to the laws constitution of Parliament, and is pernicious and may be of dange consequence to the freedom of proceedings in Parliament; and the same may not hereafter be brought into example or preceden the said Court of King's Beach, or any other Court out of Parlian to assume a power or jurisdiction to question, implead, or impead Speaker or any member of either House of Parliament for actings or proceedings in Parliament, or by the order or comman either House of Parliament, or to question, punish, fine, cen imprison, or adjudge them for the same, such matters and proceed are hereby declared to be only cognizable in Parliament, and ough questioned or intermeddled with by any Court out of Parliament; 1695 - 6.No. 998.

thereas the said Court of King's Bench did by the said Judgment. n arbitrary, excessive, and unprecedented fine of ten thousand Is upon the said Sir, William Williams for his said actings and edings in Parliament; and the said Sir William Williams was in in the said second year of the reign of the said late King James second, by Capias out of the said Court of King's Bench and unwarrantable and grievous proceedings, constrained to pay eight and pounds into the Exchequer in satisfaction of the said fine, tallies of receipt for the same doth appear; wherefore, for the ting and declaring, as well of the rights, privileges, and freedom receedings in Parliament, and of the Speakers and members of, as for the vindicating and righting of the said Sir William ams in his said proceeding in Parliament, be it enacted, &c., the said Judgment given in the Court of King's Bench against the Sir William Williams be and is hereby reversed, annulled, and made leclared void to all intents, constructions, and purposes, as if the Judgment had never been given. Parchment Collection. [Brought the Commons this day. Read 1a on 14 Feb., and a Committee inted to examine what bath been done upon Bills for reversing ments which have begun in the House of Commons. L. J., 657, 669. The Committee met on 21 Feb., and reported three dents, and the Bill was read 2° and committed. L. J., XV. 676; . Book. No further proceedings. 9. Feb. 4. Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb.—Petition and Appeal of

Casar Wood, alias Cranmer, Knt. Thomas Wood, the elder, ased, left three sons and two daughters, viz., Sir Henry, Knt. and , John, and Thomas, late bishop of Liehfield and Coventry, Mary, married to Samuel Cranmer, Petitioner's father, and wards to Sir Henry Chester, Knight of the Bath, and Elizabeth, ied to Anthony Webb. Sir Henry had a fee simple estate h about 4,000l. a year, which, on the betrothal of his only Mary, aged seven, to Charles Dake of Southampton, aged en (See Hist, MSS, Commission, 14th Rep., App. No. 597), he on and 23 May 1671 vested in trustees, of whom Petitioner alone ives, in trust, in case the marriage took place after Mary was en, and she had issue male by the Duke, for her and the Duke, the survivor of them, and for their issue male successively in tail , and failing male issue on their daughters severally in tail; and, lefault of any issue, in trust for such persons and uses as appointed Sir Henry's will; and, for want of such will, in trust for Sir ry's right heirs. The next day Sir Henry made a will leaving property, if the marriage did not take place according to the ement, or the Duke died without issue begotten of Mary, to Mary her male issue in tail male or her daughters in tail successively, for default of such issue to Thomas Wood, D.D., the late bishop, his issue male and female successively in tail in like manner; ng them to Lady Chester and Petitioner, her son, and his sons ry and Charles Cranmer, and their respective issue male successively ul male, on condition that they should take the name of Wood; failing them to Elizabeth Webb and her son Thomas and his issue e, with remainder to Henry Webb and his issue male, also in tail e, with the like condition; and, after the determination of those tes, to Sir Henry's right heirs. Sir Henry died the next day, and y married the Duke, but before she was 16, and died without issue 5 Nov. 1689. Upon her death (Mary Kirke, daughter of John 1695-6. No. 999. Kirke and Mary, daughter of John Wood, the second son, being Sir Henry's right heir) Dr. Thomas Wood entered upon the proand enjoyed it for twelve years without any interruption from Kirke, and died without issue in April 1692, about which time Mary Kirke died; and, Lady Chester being dead, Petitioner succe to the property. In 1693, however, Thomas Webb, contending the will could not come into operation during the Duke's lifetime that the estate therefore devolved on heirs general until his d claimed half of the estate as coheir with the Petitioner, all the heirs being dead, and obtained a Decree in Chancery in his fa Petitioner, founding upon the clear intention of the will, in accord with which Mary Kirke did not succeed, though as heir genera would have been entitled before the bishop, appeals against the De Signed Cæsar Wood, alias Cranmer, and countersigned Fr: Pembe Tho: Powys, Wm. Dobyns, and Mortmr. Folkes. L. J., XV. At the hearing, on 14 March, Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. appeared for Appellant, and Sir Bartholomew Shore and Mr. P for Respondent. Appeal dismissed. MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 705.

Annexed:

(a) 17 Feb. Answer of Thomas Webb.—It was decide another cause that the marriage was according to the settler. The will cannot take effect until the Duke's death. Sign Respondent, and countersigned by D. Foucault. Endors brought in this day. See also L. J., XV. 705.]

1000. Feb. 7. Ryder's Estate Act.—Draft of an Act to confire establish an exchange made between Thomas Ryder, Esq., Christopher Clitherow, Esq., of certain messuages in London for Manors of Bilsington and other lands in Kent of the like No Amendment in either House. [Read lathis day; Royal A 7 March. L. J., XV. 662, 697. 7 & 8 Will. III. e. 35 in Long See also Com. Book, 12 Feb.]

1001. Feb. 7. Midford's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Alenabling Trustees to sell the Manor of Pespoole in the Cour Durham, part of the Estate of William [Mitford] Midford, an I for payment of Debts and Incumbrances charged thereon, at preserving the rest of the said Infant's Estate. The only Amend made were to correct the name in the title, to state the debts as a finstead of 3,1501., to add the names of the trustees, and to make the of Thomas Stroud a first charge. No Amendments in the Cour [Read 1a this day; Royal Assent 7 March, L. J., XV. 662 7 & 8 Will. III. e. 37 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 18 Fe

Annexed :-

(a) 18 Feb.—Consent of Mercy Brisco, mother and guard John Briscoe, grandson and heir of William Briscomortgagee, knowing her son is only seized in trust for M Partis, Esq. Signed 7 Feb., and attested by James H William Sunton, by his mark, and Jo: Muncaster. [Reday before Select Committee. Com. Book.]

(b) 18 Feb.—Consent of Katherine Beadnell, widow, kins and executrix of William Midford, the Infant's grand Signed 10 Feb., and attested by Jesse Jenkinson, Hen. I Wm. Sunton, by his mark, and Jo: Muncaster. [Read to

before Select Committee. Com. Book.]

(c) 18 Feb.—Consent of Jane Midford, widow, mother of the ¹ 695Infant. Signed 10 Feb., and attested by Robt. Roper, Fra:
Roper, and Jo: Muncaster. She further prays that Henry
Liddell and William Lambton, Esqres., may be the trustees.
[Read this day before Select Committee. Com. Book.]

(d) 18 Feb. Lords' Amendments made in Select Committee this day. The substance of them is given above. Com. Book.

02. Feb. 10. Writ of Summons (L. Chandos).—Writ of Sum, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to James, L. Chandos. [Took the Onths lay. L. J., XV. 664.]

03. Feb. 10. Writ of Summons (E. Tankerville).—Writ of nous, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to Ford, F. Tankerville. [Introduced lay. The date is 11 Oct. in L. J., XV. 664.]

04. Feb. 10. Sir Wm. Barkham's Estate Act.—Amended Draft Act for making good the last Will of Sir William Barkham, deceased, and vesting of lands in Trustees to be sold for payment debts and making provision for his children. No Amendment e Commons. [Read In this day. Royal Assent 10 April. L. J., 665, 733. 8 Will. III. c. 59 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, eb.]

xed:--

(a) Paper Copy of the Bill as amended in Committee.

(b) 18 Feb.—Lords' Amendments in Select Committee this day, consisting of the addition of the trustees' names. Com. Book.
 05. Feb. 11. Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College.—

ion and Appeal of Lee Warner, Gent. Dr. John Warner, by his of 24 Sept. 1666, gave out of his manor of Swayton 400l, for ever he maintenance of twenty poor widows of orthodox and loyal ymen, and a chaplain, to minister according to the Church of and, to whom he bequeathed 50l. a year. The almshouse to be built out of his personal estate, and to be repaired out of 450/., and the widows to be selected by his executors, and wards by trustees. He left Swayton, thus charged, to Doctor Lee until his son attained the age of 22, when he was to have estate and take the name of Warner; and he named as his stors L. C. J. Bridgman, Sir Philip Warwick, Dr. Pierce, Presiof Magdalen College, Oxford, and John Lee, Archdeacon of ester. The hospital was built and called Bromley College. An of 22 Car. II. charged the estate with 5l. a year more for the rs. In June 1693 a Commission of Charitable Uses decreed that ioner should pay the trustees, quarterly instead of yearly, 201. a for each of the widows, free of any charge for repairs; should pay year to the widows for 2 acres of land wrongfully withheld them; should reinstate one Mrs. Grace Featly, who had been inted and then turned out by Dr. Pierce, the last surviving execu-Ars. Oliver being appointed in her stead, and should pay Mrs. y three years' arrears; and should be put out of the trust. This confirmed by a Decree in Chancery, against which Petitioner aled on 8 March 1693-4 (L. J., XV. 388); but a second Commisof Charitable Uses was granted which amended the Decree of the or one, and satisfied Petitioner, so that he withdrew his Appeal. widows, however, by direction of the trustees, petitioned the Keeper against the second decree, objecting that the granting of ond Commission was irregular. The second Decree was therereversed. Appeals against the first Decree and the reversal of

1695-6. No. 1005. the second. Signed Lee Warner, and countersigned R. Baldwin Dan: Bedingfeld. L. J., XV. 666. [At the hearing, on 3 Ma Sir Tho: Powys and Sir Bartholomew Shore appeared for the Aplant, and the Respondent was represented by Mr. Finch and Dobyns, the latter of whom objected to the jurisdiction of House, but was overruled. After argument, the Abp. of Canterb gave the House an account of the proceeding as to the Commissio of Charitable Uses, and what they had done as to Mr. Warner, stated that they had strained a point on his behalf. The Appeal dismissed. MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 691.]

Annexed :--

- (a) 21 Feb.—Several Answers of William North, Gent., Grace Featly, widow, on behalf of herself and other the wire of Bromley College, Kent. Grace Featly was turned on Appellant, not by Dr. Pierce. Only a few of the trustees, none of the widows, agreed to the second Decree. Repeat contentions of the First Decree. Signed J. Bennett. Ender as brought in this day. See also L. J., XV. 691.
- (b) Answer of William North, Gent., on behalf of the widow Warner's first Appeal, which was afterwards withdrawn. I tical with the first part of preceding. Signed Wm. North countersigned T. Vernon. Endorsed as brought in 15 M 1693-4.

1006. Feb. 12. Trade with France Prevention Bill,-Draft Act to prevent trade and correspondence with France. several laws have been made to prevent trade and correspondence France, notwithstanding which the same is still continued and es on, chiefly by the conveniency of a speedy passage, by the F privateers bringing over their manufactures and returning with to France, which tends to the impoverishing of thousands employ the manufactures of wool and silks in this nation, thereby lowering rents of land and decreasing the revenues of the Crown. And wi these practices are carried on by the French privateers frequer lying on the coasts of Kent and Sussex, whereby they not only acc themselves with our creeks and landing places, to the danger of Government in general, but also frequently surprize our merchant and coasters, to the destruction of trade in particular. And wh in the first year of his Majesty's reign, an Act was made, intitul Act for the better preventing the exportation of wool and encounthe woollen manufactures of this kingdom, and it was enacted the said Act should continue in force for the term of three years. whereas the said Act was further continued by one other Act, m the fourth year of his Majesty's reign, entituled an Act for rev continuing, and explaining several laws which are expired, of expiring, with a clause (vizt) that so much as related to the trans tion of wool, with some alterations, was continued for the further of three years longer; which Act being now near expiring, Be it fore enacted &c. that the said Act be continued, with such alterati are hereafter expressed, for the further term of years and end of the next Sessions of Parliament after. And whereas enacted in and by the said first recited Act that what wool sl shorn and housed within five miles of the sea ought to be ent the Custom House or next port. And whereas it is found by exp that that distance is not enough to prevent the said evils,

fore further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that, from and the cultural to the cultural

. be enlarged to miles, any law, custom, or usage to the rary notwithstanding. And whereas in the said Act the importaof wool from Ireland is there limited only to Liverpool, Chester, tol, Minchead, Barnstaple, and Bideford. And whereas the port ridgewater lies convenient for the furnishing of the clothicrs in those s of Somerset. Be it therefore further enacted, by the authority said, that it shall and may be lawful to import wool from Ireland the port of Bridgewater also, any law, custom, or usage to the rary notwithstanding. And whereas it was further enacted in and he said Act that there was allowed to be transported from the port jouthampton to the islands of Guernsey, Jersey, Alderney, and ;, double the quantity of wool than what was allowed in the Act made te 12th year of the reign of King Charles the Second, (vizt, one sand tods for the island of Guernsey, two thousand tods for the id of Jersey, two hundred tods for the island of Alderney, and one ired tods for the island of Sark, more than was allowed by the said). And whereas it is apparent that there are great quantities of I, under pretence of the statute of the first year of his now Majesty's u, shipped off for the said islands from the said town of Southampton carried to France, to the great prejudice of the English trade in said manufacture, to prevent which evil be it further enacted, by authority aforesaid, that no more wool after the

next shall be transported to the said islands than what allowed by the said Act of the 12th of King Charles the Second esaid, any law, custom, or usage to the contrary in anywise notistanding. And whereas, notwithstanding the laws aforesaid libiting likewise the exportation of fuller's earth, or fulling clay, er the colour of tobacco-pipe clay, divers quantities of such earth elay are frequently transported beyond the seas. Be it further ted, by the authority aforesaid, that no fullers' earth, fulling clay, acco pipe clay, or other fulling or scouring earth be henceforth sported or exported into Scotland, Ireland, or any part or place oud the seas out of the kingdom and dominion aforesaid, under the alty limited by the Act made in the fourteenth year of the reign of late King Charles the Second, any law, statute, or other provision the contrary in anywise notwithstanding. [Read 1a this day, and unitted on 15th to the Select Committee on the East India npany's Charters; but never considered in Committe or reported. L. XV. 667, 670.

007. Feb. 14. Norwood v. Norwood.—Petition and Appeal of an Norwood. His father, Francis Norwood, being possessed of the art of Leckhampton, Gloucestershire, and having six sons, Richard, arles, John, Francis, William, and Thomas, and several daughters, wanting money for payment of his debts and for portions for his dren, in 1674 conveyed the demesne lands of the manor for 5,400l., the stock at a valuation, to his kinsman Col. Henry Norwood, who ording to Articles executed to William Brent and David Williams, 4s., as trustees for Francis and his family, a lease of the whole ate for 1,000 years, which should be void if Henry Norwood untel the estate, after his death, to Francis and his eldest son chard, and afterwards to such of the issue male of Francis as he stild think fit, so that the estate might descend in the family, or if he cented to Francis and his heirs a good estate in law of the services I copyholds of the manor, &c., and paid over the money received

1695-6.

in the meantime for chief rents or heriots or raised by fines, the value of the household stuff. In 1687 Henry Norwood the marriage of William, one of the on of Francis, granted manor, &c. to trustees for himself for life, then for Richard tife, then for William for life, then for William's wife, as to for her jointure, then for the issue male of William, for de whereof for Thomas and his issue male, failing whom for the male of Richard, failing whom for Charles, John, and Fr successively and their issue male, then for the right heirs of Pr the father. Francis the father died in 1682, Col. Henry Richard in 1689, and William in 1693 without issue. Thom now in possession. Petitioner, on his return from beyond the exhibited his Bill in Chancery, as the eldest issue male of fr then living, against Thomas for recovery of possession and acof profits, which was dismissed by the Lord Keeper. Ap against the dismission Signed by Appellant, and counters Wm. Whitelocke, Joh: Brewer. L. J., XV. 670. [At the Hes on 10th March, Sir Wm. Whitelocke opened for Appellant, were Articles made that he should enjoy the estate, leaving advance one of the family. There are six sons, and he takes it him to leave it to the fifth son. Sir Burth: Shore: Col. I. Norwood is but a trustee. He enjoyed it 16 years. Sir Powys, for Respondent: If Chancery had decreed otherwise, it been as arbitrary as ever was done. The Colonel is an abpurchaser. That's certain. Mr. Northey also heard for Respon Appeal dismissed. L. J., XV. 701; MS. Min.]

Annexed :--

(a) Feb. 28.—Answer of Thomas Norwood. Appellant in stated wherein the dismission is erroneous. Signed by spondent, and countersigned T. Powys. Endorsed as brein this day. MS. Min. See also L. J., XV. 701.

1008. Feb. 17. Writ of Summons (E. Nottingham). We Summons, dated 12 Oct., 1695, to Daniel, E. Nottingham. [Tool Oaths this day. L. J., XV. 671.]

1009. Feb. 17. Davement v. Midy.—Petition and Appeal of Cl Davenant, Doctor of Laws. One Joseph Pertuys owed Peti 2007. on Policies of Insummee concerning the taking or not taki towns, taken in the names of Leaves, Tipper, and Vanlange in trust for Petitioner, who had agreed with Pertuys, when a I for 1001., subscribed by Petitioner to Samuel Guyguer in tru Pertuys, became due, to discount it from the 2007. Before it be due, one Bartholomew Midy got Pertuys to assign it to him, and helped him to escape to France to avoid paying the 2007. Midy sued Petitioner for the 1001., and a Bill in Chancery broug Petitioner to discover the dealings between Pertuys and Middismissed. Appeals against the dismission. Signed by Appellant countersagned Win: Whitelocke, Juo: Isham. L. J., XV. 672.

Annexed :-

(a) Feb. 24.—Answer of Bartholomew Midy. On 21st 1693, Appellant subscribed a Policy of Insurance, tak Guyguer, who kept an office of Insurance, in trust for Pe of whom Appellant received 60 guineas premium, in comtion whereof Appellant was to pay Pertuys 100/. in ea City of Cazal [Casale], in Montferrat, with its fortifications, was not taken by the King of Spain or Duke of Savoy's forces on or before 25th December. Guyguer endorsed the Policy, which thereby became as good as a Bill of Exchange, and assigned it to Respondent in payment of a bonâ fide debt of 350l. Signed by Respondent, and countersigned T. Powys, J. Jennings. Endorsed as brought in this day.

1695-6. No. 1009.

10. Feb. 17.—Jones v. Jones.—Petition and Appeal of Hugh s, June., Gent. Petitioner's grandfather, Edward Jones, on his age with Anne Massey, vested his tithes in Denbighshire in John ey in trust, after the death of Edward and Aune and their sou, Jones, senior, Petitioner's father, for the issue male of the last d, so that they ought to come to Petitioner after his father's death, annot be disposed of away from Petitioner. Moreover, in accorwith Articles entered into between the parties, Petitioner paid of his father's debts on condition of his settling half the tithes on If for life, and the other half on Petitioner, together with the sion of the first half, in tail general. Petitioner performed his of the agreement, but his father sold the tithes to Sir Jeffrey cerley for half value. Petitioner's Bill in Chancery to have the settled according to the Articles was dismissed. Appeals against lismission, and prays that his father and Sir Jeffrey Shackerley be ordered to answer. Signed by Appellant, and countered, on 14th Feb., by Ro: Price and Jno. Robins. L. J., XV. 672. he hearing, on 3 April, Sir Thomas Powys and Sir Bartholo-Shore appeared for the Appellant, and stated that he had not leted the payment of 80l. because the Agreement had not been ad out on the other side. 30l. a year had been conveyed for 189l. Dobyns and Mr. Jennings appeared for Respondents. The of Dismission was reversed. The question whether Sir J. xeriey should have his whole money and interest, and account to profits, was negatived by 20 to 11, Tellers L. Clifford and errers, and then the Order was made as in L. J., XV. 727. Min.]. nexed :-

a.) 2 March.—Petition of Appellant for leave to sue in formât panperis, and to have Sir Thomas Powys and Sir Bartholomew Shore assigned to him as Counsel, those assigned to him below, save only the Honble. Mr. Finch, having ceased to practise. L. J., XV. 689.

b.) Order of the Master of the Rolls, dated 12 April 1689, admitting the Appellant to sue in formâ pauperis, and assigning to him Mr. Serjeant Phillipps, Mr. Serjeant Hutchins, Mr. Finch, and Mr. Holford as Counsel, and Mr. Garth as his Six Clerk. [Appended to preceding].

c.) 2 March.—Petition of Sir J. Shackerley, Knt., for some longer time to answer, he being very ancient and not able to travel, and so far distant, in Denbighshire, and having such short notice.

L. J., XV. 689.

d.) 12 March.—Answer of Sir Jeffrey Shackerley, Knt. Respondent agreed to pay Appellant's father 205l. for the tithes, and had paid part of the purchase money before having any notice of Appellant's claim; but, on learning that Appellant had not performed his part of the Articles, he completed the purchase. Appellant's father denied the existence of any agreement with his son, with many oaths and protestations. The dismission

1695-6. No. 1010. had been acquiesced in for seven years. Signed by Respondent countersigned Edward Jennings. Endorsed as broughthis day. See L. J., XV. 727.

(e.) 19 March.—Petition of Appellant for a short day for he

L. J., XV. 711.

1011. Feb. 18.—Wallop's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of a to enable Trustees to make and fill up Leases of the respective E of Blewet Wallop, Esq., and John Wallop, Gent., during their mine and to purchase other lands by the fines thereby to be received same uses as the Estates so to be leased are already settled. Amendments in Lords' Committee, which were purely verbal, have interlined. No Amendments in the Commons. [Read 1ª this Royal Assent 7 March. L. J., XV. 673, 697. 7 & 8 Will. III. in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 21 Feb.]

Annexed :--

(a.) 21 Feb.—Lords' Amendments made in Select Committed day. Com. Book.

1012. Feb. 18.—Jones' Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an A settling the personal Estates of Richard Jones, Esq., and Mary G spinster, minors, in Trustees for the purposes therein ment Amended by the names of the trustees being inserted throughout Bill, the name of Dame Elizabeth Jones, Relict of Sir William being added to the Saving Clause, and a note made for the adoft two Clauses (b and c below) to be substituted for the original s Saving Clause for Elizabeth Pelham's legacy of 12,000/. from grandfather Sir William Jones. No Amendments in the Com [Read 1^a this day; Royal Assent 10 April 1696. L. J., XV. 733. 8 Will. III. c. 56 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 22

Annexed :-

(a.) 22 Feb.—Paper of Lords' Amendments made in

Committee this day. Com. Book.

(b.) 22 Feb.—Draft of Clause charging Elizabeth Pe legacy of 12,000l. from her grandfather Sir Wm. Jones Manor of Isbery, &c., as a first charge. [Offered this cher father Thomas Pelham, and added to the Bill. Com.]

(c.) 22 Feb.—Draft of Clause containing the recital of the Inf. (c.) 22 Feb.—Draft of Clause containing the recital of the Inf. for the present letting of Alborne Chase, &c., by Thomas I on behalf of his daughter Elizabeth, to Richard Jones' to for a rent of 352l. 10s., and the sale thereof to him, at her cof age, for 7,050l., together with the enacting part to those objects. [Added this day in Select Committee, to Clause b, above. Com. Book.]

1013. Feb. 18.—De Nassau's Naturalisation Act.—Certific William Lancaster, D.D., Minister, and John Hall, churchward St. Martin's in the Fields Church, that the Honble. Henry de d'Auverquere took the Sacrament in that Church on 2 Feb. 2 Feb., and attested by Evert Jollyvet, Esq., and Jeremiah Cr. [Read this day before the Select Committee on De Nassau's Plisation Bill, which was brought from H.C. on 12 Feb., and receiv Royal Assent on 24 Feb. L. J., XV. 667, 679; Com. Book. Will. III. c. 23.]

1014. Feb. 20.—Writ of Summons (E. Rochford).—Writ of Swdated 12 Oct., 1695, to William, E. Rochford. [Introduced the L. J., XV. 675.]

1015. Feb. 20.—Fletcher's Estate Bill [H.L.]—Draft of for vesting the manors of Moresby and Distington and other th

1695-6. No. 1015.

William Fletcher, of Moresby, in the County of Cumberland, Esq., rustees, for the payment of his debts, improvement of his Estate, other purposes therein mentioned. William Fletcher of Moresby, ig seized in fee of the manors of Moresby and Distington, Cumand, worth 250l. per annum, by his marriage settlement charged the Is with a jointure of 100l. a year for his wife Mary, with power to ce a jointure of 501. for any future wife, and settled them on himself thout impeachment of waste) and his wife for their lives, with ainders to their sons in tail male successively, with provisions for uger sons and portions for daughters. Two sons and three daughters e born. William Fletcher spent much in opening coal mines and ding a house, and is nearly 1,500l. in debt and a prisoner, without e of being able to pay his debts, or of improving his collieries and pans. He is therefore willing to forego his right of waste and of ing 50l. a year for another wife, and his wife is willing to forego . of her jointure, in order to provide 301. a year for the education of eldest son. The Bill therefore enacts that the lands shall be vested Thomas Lamplugh, of Lamplug, Esq., Thomas Dalston, of Skelton, 1. William Robertson, of Cleator, Gent., and William Holmes, of orkington, Gent., all in Cumberland, in trust to raise 1,500l. by ses or mortgages to pay off the debts; to sell the portion of Moresby ig within 200 yards from high-water mark for building purposes, cept the capital messuage, &c., and mines, the land so sold to be arged with 20s. an acre; and to raise 30l. a year for the education of · eldest son, on the conditions stated above; and otherwise to execute · marriage settlement. [Read 1a this day, and rejected. L.J., XV.

1016. Feb. 22. Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) II. -Commons' Engrossment of an Act for further regulating elections Members to serve in Parliament. For the better securing and estashing the dignity and constitution of Parliaments, it is necessary to ke provision for the election of such persons to serve in Parliament who and shall be natural subjects of this realm, born within the Kingdom England or dominious thereunto belonging, of estates and substance fficient to support themselves in that weighty trust and great service, d that their elections to Parliament be freely made without avail or re to the electors: Be it therefore enacted and declared by the ing's most Excellent Majesty, etc., That no person shall hereafter be eted or returned to serve in Parliament for any county, city, borough, ort, or place in the Kingdom of Eugland, Dominion of Wales, or town Perwick-upon-Tweed, that is not or shall not be a natural subject of is realm and so born within the Kingdom of England, Dominion of ales, town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, or the dominions or territories ereunto belonging.

And be it further enacted and declared That every person that is or shall not be such natural subject of this realm, and so born ithin the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales, town of Berwickton Tweed, or the dominions or territories thereunto belonging, is acted and declared incapable, incapacitated, and disabled to be hereter elected or returned a member to serve in Parliament for any muty, city, borough, port, or place within the Kingdom of England, ominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, and is enacted and declared to be no member of Parliament, and to be so deemed, ken, and adjudged, and shall not sit, act, or have any vote or place in arriament, but shall be, and is hereby enacted and declared to all tents, constructions, and purposes, as if he had been never elected or turned a member to Parliament.

1695-6. No. 1016. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That experson hereafter to be elected knight of any shire or county in Kingdom of England or Dominion of Wales, to serve in Parliam shall have, at the respective times of such his election to serve Parliament, an absolute known real estate of freehold or inheritance for years determinable upon life or lives, or copyhold of inheritance manors, messuages, lands, tenements, rents, or hereditaments, of clear yearly value of five hundred pounds, in the Kingdom of Englands of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, for his own and benefit, and not upon any trust, without fraud, covin, or collusi

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That evperson hereafter to be elected member to serve in Parliament for city, borough, port, or place within the Kingdom of England, Domin of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, shall have, at the respectimes of such his election to serve in Parliament, an absolute known real estate of freehold or inheritance, or for years determinable ulife or lives, or copyhold of inheritance, in manors, messuages, last tenements, rents, or hereditaments, of the clear yearly value of hundred pounds, in the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales, town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, for his own use and benefit, and not trust, without any fraud, covin, or collusion.

And be it hereby further enacted and declared, by the authoraforesaid, That every person which shall hereafter be elected or return to serve in Parliament for any county, city, borough, port, or place the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-up Tweed, not having such estate or estates as hereinbefore enacted declared, is hereby enacted and declared incapable, incapacitated, disabled to be hereafter elected or returned member to serve in Parment for any county, city, borough, port, or place within the King of England, Dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, is enacted and declared to be no Member in Parliament, and to be deemed, taken, and adjudged, and shall not sit, act, or have any vot place in Parliament, but shall be, and is hereby enacted and declared to all intents, constructions, and purposes, as if he had been neclected or returned Member to Parliament.

And be it further enacted and declared, by the authority afores that no person having or hereafter who shall have any vote or voice the election of any Member to serve in Parliament for any cou city, borough, port, or place within the Kingdom of England, Domi of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, after the Teste of the of Summons to Parliament, or after the Teste or issuing out or orde of the Writ or Writs of election upon the calling or summoning of Parliament hereafter, or after any such place shall become va hereafter in the time of this present or of any other Parliament, or do hereafter, before the election of Members to serve in Parliar for such county, vity, borough, port, or place within the Kingdo England, Dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tw directly or indirectly take, receive, or accept any money, meat, do entertainment or provision, present, gift or reward, office, place employment of profit, or any preferment from any person stance seeking, contriving, endeavouring, or desiring to be elected memb serve in Parliament for such county, city, borough, port, or place from any other person in his behalf, or shall at any time hereafter ! receive, make, or accept any promise, agreement, obligation, or eng ment to have or obtain any money, meat, drink, provision, pre reward, office, employment, profit, or preferment from any person

roice or vote, or for procuring, having, or obtaining, or in order to ture, have, or obtain any vote or voice of any person for any person ling, contriving, seeking, or desiring to be elected to serve in imment for any county, city, borough, port or place in the Kingdom Ingland, Dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed: that every such person, having any vote or voice in the election by Member to serve in Parliament, so taking, receiving, or accepting after any such money, entertainment, reward, office, present, or arment, or taking, receiving, making, or accepting any promise, ement, obligation, or engagement as hereinbefore is enacted and ared, is hereby enacted and declared ineapable, ineapacitated, and oled to vote or give any voice in any election of any Member to ein such Parliament.

rovided always that nothing herein contained shall be construed to and to any person or persons, not natural-born subjects of this realm, have been or hereafter shall be naturalized, so as such person or one that have been or who hereafter shall be naturalized be ended from parents who were natural-born subjects of this realm, so as they have such estate or estates as are hereinbefore limited required. Superscribed Le Roi s'avisera. Parchment Collection. night from the Commons this day. (L.J., XV.677). On 9th March City of London were heard by Counsel on their Petition against Bill. See No. 1028. The Bill was then passed without amendate (ib. 699). Royal Assent refused on 10th April (ib. 733).]

117. Feb. 22.—Wye and Lugg Navigation Act (7 & 8 Will, 111. c. 14). etition of the Justices of the Peace, gentlemen, and other the substanfreeholders of the Hundred of Wormelow, in the County of Hereford, ose names are subscribed. The undertaking will be for the disantage of Petitioners, as it will raise the price of lime and coal, lessen that of their corn. The taking away of the fords will ede the commerce between the two banks. Are satisfied with the kets of Monmouth and Ross. Communication by land will always some and cheaper than by water. Do not desire any further efit of portage on the rivers. Do not oppose the undertaking, but y they may not be called upon to contribute to it. Are contented they who bear the charge shall take the profits. Bears 114 natures. [Read this day. L. J., XV. 677. On the hearing of se and the other Petitioners, on 5 March, Mr. Dobyns appeared inst the Bill for the freeholders of Wormelow and Ewias Lacy. He ned several towns that have no advantage by this Act. Mr. Dod heard on the same side. Sir Thomas Powys heard for the mty: We hope it will not stop now. Sir Barth: Shore for the Mr. Richard Kidley, junr., says he signed the Petition. We e informed it would come to 4d. in the £, and that made me sign it. . Min. The Bill came from H. C. on 20 Feb., was committed to elect Committee on 5 March and reported without Amendment on March, and received the Royal Assent the same day. L. J., '. 676, 696, 697. Com. Book.

Innexed :-

(a) 29 Feb.—Petition of the Mayor, Bailiffs, Common Council, Gentlemen, and other inhabitants of the town of Monmouth, praying to be heard by Counsel against the Bill, which will be the utter ruin of this town and county to enrich one city. Bears 38 signatures. [Read this day. L. J., XV. 687. See Note to first paper.]

1695-6. No. 1016. 1695-6. No. 1017. (b) 2 March.—Petition of the Freeholders of the Hundre Ewias Lacy, Herefordshire, whose names are subscribed behalf of themselves and the rest of the freeholders there. Bill to make the Wye and Lugg navigable was brought H. C. in 1689, whereupon Petitioners induced most of county members to engage that they should be exempted any charge under the Bill if it passed. The Bill has passed the Commons without any such exemption, and tioners pray to be heard by Counsel against it, as it not benefit them, they having a scarcity of commod beyond their own necessities, especially in cider and clut what surplus they have they send to Monmouth Bergavenny rather than to Hereford, which alone will benefit from the Bill, and therefore should alone bear charge of it. Bears 100 signatures and marks. Ende The Petition of the Freeholders and Copyholders of Hundred of Ewias Lacy, in the County of Hereford, who tenants to the Right Honble, the Lord Abergavenny, will be undone if this Bill passes, and so will a thou more of his Lordship's tenants in the Lordship of Abergave in the County of Monmouth, and my Lord and his te in both counties will be damnified above 6,000l. if the passes. Bears 98 signatures. [Read this day. L. J., 690. See Note to first paper.]

(c) 4 March.—Petition of the Gentlemen Freeholders and of the inhabitants of the Parish and Hundred of St. Bria (Gloucester). Pray to be heard by Counsel against the which will impoverish the whole Hundred by discourt tillage, on which it chiefly depends, and otherwise. 43 signatures. [Read this day. L. J., XV. 692.]

(d) Petition of the Mayor, Aldermen, Sheriffs, Common Cot and inhabitants of the City of Gloucester. The Bill wivery prejudicial to their City and adjacent places, as markets are chiefly served with all sorts of corn and a bacon, and other provisions from the County of Hereford. numbers of families will be thrown out of work, prices will and the city tolls be diminished. Pray the Bill may not Dated, under the Common Seal, 12 Feb. [Not notice Records.]

1018. Feb. 24.—Writ of Summons (L. Wharton).—Writ of mons, of date, to Thomas, L. Wharton. [Sat first in Parliamen day. L. J., XV. 679.]

1019. Feb. 24.—Fenwick's Conspiracy.—King's Speech this on the attempt to assassinate him. L. J., XV. 679. In ea See also No. 1022. [After the King had withdrawn, House it or read his Speech. The Speaker reported it, and read it out a Paper, as before. Moved to address the King upon his S Moved to appoint a day of solemn thanksgiving for His Ma great deliverance. Lords Committees appointed to draw an A to be presented to his Majesty pursuant to the Motions made House: (1) a Declaration of our abhorrence; (2) a Resolut stand by him; (3) and that he will be pleased to apprehend she shall think fit—any five to withdraw presently. The House adjourned during pleasure, and the Lords withdrew to the Com (MS. Min.). In Select Committee, E. Tankerville Cha

Address was worded and ordered to be reported. (Com. Book, Feb.). After the Address was agreed to, the Order for a Thanksing day was made at first without the words "villainous and barous," which appear interlined. (MS. Min., 24 Feb., where also given the Commons' Amendments to the Address, which may be thered by comparing the two forms of it given in L. J., XV. 680.)]

1695-6. No. 1019.

1020. Feb. 25.—Foyle v. Swayne.—Petition and Appeal of Elizah Foyle, widow, and John Foyle, Gent. Henry Zouch mortgaged ourth of the manor of Abbott's Anne in the County of Southampton, I a moiety of Lamiatt and Gainshams and other lands in Somerset, 1,000% to Francis Somers. Zouch's son and heir, William, a clerk th Mr. Swavne, redeemed the estate and mortgaged it, except Abbott's me, for 600l, to Thomas Wergg in trust for Swavne. When Werge i paid himself, he conveyed it back to William Zouch, who was luced by Swayne to mortgage it to him for 600l. Zouch then hibited a Bill in Chancery for a redemption, and obtained a decree, but vayue got it altered, and threw many legal difficulties in Zouch's Robert Foyle and John Honor, Gent., were, at Swayne's tance, joined with Zouch in giving security in 1,2001. apiece to been; and finally Swayne got a further pretended claim of 4,6521. arged on the estate, prosecuted on the recognizances, thereby ruined such and his sureties, and took possession of Abbott's Anne. On wle's death his widow, the Appellant, regained possession of the plyholds in Abbott's Anne; but Robert Hyde, Esq., and John ement, Gent., upon pretence of a mortgage by Swayne of his curity for 1,3001., claimed Abbott's Anne, and obtained a Decree in rancery, against which Petitioners appeal, as Robert Foyle could not edge the estate of his widow and seven children, but only his rsonal interest in the estate. Appellant has no other means of elihood, though she brought a portion of 1,500l. Pray that Swayne, yde, and Clement may be ordered to answer. Signed by Appellants, nd countersigned Ja: Stedman, Edwd. Foyle. L. J., XV. 682. At the hearing, on 28 March 1696, Sir Thomas Powys and Sir artholomew Shore were heard for Appellants, and Sir Will. Whiteche and Mr. Dobyns for Respondents. MS. Min. The Appeal was smissed. L. J., XV. 720.]

Annexed .___

(a) 3 March. Several Answer of William Swayne, Esq. A lease of Abbott's Anne for 99 years, determinable on the death of Lord Edward Pawlett, was purchased in 1632 to Edward and James Davis, Gent., in trust, one moiety for Henry Foyle, grandfather of Appellant John Foyle, and the other moiety for Henry and Edward Zouch, Gent. Henry Foyle concealed the lease, and filled up estates in the manor to his own children under a new lease, with his brother-in-law, Kent, as trustee; but he was afterwards forced to declare that he did so in trust for the Zouchs as regarded their share. Finally he bought in Edward Zouch's share, and continued the same practices with regard to Henry. Respondent had lent Henry Zouch's widow a great deal of money. Robert Foyle, Henry's son, at last consented that Respondent's claim should be charged on the whole of Abbott's Anne, in consideration of the profits of the whole which Robert Foyle and his father had been improperly receiving. Robert Foyle never made any Settlement for his wife and children. Signed by Respondent. Endorsed as brought in this day. See also L. J., XV. 720.

1695 6. No. 1020. (b) 3 March. Joint and several Answers of Robert Hyde, El and John Clement, Gent. Respondents, being satisfied Swayne's title to Abbott's Anne, tent him 1,300l. upon But, on Swayne's being ejected, they obtained a Decree putt them in his place with regard to Abbott's Anne, in order they might obtain the benefit of the Decree which adjudy the estate to him. Are strangers to any of the other pecedings. Signed by Respondents, and countersigned W. Norris. Endorsed as brought in this day. See also L. XV. 720.

1021. Feb. 25.—St. James' Parish [Westminster] Act.—Draft Proviso against placing any more than two bells in the church steeple. [Offered in C. W. II. this day, and added to the BMS. Min. and L. J., XV. 682. The Bill was brought from Commons on 10 Feb., and received the Royal Assent on 7 Mar L. J., XV. 664, 697.—7 & 8 Will. III. c. 31 in Long Cal.].

1022. Feb. 26.—Association for King's Safety (State of the Natio Amended Draft of the Association for the safety of the King's pers and defence of his government, reported to the House this day. L XV. 683. In extenso. The original Draft read as follows:—

. . . . and declare that his present Majesty King William rightful and lawful King of these Realms. And we do mutua promise and engage to stand by and assist each other to the utterm of our power in the support and defence of His Majesty's most sample of the support and defence of His Majesty's most sample of the support and defence of the Majesty's most sample of the support and defence of the Majesty's most sample of the support and defence of the support and all adherents: And in case his Majesty come to any violent, &c., in L.J.

In consequence of the attempt on the King's life reported in King's Speech on 24 Feb. (No. 1019), the House this day, pursuant Order of 25 Feb., went into consideration of the present State the Nation. Moved that the best way of securing us is to show union among ourselves, or, at least, those that may will. A Pa was proposed to be read, and opened. House moved that the Ho be put into a Committee. The House was adjourned during please and put into a Committee to consider of the State of the Nati E. Bridgewater in the Chair. A Paper was offered to be read. It v debated whether the Paper be received. Proposed that it be agr to have an Association. A Head proposed, to be part of the Association tion (" We whose names are hereunto subscribed do heartily, sincere " and solemnly profess, testify, and declare that his present Maje " King William is rightful and lawful King of these realms Proposed to enter into an Association for the preservation of King's person and the safety of the kingdom. The Head was read above), then the rest of the above Paper of Association as origin: drafted. Proposed to agree to all this. Proposed to proceed paragr by paragraph. The first paragraph was read. Moved to agree there shall be an Association, entered into by such as will, for preservation of his Majesty's person, and the support of the Govment, Proposed that an Association for the preservation of Majesty's person and the safety of the Government do lie upon Table to be subscribed [by [the] any Lords of this House] by such L of this House as shall think fit. Agreed to. The first paragr of the Association was read, as follows :- We whose names

^{*} The Motion was thus amended, the words in italies being substituted for in square brackets,

. these realms. Proposed to be added to these words (" according the Act of Settlement.") Proposed that these words be part the first paragraph above:—("hath a right by law to the wn of [this realm] these realms, and that [no] the late King ues, nor the pretended Prince of Wales, or any other person intsoever] hath any right whatsoever to the same.")* 1 After ite, the Question was put whether there shall be any alterato this Clause as read? The Question was put whether this use shall be in the place of the other, as above? It was lved in the Affirmative; Contents 60, Not Contents 34; Tellers, Fankerville, L. Ferrers. Then the words, as in L.J., were agreed The other parts of the Association were read and agreed with the alteration of "the pretended Prince of Wales." The er made on Report of the Association concludes, in MS. Min., al the Lords to sign or refuse as they are called." MS. Min.

In 27 Feb. the House was called, and a number of lords signed Association. Some absent lords in the country were ordered to written to by the lord on the woolsack to attend and sign. ogether, of the 191 members of the House, 110 signed before the of the Session. The following 62 never attended a sitting of House :--

D. Grafton.

" Beaufort. " Berwick.

M. Powis.

E. Rutland.

. Lincoln.

" Salisbury.

Exeter.

" Leicester.

Westmorland.

" Berkshire. " Peterborough.

" Clarendon.

" Cardigan.

" Shaftesbury. " Lichfield.

" Yarmouth.

" Berkeley.

" Gainsborough. " Holderness,

" Plymouth.

" Derwentwater.

" Stafford.

" Warrington. V. Hereford.

" Montague.

" Saye & Sele.

, Townsend.

Weymouth. Hatton.

Villiers.

Bp. Llandaff.

" Carlisle.

" Exeter.

" Chester.

Worcester.

" Bath & Wells.

" Bristol.

L. Audley.

" Stourton.

" Willoughby of Parham.

" Paget.

" Howard of Effingham.

" North.

" Peter.

" Gerard of Bromley.

" Arundell of Wardour.

Teynham.

" Poulett.

" Maynard.

" Mohun.

" Carrington.

" Widdrington.

" Lexington.

" Langdale.

" Clifford of Chudleigh,

", Carteret.

, Stawell.

" Dover.

Waldegrave.

Griffin.

" Capell.

1695 - 6

No. 1022.

The Motion was thus amended, the words in italics being substituted for those

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 1022. Of these V. Hatton, and the Bishops of Carlisle, Exeter, Chester, & B & Wells, were excused from attending; E. Berkeley, & the Bish of Worcester & Bristol, are marked "sick" in MS. Min. of 27 Fe D. Beaufort & V. Weymouth, having been written to, declined sign (MS. Min. 31 March); and E. Rutland, having been written expressed his agreement with the Association, but pleaded age infirmity for not attending.

The following 19 attended one or more sittings of the House,

did not sign :-

M. Halifax.

"Normanby.

E. Ailesbury.

"Feversham.

E. Oxford.

"Nottingham.

"Huntingdon.

Bp. St. Davids.

"Winchilsea. "Rochester. "Chesterfield. L. Ferrers.

, Thanet. , Chandos. , Arundell of Trerice.

., Anglesey. , Jeffreys.

" Craven.

Of these E. Chesterfield is marked in MS. Min. of 27 Feb. as have

refused to sign.

On 7 March a printed paper giving an account of the Associa (Annex (a)) was read and voted a malicious and scurrilous libel, and Stationers' Company were ordered to endeavour to find out the awand printer (L. J., XV. 697). On 14 March the Stationers' Company they have searched the presses in Town. There is one Bellican discover, if he pleases. He was seen to distribute them. Messenger says he has a letter sent him. It was produced. (Min.; No entry in L. J.)]

Annexed :-

(a.) 7 March. Printed Paper, headed A Summary Account the Proceedings upon the happy Discovery of the Jaco Conspiracy, in a second Letter to a Devonshire Gentler

London, March 3, 1695 :--

Dear Sir,—Just now is come to Hand yours of the 27th calling for my Answer to that of the 18th, which I how now with you; for I sent it by the last Post, and all Duplicate thereof, as you desired, under cover to our Frat Totness. However, lest those should be intercepted, I give you a Transcript of it, with the addition of some the which since occur, omitting the Minutes which you requeste to hand to you of Gadbury's and Partridge's Prediction this Hellish Plot, having sent you their Almanacks, and that of Woodward's by the Exeter Carrier, which I know a safe way.

Mine told you that on Monday the 24th, the King came to House of Lords, and in a Speech acquainted both Houses;

"That he was come on an extraordinary occasion, which me have proved fatal if it had not been disappointed by the sing Mercy and Goodness of God.

"That he had received several concurring informations
Design to assassinate him, and that our Enemies were
forward in their Preparations for a sudden Invasion of
Kingdom.

"That His Majesty had not been wanting to give the nece Orders for the Fleet, and that he hoped there was a Str of Ships, and in such a readiness, as would be sufficient to 1695-6.

disappoint the Intentions of our Enemies.

"That he had despatched Orders for bringing Home such a No. 1 Number of our Troops, as might secure us from any Attempt; and exhorted them to do every thing which they should judge

proper for our Common Safety, &c.'

Upon this astonishing News the House of Commons (Nemine Contradicente) resolved to address His Majesty to "congratulate "his happy Deliverance, and to give him their Thanks for "imparting the Horrid Design to the House, and to desire His "Majesty to take more than ordinary Care of his Royal Person,

" assuring him that they will stand by, assist, and defend His

" Majesty with their Lives and Fortunes, against the late King "James and all other His Enemies both at Home and Abroad; "and that in case His Majesty should come to any violent

" Death (which God forbid) they would revenge the same upon

" all his Enemies and their Adherents, &c."

The House of Lords also unanimously agreed upon an Address to His Majesty, to which they desired the Concurrence of the Commons; who made some Amendments thereunto, to which the Lords agreeing, the same Evening both Houses attended His Majesty therewith. You have here a Copy thereof.

We your Majesty's most Loyal and Dutiful Subjects, the Lords "Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons in this present Parliament Assembled, having taken into our serious consideration, what your Majesty hath been pleased to communicate to us this day, think it our Duty in the first place, to give Your Majesty most Humble Thanks, for having acquainted Your Parliament, with the Great Dauger Your Sacred Person hath been so nearly

"exposed to, and the Design of an Invasion from our Enemies Abroad; we heartily congratulate Your Majesty's Happy Pre"scrvation, and thankfully acknowledge the signal Providence
"of God in it; and at the same time Declare our Detestation and

"Abhorrence of so Villanous and Barbarous a Design. And since the Safety and Welfare of Your Majesty's Dominions do so entirely depend upon Your Life, We most Humbly Beseech

"Your Majesty to take more than Ordinary Care of Your Royal
"Person: And we take this Occasion to Assure Your Majesty
"of our utmost Assistance to Defend Your Person, and Support
"Your Government against the late King James and all other

"Your Enemies, both at Home and Abroad; hereby declaring to all the World, That in ease your Majesty shall come to any Violent Death (which God forbid) we will Revenge the

" same upon all your Enemies and their adherents. And as an "Instance of our Zeal for Your Majesty's Service, we will give

" all possible Despatch to the Public Business: And we make it "our Desire to Your Majesty to Seize and Secure all Persons, "Horses and Arms, that Your Majesty may think fit to Appre-

" hend upon this occasion."

To which his Majesty gave a gracious Answer to the effect following, viz.:—

" My Lords and Gentlemen,

"I thank you heartily for this kind Address: on my Part you "may be assured, that I will do all that is within the Power for the Conservation of this Kingdom, to which I have so many Obligations. I will readily adventure my Life for the Preservation of it, and recommend myself to the Continuance

" of your Loyalty and good Affections."

1695 - 6.No. 1022.

The House of Commons also, the same day, resolved upon the following Association to be signed by their Members:--

"Whereas there has been a Horrid and Detestable conspirate " Formed and Carried on by Papists, and other Wicked a " Traiterous Persons, for Assassinating his Majesty's Rov

" Person in Order to Incourage an Invasion from France, " Subvert our Religion, Laws and Liberty: We whose Nam

" are hereunto Subscribed, do Heartily, Sincerely, and Solemn " Profess, Testify, and Declare, That his present Majesty Ki " William is Rightful and Lawful King of these Realms. A

" we do Mutually Promise and Engage to stand by and ass " each other to the utmost of our Power, in the Support a

" Defence of his Majesty's most Sacred Person and Government " against the late King James and all his Adherents. And case his Majesty come to any Violent or Untimely Dea " (which God forbid), We do hereby further Freely and Una

" mously oblige ourselves to Unite, Associate, and Stand by ea

" other, in Revenging the same upon his Enemies and th " Adherents; and in Supporting and Defending the Successi " of the Crown according to an Act made in the First Year

" the Reign of King William and Queen Mary, intituled, " Act Declaring the Rights and Liberties of the Subject a

" Settling the Succession of the Crown."

There is also an Association agreed upon by the House of Lor which was carried by a Majority, which will appear to be of Comfortable Importance.

Their Lordships' Association differs so little from that of Commons that I do not transcribe it; but show you how the vary: Instead of the words [King William is Rightful a Lawful King their Lordships insert,

" That His present Majesty King William hath A Right By I " to the Crown of this Realm, and that neither the late K 4 James, nor the pretended Prince of Wales, nor any of " Person hath any Right whatsoever to the same, &c."

Ninety-six of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal have alres subscribed their Association, and others, who were at t absent, come in daily; it hath been refused only by 15, wh Names lying in a narrow compass, I here give you.

Marquis of Halifax. Craven. Marquis of Normanby (who when King Earls of \ Fevershar James Abdicated we knew by the Aylesbury Title of Earl of Mulgrave).

Nottingham. Lord Jeffries. Chesterfield. Lord Chandos. Earls of Thanet. Lord Ferrers. Winchilsea. Dr. Sprat, Bishop of Rochester.

Scarsdale. Dr. Watson, Bishop of St. Davi Their Lordships have also ordered Letters to be sent to ! undernamed Peers, who are in the Country, to attend the Hou

and their subscribing is not doubted. The Dukes of | Beaufort, and Fitz-Wa[1] Richmond. Leigh. Rutland. Lempster. The Lords Earls of Ossulton. Bristol. Willoughby Lord Viscount Weymouth. Coventry.

Rockingha

The House of Commons having, as I told you, agreed upon the above-written Association, ordered it to be engrossed, to be Signed by their Members; and near 400 of that August Assembly, which consists of 513, have already (with great alacrity) subscribed it. But some at present hesitate; some others refuse it; their names are underwritten.

1695-6. No. 1022.

Berks sends 9 Members.

William Jennyngs. Simon Harcourt.

Bucks 14.

Alexander Denton. Montague Drake. Sir James Etheridge.

Cornwall 44.

Henry, Lord Hyde.
John Manley.
Daniel Eliot.
Henry Fleming.
Francis Buller.
John Tredenham.
Seymour Tredenham.
Seymour Tredenham.
John Mountstevens.
Bernard Grenvile.
Charles, Lord Cheney.
Francis Gwyn.

Cheshire 4.

Sir Thomas Grosvenor.

Derbyshire 4.

Sir Gilbert Clarke.

Devon 26.

Francis Courteney. Sir Edward Seymour. John Granville.

Dorsetshire 20.

Thomas Strangways.
Thomas Freke.
Richard Fownes.

Ebor 30.

Robert Byerly.
Sir Marmaduke Wivill.
Sir Michael Wentworth.

Essex 8.

Sir Eliab Harvey.

Glocester 8.

Robert Payne. William Frye. Richard How. John How. Herefordshire 8.

Robert Price.

Huntingdon 4.

Anthony Hammond.

Kent 18.

Sir John Banks.

Lancaster 14.

Leigh Banks. Thomas Brotherton. Sir Roger Bradshaw. Peter Shakerley.

Lincolnshire 12.

George, Lord Castleton. Sir John Bolles.

Norfolk 12.

Sir John Wodehouse.

Northampton 9.

Thomas Cartwright. Gilbert Dolben.

Northumberland 8.

William Foster.

Oxford 9.

Montague, Lord Norris. Sir Robert Jenkinson. Heneage Finch. Sir Edward Norris. Thomas Rowney. James Bertie. Sir Robert Dashwood.

Salop 12.

Edward Kynaston. John Kynaston. Andrew Newport. George Weld.

Somerset 18.

Sir John Trevillian. Edward Berkley. John Sandford. Sir Charles Carterett. Sir John Smith. 1695 - 6. No. 1022.

Southampton 26. Henry Holmes. Thomas Done.

Staffordshire 10. Robert Burdett. Sir John Leveson Gower.

John Grev. Sir Henry Gough.

Surrey 14. John Parsons.

Sussex 28.

Sir William Morley. John Lewknor. Sir Thomas Dyke. William Stringer.

Warwickshire 6. William Bromley. Andrew Archer. George Bohun.

Lord Digby. Francis Grevill. Westmorland 4.

Sir William Twisden. Sir Christopher Musg

Wiltshire 34.

Robert Bertie. William Harvey. Henry Pynnill. Thomas Bennett. William Daniel.

Worcestershire 9. Samuel Swift. Henry Parker.

Wales 24.

Edward Jones. Jeffery Jeffries. Sir Richard Middleto Edward Brereton. Sir John Conway. Thomas Mansel,

In all but 93 Dissenters.

Pardon me, Sir, that I forgot to insert the name of Wi: Will who you may remember spew'd Sir Robert Peyton out of the Hor Commons, for corresponding with the late King when Duke of and who told King Charles II. that the Commons were not give change, but it is now evident that some of their Quondam Spe

On Tuesday, the House ordered that their absent Members, as come to the House, do come up to the Table, and sign the Associa

or, in their Places, declare their Refusal so to do; and

On Thursday it was ordered that the Association, and the Sub tions thereunto, be entered upon the Journal of the House; Resolved that it be presented to His Majesty by the Speaker and

whole House, before the end of the Session.

It was also, the same day, ordered that such Members who have already, shall by Monday fortnight Sign the Association, or d their Refusal; and, I do, on as good Ground as Gadbury foreto Plot, predict that the Body of Refusers in this Honourable l will scarce, at the summing up, amount to the number of hundred.

Permit me now (Dear Sir) to recreate myself a little in remarki

what I have laid before you.

As the Doubting Lords are but few, so of the twenty-six Me of your Large and Rich County of Devon, you find but three Disse and but one (I include not their Bishop) of the eighteen in the Sp County of Kent. No more than one out of twelve in another largest counties of England, I mean Norfolk. To augment number, Essex (another of our greatest Counties) furnishes one eight. Cheshire one out of their four. Derbyshire one out of also; and Herefordshire, such another one out of eight. The D tive but Opulent County of Huntingdon has lent them anoth

ur; and that Honest County of Surrey one out of fourteen, but I 1695-6.

e you it is a very Sorry One.

No. 1022.

bu find not one of the Sixteen Members for the Cinque Ports in Non-Association; and the twelve Counties of Wales have of their ty-four Members but eight Refusers, of whom one is now His sty's Counsel and was of King James's Counsel against the ops's: Indeed they have a Recusant Bishop to head them, of King se's own making: but unless I mis-remember he was not one of Seven Golden Candlesticks.

m want (Sir) in the above written List eleven of our English

ities, which are these:

Bedfordshire sends 4 Members.

Cambridgeshire 6.

Durham 4.

Hortfordshine 6

Hertfordshire 6.

Leicestershire 4.

London, Middlesex, and Westminster 8.

Monmouthshire 3. Nottinghamshire 8.

Rutland 2. Suffolk 16.

which Number, being 67, I tell you for their Honour, there is not Recusant

further observe to you, that in the seven old Associated Counties, Essex, Suffolk, Norfolk, Cambridge, Huntingdon, Bedford, and ford, there are but 3 Recusants; and you will find about 4 times Number of Associating Lords there. In London and the guous Counties, viz., Middlesex, Bucks, Berks, Wiltshire, tshire, Surrey, Sussex, Kent, Lincoln, and Northampton, which another 11 Counties, and send no less than 172 Members, you find, upon review of the foregoing List, that there had been but efusers, had not Mr. Done and Mr. Parsons added two to their iber.

ow to detain you no longer in discoursing of the House of Commons, also assure you that the City of London had like to have been as simous as the first 11 Counties. A Common Council was this last k summoned, where the foregoing Association being proposed, it agreed unto and signed by every Member present (that whole of consisting of 234), one only excepted, whose name may not be consisting of Cole, a man of little Note before he was one of

st Mr. Bateman's Jury.

hope, Sir, this pleasant Scheme of the Kingdom and its Affairs in some measure relieve you from the Panie fear into which you Gadbury and Partridge had put you. Now for the Service of the erument, you have my free consent to show this to your Honest hbours (especially to our Friends of Exeter and Totness). Nay y Man, for, tho' as you know I pay a Deference to Sir E. Seymour, a far greater to the Nation's Representatives, I am confident I not in this Juncture incur their displeasure by naming a amish Conscienced Gentleman, who (after 7 years Apprenticeship) not go the Length to avow K. William's Right to the Crown.

hen the Town is on Fire, the blowing up a House is surely fiable. Our Neighbour Nation once upon a time told a bigger than Sir E. S. that a King for some crimes might forefault; and Sir E. been thrown out of the House last Week, I should not have

to Heart.

have long ago resolved to run a Muck against all the enemies of Government, and know by Consequence that I am to expect no rter when it is overturned, which makes me now as fearless of g called to a Reckoning, as I am of this Plot; but should that

1695-6. betide me, I wish it may be before the present Commissioners

No. 1022. I has

I have, Sir, been so proix that I cannot now enter into the p ticulars of this Diabolical Conspiracy, but for your Comfort it happily discovered, and most miraculously disappointed. Admi Russell now blocks up the Freuch Fleet about Calais, and I hope tell you by the next that be has destroyed them.

The Tower of London was to have been delivered up to the Free Cut-Throats, and they say by Major Hawley an Officer there; i certain he is secured. Take Heart my Friend, for our Enemies in the easily get possession of the Powder there, and I am confident the Salt Petre in Devonshire (should 200 Tons be discovered in Vault there) will not supply enough to blow up this Government. my part, come what will, I resolve never to be bribed into the Jacol Party; no, tho' I should be tempted with the value of such a Car which Sir E. S. well knows is not less worth than 12,0001.

You must not expect a License to this, for Sir Roger L'Estrange last night the Mishap to be committed Close Prisoner to Newgate.

I am, Sir, Yours sincerely, B. e. P.S.—Sir, I esteem myself obliged to beg pardon that I have repeated the Name of Worthy Mr. Cartwright, of Northamptons who yesterday, upon second Thoughts, signed the Association; a question not but the rest will follow the good example he has them. [Read this day, Resolved to be a malicious and scurrilous and a violation of the rights and privileges of Parliament, and an O made that the Stationers' Company find out the author and prid L.J., XV. 697.]

(b.) 16 March.—Letter from E. Rutland to L. C. Justice Tr in answer to letter of 27 Feb., requiring his attendance at House on 17 March, which his age and long infirmities will permit him to do. Has sent two servants to attest his indistion. He always had and will ever have the greatest imaginable for King William and the present Govern established under him, and will be extremely satisfied if there be any way found for him to sign the Association in the coun for he heartily joins with those noble Lords that have don Signed Rutland. Dated Haddon, 12 March, [Read this MS, Min . No entry in L. L.]

MS. Min.; No entry in L.J.]
(c.) 17 March.—Letter from V. Weymouth to Sir John Soi the Lord Keeper and Speaker of the House. He has hindered from coming up by the gout, which for many has persecuted him the most severely in this month. I this will be admitted as a reasonable excuse, as he has failed to give constant attendance. Dated L. Leat, 11 M

[Read this day. L.J., XV. 708, and MS. Min.]

(d.) 31 March.—Another letter from same to same, in answ one of 17 March (L.J., XV. 708, in extenso). Is still suff from gout. Encloses a certificate from the Physician atte him, which he hopes will be more satisfactory than the evi of two persons at the Bar, who cannot be supposed to spe knowingly. As to the Association sent to him by their ships' directions, he has considered it, and, though it wi become him to offer arguments against what the Majority thought fit to resolve, yet, for his own part, he must wi submission declare that he cannot subscribe it, though

same time he truly affirms that no man can detest and abhor what appears to have been designed more than he does. Dated Longleat, 26 March. [Read this day, MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

1695-6. No. 1022.

(c.) Certificate of George Cary, Physician, that V. Weymouth has had several fits of the gout, and has been for a good while in a course of physic, which if he should interrupt, or take a journey, in all probability it will much increase his distempers and may prove fatal. Dated 25 March, and enclosed in preceding.

(f.) 31 March.—Letter from D. Beaufort to Sir John Sumers, Knt., Lord Keeper and Speaker of the House, in answer to letter of 18 March (see L. J., XV. 710). His indisposition prevents his attending personally; "but, to pay all the obedience" I am capable of to their Lordships' Order, I hereby presume to acquaint your Lordship that I cannot as yet so far overcome "some scruples that have occurred to me, in reading and considering [the Association], as to be satisfied to sign it. Not "but that I do as much abbor the horrid and detestable "conspiracy therein mentioned, and all designs of that nature, "as any man breathing can, and am as averes to an invasion or "insurrection to subvert our Religion, Laws, and Liberties as "any person that has signed it." Signed Beaufort. Deated Badminton, 25 March 1696. [Read this day. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(g.) 21 April.—Letter from V. Hatton to the Lord Keeper. His long want of health has prevented his attendance this Session. Prays he may be directed how he may subscribe the Association, from which he has been hitherto prevented, not for want of good inclinations towards it, but for want of opportunity. Signed Hatton. Dated Kirby, April 17, 1696. [Read this

day. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

93. Feb. 27.—Writ of Summons (L. Willoughby de Broke).—t of Summons, dated 25 Feb. 1695-6, to Richard, L. Willoughby Broke. [Sat first in Parliament this day. L. J., XV. 684.]

124. Fcb. 27. — Sir Robt. Atkyns v. Tooke. — Petition and real of Sir Robert Atkyns, Knight of the Bath. Mrs. Elizabeth ke, through her Trustee, John Bennett, Esq., had a separate mainnce of 2001. a year during the life of her husband Thomas Tooke, a jointure of 300l, a year after his death, charged on a freehold te in nineteen houses in Castle Yard in Holborn, by a conveyance e ten years after her marriage, which Petitioner alleges to be dulent, as the estate cannot yield the income charged on it. 'ly notice of the wife's claim, as her own deed of separate mainnee declared the property to be worth 360l., which would have renough to pay him his interest over and above the wife's claim. wife, through her trustee, to try her title, distrained one of the nts for rent already paid to Petitioner, and the tenant brought his evin, and the fraud would have been tried at Common Law by a y, as would have been right, the rather because a freehold estate ended upon that issue on each side, which the Chancery ought not letermine. The wife, however, brought a Bill in Chancery, where itioner's Plea was overruled, and, though he acknowledged having notice of the separate maintenance, the only equity, the Court reeded to examine witnesses to the point of fraud, which Petitioner

1695-6. No. 1024. refused to examine to, and granted Mrs. Tooke her separate m tenance and a perpetual injunction against proceedings at law. App against the Decree, and prays reparation against the plaintiff Chancery and the Counsel that signed their Bill, vizt. * Robert Otterborn, for the several unjust and untrue scandals cast i Petitioner in that Bill, with full costs for his vexation. Side by Petitioner, and countersigned Bartho: Shore, N. Boot L. J., XV. 684. [At the Hearing, on 13 March, Sir Tho Powys opens the Cause for the Appellant. The case stands pu whether it ought not to be left to law. He put in his plea, and st upon it that this ought to be tried at law; and, if this plea stands trials by Jury will fall, and all will be tried in Chancery. Sir Bar tomew Shore heard for the Appellant: This is purely to be trie law! We insist it is a matter of freehold, and is tryable only at Shall his freehold be taken away by equity? We stand upon it that the ought to have been allowed. They read the Plea of the L. C. Ba Mr. Finch heard for Respondent. Sir Wm. Whitelocke: Sir Re Atkyns pleads this Deed of Mortgage in Court. Moved to have Deed read. Our Appeal, says Sir Thomas Powys, is only agains Plea, and not the Decree. Asked, when the Plea was overruled, a they not then have come hither? It could not be denied, but they mi and the overruling was three years since. Mr. Finch heard: Robert treated this marriage. There were all these things in the Sir Robert was privy to the settlement. Sir Robert received portion. You were privy to the 200l. per annum. Counsel with Moved to consider whether the Deed be read. The Petition, Pres and Prayer was read. Agreed the Deed to be read. Counsel called in again, and told the Deed should be read. Sir Barth: SI The Deed is not here. The Respondents offer a copy, which is Sir Thomas Powys: We say all this is tryable at law. Sir Whitelocke: He married the Gent. to Reynoll's son. There several agreements and a settlement made. Sir Robert Atkyns Steward was made receiver of the rents. Mr. Finch was hear stated all the Case as it was. Powys and Shore replied. Robert Athyns was heard for himself: I have been 7 years out of money. Spent 3001, in this Case. I do not question but she have her 2001, per annum separate maintenance; but she will away all the nineteen houses. Counsel withdrew. The House into debate of this business.

**Proposed to consider whether this not have been heard at law.

**Proposed to hear the L. Keeper's refer keeping it in that Court.

L. Keeper heard. The Bill sets a many things, as you heard at the Bar. He denies he received the n Petition dismissed with 201. costs. MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 704. Annexed :-

(a) 5 March. Joint and several Answer of Elizabeth Tooke, Thomas Tooke, Esq., William Bedford, Gent., and William gan. The consideration of Respondent Tooke's separate tenance was her surrender of her right of dower, whice worth above 400l. per annum, to pay her husband's debts conveyance was, indeed, after marriage, because it could be done before, by reason of her husband's infancy, the Apbeing in such haste to make up the match to serve his over particular friend's interest. Respondent believes that, before the serve of the serve has the s

^{*} On 2 Dec. a petition of Sir Robert Atkyns, Knight of the Bath, we praying he may be admitted to appeal to this House without [his Appeal signed by two counsel, pursuant to the Rules of this House. Ordered by & leave be given to withdraw the petition. MS. Min.

1695 - 6. No. 1024.

marriage, the Appellant, to hasten it, promised her father and mother a better settlement than she now has, otherwise they would never have consented that the Appellant should have had the directing of her portion. Appellant ought not to boast of having seen the settlement before lending his money, as in other purchasers it has been thought a crime to inspect a prior conveyance of an innocent purchaser, on purpose to lend money to destroy it, and such purchasers with such notice have not gone unpunished. She hopes the Appellant will meet with the same fate, more particularly for that he was so busy in proffering Respondent in marriage in her infancy, and had the disposal of her fortune. Was no party to the matters set forth in Appellant's Plea. The conveyance was not fraudulent under the Statute of 27 Eliz. c. 4, as alleged, because it was for a valuable consideration. Respondent Bedford was appointed to receive the rents for Mrs. Tooke's Trustee, and with the assistance of the Respondent Morgan, a Constable, levied the distress on James Hooke, the present tenant of the Rose Tavern, who, at the instance of Appellant, prosecuted them for trespass, and, as they failed to get an Injunction, they chose rather to suffer Judgment than to submit to be tried in Appellant's Court. Upon a Writ of Inquiry 5s. damages were awarded against them by a Jury. Appellant's exceptions to the matter of scandal in the Master's reports were overruled. Appellant has in his hand 214l. of Respondent's rents, which he refuses to part with. The Appeal is vexatious. Signed by Respondents, and countersigned R. Otterburne. Endorsed as brought in this day. See also L. J., XV. 704.

.025. Feb. 27.—Hort v. Hort.—Petition and Appeal of Kingman rt and Isaac Hort. Petitioners' grandfuther, Thomas Allen, left to itioners and their brother and sisters one third of his lands in ington, Breane, Berrow, South Brent, and Burnham, in Somersetre, and his trustees, Paul Lemon and Edmond Watts, were to ount for the rents to Petitioners as they came of age. They, howr, during Petitioners' infancy, permitted their father, Thomas Hort, receive the rents, and, on Petitioners coming of age, their claims inst their father were submitted to the arbitration of John Piggott, 1., of Brockley, Somerset, in pursuance of whose award Thomas rt put Petitioner Kingman in possession of four closes in Wrington, Petitioner Isaac of a ground in Kingston Seymour; but he never cuted conveyances, and afterwards mortgaged these lands to omas Knight. When sued in Chancery by Petitioners, Thomas ort obtained a Decree on the strength of an antedated conveyance the whole estate to trustees for payment of debts, in which his torney and his Clerks are the trustees, and no one debt proved, which was sprung on Petitioners. Appeal against the Decree, t pray that Thomas Hort and Thomas Knight be ordered to answer, med by Appellants, and countersigned Wm. Whitelocke, J. Shaw. J., XV. 684. [At the Hearing, on 21 March, Mr. Dobyns and Filmer were heard for Appellants, and Sir Thomas Powys and r Bartholomew Shore for Respondents. Henry Ward gave evidence it the Deed was executed near the time it was dated. After debate, Appeal was dismissed. MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 714.] Annexed :-

(a) 12 March. Answer of Thomas Hort.—Respondent was forced to take the rents as the trustees did not act. Spent much

1695 - 6. No. 1025. more on his children than the rents amounted to, which was a 301. a year apiece, and has been ruined by their extravagan Set Isaac up in trade. The lands awarded to his sons w his own property. Never consented to the Arbitration, a his sons entered into possession by force. The Deed was executed after the subpoena in this cause, as alleged Appellants. The trustees therein, Thomas Edwards, Thom Walter, Thomas Coules, and Walter Attwood, are men of go credit. His sons' prosecution of him has enraged his credit who now wish to sell his whole estate and leave him destitu and he was forced to abscond for fear of arrest. Signed Respondent, and countersigned B. Shore, John Scroo Endorsed as brought in this day. See also L. J., XV. 714.

(b) 12 March. Answer of Thomas Knight, Esq.—The mortg he holds of the lands in Wrington was for 400l. actually p to Thomas Hort, and was executed before Respondent had notice of the Award. The money has not been repr Signed by Respondent, and countersigned B. Shore, Je Scroope. Endorsed as brought in this day. See also L.

XV. 714.

1026. Feb. 28.—Sawyer's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an to enable Trustees to sell a messuage, garden, and outhouse in Linco Inn Fields, late of Sir Robert Sawyer, Knt., deceased, and for chasing other lands and tenements to be settled to the same u The Commons' Amendments are purely formal. [Read 1a this day. Re Assent 10 April. L. J., XV. 686, 733. 8 Will. III. c. 54 in Long C

Annexed:

(a) 5 March. Letter from the Countess of Pembroke and L Sawyer to the Committee, consenting that the house sho be sold and the money settled according to the will of Si Sawyer, which is the intent of the Bill. Signed M. Saw M. Pembroke, and dated this day. [Read this day before Select Committee. Com. Book.]

(b) 5 March. Lords' Amendments in Select Committee this The only one of importance is that the purchase money is m payable to Dame Margaret Sawyer instead of to Mattl Johnson, Esqre., of the Middle Temple, one of the trust

Com. Book.

1027. Feb. 29.-Wagstaff's Estate Act.-Amended Draft of Act to enable Sir Thomas Wagstaff, Knt., to raise and secur portion for Frances his only daughter and heir apparent. Commons' Amendments are purely formal. [Read la this Royal Assent 10 April. L. J., XV. 687, 733. 8 Will. III. c. 6 Long Cal.

Annexed :-

(a) 5 March. Consent of Frances Lady Wagstaff. 27 Feb., and attested by Edward Conduitt. [Read this before Select Committee. Com. Book.]
(b) Copy of original draft of the Bill appended to the

going.

(c) 6 March. Lords' Amendments in Select Committee this They consist of a correction in one of the recitals of preamble, and certain formal Amendments to the Cla Com. Book.

1028. Feb. 29.—Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of bers, &c.) Bill.-Petition of the Lord Mayor, Aldermen, and Comne City of London, in Common Council assembled, praying to be I by Counsel, so far as relates to the City of London, against the se disabling persons to serve in Parliament not having estates No. 1028. rding to the qualifications of that Bill, which may very much limit Elections of the City by rendering very many eminent merchants traders of great estates, knowledge, and fitness incapable to reprethe City in Parliament. Signed Goodfellow. [Read this day, Counsel ordered to be heard. (L. J., XV. 687.) The Bill brought from the Commons on 22 Feb. (See No. 1016). On larch a similar Petition frem the City of Exeter was read nex a), and Sir Thomas Powys and Sir Bartholomew Shore heard for the City of London. Then the Bill was read 2a considered in C. W. H., L. Cornwallis in the Chair. The title preamble read and postponed. First two clauses agreed to. ed to reject the clause for 500l. per annum for Knights of es. After debate, Clause read again. Some words offered to be d at the end of the Clause, and read. Holt, C. J., heard: I am of ion that "Rents" includes "Annuities." After debate, the Question put-Whether this Clause shall stand without amendment? Then bate arose whether an amendment shall be offered. An amendment offered. The Clause was read, and the amendment offered to be ed at the end thereof ("or shall be heir apparent to any person that ta real estate of inheritance of such value.") The Question was put ether this amendment be part of the Clause? Resolved in the tive by 42 votes to 33, E. Feversham and E. Manchester, Tellers. other amendment was proposed, which was to insert ("Annuity or tcharge.") Holt, C. J., I am of opinion the word "Rents" comuends "Rentcharges," but it does not comprehend "Annuities." I. Common Pleas and C. Baron of the same opinion. Agreed that Clause stand in the Bill without any amendment. The next eting Clause read, concerning Burgesses having 2001, per annum. stion put Whether this Clause shall stand in the Bill without ndment. Resolved in the affirmative. The next Clause agreed A Clause offered for repeating and subscribing the Test. Quesput. Clause withdrawn. The next Clause agreed to. The last ase, concerning naturalised persons, agreed to. Preamble and Title ed to. Bill reported without Amendment, read 3a, and passed, &c. S. Min.) The Royal Assent was refused on 10 April. L. J., . 677, 699, 733.] .nnexed :-

(a) 9 March.—Similar Petition of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Common Council of the City of Exeter and Citizens of the same. Bears 127 signatures. [Read this day, before Counsel for the City of London were called in. MS. Min.; no entry in L. J.

029. March 2.-Mason v. Berrington.-Petition and Appeal of lington Mason, Gent. Humfrey Berrington, being seized of the fors of Pixley and Catley and the impropriate tithes of Kinasand a wood called Maynes Wood in Herefordshire, worth 931. ear, and becoming straitened during the Civil War, and his estate ng under a Sequestration for 650l. for his delinquency, conveyed in absolute purchase, to George Mason, John Wingfield, and John lerne, Trustees for George Dodington, Petitioner's maternal grandher, for 1,900l., and also assigned to him, and to George Mason, omas Hobbs, and Henry Upton, his trustees, the Equity of Re1695 - 6No. 1029.

settled on Petitioner's father and mother, Benjamin Mason and Sa Dodington's daughter, and on their issue, who were George Benjamin Mason and Petitioner. In 1674, sixteen years a Berrington's death, his son Thomas pretended that the conveyhad been only in trust for him, and claimed to have the prop reconveyed to him; and, to give colour to his claim, included manor of Bishopstone, Herefordshire, which had been leased Humfrey to Petitioner's father for 50l. a year, and had some of a trust. Owing to the evidence of suspected persons as Petitioner's father having said something about a trust, Tho Berrington obtained a verdict in a trial at law, and, though a trial was ordered, he obtained a Decree in Chancery, before it c take place, ordering an account of the profits and the reconvey of the estate. Petitioner's mother and elder brother were reduce such poverty that they could not contest the Decree, and his brother had to try his fortune in the West Indies, where he did or 9 years since without issue; and, Petitioner's mother having last year, and his second brother long before without issue, Petiti is now entitled to the property. Prays the Decree may be rever and Thomas Berrington ordered to answer. Signed by Petitioner countersigned Wi: Williams, Wm. Dobyns. L. J., XV. 688.
23 Nov. 1696 Sir Thomas Powys for Appellant and Sir Wil Rawlinson for Respondent agreed at the Bar that the Decree sh be affirmed. MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 16.]

Annexed :-

(a) 7 April 1696.—Answer of Thomas Berrington, Esquire. father was a Captain in the Royalist Army, and his estate sequestrated when the Parliamentary Army took Here Benjamin Mason pretended great friendship for Respond father, and got him to convey his property to Dodington trust to pay off debts, and then to revert to Berrington; the trust was not expressed in the deeds, on Mason's re sentation that, if so expressed, it would subject the esta the power of the Parliament. Mason afterwards clapped spondent's father in prison, and took possession of the deeds of the property. Appellant's mother and brother decreed by the Court of Chancery to pay Respondent 3, besides reconveying the property; but they absconded. Tho: Berington; countersigned William Rawlinson. End

as brought in this day. See also L. J., XVI. 17.
(b) 9 Nov.—Petition of Appellant for a day for hearing previous Order for hearing having dropped with the Se Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 10.

1030. March 2.—Ridout's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of a for the Sale of lands in Horsington in the County of Somerset, p the Estate of Christopher Ridout, an infant, for payment of In brances charged thereon, and for preserving the residue of the Estate for the infant. The Amendments in both Houses are] formal. [Read 1ª this day. Royal Assent 10 April. L. J., XV 733. 8 Will. III. c. 65 in Long Cal.

1031. March 3.—Hanham's Estate Bill [H.L.]—Draft of al for vesting the Manor of Holme, alias East Holme, and other of Sir John Hanham, Bart., in the County of Dorset, in Trust be sold for discharging a Mortgage thereupon, and payment other debts. Identical with the first draft of the Bill for the purpose which was passed in the following Session. [Read 1" th committed on 4 March. L.J., XV. 690, 692. In Select Committee, 10th March, Sir John Hanham was ordered to attend and give iculars of his estate and a schedule of his debts, and an Order of House protecting him from arrest was made. Com. Book; L. J., . 700. No further proceedings.]

1695 - 6. No. 1031.

032. March 3.—Bigg's Estate Act.—Draft of the Clause in the saving Lord Rockingham's claim in virtue of a Recognizance for I. [Added this day in Select Committee to the Bill for Thomas g. Com. Book. L.J., XV. 690. The Bill came from the amons on 18 Feb., and received the Royal Assent on 10 April owing. L.J., XV. 673, 733. 8 Will. III. c. 66 in Long Cal.]

033. March 5.—Great Queen Street House Act.—Amended Draft in Act for the better improvement of a House and ground in Great cen Street. The Amendments in both Houses are purely formal at 1th this day. Royal Assent on 10 April following. L.J., XV., 733. 8 Will. III. c. 53 in Long Cal.]

034. March 7.—Seamen's Act (7 & 8 Will, III, c. 21),—Drafts of endments made by the Lords to the Bill for the Increase and couragement of Seamen. [The Bill was brought from the Commons day. L.J., XV. 698. It was referred to a Select Committee, who eed to the Clauses composing the first three sections, only substituting other" for "and other" in §i (Folio Ed. p. 99, 1.18, in two 'es), adding the words "or such other office as shall be appointed His Majesty, his heirs and successors," in § iii. line 1, and words "or other office as aforesaid" in lines 9 and 16 of same section. Then the Commissioners of the Navy were asked at numbers of seamen had come in communibus annis upon King's Bounty money, and said, last year not 1500 came in for the ng's Bounty. The year before about 2000 seamen came in. The it Clause (§ iv) being thought by the Committee to be of an raordinary concern, it was ordered to be reported to the House, as st fit to be considered by the House. Com. Book; L.J., XV. .-On 25th March, in C.W.H., E. Manchester in the Chair, first three sections were agreed to with the Amendments noted above. e Commissioners of the Navy, the Secretary to the Admiralty, and oe of the Trinity House were called in, and the next Clause (§ iv) s read to them. The Commissioners say: The difficulty of manning Fleet is great, and we hope this will help. But there are several cers that do not go to sea. There are carpenters, joiners, clerks, iplains, and pursers. We doubt the manner of payment will be icult. The 40s. per annum will be an encouragement, it is hoped. me questions being proposed to be asked them, they withdrew. oposed to ask the Commissioners whether a general Register and ovision for wives and children is not better than a Register of 30,000 n and 40s. apiece? Proposed to call them in again, and every lord ask what question he pleases. After debate, agreed to. Question, is Bill is for encouragement of seamen. Here is 40s. for seamen that shall register themselves. Commissioners called in iin. This Question was asked: Whether a general Register for the ovision for their wives and children will not probably be better, for encouragement of seamen, than the Clause in the Bill for a Register 30,000 men? Answer, We have considered this, and we are of inion that 40s, will be the most acceptable. Seamen are for ready oney. Asked, how many men have listed themselves on the King's anty? We cannot tell till we pay them. We find bounty money d to 4,0001. Question, That all these 30,000 that register themselves

1695 - 6. No. 1034. shall be in the King's service from 18 to 55. Answer, It is not encouragement. Asked, whether they think a limited or a gene Register is best, they say, a general. They take this to be a gene Register. Asked, whether, if there were a time that seamen should at liberty to go into other service, it would not be greater encoura ment than any here offered? Mr. Bridgeman: I question whether can be made practicable. They withdrew. Proposed the Clause stand as it is as to the 40s. rather than an hospital for wives a children. Clause read. In § iv, l. 26, the words "or other office aforesaid" were added. After debate, the Clause (§ iv) as amen was agreed to. Progress was then reported, and the Commission of the Navy were ordered to draw a Proviso to except from Register Officers not properly seafaring men. (MS. Min.; L XV. 717)*.—On 26 March, in C.W.H., same Chairman, § v agreed to, as also § vi, with the addition of the words annexed separate schedules (Fol. Ed. p. 101, lines 3 and 10; see Pape below), of the words "attested by the oath of two credible witness in line 7, and those at the end "but such person for such offence of shall not suffer as a deserter "; and a Proviso forming & vii was inse (Paper b below). §§ viii and ix were agreed to, the entry of an ame ment to add at the end of the latter the words "and incurring Penalties mentioned in this Act" being cancelled. The rest of Bill (§§ x to xy inclusive) was agreed to. The Commissioners of Navy delivered a Clause drawn by them, pursuant to Order of vester which was read, amended, and agreed to (Paper c below). It is xvi. The Bill was then reported with the above Amendme (MS. Min.; L.J., XV. 718, 719). The Commons agreed to Lords' Amendments (C.J., XI. 534-5, in extenso), and the received the Royal Assent on 10 April. L.J., XV. 732,]

The Drafts are the following:-

(a.) 26 March. Draft, marked O, of Amendments (except last) made in C.W.H. this day in § vi. See Notes above.

Min.

(b.) 26 March. Draft, marked A, of Amendment at the en § vi, and of Proviso forming § vii added in C.W.H. this

See Notes above. MS. Min.

(c.) 26 March. Draft Proviso drawn up by the Commission of the Navy, and forming, after Amendment, § xvi. It is originally:—" Provided always, and be it further enacted the authority aforesaid, That nothing in this Act continually shall be construed to extend to the debarring any person being [preferred to any office in his Majesties Royal Number of the shall not require to be executed by a magnetic seafaring man."] The words within square brackets

which office shall not require to be executed by a material or seafaring man."] The words within square brackets left out and the words "a chaplain" &c., as in the added. See Notes above. [Added in C.W.H. this day.

Min.

1035. March 7.—Bishop of St. David's (Lucy's Petition).—Pe of Robert Lucy, Esq. Petitioner has exhibited to his Grace the bishop of Canterbury many articles against Thomas (Watson) Bishop of St. David's for sundry notorious crimes and misdemea

e "The lords were yesterday the greatest part of the day upon the bregistring of Seamen, and made an amendment thereto, that the 40s. to to each Seaman so registred shall be laid out in erecting an hospital for taining their wives and children." Luttrell, 26 March.

1695 - 6. No. 1035.

particularly for Simoniacal compacts and agreements; for exacting nordinary fees, rewards, and gratuities for Procurations, Collations, tutions, ordaining of Priests and Deacons, and for doing of other s of his episcopal function; for not tendering the Oath of Fidelity everal Priests and Deacons who were ordained by him, and yet fying that they had taken it; for taking away the revenue of several ches and the profits of several antient officers [sic] and applying to his own private use, to the ruining of many persons, and the throw of the charitable constitutions of those churches; for the ng of the Charters and Evidences of the churches of St. David's Brecon into his hands, which belong to the Chapter to keep, and sing to re-deliver them; and for promoting ignorant and infamous in the Church. The Bishop was summoned to answer, and tioner came 120 miles, from Brecon, to prove the Articles; but the op insisted upon his Privilege of Peerage, declaring that he had advised by some great Lords that he could not waive it without ding the House. Prays the House will order him to waive it, and nit Petitioner to proceed upon the Articles. [Read this day, and red to the Committee for Privileges (L. J., XV. 698).—On March, at the Committee for Privileges, E. Bridgewater in the ir, this Petition was read. 11 Nov. 1690, Bp. Salisbury's Case, Petition read. 9 Nov. 1680, Bp. Chichester's Case was 15 Dec. 1691, no Privilege for Tithes. Bp. St. David's was 18 Edw. I. read out of Selden's Printed Book. Moved port to the House what is found, the thing being of so high a re that the Committee does not think fit to give any opinion in it, e being difficulty in the case. Ordered to report the Preces, and that upon the debate they found great difficulty, and so e it to the House (Priv. Book). The Report was made on March (L. J., XV. 710, and MS. Min.) and on 20 March House went into consideration of the Report. *Proposed* to ider whether Bp. St. David's shall be allowed Privilege in this The Petition read. Moved to consider how the Prelates' vilege is, and whether it is on another footing than the other Peers. ether, in any case of misdemeanour, any Lord may not [be] excluded m] his Privilege if Bp. St. David's be not allowed Privilege. stion, Whether any Lord whatsoever, in case of an office out of House, whereby he stops Justice, has Privilege. Proposed to hear Judges. The Order of 18 Apr. 1626, as to Imprisonments &c., in Roll of Standing Orders, read. Bp. St. David's declares in the use that, if the Petition against him be dismissed, he will waive Privilege. Ordered, [that the Bp. of St. David's having declared will waive his Privilege.]* That the Petition shall be and is by dismissed. The L. Bp. of St. David's [declaring]* declares he waive his Privilege. MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 712.]

unnexed :-

(a.) 17 March.—Report from the Committee for Privileges. After giving the three precedents set out in L. J., the Report concludes "and their Lordships, finding great difficulty in this matter, leave it to the House. [Agreed to this day, and made 18 March. Priv. Book; L. J., XV. 710.]

036. March 9. — Mortmain (Licence of Alienation) Bill.—nmons' Engrossment of an Act to enable the King, his heirs and cessors, to grant licence of alienation in mortmain. Identical with

^{*} These words are cancelled.

No. 1036.

Charitable Gifts Act (7 & 8 Will. III. c. 37, see No. 1052), except the following particulars:—

Engrossed Bill. Clause 1.

Whereas, by several Statutes heretofore made, it is forbidden that any manor, lands, tenements, or hereditaments shall be aliened in mortmain, or to the use of any body politic or corporate, guild, fraternity or company, religious, ecclesiastical, or lay, whatsoever, and all such bodies corporate or politic, guilds, fraternities or corporate or politic, guilds, fraternities, or companies are by the said Statutes or some of them prohibited to accept, purchase, acquire, or take to themselves, or any person or persons to their use, any manor, lands, tenements, or hereditaments in mortmain, under several penalties therein contained, with which Statutes his Majesty's Royal predecessors, Kings and Queens of this realm, have in all times since the making of the said Statutes dispensed when and as often and in such cases as they, in their princely wisdom, did think fit, and such dispensations have been def facto allowed as good and effectual in the law; And whereas, by an Act made in the first year of the reign of his present Majesty and of the late Queen Mary of happy memory, intituled An Act declaring the rights and liberties of the Subject and settling the Succession of the Crown, amongst other things therein contained it is enacted that, from and after the then present Session of Parliament, no dispensation by non obstante of or to any Statute or any part thereof shall be allowed, but that the same shall be held void and of no effect, except a dispensation be allowed of in such Statute, and except in such cases as should be especially provided for by one or more Bill or Bills to be passed during the then present Session of Parliament; And whereas it is likely to be a great hindrance to learning .

. . . authority of the same, That from and after the thirty first day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand, six hundred, ninety and six, it shall and may be lawful . . .

or hereditaments whatsoever, and that such licence so granted shall be good and effectual in law, any law or Statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

Deest.

Act of 1696. Sect. 1.

Whereas it would be a g hindrance to learning. . . . authority of the so That it shall and may lawful . . .

soever, of whomsoever same shall be holden.

Sect. 2.

Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Commons this direction Read 1a 23 March. No further proceedings. L. J., XV. 699, 714

1037. March 10.—Clarke v. Cary (In Error).—Copy of Wri Error and Transcript of Record, brought in this day, with Teno Judgment given on 1 April appended (L. J., XV. 700, 724). the Hearing, on 1 April, Mr. Northey appeared for the Defend but no Counsel for Sir Talbot Clarke, the Plaintiff, who stated the agreement had been proposed the day before. The Cause was action on a bend, and Defendant had agreed that it might be brought.

1695 - 6.igain if withdrawn. Judgment affirmed. MS. Min.: L.J., No. 1038.

38. March 10. - Swavne v. Middleton (In Error). - Copy of Writ Error and Transcript of Record, brought in this day, with Tenor of gment given on 2 April appended (L. J., XV. 700 and 726, re "March" is put instead of "April.") [On the Hearing, on pril, Sir Thos: Powys, for the Plaintiff, said he devised payments of his shares, and the 7th share he gave to his son Hezekiah, and e liable to 150l. to put his brother apprentice. The single question bether or no, when he has made this disposition, these words shall y over to the survivors. There is nothing in the Bill but the plain ning of the Testator. Sir Bartholomew Shore, for the same: We in the case of a will where there needs no words. His design the survivors should have the same thing as if there had been ettled disposition. Mr. Northey and Mr. Dod were heard for endant. The Action was for profits received out of a share in the v River water. The question whether the judgment shall be rsed was negatived by 14 votes to 11. L. Delawarr and L. Ferrers ers. MS. Min.; L.J., XV. 726.] nnexed :-

(a) 16 March.—Petition of Bennet Swayne, the Plaintiff, praying that the deceased Defendant's executors may join issue. L.J. XV. 707, almost in extenso.

(b) 16 March.—Copy of Order on preceding, appended to next paper. L. J., XV. 707, in extenso.

(c) 20 March. Petition of Wm. Fawkener and John Lane, the deceased Defendant's executors, for further time to join issue, as they have not yet had a copy of the Errors assigned, or advised with Counsel. L.J., XV. 712.

(d) 27 March.—Petition of Plaintiff for a day for hearing. L. J.,

XV. 718.

039. March 11.-King's Servant's Privilege (Thomas Davis). ition of Thomas Davis, who is one of the Messengers to the King, employed at present in serving Warrants against several persons for ch Treason. While actually serving one of the said Warrants, he arrested by Edward Boyce, a Bailiff, at the suit of Joseph W. Niston John Benn his Attorney, and taken to Newgate, whence he removed self by Habeas Corpus to the Fleet, where he now remains, for want oom in Newgate. The Lord Chamberlain issued out his warrant on itioner's behalf, but they brought a Habeas Corpus and have still reeded against him. Prays he may be discharged. [Read this day, Whiston, Benn, and Boyce ordered to attend. But on the following the Standing Order against protecting the King's Servants was I, and the persons attending were ordered to be discharged, and aing further done. No entry to be made of the Order yesterday. S. Min., March 11 & 12, where the above entries appear cancelled.)

(a) The Case of Thomas Davis, touching the pretended demand of Joseph Whiston. Davis joined Whiston in building two houses, which cost 100l., and for his share of 50l. mortgaged his house to Whiston, and gave him a bond besides. Has paid the money on a fair account, but Whiston has entered upon the premises and receives the rent of 91. Davis, to be at peace from suing, has offered to pay the 60l. still claimed by Whiston by instalments of 201. a year. [Enclosed in preceding.]

1695-6. No. 1040.

1040, March 12.—Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Act (7 & 8 Wi III. c. 40, Fol. Ed.) Petition of Rupert Browne, praying to be heard ! Counsel against the Bill, which proposes to vacate certain Lette Patents under which he lent large sums, which will be lost to him the Bill pass as it stands.* [Read this day, and Counsel ordered to heard. L. J., XV. 702. The Bill, which is for the same purpose: two earlier ones for vacating certain Letters Patents (Nos. 240, 27 306, 13th Report, Hist. MSS, Commission, Appendix, Part V.), was brought from the Commons on 7 March (L. J., XV. 696). (12 March, on reading the above Petition, it was ordered that t L. Steward have notice (MS. Min.; no entry in L. J.). On 13 March Petition of Sir Edw. Smyth against the Bill (Annex a) was read (L. XIV. 704). On 18 March Sir Thos: Powys was heard for Mr. Brow only. We desire there should be Commissioners appointed to valwoods, and that his 3,300l. might be paid him out of sale of woods he set out by the Commissioners. Mr. Dod (for Mr. Browne): M Browne paid his money. He is out of his 7,000l. for three years, he hopes to have that. The second demand is for 3,300l., and never received one penny of principal or interest to this time. Th read the Clause in the former Bill. Sir B. Shore (for the Bill): The Clause is to mark out what shall be cut for the future. This charges the Exchequer. Mr. Northey (for the Bill): The Decree is just Decree. Sir T. Powys: We have in us a legal estate for money, in Serjeant Birch. Then Bill read 2n and committed to C.W. (MS. Min.). On 30 March, in C.W.H., L. Herbert of Cherbr in the Chair, the first two Clauses (§i of the Act) were agree to. The next Proviso, concerning keepers (§ii), agreed to. next Proviso, concerning Rupert Browne (§iii), read. Proposed to Rupert Browne be satisfied. Proposed to ask the Judges whether anything in this Bill takes away any security Mr. Browne has, lessens his security for the 3,300l. L. C. Justice: I take it Browne had a security on this estate. If the Act does not provide the security of the security o Mr. Browne reverses the Decree. He will have a legal security. does not give him a remedy out of the estate, but out of Exchequer. Proposed to draw a Clause to be added to the P Agreed that the L. C. Justice draw a Clause upon the debate, and t Mr. Browne be not left in a worse condition, if the Bill pass, than now is. Progress reported. (MS. Min.)—On I April the Clause dra by the L. C. Justice of King's Bench was offered and read in C.W.II. progress again reported. (MS. Min. 1 April.)—On 13 April, in C.W. L. Ferrers in the Chair, a Clause concerning Rupert Browne offered and read. L. Steward's Clause. The Clause drawn by L. C. Justice was read. After debate, proposed to hear Mr. Bro again. Agreed to. Mr. Browne to make it appear that this mo was lent on the Grant, and how this money was lent (MS. M L.J., XV. 734).—On 17 April, in C.W.H., E. Stamford in the Cl Sir Thomas Powys was heard for Browne, produced the deed, examined witnesses as to the execution of it. Browne and Vernon made up their accounts, and the deed was filled up, bonds were cancelled. Several sums were paid to Vernon by Brov Vernon's will produced, and admitted by the King's Counsel. Mr. heard for Browne. Sir Barth: Shore and Mr. Northey for the K Then the Committee went into debate of the security for the 3,3

^{* &}quot;The lords have past the bill for revesting in the Crown the forest of Tut with some amendments." Luttrell, 18 March.

1695 - 6.

No. 1040.

Clause drawn by L. C. Justice read. Agreed that Mr. Browne's is well proved to the 3,300l. and interest. That the security Browne has in Ireland for this debt, upon his Majesty's payment to money, be assigned to his Majesty (MS. Min.; L.J., XV.—On 18 April, in C.W.H., E. Bridgewater in the Chair, the se for Rupert Browne was read as drawn. Proposed to fill up the with the Commissioners' names, which were read. Clause agreed The last Clause in the Bill read and agreed to be left out. Bill reported with Amendments (MS. Min.; L.J., XV. 739). On April, on Third Reading, a Rider (§viii) was added at the of the Bill (MS. Min.). The amendments were agreed to by the mons, and the Bill received the Royal Assent on 27 April. L. J., 746.]

mexed:—

- (a) 13 March. Petition of Sir Edward Smyth, Bart. Col. Vernon, who died in 1683, mortgaged to Petitioner the Castle and Site of Tutbury, worth 10l. a year, for a debt of 300l. The money was not repaid, and Petitioner recovered possession. Petitioner's interest will be concluded by the Bill, the Saving not being large enough to secure him. Prays to be heard by Counsel. [Read this day and Counsel to be heard. L.J., XV. 704.]
- 41. March 13.—M. Tavistock's Estate Act.—Consent of William edford, Lady Rachel Russell, Wriothsley, M. Tavistock, Elizabeth, chioness of Tavistock, his wife, and Elizabeth Howland, widow of Howland, Esq., and mother of the Marchioness, to the Bill for ng a wet dock and improving the estate of the Marquess and chioness at Readeriffe [Rotherhithe], Surrey. Dated 20 Feb. sted by And: Tanton, John Bennett, and J. Forbes. [Read this before the Select Committee on the Bill. Com. Book. The was brought from the Commons on 4 March. Royal Assent pril. L. J., XV. 693, 733. 8 Will. III. c. 51 in Long Cal.].

mexed:--

- (a) 13 March. Similar Consent of Susanna Letten, widow, and Elizabeth Baron, widow, sisters of John Howland, Esq., and Elianor Reynardson and Mary Reynardson, daughters and coheirs of Mary Reynardson, deceased, another sister of John Howland. Dated*22 Feb. Attested by Henry Harbe, And: Tanton, and Martha Letten. [Read this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.]
- 42. March 13.—Duchess of Buccleuch's Estate Act.—Amended tof an Act for empowering the Most Noble Anne, Duchess of leuch and the Right Honble. James, Earl of Dalkeith (her son), at Kingdom of Scotland, to grant leases for improving a piece round in the parish of St. Martin's in the Fields in the County of lesex. The heirs male and the heirs of the Duchess and Duke added in Select Committee to the persons against whom grants, made by the Duchess and her son were to be good. No Amendis in the Commons. [Read 1a this day. Royal Assent 10 April., XV. 704, 733. 8 Will. III. e. 50. in Long Cal. See also. Book, 16 March.]

nnexed:-

(a) 16 March. Amendments made in Select Committee this day.
One is described above; the other is verbal. Com. Book.

1695-6. No. 1043.

1043. March 13.—Ecclesiastical Courts Regulation Bill. [H.L. Amended* Draft of an Act for the better regulating of Proceed in the Ecclesiastical Courts. Whereas according to the laws of Realm the Judges of the Ecclesiastical Courts, in all causes of w they have cognizance, used to pronounce sentence of excommunica against persons that stood in contempt, either for not appearing t due summons, or for not obeying the orders, judgments, and sente of the said Courts, and if, after such excommunication, the parties persist in their contempt for the space of forty days, then upon a tificate of such excommunication a writ de Excommunicato Capi hath always issued out against such contumacious persons. forasmuch as the said Courts having no other coercive power necessitated to excommunicate divers persons for contempt in d cases which do not directly concern the Christian faith or reform of manners. Be it therefore enacted by the King's most exce Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords spin and temporal and Commons in this present Parliament assembled by the authority of the same, that from and after the first day of which shall be in the year of our Lord, 1696, no sentence of ex munication shall be given or pronounced against any person or pe for any matter or cause depending or hereafter to be commenced i Ecclesiastical Court between party and party, or in any procee ex officio wherein any rights or profits are contested or pursued. that it shall and may be lawful to and for the Ecclesiastical J upon any contempt of the Court in not appearing upon due sum or not obeying the sentence, judgment, or decree of the said eccletical judge in any such cause or matter of ecclesiastical cognizan pronounce the person so offending contumacious, and, if such shall continue to be contumacious for the space of twenty days then the bishop or other Ordinary shall certify such contumacy is High Court of Chancery, together with the cause thereof, and upon, as well in Vacation as in Term time, a writ shall issue out said Court for the taking, apprehending, and imprisoning sucl tumacious person, which writ shall be made returnable before the in his Bench at some common day in term time 15 days or mor the date thereof. And, if afterwards it shall or may appear to t Court of King's Bench that the writ be not returned into the court at the day of the return thereof, or that any other def negligence hath been used or had in the not well serving or exc the said writ, that then the said court shall and may assess an an ment upon the sheriff or other officer in whom the default shall a as is done in the like cases. And, if the sheriff shall return upo writ that the party therein named cannot be found, that there shall and may issue out of the said Court of King's Bench at and thereupon a pluries or one or more writ or writs into an county or counties, until the party therein named shall be taken that the party so taken shall and may be committed to prison and the party so taken shall and may be committed to prison and the party so taken shall and may be committed to prison and the party shall be taken the party shall be ta detained until he shall be [absolved] discharged of the contem shall give caution to the Ecclesiastical Judge by bond or ot according to law to obey and perform the sentence of the said which said judge shall have full authority to [absolve] di such person so in contempt in the same manner as was used to any person excommunicated. And, upon certificate of such [abs discharge into the High Court of Chancery, the party taken

^{*} Omissions in C. W. H. are shown by square brackets, additions by it

1 695 - 6. No. 1043.

on shall have a writ out of the said Court to the Sheriff or other er to release and discharge him out of custody. And be it further ted that such person who shall be so pronounced contumacious I incur the same disabilities, as to the prosecuting of any action or rwise, as if he had been a person excommunicated, until such time shall be [absolved] discharged of such contumacy. Provided ys that in the counties of Wales, and the counties palatine of easter, Chester, and Durham and Ely, and in the Cinque Ports, a certificate of such contempts into the said Court of Chancery, tenor of such certificate shall be sent by Mittimus to the head ers of the counties of Wales, counties palatine, and places exempt, t is to say) to the Chancellor and Chamberlain for the counties tine of Lancaster and Chester, and for the Cinque Ports to the Warden of the same, and for Wales and Ely and county tine of Durham to the Chief Justice or Justices there; and upon every of the said justices and officers shall have power and ority to make like process to the inferior officer or officers to whom execution of such process doth appertain, returnable before the ices there at the next Sessions or courts. Provided always and be nacted that the Writ de Cautione admittendâ shall be had and n by any party so pronounced contumacious in such manner as been used to be in cases of excommunication. And be it further ted by the authority aforesaid that, if any person be duly cited or moned to appear before any ecclesiastical judge in any matter or c as aforesaid, and shall wilfully make default at the day or time pinted in such summons, that then it shall and may be lawful for occlesiastical judge to proceed on the examination and determion of the said cause, and thereupon to give a definitive sentence cin, as if the party so cited or summoned had appeared thereunto, vithstanding any such default. Provided, nevertheless, and be it ted, that, in any cause or matter depending or hereafter to be ight or commenced in any Ecclesiastical Court, other than such es or matters as are within the true intent and meaning of this Act, - Ecclesiastical Judges shall and may proceed to pronounce the once of excommunication as they might have done before the ing of this Act, anything herein contained to the contrary hereof vithstanding. [Read 12 this day (L. J., XV. 704). On 19 March, . W. H., E. Bridgewater in the chair, and on report, the Amendts noted in the text above were made (MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 711). further proceedings in the Commons after Commitment (C. J.,

044. March 18.—Masters and Servants Bill.—Commons' Engrossent of an Act for the more easy recovery of Servants' Wages, and for ermining differences between Masters and Servants.—Whereas try servants hired by the year, day-labourers, artificers, journeymen, and servants hired by the year, day-labourers, artificers, journeymen, and services, and several other sorts of servants, after they have estly served their masters or mistresses, are frequently dismissed in services without receiving the wages due to them, and, when such feaths complain to Justices of the Peace for relief, the Justices of the refuse to intermeddle therein by reason of some doubts that the arisen concerning the power and authority of such Justices to typel such masters or mistresses to pay the wages due to such seams, by reason the wages claimed by such servants are not such are limited, rated, and assessed pursuant to an Act of Parliament le in the fifth year of her late Majesty Queen Elizabeth, concern-

1695 - 6. No. 1044. ing the settling the wages of servants, labourers, artificers, &c.; the said servants, by reason of their poverty, being unable prosecute suits at law for the recovery of their wages, are necessita to submit to the hard usage of their said masters or mistresses, by wh means they labour under great necessities, very often become vagabo or burthensome to the parishes to which they belong; and when there are great complaints, not without just cause, of the miscarria and misbehaviour of servants; For remedy whereof, be it enacted the King's most Excellent Majesty, &c., that, from and after the day of May, one thousand, six hundred, ninety-six, it shall and may lawful for any such artificer, journeyman, labourer, laundress, nurse any other servant retained or hired by the year, quarter, month, we day, or otherwise, who shall happen to have his or her wages, clothes goods detained from him or her by any master or mistress, or for master or mistress whose servant hath misbehaved him or herself make complaint thereof upon oath to any one or more Justice Justices of the Peace of the county, city, borough, town corpor place, or liberty where such master or mistress shall reside or inha and that thereupon such Justice or Justices is and are her authorised and required, by his or their warrant, to summonrequire such master or mistress or servant to appear before him them or some other such Justice or Justices of the Peace; and, in the said master or mistress, not being a peer or peeress of this reor servant, being summoned, shall neglect or refuse to appear, the Justice or Justices is and are hereby required and authorized by h their warrant to cause the said master or mistress or servant to brought before him or them, or some other such Justice or Justice the Peace, to answer the said complaint, and, upon hearing of master, mistress, or servant, respectively, and of the party complain such Justice or Justices shall upon oath examine into the said plaint and the circumstances relating thereunto, which oath Justice or Justices are hereby authorized and required to admini and make such order therein as shall be agreeable to justice and equ and, if any wilful default or misbehaviour shall by such justice justices be found, upon such examination upon oath as aforesal have been in any such servant, it shall and may be lawful to and such Justice or Justices to commit such servant to the House Correction for any time not exceeding twenty days for every offence or offences that shall be complained of, which said servar committed to the House of Correction shall be there strictly ker some work or labour, whereby he or she may maintain his or herse long as he or she shall there remain, and shall also have such reason correction as the said Justice or Justices so committing him or her direct; And, if the said master or mistress or servant shall refu comply with such order and determination of such Justice or Jus then the said Justice or Justices shall by recognizance bind the master or mistress or servant to appear at the next General Quarter Sessions of the Peace to be held for the county, city, liberty, or place where the said master or mistress doth inhab answer the complaint, and, upon refusal to enter into such recogniz shall have power to commit to prison the party so refusing until recognizance given to appear at the next General or Quarter Ses there to answer the said complaint, where the Justices of the shall enquire into, examine, and determine the matter, and such and Judgment as shall be there made therein concerning the paym the said wages, and allowing out of the said wages satisfaction for

as the said servant shall have wasted or embezzled during the ervice, shall be final, without appeal to any other Court, neither any Certiorari be granted or allowed concerning the same, sithe wages ordered to be paid shall exceed the sum of ten ls: And, upon refusal to pay the wages ordered to be paid, or from the order made by the said Justices at the said Sessions, aid Justices shall have power to commit as aforesaid the party using, until the same be paid and performed.

*d be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid That, from and the first day of May, one thousand, six hundred, ninety-six, in any person shall be hired or retained to serve in the service of person for less time than one year, or, being hired to serve for one or more, shall not actually enter into and continue in such service he space of twelve mouths after his or her entering into such "e (unless such servant, by reason of sickness or some other ent which may happen unto such servant after his or her entering uch service, be or shall be disabled to perform the said service), case such person, being an unmarried woman, shall be with child e time of her hiring, such servant or servants shall not, for or by n of such hiring or service, gain any settlement in such parish or where the master or mistress so hiring or retaining such servant rvants shall live or inhabit. Parchment Collection. [Brought the Commons this day, read 1a on 21 March and ordered to be No further proceedings. L. J., XV. 709, 713.]

45. March 19. Stretton and Princethorpe Act.—Whereas Lady Holborne granted to her attendant Mary Handy, widow, two is in Long Itchington, Warwickshire, for 1,000 years under a cercorn rent; and Mrs. Handy afterwards married William Herbert, who by his will bequeathed the farms, worth 40l. a year, towards maintenance of a Minister for Stretton and Princethorpe, with a to their being erected into a parish distinct from Woolston; nas Lord Leigh, Baron of Stoneleigh, who is entitled to the reversion in farms after 1,000 years, consents that the reversion may be all on the Minister by the Act of Parliament directed by Herbert's provided that Mr. Francis Hunt, B.A., Trin. Coll., Camb., be the Minister. Signed Leigh. Dated 4 Feb. Attested by S. Wither, tekman, Fisher Holyoake. [Read this day before the Select Combe on the Bill (Com. Book), which came from the Commons on 12 th, and received the Royal Assent on 10 April. L. J., XV. 703, 8 Will. 11L. c. 63 in Long Cal.]

urexed :-

(a) 19 March. Consent of Fisher Wentworth, alias Dilke, of Woolston, Warwickshire, Esq., undoubted patron of the parish church of Woolston, whereof the chapelry of Stretton super Dunsmore and Princethorpe is a member, to the Bill, provided the right of presentation to every third avoidance of the Church of Stretton be preserved to him and his heirs. Recital to the same effect as preceding. Signed Fisher Wentworth. Dated 4 Feb. Attested by S. Wither, J. Wilcox, and Fisher Holyoake. [Read this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.]
(b) 19 March. Consent of Jonathan Stafford, Clerk, Incumbent

b) 19 March. Consent of Jonathan Stafford, Clerk, Incumbent and Viear of Woolston, to the erection of the chapelry into a new parish, and that the Vicarage House and churchyard shall belong to it, together with all vicarage tithes except the tithes of wood, which he retains in consideration of his

1695-6. No. 1044. 1695-6. No. 1045. liability to the King for the First Fruits, value 151. 10s., the tenths, value 31s. per annum, for the whole present par of which Stretton ought otherwise to pay one third. Sig Jona: Stafford. Dated 4 Feb. Attested as preceding pa [Read this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.]

1046. March 21. Hawkers and Pedlars Bill-Commons' Engre

ment of an Act for suppressing Hawkers and Pedlars :-

§ i. Whereas the inhabitants, traders in the cities, corporation boroughs, and market-towns of this realm of England and dominion Wales, are impoverished, even to the ruin of themselves and their famil by the practices of pedlars, hawkers, and other wandering trad persons, who carry about and expose to sale from house to ho public and private, and place to place, divers deceitful prohibited uncustomed goods, wares, and merchandises of all such kinds as the the settled traders in the said cities, corporations, boroughs, and mar towns do deal in, whereby the life and substance of trade is car away by strangers, and true workmanship discouraged, to the emil prejudice of the English manufactures both at home and abroad, the general decay and fall of rents and profits in and about the cities, corporations, boroughs, and market-towns; For remedy whe Be it therefore enacted by the King's Most Excellent Majesty, That, from and after the nine and twentieth day of September in year of our Lord one thousand, six hundred, ninety and six, hawker, pedlar, petty chapman, or any other wandering trading pe or persons, either on foot, horse, horses, or otherwise (except as her after is excepted), shall carry about, sell, or expose to sale any go wares, or merchandises made of or mixed with any woollen, linen, hair, cotton, metals, leather, glass, earth, wood, horn, ivory or bone, or any like goods, wares, or merchandises as usually are sold either drapers, mercers, haberdashers, stationers, or any other trading shopkeepers in any city, corporation, borough, or market-t in the kingdom of England, dominion of Wales, or town of Berw upon-Tweed, or in two miles distant from any or either of the liber precincts, or privileges thereof, in the kingdom of England, domi of Wales, and town of Berwick-upon-Tweed (except in open fair, 1 or markets, and according to the ancient constitution of the said and markets, or in his, her, or their own shop, warehouse, or workh of manufacture where either he, she, or they usually be, abide, or inh and not in any private house, inn, public-house, or streets, upon pa forfeit and lose for each and every offence contrary to this Act the se and respective sums following, (that is to say) each and every ; chapman, pedlar, hawker, or other wandering trading person or per with horse, horses, or other beast or beasts of burthen, the su forty shillings, and each and every foot chapman, pedlar, hawke other wandering trading person or persons the sum of ten shill whereof one moiety to the use of the King and his successors, and other moiety to the use of such person or persons who shall seize the said goods, wares, or merchandise so carried about and expose sale contrary to this Act, and shall prosecute for the same, t recovered and levied as hereby hereafter is provided.

§ ii. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid That person or persons shall and may lawfully seize and detain any offender or offenders for such reasonable time as either he, she, or may give regular notice to either constable, head borough, tithing

^{*} Written on erasures.

1 6 9 5 - 6. No. 1046.

hwarden, overseer for the poor, or some other parish officer or s; which officer and officers, upon such notice, or otherwise upon own view and certain knowledge, are hereby authorised and ly required to seize the goods, wares, and merchandises &c. as said, carried about and exposed to sale contrary to this Act, and keep and detain the same for any time not exceeding three days, in which time, or sooner if the same may be conveniently done, the n or persons so seizing shall repair to any one of his Majesty's ces of the Peace for the county, riding, city, town corporate, or on where such seizure was made, who is and are hereby severally espectively authorised and straitly required to hear and determine of, and to administer an oath to such credible witness or witnesses nall be produced for the better discovery of the several and ctive facts to him or them complained of; and, upon conviction of ffeuder or offenders by the oath of one or more credible witnesses, aid Justice or Justices shall, by warrant under his own hand and or lands and seals, cause the said respective penalties and tures to be forthwith levied by distress and sale of the offender's s, wares, or merchandises, rendering the overplus (if any be) to the r or owners thereof, after true deduction of the reasonable charge aking the said distress, and out of the said sale to pay the ctive penalties and forfeitures aforesaid; and the said Justice or ces who shall act and grant his or their warrants, agreeable to the ir herein to them given as aforesaid, to constables, head boroughs, ig-men, churchwardens, overseers for the poor, or some other h officer or officers as aforesaid, for levying of distress on the said ders, if either hawker, pedlar, petty chapman, or other wandering ng person or persons, offender or offenders as aforesaid, shall make duplicates of such his or their and each and every of their respective iction or convictions, and timely return the same, under his or their each and every of their hand and seal or hands and seals, at the General Quarter Sessions of the Peace for the county, city, town orate, or place, to be then and there recorded; as also such ice of the Peace who shall refuse or wilfully neglect to do his duty rding to the tenor of this Act shall forfeit and lose the sum of five ids, one moiety thereof to the King, his heirs and successors, and other moiety to the informer.

iii. Provided always that this Act or anything herein contained not extend to prohibit any person or persons from selling of any of Parliament, Forms of Prayer, Proclamations, Gazettes, used Almanacks, or other printed papers licensed by authority, or and fruits or other victuals, in any open streets, city, town, or place

soever.

iv. Provided nevertheless That, if any person or persons shall k him, her, or themselves aggrieved by the determination of any tice of Peace who shall at any time act in pursuance of the powers in to him given by this Act, it shall and may be lawful to and for a person or persons to appeal to the next General Quarter Sessions he Peace, to be holden for the county, riding, city, or town corporate re the said offence shall be committed, and the determination of a Quarter Sessions shall be final and conclusive to all parties, so as person shall be capable of bringing such Appeal at such Quarter sions who shall not, after the determination of the Justice or Justices he Peace as aforesaid, and before the sale of the said goods, res, or merchandises, enter into a recognizance with one or more icient sureties, before the said Justice or Justices of the Peace who

1695-6. No. 1046. made the said determination, to answer the respective penalties he imposed to the King and his successors and to the seizer and prosector the same respectively as aforesaid, together with full costs in the Appeal shall be adjudged against him, her, or any or either of the same respectively.

so appealing by the said Court of Quarter Sessions.

§ v. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid The any person or persons be sued for putting in due execution ampowers contained in this Act, or for doing any matter or thing pure thereunto, such person or persons may plead the general issue give the special matter in evidence, and, if the plaintiff be non-sor judgment be given against him or them upon demurrer, or a vepass for the defendant, such defendant shall have treble his, he

their costs.

§ vi. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid The any constable, headborough, or other officer or officers aforesaid refuse or neglect, upon due notice, or on their own view, to be ai and assisting in the execution of this Act, being thereunto requ each and every such officer or officers, being thereof convicted by oath of one or more witness or witnesses before any Justice of Peace for the county or place where such offence shall be commi shall forfeit and lose for each and every such offence contrary to Act any sum not exceeding forty shillings nor under twenty shill to be levied by distress and sale of the offenders' goods by war under the hand and seal of such Justice of the Peace who before l the matter, the one moiety to the poor of the parish where offence shall be committed, and the other moiety to the informer shall prosecute for the same, rendering the overplus thereof (if be) to the owner. This Act to continue in force for the term of years, and from thence to the end of the next Session of Parliamen

§ vii. Provided always That nothing in this Act contained extend or be construed to extend to hinder any person or persons are the real workers or makers of any goods or wares within the I dom of England, dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tr or his, her, or their children, apprentices, or domestic servants, carrying abroad, exposing to sale, and selling any of the said good wares of his, her, or their own making, in any public marts, fair markets, in as full and ample manner as they or any of them have lawfully might have done before the making of this Act, nor any workers or makers of such goods or wares, nor any other perso persons, from selling by wholesale only to any shopkcepers who sel same goods and wares by retail, nor to restrain any tinker, coope other person usually trading in mending or repairing of kettles, tub other household goods whatsoever, from going about and carrying him or them proper materials for mending the same in any place w the same county where such tinker, cooper, or other person shall then a legal settlement and habitation, or in any place in any county within ten miles distance of the place where such time cooper, or other person shall be then legally settled and inhabit this Act or any other Act or Acts heretofore made to the cont in any wise notwithstanding.

§ viii. Provided also, and be it further enacted, That any pedlippedlars, or other wandering person or persons, who have been emplifor and during the space of seven years last past in buying and se any of the goods and wares usually dealt in by any of the shopked aforementioned, giving security to indemnify the parish as by required, shall have free liberty to take a house and shop or either

i in any market-town, village, or hamlet in the Kingdom of England cainion of Wales (except cities, boroughs, and towns corporate), being therein settled as aforesaid, shall and may lawfully use and y the same liberty and privilege of trade as if he or they or any of a had served apprenticeships in the said town or towns, any former or statute to the contrary notwithstanding.

ix. Provided also that no pedlar, petty chapman, or hawker, who I be punished by virtue of this Act, shall suffer or be punished for

same offence by any other Act or law whatsoever.

x. And whereas several subscriptions, promises, and contracts have a obtained, made and entered into, for raising moneys for the noting or procuring an Act of Parliament to be passed to the effect purport of this present Act for the preventing of hawkers and are: And whereas there has (sic) been other subscriptions for the centing or opposing such Act to pass, Be it enacted by the authority esaid That all such contracts, promises, or subscriptions, and all gations or other securities had, made, given, or entered into for the poses aforesaid, shall be utterly void to all intents and purposes tsoever. Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Commons this: Read In, and question for 2nd reading negatived on 23 March I., XV. 713, 715.]

047. March 27. Plantation Trade Act (7 & 8 Will, III, c. 22). ition of several Merchants and Owners of ships trading to the Plantas. The Bill, if passed, will restrain the subjects of England to trade English shipping foreign-built directly to and from the Plantations, ch will greatly tend to the prejudice of Petitioners, who are the owners of several foreign-built ships, which by the Acts of vigation and Frauds were not debarred trading thither, paying ns' Customs for the goods imported in such as are not made free. present want of ships to bring off the yearly product of the ntations occasions high freights and few goods; and, if such foreign-It ships should be prohibited the said trade, it would be very much the prejudice of this kingdom, for want of the Plantation goods ich already are so scarce and dear. Pray that foreign-built ships h English owners may be made free, or may trade, paying aliens' ies. Signed Josh: Martin, Samuel Eyre, James Clarke, John uleir, Jo: Woolfe. Endorsed, by mistake, Merchants' Petition v. cumen's Bill. [Read this day, and referred to the same Committee whom the Seamen's Bill was committed (L. J., XV. 719). MS. n. of date simply say that it was referred to the same Committee as Bill stands referred? The report from C. W. H. of the Seamen's I follows just afterwards, and later the House went again into mmittee on the Plantation Trade Bill, when the above Petition was d. (MS. Min.). This Bill was brought from the Commons 19 March (L. J., XV. 711). On 24 March, in C. W. H., Bp. lisbury in the chair, Mr. Randolph,* who had been ordered the v before to attend (L. J., XV. 714), was called in, and stood at the r. The First enacting Clause (§ i) was read. Mr. Randolph heard it. Postponed. Agreed that a Clause suitable to the Act & 23 Car. II be prepared. The next enacting Clause, concerning ths (§ iii) read. Judges to attend to give account how the laws ncerning the Plantations are consistent one with the other. The I enacting Clause read and agreed to (§ iv). The next Clause,

1695-6.

No. 1046.

^{&#}x27;The word "Surveyor" is entered after his name in MS. Min., 23 March, and neelled.

1696. No. 1047.

concerning plantations in America (§ v), read and agreed to with t addition of the words "and may plead the General Issue, and shall gi this or other Custom Acts in evidence, and the Judge to allow thereo in the last line but two. The next three Clauses (§§ vi, vii, and vi agreed. The next Clause, concerning Scotchmen and others (§ i The words "or they" in line 17 (Fol. Ed.) added. A Clar was offered and read, consisting of two parts. The latter part agreed and to come in after § ix (the first four and a half lines of § x). I rest of § x agreed to. A Clause offered and agreed to (§ xi). next Clause read, concerning Bonds (§ xii). The words in the l three lines in a separate Schedule substituted for "to be in forc The next Clause (§ xiii) read. "Scotland" was added in lines 2 of 7; but the entry of a similar addition in § xiv is cancelled. A Clause offered to be added at the end of the Bill agreed to (& xv). P gress was then reported. (MS. Min.).—On 26 March in C. W. E. Rochester in the Chair, the two postponed Clauses (§§ i and were read. L. C. Justice heard: I desire a little more time. (M) Min.).-On 27 March, in C. W. H., same Chairman, the M chants' Petition was read. The two postponed Clauses (§§ i iii) were read. Proposed to prolong the time in the Bill to 16 In § i, l. 13, 1698 was substituted for 1697. Holt, C. J., was he as to the laws interfering, concerning navigation, and offered a Cla (§ ii) drawn by him, to be added to the Bill, which was read. § i, l. 17, the words "or to the kingdom of England, dominion of Wa or town of Berwick upon Tweed" were substituted for "or any ot English Colony or Plantation"; and in line 22 the words annexed a separate Schedule were added, and similar words in line 28. § ii was added, after amendment. The next postponed Clause (§ read. The words "at the times aforesaid" in line 2 of p. 104 w substituted for some others. Mr. Randolph was called in and asl if he had prepared a Clause for a Court of Admiralty. He says is provided in the Act of Charles II. He proposes words to be serted in the Bill. The words annexed in a separate Schedule line 5 of § vi are substituted for "in any of the said Colonies Plantations." A Clause was offered to be added to the Bill, was r twice, and agreed to be added at the end of the Bill (§§ xvi to inclusive). Then the Preamble agreed to, and the Bill ordered to reported with Amendments. (MS. Min.). The Amendments w agreed to by the Commons with a verbal Amendment (C. J., XI. in extenso), and the Bill received the Royal Assent on 10 April.

1048. March 28. Coinage (Plate) Act (7 & 8 Will, III. c. 19) Petition of several Graziers renting several farms under large rents annum, and many others who are Traders and Dealers in cattle bou and sold in the market of West Smithfield, London, and of several Samen and Factors who receive and pay moneys for cattle bought and in the said market. West Smithfield is the only market for live of for London and the neighbourhood, and about 50,000l. is returned the weekly in that commodity. For want of lawful silver, the only used is the guinea at 30s. for about a year past, and guineas at a price are the only money in the hands of Petitioners and their Age In most fairs and markets in England cattle cannot be bought or but for guineas, there not being silver sufficient to trade with; what there is being very small and bad, should guineas be reduce 22s. by law, it would tend to the utter ruin of Petitioners and thouse of other families, especially as this is the season for buying steep the season of t

1 6 9 6. No. 1048.

their case may be taken into consideration. Signed with 84 signers and marks. Endorsed Petition of Graziers and others v. ring of guineas. [The Petition is undated, and there is no record s having been received or read. The Bill "to encourage the ging of Plate into the Mint, to be coined, and for the further dying the ill state of the Coin of the Kingdom," which is evidently red to, was brought from the Commons this day. (L. J., XV..—On 30 March it was read la, and a debate arose on a motion to it. Then moved to read it 2a, and, after debate, Bill read 2a, committed to C. W. H., and all the Lords summoned (MS. Min.). In 31 March, in C. W. H., L. Godolphin in the chair, the first ting Clause (§ i) read and postponed. The rest of the Clauses, In to § xi inclusive, read and agreed to. § xii read. After the upon this Clause, concerning guineas to be reduced to 22s., question whether to agree to it as it was resolved in the affirmative is votes to 33, E. Rivers and E. Anglesey Tellers.* Then the enacting Clause agreed to, as well as the Preamble and Title, Bill reported without Amendment, &c. (MS. Min.; L. J., XV.). See also L. J., XV. 731-2. The Bill received the Royal ent on 10 April (ib. 732).]

049. March 28. Escapes Prevention Bill.—Commons' Engrosst of an Act for the preventing escapes, and better security and relief reditors.

Whereas prisoners under arrest or in custody upon personal actions, also prisoners in execution for debt, damages, and costs of suit, do quently obtain their liberties upon securities for remaining true oners, or for saving sheriffs, bailiffs, or gaolers harmless against spes, in evasion and abuse of the law and rendering the same ineffec-I for the recovery of debts, Be it therefore enacted, &c. That all ds, recognizances, statutes, judgments, mortgages, and other securities atsoever which shall, at any time after the first day of September ich shall be in the year of our Lord one thousand, six hundred, ety and six, be made or given for any liberty, ease, or favour to any son in execution for any debt, damages, or costs, or for his her or ir being a true prisoner, or for the saving any person harmless inst any escape, or to any such effect or intent (except and other n securities taken for appearances according to the Statute in that e made and provided), shall be utterly void, and as well the person ing as the person accepting any such security as aforesaid shall feit to the person at whose suit the prisoner (obtaining his liberty the making or giving such security as aforesaid) shall be in custody : value of the money in such security mentioned, the said forfeitures be recovered with costs of suit in any of the Courts of Record at estminster by action of debt, bill, plaint, or information, wherein no oign, privilege, protection, or stay of prosecution, nor any more than a imparlance, shall be allowed to the defendant. And whereas it is daily practice, not only of persons arrested by writs and process t of His Majesty's Courts at Westminster in the several counties of s kingdom, but also of persons arrested by process out of inferior urts, by writs of habeas corpus, to cause their bodies so arrested and custody to be brought into some of the Courts at Westminster, or fore some of the Judges thereof at their Chambers, and turned over the prison of the King's Bench or Fleet, by reason whereof the

[&]quot;There were 33 lords for having guineas to goe at 25s. and 53 lords for them 22s." Luttrell, 31 March.

1696. No. 1049.

prisoners of late years are become so numerous in those prisons f rules from time to time have been made to enlarge the same, and Keepers of the same prisons for reward from such prisoners, or by col of pretended securities taken, have immediately let such prisoners at large, whereas such prisoners would have been kept more safe in inferior prisons where they lay. For remedy of which abuse Be further enacted by the authority aforesaid That, from and after said first day of September, no writ of habeas corpus shall be st forth or issue out of any His Majesty's Courts at Westminster at or the instance, suit, or procurement of any person or persons who shall arrested and in custody by virtue of any writ, plaint, process, or ceedings to be issued out of any His Majesty's Courts at Westmins or any inferior Court whatsoever, in any action upon the case, action debt, detinue, covenant, account, or trespass, to remove the body bodies of such person or persons. And if any attorney, solici bailiff, or any other person, shall sue forth or cause or procure s writ of habeas corpus to be so sued as aforesaid, [he] shall forfeit every offence the sum of one hundred pounds, to be recovered by person or persons who shall sue for the same in manner as aforest Provided, nevertheless, That, where any person shall be arrested and custody or out upon bail by virtue of any plaint or process out of inferior Court of Record, it shall and may be lawful to and for defendant in such action to sue forth a writ of certiorari out of His Majesty's Courts of King's Beuch, Common Pleas, or Excheq at Westminster, to remove such cause or causes wherewith s defendant or defendants stand charged and in custody by any s plaint or process out of any inferior Court to which any habeas cor in such actions have hitherto by law issued out and been granted, that the same proceedings shall be thereon in such superior Co where such certiorari is returnable in such manner as in and by a Act of Parliament, made in the fourth and fifth years of the reign his present Majesty and the late Queen, intituled An Act delivering declarations to prisoners, is provided, if the defendant been in custody by virtue of any writ or writs originally brough any of the said Courts at Westminster, by delivering any declarat or declarations in any of the said Courts at Westminster against s prisoner at the suit of any person whatsoever as in custody of gaoler where he is, and in all such declarations in the Court of Ki Bench against any prisoner in custody in the prison of any infe Court such prisoner shall be alleged to be in the custody of respective gaoler where he shall happen to be, and such allegal shall be as good and effectual as if such a prisoner were alleged to be the custody of the Marshal of the Marshalsea. But the body such prisoner is not to be removed, and if, upon such removal of cause out of any inferior Court, the defendant shall continue in cust by virtue of the process of such inferior Courts, or any proceedi of the said Courts at Westminster, till after judgment theren had against him, and if, upon such Record of the Judgment, plaintiff therein do cause a Committiur in execution to be ente upou the roll of such judgment, and a true copy of such Committ to be delivered to the Sheriff, gaoler, or keeper of such prison wh such prisoner is at the time of the delivery thereof in actual custo such sheriff, gaoler, or keeper of such gaol or prison shall be liabl answer for that escape of such prisoner in an action of debt, as is 1 usual for recovery of the debt, damages, and costs for which judgm was given. Provided that this Act shall not extend, or be constr

tend, to remove any cause in any case where the removal thereof trained by an Act of Parliament made in the one and twentieth of the reign of King James the First, intituled An Act for ing vexatious delays caused by removing actions and suits out ferior Courts; but that the same and every clause thereof shall n and be in full force, anything in this Act to the contrary thstanding. And whereas, in evasion of the said Act made in me and twentieth year of the reign of King James the First, e a defendant is arrested in an action under five pounds, it been a common practice for such defendants to procure other as above five pounds to be entered and charged upon him, and under r thereof the original action upon which he was arrested hath removed and the proceedings of the inferior Court stayed and rated. For remedy whereof, and to prevent such practices for the e, Be it enacted by the authority aforesaid That no cause under pounds for which provision is made by the said Act to prevent emoval thereof shall be removed by any writ of certiorari though are other causes of greater value depending in the same Court ist the same defendant, but that such certiorari shall remove or only such causes as are above five pounds and not provided by the said Act as aforesaid. And be it further enacted by authority aforesaid That, when at the time of removal of cause out of an inferior Court the defendant in such cause happen to be out of custody upon bail, no certiorari to granted shall remove such cause until such defendant shall in new bail in the Court above out of which the certiorari ed. And it shall and may be lawful, as well for all commissioners judges of assize empowered by a late Act intituled An Act for ug special bails in the country upon actions and suits depending he Courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, and Exchequer at tuinster in such manner as they are thereby empowered in suits ending in the said inferior Courts, as for the Judges and Barons he said several Courts at Westminster respectively, upon certries granted as aforesaid, to take new recognizances of bail uch cause from such defendant so bailed in the inferior Court as esaid, though the cause be not then depending in the superior ert, and such bail so taken as aforesaid shall be the same, and nin all and singular the provisions and clauses of the said late to all intents and purposes whatsoever. Provided always that ning in this Act shall extend or be construed to extend to take by any Habeas Corpus ad Testificandum, but that the same shall may be granted in such manner and in such cases as by law y may now be and not otherwise. And be it further enacted by the hority aforesaid that the voluntary return of any prisoner to prison, , being under arrest or in custody upon any personal action, or in cution for any debt, damages, or costs of suit, shall have made his tpe out of prison, shall not be construed to be a retaking upon fresh t or to be any evidence thereof, nor shall bar any action for such ape. And that, from and after the first day of September, it shall I may be lawful to and for the party at whose suit any such souer as aforesaid shall be in custody or in execution, his executors l administrators, to have and to maintain an action of debt or upon case, according to the nature of his case, against the executors or ninistrators of him who in his life time was liable to an action for escape, for the recovery of the damage sustained by such escape. d that every person who shall hereafter be convicted of suffering such prisoner to escape voluntarily shall, for every such offence,

1696. No. 1049. 1696. No. 1049. forfeit to the party therein aggrieved the sum of fifty pounds, of and besides the damages to be recovered in any action of esemposecuted by him with costs of suit, and to be recovered in man as other forfeitures hereinbefore mentioned to be recovered as afores. Provided always that no person shall be sued, molested, or troubled any forfeiture given by this Act, unless the suit, action, or informatically be commenced for the same within twelve months next after starter bad. Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Committies day. L. J., XV. 720. No further proceedings.]

Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill.—Commo 1050. March 31. Engrossment of an Act for restraining the wearing of wrought Si Bengals, and dved, printed, or stained Calicoes, imported into kingdom of Eugland, of the product and manufacture of Persia the East Indies. Whereas great quantities of wrought Silks, Beng dyed, printed, or stained Calicoes, of the product and manufacture Persia and the East Indies, have been and are frequently important into and worn within this kingdom of England, to the great prejuof the manufacturers thereof, and detriment and impoverishment of kingdom; For remedy whereof be it enacted, &c. That, from after the first day of May, one thousand six hundred and ninety-se] printed, " or stal all wrought silks, Bengals, dyed, [Calicoes, of the product and manufacture of Persia or East India, or other place within the limits of the Charter to the East India Comp imported* into this kingdon which shall be within or England, dominion of Wales, and town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, or are or shall be dyed, printed, or stained in this kingdom or elsewh

shall be exported again and not worn in England.

And for the more effectual preventing the wearing of the afore goods, be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid That from after the said first day of May it shall not be lawful for any perso persons whatsoever, within the kingdom of England, dominion of V and town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, to barter or sell by retail any of aforesaid goods to any person or persons for any sum or sums of mo or other thing whatsoever, and that such bartering or selling by refrom and after the said first day of May, is a public and com nuisance, and shall be so adjudged and taken to be, to all intents purposes; and that, if any person or persons shall, from and after said first day of May, barter or sell, or cause to be bartered or sold quantity, pieces, or parcels of the aforesaid goods, or of any of them any less sum or value than the sum or value of fifty pounds, or b or sell any such manufactured goods, sewed or made together with other goods or materials into any garment or thing of any denomination as part thereof, the same shall [be] and is he adjudged, deemed, declared and taken to be bartering or selling of said goods by retail, and all and every such person and person offending, or by any other way of bartering or selling by retail as the said goods, shall [be] and are hereby adjudged, deemed, decl and taken to be retailers thereof, and shall forfeit and lose the val the said goods so bartered, retailed, or sold as aforesaid, and also forfeit the sum of one hundred pounds for every one offence, one m thereof to and for the use of the poor of the parish where the of shall be committed, and the other moiety thereof to and for the such person or persons as shall sue for the same, to be recovered action of debt, bill, plaint, or information in any of his Majesty's

^{*} These amendments are made on the Engrossment by erasures.

legard, wherein no essoign, protection, or wager of law shall be wed, nor any more than one imparlance.

1696. No. 1050.

nd be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid That if, upon prosecution, any question or doubt shall arise whether any of the Is bartered or sold by retail as aforesaid were of the manufacture Persia or the East Indies, the proof shall lie upon such barterer or rby retail that such goods so bartered or sold by retail as aforesaid e not of the manufacture of Persia or the East Indies, or any other within the limits of the Charter to the East India Company.

'royided always, and it is hereby enacted. That no person shall be secuted or troubled for any offence committed against this Act, ess such prosecution be commenced within three months next after offence committed. Parchment Collection. Brought from the nmons this day. (L. J., XV. 722.) On the following day it was 1 1a, and ordered to be read 2a on 3 April. On 3 and 4 April nerous petitions against the Bill were read, and some of the Petitioners ered to be heard. (MS. Min.) Counsel were heard on 4 April for ne of the Petitioners against the Bill. Sir Thomas Powys (for the en Drapers, Calenderers, Upholsterers, and persons who have lent ney on Customs). I desire to be heard as to the time, the matter, some few things prejudicial to the Kingdom. It is brought into cliament at a time when the woollen manufacture and wool is at height. The weavers are as full of employment as ever known. e East India Trade is agreed on all hands to be beneficial to the ion and never in more danger of being lost than now. It cannot be nied that there ever was so much cloth exported to the Indies. We informed there are petitions from the very workers of cloth. If we I clothe ourselves from India at a small rate and sell our wool, &c. ur, it is certainly for advantage. The Dutch make cloth and the outch also make clothes and send them to Holland. This Bill prohibits and odd particulars from the Indies. Sir Bartholomew Shore gainst the Bill). We think it very hard to make it a crime the aring these things within a year. We hope this Bill will not pass at . This Bill does not supply the use of our woollen manufacture, ither can it be a prejudice to it. Which is best, to have it here from Dutch, &c., or to give the Indies 1/3 per ptt. for it? Bengal is the ly place that takes of our woollen manufacture. Mr. Pooley (for the inters and stainers of calico). These are a numerous people. It is posed if the printed calicoes are prohibited, then the woollen manuture will be increased. To raise the price of a commodity by a me consumption is no advantage. The cheapness of the calicoes is te advantage. Mr. Jehyll (for the same). We never were heard in e House of Commous against this clause of printed calicoes here. is discourages an English manufacture. I hope this clause, not ming up to the purport of the Bill, will be rejected. Nothing of wool n be used in the place of these calicoes. This is as much a manufacture any woollen. Sir Thomas Powys. Within four years there has en more printed calico used than in many years before. John Andrews, voru. Asked what trade. Answers, I deal in India and English lks. Asked what quantity of silks have been sold. Answers, This st four years there has been more consigned than in any years before. believe the English cannot nearly supply the nation. Mr. Dod or the Bill). The Bill is for the benefit of the people of England. I apral to the House whether the whole discourse on the other side has 1696. No. 1050. not been for the East India Company. This is the proper time for the Bill. The question is whether our wool can be worked cheaper abro or here. They say a great deal of cloth is carried out. We say the is no advantage to England. We can out-do the Dutch and Free silks. We can make them as cheap as they [can]. We have cloths enough from Scotland and England to employ these printe This new manufacture of ours is risen from 60 lbs, a week to 1,000 l in Bristol, and also in other places the like. The weight of the thing with us. Mr. Filmer (for the Bill). They have sent our best wrom patterns to India to have them made there. They say the Mogul be prejudiced by us. We shall take off his raw silk. They all point the East India Company. That the Italian and Dutch silks will co in on us is strange. If we have raw silks we can have hands. Where there is work there will be hands. Captain Sherwood, Asked if he concerned in the Lustring Company, says he is. Asked whether he d not know great quantities of patterns have not been bought up by East India Company to send thither, says, I sold Mr. Davenant 30/ 40l, worth of patterns when he went over. Mr. Lomb. I am emple by my friends and relations at Norwich. I give away my time who I used the trade 20 years ago. It has increased daily as we h wanted East India goods. We are increased from 8,0001, or 10,000 week to 14,000l. or 15,000l. a week. We make several sorts of st now in imitation of India goods. We are forced to go 40 or 50 m for loomers, &c. We want hands to work. Asked whether Norv stuffs are not more in fashion now than formerly. Answers, We l now lost all the outside stuffs for men. The trade is gone to other pla We have lost the whole trade. We have a great many stuffs we r for common uses-about 9d, or 10d, upward. Mr. Cary. I endeavoured to inform myself how the manufacture is increased a the Revolution. We are increased to a great degree in the woo manufacture. I speak only for Bristol. It is increased 20 time much. William Smith. I have lived some time in Spitalfields observe when the East India ships come in, half our weavers play. two or three years we have increased and employed French refug and we can employ more if further encouraged. In two or three it has increased very considerably. We employ 1,000 master wear We employ, we suppose, 1,000 weavers. Every loom employs three four persons and so we must employ 50,000 persons, 3,000 l employed. If they work up 8 ptt. a week they work up. -Mr. Mede I am a factor for Canterbury trade. We increase. The East Company's goods are prejudicial to us. We have destroyed al foreign manufactures, and made as well as abroad. (MS. Min.) The Bill was read 2a, and committed to C. W. H., with an Order to hear petitioner who had not been heard or who should petition to be heard (XV. 727; MS. Min.). On 7 April a petition of merchants of Lo trading to foreign parts (No. 1051 (n)), was referred to C. W. H., an House went into Committee (E. Manchester in the Chair). A gentle (in margin, Mr. Phipps) speaks for the clothiers. If the new dra can tell us of thousands we can speak of - that so many of East India Company's commodities may be allowed as cloth is exp for them-the French have applied themselves to destroy our India trade. Trade is the interest of England, and the East trade particularly. Sir Thomas Powys (for merchants, upholst silk dyers, calico dyers, and apprentices to lineadrapers). I s myself to clause of time for bartering or selling by retail.

1696. No. 1050.

e will endanger foreign exportation. The next clause is that from May 1697, &c. If upholsterers have beds made and do not sell by that time, they must be exported. Next clause, -that persons prove what country the commodities are of. Mr. Dyer (for the). As to the time, if the Bill passes with this time, it will rain of my clients. They have stocks and cannot consume them in nort a time. The silk dyers are all undone, and must go into ner country to live. The retailers cannot do what this Act res of them. The apprentices have given great sums of money to their trade. If this Act passes the trade will be little worth. e are many dyers who depend upon dyeing East India silks. Mennel, linendraper, Asked what he has sold, Answers, I have sold to merchants for the Plantations small parcels. The persons trade to those parts cannot buy great parcels. The whole business lico printers lies upon the East India trade. Asked, whether ers have not as full an employ as ever, Answers, Yes, they have. lineudrapers have sold East India goods this 15 years. been more printed calicoes sold within this 5 years than in 10 e, and the woollen manufacture has risen, as is evident. The drapers buy 500 lbs. and they sell to merchants for exportation. iam Arnold. The merchants buy all the improved manufactured s from the East Indies. I have 10,000 lbs. The merchants come turally to us and we to the East India Company. A merchant come to us with his cargo to the East Indies of 500 and most r 50-10, 15 or the like. I have known the trade 18 or 20 years. ever knew such a consumption of East India goods as of late. /e printers employ 200 or 300 persons a man. If there comes No pieces of calico white, 9,500 are stained or printed here. That h cost 7 shillings in India, manufactured here is worth 40s. or 50s. dicoes be prohibited, will not Scotch cloth rise? I have given 's to buy cloth in Scotland. James Crane, a slop-seller. I sell en's(?) shirts and children's frocks, &c. The improvements here they come over. Nothing but linen can be made into shirts or is. When the English printing came to be made use of, vast tities of calicoes which lay on the Company's hands-Calicoes cheaper than other linen. Joseph Nunn: The whole livelihood edyers depends on this. We must leave our country and live on er callings. The silks come from India white and we dye them. silk weavers have full trade and they say they want hands. Never so many Indian silks used as now. I know there are great tities of Indian silks in town. Nobody employs us to dye English . Mr. Rudyier. The weavers are full of employment as ever. The mittee was then adjourned to the 9th April. On 8 April Counsel heard and witnesses examined at the Bar on behalf of the Petitioners. Thomas Powys acquainted the House whom he represented on the ious day. Mr. Parmenter. I have seen such stuffs made in and that were so like East India that none can know the difference. al in Iudian goods. Sir Bartholomew Shore (for the embroiderers adrawers). We say these trades employ thousands of families. Mr. *iyier* heard as to the making of silks. The generality of the trade 1 a commodity between 2s. and 12s. English silks may be proidered. We have English silks of 2s, which we embroider. O persons at least employed in this embroidery work—in painting staining-of fanmakers I cannot give-Elizabeth Hughes says employs above 100 at a time-women and children most-(g.)

1696. — No. 1050.

distressed women. I have had children of six years old employ I have twelve I brought up. There are four other undertake When I have done one yard of English I have done a thousand Indian. The fancy does not take for stuffs. William Sherwin (as calico printers). My next neighbour and myself employ about 4 We can print woollen, but it will not hold washing. The drar cannot know one from the other. Mr. Northey (for the calico de who dye calico of single colours). They are not useful in white, must be dyed. I hope these dyers will be considered. Thomas Symo I have dealt with the calico dyers between thirty and forty ye They used, when calicoes were plenty, very much of our logwood indigo. Thomas Kettle (a dyer). Men of our trade so many (?) if calico is prohibited we must starve—thousands are employed dye and calendering. Two or three hundred employed in dveing stiffening, thirty journeymen. Mr. Browne (a calenderer). I hearned between 3 and 4 hundred a year. I employ ten or eleventhing There are about 70 masters besides servants. I can calender all s of stuffs. Calicoes are cheaper and of a larger breadth, and we h lesser profit in doing it. Mr. Dobyns (for the East India Company The Bill will destroy the English trade. The advantages of the tr are very great. Of all the goods imported (suppose 10,000) ther 9,500 manufactured here. The reasons of the Bill are slight. The is little wool used in Anterines. We improve the woollen trade. export it to Surat, Bengal, and China. As for employing of many ha we employ more in manufacturing. This [would] put the trade in Scotch and Dutch and put us also into their hands. As to the t it is a very short time as to us. We have ships freighted for these go and coming home, and others going out for these goods. This is an e to ruin the Company as to the 15 and 8 ships. We export silver, it is foreign silver. Capt. Darrell. I made an increase of the cl and they liked it in India. I carried about two hundred bales carried this before the regulation of Company. I question whether can have Peter. Mr. Thompson. When I was in Spain a great to calicoes came thither, and bullion came back for them—two thou pieces in a year to Bilboa factory in one ship. More ships go. are of general use in Spain. Capt. Durrell. I know not of Peter, b the bay of Bengal we had about four score thousand of bullion. Il carried calicoes to Spain and brought bullion for it. John Elwich if calico be prohibited the Scotch must have the trade. A merchant sold 200,000 or 300,000 lbs. in a year. We must pay a million a half more. Mr. Arnoll. German and Scotch linen must supply cloth. It is the linen that fetches in the gold and not the s Mr. Glover. Pepper is most exported. Indian silks there is few to ported. On 9th April it was moved and carried on a division by 32 that the Counsel be called in; proxies content 4, not-conter Tellers, L. Ferrers and L. Cornwallis. Mr. Dod heard (for the Mr. Phipps (also for the Bill). All those employed by us mu turned on the parish if the trade goes not on. Mr. Filmer heard the Norwich weavers). Mr. Blackborne. I have dealt in woollen commodity, and the increase of the East India Company decay the woollen trade. Before these things came up I have 6001. per annum, and now I pay none. I have been for myself th seven years. I have sold silk for 16 and 18 for which we pay about 14 or 15 years they have brought in great quantities. dyers and calenderers would earn more than they did. Asked who

1 6 9 6. No. 1050.

I was so dear 17 years since as now. Answers, Wool, I think, has been th 30 or 31. Roger Liddington. I speak to the Norwich ness. I have found from the time of glut of East India goods the in Norwich were much increased—14 or 15 years since it has eased. The yarn sold for 14s, per gross is now sold for 28s, uncl Tebbat. We sent by Charles Price patterns of silk and they e brought over the same sorts next year. Charles Price was an rloper. Capt. Sherwood shows a pattern he sold Mr. Davemant, went to the East Indies, and here is what was made in imitation of . Joseph Andrew. When I was apprentice one came for patterns and to the East Indies. William Picard. I am a silk dyer. None exceed us. If this Bill does not pass it will be of great prejudice s. The silk brought from India will be great prejudice to us. I k we are about 70 silk dyers. The dvers here before pretend to be ught silk dyers. I know the vice of them. Francis Barry, out 28 years since the East India Company set up for the universal le. I was bred a silkman. I was treated with all to go to the ies. It is best for England to have cloth dear-best to transport in cheap. I continued my trade at Southampton about 12 years. ward Peck. The passing this Bill is very advantageous to the dvers. Samuel Hanock shows a frock. Mr. West. I have seen proidery on English silks and we can embroider better on English n other. (MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 731.) On 17 April Counsel the Petitioners were heard, and, a proposal to make a report at c having been negatived, it was ordered to be made on the 20th. S. Min.; L. J., XV. 738.) Accordingly a report was made on ril 20, and a debate took place on the regulations of an East in Company, and whether the House should go into Committee. e House then went into Committee again, and the Bill was further ended by (1) prolonging the time for three years, (2) allowing nted, stained, and dyed calicoes from India to be imported without obligation to transport them, and (3) omitting Bengals altogether. S. Min.; L. J., XV. 740.) No further proceedings.

1051. March 31. Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill.—Petitions be heard on the Bill (No. 1050). They are as follows:—

- (a) I April. Petition of the Linen Drapers, praying to be heard by Counsel before the Second Reading of the Bill, which highly concerns the public trade of this nation as well as the Petitioners in particular. 121 Signatures. Numbered 1. [Read this day, and Petitioners, as well as any other persons concerned, ordered to be heard. L. J., XV. 723.]
- (b) 3 April. Petition of the Calico Printers, on behalf of themselves and a great number of people employed in that trade, praying to be heard by Counsel in relation to a Clause in the Bill in these words "or that are or shall be printed in this kingdom or elsewhere,"* which not only tends to the ruin of Petitioners, but also to the utter destruction of a trade which by reason of its usefulness and cheapness is very beneficial to the public. 50 Signatures. [Read this day and rejected. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]
- (c) 3 April. Petition of several Dyers of Wrought Silks, in behalf of themselves and many others of the same trade. The

^{*} These words were struck out in C. W. H.

1696. No. 1051.

Bill will ruin Petitioners and their families, and will force th to that which, to a freeborn subject of England, looks as last and most dreadful of all calamities, to quit their nat. country and transport themselves to some foreign one who encouragement is given to that trade which they have be brought up to. Pray their Lordships not to suffer them, w now live comfortably, to be reduced to so deplorable a co dition. 141 Signatures. [Offered this day and laid on Table. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(d) 4 April. Petition of several Gentlemen's sons, being Appr tices to Linen Drapers, whose friends have given considera sums to their Masters to instruct them in the trade, the great part of which will be lost if the Bill prohibiting the trade pa Pray their Honours to take their case into their serious c sideration. 281 Signatures. Numbered 2. [Read this d

MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(e) 4 April. Petition of the Governor and Company of Merchants of London trading into the East Indies, praying be heard by Counsel before the passing of the Bill, which very prejudicial to the interest of the trade of this kingdom general, and particularly to the East India trade. Sign Ro: Blackborne, Secy. Numbered 3. [Read this day. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(f) 4 April. Petition of divers persons, in behalf of themsel and others, who have lent moneys on the Customs arising fr Wines, Vinegar, Tobacco, and East India Goods. Petition have Tallies for moneys lent, on the public faith of the kingd to serve His Majesty and the nation's occasions, upon security of the whole Customs. The Bill will cause Customs of the goods prohibited, which is between 20 and per cent. of their whole value, to abate, which will much les Petitioners' security. Pray to be heard by Counsel against passing of the Bill. 19 Signatures. Numbered 4. [Read day. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(g) 4 April. Petition of several Upholsters, on behalf of th selves and many other. Similar to (a) above. 83 Signatu Numbered 5. [Read this day. MS. Min.; No entry in L.

(h) 4 April. Petition of several Packers and Clothworkers. behalf of themselves and the Clothiers of Gloucestersl Wiltshire, and Worcestershire. Petitioners have of late y provided for the East India trade very great quantities Woollen Manufactures, with which are purchased several s of East India goods, by prohibiting which the Bill will les the exportation of English manufactures to India, when Petitioners will be very great sufferers. Pray for re 47 Signatures. Numbered 6. [Read this day. MS. Min.; entry in L. J.]

(i) 4 April. Petition of the Calico and Linen Dyers, on be of themselves and all the Calico Dyers in England. There Clause added to the Bill, in these words: "or that are shall be dyed in this kingdom or elsewhere."* It will

^{*} These words were struck out in Committee.

Petitioners and their families and apprentices. Pray the restriction may extend only to dyed calicoes imported. 141 signatures. Numbered 7. [Read this day. MS. Min.;

No entry in L. J.]

(k) 4 April. Petition of the Callenders in and about the City of London against the passing of the Bill, which will tend to their ruin, and that of many who have served apprenticeships to their trade. 46 Signatures. Numbered 8. [Read this day. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(1) 4 April. Petition of the Glazers and Buckram Stiffeners in and about the City of London, on behalf of themselves and a great number of people employed in the said trade. Similar to (i) above, praying the restriction may extend only to dved and printed calicoes imported. 18 Signatures. Numbered 9. [Read

this day. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

(m) 4 April. Petition of divers Shopkeepers and Warehousekeepers trading in East India, Persia, and China Silks, Bengals and Painted (sic) Calicoes, in and about the City of London, praying to be heard by Counsel before the passing of the Bill which will utterly deprive them of the greatest part of their livelihood. 46 Signatures. Numbered 10. [Read this day.

MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]
(n) 7 April. Petition of several Merchants of London trading into foreign parts. Petitioners trade into the Plantations in the West Indies and other parts beyond the seas, and have frequent occasion to buy parcels of Persians and other East India Silks and Calicoes. Pray to be heard on the Clause in the Bill which prohibits the sale of the said goods in quantities of less than 50l., as they have often to buy them in smaller quantities. 17 Signatures. [Read this day, and ordered to be reserved to the consideration of the Bill in C. W. H. MS. Min.; No entry in L. J.]

052. April 6. Charitable Gifts Act (7 & 8 Will. III. c. 37). ended Draft of an Act for the Encouragement of Charitable Gifts Dispositions. The title as originally written in the draft, was "A to enable the King his heirs and successors to license alienations mortmain." (See No. 1036). The Amendment in Lords' Comtee (Com. Book, 9 April) is purely verbal; no Amendment in the nmons. [Read 1s this day. Royal Assent 27 April. L. J., XV. ,746.]

053. April 8. Security of the King's Person Act (7 & 8 Will, III. 7).—Drafts of Clauses added to the Bill for the better Security of Majosty's Royal Person and Government. [Bill brought from the muons this day (L. J., XV. 730). On 13 April, the Order being I for C. W. H. on the Bill, several Lords were heard. Then House went into Committee, L. Herbert of Cherbury in the uir. The Bill was read through. Title agreed to. Preamble posted. First enacting Clause (Fol. Ed. § i) read. *Proposed* to hear penalties upon Popish Recusants convict. *L. C. Justice*: There several penalties, 201. per month, a third part of his estate at the og's disposal, and excommunication. Proposed that in this Clause e altered in three particulars, (1) not to be in the wife's power to the husband, (2) Summons to be left at a certain place, and tue same method to convict non-jurors as is for convicting Papists.

1696. No. 1051. 1696. — No. 1053.

Proposed that, if no particular amendment be offered, then the Que be Whether the Clause shall stand in the Bill without Amend Proposed to ask L. C. Justice whether Peers, women, and children not subject to this Clause, and two justices may tender the oa them. The Clause read again. L. C. J. of King's Bench hea humbly conceive a Peer is comprehended. [As to] the question what Justice can summon a Peer, I think he cannot; and it is construing one Act with another in my opinion. L. C. Justi think married women are comprehended in this Clause. L. C. J. (asked what is a lawful summons); I construe a lawful summons personal; not leaving at his house, or with his servant, is After debate, Clause agreed to (§ i). The second enacting (read, against writing or printing, &c. (§ ii). After debate, agree third enacting Clause, for the Association (§ iii), agree Fourth Clause read, concerning Commissioners of Public Account Offices of Profit or Trust, to subscribe the Association (Proposed to resume the House. On question, negatived. Propoleave out what relates to the Queen Dowager. In § iv., line 8, "Majesty," the words "or of Her Majesty the Queen Dowager" left out. Proposed that a Proviso be added to the Bill for s Hereditary Offices. L. C. Justice (asked if it concerns the Peer I am of opinion it does not concern the Peerage. After d Question put to postpone the Clause, and negatived; and, on que Clause passed as amended. Clauses forming §§ v to x incagreed to. Proviso concerning Quakers (§ xi) read. The "and shall also own King William to be rightful and lawful king of realms" in § xi, lines 6 and 7, added. (See Paper a below.) Ir Clause (§ xii, l. 2), the words "in the Queen Dowager's or" le after "employment," and Clause agreed to. Then Clauses fo §§ xiii to xvii inclusive agreed to. The next Clause, conc [persons] charged to come (? with having come) from France proof to lie on the party. L. C. Justice heard: I know not or evidence the Grand Jury will find the Bill. The Grand Jury with and the witnesses give their testimony behind the Prisoner's Clause agreed to be left out. The next two Clauses, conc Quakers and concerning enlarging Habeas Corpus Act (§§ xviii & agreed to. The Clause for securing the Succession of the Cro not avoiding Commissions on the King's death (§ xx) read. Justice heard: This Clause continues the Commissions. Support Crown descends to an infant. By the law infancy is no disabi the Crown. He can do all regal acts as if he were of age. Agr Λ proviso was offered and read, to be added to the Bill, conc Offices of Inheritance. On question, the words "in fee simple fee tail "were added to it (§ xxi., l. 2). Then the proviso added end of the Bill (§ xxi., part 2. Paper b below). Moved to add a p concerning Peerage. Postponed Preamble agreed to. Bill re with amendments (MS. Min.). The Commons agreed to Amendments (C. J., XI. 556), and the Bill received the Assent on 27 April (L. J., XV. 746). The drafts are as follows

⁽a) 13 April. Copy of proviso about the Quakers (§ xi the words "and shall also own King William to be right lawful King of these Realms," proposed to be added written on the margin. [The words were added this MS. Min. See Notes above.]

b) 13 April. Amended Draft of a Clause, concerning Offices of Inheritance, added to the Bill this day, after the addition to it of the words "in fee simple or in fee tail." [It forms the last part of & xxi of the Act. MS. Min. See Notes above.

1696. No. 1054.

April 18. Wool Act (7 & 8 Will. III. c. 28).—Draft Clause, ding for cruisers from the North Foreland to the Isle of Wight, this day, in C. W. H., to the Bill for the more effectual preventing sportation of wool, and for encouraging the importation thereof Ireland. It forms § xii of the Act. [The Bill was brought from ommons on 27 March. L. J., XV. 719. On 18 April a Petition Exeter was referred to C. W. H. (Annex a), and in C. W. H., idgewater in the Chair, the Title and Preamble agreed to. Clause 1 d to (§ i), after reading the Clause in the Act 4 Will. & Mary, concerning Exeter. The rest of the Clauses to the end of the · §§ ii to xi inclusive) agreed to, with the addition of the words no longer" at the end of the Bill.* Mr. Randolph called in and as to wool from New England: They have much wool there. bove Proviso offered and read, and, on question, added to the Bill. Min. The Commons agreed to these Amendments (C. J., i61), and the Bill received the Royal Assent on 27 April. L. J., 746.]

(a) 18 April. Petition of the Merchants, Clothiers, Fullers, Tallow Chandlers, and others concerned in the Woollen Manufacture in the City and County of Exon. Exeter, being anciently a free port, imported from Ireland more than 40,000%. worth of wool, &c. yearly, most of which was wrought up in that city. But the Act of 4 W. & M. c. 24, by prohibiting the importation of Irish wool to Exeter, and thus driving it into the northern ports, has raised the price in Exeter more than 20 per cent, and curtailed the supply. Pray they may have the same freedom of trade with Ireland as before that Act. signatures. [Read this day, and referred to C. W. H. on the Wool Bill. † MS. Min.; No entry in L. J. See Notes above.

55. April 22. Papists (Disinheriting Protestants, &c.) Bill. mous' Engrossment of an Act for preventing Papists from disining their Protestant heirs. Whereas it is found by experience that, he promoting and carrying on of the Popish interest in this kingdom, sts and persons in the communion of the Church of Rome do often herit their next heirs who are Protestants, and deprive such persons we the next remainder or reversion upon their estates-tail, who are estants, by making conveyances and settlements of their lands and litaments, and thereby limiting the same or the remainder or resions thereof to some persons in remote degree or others who are sts, and sometimes to the use and benefit of Jesuits and priests, thing tends not only to the great detriment of divers of his esty's Protestant subjects, but the strengthening the Popish faction is Kingdom; Be it therefore enacted by the King's Most Excellent esty, &c. That no voluntary conveyance or settlement made since the and twentieth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand, six red, eighty and eight, or which hereafter shall be made, of any

these words do not appear in the Folio Ed., though they are written in upon

oll.

A uncelled entry on the same day says that a Petition of the Company of the company of the company of the company of the second that the company of th makers and Coach-Harness makers, praying to be heard before the second ng of the Bill, was read and ordered to be heard on Tuesday.

1696. No. 1055. lands, tenements, or hereditaments by any person who was or shall l at the time of the making such settlement or conveyance, a Papist the communion of the Church of Rome, although not at that ti convicted of the same, shall be effectual or of force in law to bar deprive any person who is a Protestant and might claim the same any part thereof, in case no such settlement or conveyance had be made, as heir at law or by virtue of any remainder or revers immediately expectant upon any estate-tail of such Papist, nor sh hinder any descent or entry to any Protestant heir of such Papist, any entry or action of such person in remainder or reversion, or his, or their heirs, but as to such Protestant heir or person in remainder reversion, his, her or their heirs, such conveyance or settlement shall and is hereby declared to be absolutely void; and also that all co vevances and settlements of any lands, tenements, or hereditaments me since the said four and twentieth day of June, or which hereafter sh be made, by any Papist, and for any real and valuable considerati shall against such Protestant heir at law, person in remainder reversion, his, her or their heirs, be esteemed and taken as mortgaonly, and shall by such Protestant heir within five years next after or her ancestor's decease, or by such Protestaut in reversion or remain his, her, or their heirs, within five years next after his, her, or t reversion or remainder should have taken effect in case no such c veyance or settlement by such Papist had been made, be redeemable mortgages on payment of the consideration money really and bonâ paid, together with interest and the charges of the party or parties whom such conveyances have been or shall be made, although s conveyance be made in absolute terms and do not contain any prov agreement, or condition to defeat or determine the estates thereby therein granted.

And, forasmuch as the sending children to be educated beyond seas in the Popish religion is not sufficiently prevented by the heretofore made and provided, for the better remedy thereof be enacted That the next Protestant of his or her kin, who shall, accord to the Statutes made in that behalf, enter upon the lands, tenements hereditaments of such child or children as are sent or gone, or shall sent or gone, beyond the seas, to be bred or live in the Popish religional take the rents, issues, and profits of the same, to his or her use or behoof, until such child or children shall return and conformal her, or themselves to the Church of England, and take the oaths by required, without any account to be rendered for the said rents, issued profits unto such child or children.

Provided always, and be it further enacted, That any person claim obtaining, or recovering any lands or tenements by virtue of this who shall afterwards turn Papist, or be or live in the communion of Church of Rome, and being thereof lawfully convict, shall thereby feit all his estate in the same, and it shall and may be lawful for next Protestant heir, person in remainder or reversion, to and of estate of such person so turning Papist, or being or living in the munion of the Church of Rome, to enter, sue for, and recover the ands, tenements or hereditaments, to his, her or their use, as if person turning Papist or living in the communion of the Churc Rome were naturally dead without other issue than such heir, person remainder or reversion, any former law or statute to the connotwithstanding.

I whereas of late years many Papists and others, casting off all of their duty and allegiance to his Majesty and all natural love to native country, have withdrawn themselves out of this kingdom sided in the dominions of his Majesty's enemies, some of whom estates for life or estates in tail or in fee simple in lands, tenerents, or hereditaments within this kingdom or the dominion of , the profits of which they enjoy and spend out of the kingdom, erewith enrich and assist his Majesty's cremies, and may apply me to the disturbance of his Majesty's Government and the public of the realm; and, when any of the said persons have died or rappen to die, those who have estates in remainder or reversion intely dependent on their estate for life or in tail, and the heirs of who are seized in tail or in fee simple, are or may be kept out of sion of their respective rights and estates, because they cannot the death of such tenant for life or such tenant in tail without or such tenant in fee simple; Be it therefore enacted and end that a certain Act made in the nineteenth year of the reign of te King Charles the Second, intituled An Act for the redress of eniences by want of proof of the decease of persons beyond the absenting themselves, upon whose lives estates do depend, and nefits, provisions and advantages thereof, and every article and in the said Act, shall extend to and from henceforth be construed and to persons having estates in remainder immediately dependent ites for life or on estates tail or in reversion on estates tail, and to s that are heirs in tail or heirs in fee simple, as fully and as as if such persons had been named and their cases provided for

I be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That all manors, tenements, rents, tithes and other hereditaments, and all and er sums of money, goods, chattels, profits, commodities and nents, which, by virtue of any manner of conveyance, assurance, evise, will, or otherwise, have been or hereafter shall be given, ed, limited, or appointed for or towards the maintaining or support Popish priest or priests, or to or for the education or maintenance child or children in the Popish religion or communion of the h of Rome in any Popish seminary, or to or for the celebration or ng of any Popish superstitions or any part thereof, or to or for uperstitious use whatsoever, shall, immediately from and after ecution of such conveyance, assurance, or gift, or the death of erson or persons making such devise, be and are hereby declared ljudged to be from thenceforth vested in his Majesty, his heirs accessors, and shall from thenceforth be and are hereby adjudged in the actual seisin and possession of his Majesty, his heirs and sors, without office or inquisition thereof to be found or had, any statute to the contrary in any wise notwithstanding.

. Act.

vided always, and be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, That the s Majesty, his heirs or successors, shall not by virtue of this Act ud, sue, or call to account any person or persons, being Protestants, ath or have enjoyed or been in possession of any estate conveyed, I, or devised to any Popish or superstitious use, for such rents or s of the said estate that such person or persons hath or have ed or received, or shall enjoy or receive to his or their proper use shelf at any time before the first day of October one thousand, indred, ninety six, anything herein contained to the contrary not-tanding. Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Commons ay, and read 1s. L. J., XV. 741. On 23 April a Petition of Mrs.

1696.

No. 1055.

1696. No. 1055. Arabella Thompson, praying to be heard before the Bill passed, read. The Bill was then read 2a and committed to C. W. H., and Thompson to be heard by her Counsel on Monday, on which day Prorogation took place. MS. Min.; L. J., XV. 742.

1056. April 23. Spirit Duties Act (7 & 8 Will. III. c. 30 Petition of the Company of Distillers of London, praying to be by Counsel on several Clauses of the Bill, which imposes a duty of per gallon on Low Wines, a commodity not drinkable, nor worth al 5d. per gallon to be sold. Several Clauses tend to ruin the Distil of England and to drive the trade into foreign parts, to the hindred of the consumption of corn and fruit of the growth of this kingd Signed by Robert Taylor, Master, John Russon and Tho: Rawlin Wardens, and 12 others. [There is no record of this Petition, but Bill to which it refers was brought from the Commons this reported from C. W. II. without Amendment on 24 April, and receithe Royal Assent on 27 April. L. J., XV. 743, 746.]

1057. April 27. King's Speech (Prorogation).—King's Speech prorogaing Parliament this day. L. J., XV. 747. In extenso.

1058. June 16. Prorogation.—Commission for proroguing Pament this day. Parchment Collection. L. J., XV. 748. In exte.

1059. Sept. 1. Prorogation.—Commission for proroguing Parlianthis day. Parchment Collection. L. J., XV. 750. In extenso.

Session 1696-7.

1060. Oct. 20. King's Speech, delivered this day, on the ope of the Session. L. J., XVI. 3. In extenso.

1061. Oct. 20. Partitions Bill.—Draft of an Act for the more obtaining Partitions. For contents see Annex (a) below. It is end on an inner sheet as read 1a on 22 Nov. 1695, and on the outlet as 1a this day. L. J., XV. 598 and XVI. 4. [It was further proceeded with on either occasion, and appears to have so the same purpose as the Select Vestries Bill at the present time.]

Annexed :--

(a) Breviate of the Bill. The Bill sets forth that, in of Partition, the Process being Summons, Attachment Distress infinite, the Tenants do oft put the Demandants to delay before they will appear, because the said Process Distress are not by the Party or Under Sheriff duly exec it therefore enacts that, after Process returned upon any of Partition, and Affidavit made of notice given of the Writ fourteen days before the day of the return of the sa the Tenant to such Writ shall not within one week after return thereof enter an appearance in such case the Cour examine the Demandant's title, and may give Judgme default and award Execution, whereby such Proportion n set out in severalty. Provided that, if the Party against Judgment shall be so given shall, within such Execution executed, or in case of Infancy, Covert non-sane memory or absence out of the realm, within on after the determination of such disabilities, show good ce the Court in bar of such Partition, then the Court may s such Judgment on payment of costs, and admit the Pr plead as if no such Judgment had been, and may award Execution to be made, notwithstanding the filing the Execution: That, when the High Sheriff, by reason of distance or infirmity, cannot conveniently be present at the execution of any Partition, the Under Sheriff, in the presence of two Justices of the Peace, shall proceed to execution of any Partition by Inquisition as if the High Sheriff were present, and the High Sheriff may make the same return as if he were personally present at the execution.

1 6 9 6. No. 1061.

062. Oct. 20. Writ of Summons (V. Hereford).—Writ of Summons Edward, V. Hereford. Dated 20 Oct. 1696. [Sat first in Parliant this day. L. J., XVI. 4.]

063. Oct. 20. Writ of Summons (L. Haversham). — Writ of mons to John Thomson de Haversham, Chr. Dated 20 Oct. 6. [Introduced this day. L. J., XVI. 4.]

064. Oct. 26. Writ of Summons (E. Warrington). — Writ of mous to George, E. Warrington. Dated 26 Oct. 1696. [Sat first Parliament this day. L. J., XVI. 5.]

065. Oct. 26. Sawrey v. E. Derby.—Petition of Roger Sawrey, re., and Jeremiah Sawrey his son, praying that the Earl may waive privilege in an action of Ejectment from the Manor of Broughton other lands in Laneashire brought by his lessee Robert Spencer nst Petitioners, in which Spencer was successful in consequence of tioners' defence depending upon a Private Act of 4 Jac. 1, which not admitted because it was not written upon stamped paper, vithstanding the Order of the House of Lords, of 22 Nov. 1694, such copies shall be authentic. Pray also that the entering of gment against them in the Palatine Court may be in the meantime ed. Signed Jer. Sawrey. L. J., XVI. 5, almost in extenso.

On this Petition being read this day, it was moved to hear the ges. L. Chief Baron: If the Postea be returned, and the four s expired, Judgment may be entered, and the Court cannot grant w trial. Ordered that, in case the Petitioners do move the Court exchequer for a new trial or in arrest of Judgment, it shall not be rpreted to be a breach of privilege. Then this point was referred De Committee for Privileges (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 5)—There is ntry in Priv. Book at this stage—On 2 March a Petition of E. by (Annex a below) was referred to the Committee for Privileges, r an account of the matter had been given to the House by the 1 Chief Baron (MS. Min.). An Order was made for considering far privilege should be allowed to attornies who were Peers' ants. (L. J., XVI. 109.) The Committee for Privileges met on arch, when the L. C. Baron and Baron Powys were called in, and Barth. Shore was heard for E. Derby. E. Derby has a title to e lands in Mr. Sawrey's possession, and brought an Ejectment nst some of the tenants. We had a verdict, and then Lord Derby's ent got the tenants to attorn. Mr. Sawrey had leave of your dships to move for a new trial. What we complain of is, they gone further, and the Counsel moved for a commitment of my I's Agent for getting the tenants to attorn. We say there was each of privilege in committing the Earl's servant. We insist it awful to procure an attornment pending any suit. We agree rnies or solicitors are not to have privilege; but if a man be an it of a peer, and that man procures an attornment, that person shall aid by the heels—this we think E. Derby has cause to complain of. Sloane (for the Earl): The Order made by the Judges was not t. Why an attorney, in serving a nobleman, is not fit to have

1696. No. 1065 privilege, I lay this before your Lordships. You gave leave for a no trial. The refusing to read the copy of the Act not on stamped pap was the only ground for a new trial. "We submit to the new trial, " they had no power to go further. The new trial, say they, shall ha an influence on the Earl's title. It appeared on Mr. Gibson's affidathat there was no threatening at all. They say Mr. Gibson confess he gave a covenant to the tenants to attorn. This was lawful, t Earl having given him authority. Counsel withdrew. *Proposed* hear the Judges. Counsel called in and *L. C. Baron* heard: I have the Judges. read the Petition. Heard what the Counsel said also. I sat Lancaster, and I gave Mr. Justice Turton my assistance at the Assize The copy was offered to be read. It was opposed to be read by t Lord's Counsel. Your Lordships gave leave for a new trial. A Re was made for it, and it was ordered Mr. Gibson to attend. Gibson had a day to show cause. Mr. Gibson came. This appear he was a known common attorney. He had given security to tenants to attorn; after which E. Derby gave him security. Pour B: I know nothing but what was in the Exchequer. It appeared us that Mr. Sawrey had been in possession 40 years. It appears there had been a verdict by surprise. E. Derby's authority to Gibs read. Owned not read in Court. Another of E. Derby's authorit read. Sir Barth. Shore heard. Counsel withdrew. Order of T. Novr. 1691 read, against privilege to attornies. Proposed to rep specially whether attornies have privilege or not. Ordered to repsubstantially as in L. J., XVI. 124. (Priv. Book.)—On considerat of the Report on 23 March (L. J., XVI. 132), E. Derby's Sawrey's Petitions were read, and E. Derby's Answer to the lat was offered and read. The Order of [7th] 9th Nov. 1691 was re L. C. Baron and Baron Powys heard as to commitment of Mr. Gibs E. Derby denies the matter of fact. One Counsel for the ordered to be heard the following day (MS. Min.)—On 24th Barth: Shore and the Barons of the Exchequer were heard, Order made as in L. J., XVI. 134. House moved that Sawrey may in the same condition as before the Order of the House for dischar-Mr. Gibson. L. Keeper heard as to the attornment of tenants, whether lawful. E. Derby declared he was ready to quit the att ments complained to be got or procured to him by Gibson, and what attornments have been since Gibson was released he freely quits. Barons heard as to the attornments. E. Derby offered and conse to waive his privilege, and consented that all the attornments sh be vacated and delivered back; and in the new trial he will not on any privilege. (MS. Min.)]

Annexed :-

(a) 2 March 1696-7. Petition of William George Richard, of Derby. On obtaining his verdict, Petitioner authorise Steward, one Edmond Gibson, a person altogether uncone in the suit, to take the attornments of tenants willing to a and 82 attorned. The Sawreys, on obtaining an Order of House on their petition (L. J., XVI. 5) enabling them to for a new trial without breach of privilege, not only obtained trial, but caused Mr. Gibson to come two hundred mi attend the trial, and the Court ordered him to be examined Interrogatories, and, on his refusing to deliver up the aments, committed him to the Fleet Prison, where he still return the attornments were obtained freely, without threats or proof reward. Petitioner conceives these proceedings exceed.

Order of the House, and are in violation of his privilege, tending 1696. greatly to his prejudice in recovery of estates which had been in his family for 150 years. Prays Mr. Gibson may be released, and that the Defendants and their Counsel may be dealt with as the House thinks fit for the breach of Petitioner's privilege. Signed by E. Derby. Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 109.

No. 1065.

(b) 16 March 1696-7. Petition of Roger Sawrey, Esqre., and Jeremiah Sawrey, his son. Petitioner Roger 40 years ago bought the manor of one Mr. Lee, in the time of Charles, E. Derby, the present Earl's father, for 4,300l., though worth only about 2001. a year, and, on his son's marriage, settled it on him and the issue of the marriage, reserving a moiety to himself and his wife for their lives. The present Earl obtained a verdict by surprise, and it was set aside and a new trial ordered. (iibson, under pretence of authority from the Earl, obtained attornments from the tenants, by threatening that the Earl would sue them for 18 years' rent, and executed a covenant to save them harmless, which he had no authority to do. He is a common attorney at law and solicitor in Chancery, lives 50 miles away from the Earl, and has never done any other business for him. Pray that all the attornments may be vacated, and that Petitioners may be put in the same state as at the time of the trial, or that they may be at liberty to proceed at law against Gibson and the attorning tenants. Signed by both Petitioners. Endorsed March 24th 1696 the E. Derby declared that the attornments since the trial shall be delivered up and vacated, and possession as it was at the verdict, and that he waived his privilege. [Read this day, and E. Derby to answer. L. J., XVI. 124.]
(r) 23 March 1696-7. Answer of E. Derby to above. The manor was procured in the late time by Lee from the Commis-

sioners appointed by the then powers at Drury House, partly for money, which was very inconsiderable and paid in debentures, and partly for his then pretended merit towards the Usurpers, and Lee, being in possession, prevailed on the Earl's father, who was then in distress and under extreme hardships to conyey the manor for about 100l.; and Sawrey, baying about the same time and in the same manner got into possession of some church lands in the bishopric of Durham, where Lee was born, exchanged them for the manor. After the Restoration, Lee was dispossessed of his church lands, but Sawrey has gone on receiving the rents of the manor. Respondent recovered a considerable part of his paternal estate of which his father was deprived, as the latter had not any power to dispose of it, and he made an entry 18 years since and brought an Ejectment for the manor of Broughton. Repeats that the Sawreys exceeded the Order of the House. The covenant to save the tenants harmless was lawful. The attornments were not obtained by indirect means, but £40

066. Oct. 30. E. Montagu v. E. Bath.—Petition of the Rt.

having been spent among 80 tenants. Gibson acted under Respondent's authority, and was merely his Steward, and does not fall under the Order denying privilege to attornies. Signed Derby. Endorsed as brought in this day. See L. J.,

1 6 9 6. No. 1066.

on 28 Nov. 1693, to waive their privilege in all matters between the relating to the estate of the late Duke of Albemarle. But in an acti between Robert Rickards, one of the pretended tenants of E. Bath, a Henry Cornforth, bailiff to Petitioner, for a distress taken on the lan of the late Duke, on Cornforth obtaining a verdict, E. Bath insisted his privilege, as he did also in two other similar actions. Prays t House not to suffer E. Bath to re-assume his privilege. I. XVI. 7, almost in extenso. Endorsed Novr. 6th 1696. No ord made this day, the E. of Montagu upon the debate proposing the Judgment upon the verdict obtained against the E. of Bath sha not be entered for 6 months, provided he prosecute within that the the witnesses he has brought informations against, and to pay the costs of those that shall not be convicted, as the Court shall adjudge to which the E. of Bath agreed, and declared he would not inso on privilege after that time. [On the Petition being read the day and referred to the Committee for Privileges, a Paper was rewherein E. Bath and E. Montagu had mutually consented to war privilege. (MS. Min.)-On 31 Oct., in Committee for Privilege the above Petition and the mutual consent were read. E. Ba offered his Answer in writing, directed to the House (Annex below) which was taken and read by the clerk. 7 Dec. 27 El V. Bindon complains of Robert Finnes being confined in the Compt Privilege not allowed because not claimed when first attached. Sa rey's case v. E. Derby, 26th inst., was read. (See No. 1065.) Order to report E. Bath's Answer and the precedents, as in L. J., XVI. (Priv. Book.)—After debate on 2 Nov., the matter was furth debated on 6 Nov., when E. Montagu's Petition and E. Bath's Answ (Annex a below) were read. Moved that the Judges be asked if t witnesses complained of were esteemed as material in the cause. C. Common Pleas: They were material as to one point. It was alleg that some witnesses were not in town at that time. Second Questin Whether E. Bath had liberty within four days to move for a new tria Powell, J., heard also. A precedent was called for and read, 12 Ar 1690, Duke of Southampton v. Wood, 10 Novr. 1690. The Petiti and answer in this case read; 11 June 1661, L. Chamberlain a E. Northumberland v. Duchess of Somerset. Order 19 June res and the Petition therein mentioned. 18 April 1689, E. Angles and his lady, read out of the Journal. E. Montagu proposed that E. Bath presecute all the persons against whom he had brough informations within six months, and pay costs if not convicte that then he waive his privilege, to which E. Bath agreed. Agree by the House to have no entry in the Journal (MS. Min.)-25 Nov. Counsel were called in upon E. Bath's Petition and E. Me tagu's Answer (Annexes b and d), and on E. Montagu's Coun proposing to consider of the former Petitions and Answers, Coun were restricted to the last Petition and Answer. Sir Barth: Sho (for E. Bath): We cannot prosecute the witnesses except the Juc ment be entered. We beg we may have no impediment to hinder from prosecuting the twelve witnesses. Mr. Northey (for same) : I nature of our petition arises from itself. Sir W. Rawlinson (for Montagu): The matter is perfectly in the King's Bench, and E. Be may proceed. Sir Tho: Powys (for same): E. Bath is to have pri lege as he pleases. If a stop be put to the entry of the Judgme then, after two terms, if E. Montagu's bailiff dies, he will lose his co-This brings a most manifest prejudice to our cause. It is a prejud in the opinion of the world against the witnesses. Entering the verd will do E. Bath's business. Proposed to hear the Judges whether

1 6 9 6. No. 1066.

ean be a proceeding against the witnesses if neither verdict nor ment be entered. Treby, C. J.,: I think E. Bath could not pro-Powell, J.,: E. Bath is obstructed if the verdict is not entered. debate, E. Bath and E. Montagn came to an agreement and d it, and it was delivered to the L. Keeper to keep. (MS. Min.)-2 Dec. 1697 (a year later) E. Montagu complained to the House E. Bath, contrary to an agreement between them, had resumed rivilege. E. Bath ordered to attend next day (MS. Min. See , XVI. 184).—On 23 Dec. 1697 E. Bath was heard, and desired E. Montagu might revive his Petition, or put in a new one Min., expunged).—On 3 Jan. E. Montagu presented a Petition ex e) which E. Bath answered on the 10th (Annex f); ontagn replied on the 14th, (Annex g) and E. Bath rejoined on (Annex h) (L. J., XVI. 186, 190, 194, 197).—On 26 Jan.—S Counsel were heard. Sir Tho: Powys (for E. Montagu): tope that what is signed by these two Lords should stand. Serj. Wright: The question is whether your Lordships will let ath resume his privilege after twice waiving it. Mr. Attorney ral (for E. Bath): We hope E. Bath has not broken his ment by insisting on his privilege. Sir Barth: Shore (for ath): E. Bath's insisting on privilege was to prevent oppression rrong. E. Montagu's Counsel offer not to make use of any wits that E. Bath has brought informations against, and to waive generally in all matters whatsoever. John Rice and Edmond r sworn. Then ordered as in L. J., XVI. 202. (MS. Min.)]. er sworn.

(1) 31 Oct. Answer of the Rt. Honble. John, Earl of Bath. E. Montagu, who declared himself married to the Duchess of Albemarle, set up his privilege on two occasions to prevent judgment being pronounced on Bills brought in Chancery by the Duchess and others against Respondent. Thereupon a Petition was prepared to be presented to the House praying the Lord Keeper to give Judgment, and the Paper referred to in Petition, whereby both Earls waived their privilege, was signed. Afterwards there was a trial and verdict, as mentioned in the Petition; but the verdict was obtained by perjury of twelve witnesses, and Respondent, during the Recess, insisted on his privilege only in order to prosecute the perjured witnesses before they could give perjured evidence in other trials. Will no longer insist upon his privilege than until his informations against the witnesses shall be tried and determined. Signed Bath. Endorsed as delivered to the Committee for Privileges this day and read, and read in the House on 2 Nov. (See notes

(b) 19 Nov. Petition of John, Earl of Bath. In spite of E. Montagu's agreement not to obstruct the trial of the witnesses within six months, his agents are obstructing by refusing to plead this term, and by refusing to agree that the trial in the Common Pleas, wherein the perjury was committed, should be entered up of Record, without which Petitioner cannot proceed to trial. Petitioner's promise not to insist on his privilege after six months is thus likely to be used to his detriment, if the trial is protracted beyond the six months, and E. Montagu's agents are insisting on his privilege without limitation in all causes relating to the late Duke of Albemarle's estate, as appears by next Paper. Prays E. Montagu may direct his attorney not to

1696.

No. 1066.

obstruct as aforesaid, or that Petitioner may have his time privilege enlarged until he shall be able to compel the witner to trials for their notorious perjuries; and that E. Montague not reassume his privilege indefinitely when Petitioner star restrained to a limited time. Signed Bath. Endorsed as rethis day. L. J., XVI. 15, 16.

(c) Notice by Anthony Warde, attorney for E. Montagu, Mr. Sherwood, that his Lordship insists upon his privileg the causes of Rolfe v. Sweetapple et al. and Bealing v. same, and in all other causes relating to the estate of the Duke of Albemarle. Dated 7 Novr. [Appended to

ceding.]

(d) 23 Nov. Answer of E. Montagu to preceding petition. spondent has put in appearances for the accused witner which is all he can do, as the entering up of the Judgment been delayed by Petitioner insisting on his privilege. Petitioner waives his privilege and reassumes it as it suits him. Responders to House to make such order as will prevent his doing As to Respondent insisting on his own privilege indefinite was agreed that there should be no prosecutions as to estate until the six months had expired. Signed Mon

Endorsed as brought in this day.

(e) 3 Jan. 1697-8. Petition of E. Montagu. The first de the last term, when a Jury in Westminster Hall was reactry the cause, E. Bath reassumed his privilege, contrary agreements and to the Rules of the House, to Petitioner's loss and damage, some of his material witnesses being dead. Prays he may not incur the censure of the House proceeding against E. Bath below, according to his agree to waive his privilege, and insists it to be no breach of prithat he should so do. Signed Montagu. Endorsed as this day, and Order made by consent on 26 Jan. 1697-8. XVI. 186.

(f) 10 Jan. 1697-8. Answer of E. Bath to preceding. counts the proceedings until the agreement of 25 Nov Notes above, p. 255) to waive privilege. Pursuant to that: ment Respondent caused informations to be exhibited King's Bench against the perjured witnesses, but E. Mo instead of doing all he could to speed the trials, insist his privilege, and would not permit the entry up of the ver which the perjury was committed; and his attorney ordering appearances for four of the witnesses, withdre Order, until compelled by the Court to stand to it, a Defendants, in order to spin out the time allowed for the refused to plead in Michaelmas term, and got the trial of the informations put off till Easter term, upon preten could not be ready. The matter of eight of the twelve mations was whether Sir John Copplestone was in Lor July 1681, and of the other four whether Mr. Strode and the whole might have been tried in one day; Montagu's agents spun out the hearing, bringing up ignorant fellows to swear to a particular month sixtee before, and one of these was detected in a manifest and ordered by the Court to have an information ex against him. By these acts, Respondent was prevented having more than four of the informations tried wit

No. 1066.

time limited; but these were all found guilty of wilful and 1696. corrupt perjury, and Respondent hoped to have been eased in the trials of the rest. E. Montagu then hastened to bring on the trial of the title, and Respondent admits he thereupon insisted on his privilege, there being no other way of preventing Petitioner from using the still unconvicted witnesses a second time as witnesses to the title, and submits that in so doing he acted in consonance with what was really intended by the agreement, viz., that he should hold his privilege until the criminals should be tried, and not stand confined to the limited time, if obstructed by the Petitioner, so as he prosecuted as effectually as by law he could. It would be derogatory to the House to permit Petitioner to profit by the tricks and foul practices of his agents. Two of the convicted witnesses, by pretence of a defect in the informations, have since been discharged, and will be used against Respondent, unless their discharge is reversed by a Writ of Error petitioned for by Respondent, which Petitioner's agents most violently oppose: and, until that Writ of Error is decided, Respondent is advised not to proceed with the other informations, which are drawn in the same terms as those now pronounced defective. The Jury referred to in preceding Petition was summoned after Respondent had given notice that he would stand upon his privilege, and with the object only of prejudicing Respondent with the Jury, as the cause of their needless trouble. Petitioner has found means to procure a pardon for one convicted for coining false guineas, in order to produce him as a witness; and his country witnesses are being encouraged by reports that he will procure pardons for two of his witnesses convicted and outlawed for perjury. Respondent therefore hopes the House will not, by taking away his privilege, subject his cause to criminated desperate witnesses, until they have received an exemplary punishment, to deter others, and prays it to reject Petitioner's complaint, as on several previous occasions since the judgment of the House on his Appeal in the main cause concerning the late Duke of Albemarle's estate. Signed Bath.

[Read this day. L. J., XVI. 190.]
(g) 14 Jan. 1697-8. Replication of E. Montagu to preceding.
Much of E. Bath's Answer is irrelevant. E. Montagu's verdiets below were obtained on full and pregnant evidence, the circumstance as to Sir John Copplestone and Mr. Strode being waived by the Court as superfluous, and E. Bath's prosecution of the witnesses on this point was only a pretext for reassuming his privilege until they had been tried. Thereupon E. Montagu, to ease the House of any further debate, entered voluntarily into the agreement of 25 Nov. 1696, whereby it was agreed that the verdict in Rickards v. Cornforth and Judgment thereupon was to be entered forthwith, and that there should be no further proceeding in any cause relating to the Duke of Albemarle's estate till after the end of Easter term 1697; and E. Bath undertook to prosecute the informations for perjury with effect against all the Defendants, and E. Montagu undertook to cause them to enter pleas of not guilty before the end of the next Hilary term. This will appear from the agreement, now in the hands of the L. Chancellor. The House would doubtless have made an

1696. No. 1066.

Order that E. Bath should never reassume his privilege after the end of the six months voluntarily allowed him by E. Mor tagu, but that E. Bath gave his word and honour that he new would so do. E. Montagu performed his part of the agre ment, and E. Bath might have tried all the witnesses in la Easter, Trinity and Michaelmas terms, and was in no was obstructed by E. Montagu. E. Bath, while standing upon h privilege, is prosecuting E. Montagu in Chancery, prosecutin a Writ of Error in King's Bench, and prosecuting E. Montagu tenants and servants at law, in relation to the Duke's estate and his claim of privilege tends to obstruct justice, as some E. Montagu's witnesses are dead, and others old and infirm, as very likely to die. When a trial was appointed in King Bench in Trinity term last on the merits, E. Montagu offer E. Bath not to produce any evidence as to Sir John Coppl stone or Mr. Strode being out of town at the time of t pretended execution of the pretended deeds, that being only point to which the accused witnesses deposed. that E. Bath, having waived his privilege, cannot reassume and hopes he will not incur the censure of the House in p ceeding against him below to assert his right to the estat Signed Montagu. Endorsed as brought in and read this da L. J., XVI. 194.

(h.) 18 Jan. 1697-8. Rejoinder of E. Bath to preceding Rep cation of E. Montagu. E. Montagu has evaded the charge of obstructing the prosecution of the perjured witnesses, w have moreover been defended at another's charge by gre numbers of pretended contesting witnesses, and by the great Counsel in the realm, the parties themselves being no way pri thereunto, one declaring publicly in Court that he did i know for what he came thither, or that ever he had been tri This is the first instance of the kind the or found guilty. ever was known in England. E. Bath hopes he may insist his privilege until he has punished these criminals, to de others; and he conceives that to have been the sense of House. If the perjurers are not punished, there is a dang of the like false testimony, whereby two verdicts forme given for E. Bath were turned into verdicts against him. O one witness has died, one Osborn, who has thus escap punishment for subornation and other crimes for which was under prosecution. E. Montagu's obstruction of prosecution of the criminals is too well known to need a pro E. Bath's Bill in Chancery was brought because he had time to move at law for a new trial; and there is no privil as to the Writ of Error, which is in the nature of a defen against an erroneous judgment. As to the right to the l Duke's estate, the true right of the Duchess was ne obstructed, but E. Montagu's pretended right is chiefly, if solely, grounded on an illegal buying of a pretended title Christopher Monk, Esqre., pendente lite, who, without E. Bat seeking, made proposals to release his pretended claim to real, and transfer his right to the personal, estate, accepting lieu thereof part of the late Duke's estate under E. Bath's ti E. Bath gave no credit to these proposals, until they w brought to him in writing under Christopher Monk's hand a person of great honour and quality, and he then entered i

articles with Monk to give effect to these proposals; but when Monk was about to execute the releases drawn pursuant to the said articles, he was by E. Montagu's agents withdrawn, concealed and kept back from executing the conveyances, that so E. Montagu might continue to have a colour to vex, tire and oppress E. Bath with multiplied suits. E. Bath has in his custody not only the counterpart of E. Montagu's illegal conveyances, but also a full proof of a judgment in 12,000l. given by Monk to one of E. Montagu's Counsel without any other consideration than services already done, which what those were, and how meritorious of so great a reward, he submits to their Lordships' judgment. He knows of no offer, as alleged, as to the witnesses, except what was whispered by the said Counsel at the King's Bench bar the last day of term, but with what authority, if any, he knows not. Repeats the prayer of his answer (Annex (f) above). Signed Bath. Endorsed as brought in and read this day. L. J., XVI. 197.

1696. No. 1066.

Nov. 6. M. Normanby v. D. Devonshire.—Petition of Marquess of Normanby. Petitioner agreed on 21st Feb. with L. Berkeley of Stratton, for the purchase of Berkeley House, , since that agreement, D. Devonshire pretended he also had eted to purchase. Petitioner brought a Bill in Chancery t L. Berkeley and D. Devonshire for execution of his agreement. keley waived his privilege, and answered, as also, after some did the Duke, who afterwards, however, resumed his privilege; ough all three agreed to waive their privilege, and, on the faith arrangement, Petitioner and L. Berkeley signed papers to that and Petitioner suffered Sir Cloudesley Shovell to be examined itness against him, D. Devonshire has resumed his privilege, and ing alterations in the house, as if it were his own. Prays the will not permit him to be prejudiced in this way. Signed mby. L. J., XVI. 8. [On 13 Nov. L. Berkeley and M. Normanby eard, and D. Devonshire's Answer (Annex (b) below) was read. Nov. Counsel were heard on the matters of fact. Mr. Finch. Normanby): The Bill was filed 4 April. L. Berkeley occasioned ng this Bill by his letter. 27 April L. Berkeley answered. he Duke answered. John and Wm. Brocket examined. : Shore (for the Duke): We insist that we have done no act will amount to waiving privilege. Clerks ordered to search ents relating to the waiving and resuming of privilege.—On the following precedents were read :-

Dec., 23 Eliz: V. Bindon's Case. April 1628. Lady Purbeck.

June 1661. L. Chamberlain [and] E. Northumberland.

E. Bath heard to this precedent.

Nov. 1669. Sir Maurice Eustace v. E. Anglesey.

3 April 1689. Countess Anglesey v. E. Anglesey.

Nov. 1685. E. Huntingdon v. Grenville.

March 1677. Lady Leigh v. Lord Leigh.

Nov. 1680. Bp. Chichester v. Ch. Wardens [of St. Peter the Great].

and II Nov. 1690. Dean of Windsor v. Bp. Sarum.
Dec. 1691. Watts v. Hoskins.

April 1690. Bp. Coventry and Lichfield v. D. Southamp-

Nov. 1690. Duchess of Albemarle v. E. Bath.

1 6 9 6. No. 1067.

The three Lords concerned were then heard, and L. President Notts, E. Rochester, E. Monmouth, E. Marlborough, E. Cornwa and L. Godolphin were appointed to treat and endeavour to r an agreement between them. On 9 Dec. E. Nottingham acquai the House that the Lords had met and made some proposals for agreement: (1) That the Duke should continue his privilege Session-to which the Duke did not agree. The Duke heard-tha would waive any privilege as to any trial at law. M. Normanby h also. House moved to order that the Duke shall retain his priv during this Session and no longer. The Duke declared that he desirous the House should give their opinion whether he had we his privilege or not on M. Normanby's Petition and his Gr Answer. After debate, Proposed that the Duke may, if he pl retain his privilege of Parliament in this Cause until the determination of this present meeting of Parliament by prorogation or adjourn and that no privilege shall be insisted on in this Cause by any of three Lords after that time in any Court whatsoever. The Pre-Question was put and resolved in the affirmative, as also the question. The Duke desired time till to-morrow to consider wh he will waive his privilege immediately. He will submit to any this House shall think fit. Agreed not to be entered until to-me -On 10 Dec. the Duke declared he would waive his privilege that no privilege shall be insisted on in this Cause by any o three Lords in any Court whatsoever. (MS. Min.)]

Annexed :-

(a) Order of the Lord Keeper for both sides to put in Answers to each other within three days. Signed Goldesbrough. [Appended to M. Normanby's Petition.]

(b) 12 Nov. Answer of William, Duke of Devonshire Normanby's Petition. Respondent's agreement with Berkeley was made verbally on 20th Feb. and reduct writing on the morning of the 21st, and Respondent 500l. in part payment, according to the agreement. Normanby's pretended agreement, on the other hand, wa signed until the afternoon of the 21st, at the Tower V when Lord Berkeley was going to sea, and endorsed l latter with these words, "when I receive such carnest expect, I agree this to be a firm bargain"; by which "earnest" cannot be understood anything certain; and over L. Berkeley directed his Steward not to part with pretended agreement till after the time for Respondent the 500l. was expired. M. Normanby did nothing for months after Respondent and L. Berkeley had put in Answers to his Bill, and Respondent, thinking thereby M. Normanby was satisfied, completed the purchase during his absence from town M. Normanby applied Lord Keeper, and Respondent insisted on his privilegnever gave his Counsel, Mr. Dobyns, any authority to would waive it, as alleged. Hopes he may not be dis in his dwelling, which will hinder him from attending of Lordships' service, according to his duty. Signed Devo Endorsed as brought in this day, and read the followin See L. J., XVI. 11.

1068. Nov. 6. E. Lincoln v. Rolle.—Petition and Appeal of J. Earl of Lincoln, an Infant, by Susanna, Countess of Lincoln, his and prochein amy. Edward, a late Earl of Lincoln, being seized

1 6 9 6. No. 1068.

ain property, and intending it to accompany the title, for the t of the honour, devised it in two wills, to Petitioner's father, s, late Earl of Lincoln, for his life, with remainder to Petitioner e heirs male of his body, with many other remainders over in tail and directed that his household goods at his chief houses at ingham and Tattershall Castle should remain there as heirlooms succeeding Earls. But the Defendants Samuel Rolle, Vere and Hugh Fortesene and Bridget his wife set up a title as heirs to Earl Edward, grounded upon a pretence of deeds of lease lease, whereby Earl Edward, in consideration of a marriage I to be intended between him and Mrs. Ann Calvert, had settled id deeds, until the marriage took effect, on him and his heirs, rease the marriage took effect, then, as to part of the said estate, steer for Ann Calvert and her heirs, the residue to be sold for ng the incumbrances on Ann Calvert's part, and the surplus to be Petitioner's father, Earl Edward's executor. The marriage took effect, nor ever was intended or agreed to by Mrs. Calvert, as any overture made by the Earl on that behalf, who died seized · estate as fully as when he made the wills; yet the defendants ed that the wills were revoked. Richard Wynne, Esq., had a against the estate as a mortgagee for 12,200l.; and Christopher port, Gent., and George Townsend, Gent., were trustees under ord of release. Petitioner filed a Bill in Chancery against all Defendants, who filed a Cross Bill, and obtained a Decree by Rolle, Booth and the Fortescues were admitted to the retion of the premises, and Davenport and Townsend were to convey interest to them. Though the mortgage is not yet paid off, Rolle, and the Fortescues have compelled soveral of the tenants to attorn em. Appeals against the Decree, which is erroneous, and prays he estate may be ordered to be conveyed to him on his paying off nortgage, and for stay of proceedings on the Decree. Signed oln, S. Lincoln. Countersigned Jo: Danyell, Saml. Carter., XVI. 9. [At the hearing, on 21 Jan. 1696-7, Mr. Serjt. oht and Mr. Pooley were heard for E. Lincoln, Sir Tho: Powys Sir Barth: Shore for Respondents Rolle &c., and Mr. Jekyll for nortgagee. After debate, L. Chief Baron was heard : By this the estate is limited till the marriage take effect. The trustees no power without the marriage took effect. On question whether Decree should be reversed, Contents 29, Not Contents 31; Tellers untingdon and E. Manchester. MS. Min.]

(a) 16 Nov. Joint and Several Answer of Christopher Davenport and George Townsend, Gents. The estate conveyed to Respondents as trustees by the deed of release consisted of Tattershall alias Tattleshall Castle, the College, Church Collegiate and Almshouse of the Holy Trinity of Tattershall, with the site, precinct, &c. of the same, the manors of Billingbrook, Sempringham and Bulthrope, and the rectories and parsonages impropriate of the last two, all in Lincolnshire. Respondents have not yet conveyed the premises in obedience to the Decree, as they have not yet been required thereto. Are only trustees, and pray to be dismissed with costs. Signed Chris: Davenport, Geo: Townsend. Countersigned Tho: Filmer. Endorsed as brought in this day.

(*) 17 Nov. Joint and Several Answer of Samuel Rolle, Esqre., Hugh Fortescue, Esqre., and Bridget his wife, and the Honble. 1 6 9 6. No. 1068. Vere Booth, Spinster. Earl Edward knew that the deed lease and release would revoke his previous wills, and yet never made any new will, whereby it is apparent that it not his intention that the estates should go to Appell Respondents are Earl Edward's nearest of blood and kin his heirs at law. The Decree appealed from is just. Sig Sl. Rolle, H. Fortescue, Bridt. Fortescue, V. Boothe. Comsigned Tho: Filmer. Endorsed as brought in this day.

(c) 18 Nov. Answer of Richard Wynn, Esqre.—Responpurchased of Edward, Earl of Lincoln, the Manors of Folking and Threckingham and other lands, &c., for 24,491l. 3s. and holds a mortgage of Sempringham, Burthorp, Tatters Castle, &c., for 12,200l. paid by him to the said Earl. Is reconvey the mortgaged premises on repayment of the said and interest, in accordance with the Decree, and prays to allowed costs. Signed N. Martyn, J. Jekyll. Endorsed brought in this day.

1069. Nov. 9. Eastmond v. Sandys.—Petition and appea Joseph Eastmond, executor of Henry Eastmond, and Samuel N Mr. Sandys, Rector of Yeovileton in Somersetshire, brought a Bi the Court of Exchequer against Petitioners for agistment tithes the depasturing and fatting their oxen within the parish for teny and the Court decreed them liable for the value of the tithe her for feeding their oxen and unprofitable cattle not used for the pk within the parish, and, for those used for the plough and afterw turned off and fattened in the aftermarshes or egrasses, during the only when they were depastured in the pastures, but not in the a marshes; and the Deputy Remembrancer accordingly reported liable for 39l. 11s. 6d., and the costs are taxed at 75l. ag Petitioners. Appeal against the Report because it includes year claimed for, because it charges them for the time when the oxen ploughing and the Rector had the tenths of the beasts' labour, because it does not distinguish between the time when the oxen worked and the time when they were turned off to depart Signed Samuel Naile, Joseph Eastmond. Countersigned Jo: Ha Ja: Parsons. L. J., XVI. 10. At the hearing, on 12 Dec., Sir Powys and Sir Barth: Shore appeared for Appellants, and Mr. and Mr. Northey for Respondent. The Appeal was dismissed costs. MS. Min.]

Annexed:

(a.) 30 Nov. Answer of Edwyn Sandys, Clerk. The oxen not charged during the time they were used for ploughing the Decree expressly excepted that time, and there was no for the Report to specify the times for which they were charged. Prays the Appeal may be dismissed with exem costs. Countersigned Wm. Ettrick. Endorsed as broughthis day.

1070. Nov. 12. Warner's Estate Act.—Draft of an Act expla a former Act of Parliament, intituled an Act for enabling Truste sell part of the Estate of Edmund Warner, deceased, for payme his debts and for preserving the rest for the benefit of his heir. Commons added a proviso saving the rights of the lords of the m where certain copyhold lands lie, a Clause securing the payment of to the lords of these manors, and a proviso excepting the per estate. [Read 1a this day; Royal Assent 1 April following.]

10, 142, 9 Will, III, c. 43 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book,

1696. No. 1071.

71. Nov. 14. Call of the House.—Letters of excuse from several s, with certificates, in reply to letter of the Lord Keeper of date ., XVI. 12), enclosing Order for their attendance, and in reply to similar Orders.

n 14 Nov. the clerks, pursuant to the Order of the 12th (L. J., . 11), gave the House an account of the precedents concerning

it Lords :-

I Hen. VIII. in modus tenend. Parliament. Three days of the Parliament read.

1 Car. I. 28 June 1625. Absent to pay 5s. 9 Nov. 1669 read.—Lords fined 40s. each.

Feb. 1692.—Peers absent to pay 100l.

At Lord Mohun's trial, 6 Feb. read.—E. Ailesbury fined 1001.

dered, That such Lords as do not attend the service of the House

day to be appointed shall be sent for in custody.

dered, That no Lord absent himself from the service of the House ocing out of town] without the leave of the House, upon pain of sent for in custody, and that no such leave be asked for but een the hours of 12 and 2 of the clock.

23 Nov., the list of absent Lords being read who were to attend

lay, the following Orders were made :-

L. Guilford, V. Hatton and V. Saye and Sele to be attached.

L. Bp. Oxford to be attached if not attending by Thursday next.

E. Suffolk if not here in two days.

26 Nov. L. Coventry ordered to be sent for in custody. L. Brooke to be attached if not here by Tuesday.

30 Nov. the Duke of Beaufort and E. Huntingdon to be attached if not here by Thursday fortnight. L. Byron, L. Chandos, L. Bp. Norwich, L. Bp. Coventry and Lichfield, E. Derby, E. Rutland and D. Newcastle to be attached if not here on certain days. MS. Min.]

The letters are as follows:-

(a) (E. Berks.) 23 Nov. Besides being near fourscore years of age, he has the gout to that extremity that he has lost the use of his limbs for some years past, as will be attested by Mr. Thos. Howard, son of Sir Robt. Howard, who was with him last summer, if the Lords be pleased to let him come before them. Desires his Lordship to let the Lords know that he is not able

to obey their commands. Dated Charlton, 18 Nov. [Read this day: Excused. L. J., XVI. 16.]

(b) (Bp. Worcester.) 23 Nov. It is a great trouble to him that he is not in a condition to pay that ready obedience to the Orders of the House which ought to be given to them; but he hopes the consideration of those ill circumstances he has been and continues under (concerning which he encloses a certificate from the person who constantly attended him) will incline the House to give him time till he may without hazard to his life attend their service. Dated Worcester, 18 Nov. [Read this day: Excused. L. J., XVI. 16.]

(b) Certificate of Thos. Bearcroft that the Bishop of Worcester,

whom he has attended for a year, labours under very dangerous indispositions, making him incapable of travelling except at the hazard of his life. Dated Worcester, 18 Nov. Attested

Humph. Fyshe, Tho. Watson.

1696. No. 1071. (c) (E. Berkeley.) 23 Nov. Has always readily obeyed the Lordships' commands when his health would permit, and therefore very much troubled he is not in a condition to wait their Lordships at present, the gout having so weakened him th a journey to London will extremely prejudice his health, if n endanger his life. Hopes his Lordship will make his excuse the House. If their Lordships require it, he will send up to persons to attest his illness. Dated Cranford, 19 Nov. Rethis day: Allowed a weeks' further time. L. J., XVI. 16.1 (c)1 Cover of preceding.

(d) (Bp. Llandaff.) 23 Nov. He will be 80 next month, when i strength will be (if it be not already) but labour and sorrow, as he hopes the House will indulge that age a full discharge fro all other labour and sorrow than what itself brings. He h only one foot to put into a stirrup, having been disabled in t other 12 years by an ignorant and careless Welsh surgeon, wh instead of setting one joint, broke out two bones. As for a coac it must have been made of iron to endure the ways hereabou as they now are or have been for a long time. Dated Mather 18 Nov. [Read this day: Excused. L. J., XVI. 16.]

(e) (E. Rutland.) 23 Nov. Has received his Lordship's lett commanding his attendance on the 30th inst. Would obey wi all cheerfulness imaginable, if his great indisposition, which h hung on him these five years, did not disable him. Assures Lordship on his word and honour that he finds so visible a dec within himself, that he cannot undertake the journey without shortening his life. Beseeches his Lordship to present his humbly to the House; and, if their Lordships refuse to belie him, and send for him in custody, he can only repeat that it w shorten his days. Is confident their Lordships are very w satisfied of the duty he has to the King and the present Gover

ment. Dated Haddon, 17 Nov. [Read this day: Not excuse L. J., XVI. 16.]

(e)² (E. Rutland.) 9 Dec. Received L. Keeper's letter the morning, with the second Order of the House. Hopes answer to the first had been received. What he wrote the was so just and true that he can offer no more than he has do: so must submit to their Lordships' pleasure; and, being he not able to undertake such a journey without the hazard of life, he will patiently bear what their Lordships think fit to

upon him. Dated Haddon, 4 Dec. [Read this day. Nothidone on it. No entry in L. J.]

(e) (E. Rutland.) 22 Dec. Certificate of Gilbert Heather M.D., that under present circumstances his Lordship cannot removed without manifest danger of life. Dated Hadd 17 Dec. [Read this day. Launcelot Emmot (sworn): '.
E. Rutland is ill, and had a kind of vertigo while I was th I verily believe, if he had come up, it would have endange his life. Consideration of certificate adjourned to 7 L. J., XVI. 45; MS. Min. No further entries.

(f)¹. (L. Coventry) 23 Nov. None would pay a rea obedience to their Lordships' Order than himself, were it that his age and the craziness of his health have now render him very unfit either to winter in London or to take a jour thither at this time of the year. The last Session he had s a health there that he could not attend the House so consta

as he desired, and he has lately been so ill, and is still so indisposed, that he is compelled to desire to be excused attending at present till his health and the season of the year may, with God's permission, allow him to take such a journey. Entreats his Lordship to represent this to the House. Dated Crombe,

18 Nov. [Read this day: not excused. L. J., XVI. 16.] $(f)^2$ (L. Coventry) 7 Dec. Certificate of John Bagley, M.D., that, considering his years and the present state of his health, L. Coventry is not in a condition to travel without danger to his life. Dated Crombe, 2 Dec. [Read this day. Tho: Evison (sworn) says he is in bed and ill, and had not made water from Saturday to Tuesday. Excused. MS. Min.; no mention in L.J.]

f)3 (L. Coventry.) Affidavit of Samuel Cookes, of Crombe Davitot, Worcestershire, Gent., menial servant to Thomas, L. Coventry. His Lordship has been very crazy and infirm in his health, and has not been out of the house for above a fortnight, and but very little for several weeks before, and that in his coach. A journey to London may endanger his life. Sworn, at the request of the L. Coventry made by Mr. Cookes, his principal steward, at Worcester, on 1 Dec., before Walter Savage, junr.

f)4 (L. Coventry.) Affidavit of Hugh Maides, of same place, also a menial servant of L. Coventry, identical with preceding.

g) (D. Bolton.) 23 Nov.—Has so much zeal for the service of his King and country that nothing but his very ill health could have kept him so long from performing his weak but hearty endeavours to serve both. He was let blood today, and is very ill after it. Is by advice to take a vomit or two and something all this week, to enable him to take a London journey, which, God willing, he will begin on the 23rd inst., and go that day to his own house at Hackwood, and the next day to Hampton Court, being unable to go from hence to Hampton Court in one day, and so ill that he dare not lie at an inn. Hopes by these easy journeys to be in the House on the 25th. He cannot come sooner without visible destruction to his health, for his appetite is so little, and his indigestion so very bad, that he cannot digest the least bit of meat. Desires his Lordship to acquaint the House, who, he doubts not, will excuse his coming up two days after the time fixed. Dated Abberston, 15 Nov. Read this day: Ordered to be taken into custody, if not here on the 26th inst. L. J., XVI. 16.]

) (Bp. Bangor.) 23 Nov. Would readily attend, if he were able. But he has been these two months, and still is, in so bad a state of health, that he cannot attempt, much less hope to perform, such a journey, being 200 long miles of very bad way from London. Hopes the House will pardon his absence, or at least allow him a longer day, till he is able to travel. Dated Bangor, 19 Nov. [Read this day: Allowed a week's longer

time. L. J., XVI. 16.]

(E. Shaftesbury.) 24 Nov. Would willingly have obeyed the summons, were he not prevented by a weakness in his limbs, which for several years past has hindered his stirring from his bed. Hopes this just excuse will obtain for him the pardon of the House. Dated St. Giles's, 18 Nov. [Read this day: Excused. L. J., XVI. 17.]

1696.

No. 1071.

1696. No. 1071. (h) (L. Brooke.) 24 Nov. Is not in a condition to take journey, having been very much afflicted with the gout ab month, and being still out of order. Will attend as soon can travel. Dated War., 21 Nov. [Read this day: Enot allowed. L. J., XVI. 17.]

(1) (L. Maynard.) 24 Nov. Would most certainly attend. he able to do so. In December last he was suddenly s with such a sickness at the stomach and heaviness at the without having in the least debauched, that his servants much ado to get him to his house and keep life in him there; but letting blood gave him some relief. The di falling into one of his legs, swelled it to a prodigious big making it like a honeycomb, which, venting vast quantit humours, saved his life, and one of them still continues an one broke out in the other leg, not daring to endeavor healing either of them. Has lately had three or four heaviness in his head, and, being past 73, has little ho improvement. Prays to be excused attendance. Dated 1

21 Nov. [Read this day: Excused. L. J., XVI. 17.] (m) (E. Chesterfield.) 26 Nov. Entreats his Lordship to his apology, being so afflicted with the gout that he cannot out of his chamber. Will not fail to attend as soon as better. Dated Bretby, 21 Nov. [Read this day: Allow

14 Dec. L. J., XVI. 20.]

(n) (Bp. St. Asaph.) 26 Nov. Is so crippled with the gol he has not the use of his limbs, and his physicians has scribed a course of physic for him, if he may have leave at home and follow it. Entreats his Lordship to interest a longer day till he has strength to undergo so has hazardous a journey. Dated St. Asaph, 22 Nov. Re-

day: Allowed till 21 Dec. L. J., XVI. 20.]

(o) (V. Hatton.) 26 Nov. His Lordship's letter only reach to-day. The fault was not in the general post office, w due care was taken, but in some country post-master, v often faulty. Has not been out of his house or cham four weeks owing to indisposition. Believes verily tha could any way get alive to London, a very short stay cost him his life. Will send some servants, if necess attest the truth of his statements. Dated Kirby, 23 Nov. this day: Excused. L. J., XVI. 20.]

 $(p)^1$ (D. Beaufort.) 30 Nov. Sends the enclosed to sh unfit he is to obey their Lordships' command, and hopes to obtain their pardon and excuse. Dated Badminton, Read this day: Allowed till 10 Dec. L. J., XVI. 24.

(p)² Certificate, referred to in preceding, of Dr. Robert Ba of the City of Bristol, physician for several years to the that his Grace has lately had several severe fits of the that the journey to London would be hazardous. tinuance of the Bristol waters, without drinking which would have been much more painful and dangerous, necessary for him. Dated 27 Nov.

(p)3 (D. Beaufort.) 9 Dec. Answer of D. Beaufort to O him on 30 Nov. to attend on 10 Dec. Has received t of the House. Did hope their Lordships would not have so quick in taking away the liberty of one of their I certified to be in the condition he is, (which being set custody does) upon the failure of a day's appearance.

it a great punishment to be debarred from sitting with their Lordships, and thinks it hard to be further punished for undergoing that punishment. Begs their Lordships will not enforce their Order, which if they do, let the consequence of such a journey, if fatal, lie at their doors. Owes more to himself and family than to go willingly, and not protest against what puts him to that apparent hazard, if not of life, at least of great torment, in going such a journey, the ways and weather so extremely bad, and removing from the only physic by which he hoped, and has found, some relief; he means Bristol waters, which he can have at Badminton daily fresh, and which is, and has been for some time, with a little wine, his only drink. Begs the Lord Keeper would represent this to the House, and withal assure them he would be ready to sacrifice his life to do them a real service, but is very unwilling to venture it, and endure so much pain, in order to do them none. Once more begs pardon for not attending, and begs they will supersede the penalty in their last Order. Dated Badminton, 5 Dec. [Read this day. Proposed to call in the Duke's witnesses. Nothing done on it. MS. Min.; no entry in L. J.

p)⁴ (D. Beaufort.) 22 Dec. Certificate of Dr. Robert Baskervile that he is more and more confirmed in the opinion expressed in his first certificate (p)² that the journey would be hazardous to his Grace's life, as he declared to Mr. Evison, Deputy Serjeant at Arms, sent down by the House for his Grace. Dated 16 Dec. [Read this day. Tho: Addison [Evison] (sworn) says: I saw his Grace in bed, and he told me he had the stone and had been ill a great while, and that he was so ill he could not ride, and had not been able to ride a great while. He had been only abroad to take the air a great while. Patricius Roberts: I saw the Duke last Wednesday. He was ill, and made great complaint he was very ill and spit blood. He has had violent fits of the colic. Consideration of Certificate adjourned to 7 Jan. L. J., XVI. 45; MS. Min. No further

(q) (E. Huntingdon.) 30 Nov. Has for some weeks been afflicted with such violent pains in the head, for which he is now in a course of physic, that it is impossible for him to undertake the journey. Beseeches their Lordships to accept this excuse, which he makes with much unwillingness. Has forborne to send witnesses or certificates, as his Lordship's letter makes no mention of either. Dated Donington, 25 Nov. [Read this day: Allowed till 10 Dec. L. J., XVI. 24.]

entries.]

(r) (V. Say and Sele.) 2 Dec. Certificate of Ed. Hannes, M.D., that having, as a physician, attended V. Say and Sele from 1 Nov., he thinks his Lordship is in so ill a state of health that a journey to London would bring him into an immediate and most apparent hazard of his life. Dated Oxon, 26 Nov. [Read this day. Launcelot Emmot, the Serjeant's Deputy, said this day on oath at the Bar that he saw his Lordship in bed. He is very ill and not been out of his bed. He seemed to him not to be able to stir out of his bed. Excused. L. J., XVI. 28; MS. Min.]

(8) (Bp. Carlisle.) 5 Dec. Is unable to obey the Order of the House. Is now entering upon his eighty-third year; and the almost total decay of his eyesight, with other growing infirmities, has for some years past rendered him utterly unfit for travel.

a 9

1696. No. 1071. 1696.

No. 1072.

- For over three months has not been able to stir out of doo so much as downstairs, much less can it be possible for hundertake such a journey at this time. Hopes the House now, as of late years, allow of his excuse. Dated Rose C 25 Nov. Signed Tho: Carliol (in a very irregular h [Read this day: Excused. L.J., XVI. 31.]
- (t)¹ (E. Bradford.) 8 Dec. Has received a summons by of the House to attend there this day. No Lord has a more constant attendance when able. At this time is distemper for which he is in a course of physic. Has not out of his chamber these four days, and, if he should abroad in this weather, it would endanger his life. It the House will have compassion for him. Dated this Addressed to E. Bridgwater. [Read this day, tog with following certificate: Excused. L. J., XVI. 32 MS. Min.]
- (t)² (E. Bradford.) 8 Dec. Certificate of William Dawe E. Bradford is now in a course of physic for a dysury, is so severe upon him that it would be very dangerous to go abroad this weather. Dated this day. [Read this MS. Min.]

1072. Nov. 16. Wade v. Heath (In Error).—Petition of Sir E Baesh, Knt., and Dame Anne, his wife. Petitioners, in the na Charles Heath, their lessee, recovered a Verdict and Judgm Ejectment for the Manor of Battleshall and other lands in Esse Anne Wade, the Defendant, in order to delay, has brought a V Error, but has not yet assigned Errors. Pray for a day for assign Errors or in default that the Record be remitted. Edward Baesh, Anne Baesh. L. J., XVI. 13, almost in extense

Annexed :-

(a) 28 Nov. Petition of same. Petitioner served the Orthe House on the preceding Petition upon Mr. Turner, Wade's attorney, but she has not yet assigned Errors, by it plainly appears that she only sought delay, she having brought a Bill in Chancery. Pray the Record may be re Signed as preceding. Endorsed Ordered that the Record be remitted. L. J., XVI. 22, almost in extenso.

(b) Order on Petition of 16 Nov., appended to preceding.

XVI. 13, in extenso,

1073. Nov. 17.—Writ of Summons (V. Weymouth).—Writ of mons, dated 12 Oct. 1695, to Thomas, V. Weymouth. Took the batch this day. L. J., XVI. 14.]

1074. Nov. 17. Winchester v. Fowke.—Petition and Ar Henry Winchester, Gent., and Anne, his wife, one of the children of James Fowke, Gent., deceased, of Clapham, James Fowke, the grandfather, left by will a house in t Bailey, occupied by Mr. Hughes, scrivener, and one at C and much other property, one third to his wife Elizabeth, thirds divisible among his three grandchildren, William, Jar Anne Gold, the last named being the Appellant Anne, and ar his wife Elizabeth and Thomas Hunt trustees. Anne has received a groat from the estate, Elizabeth setting up, in op to a Bill prepared by Appellants, a Decree in Chancery on collusion with her co-trustee Thomas Hunt, the pretended of the grandchildren. Appeals against the said Decree, an

beth may be ordered to answer, Thomas Hunt being dead or orded. Signed Henry Winchester, Anne Winchester. Counter-Fran: Atterbury, S. Duncombe, L. J., XVI. 14. [At the g, on 26 Jan. 1696–7 Sir Wm. Williams and Mr. Price red for Appellants, and Sir Tho: Powys for Respondent. Sir Williams (in reply): The Cause is 3,000l. The answer of the sis no evidence at all. If an infant is not evidence, then what tion for a Decree? MS. Min. The Appeal was dismissed.]

t) 1 Dec. Answer of Elizabeth Fowke, Widow. As Respondent's late husband had no children living at the time of his death, Respondent, by the custom of the City of London, became entitled to one half of his whole estate, and the Decree declared that the one third left to her by the will was one third of the residue, which was all the testator could dispose of, and it also adjudged to her the furniture of her chamber, her wearing apparel and jewelry. The grandchildren were not within the custom of the City of London, or thereby entitled to anything of their grandfather's estate. Prays the Appeal may be dismissed with costs. Signed Eliza: Fowke. Countersigned Wm. Dobyns, Jo: Hungerford. Endorsed as brought in this day.

11 Dec. Petition of Appellants for an early day for

hearing. L. J., XVI. 38.

5. Nov. 23. Walcott v. The King (Charles II.)—Petition of a Dillon, Widow, Countess of Roscommon, Reliet of Wentworth, url of Roscommon. John Walcott obtained a Writ of Error for the il of a Judgment against Thomas Walcott, deceased, who had ttainted of High Treason. It is supposed by the Record that Walcott, son of Thomas, made one Benedict Browne his attorney secute the Writ of Error, but there is no Warrant of Attorney Prays the Cursitors of London and Middlesex may be ordered ce out a Certiorari to the Lord Chief Justice of the King's Bench ify whether any Warrant of Attorney be upon Record. Signed common, L. J., XVI. 16. [At the hearing, on 25 Jan. 1696-7, Sir Powys was heard for the Plaintiff, the Countess: Her estate in I was granted to Lady Roscommon for the good services they did King. The first Error is, that they permitted Mr. Walcott to Writ of Error. He assigned the Errors by an attorney, which nk the Court ought not to have done. Cites several cases that a shall not assign Errors by attorney. They cannot show one r treason wherein an attorney was suffered to assign Errors. t 4 & 5, for more easy reversing outlawries. The second Error , if it be allowed, yet the Warrant of Attorney ought to be 1. Mr. Atwood, also heard for same, cites cases. 25 Henry c. 13. Sir Barth: Shore and Mr. Northey heard for Walcott. Iin.—On 29 Jan. the Lord Keeper reported, and after debate the s were heard upon the Record, as to the Minutes. Eyre, J.: anner gave them account below that Sir Robt. Sawyer struck the out, as Attorney General. Proposed to ask the Judges cong the not filing a Letter of Attorney, and how or by what law words, ipso vivente, are essential. The Questions put were (1) her the omission of the words ipso vivente in a Judgment for high be Error; (2) Whether it be such a fatal Error as vitiates dg.nent; (3) Whether a Judgment for high treason in which rds ipso vivente are omitted does corrupt the blood and forfeit the Answers (1) By what I have heard I think the omitting the

1696.

No. 1075.

1696. No. 1075.

words is Error. I, as Recorder, gave this Judgment upon all precedents I could find. I think there must be a certain Judgme this case. Judgments are the voice of the law. (2) I think it vitiate the Judgment. The omitting these words makes the Judg reversible. It is a Judgment while it stands, but it may be reverse a Writ of Error. When the Judgment is reversed, the blood is rest L. C. Baron: (1) It is Error. If it is Error, it will be revo because it is Error; and, precedents being thus, the Judges ca vary from it. Turton, J. of the same opinion. The case of Sta in Henry IV. I have seen the Record of that time. Stamford's of the Crown is in his view. Powell, J. of the same opinion. Error in a Judgment of high treason is fatal. All Judgments co. the blood. If not, coram non judice. Powys, B. of the same opi Blencoe, B. of the same opinion. L. C. Justice C. P. heard. Re the sentence for treason in English. Question put to the Ju Whether, if a Writ of Error had been brought for want of these v before execution, that would have saved his life? Certainly it w L. C. Justice, C. P. Certainly it would have saved his life. Que put to the Judges, How far the Warrant of Attorney not being fi Error, or not? The Error read, as assigned on the Record. Justice C. P. I have heard here there never was a Warrant si I take it to be the course of the Court, and that is the law o Court. After debate, the Question for reversal was 'nega Contents 34, Not Contents 35. Tellers L. Ferrers, L. Who MS. Min.

Annexed:

(a) 30 Nov. Petition of same, who claims the estate of T Walcott under a grant thereof to her late husband. Pro a short day for John Walcott to join issue or reply.

I. Roscommon. L. J., XVI. 23.

(b) 14 Dec. Petition of same. While John Walcott's W. Error was depending in King's Bench, the Court orders Mr. Tanner to attend with the original Indictment and Record, as appears by the Rule of Court annexed (see paper). Prays for a short day for hearing, and that Mr. I should attend the hearing with the said Indictment and B. Signed I. Roscommon. L. J., XVI. 37-8.

(c) Rule of Court of King's Bench for Mr. Tanner to atten the original Indictment and original Record. Appen

preceding.]

1076. Nov. 24. Hanham's Estate Act.—Amended Draft* Act for vesting the Manor of Holme, alias East Holme and lands and tithes] Swannage in the County of Dorset, part Estate of Sir John Hanham, Baronet, in Trustees to be st discharging a mortgage thereupon and upon the residue of the Sir John Hanham's Estate, and for payment of his other The Amendments made in Lords' Committee on 21 Dec. and I (see Com. Book) are as follows:—The recital of the Inquadrupartite in the preamble was substituted for a shorter a explicit ouc, which omitted that he was seised in fee of the settled, and did not enumerate them; East Holme and Swannag specified in the preamble as the lands hereby enacted to be sold; Jane Hanham, the now wife of Sir John Hanham, was added clause making void claims of members of the family to the appointed to be sold; in the clause providing how the residue

^{*} Additions are printed in Italies, omissions in square brackets.

alt with, after payment of the 1,200/.. the words "from and after action of what is and shall grow due to the said Sir Henry St. ge, the same do cease determine and be utterly void" were itated for the words "the same do attend the Estates limited by ettlement aforesaid," and the following words were added, "and he rest and residue of the Manors, lands and tenements of the Sir John Hanlam not hereby enacted to be sold shall be and to the uses and according to the limitations in the said settlement rised", which, on recommitment, were replaced by the succeeding e in the Roll.* There were a few other Amendments, purely il, made in the Lords' Committee and by the Commons. [Read s day, 2° and committed on 30 Nov., and recommitted on 7 Jan.

-7, after some debate. Royal Assent, 29 Jan. L. J., XVI. 17, 3, 49, 86. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 9 in Long Cal. See also Com. ., Dec. 3, 14, 21; Jan. 12, 14, 15.]

(a) 14 Dec. Paper of Lords' Amendments made in Select Committee, on commitment and on re-commitment, on this and

subsequent dates. They are described above.
(b) 12 Jan. 1696-7. A particular of Sir John Hanham's Estate that is to continue chargeable with 400%, per annum to my Lady and to Sir John Hanham and his issue. Amounts to rental of 5811. 4s. 8d. The Estate desired to be sold is East Holme and Swanage, which will yield 1,745/. 6s. The principal debts by mortgage and by bond contracted by Sir John amount to 2,1131., which will leave 368l. to be paid, together with other debts, by Sir John out of the Estate limited to him. [Ordered to be prepared on 3 Dec., and produced this day. Com. Book.]

(c) 12 Jan. An account of Sir John Hanham's debts. There is due to Sir Henry St. George, by mortgage, 1,200l.; to various people, by bonds, 913l. 18s. 4d.; to various people, book debts, 472l.; total 2,585l. 18s. 4d. The Estate desired to be sold will fetch, at the outside, 1,745l. 6s., out of which the mortgage is to be first paid, the rest after the charges of the Act to be paid, the deficit to be made up out of yearly profits. [Ordered to be prepared on 3 Dec., and produced this day. Com. Book.]

777. Nov. 24. Writ of Summons (L. Poulett).—Writ of Summons ohn, Lord Poulett. Dated 31 Oct. 1696. [Sat first in Parliament day. L. J., XVI. 17.]

178. Nov. 25. Browne v. Dashwood.—Petition and Appeal of n Browne and Thomas Sandes against Sir Robert Dashwood, n Perry, Esqre., Sir Samuel Dashwood and Edward Noell, outors of George Dashwood, Esqre., deceased, Edward Buckley and rs. John Hind, late of London, goldsmith, being concerned with Respondents in the farm of the Duty arising from Hearth Money, as agreed that Hind should have access constantly to the books of ount, and that the account should be audited once a year. Hind, ng in difficulties, was prevailed upon by the Respondents, the ners of the Duty, to transfer his interest in the farm to the said orge Dashwood for 24,000l., and Hind afterwards demanded an ount of the farm and a sight of the books, which was denied him he farmers unless he would give them a General Release on payment

1696. No. 1076.

This Clause was drawn up after consultation with C. J. Holt, who said that Joba Hanham's settlement having been voluntary, the Estate was liable to all a contracted before or after the same, after Sir Henry St. George's mortgage satisfied, as much as if such settlement had never been made. Com. Book, Jan.

1696. No. 1078. of 32,000l. more, which they affirmed was all that was due to h Hind, being then under great pressure, accepted this offer, but aft wards discovered that there was due to him at least 15,000l. me besides a dividend of 1,514l. 8s. 7d. which had been concealed fr him. He accordingly exhibited his Bill in Chancery, but before hearing he became insolvent, and a Commission of Bankrupt awarded against him, the Appellants being Assignees of the s Commission. Hind then died, and the Appellants brought a Bill Revivor, which was dismissed by the late Mr. Justice Giles E Appellants then obtained several Orders for a rehearing, which w defeated by the enrollment of the Dismission. Appeal against Dismission. Signed John Browne, Thomas Sandes. Countersig Tho: Powys, P. Bowes. L. J., XVI. 38. At the hearing, on Sir Wm. Whitelocke and Sir Tho: Powys appeared Appellants, and for Respondents it was contended by Mr. Fi and Sir Barth: Shore that there was no fraud proved in the obtain MS. Min. The Appeal was dismissed. L. J., X of the Release.

Annexed:

(a) 5 Dec. Joint and Several Answer of Sir Robert Dashwo Knt. and Bart., Sir Samuel Dashwood, Knt., John Pery Edward Noell, Esqres., and Edward Buckley, Gent. Hearth Money was farmed for five years from 1674 by J Hind and Thomas Kirwood, late of London, goldsmiths, deceased, together with Abram Anselme and Edward Buck now also deceased, and with John Pery. The dismission just. Pray the Appeal may be dismissed with costs. Sig Robert Dashwood, Edwd. Buckley, Edw: Noell, Sam: Diwood, John Pery. Endorsed as brought in this day.

1079. Nov. 25. Wethered v. Roberts (In Error).—Writ of E and transcript of Record relating to the alleged detention by Tho Wethered of certain oil and wine from Genoa belonging to Fra Roberts. Parchment Collection. [Brought in this day. (LXVI. 18). No further proceedings.]

1080. Nov. 26. William Fuller (Jacobite Plots).—Letter William Fuller to the Lord Keeper, Sir John Somers, dated this as follows:—My Lord, The artifices of the French Court, and immaturity of my own judgment, have so exposed me to the pleasure of the House of Commons, and consequently to the se censure of all good men, that I hope the Right Honourable the H of Lords will pardon me for presuming to trouble them, and graciously pleased to receive my humble petition, which I have, this, enclosed to your Lordship, begging that it may be spectres.

My Lord, all I beg is justice, and that without favour, which I will show the integrity of my cause, and the intolerable wrongs I so long groaned under; and, until that be done, I cannot presum appeal to the King for any mercy or favour, therefore humbly myself on the great wisdom of the Parliament, mine being a case concerns the present as well as the future interest of the King

Government.

My Lord, I am not now, nor ever was, fond of being an evidonly for his Majesty's service, but, forasmuch as I did formerly cl Sir John Fenwick that I had brought and delivered to him seletters from the late king James, his Queen and others, as al Commission, that I had likewise carried letters from Sir John Fen latter King and Queen at St. Germains, and that I was once in my with the said Sir John Fenwick and three others, when they an address to the French King, my Lord, this I am ready to if their Lordships think fit to hear me.

1 6 9 6. No. 1080.

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most dutiful Servant,

e Rt. Honble. Sir John Somers, Will. Fuller.

ot noticed in Records. See also No. 1081.]

nexed:--

a) 26 Nov. Petition of William Fuller to the two Houses, as follows: The humble Petition of William Fuller sheweth That your Petitioner hath long groaned under intolerable misfortunes. occasioned through the immaturity of his judgment, which was too easily imposed on by the perfidiousness of Col. Thomas Deleval and Mr. George Haves, for whose appearance your petitioner engaged to the Honourable House of Commons (intentionally for the Nation's service, though the event proved contrary), and for their failure incurred the displeasure of the same. Your petitioner humbly presumes that most in the House of Commons were present when his information was read, as also the Lord Preston's and Mr. Crone's confessions, which did both confirm what your petitioner affirmed; particularly Mr. Crone asserts it upon oath. Your petitioner submissively flings himself on the justice and wisdom of both Houses, now assembled in Parliament, humbly conceiving that he can have no redress from the wrongs he suffers but by your wise examination of the whole management of the affair into which your petitioner was so cunningly ensuared by the artifices of the French Court and their private adherents in this kingdom, by whose subtle device the Honourable House of Commons (as well as your petitioner) was abused, it being at that time the greatest design and for the interest of the French Court and their friends in England, to stifle whatever discovery should be then made, for, the April following, they purposed an invasion, and accordingly provided all necessary provisions at La Hogue, &c. And, forasmuch as your petitioner can plainly prove that Deleval and Hayes did come over, and the method of their whole intrigue in this base design, in which they had great assistance in this kingdom, as also the manner of their going off, your petitioner, with entire submission, most heartily wishes, for the Nation's present as well as future security, that of your great wisdom you shall examine into the management of the whole intrigue, which cost the French Court so much pains and money. By this inspection it will be plainly proved who they are that have so long betrayed, and part of them yet continue to betray, his present Majesty's Council and this kingdom in general. That your petitioner was the first who discovered to king William Colonel Parker and Chevalier Granvil's design of assassinating his most sacred Majesty your petitioner can fully prove, and that his former informations were a true narrative even of the last intended invasion and conspiracy in most particulars. And that those former and these latter designs were much alike managed by the same conspiring hands and hearts will plainly appear, on the perusal of the said information. Your petitioner after all this has been reduced to the most extreme miseries.

1 6 9 6. No. 1081. and suffered public disgrace, occasioned by others' villanies, a has also been exposed to several hard shifts and extremit Your petitioner therefore humbly prays that, for the Natis good as well as for his vindication from the intolerable injuryour said petitioner has so long groaned under, he your princer may have some retress by your mature consideration his unhappy case, that justice and truth may appear on evide, and that such necessary provision may be made for y petitioner, who left his friends, interest and employ in Franciserve this present government, as to your highly judicing wisdoms shall seem expedient. Your petitioner further probability in the may have leave to publish a full and perfect account of all he knows relating to the former conspiracies against present government.

And your petitioner, as in duty bound, shall ever pray, &c.
(Signed) Will. Fulle

1081. Nov. 26. Sir John Fenwick's Attainder.—Papers connectwith Sir John Fenwick's Attainder. [The Bill to attaint Sir John brought from the Commons this day. L. J., XVI. 19. Such entre MS. Min. as are not printed in extenso in the Journal, with exception of those connected with particular papers, which appended as notes to the papers below, are here set out, toge with the notes of the evidence which are not included in the epit of examinations printed in L. J., XVI. 60-72, some light being the on the evidence by the questions put.]

On I Dec. Sir John Fenwick, on being asked whether the first the Papers shown him (Paper e below) was his own handwrit answered, He must be careful of his preservation. He prays theard by his Counsel against the Bill, and have Counsel and a Solicto assist him. He was told that if he was disposed to make a and ingenuous confession of all things in those Papers, and that constrain yourself to what you can prove or make out. Sir Je I humbly pray I may be heard by my Counsel and Solicitor to to me in private. Asked if this was all he had to say, he said it

Proposed to send for Sir John in again, and told that House will not deny him to be heard by Counsel; but that hingenmous to answer the truth of what he knows in this matter, that he alone can speak to matter of fact. Sir John Fenwick called in again, and the L. Keeper told him as above. Sir John Henwick was called in and order mame his Counsel. It have given what answer I can give in this matter. I decounsel to the sir John Fenwick was called in and order mame his Counsel. He maned Sir Tho: Powys, and Sir Bishore, and Mr. Dighton to be his Solicitor; and desired the Francis Pemberton might come to him.

On 8 Dec., after the Message to the Commons as to Lord and Mr. Vernon, the Order-was made for witnesses on either side produced. Counsel for Sir John Fenwick says there was suc Order left with the Solicitor. Mr. Dighton, Solicitor, heard informed Sir John Fenwick and Counsel of it. Counsel asked intended to examine witnesses. Sir Tho: Powys says: We the we should be heard against the Bill. We hope to be heard at the Bill. We have not our witnesses this day. We come to to the Bill itself. Sir Barth: Shore: We come to argue at the Bill, but, if your Lordships go into examination of the we are not prepared. Counsel for the Bill say it is their for they have not counsel for the Bill [sic], they owning they had

he Order, and might have brought witnesses (see Paper d w).

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

n 9 Dec., upon reading Lady Mary Fenwick's Petition (Paper ew), Ordered That she may be with her husband Sir John Fenwick he Prison of Newgate, as desired. . . . A debate arose ther these words be added to the said Order, ("so as she contain a prisoner with him"). The Question was put whether the se shall be now adjourned. It was resolved in the Negative. In the Question was put whether the words should be added, recorded in the Affirmative.

resolved in the Affirmative. n 15 Dec. Counsel for the Bill proceeded. Serjeant Wright the Bill charges him, and that he declared he would make a discovery; and for his not doing this he is attainted by the Bill. prove the Prisoner guilty of this treason, which leads me to give a little account of the Plot. We hope this method is proper. Mr. tt heard also against the Prisoner: This is a trial by the whole of England, the Commons and your Lordships and His Majesty. has all advantage imaginable to be tried before this House. Capt. : Porter (sworn): In May we had two meetings. At these two E. Ailesbury, Sir J. Fenwick and others were present. We agreed and Charnock to K. James. Counsel against the Bill asked Porter ral questions, and Counsel for the Bill asked Porter what he was red to withdraw. Counsel heard to argue whether Porter shall reard as to what he was offered to take off his evidence-by any on-Mr. Clansey. After debate whether Porter shall be heard as that Clansey said to him about his withdrawing, proposed to ask Judges, Whether or no, if Mr. Porter should be brought as a ress in Westminster Hall, and he gave an account of any tampering 1 him by any other body, not Sir John Fenwick himself, whether will be admitted as evidence against Sir John Fenwick. Question, ether the Judges in Westminster Hall would not hear this evidence, pened by the Counsel at the Bar. Then Question stated to the lges as in L. J., XVI. 39. L. C. J. King's Bench: Porter might wer if he will, or not. If he does say the Lady Mary Fenwick or other person has tampered with him, whether he shall be heard, say what one man did in relation to another, I cannot say it is lence. I should tell the Jury it is not evidence. As to this, if it o Mr. Porter's integrity, I must hear him. L. C. J. Common as: This is two questions in one. It was objected by Sir J. wick's Counsel whether rewards received. Other Counsel asked ic had received any other reward. When any objection to the lit of a witness, it is fair the other side should cross-examine him. ought to be heard. (2) Suppose he had been offered any reward the Lady M. Fenwick, she (?) ought to be heard. If this stand ae, it will not affect the Prisoner. It may be received as evidence, not conclusive to Sir J. Fenwick; but there may be evidence or fixed to it, as may make it conclusive. It may be heard as lence. All the other Judges of the same opinion—L. C. Baron, stices Powell, Turton, Baron Blenco, Justices Nevill, Eyre, Baron vys. Sir John Fenwick was brought to the Bar and Counsel called and Counsel for the Bill were told that they are to proceed where y left off. Capt. Porter was called in, and went on as to the taking his testimony: Mr. Clansey told me that I should have K. James' don if I would withdraw. I went to Mr. Vernon and acquainted him hir. He afterwards acquainted me that the King ordered I should ceed, and I should have 300 guineas. He told me he came n Sir John Fenwick, and he brought me a letter to recommend

1696. No. 1081.

me to K. James. The Lady Fenwick, the night before I was go away, she came to me to the Tavern, and assured me that wh Clansey had promised should be done. I received 300 guineas. Aske Whether he knew Clausey came from Sir J. Fenwick, says Mr. Clause told me so, and the Lady affirmed it to me. Mr. Row (sworn) says met Mr. Dighton in the Temple. Says he, "I am glad to see you desire to see you in the evening." Says D., "I know it is in yo power to do Sir J. Fenwick a kindness. If you will say anything discredit Goodman's testimony, you shall have 100%, a year, as vo friend shall advise." I told Mr. Goodman what Dighton said. I we with G. to Whitehall, and I told to the L. Abp. what Dighton sai Mr. Dighton said I should have 100l. per annum, as any friend should have 100l. advise. Mr. D. told me Mr. Goodman had lost his credit by ber evidence, and the Duchess's business-"and if you can discredit bi you shall be rewarded above this 100l. by Sir J. Fenwick " &c. dined with Mr. Goodman. About 3 or 4 o'clock there came hackney coach. Mr. Goodman was told one would speak with hi I saw Mr. Goodman concerned. He went down, and after that I s him no more. The person looked out of the coach I know n Counsel for the Bill called for Mr. Goodman's Information taken Mr. Vernon upon oath. Counsel for Sir John object against reading this Information: We insist there is no case in civil cause where this can be allowed of, much more in capital L. J. Hale cit 5 Edw. VI. and 6 Edw. VI., when an Information before a Justice m be used. Case of Harrison, Gloucestershire, where the persons w executed for murder, and the murdered returned. Sir Barth. Sh (heard for Prisoner): In this manner truth is never to be discover We cannot cross-examine. We stand on a Bill. We say the oa are different here and before a Justice of Peace. We receive a dou disadvantage if this be read. It was done in Sir Walter Raleigh's cr We insist on the rules of right for Sir John Fenwick. We hope will think this evidence ought not to be read. 5 & 6 Edw. [VI.] c. the last paragraph but one read. Mr. Serjt. Wright (heard as to reading this evidence of Goodman): This is an evidence in law, I ho The practice is against them. Cites Hale's Pleas of Crown, that Information may be read if the witness die, or [be] absent. I agree Statute Edw. VI. is as opened. There needs but one witness as coin. These Acts were made to regulate inferior jurisdictions. are above it. Cites the last of Trials. If he had not sworn so muthey would have had it read. Who sent Goodman out of the wa They themselves. Mr. Pratt: Firstly, this is necessary eviden We cannot give other in this case. We prove Goodman withdra Goodman being withdrawn, it is impossible to have him here. T without this, how shall we prove the allegations in the Bill. Second this is legal evidence. It is not legal in a civil cause. This cas different. What way has the King to preserve his evidence. Information is taken by a Justice, and that is legal, and it is necess the King may use his deposition. At Common Law a deposition of witness is allowed to be good. In Sir Walter Raleigh's case it allowed. He was a master of reason, and he allowed good if gone of the way. Ld. Mayor Wyatt indicted for high treason. One of witnesses was in Ireland. His deposition was taken by Je. Bacon, allowed to be good. This would have been good upon an Impeachm Sir Tho: Powys heard in reply to this reading Goodman's evide Counsel for the Bill also heard in reply to their reply. Sir T Powys heard again. Counsel withdrew. House moved to Goodman's deposition taken by Mr. Vernon. After debate, e

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

ction was put, Whether the Information of Goodman, taken before Vernon, shall be read? It was resolved in the Affirmative. tents 73, Not-Contents 53, E. Feversham* and E. Scarbrough rs. E. Bradford, E. Burlington, E. Berkeley, E. Fauconberg. orley and L. Crewe had leave to withdraw. Sir John Fenwick s brought to the Bar, and Counsel called in again, Counsel for Bill told that Mr. Goodman's Information might be read. Mr. Vernon sworn. Asked, what he knew of that Information, he said it was Goodman's Information, written by him, and sworn to also. Goodman was heard before the Lords Justices, and he put what id in writing. Then the Information was read by Mr. Baker, itor, at the Bar. Counsel for the Bill heard to this Information. Indictment before Grand Jury read. Counsel for the Bill proto hear the gentlemen of the Grand Jury as to what evidence found the Bill on. Sir Tho: Powys opposes it for the Prisoner. Wright heard to this hearing of the Grand Jurymen. Mr. theard also. Sir Tho: heard in reply. Sir John Fenwick ; taken from the Bar, Counsel withdrew. It was moved that the emen of the Grand Jury might be examined as to what Goodman before them. Agreed to. Sir John Fenwick being brought to the and Counsel called in again, the Counsel for the Bill were told they might go on to examine the gentlemen of the Grand Jury. holomew Gracedieu (sworn) says he was of the Grand Jury that I the Bill against the Prisoner. The persons that gave evidence Porter and Goodman. Goodman gave account of a meeting with ohn Fenwick, where there was agreement to go to King James, and Char[nock] would not go without a quota of each person, what would raise. There was only the L. Montgomery and Sir John vick in the Indictment. John Reade (sworn) says he was of the that found the Bill. Goodman said he was at the King's Head, e Sir John Fenwick was and the L. Montgomery, and they would horse &c. John Meade (sworn): Capt. Porter and Goodman evidence to us that the L. Montgomery and Sir John Fenwick at the meeting &c. Serjt. Wright proposes to read the mee of the conviction at Cooke's trial. This was opposed by Tho: Powys and Sir Barth: Shore. Scrit. Wright heard in Mr. Pratt heard also. Sir Barth: Shore heard also. John Fenwick being taken from the Bar and Counsel withdrawn, s proposed to hear the evidence that was given at Peter Cooke's After debate hereupon, the question was put, Whether this te shall be now adjourned? It was resolved in the Affirmative, ers L. Great Chamberlain, E. Rivers. [Contents and Not-Contents blank.] Yielded without telling, though withdrawn. the debate shall be adjourned to tomorrow, 12 o'clock. 16 Dec. The Duke of Devonshire having the day before been ed into custody for being absent (L. J., XVI. 40.), the House was

the debate shall be adjourned to tomorrow, 12 o'clock.

It follows. The Duke of Devonshire having the day before been the dinto custody for being absent (L. J., XVI. 40.), the House was smed that the Duke of Devonshire is here to attend this House, as sorry for his having fallen under the displeasure of this House, ary sorry for the same. It is ordered that he be discharged. (No in L. J.) After the House had been called, and E. Rochford Bp. Bangor excused, E. Bradford was given leave to withdraw the pleases. Ordered That the Lords now absent and not sed shall be taken into custody if not here by two o'clock. It Fenwick's Petition that Clansey, a prisoner in Newgate, might evidence (Paper f below) had been read, Counsel withdraw.

^{*} E. Feversham appears among the Lords protesting. L. J., XVI. 40.

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

Proposed to know of the Judges for what Clansey stands convicted Justice Eure says, for endeavouring to persuade the King's witne not to give evidence. Proposed to ask the Judges whether Clans may be a witness in any other case. Mr. Justice Eyre says before, it was a great misdemeanour. Proposed to ask the Judge whether this [is the] Mr. Clansey who has stood in the pillory, and convicted of a misdemeanour. L. C. J. King's Bench: I cannot g my opinion unless I see the Record. Ordered That the Record Mr. Clausey's conviction be sent for-which was brought in. Order that Clansey be sent for, Sir John and Counsel called in, a Counsel for the Bill told they may go on. They called Her Sherbrooke, who was sworn, and declared what evidence Goodm gave at Cooke's trial. James Gibson (sworn) gives account of apprehending Sir John Fenwick. Mr. Vernon (sworn) was her as to the putting off the trial, and upon what account. Mr. Ba as to the putting off the trial, and upon what account. (sworn): On the 3rd July last I had order to give Sir John not of his trial, which I did accordingly. The Sessions was adjour from time to time. Mr. Serjt. Wright desires the letter written by John Fenwick to his Lady. The Lord Keeper sent it to the Bar. will prove the delivery of this letter by Webber. John Fowle (swo gives account of the letter. Webber was rising when I came to him, webber gave me the letter. I put it in my pocket, and while ther was sent to by a Justice, who told me he heard I had received a let Sir John was in the room when I received the letter. I was direct to deliver it at the Countess of Carlisle's to Mrs. Farrer. Mr. Ver looks on the letter, and gives an account of the letter, and how it con to the Lords Justices. Mr. Vernon's proceeding in this matter is opportunity by Sir John's Counsel. Mr. Baker heard as to the letter and Webber's being withdrawn. Mr. Bowes; told me Webber had adv with his Counsel, and it was not fit for him to appear at the Hous Commons. Robt. Stephens (sworn) gives account that he could find Webber, and that he was out of the way. Agreed to go Mr. Vernon gives an account of Webber's receiving the letter from John Fenwick. That he did not know the contents of the letter. Baker heard as to offering to read the letter in the House of Comm Sir Tho: Powys cites Algernon Sidney's Reversal. The reading letter being opposed by Sir John's Counsel, the other Counsel heard to it. The letter was read at the Bar. It is directed for Lady. Mr. Serjt. Wright: We that are for the Bill have done evidence. The Counsel against the Bill desire that all may be for the Bill they can now. Counsel withdrew. E. Berkeley have leave to withdraw. Adjourned during pleasure, candles ordered. House resumed. House moved to hear the Ju upon the Record concerning Mr. Clansey. L. C. J. King's B heard : We read over the Record in the King's Bench, and it is fo offence mentioned in the Record. He was to give Porter 300l. did, and 300l. per annum if he went to France. First, whether can be a witness for Sir John, or in any case, we are all clear he ca be a witness in this matter. Secondly, as to the standing in the pil seven of the eight are very clear he ought to be no witness in any L. C. J. Holt said, as yet he was the dissenting Judge, and de time to consider of it. L. C. J. Common Pleas heard: Whet witness in any other case he cannot. This case renders him pable, as I take it. Moved to hear the L. Chief Justice of I Bench as to his doubts. I can subscribe to my brothers' opinion doubt as to myself. I admitted Aaron Smith upon his being pard ? in Crosby's trial. (Clansey remanded, and Counsel for Prisoner g

1696. No. 1081.

as in L. J.) Sir Tho: Powys proceeds for the Prisoner, and was 1: The Gentlemen of the other side make use of an argument that fail them; and offered to surrender himself if they would be bail im. One is the D. of Norfolk, the other the E. of Carlisle. We to offer reasons against exerting your power in this case. One I lay down is that the person thus to be attainted is forthcoming ried in the ordinary Courts of Justice. The Bill takes notice he at have been tried. He was arraigned, and issue joined, and nothing ting but evidence. Dangerous that subsequent laws reach edent actions. He cites several cases, and the E. Strafford. ater evidence is necessary here, more than in other Courts. r Lordships are to pronounce death, which is a dismal thing. the evidence, it is extraordinary. The reading that they call examination, it is nothing. Mr. Vernon did not so much as nine this person, but took what was brought to him. It is a ral account, without time or place. The next thing was the nd Jurymen. This was never allowed before. The three men e different. I will give account of our evidence. First, we resort he credit of the witnesses. Mr. Porter and Goodman are persons s ill characters, guilty of as notorious crimes, as any in our age. Barth: Shore heard for the Prisoner: First, whether this Bill t is, taking all to be true, whether it be agreeable to the laws of land, whether this is agreeable to suprema lex; whether the rage does not shake at this Bill. We are deprived from taking eption to the indictment. They proceed to their evidence . . .

Counsel for the Prisoner desires the witnesses may be sworn the prisoner. A debate arose whether the House shall now seed to hear the witnesses for the prisoner. Ordered That the ise shall proceed in the further consideration of this business

orrow . . . House called over.

In 17 Dec., on the House being called over, ordered that the Lords vabsent shall be taken into custody if not present by one oclock. ise moved, on behalf of Counsel for the Bill, that they may have a it of the papers in the L. Keeper's custody. Agreed one of the lges to carry the papers to the Counsel and let them peruse them, then return them to the L. Keeper. Sir John Fenwick being ught to the Bar and Counsel called in, Counsel for the Bill ires Mr. Needler and Mr. Blaney to attend. Agreed. Moved t they may have a sight of the papers in the L. Keeper's custody, which the House agreed, that either side may see the Papers. en Sir John's Counsel proceeded to call their witnesses. Mr. Cock form) heard as to the meeting, at his house, of Sir John and others. names the persons present, eight, and that he did not see Goodman re, at the King's Head in Leadenhall Street. Christopher Crawd (sworn) examined as to Goodman's being at his master's house. n. Huntley (sworn) examined as to the meeting at the Tavern. phard Livesey (sworn) E. Aylesbury's servant: I observedthem dinner; and he names the eight persons present; and heard as to at time they came thither, about half after one, and went away out four. I knew Goodman seven years. Goodman was not there. ward Burdell (sworn): My Lord Montgomery came to Gray's soon after four oclock, and said he had dined in the City with John Fenwick, Sir John Friend and others. He did not know came to meet the Lady Wentworth. They read out of the printed ul what Porter swore at Cooke's trial. Trial licensed by the L. C. J. lt. Tho: Fowke (sworn): Capt. Porter was committed 4 July 15, and discharged 7 Sept. following. They read the Record of

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

Porter's killing Hackett. Agreed he was convicted of manslaugh in King Charles II.'s time, 26. Elizabeth Keyes (sworn) gi account what Mr. Porter said to her of his making his fort was a count what Mr. Porter said to her of his making his fort was a count what Mr. Porter said to her of his making his fort was a count what Mr. Porter said to her of his making his fort was a count when the country was a country wa (At one oclock, the Order issued for taking E. Radnor into custom by being a witness. He said honest to us, Stand to the princip If you stand fast, I will bring you off, and take care of your fami and after said, I will bring her off. Jane Cranborne (sworn): Porter sent a letter to me out of Newgate, and I went to Newg. Mr. Porter told me I had sent to him about your husband's life. asked him how I should be assured of his life. He said, I shall l better than ever, I shall have a great reward. I know not the Keep They told me his name was Cooke. We have done, said John's Counsel, as to Mr. Porter's credit. They read a Rec concerning the poisoning the D. of Grafton by Goodman. Edme Godfrey (sworn) heard as to Goodman's stopping him in 80 to him, and they fired at each other. A minister came to me and toll was Goodman. I wrote to Mr. Callow about it. I saw him in playhouse after acting. Goodman said I have robbed a thousand time but never had a bullet shot at me before. Mrs. Anne Crosse (swon Agoing to Salisbury Mr. Goodman robbed me. He owned it to Geo: Marsh (sworn): I know Mr. Goodman, and he called him God the Holy Ghost, the other God the Father and God the Son, drank such healths, and called to Lusay to stir the fire; and then said, Here is the devil's health, which Goodman drank, and called wife the Virgin Mary. The one was O'Brien, who is gone with I This was about three years since. He lived with the Lady Ducl when he heard this. I live with Mr. Lucas at Clapham. Admi Goodman did rob. Sir John's Counsel say they have done, and le it here. Counsel for the Bill proceeded to call witnesses to dispr some things alleged in the printed trial read by the Counsel on ei Blaney (sworn) says he took notes at the trials of Sir J Friend, Sir Wm. Perkins and Mr. Cooke. Mr. Porter in all the fi trials. Mr. Porter says it was three or four days after he came out prison from the riot in Drury Lane. I can say by my notes; they agree. My notes all agree in the three trials. Mr. Culverwell Need (sworn): My notes say, Three or four days after I was out of pr Moved to hear L. C. Justice and Judges at the trials this case. Witnesses called to prove Goodman has dined at the Tain Leadenhall Street. Mr. Munday (sworn): I dined with Mr. Go man at this house about Michaelmas twelvemonth. I found Good at Jonathan's Coffeehouse, and Sir John Friend was there and inv us to dinner at the King's Head, Leadenhall Street. We were the We had attendance as usual. Mr. Row (sworn): I used to rec directions from Mr. Goodman about the Lady Duchess' busin Mr. Goodman said he was to dine at the King's Head, and if I we call in the evening I should find him there. Goodman has told he was glad I did not come. Counsel for the Bill say they l gone through their evidence, and that they have fully proved Sir J guilty of what the Bill charges on him; and then proceed to precedents and not to sum up evidence. The precedents cited Serjt. Wright are as follows:

3 Hen. VII., Rolls. John Spinall and 80 others attainted. I

was no trial at all for the riot.

12 Hen. VII., ch. 7, Rast. 377. Clergy was taken away f

22 Hen. VIII., ch. 9, Rast. 476. This Act recites Richard Repoisoned the Bishop's servants. Two died. This was jut High Treason, and [he was] boiled to death.

ueen Catherine Howard, 33 Hen. VIII., 21.751, with the 1696. Countess Bridgwater.

ir Tho: Seymour, Ld. Admiral, 2 & 3 Edw. VI., Rastall, No. 1081.

fol. 903.

& 3 Philip & Mary, Benedict Smith.

5 Hen. VIII., No. 26, Wolf's wife attainted for murder.

6 Hen. VIII., No. 22, Sir Tho: Moore.

idem anno, Bp. Rochester.

odem anno, No. 24, Tho : Fitzgerald et al.

7 Hen. VIII., No. 36, Jo: Lewis for murder [of] his keepers.

His hands struck off.

8 Hen. VIII., No. 49, James Fitzgerald. No proceedings against

him but in Parliament.

5 Hen. VIII., No. 51, Howard, D. Norfolk's brother. An Act of Attainder for an offence not then Treason. No proceedings in any Court but in Parliament. 2 Hen. VIII., No. 52, E. Essex.

's Hen. VIII., No. 56, Giles Heron.

12 Hen. VIII., No. , Featherston and Terrell.

3 Hen. VIII., No. 38, Richard Pate, Archdeacon of Lincoln and Holland, indicted according to law, and yet no further proceeding, but convicted in Parliament.

Edw. VI., No. 28, Wm. West, for poisoning the L. La Warr, his uncle. The offence unusual; the punishment extraordinary.

Jac. 1, Guy Faux et al., Gunpowder Treason.

17 Car. II., Bampfield, Scott, Doleman.

und 1685, Jac. H., D. Monmouth.

plication.—Sir Tho: Powys, Counsel for Sir John, heard to the nders before cited: I owned there were instances of Attainders. conspirators in the Gunpowder Treason and King Charles' murder tried, if they were found. Sir Barth: Shore heard also in to the precedents: There is not an Attainder to supply the want idence, who has joined issue with the King. Serjt. Wright heard e precedents they cited yesterday, and strengthen them before ed. Roger Mortimer, 4 Edw. III., 2 Hen. VI., E. Essex, E. Straf-Car., cited last night by the Counsel on the other side. He reads imer's case out of the book. 28 Edw. III., the Attainder reversed. Cromwell, E. Essex was cited last night. E. Strafford's Attainder. was accused of crimes, not treason. Sir. Tho: Powys heard in introduced, and how they agreed to send Charnock to King James. Charnock agreed to undertake the journey. That there was a second ing at Mrs. Montjoy's Tavern. Most of the conspirators present. that met agreed, and the prisoner was there, and agreed to his quota orse. Mr. Goodman examined before a Justice and at Mr. Cook's , and he gave in his paper account of several conspiracies, and of They object this not legal, as to the certainty of time and place.

as after the King went into Flanders, though not ascertained to time. At the Grand Jury and Cooke's trial he swears the same as er. They both say what evidence Goodman gave. The Grand men say the evidence he gave was the same as alleged. Mr. rbrooke at Cooke's trial gave a punctual account of the meeting he King's Head Tavern. We have produced the letter in black , and the manner of it being to be delivered. Mr. Porter's account lansey's proceedings with him as to his withdrawing. And he 1696. No. 1081.

went through the whole evidence on either side. Mr. Pratt, on same side, heard: Parliaments have an absolute unlimited power ev of our lives. As to this power of attainting, Parliaments are limited, and they are the only and proper judges. That it is necess for the Parliament to exercise their power now, in times of fore invasions. Mr. Pratt being sick at the Bar, Mr. Scrat, Wright we on with what he was to say. Sir Tho. Powys heard in reply to summing up the evidence: There is not so much as an allegation the Bill that Goodman withdrew any way on Sir John Fenwic account. If there are ways to proceed against Sir John, it may against others. Sir Barth. Shore: We come not here to arraign witnesses in other causes. We are for the Prisoner. We will p there is no treason in the indictment. Consider how far this may Consider what is in the indictment. Here is no arms, no ho bought; only a discourse between eight persons. This must be a post factum. May it not be said the proposing this is an overt ac compass the King's death? A conspiracy to levy war is not a levy of it. We stand before your Lordships and say this is not an overt If it be a doubt, will your Lordships take it upon your consciences ordain a man to death? This meeting was at a tavern. The not locked. No secrecy at all. Doors open. The withdray Mr. Goodman, that is only a misdemeanour. Is this a reason to att him a traitor? Your ancestors did consider what was good for people. I submit whether there is any one thing in the Bill prove Sir John Fenwick. Does a pardon alter a man's mind, or clear guilt? Counsel and Sir John withdrew. Orders made as in L. J

On 18 Dec., after Sir John's Information, taken in November 1 had been read, proposed, That the two lords mentioned by the Co give account. E. Carlisle says that Sir John Fenwick was read surrender himself if he might be bailed; and this message the M. Fenwick brought to D. Norfolk and me, he being assured o innocence, and the Habeas Corpus Act being suspended. D. No. says that Lady M. Fenwick came to him to desire him to go to the and acquaint him that he was ready to surrender himself if he n be bailed, or his own house made his prison, for he could not an ugly gaol. He acquainted the King with it. He was not pl to declare anything. E. Carlisle: I presume it was about ten after he absconded. Proposed to ask the L. C. Justice if the pr trials were as the shorthand writers have cited. Ans. I believe mistake happened between the shorthand writers. Mr. Porte Delarew came and told me there were mistakes in the trial. I be there was a mistake. I never examined the printed paper with copy. I think they came in a fortnight or less. Moved to sen the printer of the trial. Moved to hear the D. Devonshire, if he p as to what he transacted with the Prisoner. D. Devonshire su Sir John had said anything to him but what was in the pap should have acquainted the Lord Justices or the King with it. putting off the trial, I believe all Mr. Vernon said was true. Mogive the Bill a Second Reading. After debate, the House adjourned during pleasure and the candles lighted. After some the house was resumed. 21 Ric. II., case cited—E. Nort E. Arundel. After long debate whether the Bill shall be second time or not, question resolved in the affirmative. Conter Not Contents 55-E. Marlborough and E. Scarsdale Tellers. At this question every lord voted Content or not as his name called over by the List of the Nobility. Bill read 2a, 49 N protesting.

22 Dec., Sir John Fenwick being brought to the Bar, pursuant Petition to be heard before the Third Reading (Paper y below,) ord Keeper, by Order, told him that the Lords had considered his on, and had sent for him thereupon, and were ready to hear him. thn: I humbly thank your Lordships for this favour. I would nyself as much as I can of what is alleged in the Bill against me. drawn by the King's Counsel, and in the House of Commons it ot said I was guilty when my Counsel heard. I declare I went that meeting in Leadenhall Street to invite King James to come is forces, neither did I consent to it, I never knew that King was at Calais., I never provided any horse or arms. What I nted a great man (? many) with was the truth of what I knew time. I submit all to you. I stand before you. I desire a , Dr. White, and my wife to come and go to me; and I submit our Lordships. Proposed to ask Sir John Fenwick whether he d any directions by writing or message from any person how he behave himself at his trial either at the House of Commons or onse, by writing or otherwise, either by or from whom? Sir Fenwick (called in and asked the above): I never but by of my Counsel on the Bill, which your Lordships allowed. He ken from the Bar, Proposed to ask Sir John, (1) Whether he receive directions in writing, either from one of this House or House of Commons, how to govern himself at his trial in either ? (2) Whether he was willing to discover to this House what Mr. Vernon he reserved to acquaint His Majesty with, upon king his confession upon oath? (3) and whether he had uted the King with it. Agreed that E. Carlisle propose the question when Sir John Fenwick is at the Bar. D. Norfolk to question if he pleases. Sir John was brought in. E. Carlisle Sir John might be asked whether he had not received any m in writing? Ans. I received none since I was at this Bar. if he did before? Ans. I was told of something for my age. He names my Lord Monmouth. Q. Who told you so? had it sent to me. It was sent me by the Duchess of Norfolk. fe brought it to me. I know not the paper. I was showed it. the Paper is? Ans. I gave it my wife again. It was when I fore the House of Commons. Sir John withdrew. Then the uss, Lady M. Fenwicke and Mrs. Lawson were ordered to attend. red to ask Sir John questions (2) and (3) above. Sir John was t in and told the Lords had sent to inquire after the paper, and A. Fenwick &c. He was asked the above questions. Answer to vestion: I do not remember any such thing. Those particular of the King was acquainted with before. I desire the protection House if I answer any questions. Your Lordships were to tell me it should not be prejudicial to me. I desire a Vote

Order, He was taken from the Bar, Proposed to make a Vote and the Prisoner shall say at the Bar shall not be prejudicial to are or elsewhere. Ordered, That nothing Sir John Fenwick by at the Bar of this House shall be ever made use of here or in her place to his prejudice. Proposed to ask Sir J. whether all atter which during the King's he desired to reserve reaint His Majesty with has been sent to His Majesty by writing drwise? Sir John, being brought in, was told the Lords have a Vote, which was read to him, for his security. You have this Vote. You need not doubt but this will be a security to

1,696. No. 1081.

Sir John: I question whether this will be good when the

1696. No. 1081. Parliament is over, in the intervals of Parliament. I desire to secured from being an evidence, which is worse than death. I dea general pardon. Sir John was taken from the Bar. Proposed to the Judges whether, if any person hear what Sir John says here, he come into the Court below, the Judges could refuse to hear h Question, whether any person who hears Sir John here can subpoena to be evidence in the Court below? L. C. Justice: I thin is a legal witness. (The messenger sent for Lady Mary Fenwick Duchess of Norfolk and Mrs. Lawson returns this answer, that Lady Mary Fenwick will be here presently, and that the Duche gone into the City, and Mrs. Lawson cannot be found. Ordered ! the messenger leave the Order at the Duchess of Norfolk's house Mrs. Lawson's). The House went into consideration of Sir Jo request of security in other Courts, and his not being an evidence, shall not be made an evidence—that what he says here shall no made use of to induce him to give evidence in any other Court. M That he be called in and told that the House will make an Addre His Majesty that what he shall say here, at the Bar of this H shall not be made use of against him in any other place as evid Sir John was brought in again and told that, since the vote, the I are resolved to address His Majesty that what he should say Bar of this House shall not be brought in evidence against him. John: I thank this House. An Address may be granted or ref The thing that I insist on is to be secure. I humbly move wh this comprehends my being an evidence and a general pardon. was no objection made to this by the noble lord [L. Steward in ma to whom I proposed it. I do not think the Address a security til answered by the King. Sir John taken from the Bar. (L. H. sham leave to be absent, being ill). Ordered The L. Wharton a His Majesty with the Address. Moved to call in Sir John acquaint him that the Address was sent to His Majesty, and your Lordships did not doubt he needs not doubt of a favor answer; and that, as to his not being evidence and have a g pardon, it was not in your power to grant; neither was it judgment to make such an application at this time. But, if he such a confession as in their Lordships' judgment deserves suintercession, that then this House will intercede with His M for a pardon, and that he may not be made use of as an evi Sir John was called in again and told as above, and then the was delivered to him to look on. Sir John says, I know not answer to give till I have a security. Asked, What he mean security? Ans. What I asked when here last. I insist absolutely, never to be an evidence. I do apprehend what Lordships propose in the paper. It is to be doubted till it be House adjourned during pleasure. House resumed. L. W reported the King's answer, as in L. J. (Moved to call in the M. Fenwick. Ordered That the Messenger go and acquai Duchess that she attend presently, and Mrs. Lawson). Sin was sent for in, and told His Majesty's answer to the Addre shown the paper as before. Sir John: I do not think myself sa I have not an answer to my not being an evidence If I do no such a confession as is liked, I must die. I insist on a general] and not to be made use of as an evidence. Sir John was take the Bar. Proposed to call in Sir John and let him know t Lords conceive he is under a mistake; for, when he made proposals to the Lord Steward in the summer of a pardon evidence, to which he said there was no exception, the

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

er was that he would not capitulate with him; but the Lord to receive any information; and that he had reason to be sensible ow much weight an intercession of this House to His Majesty d always have; and that he desired that before his confession h would be considered after and to interpose for if your confession ved it; and that the next thing was that the Lords would real to read the Bill the third time. Sir John (called in and told ove); I never heard that the King would not capitulate or make itions with you [? me]. L. Steward [D. Devonshire] heard. es Sir John may be asked if I acquainted him of any encouragefor a pardon, but that I sent it to the King? Sir John: I did not had any encouragement. I have not said the Lord Steward did give issurance to me of a pardon. He was taken from the Bar, and then or in again and asked whether he will go on to make any confession what has been said to him? Ans. I have given my answer to it. e no security. If I had, I should be willing to satisfy the House ything I could. Moved to read the Bill the 3rd time. Moved to ren the House. Bill ordered to be read 3a the following day, other Orders made, as in L. J., XVI. 47. Then the Lady . cowich was called in, and told that Sir John had informed that : was a paper of instructions how Sir John should behave himself s trial here or in the House of Commons; and [she was asked] e that paper is, and whether she wrote to the Duchess of Norfolk t anything relating to the E. Monmouth? She says she has the ir. She says there are three papers. She had them from a lady sality. I promised not to name her. She withdrew. Proposed she be sworn and told that Sir John acquainted the House that nat the papers from the Duchess of Norfolk. She was called in sworn, and asked as above. Ans. What Sir John has said does uit me of my promise. Q. Who had you these papers of? Ans. ve made my exceptions. I cannot tell who I had them of. Asked ie knew the handwriting of those papers, she says she does know hand that copied them in her chamber. Mrs. Symonds the an suame is that copied them. Asked if she knows the original rs, whose hand they were in? Ans. I do not. She was bid er the papers to the Clerk, which she did (Papers h). If Sir and an area of Norfolk, I do not deny it. I excepted a sust that part of the oath. It is the lady Sir John named, the "hess of Norfolk. After I received one paper, I desired further startions. I had it in another paper. This Lord said he would at Sir John's Counsel. I wrote to Sir Thomas Powys, to appoint me. Sir Thomas was busy and could not appoint it. Q. To m did you discourse? Ans. It was with the Duchess of Norfolk. sired the E. Carlisle to go to the E. Monmouth to make good his nise. Asked whether she had not written to the Duchess of folk about the L. Monmouth? Ans. I did write to the Duchess it it (see Paper h1). She withdrew. The papers were read " delivered to the L. Keeper. Orders made as in L. J.

n 23 Dec., after the call of the House and the settlement of the streation between E. Scarbrough and L. Jeffreys, the Judges were rered to attend immediately, and the keys of the two upper doors to ordered to be laid on the table after the doors were locked up. In leave was given to L. Fitzwalter to be absent for a week or lays, and the like for L. Guilford, L. Ashburnham, V. Longueville, Berkeley, E. Winchilsea, E. Kent, E. Carlisle, D. Richmond, Wharton, E. Bolingbroke, D. St. Albans, E. Essex, D. Sandwich, these Orders was substituted an Order giving leave to all lords

1 6 9 6. No. 1081. till the day after Twelfth Day. Then the Bill was read 3a, after long debate, passed by 68 to 61, Tellers E. Winchilsea E. Scarbrough, After the Bill had been returned to the Commo the House was acquainted that the Duchess of Norfolk was attend as ordered. The Lady Duchess of Norfolk was called in, a chair set for her at the end of the Clerks' Table. The Dud was heard. Says she is much surprised to be sent for, and she not know for what; and desires she may be assured that what says may not be prejudicial to her. The House acquainted that nothing she shall say on her oath shall be to her preju Then she was sworn. The three papers delivered last (Papers h below) were shown to her. Asked if she ever them before? Ans. I cannot say I ever saw them. paper I delivered I did not see or know. I did deliver them. the Lady M. Fenwick out of compassion to her. Asked, if the Due received any of these papers from the E. Monmouth? Ans. I can say I ever saw these papers. I know not the handwriting, nor was in them. Asked if she received any paper from E. Mommo Ans. I cannot tell. Asked if she had any paper from E. Moum delivered to Lady M. Fenwick? Ans. It is a hard question. I cannot say what papers they were. Asked, How many papers had to deliver to Lady M. Fenwick? Ans. I know not whether or three. I thought they might be serviceable to Lady M. Fem Asked If those papers were delivered by me* to her, and whethe did not receive one or some of them from another hand? . Ans. not remember I did. There was one sent to me, but I was not at I I think my Lord after gave it me. Asked, Who informed you papers might be serviceable to Sir John Fenwick? Ans. It was Lord that gave them me. She was shown a letter (Paper h' be and said she knew it was Lady M. Fenwick's hand. Asked If gave her any paper till after that letter? Ans. It was after letter. Ashed whether E. Monmouth ever said anything of this but about Sir John's confession? Ans. The E. Monmouth spake anything to me but about Sir John's confession, and to him to it. All my Lord Monmouth says is true about wha E. Monmouth said to her. Asked whether she did not ask me I would be so fierce when I was not named by Sir John Fen Asked How long it is since I spake to her on the subject. And some weeks. Three weeks or a month. Asked whether the D desired me to meet the Lady M. Fenwick? Ans. I did desi Lord to see my Lady Fenwick, and his Lordship refused it. whether there was any manner of discourse with me, but only the subject of making his confession? Ans. I do not rem anything else. I believe all your Lordship said was to bring l confession. Asked whether her Grace remembers she was inquir me [whether] there was a person coming to town, and I told her was no such body? Ans. Yes, you did. Asked if those pape carried to Lady M. Fenwick you left there? Ans. They we with her, and whether she copied them or not I cannot tell. S them to her when not well. Asked if she knew Mrs. Symons? I do not. The Duchess withdrew. E. Monmouth heard. The read, written by Lady M. Fenwick (Paper k1 below) to Duc Norfolk. Ordered That Lady M. Fenwick, Mrs. Lawson, Mrs. S and the Duchess of Norfolk do attend on Thursday 7 Jan. next. On 7 Jan. 1696-7 Lady M. Fenwick was called in and sworp

was told that, she being examined concerning some papers, th

^{*} The questions appear to have been put by E. Monmouth himself

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

vas to acquaint the House with the whole matter, and that it is taken in writing. Lady M. Fenwick: In obedience to the comsof the House, this was the transaction of a fortnight or three s. I cannot remember; I have put something in a paper. Then ives the account printed substantially in extenso in L. J., XVI.

The passage beginning at line 4 from bottom of page 60 reads lows :- "My Lord said the King had said Sir John had abused and the King had used Sir John basely." That beginning at of last paragraph in first column of page 61 is as follows:—sired to know how I should be sure of the original papers from t Captain [Smith], and that he [E. Monmouth] would justify at he said if Sir John had occasion to see him." In line 6 from n:—"My Lady Duchess told me my Lord had been with the ig since this business of Sir John, and that he had told the ig himself and friends had espoused [?exposed] that paper; and King seemed to be concerned he had done so." Lady M. Fenwick withdrew, after what was taken had been read to her. The ess of Norfolk was then examined and later re-examined, as in XVI. 62. The passage at the top of second column of page follows:- "He told me some methods in general, and I prayed Lord Monmouth to have them in writing. I told him I have I troubles and some chagrins upon me." After the Duchess had her evidence down to line 8 of second column, she withdrew, and Lawson was examined as in L. J., XVI. 61-2, interrupting her ination to desire the protection of the House, having heard some sagainst her. The House gave her their protection. She was what she heard of threats. She said it was reported in coffees. The passage beginning page 62, line 28, is as follows :- And Sir John Fenwick would call my Lord Romney and L. Portland what they knew of this matter, letter intercepted and brought King of this correspondence, and that the House would [present Address to the King for the letters concerning this matter or spondence to demonstrate the truth of this; the Lord Godolphin carried to the King a letter and a present which she sent to the n in France; and that it was in the King's knowledge; and the King knew the correspondence the great men had with e. Then she withdrew, and it was proposed to call in the ess of Norfolk again and ask her whether Mrs. Lawson was with hen word was brought that the E. Monmouth was coming up, whether she did withdraw when he came in, and whether she can account in general. Duchess of Norfolk was called in again. d if she had recollected herself, she says she had, but could not nore unless Mrs. Lawson's information be read. As to Mrs. on's being with me, the particular is true. Mrs. Lawson was me, and she went out of the way, being undressed. If I hear she has said, then I can tell whether it is the same I heard then. ember when my Lord was with [me] there was so much discourse Sir John Fenwick I was weary of this matter, and told my so, and Sir Thomas Powys was sent to at my Lord Monmouth's , though not in his name, but by the name of a Lord and a Privy cillor. Sir Thomas Powys refused to come—or Sir Barth: Shore ord Monmouth would have spoken with. Asked what the r Sir Tho: Powys would not come on? Ans. The Lady rick wrote to Sir Tho: Powys to acquaint him that a Lord, a Councillor, would speak with him. I never heard Sir Tho: 's knew what the matter was but by Lady Fenwick's letter, add not mention it. The Lady Duchess is put in mind of her

1696. No. 1081. oath, and that if she does not tell what she knows it is as much a fa as if she spoke what is false. The Duchess then gave the evidence set out in L. J., XVI. p. 62, l. 28 to page 63, l. 17. In additional the paper (k^3 below) was read. The Lady Duchess owns that by words "The party is of opinion" she meant the L. Monmouth. Grace knows not but she wrote another paper the Lady Fenwick h and that it was amended, being false spelt, by his Lordship's direction The Duchess then withdrew. Moved to call in Mrs. Lawson and to her that part of her Information that relates to the King, and the Duchess of Norfolk, and ask concerning what relates to the Ki The Duckess of Norfolk was called in again, and that part w relates to the King of her Information being read to her, she asked whether that was all that she heard the Lord Monmouth concerning the King? and told that What you say is on your of Ans. The L. Monmouth seemed to blame the King, thinking he done hardly by Sir John Fenwick in exposing his papers. My l expressed himself with a little heat, seemingly as if the King been unjust; but I remember not the expressions, but my Lord sp with passion. Asked by E. Monmouth, Whether my concern not from Sir John Fenwick's naming the E. Monmouth? Whether my concern not from Sir John Fenwick's naming the E. Monmouth? the E. Monmouth was not afraid that, the paper not being produ it would not be evident he was not in it? Ans. I found my Monmouth [concerned], when he heard he was named in Sir Fenwick's paper, saying he was hardly acquainted with him. Lawson was then recalled and gave the evidence set out in I XVI. 62, in the last paragraph of her Information. Mrs. Syn then gave the evidence set out in L. J., XVI. 63. Her last an reads "The paper I copied mine from was not like this; far diffe from, and a much smaller hand, so all marked I could hardly unders it."

On 9 Jan., the E. Carlisle (who had been ordered on the 7 attend) being come, Ordered that the Officers summon the Lordsab Question was put Whether this House shall be adjourned. Adjou during pleasure. House was resumed. The House went into de upon the Information of the Lady Mary Fenwick, the Duchess of Nor Mrs. Lawson, and Mrs. Symons. Proposed to read the evidence was taken. Proposed that the evidence given in this case b Christmas be recapitulated and written down. Then the E. Monmarceapitulated the evidence before Christmas. The first question at the Duchess of Norfolk was whether she knew those papers. said, No, she had never seen them; that she never had read papers. I had papers from L. Monmouth. I delivered them to M. Fenwick. Asked whether she had ever had any paper under hand, she said, No. Had you these papers from me? She replied she never wrote nor read any papers. Lady M. Fenwick was about a paper. She said she had three, one an original, two co the originals given back. Lady M. Fenwick's evidence then give these now are pretty near the same; only when she came to notes she remembered discourses concerning the King. No ment the E. Carlisle at first. E. Carlisle says he could not directly who those papers came from. I was told they came from E. mouth. E. Monmouth: Lady M. Fenwick gave in those papers from the Duchess of Norfolk. Agreed That the Lady M. Fenwick all the three papers were received from the Duchess of Norfolk as E. Monmouth. It was owned by the Duchess that she never any papers from him. Moved to read the Informations taken th inst. of Lady M. Fenwick, Duchess of Norfolk, Mrs. Lawso

Symons. The three papers delivered by the Lady M. Fenwick pers h below) were read. Lady M. Fenwick's letter (h^1) read. deposition read, as also those of Mrs. Lawson, the Duchess and Symons (k^2-k^6) . E. Carlisle heard: As to what the Lady M. wick deposed concerning my writing a paper, it was true. The M. Fenwick desired me to write what Mrs. Lawson said, and I and desired the paper might be burnt when copied again. I preit was. I never had it again. House moved to send for Capt. h. Ordered that Capt. Smith do immediately attend this se. E. Monmouth heard as to the depositions and papers before : First, I observe what sort of people these are, and how improper evidence against me. Hearsays are not allowed to be evidences. y M. Fenwick, a person of busy temper, to bring things to her end. confesses herself provoked, and suspect to withdraw evidence. . Lawson has a pension of 100l. per annum on the life of the man uestion. I was the occasion of bringing this on-an inst[ance] of madness, if I thought myself guilty. The Duchess of Norfolk, she Roman Catholic. I never had correspondence with this lady till of , she coming out of respect as she said to my children; and then she ight in the Lady M. Fenwick's letter. One of my brothers, made a st, carried into France by this lady. The malice and villainy of this ence I shall show you. These papers produced and read, the unds [to] induce [you] to believe they are mine—the only body can charge me says she knew nothing of them. I must be unt[able] for what Lady M. Fenwick says. It is agreed these crs, by her evidence, were given by me to the Duchess of Norfolk. s is a hearsay from Mrs. Lawson's hearsay. She swears the these asked me the question. The Duchess says she would not ldle in this matter, and after owns what she denied before. men sacrifice everything to carry on what the priests put them n. As for Capt. Smith, I know him. I was not desirous to mote this. Why should I be angry with Sir John? Sir John s willing to make use of these offers. The impossibility and self isense in this case will make it evident. Concerning what she s I said of the King, what Lady M. Fenwick says is hearsay. Mrs. wson says she heard it. The contrivance is the malice. What tence could a man have to say the King had done ill? In this e had the King promised anything? The malice is to engage me this matter. It is said, because the King knew it true. No, the ng could not do so. This paper being produced was the only thing t could justify me, as I was afraid it would not. Lady M. Fenwick es account of a short paper given her by the Duchess of Norfolk. e Duchess denies she copied anything from me, she said she was y barely the carrier; and now she thinks there was another paper. at I should do this is strange. They have added perjuries, which dens the business. One is called an original. Why should I leave c and not another? This all hearsay. To these ladies is a paper cen of cyphers. It is strange I should give such to whom I did not respond. Mrs. Lawson heard scandalous thing of the King behind a

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

or. Nothing but her utmost malice could do this. Lady M. Fenwick cars to account Lawson gave her. Was the Duchess of Norfolk be trusted with such unnecessary things as these were? Must I blishly, to no purpose, do this? Ought I to provoke them if I had ne this? I can prove menaces against me if I proceeded against I donn Fenwick. This was all calculated for the House of Lords, and

t I never spoke to her till after Lady M. Fenwick's letter. I never

* Altered from "on Monday next," the 11th.

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

spoke to her since it was in the House of Lords. Moved to pass a ve that the papers laid before this House by Lady M. Fenwick. 22 Dec. last, are of a scandalous, villainous nature, and very crimina that the contrivance of these papers is a very high misdemeand Proposed to call in Mr. Robins and hear him. Mr. Robins was call in and sworn. Asked whether he acquainted E. Monmouth? As I did: Q. Upon what account? Ans. My Lord Monmouth I has had great obligations to, and, hearing discourses, I gave him the intimation. Asked if E. Mormouth asked him to inquire whence the Ans. He did. On Monday I told him I had made did arise? inquiry, but at distance, from a gentleman; that his Lordship named and one Graham. Mr. Harcourt, of the Crown Office, inform me of it. I have a list of some names Sir John Fenwick has name He said he had it from a person who said he had it directly from t Lady M. Fenwick. Asked where he gave this account to E. Me mouth? Ans. At his own house and Mr. Secretary Trumball's hon There was nobody in the room but us three. I had some occasion speaking to my Lord Monmouth. He appointed me at a Coffee hon Thence E. Monmouth sent for me to Sir Wm. Trumball's (comp L. J., XVI. 63). Then resolved as in L. J., XVI. 54, and Sim Harcourt, Esqre. ordered to attend on Monday the 11th. Messenger sent to summon Capt. Smith informed the House could not find him. Ordered That Capt. Smith be taken into custo

and attend Monday next. On 11 Jan, the Order being read for proceeding on the Lady Fenwick's papers, E. Monmouth heard as to the three papers. House was informed that Mr. Simon Harcourt was not in town, but Oxford. That Capt. Smith was in custody. Proposed to exam Smith to what is said in Lady M. Fenwick's papers about four original letters, and that Capt. Smith can give account of that. Quest proposed to ask Mr. Smith by E. Monmouth, Whether he did br any informations relating to public affairs to E. Monmouth? If did, at what time he did it, and what E. Monmouth did thereupo Capt. Smith was brought to the Bar, and sworn, and asked as about Ans. As to what I brought to E. Monmouth, it was an account what service I had done to the nation in general; and I was read justify what I had done. Asked when that was brought to E. M. mouth? Ans. Some few days before the King went for Flanders year. Asked, What was it that E. Monmouth did do upon your gi him this account? Ans. The E. Monmouth acquainted the with it, but the King was in a hurry going to Flanders. Asked w. E. Monmouth did in pursuance of that information, and to who carried him, and when? Ans. When the E. Monmouth came ! from waiting on the King, he carried me to the Secretary of S before whom I laid the original papers and letters and correspond I hold, and what I had done. Asked if he ever spoke with E. Monmouth before that? Ans. I never spoke with E. Monmouth be that time, and was altogether a stranger to him. Asked whether was not long before the time he spoke with me in some Minister State? Ans. I was, for fifteen months, as my letters and answill demonstrate—the Duke of Shrewsbury. Asked whether he given information to the Duke of Norfolk to the same purpo Ans. After the discovery of this last plot, I did let the Duke k how far I had been serviceable in discovery of this plot, and I sho

^{*} The question first proposed to be asked, and then expunged, was, At time he gave me any information relating to public affairs, and where whether I did not carry him to a Secretary of State?

two letters of it, and the Duke seemed well pleased. Asked ther Sir Wm. Trumball and E. Monmouth all along desired him to · patience until they might be considered and laid before the King is return? Ans. I was told so by them, and I did submit to their ion, and never corresponded with any other; and which accordingly ve done. Asked whether, some little time after the King's return, E. Monmouth spoke to him in relation to D. Norfolk? Ans. I ot tell the exact time. I cannot tell but my Lord bid me have a of the Duchess of Norfolk, and not to have to do with him, and myself to myself; and as to the affairs, it was matters of this espondency of mine. I heard that Sir John Fenwick had papers, my name was used. I know nothing of it. Asked, whether he any direction or intimation from any person that you should be mined as to what you had said to the D. Norfolk touching the espondence held between King James and the great men in the crument? Ans. I never had any intimation or direction. Asked, it intimation or direction had you of any original letters of the of Shrewsbury? Ans. I never had any. Asked whether he sent ter to me lately, and whether I sent any answer? Ans. I did send ter, and I will stand by it. I had no answer. Asked what the ices he did fifteen months since. Ans. I gave account where arms concealed, in or about the Lancashire Plot; and they were found. . I found arms bought up that year, and to whom they were sent. se arms I expected to be sent for. I gave account where they were. y were not seized at that time. It was about the Queen's death. ve account of Sir Wm. Perkins and of Charnock's meetings in the in December 1695. I waited on the D. Shrewsbury. He ived me kindly. My servant went to his Grace, and his Grace sent 201. and said I should have it quarterly. Ordered that Capt. th be discharged without fees. Then the Order as to Smith's ers and the several others in L. J., XVI. 55-6 were made, and the these of Norfolk's letter directed to the Lord Keeper was read, ring to be heard if the E. Monmouth denies what she has sworn. Du 12 Jan. the papers (Smith's) from Sir Wm. Trumball were ight in, sealed up, in three bundles. Mr. Vernon, a member of the ise of Commons, was called in and told the Lords had the examinaof Mr. Smith at the Bar, and that he had corresponded with Shrewsbury, and was referred to you; and that he was not gratified those services. Ans. I know Mr. Smith. My acquaintance was short with him. It was about this time twelve months. I intend, s the D. Shr[ewsbury], to you. And then he came often to me, ked what the informations were. I found him full of undertaking. essed for performance. I pressed him to find out the author of a k, but he could not. He told me of a man come out of France with rs. At last he named Holmes, and then Counter. I took notice that name, because I heard from the Envoy of Switzerland of n a fellow. I pressed him to find out this Counter and Holmes. ressed him so much that I found him disgusted with me. I stioned whether it was not shyness. I could make nothing of the talked of people coming over. He wrote to L. D., and I eve he learnt more names. At last he came and said, if he ld have 50%, he was to be let into the secret. My Lord Duke I, He shall have this 50l., but I do not love to be * * * incl. I gave him 20l. He refused it. Afterwards he took it. I I, "Mr. Smith, you have had something by the end. I am now priced that you have known something." He told me he had his rmation from one Ewen [Hewet] a young fellow. We sent for

1 6 9 6. No. 1081. 1696. No. 1081. him. I examined him. He denied every article of the Interrogatoric Then I confronted Smith and him together. So he talked with him But he was stubborn. I believe the boy did know a little, and we sent with letters. He had carried a pair of pistols to a house Southampton Street. Mr. Vernon was told that his attendance at the time is not expected any further. E. Portland gave account of som letters received from Mr. Smith which were read, marked 1 to Mr. Smith was called in. Owned the paper delivered from I Secretary Trumball, and owned they were as he left them, sealed a and was asked some questions upon his letters sent to E. Portland, a was heard as to the services now in agitation: I have the copy of original letter, and he gave me the copy in the presence of my ma I seldom pay money myself. John Hewet gave me the letter. I ha no intelligence but by Mr. Hewet. He is the best intelligencer England. My man can give account of a particular of a letter lat come. Hewet said he would murder him if he was betrayed. wrote it from a copy of a copy he had, which he said he took from original. Mr. Hewet is the disposer of the letters which come or Asked what he has given Mr. Hewet? Ans. Some pounds I have given him. The biggest sum is a guinea. I gave him at another t 10s., and am to make it up 3l. My man was by when I gave He 10s., and promised to make it 10l. Q. What money gave you Hewet before the King's going into Flanders? Ans. Several times. last winter I gave him 20s. I paid a tailor 20s. for him. He live Lincoln's Inn Fields. He lives I think on the left hand. came to the alehouse. Hewet was by then. I believe Hewet known who the letter was directed to. John Hewet was called in and swo I follow no employment. My mother maintains me in victuals. never distribute letters. I wrote him news out of the Post Boy. informed against me before. I was discharged. Mr. Smith was a in and confronted with John Hewet. He said, I came in a few of before, and I came from Melfort. Ordered that Smith and Hewe taken into custody. Thomas Carlow (sworn) says he found & fuddled in the street, and after that he was kind to him. Ik Hewet. My master told me he was his intelligencer. My master his letter to me. Hewet told my master it came from Melfort. sure he told my master who it was directed to. My master pron him so much money, and if my master did not pay him, and discov it, he would kill him. He copied out of the original letter. A copy copy he said was from the original. D. Norfolk was heard as to Capt. Smith had said to him: He told me nothing, that I know any correspondence. I asked if he knew of any. He said he did He talked of original letters, and he pressed to be rewarded for he had done. Mr. Smith's letters of February 1695 read, director the D. of Shrewsbury. Moved to adjourn this business to F next, and Mr. Vernon to be heard. Mr. Vernon was called in told that the Lords have read Smith's letters of February 1695 asked if he have the originals? Ans. The Duke keeps his letters. Moved to send to the Duke of Shrewsbury to know has the letters Mr. Smith wrote to him the month of Feb last; and, if he has, that they may be communicated to this I Mr. Vernon, called in again, says, He did give account of other may near the matter as it came to be afterwards, but not so as the could take hold of any person. There were things of this nature. Vernon was directed to write to D. Shrewsbury and let him kno House would be informed whether he has the original letters, and if he has any, they be laid before the House on Friday next (the

13 Jan. Mr. Smith was called in and sworn. Asked whether

tters and copies of letters he delivered into Sir Wm. Trumball's are true copies, some written to the Duke of Shrewsbury and

1 6 9 6. No. 1081.

fernon, can you say they are true? Ans. I do say they are the s of such letters as I sent; but they may vary in some words, of in substance. Asked whether he sent any letter to the Duke rewsbury of the 19 Feb.? Ans. The dates cannot vary above a r two. I can say the substance of them is true. That letter ent on a Thursday night. It is, as to names and circumstances, That was the last letter I wrote before the discovery of the There was Sir Geo. Berkeley, Mr. Holmes, Mr. Boys, Mr. r. Ordered that no person be suffered to speak with Mr. Smith Ir. Hewet, now in custody. A petition of Geo. Porter, to be concerning a design to take away the life of a material witness King's, Proposed to ask Mr. Porter (1) whether anything he tinform the House of relates to E. Monmouth? (2) what he av to any design by the Duchess of Norfolk against any of the 's witnesses. Order for witnesses for E. Monmouth :- Secretary iball, Mr. Mountain (Monekton) and Mr. Moyle, to give evidence ation to some matters which concern E. Monmouth. Message to for this. * * Mr. Porter was called in, sworn, and asked est question proposed. Ans. I have nothing relating to E. Monh. What I have to say is relating to the Duchess of Norfolk: the Duchess did send to Mrs. Norton, and did offer to her to tee evidence to swear that I was guilty of the murder of her und. He produces some affidavits taken before Justice Ireton. e are others taken by L. C. Justice, and are before the Attorney ral. * * Mr. Ireton to be called in and asked if any were examined before him. Orders for witnesses, as in ., XVI. 57-8, made. Mr. Robin's deposition was read. Tarcourt gave the evidence set out in substance in L. J., XVI, 65-6. passage as to E. Monmouth at Will's Coffeehouse reads :-- I I your Lordship was at Will's Coffeehouse, and that you there uced a letter wherein Sir John Fenwick owned you were not in apers. Mr. Monchton was called in, sworn, and asked what he 1 Capt. Smith say in relation to the Duchess of Norfolk. Ans. Smith said Mr. Jarmy desired to make some discovery, and that formouth had desired him not to do it. Asked what he knew of Monmouth and Duchess of Norfolk, what Capt. Smith said?
He said he did not speak with Duchess of Norfolk. (Compare , XVI. 66). Mrs. Katherine Bragg, called in, gave the evidence ut in L. J., XVI. 66-7, adding, I gave this oath last night to the Chief Justice. Mr. Baker called in and sworn. Asked what it was that he or the King's Counsel or Agents had notice of Goodman's being gone away? Ans. The first notice I had of it a rumour on the Exchange. It was on a Thursday, and we heard on Saturday. He is told he should give account of this so soon e could. Mr. William Wathins, Mr. Charles Reade, Mrs. Norton, Baker, Mr. Tatnall and Mrs. Tatnall then gave the evidence set in L. J., XVI. 67-9.

the evidence set out in L. J., XVI. 70-1, and Mr. Moore had in the evidence set out in L. J., XVI. 70-1, and Sir Richard ord had reported Keymer's inability to give evidence (see ib. 58-9), abeth Walford was sworn and asked what account she can give resolution of the wives of Cranborne and Keys to come hither accuse Mr. Porter of having killed Mr. Norton, but no answer is red. Then after Mrs. Coalbeck, Mr. White and Mrs. Norton had

1696. No. 1081.

given the evidence set out in L. J., XVI. 71-2, it was proposed to cal in E. Monmouth's servants to prove that the Duchess of Norfolk sen daily for him to come to her, and Hannah Solomon and Francis Moor gave the evidence set out ib. 72, the latter deposing that on 4 Nov she sent five or six times to speak to my Lord; that her Grace mus speak with my Lord. Asked whether I did not receive this pear post letter, 28 Novr.? Ans. You did. The letter was read, an E. Monmouth's man attested he remembers the receipt of it. Ordered That there shall be no further evidence heard or examined i this House in this matter,

On 15 Jan, a Petition of the Duchess of Norfolk was read (Paper) Nothing done on it. Then Lady M. Fenwick's three papers, delivere on 22 Dec., (Papers h) were read, as also her letter to the Duchess's out in L. J., XVI. 60, and the Informations set out ib. 60-(Papers k). Then E. Monmouth was heard as to the evidence, fire as to what the Duchess said when first heard. After he had summe up the evidence for and against him, he withdrew. After delat this Question was put, Whether the E, of Monmouth is guilty of the contrivance of the three papers delivered in to this House by the Lan M. Fenwick? The Question was put as in L. J., XVI. 72, and t Orders were made committing E. Monmouth to the Tower. F proceedings of Select Committee, E. Rochester Chairman, appoint to draw up the Representation to be presented to the King as E. Monmouth's commitment, see Com. Book, 16 Jan.

On 18 Jan. the Representation was reported to the House. The la paragraph began with the words ("And in regard both to the natu of this offence") and ended with the words ("most humbly submitti the whole to Your Majesty's royal and most prudent consideration and on report it was proposed to leave out this latter sentence Agreed to. Then it was proposed to leave out the former sentence Question put whether to agree with the Committee as to these word It was resolved in the negative. Contents 24, not contents E. Manchester and L. Ferrers Tellers. The Representation was the agreed to with these two omissions. Then a letter from D. Shrewbury concerning Smith's letters was read. *Moved* to read Smith letters of correspondence with D. Shrewsbury, and a Committ

appointed to do so.

On 19 Jan., in the said Committee, E. Rochester Chairman, letters are begun to be read. Mr. Smith is called in and is shown t letters relating to the discovery of arms. Says the letter without dr is next to that of 11 Dec. 1694. Says he was first acquainted wi Mr. Hewet at Sir Wm. Perkins', in October or December 169 Mr. Vernon is called in, and he and Mr. Smith heard on the readi of some of the letters. The Papers, being all read, are scaled up w the E. Rochester's seal, and left with the clerk. Mr. Vernon is desir to attend the House tomorrow, and Mr. Smith is appointed to brought to the House tomorrow. The Lord in the chair, having take notes of the most material of the Papers now read, will call for the to be read in the House when he acquaints the House the Commit have read over all the letters, &c. Com. Book, 19 Jan. 1696-7.

On 20 Jan. on report from the said Committee by E. Rochester,

following papers were read:-

2 Dec. 1694. Minutes of Mr. Smith, with his corresponder Nov. 1694. Minutes. 25 Nov. 1694 and 11 Dec. 1694. Number 10. No date.

18 Dec. 1694, Arms. ...

Dec. 1694, without a date, concerning arms at Sir Wm. Perkins'. Dec. 1694. Paper instructions where arms bought.

31 March 1695.

11, 15, and 27 Dec. D. Shrewsbury's letters.

2 Feb. D. Shrewsbury, and Bill 201. 16 Dec. 1695. Smith's Minutes.

23 Dec. 1695 and 15 Jan. 1695-6.

12 and 20 Jan. Minutes of discourse with Mr. Vernon.

Copy of letter to Mr. Vernon without a date.

10 and 11 Feb. 1695-6. Minutes, with D. Shrewsbury. These minutes written a mo: after the time.

14 Feb.

17 and 18 Feb., Letters.

19 Feb., Letter to D. Shrewsbury.
12, 22 and 24 Feb. Minutes of what said to Mr. Vernon,
7 March. No. 22. Letter to D. Shrewsbury.

A paper interrogatory for Hewet. 8 March. Not read.

19 May 1696. A letter to D. Shrewsbury complaining of his not being paid.

Minutes of discourse with Mr. Vernon, latter end of January, 22 Feb. Minutes of it.

21 May, 1696. Minutes, with Mr. Vernon,

D. Shrewsbury's and Mr. Vernon's letter to Smith.

House ultimately resolved that Smith does not deserve any her reward.

11 22 Jan., on reading a Petition of Lady M. Fenwick, the House essed the King to reprieve Sir John for a week, which the King on the 23rd? On 26 Jan. on reading a Petition of Lady M. Fenpraying for the banishment or perpetual imprisonment of Sir t, a motion to adjourn the House was earried by 23 to 21, Tellers 'eversham and E. Tankerville.

. Monmouth was liberated on 30 March 1697, on his Petition

Der q) I. J., XVI. 140.] The papers are as follows:—
(a) 27 Nov. Holograph letter from Sir John Fenwick to the Lord Keeper, as follows:—Newgate, Nov. 27/96. My Lord, The Keeper here has acquainted me with the Orders of the House of Lords, to whom I would have addressed myself in the humblest manner I could. But he will not carry any paper from me but what is directed to yourself. I therefore beg the favour you will acquaint the House that it is my humble petition to their Lordships they will please to give an order that my Counsel, Sir Thomas Powys and Sir Bartholomew Shore, and my Solicitor, Mr. Christopher Dighton, may be permitted to come to me to advise with alone. I beseech your Lordship to pardon the trouble from your most obedient humble servant. Signed J. Fenwick [no entry in Records; but see MS. Min. 1 Dec. and Notes above, p. 274].

(b) Papers as to the custody of Sir John Fenwick, viz.:-

(b) 30 Nov. Letter from Sir William Trumball, Secretary of State, to the Lord Keeper, dated this day, as follows: My Lord, I sent this morning to speak with Fells, the Keeper of Newgate, who owned to me that, though he had the Order of the House of Lords, yet he had allowed Sir John Fenwick the use of pen, ink and paper in his (the Keeper's) presence, but did neither

1696.

No. 1081.

1696. No. 1081. send to me, nor was there any person appointed by me to be a that time with Sir John Fenwick. I think the Order of their Lordships is expressly contrary to this proceeding of Fell's, and I hope notice may be taken of it; which I humbly submit, and am, with all respect, my Lord, your Lordship's most obedien and most humble servant Will: Trumball. [Read this day (L. J., XVI., 25) and Fell ordered to attend the following day On 1st Dec. Fell was called and owned he had let Sir Joh Fenwick have pen, ink and paper, contrary to the Order of the House. The Judges were heard as to the Sheriff's power over the Keeper of Newgate. Proposed to call in the Sheriffs of London and Middlesex. The Sheriff was called in and tolerance. that the Lords are so dissatisfied with the Keeper of Newgat that they do not think fit to continue Sir John Fenwick under his custody. I have a person in the chamber with Sir John Fenwick, and you are to take care that the prisoners of Sta are under your custody. The Sheriff undertook to secure to prisoners, and Fell was committed to the Gatehouse Prison. M Min.—Fell was released on 7 Jan. 1696-7, on his Petitio (b) below. L. J. XVI., 49.

(b)2 8 Dec. Petition of James Fell. Having inadvertently allow Sir John Fenwick pen, ink and paper, to write a letter to t Lord Keeper for his application to the House, in presence himself only, instead of in presence of himself and such oth person as the Secretary of State should think fit, as directed the House, Petitioner had incurred their Lordships' displease and is now a prisoner in the Gatehouse for that offence. Be pardon, and prays to be discharged, as he will be required officiate at the Sessions beginning at the Old Bailey on 9 D Read this day. Endorsed Nothing done on it.

No entry in L. J.]

(b) 7 Jan. 1696-7. Similar Petition of same. L. J., X

49. [Read this day and Petitioner discharged.]

(c) 1 Dec. Sir John Fenwick's Holograph Confession. in extenso in Dalrymple's Memoirs, Vol. II., Appx. Part p. 236. The original differs from the printed text in read in paragraph 2, "they would not lose the opportunity attempting it"; and in paragraph 4, "and with much diffici prevailed with them." [Read this day, and Sir John Fenw examined upon it. See Notes above, p. 274.]

) 8 Dec. Petition of Sir John Fenwick, Bart. Petition understanding from the Order of 1st Dec. that only Com would be heard this day, had not provided his witnesses, two whom live near 100 miles from London. Prays for a conven time to send for his witnesses and prepare his defence.

J. Fenwicke. L. J., XVI. 34.

(e) 9 Dec. Petition of Lady Mary Fenwick. Petitioner heretofore free access to her husband in Newgate, but is debarred from seeing him. There being many things she m assist him in to enable him to make his defence, prays liberty of going to her husband and being with him in private Signed M. Fenwicke. Read this day and liberty given so she continue in prison with him. L. J., XVI. 35. See notes above, p. 275.

(f.) 16 Dec. Petition of Sir John Fenwick, Bart. Whe Mr. Porter has given evidence against Petitioner that one

Claney endeavoured to dissuade him from appearing as a witness against Petitioner, which was urged as evidence against Petitioner, and whereas Mr. Clancy is now in execution, whereby Petitioner cannot produce him without an Order of the House, Petitioner prays for such an Order. Signed J. Fenwicke. L. J., XVI. 41. See also Notes above, p. 277.

) 22 Dec. Petition of same, signed as preceding paper, praying to be heard at the Bar before the Third Reading.

L. J., XVI. 45.

) 22 Dec. Lady M. Fenwick's three papers, read this day, and on 9 Jan., and set out in extenso in L. J., XVI. 52-3, viz. j' Paper marked No. 1. In paragraph 2, the Lords mentioned are Lords Portland and Ramsay (? Romney), the names being crossed out and initials substituted. In paragraphs 4 and 5, Capt. S. is Capt. Smith, all but the initial letter being crossed out. Before the last paragraph is the following, crossed out :--"I desire Mr. R. may be asked, upon honour, if Sr. F. did not come to him to Calais, and what sort of netery (sic) he got at that time. If he will swear he never sent a message nor returned one by Ld. Pl. [? Portland], let him forswear himself.)2 Paper marked No. 2. Identical with entry in L. J.

)3 Paper marked No. 3. Identical with entry in L.J. Para-

graph 5 at first followed paragraph 2.

13 Jan. Petition of George Porter, desiring to be heard upon oath to give an account of some conspiracies and designs that have lately been against the life of a most material witness for the King; and the reason of his begging at this time is because he conceives it will very much relate to a business now depending before their Lordships. Their Lordships' most humble and devoted servant, (signed) George Porter. [Read this day. MS. Min. No entry in L. J. See also Notes above p. 293].

) 15 Jan. 1696-7. Papers and Examinations read this day and

printed in extenso in L. J., XVI. 60-72, viz. :-

Autograph letter from Lady Mary Fenwick to the Duchess of Norfolk, dated Thursday. L. J., XVI. 60.

)2 Lady Mary Fenwick's Examination on 7th Jan., concerning some instructions given to Sir John Fenwick in relation to his trial. Marked No. 1, Ib. 60-1,

)³ 7 Jan. 1696-7. Paper dictated by E. Monmouth to the Duchess of Norfolk, printed in L. J., XVI., 61, in the last six lines of paragraph 2 and in paragraph 3, i.e. from "(videlicet)" to "as he thinks fit," and marked to come in in the middle of Lady M. Fenwick's examination. [Read this day, and Duchess of Norfolk examined upon it. MS. Min. See Notes above, p. 288.]

)4 Mrs. Elizabeth Lawson's Examination on the same subject on

7th Jan. Marked No. 2. Ib. 61-2.

§ Duchess of Norfolk's Examination on the same subject, on 7th Jan. Marked No. 3. Ib. 62-3. The paper has pencil marks in the margin, probably in E. Monmouth's hand; an identical sign is placed against the passages "I never had any papers but from my Lord Monmouth" and "but did desire my Lord I might have them in writing because of the badness of my memory," with the word "Contradiction"; and against the pa sage "She said it was her Grace's own writing" is the pencil note "contradicted by former (?) oath." On the back are pencilled the questions "Whether either saw him or heard of

1696 No. 1081. 1 6 9 6. No. 1081. him before that time," and "Whether he had given the information to the Duke of Norfolk." These were quest put by E. Monmouth to Capt. Smith on 11 Jan. See Nabove, p. 290.

(k)6 Mrs. Margaret Symons' Examination on the same subject

7th Jan. Marked No. 4. Ib. 63.

(h) John Robins' Examination on 9th Jan. Marked. 5.

(k) Matthew Smith's Examination on 11th Jan. Marked N

10.63-5. The passage on p. 64, line 17, from bottom,
"desired me to communicate it to Mr. Vernon" and a
end of the Examination are these words, expunged "I
asked what the services are which he says he did fifteen m
since, says, he discovered where arms were."

(k) Autograph letter from Duchess of Norfolk to Lord Ke

praying to be heard if Lord Monmouth has disowned or educted anything she has said. Read 11 Jan. 1b. 65.

(k)¹⁰ Examination of Matthew Smith on 13th Jan. Mo. 1b, 64.

 $(k)^{11}$ Mr. George Porter's Examination on 13th Jan. Ma. h 65

(h)¹² Simon Harcourt's Examination on 13th Jan. Mar Ib. 65-6.

(k)¹⁸ Robert Monekton's Examination on the 13th Jan. 4. Ib. 66.

(k)¹⁴ Mrs. Katherine Bragg's Examination on 13th Jan.
 5. Ib. 66-7.

(k)¹⁵ William Gwatkin's Examination on 13th Jan. M. B. 67.

(k)¹⁶ Elizabeth Norton's Examination on 13th Jan. Ma Ib. 67-8.

(h)¹⁷ John Tatnall's Examination on 13th Jan. Mar 1b. 68.

(k)¹⁸ Mr. Charles Reed's Examination on 13th Jan. Mar. Ib. 68-9. Endorsed These were read to them 14. Little Armoury" (p. 69, l. 26) is given as "The little aln."

(h)¹⁹ Anne Tatuall's Examination on 13th Jan. Mar. Ib. 69. Endorsed like preceding paper.

(k)²⁰ Nicholas Baker's Examination on 13th Jan. Mar Ib. 69. Endorsed like preceding paper; but there is a the foot, as follows:—Memo. Mr. Baker not appearing al was writ from Mr. Blane's notes, it hath not been read to

(k)²¹ Examination of John Cresset, Esq. on 14th Jan. Mo. 1b. 70.

(h)²² Mr. Christopher Dighton's Examination on 14 Marked 2. Ib. 70.

(h)²³ Francis Moore's Examination on 14th Jan. Ma Ib. 70-1.

(k)²⁴ Mrs. Elizabeth Coalebeck's Examination on 14 Marked 4. Ib. 71.

((*k*)²⁵ Mr. William White's Examination and Mrs. Nort examination on 14th Jan. *Marked* 5. *Ib*. 71-2.

(k)²⁶ Mrs. Hannah Solomon's Examination and Mr. Moore's Re-examination on 14th Jan. Marked 6, 7.
 (i) 15 Jan. 1696-7. Holograph Petition of Mary,

of Norfolk. Petitioner is informed that several witnes

been produced by the Earl of Monmouth and examined before their Lordships with intention to defame Petitioner; and if any impression remains upon any one of their Lordships, Petitioner prays she may be admitted to vindicate herself from their reflections. Signed M. Norfolk. [Read this day. Nothing MS. Min. No entry in L. J. done on it.

1696. No. 1081

m) 18 Jan. 1696-7. Letter from the Duke of Shrewsbury to the Lord Keeper, dated Eyford, 13 Jan. 1696-7, as follows:-My Lord, having received the commands of the House of Lords to lay before their Lordships what letters I received from one Smith in February last, or, in case I had kept none, then to acquaint the House with what I can remember was contained is them, I am very sorry not to be able so fully to comply with their Lordships' directions as I wish I could, for, having heard long since that this man did pretend to great merit for his discoveries, and had, on several occasions, in a very unhandsome manner complained of me, I did then endeavour to collect what letters he had sent me, that I might judge how his intelligence appeared when put together, for, as it came to me, I could make little of it. But I found I could retrieve so few, and those generally of an old date (when I had more value for his intelligence than I had afterwards), that I am almost certain I have none of those letters left which their Lordships desire to see. I shall therefore apply myself to give them the best account I can of what he did inform that is most material at that time, which I am the better able to recollect, because several things he then mentioned fell out so true, that I was surprised how he could know them and not more, till I understood he had his intelligence from one Huet, a youth that lived with Major Holmes's brother, I think, and was, about that time, often employed to wait upon Sir George Berkeley, by which means he had opportunities to guess or overhear particulars which otherwise I suppose he might not have been trusted with. I remember that in the winter Smith wrote very positively of an invasion intended from France, which was to break out at the arrival of the Toulon fleet, and that many gentlemen of quality and officers were sent from St. Germain's upon that design. He named Mr. Henry Brown, my Lord Montague's brother, at one time, at another Sir George Berkeley, Holmes, Counter and others. Then he gave hints of some great design which he should soon discover the bottom of, and afterwards grew more particular that it was to seize the King's person, and named Berkeley, Charnock, Holmes, Counter and others as employed in the villainy; and that at the same hour this was to be executed, a general rising was to be in all the counties of England. He was different in his accounts about the manner of seizing the King. Sometimes Mr. Latin's Lodge near Richmond was to be attacked, and the walls scaled by foot, whilst his Majesty was there. Sometimes an attempt of the like nature was to be made on Kensington House, and at other times the King was to be set upon, going to, or coming from hunting. Near to the day the assassination was intended, he was very earnest for money to buy a horse and equipage, upon which I desired Mr. Vernon to talk with him, to see if he knew of his own knowledge any person engaged in such a design; for being never able to bring anything in confirmation of what he asserted, from whom he 1696. — No. 1081. had it, how he came by it, or at what time it was to be executed, I suspected either there was no truth in the story or if there were, I might possibly set him out to be engage in it. He gave Mr. Vernon no satisfaction upon discoursing with him, but proceeded in his promises to him that nothing could be attempted, but he would give him, or me, an account When the whole was brought to light, and it appeare he was not enough in the secret to have prevented it, I intended notwithstanding, to do something for him, and, at his own desire, concealed his name, to give him an opportunity, as leading to the standard of the standard o pretended, to apprehend Chambers. In the meantime, I ha an account that in very public places, he began to threaten would complain of me to the Parliament, whereupon I neither thought it safe nor decent to have more to do with him. I received relating to the attempt on his Majesty's person the invasion, I always gave the King an account of, whilst was in town and able to wait on him, but, being much indisposed, I had his Majesty's leave to go into the count for a few days, where I remained till I was commanded by upon the happy discovery of that horrid design.

This, my Lord, is all that I can recollect on the subject the Lordships are pleased to command. I beg the favour it may

communicated to the House, and am,

My Lord,

Your Lordship's most faithful and humble Servant,

(Signed) Shrewsbury

[Printed in extenso, but inaccurately, in Ralph's Hist. of Engla Vol. II, p. 713, Note, quoted from Tindal's Rapin, Vol. X. p. 367, Note. Read this day. (M.S. Min.) See Notes abo p. 294.]

(n) Cover of preceding, marked with the numbers (Contents Not Contents 26) of the Division on Jan. 18th. (MS. Min

(o) 22 Jan. 1696-7. Petition of the Lady Mary Fenwick follows:—Whereas your wretched petitioner's unfortunhusband stands condemned by a bill of attainder, and that King has thought fit to sign a warrant for the execution the fatal penalty annexed to the said bill, whereby petitioner is seized with the utmost disorder and distraction mind. She has made applications to his Majesty, whose R clemency she hopes may incline, as his power does enable to relieve the afflicted. But there is nothing could give distressed petitioner greater encouragement for success that intercession of your Lordships. And since mercy is as inhe and inseparable to your Lordships as justice, she hu implores your Lordships' powerful mediation, there several instances of those who have fallen under the weigh a bill of attainder without experimenting the utmost sever The greatest motive your petitioner can lay before Lordships is, the happiness Sir John Fenwick had nea years since, both as a christian and a man of honour, to pr a base and villainous design against his Majesty's perso particulars whereof have been communicated to some peers, and a more exact account annexed hereunto, whi humbly desires may be taken as a part of this petition truth of which your petitioner has witnesses to manifest, i may be indemnified for so doing. May the merit of this so far prevail upon your Lordships, as to importune and

his Majesty, out of his great elemency and tenderness, to spare the life of your petitioner's most unfortunate husband, and in the meantime your petitioner most humbly begs your Lordships to intercede with his Majesty to grant a reprieve for a week, by reason your petitioner's husband has not had any divine with him till three of the clock yesterday, nor have any of his friends or relations yet been suffered to go to him. May all the blessings of the mereiful attend your Lordships and your noble families, and may your petitioner, all her lifetime, acknowledge with all possible respect and gratitude, the unspeakable favours that your Lordships shall confer upon your most humble and most afflicted petitioner.

(Signed) Mary Fenwicke.

ead this day and an Address made to the King for a reprieve.

, XV. 79.]

p) 26 Jan. 1696-7. Petition of same, as follows: -Whereas your distressed petitioner has made her most humble acknowledgments to his Majesty for his great grace and favour in deferring the execution of her unfortunate husband. She thinks herself also obliged to return her most humble thanks to your Lordships who were pleased to intercede with his Majesty on his behalf. Your most afflicted petitioner does moreover lay before your Lordships the merits of that action, which is attested under Sir John Fenwick's own hand, whereby he had the happiness to save the King's life; all which your petitioner's husband is ready to make out, if the witnesses may be indemnified for such misprision. And, if your petitioner's husband had no such merit as is thereby represented to your Lordships, yet the condition of a condemned person is such, that by perpetual imprisonment or banishment, all future dangers to his Majesty's person and government may be effectually prevented, as by his death. And therefore your petitioner humbly prays your Lordships to intercede with his Majesty to spare his life, which shall ever be acknowledged with all dutiful respect and gratitude by your most afflicted petitioner.

(Signed) Mary Fenwicke.

ead this day and the question for the adjournment put and carried.

XVI. 82.7

Petitioner has for ten weeks last past been a prisoner in the Tower, and still continues under commitment there, by virtue of an Order of the House, and Petitioner, humbly submitting to their Lordships' pleasure therein, is extremely concerned he should in anywise incur their Lordships' disfavour. May it therefore please their Lordships (by reason of his long imprisonment, his indisposition at present, and some particular affairs that necessarily require his looking after them) [either to address the King on his behalf or]* to take what methods their Lordships shall think proper in order to his discharge from his confinement, as soon as their Lordships, in their great wisdom, shall think fit.

(Signed) Monmouth.

cad this day and the Earl of Monmouth discharged. L. J.,

1696.

No. 1081.

^{*} These words in square brackets are struck through.

1082. Nov. 27. Navy (State of the Nation)-Papers connected with an Enquiry into (1) the state of the Fleet, (2) Loss of ships fro Ireland, (3) Refusal of Letters of Mart, &c. [The House having gone into consideration of the King's Speech of 20 Oct., and State of the Nation, it was proposed to consider (1) The State of Fleet the last Summer (2) The Bank of England (3) The management of the War; the Army abroad; the money carried out. Mored. put the House into a Committee for debate. House adjourn during pleasure and put into a Committee, L. Ferrers in the Ch. Moved to take into consideration the state of the Fleet. To send Sir George Rooke to give an account of what orders he has received this summer. The Commissioners of the Admiralty to lay before House an account of all the shipping of the nation, the condition it and where it is. An account of the state and condition of Newfoundland is lost; how it came to be lost is worth enqu The Commissioners of the Admiralty [to be sent to] for what order have been given for preservation of our trade and plantations. Propo to take care to recover credit from what was said in his Majes Speech. The Commissioners of the Admiralty [to give] an according to give] and according to give an according to give an according to give according to whether the number of ships be not sufficient to annoy the enemy, what number of ships they shall think sufficient. What sum money will put the Fleet into a condition to defend the kingd The condition and state of the Fleet, and what number of ships requisite for the security of the nation; what money is requisite put the Fleet into a good condition. On report, the House or (1) That the Commissioners of the Admiralty should give on 2 an account of what the number and condition of the Fleet is, 61 at sea or in harbour, and whether they conceive it sufficient for protection of England, Ireland, trade and the plantations, should also send copies of all orders sent by them to the Main this year, until Lord Berkeley went to the Fleet: (2) That the missioners of the Navy Board should send in on 2 Dec. an acc of the stores: (3) That Sir George Rooke should lay before the H on 2 Dec. copies of all the orders he received for the command conduct of the squadron sent to Cadiz, and all letters and pa relating to that expedition from the time of his first orders until left the command of the Fleet, with the times and places whe respectively received the same: (4) That the House should be into a Committee again on 2 Dec. to consider further of the Stal the Nation. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 21.)
28 Nov. Letter from Sir George Rooke (Paper a.) read, in rele

to the Order of yesterday. Sir G. Rooke was called in and told the Lord Keeper, by order of the House, that the Lords think have the originals of the letters and papers laid before the Ho (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 22.)

2 Dec. Sir G. Rooke delivered at the Bar two Papers and Books, pursuant to the Order of 27 Nov. (Papers c.). The missioners of the Navy Board delivered two paper Books (Papers Moved, to send to the Commissioners of the Admiralty to give account whether they had any intelligence of the privateers' de of going to Newfoundland or any part of the West Indies, what care was taken to secure Newfoundland and our other pl tions and trade in those parts against the enemy. Ordered accord Ordered, That the 9 Books delivered this day by Sir G. Rook kept by the Clerk, and that any Lord of this House may have rec to them in any room near this House in the presence of the Clerk

ce Clerk give Sir G. Rooke a receipt for the Books. On motion, mittee appointed to consider of the Answers received this day the Commissioners of the Admiralty, pursuant to Order of s., and to have power to send for persons, books and records. That some of the Commissioners of the Admiralty attended Committee to-morrow. Ordered, That the Commissioners Admiralty give the House an account of what effect the late registering of seamen has had; and if there have been any tions, and what they have been, and what they can propose for the Nation be adjourned till the 5th inst. (MS. Min.; XVI. 28-9.)

ec. (In Select Committee):—E. Rochester in the Chair. The r of the Commissioners of the Admiralty to the Order of the of 27 Nov. is read. The Order read. The Commissioners lled in, and told that their Lordships having received advice to King will be here immediately, they cannot proceed at present business, but they are sensible they have wasted a great while orning, and therefore to-morrow, when they are met at 10 a.m., will send to them to the Admiralty Office to come. (Com.

ee. Ordered, That the 9 Books delivered by Sir G. Rooke and ther Papers from the Commissioners of the Navy Board be ed to the Select Committee. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 31.)
1. dic. (In Select Committee):—E. Rochester in the Chair. Mr.

ug is sent to the Admiralty Office for some of the Commissioners Their Answer to the Order of 27 Nov. is read. The vissioners, being come, are told that the House as well as the of the Committee are not satisfied with their said Answer, iving it extremely short in both particulars. They desire to what they can say to it. Then the said Order and Answer were Sir George Rooke and Sir John Houblon are called in. Leorge Rooke: In anything Sir. J. Houblon and I can inform, as ts which occur to our memories, we shall give what satisfaction an, but in other things we desire the Board may have your ands, and we shall obey. The Navy Board must give us a ular account of the provision before we can say when the ships rbour will be ready. We cannot tell when ships will be ready, tse we know not when we can have use of the docks. We nt the properest ships for the occasion when we send any abroad. leficiency of money and the funds have made it that four of the that were to be built, are not so yet. New ships may be built in - but old ones must be repaired in docks. Orders are given for repair I our ships, and they will be so, if there be not deficiency of y. They desire the directions of the Committee in writing. withdraw. The directions are put in writing, vizt., That the ver of the Admiralty is very short to what was required by the r of the Lords, and therefore it is now directed by the Lords unittees that the Commissioners of the Admiralty do give a more cular account in what condition the ships now at sea are, and her, when they were set out, they were in thorough repair, or in such repair as would enable them to perform the several ditions they were sent upon; and, as to the ships in harbour, repairs each ship may need, and in what time respectively they be fitted for sea service. That they do particularly answer he they conceive the Fleet of England be sufficient for the uses

mentioned in the Order of the 27 November last, their present Ansin their allegation of ignorance of the force of the enemy's fleet, where it may be employed, being by no means thought a sufficient satisfaction. Ordered, That the Clerk attending their Lordships send to the Commissioners of the Admiralty the directions given their Lordships here enclosed, and that they be desired to return anothereunto in writing as soon as conveniently they can. Adjourned the 7th inst. (Com. Book.)

7 Dec. (In Select Committee). E. Rochester in the Chair, G. Rooke's first Book of Orders is begun to be read and proceeded to No. 129. Ordered, That the Clerk acquaint the Commissioner the Admiralty that the Committee met this morning, and meet at on the 9th inst., and will be glad to know when they will be ready an answer to the directions sent them on the 4th inst. Adjourne the 9th. (Com. Book.)

9 Dec. (In Select Committee). E. Rochester in the Chair. Clerk acquainted the Committee that he had attended the Comsioners of the Admiralty, and that they said they had sent out orderenable them to comply with their Lordships' Order, and on the 9th they would acquaint their Lordships whether they could comply their Lordships' Order or with what part of it. E. Rochester acquainte Committee that Sir John Houblon had been here this mornin attend their Lordships with their Answer to their Lordships' Obut being sent for to the King, and the Committee not being to sit, they desired they might attend with it some other time. Cooling is directed to let the Commissioners know that they at to-morrow with the said Answer. Adjourned till to-morrow. (Book.)

10 Dec. (In Select Committee). E. Rochester in the Chair. G. Rooke's first Book is read to No. 169. The Commissioners of Admiralty deliver in an Answer to the last Order and also as t Act for registering seamen and as to Newfoundland. They say have sent orders to the Commissioners of the Navy Board to account of the condition of the Fleet with all expedition, and they are ready, they will attend with it. Their several Pape read. Sir George Rooke says his Majesty has given Mr. Att General [command to] draw a Bill on the heads now read relati the registering seamen. They withdraw. Ordered, That the relating to Newfoundland and the registering seamen be offered House, they not being as yet referred to the Committee. That the Commissioners for the Navy and the Commissione registering Seamen attend on the 12th inst., in order to consider remedying obstructions that have happened in executing the said Adjourned till to-morrow. (Com. Book.)

Eod. die.—The two Papers reported to the House, and referred

Select Committee. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 35-6.)

11 Dec. (In Select Committee). E. Rochester in the Chair G. Rooke's first Book is proceeded in. The Book of letter Orders of the Secretary of State is begun to be read to N

Adjourned till to-morrow. (Com. Book.)

12 Dec. (In Select Committee). E. Rochester in the Chair Walker delivers in a list of the Dutch Ships found in the J 25 April 1696, and of the Dutch Ships in the line of battle, which with Sir G. Rooke from Spithead (e). The Commissioners Navy and the Commissioners for registering Seamen being called

er relating to the said registering, delivered by the Admiralty, is read. 2 Commissioners of the Navy say this paper came from the Comsioners for registering Scamen, and was handed to them by the niralty. The Commissioners for registering Scamen: We gave memorial to the Admiralty, which extended further than this er. As first for receiving money, which we can get neither from colliers nor fishers. That no registered man be turned over or sed. They deliver in copies of their letters to the Commissioners the Navy, which are read. The Commissioners for the Navy rd give in a paper touching the registering seamen, which is read. y withdraw. The said papers are read again. Memorandum: t the Commissioners for the Admiralty be spoken with upon the paragraph in their paper. The second article in their paper ed to be a head for a Bill, The Commissioners for the Admiralty be spoken with on the third article of the Commissioners for stering [in the] first paper. The third and fourth articles in the er of the Commissioners for the Admiralty to be heads for a Bill. ourned to the 16th inst. (Com. Book.)

To further proceedings in the Committee or in the House took is till 26 Feb. 1896-7, when it was moved that the House take consideration to-morrow the loss of the fleet bound to Topisham a Ireland. 2 March appointed. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 106.)

7 Feb. House to consider the loss of the ships on 2 March. ssage to Commons for leave for Mr. Vernon to attend. Mr. Igeman to attend with the abstract of a letter sent him by Mr. Vernon cerning the provision ships that lately went from Ireland. Sir G. Oke, Sir John Houblon, Vice-Admiral Mitchell, Mr. Paul Bowens, Mr. James Ball to attend on the 2nd inst. (MS. Min.; L. J., VI. 107.)

March 1696-7. Order read for considering the loss of the ships t came from Ireland. Mr. Vernon, being called in, says the letter is asked for is in the Speaker's hands. James Ball was called in asked what he knew of the taking the provision ships from Ireland. said: There were ships bound for Ostend. The last fleet that ne to Plymouth was in January last. On Monday, 8 Feb., there ne a packet of letters to my hand for Mr. Bowen. I sent them to u. He told me he acquainted Mr. Bridgeman with those letters that ht. Next morning I brought him to Mr. Vernon. He delivered letter to Mr. Vernon. He sent a copy of it to the Admiralty. It an account of the privateers setting out. The fleet did not sail till 8th, 9th or 10th, from Spithead; I cannot remember the day. The er said their design was upon the butter fleet from Ireland and Queen of Spain. Friday the 12th about 4 or 5 in the afternoon ships were taken, 5 or 6 leagues this side of Dunkirk. Mr. Paul wen (sworn): I gave Mr. Vernon the letter the 9th. I received it day before. I communicated the letter to Mr. Bridgeman. The ginal letter I left with him. Vice-Admiral Mitchell (sworn): I ne from Spithead three weeks since. I was there when the provision ips came from Ireland. They came from Plymouth, &c. to Spithead. be of the masters came to see me. They went to the men of war and I them they would not sail with them. William Bridgeman (sworn) s he has a letter. Q. Did you send notice of this intelligence to ver or any other [place]? A. No orders were sent till the 18th bruary, upon a letter from the Mayor of Dover. Mr. Bowen came ne about 10 or 11 at night. I desired him to acquaint the Govern-

ment with it. He went to Mr. Vernon with it. The letter of adv was delivered and read. Sir John Houblon (sworn). Asked if were present when a letter of intelligence about Dunkirk privateers [v read]. A. I cannot say I was present. I saw the letter at Board. Asked what they did on this intelligence [?9] Feb. A. I not remember there was anything done on this. We did not kn these ships were at Spithead, nor at Portsmouth, or that they wan anything. If they had come to the Downs, there were men of w We had no notice of them. *Moved*, That the Admiralty, by timely communicating that intelligence they received have not do their duty to his Majesty, and are guilty of the loss of the fleet merchants. Mr. Vernon was called in and delivered the lette Ordered, That the further consideration of this matter be resumed the 5th inst., and all the Lords summoned to attend. (MS. Min. : L. XVI. 109.)

5 March. No proceedings recorded.

13 March. Further consideration of matter to be resumed on t 16th inst., and Mr. Bowen, Mr. Ball, and Capt. Glover to atter

(MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 122.)

16 March. Ordered, That the Committee concerning the Admira be revived, to meet on the 18th inst. (L. J., XVI. 125.) The On being read for resuming consideration of the matter, and a debate arisi thereupon, Moved to call in Mr. Bowen, [and ask him] what account he can give of an establishment of an intelligencer between him and Secretary's office; and whether those ships did not call at Dove Moved, To call in Glover and ask him whether these ships did call at Dover or not, and how long they stayed there. Mr. Alphons Glover (sworn): Asked whether the ships did call in at Dov A. They passed by Dover on Thursday about 6 or 7 o'clock at night They stayed till next morning. A boat came aboard them. We ro at anchor off Dover. We came close by Dover. Q. Where were y attacked? A. Off Gravelines on Friday in the afternoon, we wattacked. I was aboard a vessel that loaded in Ireland and got off, Mayflower. We passed by Dover. I waited on the man of war. know nothing what they did. We were in our passage. The Que of Spain we waited on in the Isle of Wight. I know nothing of a convoy. I came about from Ireland with the Crown to Plymouth. was with all the fleet. I suppose we were about 4 or 5 miles from Dover. We go as the wind blows; we were in our way. At Dartmon I put myself under the Queen of Spain. We were not in sight the English coast when taken. Mr. Bowen was called in and ask whether Sir Cloudesley Shovell agreed with him to give intelligen A. Yes; he did about two years since. I received the letter [on the 8th February in the evening. I found Mr. Bridgeman and read h the letter. Next day I came to Mr. Vernon and gave him tletter. It was written by a very honest man, a merchant. It w reported to the King so, and I came to the King for what I laid o I have a report from the Commissioners. I had a salary, but was I paid it. He delivered the report, which was read, being an account the general services he had done. The letters delivered formerly wread. Mr. Vernon's letter. A letter to Mr. Paul Bowen. Ho moved to send to the House of Commons to give leave to the Comm sioners of the Admiralty to attend. Moved, that the Admiralty, in giving timely intelligence to the Irish and Topisham ships, are gui

† Paper (f) below.

^{*} Substituted for "did not stay some time at Dover on their way."

gh misdemeanour and neglect of their duty and the trust reposed m. Proposed to ask the Admiralty what intelligence they ed in relation to the sailing of the Topisham and Irish fleet, and they did in pursuance thereof? Upon the intelligence they ed why they did not send to the ports, and give the necessary thereupon? After debate, House adjourned. (MS. Min.)

March. Ordered, That it be referred to the Admiralty Committee inted 2 Dec. last), to enquire into the following particulars:ny the Toulon fleet was not intercepted last summer? 2. Whether rs have been appointed, according to the Acts of Parliament, and eare has been taken to interrupt privateers? 3. What the Comoners of the Admiralty have done, in relation to the granting s of Mart? 4. Whether the officers of the fleet execute the ctions annexed to their Commissions? 5. What care has been by the Admiralty for bringing in the Sea Journals and examining of? 6. To enquire further into the matter of the loss of the that came from Topisham and Ireland. 7. To enquire why so officers have been turned out of the fleet without trial? The

nittee to meet presently. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 126.) 1. die. (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair. Ordered, the Commissioners of the Board of Admiralty and one of the taries of the Admiralty attend on the 19th inst., with an account the Toulon fleet was not intercepted last summer, and that they fore their Lordships lists of the line of battle ships, of the cruisers f the convoy ships from Christmas 1695, with the several stations e cruisers and convoys. Ordered, That Sir Alexander Rigby I the Committee on the 19th, and attend to-morrow at the Bar to

orn. (Com. Book.)

March. (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair. The missioners of the Admiralty are called in. Sir J. Houblon and Bridgeman being come in, Sir John Houblon says the Commisrs say it will be necessary to examine, peruse and transcribe many is to give the Committee the account ordered, and it will require lays, time, but if it can be done sooner, it shall be, for they are ring. He desires that what further is required may be given in ng. Ordered, That the Commissioners of the Admiralty lay e the Committee on the 22nd inst. an account of what intelligence received from 8 Feb. 1695 to 1 June 1696 concerning the Toulon dron, and what orders were given thereupon; and also give an int of the condition of the English Fleet at that time and their ons, what strength of ships they had in these seas and in readiness to sea. Sir Alexander Rigby (sworn), being asked concerning ers of Mart, says that he is owner of many galleys for trade, which uilt because the Admiralty did not take due care of convoys for , as he was informed; that then the merchants traded on foreign oms, in which being deceived and disappointed, the traders to Italy recourse to English-built ships called galleys, which and the hopes aving Letters of Mart for the same was the chief occasion of ling such ships. That he has seldom till this winter been refused ers of Mart, except for the Herne, which is above 50 guns, and he refused a Letter of Mart for her about the time Sir John Houblon e into the Admiralty, and was forced to take one from the States Iolland, of which the said Sir John can give a more particular unt. In the beginning of [the] winter he wanted Letters of Mart the Ormond, Massareene and John galleys. His Solicitor told he (the Solicitor) had enemies at the Admiralty, and that Letters

1696.

were refused to some, though few days before Letters were given the Shrewsbnry and the Sarah, trading galleys. Thereupon he to the Admiralty for Letters for his three galleys, and gave it in hin in writing, upon which Sir Robert Rich told him, in a slighting haughty manner, he needed not have brought but have sent it in they granted no Letters of Mart. His ships were then fitted to and he thought it hard to be refused when others had it. Then, be withdrawn, he wrote to the Board for a Letter of Mart for the galley, which went purely a-privateering, which they granted him that ship, but refused for the others, because the King wanted sean and they would carry away too many seamen. Sir Jos. Herne told Sir John Houblon said he should never have Letters of 1 for the Herne ship. The Herne has been again refused Letters winter. He had 100 men on the John and but 80 on the other which were of the same largeness. He had Letters of Martfor the Jo Sir Robert Rich said it was often the contrivance of the merchant send forth ships a-privateering, and to bring home goods; that if (witness) did so, he would have his ship searched and put his bond suit. He is but part owner of these galleys. [Sir Jos. Herne and Sam. Sheppard have been refused Letters of Mart.*] He will h after give in a list of persons that have been refused Letters Mart, and he will bring in writing what he has now said. (C Book.)

20 March. (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair. letter No. 122, of 14 Jan. 1695 is read, being from the Admirab Sir George Rooke. It intimates that the Ministers seem apprehen the Toulon squadron will be out very early. The letter from Admiralty to Sir George Rooke No. 124, dated 3 March 1696, is re-Memorandum: That the Commissioners be asked (1) why, if expected an insult from the French at Spithead, as appears by letter to him of 3 March 1695, they ordered Sir G. Rooke to re to the Downs with some of his ships, and to leave others of then Spithead? The letter of 6 March 1695 No. 130 is read. Me randum: That the Commissioners be also asked (2) why, having many ships ready in the Downs for the Main Fleet, they were not to join Sir G. Rooke to intercept the Toulon squadron coming Brest, you having had intelligence of his arrival off the Lizard 22nd April 1696? And also (3) Did you ever give any orders for Main Fleet in the Downs, or any part thereof, to proceed to meet G. Rooke? These questions to be asked the Commissioners w Ordered, That the House be moved to send a mess they attend. to the Commons, that such members of their House as are of Admiralty attend the Committee. A letter of 2 May, 1696, f Sir G. Rooke to D. Shrewsbury is read. Whether they had any or from the King that a squadron should be sent to Sir G. Rooke command him to endeavour to intercept the Toulon fleet, they have certain intelligence they were coming to Brest? Ordered, That Alexander Rigby attend on the 22nd inst. Memorandum: T while the Committee was sitting, the E. Rochester, Chairman to Committee for Trade, with the approbation of several Lords of Committee, commanded me to lef the Commissioners for Trade ki from their Lordships, that the House had addressed to the King relation to Instructions to be signed by his Majesty to the Governor the Plantations in America, and that they were at liberty to lay Instructions they had prepared for the King's Royal Signature be

^{*} These words in square brackets are struck through.

ommissioners of the Treasury, notwithstanding the direction they ot to do the same until further Order. (Com. Book.)

March (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair.

inder Rigby, being cailed in, delivers in a list of persons who been refused Letters of Mart, and of others ill-treated by the

ralty. He also delivers in writing what he said on oath the last 1e was before their Lordships; which papers are read. He says, ohn galley has 24 guns, the Ormond 24 and the Mazareene 20. fers a paper superscribed "remarks upon the Admiralty," which is

He desires this paper may not be made use of to his prejudice. paper is returned to him, and he is directed to bring proof of what s said in relation to Capt. Pickering, named in the paper, and of what he has said concerning the refusal of Letters of Mart to alleys mentioned by him the other day. He is assured that he, whoever else attends on this account, shall have the protection ed. Ordered, That Mr. Anthony Stretton, Mr. Anthony Forti and John Bateman attend the Committee on the 25th inst., and in the time appear at the Bar to be sworn. Mr. Bridgeman being called vs Sir John Houblon is sick or he would otherwise have attended, hat the others of them are sick and one out of town; that the d have sent an account of intelligence relating to the Toulon dron; that the Orders required are transcribing; that the Board es to know what sort of account their Lordships require of the ers, &c. He is asked what time the Order for the Fleet's sailing Cadiz, &c. was communicated to them. He desires the orders of Committee in writing, and he will acquaint the Board with them. ered, That the Commissioners of the Admiralty do, on the 25th , lay before the Committee an account at what time the Order for Fleet's sailing from Cadiz was communicated to them, and also a of his Majesty's ships in the Downs on the 11th of April last, and copies of the Orders of the 11th and 15th April 1696 sent by a to Sir Cloudesley Shovell. Ordered, That Sir Joseph Herne have leave to attend the Committee to give account concerning Letters of Mart, and that the Lords may have for some time a book he cruisers for the year 1696 laid before them by the Commissioners he Admiralty. (Com. Book.)

5 March. (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair. Mr dgeman being called in, delivers in a list of the ships in the Downs 1 April, 1696, and true copies of the Orders of the 11th and 15th il 1696 to Sir C. Shovell, and an account at what time the Order the Fleet's sailing from Cadiz was communicated to the Comsioners of the Admiralty; and acquaints the Committee that the er Orders required are transcribing for their Lordships. ount of intelligence the Commissioners of the Admiralty received cerning the Toulon fleet was read. Two letters from Sir G. oke of 22 April 1696 to the Commissioners of the Admiralty and Shrewsbury are read, as also one of 23 April and 9 March. The ount of the Fleet's sailing from Cadiz, delivered in this day, was read. . Bridgeman is directed to attend to-morrow with the Orders formerly uired. Mr. Anthony Forti (sworn) being asked what he knows of ters of Mart being denied, says that, having received great losses n the French, he got a ship of 26 guns, called the Margaret, to le for Newfoundland, and petitioned the Admiralty for a Letter of rt They told him they had resolved to give no Letters of Mart to lers, but if he would go as privateer, he might have them. They Letters of Mart were only taken as a colour to secure their trade,

No. 1082.

and not to privateer. I told them I would take no more men the they had given me protection for, which were 40, and I would g them security for this. They gave me leave to come again. I did often, but could have no answer. Afterwards, understanding the Captain Martin had got Letters, I attended again, but Sir John Hould told me he had moved my business at the Board, but they had resolve not to grant me any. Afterwards, in June or July, upon Petition the Queen, her Majesty ordered them to give reasons why they deni me, but instead thereof, they gave me Letters of Mart. By this dela in them he believes he was damaged 400l. or 500l. Sir Alexand Rigby said the Commissioners owned they never refused Letters Mart to Newfoundland, Barbary, or Guinea, because our men of w went not thither, yet they refused Mr. Forti. Mr. Forti says that May 1695 he bought a ship of the Commissioners of Prizes, called Lindsay, of 22 guns. He designed her for the West Indies, and got Letter of Mart. The ship was in Falmouth, but the loading was London. The ship coming about, Capt. Dawes pressing some of men (which the Letter of Mart ought to have secured), the rest, exc six, ran away. To secure these six, they were put on board Smyrna Factor, who gave six of his men in exchange. In t condition the ship put to sea, but being surprised by a storm, put is Portland, where for want of hands, she lost two cables and two anchor which cost 155l. In that lamentable condition she put to sea aga and recovered Plymouth Sound, but before she was in safety, two the six distressed men were taken away, and the ship so left imminent danger. Of the whole of this matter he drew a petition the Commissioners of the Admiralty, being ready to prove the m impressed at Portsmouth were not for the King's service, but sold to East India ship. This was the 31st December, and he attended the the greatest part of January, when they sat, but was not admitted be heard, nor had any relief. It was formerly objected, when wanted a Letter of Mart, that it was made use of only to see men, but in this case it was of no use at all to him (Com. Book).

26 March. (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Charles The Lord Haversham delivers in an abstract he has made of so of the letters relating to Sir George Rooke's return from Cadiz, wh is read. The Order of 3rd March 1695 from the Admiralty to George Rooke is read (No. 129). The three questions agreed to asked the Admiralty the 20th inst. are read. Mr. Bridgeman be called in delivers in copies of the Orders between 14th Feb. 1st June, as directed, which are read. Mr. Bridgeman is asked be the Order of 3rd March was sent to Sir George Rooke, but can give account. By a letter of 23 April, off Plymouth, Sir G. Roo owns the receipt of the Orders of the Admiralty of 3, 6, and 24 Mar Mr. Anthony Stratton (sworn), being asked what he had to say relation to Letters of Mart, says he has often attended the Comissioners of the Admiralty for Letters of Mart for the ship Jeffre which carried 30 guns. The Commissioners refused him, though found they gave to others. They told him he might apply to King and Council. He did so, and was referred back to them, they would give him none, but treated him with a little sourn Attending them afterwards on another occasion, upon their asking whether he was a merchant, he told them he had paid between 4,00 and 5,000l. Customs in December and January. The application made to them for Letters of Mart was in December and January and on Friday last they refused a Letter of Mart to a ship he

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

are sending to Cails, richly laden, and from thence to the Indies. riday last, solicitation being made again for a Letter of Mart for effreys, it was granted, but, she being first gone as far as the Isle ight, it will be of no use. He says that 11 ships from Virginia, ging to him and several merchants, being come to Kingsale, and cation being made for a convoy for them, they were forced to stay till the latter end of October before they could have a convoy, a carried them to Plymouth, where they stayed near a month for ier convoy to the Downs. He believes the Customs of those aps might amount to about 14,000l. He says he has goods, value 1., on board for Holland, which stayed for want of a sufficient ov. About November he solicited the Admiralty for cruisers, the and other merchants expected 24 Barbadoes ships in the dings. He acquainted them the French were fitting out ships, upposed to go in search of those merchants, but they siighted the igence and laughed one on the other, and Mr. Burchett, their etary, said tartly, "Perhaps the gentleman holds correspondence the French." This discouraged him from giving further ligence to them. After this he gave intelligence to the D. Devon, received him civilly. John Bateman (sworn) being asked what nows of the Admiralty's granting Letters of Mart to ships that have ed and been privateers, says he has taken out above 100 Letters of t. Ordered That Mr. John Bateman lay before the Committee all convenient speed a list of such ships as he has known the missioners grant or refuse Letters of Mart to, since the time they sed Letters of Mart to the ship called the Herne. Memorandum: t if the Committee send for any Journals, they shall be given in on . That the House be moved for leave to the Committee to ask the owing questions of Sir G. Rooke, which the Committee are of ion ought to be on oath, and that the Committee may also ask t other questions they shall think fit to ask on his answers, and he may be sworn to his Journals. Memorandum: That Sir adesley Shovell's Journal, when desired, be only from the time he e into the Downs till the time Sir G. Rooke came there, Several stions were agreed to be asked Sir G. Rooke, but they remain in Lord in the Chair's hand till the next meeting, when the House Il have been moved in relation to them. Ordered that the umissioners of the Admiralty be desired to attend on the 29th.

m. Book.)

20d. die. L. Herbert reported to the House the certain Questions*
be asked Sir G. Rooke. Ordered that Sir G. Rooke give his
swer in writing, as soon as his health will permit. (MS. Min.;
J., XVI. 137.)

29 March. (In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair. The ee Questions agreed on the 20th inst. to be asked the Commissioners the Admiralty are read. The Commissioners are called in. They asked whether they sent to Sir G. Rooke to Cadiz to return; at what he they did so; and, if they did not, then at what time they had the totice that he was to return? A. They remember not that they at any orders to return; they believe they never sent any. The lamiralty some time in March had notice from D. Shrewsbury. lieve it was the 24th of March. Q. How did you come to give

These Questions are set out in extenso in L. J., XVI. 137 and again, together h Sir G. Rooke's Answers, in the Report of the Committee on 14 April. ib. 158.

direction on 3 March to Sir G. Rooke to dispose himself, if you kno not then of his return? A. Believe it was a conjectural knowledge they heard it abroad.—The paper delivered in by Mr. Bridgeman 27 March is read, as also the Order of the Admiralty of 3 March 169 in Sir G. Rooke's Book. This last Order was sent to Plymout Q. What steps did you take towards securing him in his return, of for intercepting the Toulon squadron? A. We cannot answer as memory, but shall, if we have the question in writing .- The Order of 3 March to Mr. Russell is read.—They are asked upon wh grounds they countermanded by their Order of 15 April their Order of the 11th of the same month to Sir G. Rooke? They desire the to look into their books. They withdraw. Ordered, That the Con missioners of the Admiralty on the 31st inst. lay before their Lordship Answers to certain Questions.*

31 March. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. Bateman delivers in a list of the ships to whom Letters of Mart ha been given or refused; which is read. Some Questions are propose to be asked the Commissioners of the Admiralty. The paper receive from Mr. Bridgeman the 27th March is read. Ordered, That the House be moved that [a message be sent to the House of Common to request that] Sir Joseph Heron, a member of their Hommay have leave to attend the Committee in relation to Lette of Mart.† The Commissioners of the Admiralty are called in They deliver in Answers to the Questions sent them at the last meeting which are read. They are asked what the Direction was the Kin gave (which is limited in their Answer to the 2nd Question)? The say it was the King's Direction to Mr. Russell, and he signified it the Board, and the Order (sie). They withdraw. They are called again, and have their Answers returned them, to be signed by t Board and brought again by Mr. Bridgeman to the Clerk to-morro The Questions following were read to them, and they were directed bring Answers to them on the 5th April. (Com. Book.)

1 April 1697. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Cha The Answers to the 7 Questions, being brought to the Clerk by I Bridgeman, signed by the Commissioners, were read and the Cle directed to make copies for the five Lords present. (Com. Book.)

5 April. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. 7 Questions and Answers, received 31 March, are read entire afterwards begun to be read severally. Mr Bridgeman being cal in, delivers in Answers to the 6 Questions last sent to the Admiral which are read entire. The Order of the Admiralty of 2 March 16 to Mr. Russell is read. Ordered, That the Commissioners of Admiralty lay before the Committee on the 9th inst. a copy of Admi Russell's letter to them, dated 28 Feb. 1695, mentioned in their Or of the 2nd of March following to Admiral Russell. Ordered, That Commissioners of the Admiralty lay before the Committee on the inst. Answers in writing to the following Questions. (Com. Book.

^{*} The 7 Questions that follow here are set out in extenso, together with Answers of the Commissioners, in the Report of the Committee on 14 April. XVI. 154-5.

[†] See L. J., XVI. 145, 146. † The 6 Questions that follow here are set out in extense, together with Answers of the Commissioners, in the Report of the Committee on 14 April.

[§] The 5 Questions that follow here are set out in extenso, together with Answers of the Commissioners, in the Report of the Committee on 14 April. IXVI. 157. The list of ships is similar to that on p. 328, but does not include Lincoln.

pril. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. The ons and Answers (dated 5 April 1697) are read entire and

1696. No. 1082.

(In Select Committee). L. Herbert in the Chair. Mr. man is called in and told that the Committee expected only a account of what victuals each ship had, and not so particular a he had given the Lord in the Chair yesterday a specimen of; ce they have begun it, they have time given them to perfect it onday next. Ordered That the Commissioners of the Admiralty ore the Committee on the 12th instant an Answer in writing to lowing Question.* (Com. Book.)

pril. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. Sir. Heron (sworn), being asked what he knows in relation to of Mart, says he applied about May 1694 to the Admiralty for of Mart for the ship Herne, of above 50 guns and 180 men, they refused to him, though before and afterwards they gave to some other ships, viz. the St. Margaret and Upton galley ackham frigate, in July. Upon this, viz., about June or July 18 applied to the Queen, and the Commissioners of the Admiralty immoned before the Council. The Queen was inclined, as he ormed, he should have Letters of Mart. After this, they granted of Mart to others. Then he petitioned them again in September tters of Mart, with another ship called the Stratford frigate, . Charles Peers, the owner of the said frigate, was before the ssioners, and they seemed inclined, as deponent has heard from teman, to give Letters of Mart to the frigate, till one of the ssioners asked if that ship was not in a former Memorial with rne, to which being answered that she was, they refused her also; ding it inconvenient for the Herne to go without Letters of Mart, nt made his application by his friends in Holland to the States I for Letters of Mart for the ship, which they granted, he giving of 2,000% to answer their proportion of the prizes, if any were and they also gave them permission to sell her prizes abroad, ook any, answering their share to the Dutch Consul in the port hey should be sold. Ordered That Mr. Charles Peers and Mr. in attend on the 12th inst. (Com. Book.)

pril. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. being set, and the Commissioners of the Admiralty nor their ry attending with Answers to the late Questions sent them, a :per is sent to them to let them know they need not attend themill 5 p.m., only in the meantime to send their Answers. Mr. man, being come, delivers in Answers to the Questions formerly the Commissioners, and a copy of Mr. Russell's letter of 28 395-6 to the Commissioners, both of which are read. A letter ir George Rooke, with Answers to the Questions formerly sent

delivered in and read. (Com. Book.)

die p.m. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. ommissioners of the Admiralty being called in, the several ns sent to them and Answers received from them are begun to be Question I and Answer read. Mr. Priestman says they meant the "Fleet of England" (in their Answer) the English Fleet. esire that what they say may not be taken as the Answers of ard. They withdraw. Ordered to report the Questions and 's specially, for that, they declaring they did not think themselves

Q estion that follows here is set out in extenso, together with the Answer ommissioners, in the Report of the Committee on 14 April. L.J., XVI. 158.

enabled as a Board to answer, it was not thought fit to proceed fur with them without the direction of the House. Mr. Charles Per (sworn), being asked what he knows of Letters of Mart refused to Stratford frigate, a trading ship, says he solicited the Commission of the Admiralty several days, about September or October 1694, Letters of Mart for the frigate, and about the same time present Memorial to them, and to his appearance they seemed inclined to give them to him, till, as he was going out of the room, they asked whether this frigate was not in the Memorial with the ship Herne which he answering "Yes," they bade him withdraw, and, after he stayed at the door nearly half an hour, sending in the doorkeeper brought him word they could not be granted. (Com. Book.)

13 April. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair. Questions to the Admiralty and their Answers are begun to be and considered severally, and they and the Questions and Answers to from Sir George Rooke to be reported specially. Adjourned to 2

(Com. Book.)*

14 April. (In Select Committee.) L. Herbert in the Chair, journed till to-morrow. (Com. Book.)—No further proceeding Committee. Eod. die report made to the House, and propose adjourn the consideration to another time. (MS. Min.)

15 April. The House ordered Sir George Rooke's Books and Pato be delivered back to him. (L. J., XVI. 161.) The Papers are

follows:

(a) 28 Nov. 1696. Letter, of date, from Sir G. Rooke. received their Lordships' Order for copies of all Orders received by him for the command and conduct of the squadron he swith to Cadiz, and of all letters and papers relating to expedition from the time of his first Orders until he left command of the Fleet, with the times and places whe received the same. The papers required are so voluminous they cannot be transcribed in less than a month, but he obey their Lordships' commands with all the expedition he [Read in C. W. H. this day. MS. Min.]

(b) 2 Dec. Two Paper Books, containing an account of

(b) 2 Dec. Two Paper Books, containing an account of Stores, delivered by the Navy Board this day, pursuant to Or 27 Nov. (MS. Min. See also Notes above, p. 302.)

Books are as follows:-

(b) An account of the principal new and serviceable proving remaining in store at his Majesty's several Dockyards of days undermentioned, collected from the Storekeepers' Ga Abstracts or Balance of the Receipts and Issues of S viz., at

Deptford, on 30 June 1696.
Woolwich ,, 30 Sept. ,,
Chatham ,, 31 Aug. ,,
Sheerness ,, 31 July ,,
Portsmouth ,, 30 Sept. ,,
Plymouth ,, 30 June. ,,

It gives an account of the brimstone, sails, canvas, &c., ec and cable, anchors, oil, pitch and tar, &c., wood and t masts and spars, in stock at the above dates, and also the and tar at Chatham, Woolwich and Portsmouth on 21

^{*} The House this day ordered the Committee to report to-morrow. I. J

1696, 104 tons 3 cwt. of the former, and 122 lasts 5 barrels of the latter. Signed R. Haddock, E. Dumner, J. Sotherne, Cha: Sergison, Tho: Willshaw, D. Lyddell, John Hill. Dated Navy Office, 2 Dec. 1696. Marked No. 1.

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

(b) Same as to Deptford and Chatham on 1 July, and as to Portsmouth on 1 October. Gives hemp and tar at Chatham, Woolwich and Portsmouth, on 21 Nov. 1696, as 50 tons 18 cwt. of the former and 11 lasts, 11 barrels of the latter. Signed and dated as preceding. Marked No. 2.

(c) 2 Dec. Papers presented by Sir George Rooke from the Admiralty this day, in compliance with Order of 27 Nov.,

viz. :--

(e) 2 Dec. Paper entitled "Copies of all Orders sent to the Main Fleet this year, until the Lord Berkeley went to the Fleet."

Dated Admiralty Office, 2 December 1696. Examined, Wm. Bridgeman. Endorsed "These Papers were received from Sir Geo. Rooke at the Bar from Admiralty 2 Dec. 1696. Nine Books were delivered at the same time." Marked 1. It comprises Admiralty Orders, ranging from 8 Jan. 1695-6 to 30 April 1696, to the following effect:—

(1.) 8 Jan. 1695-6, to Admiral Russell, to appoint a Flag Officer to reside at Chatham while the Fleet is fitting out, and transmit weekly accounts to the Admiralty. Signed John Lowther, Henry Priestman, Robert Austen, Robert Rich. Counter-

signed Josiah Burchett.

(2.) 8 Jan. 1695-6, to John, Lord Berkeley, Admiral of the Blue, as follows: - Whereas this Board have thought it necessary for His Majesty's service that, in order for the fitting out the ships designed for the Fleet in the Channel this year (a list whereof is hereunto annexed), the following particulars should be strictly observed, for the more speedy and effectual despatching the said ships for the sea, viz.: (1) That no captain be absent from the ship under his command, but by leave in writing from this Board, or the Flag-Officers upon the place, and that, when any such leave is given, the same shall not exceed six days, upon pain of being cashiered. (2) That none but commission officers be employed in pressing in boats or vessels out of sight of their respective ships, and none under the degree of a warrant officer in boats or vessels in sight of their ships, and that the oldest lieutenants be employed upon that service. (3) That all officers who are sent to press be acquainted that, if they discharge men fit for service, or take any money for exchanging, discharging, or releasing any when pressed, or which ought to be impressed, they shall be cashiered and forfeit all their pay due in his Majesty's service to the Chest at Chatham. (4) That tickets be in force to the 20th of Feb. next, and no longer, and that none be taken notice of but what are signed, by the captains to the said 20th of Feb., and afterwards by a Flag Officer (5) That, if any men are entered in the country, either by a magistrate or common officer and are presse I coming up to town, they be returned to the ship they were first entered for, bringing sufficient vouchers they were coming into the service. (6) That all vessels employed for impressing men for the Fleet be back with their ships by the 30th of March next, and that the officers who command the said vessels be directed, upon the penalty of being cashiered,

1 6 9 6. — No. 1082. not to impress or entertain any men who are not of sufficient age, and of such strength of body, as may render them fit his Majesty's service at sea, that so the ships of the future n not be pestered with boys and distempered persons. (7) T in all protections and tickets the men be described as to the age, size, and coloured hair, and that no protections or tick be of force which are scratched or interlined. (8) And when we have given directions to the Navy Board to pay the way bounty-money, and conduct-money of the press-gangs which n not come time enough to their ships to receive the same to commanders of the said ships, or such persons who shall legally empowered by the said press-gangs to receive the sa and also directed the commanders of the ships employed convoys or cruisers to entertain and bear supernumeraries the Fleet to the tenth of May next, and receive all such a as shall be delivered to them from any of the tenders on Fleet, and to dispose of them to their proper ships, upon mee with them, your Lordship is hereby required and directed fo with to give such orders to the several commanders of Majesty's ships of the Fleet, and make such publication the as you shall judge most proper for the effectual putting execution what is before directed for the despatch of Majesty's service. Signed J. Lowther, H. Priestman, R. Aus R. Rich. Countersigned Wm. Bridgeman.

A List of the ships mentioned in the above said Order:

Rate. 1. Britannia, St. Andrew, London, Chatham. 2. Albemarle. Duchess, Royal Katherine, Nore. St. Michael, Ossory, Chatham. Sandwich. Burford, Captain, Content prize, Cumberland, Portsmouth.

Rate. 3. Edgar, Elizabeth, Expedition, Portsmo Hampton Court, Riv Kent, Portsmouth. Lenox, Soundings. Monk, Portsmouth. Monmouth, Montague, Norfolk, Northumberland, Royal -Oak, Chathar Resolution, Restoration, Suffolk, Chatham.

(3.) 19 Jan. 1695-6, to Capt. Coall, Commander of H Dreadnought, Spithead, ordering him to take undecommand the Defiance (if ready) and the Berwick, proceed to Cadiz to join the Mediterranean fleet unde George Rooke; and if any Dutch men of war bound to Straits are at Spithead, he is to sail in company with and also to convoy any storeships at Portsmouth or Spibound to the Straits, which may be ready to sail. E. Russell, H. Priestman, J. Lowther. Countersigne Bridgeman.

(4.) 24 Jan. 1695-6, to Capt. Baron Wild, Commander of I Northumberland, Portsmouth, ordering him to take his command the Mary and Berwick, and proceed to Cadi as in preceding Order to Capt. Coall; but in ease Capt. Fairborne, Commander of the *Victory*, shall arrive at Spithead with the *Devonshire* and *Defiance* from the Downs before Capt. Baron Wild sails, the latter is to place himself under his orders. Signed E. Russell, H. Preistman, R. Rich. Countersigned J. Burchett.

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

(5.) 24 Jan. 1695-6, to Capt. Fairborne, Commander of II.M.S. Fictory, Downs, ordering him to proceed with his own ship and the Devonshire and Defiance to Spithead, convoying all ships bound thither, and there take under his command the Northumberland, Mary and Berwick, if not already gone, and proceed with them and the vessels from the Downs to Cadiz, or follow them thither if already gone. The rest as in preceding two Orders. Signed and countersigned as preceding.

(6.) 27 Jan. 1695-6, to the Chief Flag Officer or the Commander-in-Chief of the ships at Chatham for the time being, to cause such ships as are fitting out for service in the Channel to be hastened to Blackstakes as fast as they are ready, and thence to the Nore. Signed H. Preistman, R. Rich, J. Houblon.

Countersigned J. Burchett.

(7.) 28 Jan. 1695-6, to Johr, Lord Berkeley, to cause absent officers to join their ships at Chatham in view of the Court Martial ordered there the beginning of the following week on the loss of the Royal Sovereign; and, in regard to the additional quantity of water cask proposed in his Lordship's letter of 23 inst., to consider with the Flags and Captains the quantity of provisions each ship is to receive for this summer's service, and what proportion of water cask may be necessary. Signed E. Russell, H. Preistman, R. Austen, R. Rich. Counter-

signed W. Bridgeman.

(8.) 23 Feb. 1695-6, to Henry Greenhill, Esq., Commissioner of H.M. Navy at Portsmouth, to use all possible despatch in putting the ships now fitting out in Portsmouth harbour into a condition for the sea, employing workmen constantly both night and day, and directing their officers to give constant attendance, it being of greatest moment that the ships should be at sea as soon as possible; and those having least work to do on are to be first despatched. Ships, as they are ready, are to repair immediately to Spithead to take in their stores and provisions, and to be manned out of any merchant ships there, but without putting them in hazard by taking many men from them; and they are then to proceed to the Downs without the loss of a moment's time, tiding it thither if the wind shall not be fair, and put themselves under the orders of the Commander-in-Chief there; and if they require men before they get out of the harbour, they are to take them Signed H. Preistman, from the merchant ships there. R. Austen, J. Houblon. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(9.) 23 Feb. 1695-6, to the Commander-in-Chief at the Nore, to order all H.M. ships at the Nore to repair without the loss of a moment's time to the Downs, and put themselves under the orders of the Commander-in-Chief there. The ships are to be manned out of one another, and from merchant ships at the Nore, taking care to leave a sufficient number of hands on board the latter for their riding safely in port, and are to sail as fast as they are ready, without staying for each other. Signed

H. Preistman, R. Austen, R. Rich. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(10.) 22 Feb. 1695-6, to Lord Berkeley, or, in his absence, the Chief Flag Officer in the River Medway, to proceed to the Down and take command there, keep the ships in constant readines for service, and order two ships to cruise northward of the South Foreland, to give him timely notice of any attempt from the enemy. Any supernumerary men in any ship are to distributed throughout the Fleet to the best advantage. Signar E. Russell, H. Preistman, R. Austen, R. Rich. Countersignar J. Burchett.

(11.) 22 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Fairborne, Commander of H.M. Victory, Downs, to remain in the Downs, notwithstanding at former orders, and to take command of all ships there, and those arriving from Spithead and Plymouth, and keep them at the Downs in a constant posture of defence, manning the out of the merchant ships in the Downs to their highest corplements; and he is also, in case a Flag Officer does not time arrive, to keep them in constant readiness for sea service, at to send immediately two proper ships to cruise to the westwart ogive him notice of any ships of the enemy they may discove so as to prevent any surprise. Signed E. Russell, H. Preistmannia.

R. Austen, R. Rich. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(12.) 22 Feb. 1695-6, to the Commander-in-Chief at Spither Notwithstanding any former orders, he is immediately to can all men of war at St. Helens or Spithead to be manned to the highest complements out of the merchant ships there, and at to take as many men out of the latter as the men of war conveniently receive without thereby disabling the merchant ships from riding in safety at Spithead or going into Portsmon harbour, and he is to proceed with the men of war to Downs, tiding it if the wind be not fair, and place himself unthe orders of the Commander-in-Chief there; and he is likew to admonish all merchant ships at Spithead or St. Helens repair into Portsmouth harbour, for their better security frany attempts by the enemy. Signed H. Priestman, R. Aust R. Rich. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(13.) 22 Feb. 1695-6, to Geo. St. Loe, Esqre, Commissioner the Navy at Plymouth, to order all men of war there, whet under orders for foreign convoys or not, that are ready for to proceed at once to the Downs; and the like orders as preceding Order are given as to manning them out of merch ships. Signed and countersigned as in No. (11) above.

(14.) 22 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Price, Commander of H.N. Centurion, Yarmouth, to proceed to the Downs. Signed

countersigned as in No. (11) above.

(15.) 24 Feb. 1695-6, to the Chief Flag Officer in the R Medway, or to the Commander-in-Chief there or at the Nore the time being, to use the utmost diligence to hasten departure for the Nore, and thence for the Downs, of the siftting out at Chatham, and to take especial care that the that shall be from time to time at Blackstakes or at the should, before they sail, be in a condition to make the defence that is possible. Signed and countersigned as No. (12) above.

16.) 26 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Munden, Commander of H.M.S. Albemarle, Chatham, to repair on board one of his Majesty's ships at Blackstakes, and take chief command of all the ships in the Medway and at the Nore, causing those ships which are in the greatest readiness to be manned from the others and provisioned for sea, and hastening them to the Downs to join Admiral Russell there; and to report daily his proceedings and what ships arrive at Blackstakes and sail from thence to the Downs. Signed as in No. (12). Countersigned W Bridgeman.

W. Bridgeman.
(17.) 26 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Aston, H.M. Master Attendant on

Float. Whereas the following vessels, viz.:-

Victory, Bonadventure, hired, Devonshire, Elizabeth,

Defiance. Loyal Merchant,

Woolwich, Berwick,
Colchester, Monk,
Newcastle, Mary,
Captain, Dreadnought,

Montague. Kent,
Royal Oak, Northumberland,
Hampton Court, Southampton,

are now in the Downs, Capt. Aston is to make strict enquiry what tenders are now in the River Thames belonging to them, and order them without delay to the Downs with their pressgangs and what men they have, without staying for any others.

Not signed or countersigned.
(18.) 26 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Foulkes, Commander of H.M.S. Resolution, Portsmouth, to repair with his ship to Spithead and take chief command of all H.M. ships there and in Portsmouth harbour, getting those in the harbour out to Spithead and thence to the Downs, in same manner as ordered in No. (16)

above, mutatis mutandis. Signed and countersigned as in

No. (12) above.
(19.) 27 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Simon Foulkes, Commander-in-Chief at Spithead and Portsmouth, to take all imaginable care to defend the merchant ships at Spithead and thereabouts, and to hasten the fitting out of the King's ships in Portsmouth harbour. manning them from the merchant ships at Spithead, St. Helens, Cowes, or other places, as ordered in No. (12) above; and he is immediately to order all merchant ships at repair into Portsmouth harbour, to prevent any danger from the enemy. Signed and countersigned as in No. (12) above.

the enemy. Signed and countersigned as in No. (12) above. (20.) 27 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. John Munden, Commander of H.M. ships in the Medway and at the Nore, to repair aboard II.M.S. London, at the Nore, where Orders sent to him from the Admiralty may be sooner despatched than at Blackstakes; but he is frequently to repair to Blackstakes and up the Medway to forward the ships fitting out there, manning them from merchant ships, as directed in preceding Orders. Signed II. Preistman, R. Austen, J. Houblon. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(21.) 28 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Foulkes, Commander in-Chief at Spithcad and Portsmouth harbour, to cause the Kent and Dreadnought, if at Spithcad and in want of men, to be manned out of merchant ships at Spithcad, St. Helens, Cowes or there-

1696.

No. 1082.

1 6 9 6. No. 1082. abouts, or the men of war in Portsmouth harbour, and the proceed to the Downs. Signed H. Preistman, R. Auster

R. Rich, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(22.) 28 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. John Munden, Commanders Chief at the Nore and the River Medway, on consideration his letter of 27 Feb., ordering him to man the ships at the Nor and those coming from the Thames and Medway, to their midd complement, and send them to the Downs; and also to hoist distinction pendant on board the ship where he shall be fro time to time. Signed H. Preistman, R. Austen, R. Rie

J. Houblon. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(23.) 1 March 1695-6, to Capt. Foulkes, Commander of II.M. Resolution, and Commander-in-Chief at Spithead n Portsmouth, to make his ship ready for sea and process at once to the Downs, together with the Owner's Lo fireship, if not already despatched thither; and whereas it now intended that the great ships at Spithead and Portsmou should be first despatched, there being not so great occasi for small ships with the Fleet, he is to take carefthat the Rates most nearly ready, as also the Dover, be many out of those in harbour that are backwardest, or any of small frigates there or at Spithead, and specially out of Lenox and Experiment, and hastened to the Downs as so as possible; and he is to take what men can possibly spared from the merchant ships at Cowes, he having stated his letter of yesterday that he had not taken any yet. He likewise to despatch the Firebrand fireship to the Dow as soon as possible, and when he himself sails he is to le Capt. Dilke, Commander of H.M.S. Cumberland, in co mand at Spithead and Portsmouth harbour, with orders to s on the 3rd Rates to the Downs as soon as ready, with staying for one another. Signed H. Preistman, R. Ansi J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

(24.) 2 March 1695-6, to Rt. Honble. Edward Russell, Adm and Capt. General of H.M. Navy and Fleet, as follows: pursuance of his Majesty's pleasure, signified to this Boan our attending him last night at Kensington, you are her required and directed, when wind and weather shall oblige to come from the French coest with the fleet under y command, to leave such a strength there as you shall jusufficient to prevent those French men of war getting our Flemish Road which by your letter of the 28th of last mo you acquaint us were there, as also to keep in the transs ships which you give us an account are at Calais, and protect those which are coming from Holland hither y troops from any insults the enemy may otherwise attemp make on them. Signed H. Preistman, R. Rich, J. Kein

Countersigned J. Burchett.

(25.) 2 March 1695-6, to same, to send the following ships:-

Coronation, Ruby prize, Mermaid, Milford, Prince George, Smyrna Factor, Unity, Dunwich,
Solebay,
Wren, pink,
Martin,
Quaker,
Roc,
Joseph, hired,

ketch

and also the Assistance, if she is not yet ready for sea, to the Nore, they taking with them as many men out of the merchant ships in the Downs as can be spared, and also as many men above the middle complements of the men of war there as they can; and these, together with the crews of the aforesaid ships, are to be used to man the great ships fitting out at the Nore, his Majesty having signified his pleasure that the great ships which are fitting out shall be manned out of such of the smaller as are of least use. And as it is necessary that some clean ships should be sent to cruise in the Soundings as soon as may be, Admiral Russell, as soon as the Resolution, Edgar and Cumberland, or any two of them, shall join him, is to order the Anglesey, Portland, Dover, and Mary galley to the Soundings, to cruise there, calling for the Dover at Spithead and the Foresight at Plymouth. The Resolution and Cumberland are now at Spithead, ready to proceed to him, and the Edgar is ordered to join him as soon as she has convoyed the transports with which she sailed from the Nore safe into the Goree. In case none of the Commanders of the ships ordered to the Soundings are fit to take the command in chief of them, Admiral Russell is to appoint the most proper person to that charge. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).

26.) 2 March 1695-6, to Capt. Munden, Commander of H.M.S. Albemarle, and Commander-in-Chief in the River of Thames and the Nore, to man any fireships, bomb vessels, or brigantines that may come to the Nore out of the great ships there, and send them at once to join the Fleet at the Downs, and, if Admiral Russell is not there, to go over to the coast of France and look for the Fleet between Calais and Dunkirk. Signed

and countersigned as in No. (24).

(27.) 3 March 1695-6, to Admiral Russell. The Dover and Foresight having been ordered, as soon as ready, to proceed and cruise from ten to twenty leagues westward of Scilly, between the westward and the south west, and to look out for the fleet coming from the Straits under Sir George Rooke, and give them an account of the bearings of the land, the ships ordered to the Soundings are to proceed directly thither, and join the Dover and Foresight, if there, and then cruise in such other station and for so long a time as Admiral Russell shall think the most proper for protecting the trade expected home, carefully looking out for Sir George Rooke, to give him an account of the bearings of the land, and the inclosed Orders. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).

(28.) 3 March 1695-6, to Colonel Jacob Richards, to take charge

of the following bomb vessels:—

Comet, Furnace,
Blast, Thunder,
Serpent, Basilisk,
Carcase, Terror,
Granada,

ordered to be immediately fitted out and sent to Admiral Russell, use his utmost diligence in the despatch of them, and sail to-morrow, if possible, with four or more of them to the Nore, and thence, so soon as the Commander-in-Chief shall 'ave named them, to the Fleet under Admiral Russell; and he is to apply to Capt. Aston, Master Attendant on Float, to

1 6 9 6. No. 1082. whom orders have been given to supply him with men from the yards and ships in ordinary in the River to carry the bon vessels to the Norc. Signed and countersigned as in N

(23.) 3 March 1695-6, to Capt. Simon Foulkes, Commander the Resolution and Commander-in-Chief at Spithcad at Portsmouth, to repair at once with his ship to the Downs, at thence to the fleet under Admiral Russell; and he is to lear Capt. Dilkes, Commander of the Cumberland, to execute the orders not yet executed, with instructions to follow to the Downs and the Fleet as soon as his ship is ready, leaving Cap Fowlis, Commander of the Restoration, to execute the order then left unexecuted. But the Cumberland is to precede Cap Foulkes if ready first. Signed H. Preistman, R. Rich,

Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

(30.) 3 March 1695-6, to Capt. Crosse, Commander of H.M. Dover, at Spithead, to proceed, as soon as ready, and crui from ten to twenty leagues westward of Scilly, between twest and the south west, look out for the fleet from twest and the south west, look out for the fleet from the land bears, and deliver him the enclosed Orders. He then to cruise in the said station till joined by the Foresign and some men of war to be sent thither by Admiral Russ and then to put himself under the orders of their Command in-Chief. During his cruising, he is carefully to look out, and, discovering any number of the enemy's ships, to repair in port and send an express to the Admiralty, remaining the till further order. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).

(31.) The like Order at the same time to Capt. Walker, of Foresight, at Plymouth.

(32.) 3 March 1695-6, to Capt. Munden, Commander-in-Chat Blackstakes and the Nore, to man immediately, and so off to the Downs, any bomb vessels now fitting out in River of Thames which shall arrive at the Nore. Signed countersigned as in No. (24).

(33.) 3 March 1695-6, to the respective Lieutenants or Cl Officers commanding the tenders belonging to H.M. seven ships, to sail with such men as they have and join the respective ships by the 15th of the month. Signed

in No. (24). Countersigned J. Bridgeman.

(34.) 3 March 1695-6, to Sir George Rooke, Knt., Admiral Commander-in-Chief of the fleet in the Mediterranean, to all his ships in need of refitting or repairing, and also al 50 guns and downwards, to Spithead, to put themselves in best posture to resist the enemy; and he is to repair with the rest to the Downs, and remain there till further ord Signed and countersigned as in No. (24). Four duplic were sent, one by the Foresight and one by the Dover the Soundings, the third to Plymouth, and the last Dartmouth.

(35.) 3 March 1695-6, to Admiral Russell, as follows:—When orders are already given to the Commanders of the sevice ships and vessels mentioned in the annexed list to put the selves under your command, you are hereby required directed to take such of them as are now with you under your mand accordingly, as also the remainder, so soon as the series of the series of

1696.

shall join you, and all such other ships and vessels as shall from time to time be ordered to you, and with the said ships and vessels (except such as by our late Orders you are directed to No. 1082. send to the Downs, the Buoy of the Nore, and into the Soundings), together with the Dutch ships which now are with you, or shall join you, you are to use your utmost endeavours to annoy the enemy and protect his Majesty's subjeets and his allies; and upon all occasions which shall offer, and according to the intelligence which you shall receive (without expecting particular orders, by which opportunity of service may be lost), you are to endeavour to take or destroy any of his Majesty's enemies, either by sea or land, or any of their ships and goods. You are to give it in strict charge unto all the commanders and officers of his Majesty's ships under your command, that upon their seizing any prize, they take care that the hatches be immediately spiked up, and all the goods and merchandize belonging to her, as also the ship's tackle, apparel and furniture, preserved from spoil or embezzlement, and the same sent unto the next convenient port in England, and delivered to the person appointed there by the Commissioners for Prizes to receive and take care of prizes, and likewise that they cause all charter-parties, bills of lading and other papers found aboard to be carefully scaled up, and sent to the Judge of the High Court of Admiralty at Doctor's Commons. You are to send us frequent accounts of your proceedings in the execution of these and such other Orders as you shall receive, and also of the stations and motions from time to time of the Fleet under your command, together with copies of the results of all Councils of War, and also an account of such intelligence as you shall receive concerning the enemy's Fleet, and all other occurrences. Dated, &c. 3rd March 1695-6. Signed H. Preistman, R. Austeu, R. Rich. Countersigned J. Burchett.

A List of his Majesty's ships mentioned in the above said Order,

Rate.

1. Berwick. Burford. Captain. Cumberland. Defiance. Devonshire. Dreadnought. Edgar. Elizabeth. Expedition. Hampton Court. Kent. Mary. Monk. Monmouth. Montaque. Nortolk. Northumberland.

Resolution.

Royal Oak.

Suffolk.

Advice. 4. Anglesey. Assistance. Bonaventure, hired. Burlington. Centurion. Colchester. Coronation. Kingfisher. Lincoln. Lichfield. Loyal Merchant. Norwich. Portland. Princess Anne. Severn. Southampton. Tiger. Windsor. Woolwich. 5. Arundel. Mary, galley.

Rate. Rate. Charlotte. yachts. Henrietta. Mermaid. 5. Milford. Pearl. Crescent. Prince George. Firebrand. Ruby prize. Fortune. Unity. Griffin. Virgin prize. Hawk. 6. Greyhound. Joseph. Jersey. Lightning. Quaker, ketch. Owner's Love. Despatch, brigantines. St. Paul. St. Vincent. Jolly prize. Vulture. Lark. Blast. Intelligence, brigantine. Carcase. Portsmouth prize. Furnace. Solebay. Granada. Postboy, Shark, brigantines. Serpent. Comet. Swift, Thunder.

(36.) 6 March 1695-6, to Sir George Rooke, to bring to the Dovall the bomb vessels, fireships, and brigantines, notwithstand the previous orders to leave at Spithead all ships in want repairs, and all from 50 guns downwards. Signed H. Preman, R. Austen, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

Basilisk.

Terror.

(37.) 7 March 1695-6, to Capt. Munden, Commander of H.M. Albemarle, and Commander-in-Chief of the ships in the Ri Medway and at the Nore, on board the London, to transfrom the vessels ordered to the Nore (by No. 25 above) all useful men, except Commission and Warrant Officers, to great ships fitting out in the Medway, taking care that inferior officers turned over are provided for in the best man The Quaker ketch is omitted from the list given in Order. Signed H. Preistman, R. Austen, R. Rich, J. Kend

Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

Wren, pink.

Martin, ketch.

(38.) 9 March 1695-6, to the Commander-in-Chief of H.M. sh at Spithead and Portsmouth for the time being. Wher Captains Foulkes, Shovell, Main, and Lloyd are ordered to tow attend the Admiralty Board, the officers commanding the Relution, Expedition, Cumberland, Owner's Love fires and Orford, are to be ordered to remain at Spithead, constant readiness for sea, till further order; and all vestitting out in Portsmouth harbour, particularly the 3rd Ra are to be expedited, and sent out to Spithead as soon as rea where they are to remain, in readiness for sea. Signed countersigned as in No. (29).

(39.) 9 March 1695-6, to Capt. Crosse, Commander of H.M. Dover. Whereas the Foresight and Anglesey have be ordered to join him, he is to order one of them to cruise from 30 to 60 or 80 leagues west of Scilly, and he is to give commander the same orders he has himself, to look out Sir Geo: Rooke. Signed and countersigned as in No. (29)

(40.) 9 March 1695-6, to Capt. Walker, Commander of H.M. Foresight, Downs, similar to Nos. (30) and (31), but omit

the latter part as to the enemy's ships. On meeting the Dorer, he is to place himself under the orders of her commander. Signed and countersigned as in No. (29). Duplicates sent to Torbay and Spithead.

1696.

- No. 1082. 41.) 10 March 1695-6, to Capt. Munden, Commander in-Chief at
- the Nore and the River Medway, to see that no more threedeeked ships in the Medway proceed to the Nore, but that they should repair to Blackstakes to take in their guns, stores, and provisions; and the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd Rates at the Nore and the 3rd Rates at Blackstakes are to be manned out of the ships at Blackstakes and Chatham, and with other men to be sent to him. He is also to cease manning any more fireships or bomb vessels out of the great ships, but to order their commanders to use their utmost diligence to get their ships manned and proceed to the Downs. Signed H. Preistman, R. Austen, J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.
- 42.) il March 1695-6, to same, to cause such bomb vessels, lately fitted out in the river to join the Fleet, as may be obliged to return by bad weather, to be furnished with whatever they may require to enable them to proceed at once. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).
- (43.) 15 March 1695-6, to same, aboard the London, Nore, to order the London, Duchess, Sandwich, Burford, Suffolk, and Monmouth, to join the Commander-in-Chief at the Downs. with tenders to buoy the sands for their safer proceeding Signed E. Russell, H. Preistman, J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.
- (44.) 16 March 1695-6, to the Chief Flag Officer at the Downs. Whereas the following ships-

Norwich	-	_	-	_	7 <u></u>	197	men.
Burlington	-	~	**		-	197	22
Colchester		-	-	-	-	197	99
Southampto	111	-	-	-	-	197	22
Newcastle	-	-	-	-	-	236	99
Woolwich	-	-	-	-	-	236	22
Prince of	ran	ge -		-	-	180	22
Virgin priz		=	-	-		115	22
Jersey -		-	-	_	-	110	22
Hawk, fires	hip			-	-	45	22
Griffin, fire			-	-	-	45	77

were designed for foreign convoys, he is to order them to be manned out of each other up to their middle complements, marked against each above, and send the surplus men by a small frigate or tender to Capt. Munden at the Nore, taking care that the men sent shall be those last pressed. Signed and countersigned as in preceding Order.

(45.) 17 March 1695-6, to Mathew Aylmer, Esq., Vice-Admiral of the Blue, Downs. Whereas the Board are informed by Lord Berkeley that the bomb vessels in the Downs have been inspected by Capt. Benbow, the latter is to proceed to the French coast, to Sir Clou: Shovell, with those that are serviceable, and the rest are to be ordered to the Buoy of the Nore. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).

- (46.) 18 March 1695-6, to Capt. Aston, Master Attendar Float, to hasten down to the hope the several ships in the R Thames which are come out of the Docks, that the preventerly wind may not be lost. Signed H. Preistman, R. & J. Houblon, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.
- (47.) 20 March 1695-6, to Sir Cloud: Shovell, Knt. Vice-Adn of the Red. "In pursuance of His Majesty's pleasure sign to this Board, you are hereby required and directed to k such a number of the ships under your command as you s think proper to lie off Dunkirk, and then you are to repair the remainder of the ships and vessels to the Downs. having received some account from Capt. Stephens, Comman of the Solebay, touching Capt. Kerr's not weighing on French coast and following some of the enemy's privateers, send you herewith a copy of the said account, and do her direct and require you to make strict enquiry into the matter fact, and give an account thereof to this Board." Signed countersigned as in No. (24).
- (48.) 24 March 1695-6, to Sir George Rooke, Knt., Admiral Commander-in-Chief of H. M. ships in the Mediterrand St. Helens. In spite of Order No. (36) above, he is to be to the Downs all the 4th Rates, fireships, bomb vessels, brigantines to join the Fleet there, leaving the 5th and 6th a frigates at Spithead. Signed and countersigned as in No. (5) Duplicate sent to Plymouth.
- (49.) 30 March 1696, to Sir Cloud: Shovell, Knt., Vice Adm of the Red, Downs. "Whereas your late letter, wherein give an account that you have brought the bomb vessels for the French coast into the Downs, has been communicated to King, and his Majesty being dissatisfied that they have been made use of (as was intended) towards the burning town of Calais and the transport ships there, you are there! hereby required and directed, in pursuance of his Majest pleasure signified to us last night, forthwith to return with the said bomb vessels to the coast of France, and make much use of them as 'tis possible towards the burning aforementioned town and transport ships and vessels; and are to take with you such of the small frigates in the Dov as you shall think may be necessary for the more effect performance of the aforesaid service, giving us an according time to time of what you do herein," Signed: countersigned as in No. (24).
- (50.) 31 March 1696, to the Commander-in-Chief at Blackstal for the time being, to send the great ships to the Nore as as ready, and thence to the Downs as soon as manuwithout loss of time. Signed and countersigned as No. (12).
- (51.) 3 April 1696, to Capt. Aston, H. M. Master Attendant Float, to hasten the despatch of the following five bomb vess-

Granada Blast Carcase Furnace Terror

now at Woolwich having their mortars fitted to traverse, send them to the Buoy of the Nore without delay. Sign

R. Rich, R. Austen, J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridge-

1696. No. 1082.

(52.) 7 April 1696, to Sir Clo: Shovell, Knt., Vice Admiral of the Red, Downs. "Whereas Capt. Wivell, Commander of his Majesty's ship the Kent, has, by his letter of the 26th of last month, represented to the Duke of Shrewsbury that he has not a sufficient number of ships to keep the ships and vessels in which are at Calais and Dunkirk, and his Grace having thereupon, by his letter of this day's date, signified his Majesty's pleasure to this Board to give such orders as we shall judge fit, not only for preventing any ships coming out of Calais, but likewise of Dunkirk, and have regard to the east as well as the west channel belonging to that place, you are therefore hereby required and directed, in ease you shall think that Capt. Wivell has not a sufficient strength to perform this service, immediately to send such of his Majesty's ships in the Downs to him as you shall judge requisite on this occasion, and you are further directed, in case you shall think the service may be better performed by another officer, to appoint some other fitting person to command that squadron instead of the said Capt. Wivell, giving us an account of your proceedings herein." Dated 7 April 1696. Signed II. Priestman. R. Austen, R. Rich. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

(53.) 9 April 1696, to Capt. Gother, Commander of the Royal Katherine, Blackstakes. Whereas about 400 soldiers, sent with some great ships from the Nore to the Downs, are now returning to the Nore, he is to put as many of them as necessary on board the great ships at Blackstakes and the Content, if she comes in time to the Nore, to sail them to the Downs, landing the rest wherever their officers shall desire, and, when the ships return from Holland, he is to restore to the Trident the men taken from her to enable those ships to sail. Signed

and countersigned as in No. (9).

(54.) 10 April 1696, to Sir Geo. Rooke, Knt., Admiral and Commander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet coming from the Mediterranean, Spithead, to remain at Spithead with all his ships, till further order. Signed E. Russell, R. Rich, J. Kendall. Countersigned

J. Burchett.

(55.) 10 April 1696, to Sir Clou: Shovell, Kut., Vice Admiral of the Red Squadron, Downs, to do what he thinks best as to complying with the frequent applications made by captains to have returned to them their men turned over or pressed into other ships, but so that no ship be disabled, as several ships would be almost wholly unmanned if all men belonging to other ships were returned; and he is to keep the ships in readiness to proceed to Spithead, for which he will probably receive orders

tomorrow. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).

(56.) 11 April 1696, to same, Downs. In pursuance of his Majesty's pleasure, signified to the Board by the Duke of Shrewsbury, he is, with the ships mentioned below, to make the best of his way to Spithead, and remain there till further order; and he is to take with him the Dutch men of war ordered to sail with him, together with several ships in the Downs laden with provisions and stores, and all vessels there bound on foreign voyages. Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgemau.

1696.

No. 1082.

Ships to proceed to Spithead with Sir C. Shovell :-

1. Victory. Elizabeth. Severn. London. Expedition. Britannia. Hampton Court. Kingfisher. St. Andrew. Kent. 2. Sandwich. Lion. Southampte Duchess. Montague. P. of Orang Windsor. Albemarle. Norfolk. St. Michael. Northumberland. Newcastle. Ossory. Resolution. Tiger. Royal Katherine. Restoration. Princess An 3. Berwick. Royal Oak. Loyal Mer-Burford. Suffolk. chant. Captain. Stirling Castle. 6. Greyhound. Cumberland. 4. Burlington. Lark. Content. Lincoln. Defiance. Lichfield; All the Fireships Devonshire. Norwich. and Brigantines.

(57.) 13 April 1696, to same, Downs. Several of the shimentioned in preceding Order being on the coast of France, is to send them orders to join him immediately, or follohim to Spithead; but the *Lincoln* is to remain in the Down to follow such orders as the Board shall send her commande and the *Portsmouth prize* is to place herself under his order being sent for from the French coast, if there. Signed as

Portland.

countersigned as in No. (29).

Edgar.

(58.) 13 April 1696, to the Commander-in-Chief at the Nore an in the River Medway, to order the Britannia, St. Andrew an Royal Katherine to the Buoy of the Nore, there to await order The Chatham and Bideford, now at the Buoy of the Norbeing designed for immediate service, are to be manned out the three deck ships, the former to her middle complement 197 (after returning to the Trident prize the men lately ha from her) and the latter to 90, inclusive of the men she ha already; and they are then to make the best of their way the Downs and await further orders. The Solebay also is to join the Fleet at the Downs, or follow it to Spithead. Signal as in No. (29). Not countersigned.

(59.) 14 April 1696, to Capt. Johnson, Commander of H.M. Suffolk, Downs. Whereas his ship, together with the follow

ing :-

Monk, Chatham, Woolwich, Deptford, Portsmouth, Mary, galley, or Pearl, Bideford,

has been appointed to lie off Dunkirk to keep the enemy's shi in, he is to take under his command so many of the above namships as are at the Downs, and join five Dutch men of war the coast of Flanders, viz., two of 60, two of 50, and one of guns. And whereas the Board are informed that Mousr. Dubis going out of Dunkirk with seven men of war, to cruise withem northward, Capt. Johnson is to use his best endeavout to prevent their getting out at either the East or the Wichannel, and when all the above named ships have joined him.

he is to take command and employ them on this service. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).

1696. No. 1082.

- b.) 14 April 1696, to Sir Cloud: Shovell, Knt., Vice-Admiral of the Red, Spithead, to order Capt. Johnson, of the Suffolk, if the wind be easterly, to repair to the Downs and cross to the coast of Flanders and cruise off Dunkirk, as directed in the Orders hereby sent, and he is to cross thither either in the Monmouth or in any other ship in the Downs named in preceding Order, remaining on board till the Suffolk shall arrive, when he is to send the Monmouth to Spithead, and the Suffolk is to be ordered off Dunkirk as soon as possible; but, if the wind be westerly, he is to take the Suffolk to the French coast and carry out his orders, sending the Monmouth to Spithead. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).
- 1.) 14 April 1696, to same, Downs. In carrying out Order No. (57), he is not to take with him any of the ships mentioned in No. (59), which are appointed to lie off Dunkirk, and some of which have already sailed thither from the Downs; and if Capt. Johnson, of the Suffolk, shall be off Dunkirk, a ship is to be appointed to carry the enclosed Orders to him (see No. 59), and to order the Monmouth to join Sir Cloudesley at Spithead. Signed and countersigned as in No. (24).
- 2.) 15 April 1696, to same, Downs, to remain in the Downs, with all his ships, English and Dutch, till further order. Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Rich. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.
- 3.) 18 April 1696, to the Commander-in-Chief at the Buoy of the Nore, to sail at once with the *Britannia*, St. Andrew, and Royal Katherine to join the Fleet at the Downs, taking with him the Joseph and St. Paul fireships, if ready, and the Martin and Roe ketches, to buoy the sands, together with other tenders, for his safer proceeding to the Downs. Signed and countersigned as in No. (29).

4.) 24 April 1696, to Sir Geo: Rooke, Knt., Admiral and Commander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet coming from the Mediterranean, Spithead, to take, for the service of the Fleet, as many men as he can out of the merchant ships that came with him to Spithead. Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Austen,

J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

5.) 25 April 1696, Sir Cloud: Shovell, Knt., Vice-Admiral of the Red, or to the Chief Flag Officer in the Downs, to select three third rates, two fourth rates and two fireships from those in the Downs, provided they are included in the enclosed list, or have returned with Sir Geo: Rooke and are to be joined to the line of battle, and send them to the Soundings, to cruise till 15th May in any station from 10 to 15 leagues from Scilly, or farther if necessary, to protect the trade expected home and annoy the enemy; and their Commander-in-Chief is to look out for a fleet of twenty-two sail from Barbadoes and two from the East Indies, and see them safely into some English port. But, if he meets with the whole fleet, his whole squadron is to proceed with it to Spithead. He is also to take command of all ships which now are or shall be ordered into the Soundings, and to avoid being intercepted by the Toulon squadron, which will probably come into these seas with a considerable fleet of

merchant ships; and he is to take or destroy any of the enterchant ships he may meet. Signed E. Russell, H. Pries J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

Rate.

ű.

6.

A List of H. M. Ships appointed for the Main Fleet:

Rate.	
1.	Britannia.
	London.
	Victory.
	St. Andrew.
2.	Albemarle.
	Duchess.
	Sandwich.
	Ossory.
	St. Michael.
	Royal Katherine.
3.	Berwick.
	Burford.
	Captain.
	Cumberland.
	Content prize.
	Defiance. Devonshire.
	Edgar.
	Elizabeth.
	Expedition.
	Hampton Court.
	Kent.
	Lion.
	Montague.
	Norfolk.
	Northumberland.
	Resolution.
	Restoration.
	Royal Oak.
	Stirling Castle.
	Dunkirk.
	Monmouth.
4.	Lichfield.

Severn.
Portland.

Despatch. Swift. Discovery. Fly. Intelligence. Shark. Post-boy. Blast. Carcase. Comet. Furnace. Granada. Serpent. . Terror. Basilisk. Crescent. Firebrand. Fortune. Griffin. Owner's Love. St. Vincent.

Mary, galley.

Experiment. Lark.

Greyhound.

London Merchant. }s

Joseph. St. Paul.

(66.) 25 April 1696, to same, to order the following:—

Trident,
Lincoln,
Burlington,
Sunderland,
Ruby,

(66.) 25 April 1696, to same, to order the following:—
Hind, piuk,
Jolly prize,
Portsmouth prize,
Dunwich,

now in the Downs or within reach, to the Nore, there further orders, the *Sunderland* having first been me her middle complement, if in the Downs, or, if not, he men sent to her to the Nore by the ships bound thither, and *countersigned* as in preceding.

(67.) 25 April 1696, to same, in pursuance of his J pleasure, to proceed to Spithead with all the ships no

bwns, except those named in preceding Order, which are signed to convoy the King to Holland, and he is to take all tward-bound merchant ships and their convoys along with n; but, in case Sir Geo: Rooke shall be in the Downs with squadron returned with him from the Straits, any of his ips not fit for sea are to be ordered forthwith to the Buoy of Nore. Signed as in No. (65). Countersigned J. Burchett.

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

26 April 1696, to the Chief Flag Officer in the Downs, to ler the *Elizabeth* to the Buoy of the Nore, there to await ther orders, and to take along with him to Spithead the *inderland*, if in the Downs, or, if not, to send her the 50 n ordered in No. (66) to the Nore, with orders to follow him Spithead. *Signed* E. Russell, H. Priestman, J. Houblon, Kendall. *Countersigned* W. Bridgeman.

27 April 1696, to Sir Geo: Rooke, Knt., Admiral and mmander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet for the present expedition. Whereas by a late letter received from you, you have informed that there will probably come into these seas with the ulon squadron, a considerable fleet of the enemy's merchant ips, and that if a squadron of men of war are appointed to lie Forne-head, or thereabouts, it is likely they may intercept m, you are therefore hereby required and directed forthth to appoint such a squadron of ships out of those of the e of battle as you shall think fit, to proceed and cruise on ch station as you shall judge most proper for intercepting aforementioned fleet of the enemy's; and when you shall lestward with the fleet, you are to call them off and take em with you. And whereas there is suddenly expected home lect of merchant ships from Barbadoes, and two rich ships m the East Indies, you are to order the commander of the resaid squadrou carefully to look out, that in case any of m have fallen into the enemy's hands, and they should end to bring them into any port near the station where he tises, he may intercept and retake them in their passage." itted 27 April 1696. Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Isten, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

27 April 1696, to Sir Geo: Rooke, Knt., Admiral and Commander-in-Chief of H. M. Fleet in the Downs, to leave all bomb vessels at the Downs, when he sails thence for ithead. Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Austen, R. Rich, Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

27 April 1696, to same. As any of his ships not fit for sea to be ordered to the Buoy of the Nore (see No. 67), and as the is an absolute necessity of manning some fourth rates and the term of the sit of the Bowns which is in the worst condition (and, if the precessivity has not turned out well, she may be selected) sail to the Buoy of the Nore, and remain there, with all her impany on board, till further orders. And as the Scarlough, at Portsmouth, is to form part of his fleet, he is to see care to man her when he arrives at Spithead. Signed in No. (69). Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

- (72.) 27 April 1696, to same, to have the *Dreadnought* and at Portsmouth, now newly cleaned and refitted, manned, arrival at Spithead, by spare men from every ship, the service of those ships may not be lost while they are and, when he goes to sea with the fleet from Spithead, to leave behind the *Hawk* fireship, appointed to accompany Virginia convoy. Signed and countersigned as in No. (76)
- (73.) 27 April 1696, to same, to order Capt. Warren, o Windsor, to proceed to Spithead with his ship and the fisher, Tiger, Advice, and Vulture fireship, which are app to convoy the ships bound to the East Indies; and, in ca wind should hang out of the way, he is to tide it thither complete, as soon as possible, their provisions and stores first proportion ordered them for the aforesaid voyage, fireships, other than the Vulture and Hawk, are to be to join Capt. Johnson's squadron off Dunkirk. Signal countersigned as in No. (70).
- (74.) 27 April 1696, to same, to order commanders of et to look out for, and seize and bring into port, four of Scotch ships under Scotch colours, which the master Ostender lately arrived from Bordeaux reported he has there on the 24th December, N.S., laden with provision copperas, which he saw cleared at the Toll Vessel in the cf Bordeaux. Signed and countersigned as in No. (29).
- (75.) 28 April 1696, to same, Commander-in-Chief of Fleet for the present expedition, Downs, to order the squappointed for the Soundings by No. (65) to use the diligence in getting thither by tiding it if necessary. E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Rich, J. Kendall. Counters J. Burchett.
- (76.) 29 April 1696, to same, Commander-in-Chief of Fleet, Downs. "Whereas the several ships and mentioned in the list hereunto annexed are appointed under your command for this present expedition, you therefore hereby required and directed, in pursuance Majesty's pleasure signified to us, forthwith to take them your command, and, with the first opportunity of win weather, proceed with them and lie in such station or s as you shall think most proper for preventing the squadron and the fleet of merchant ships expected in tho getting into any port of France. You are to use yo endeavours to gain intelligence of the enemy's proceeding the aforesaid squadron, and thereupon to remove from time to such stations as you shall judge most confor the effectual performance of this service; and meeting with the aforesaid men of war or merchant ships enemy, or your being assured that they are in any port of where they may be attacked, you are to use your endeavours to take, sink, burn, or otherwise destroy the upon your receiving certain advice they have got into you are to return with the fleet under your command to and remain there until further orders, taking care to

yourself, during your being at sea, what preparation the enemies are making in the fitting out their men of war at the several ports in the ocean. And in case any of the ships of the fleet shall be in want of provisions necessary for the service wherein they are ordered, you are to take the victualling ships with you, or as many of them as you shall think necessary, leaving the remainder at Spithead. You are also forthwith to cause two third-rates more, such as you shall think most proper, to be added to the squadron which is going from Portsmouth to cruise in the Soundings. And whereas there will be about 850 men wanting to man the third-rates and small frigates at Portsmouth, you are to leave at that place such of the ships under your command which are the most defective as you shall find sufficient to furnish the said number of men; and take particular care that the ships directed by our Order of the 27th instant for convoys to the Canarys, Portugal, and Bilboa be left at Spithead. And, in case you shall think that the bomb vessels may be of service in this expedition, you are to take all or so many of them with you as you shall think necessary. You are to give it in strict charge to all the commanders and officers of his Majesty's ships under your command, that upon their seizing of any prize they take care that the hatches be immediately spiked up, and all the goods and merchandize belonging to her, as also the ship's tackle, apparel, and furniture, preserved from spoil and embezzlement, and the same sent into the next convenient port in England, and delivered to the persons appointed there by the Commissioners of Prizes to receive and take care of prizes; and likewise that they cause all charterparties, bills of lading, and other papers found on board, to be carefully sealed up and sent to the Judge of the High Court of Admiralty at Doctors' Commons. And whereas his Majesty has signified to us his pleasure that the squadron now off Dunkirk shall be strengthened, the better to keep the enemy from getting out of that port, you are to send thither a ship of 60 guns and two brigantines, and direct their commanders to follow the orders of Capt. Johnson, Commander of the Suffolk. During your being at sea, you are to use your best endeavours to annoy the enemy and protect his Majesty's subjects and those of his Allies; and you are, upon all occasions which shall offer, and according to the intelligence which you shall receive (without expecting particular orders, by which opportunities of service may be lost) to endeavour to take or destroy [Margin. Duplicate sent to Spithead] any of his Majesty's enemies, either by sea or land, or any of their ships or goods, and to secure to the best of your power the trade passing out of and into the Channel. You are to give us frequent accounts of your proceedings in the execution of these and all such other Orders as you shall receive, and also of the stations and motions from time to time of the fleet under your command, together with copies of the results of all Councils of War, and also an account of such intelligence as you shall receive concerning the enemy's fleet, and of all other occurrences. And you are likewise to give an account of your proceedings to his Majesty's principal Secretary of State." Dated, &c., 29 April 1696. igned H. Priestman, R. Rich, J. Kendall Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

April 26. A List of his Majesty's ships appointed for the Fleet :-

1. Rates.

Britannia. Queen. Victory. London.

3. Rates.

Cornwall. Shrewsbury. Ipswich. Ĥumber. Breda.

Bomb Vessel

Furnace. Granada. Serpent. Terror. Basilisk. Fire-drake. Mortar. Portsmouth. Kitchen. Salamander Owner's Low Society. Star.

Royal William. St. Andrew.

2. Rates.

Neptune.

Duchess.

Ossory. St. Michael. Royal Katherine.

Sandwich.

Vanguard. Albemarle. 4. Rates.

Norwich. Severn. Sunderland. Portland. Pembroke. Medway.

Phænix. Fireships.

Crescent.

Fortune.

Griffin.

Joseph. St. Paul.

Flame. Vulcan.

Hunter. Phoenix.

Vesuvius.

Etna.

Firebrand.

Owner's Lov

St. Vincent.

Julian.

3. Rates.

Berwick. Burford. Captain. Cumberland. Content prize. Defiance. Devonshire. Edgar. Elizabeth. Expedition. Hampton Court. Kent. Lion. Montague. Norfolk. Northumberland. Resolution. Restoration. Royal Oak. Stirling Castle. Dunkirk. Monmouth. Russell. Cambridge. Dorsetshire. Newark. Lancaster. Boyne. Torbay.

Chichester.

Mary, galley. Scarborough.

5. Rates.

6. Rates.

Lark. Greyhound.

Brigantines.

Despatch. Swift. Discovery. Fly. Intelligence. Shark. Postboy. Diligence.

Yachts.

Isabella. Fubbs.

Bomb Vessels.

Blast. Carcase. Comet.

Strombolo. Hospital Sh

London Me Society. Bristol. Siam Josiah. MuscovyMo

Hagboat

Suffolk. Yarmouth. 7.) I May 1696, to same, Commander in-Chief of H.M. Fleet at Spithead. In pursuance of the King's pleasure, he is to go to sea with the first opportunity of wind and weather, to carry out the orders he has received; and if his fleet is insufficiently manned, he is to leave more ships behind at Spithead, and use their crews to man the rest. Signed H. Priestman, R. Rich, Lieukhen L. Koudell. Commenced W. Bridgeman

J. Houblon, J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.
8.) 3 May 1696, to same, Commander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet for the present expedition, Spithewl. Upon consideration of his letter of vesterday, he is directed to take with him into the Soundings the Berwich, Edgar, Lion, and Medway, which he had appointed to remain at Spithead and convoy the trade to Portugal, Bilboa and the Canaries; and he is to take also two of the three fireships Owner's Love, St. Vincent and Crescent (leaving the third at Spithead), and the Humber, Stirling Castle and Breda, the men taken from the last being to be returned, except those put on board the Experiment. But he may leave some of the ships behind for the better manning the rest. Signed E. Russell, H. Priestman, R. Austen, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

9.) 3 May 1696, to Sir Martin Beckman, Downs, to sail with all the bomb vessels and their tenders and storeships to Spit head, in company with Capt. Kirkby, Commander of H.M.S. Southampton, who has been ordered to proceed to Spithead with the Captain, Sunderland, Advice, Newcastle and Princess Anne, and all the bomb vessels, storeships, victuallers and tenders in the Downs. Signed and countersigned as in No.

(64).

30.) 3 May 1696, to Mathew Aylmer, Esqre., Vice Admiral of the Red, Downs, to proceed to Spithead with the Elizabeth, Trident, Lincoln, and Burlington. Signed and countersigned

as in No. (78).

31.) 10 May 1696, to Sir Geo: Rooke, Kut., Admiral and Commander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet for the present expedition, Soundings, sending him advices of the Toulon fleet having passed Lisbon seventeen days before, empowering him to call off all or part of Capt. Foulkes' squadron in the Soundings, and informing him that Vice Admiral Aylmer had been ordered to join him at once, with such line of battle ships as were fit for sea. Signed E. Russell, R. Rich, J. Houblon, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

32.) 10 May 1696, to Mathew Aylmer, Esqre., Vice Admiral of the Red, Spithead, sending him advices as to the Toulon fleet,

Elizabeth,

Discovery, brigantine,

Breda,

Newark,

and ordering him to take the following ships, viz.:—

St. Michael,

St. Martin, with the bomb vessels,

St. Michael, Norwich, Scarborough, Fortune, fireship, Sunderland, Medway,

Isabella, yacht,

Sunderland, Stirling Castle,
Medway, Humber,
Mary, galley, London Merchant, hospital ship,

Diligence, brigantine,

or as many as were ready, and join Sir Geo: Rooke's fleet at its rundezvous, west 15 leagues from Ushant; and in case he does

1696.

No. 1082.

not find the fleet or any frigate to give him notice of it, he return to Torbay and await orders; and, in case any of ships are not in a condition to sail, he is to make the said Dreadnought ready for sea and take them with Signed as in preceding. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

(83.) 12 May 1696, to John Benbow, Esqre., Rear Admiral of Blue Squadron, by direction of the Lords Justices, to as many English and Dutch ships with him as may be supe to the ships Du Bart has with him, and send the rest, Eugand Dutch, of 60 guns and upwards, to Spithead, and the ships to the Downs. Signed and countersigned as in (70).

(84.) 16 May 1696, to Sir Geo: Rooke, Knt., Admiral Commander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet for the present expedito send two ships to convoy from Scilly to the Nore the North from the East Indies, and four ships from the Berbad and they are then to return to the fleet, or do so at once it ships have already left Scilly. Signed R. Austen, R. R.

J. Kendall. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

(85.) 19 May 1696, to same, at sea, to appoint two fourth of from either the Main Fleet or the squadron of Capt. Foul to cruise for two months off the port of Galloway, one fut out at sea than the other, and look out for the Amity the Mary, which are expected there by the East India (pany, and for any other ships that may come, and see a safe into Galloway, and convoy them thence to Spithes the end of the two months, or sooner, if all the ships expended the end of the two months, or sooner, if all the ships expended the end of this service are to take orders to the Crown Harwich, now cruising off Galloway, to repair to Plymout revictual, and to the Dover and the Weymouth or another of war in her stead, appointed to convoy an East India lately arrived, from Galloway to England, to carry out orders without loss of time. Signed E. Russell, R. Au R. Rich, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

(86.) 22 May 1696, to same, Admiral of H.M. Fleet, to order commanders of ships in his fleet to take in provisions at Tot according to the intention of the Lords Justices, but so the many ships may not at one time be out of condition of eservice; and any surplus stores with his fleet are to be proposed the victualling ships, as these are unloaded, and whither the Navy Board would have them sent. St

E. Russell, R. Austen, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Bure (87.) 25 May 1696, to the Right Honble. the Lord Berk Admiral and Commander-in-Chief of H.M. Fleet for present expedition. "Whereas we have granted a Commi for you to be Admiral and Commander-in-Chief of Fleet for the present expedition, you are hereby req and directed forthwith to repair to Torbay and take you the charge and command of the several ships and wo of the fleet now under the command of Sir George R and any others which shall join you, and ordered to be your command, together with the Dutch ships now at Tor which shall join you, using your utmost endeavou put them into the best condition that may be for the sea all possible despatch.

Jpon your arrival at Torbay you are to send us a list of the names of the several ships and vessels of the fleet there, together with an account of what ships thereof are at sea on particular services and where, in order to our settling the ships which shall be for the Main Fleet, and sending you such further

orders therein as shall be thought convenient.

When you proceed to sea, according to such orders as will be sent to you, you are to lie in such stations as you shall think most proper, and there to continue or remove from time to time to such other places or stations as you shall judge most advantageous for annoying the enemy and protecting his Majesty's subjects and his allies; and you are, upon all occasions which shall offer," &c., as in No. (76), latter part of last paragraph but one. Then follow a paragraph as to prizes, identical with No. (76) paragraph 4, and one as to reports to the Admiralty, identical with last paragraph of No. (76), omitting the Order to report to the Secretary of State. Signed as in No. (85). Countersigned W. Bridgeman.

[88.] 30 April [? May] 1696, to same, Torbay, to order the Foresight and Content prize, now at Plymouth, to convoy several merchant ships from thence to Bilboa and St. Sebastian, and return to the fleet; or, if he thinks it best that they or either of them should join him at once, to appoint two men of war from the Fleet for that service in their stead. Signed E. Russell, R. Rich, J. Kendall. Countersigned J. Burchett.

² 2 Dec. Minute of the Commissioners of the Admiralty. In pursuance of the Order of the House of 27 Nov., they have caused the annexed list to be prepared; but as to the latter part of the said Order (see Notes above, Order (1) of 27 Nov., p. 302), not knowing the force of the enemy's fleet, nor where they may employ the same, they cannot give any opinion whether the fleet be sufficient for the protection of England, Ireland, trade and the Plantations, but think that by the said list it appears that the naval force of England is much greater now than ever. Signed E. Russell, H. Preistman, R. Rich, G. Rooke, Jno. Houblon. Dated Admiralty Office, this day.

Delivered in by Sir Geo. Rooke this day, and marked 2. Referred

to a Select Committee. See Notes above, p. 303.]

(c) 2 Dec. Paper appended to preceding, consisting of the following lists :-

() A list of his Majesty's ships and vessels at sea.

Rate. Ships' names. 1. Queen. Victory.

> 3. Breda. Boyne. Chichester. Captain. Content prize. Cambridge. Cornwall. Devonshire.

> > Dreadnought. Defiance. Essex.

Eagle.

Rupert. Shrewsbury. Swiftsure.

Rate. Ships' names.

3 Expedition. Hampton Court. Lancaster. Mary.

> Monk. Monmouth. Newark. Plymouth.

Restoration. Royal Oak.

Torbay.

1696.

No. 1082.

1696, No. 1082. Rate. Ships' names.

3. York. Yarmouth.

4. Anglesey,
Assistance.
Advice.
Burlington.
Blackwall.
Centurion.
Chatham.
Crown.
Chester.

Canterbury.
Coventry.

Colchester.
Deptford.
Dover.

Dragon. Falmouth.

Falkland. Foresight. Gloucester.

Guernsey.
Greenwich.

Hampshire. Kingfisher.

Loyal Merchant, hired.

Medway.
Nonsuch.
Norwich.
Newcastle.
Portland.

Pendennis.

Pembroke.
Oxford.

Prince of Orange, hired. Princess Anne, hired.

Rochester. Ruby. Reserve.

Romney. Severn.

Sunderland. Southampton.

Tiger. Weymouth.

Windsor.
Woolwich.

Warwick.

5. Arundel.
Virgin prize.
Saudadoes prize.

Speedwell. Lynn.

Smyrna Merchant, hired.

Sheerness.

Rate. Ships' names.

5. Milford.
Thunderbolt.
Poole.

Looc.
Roebuck.
Winchelsea.
Lyme.

Experiment. Shoreham.

Shoreham.
Rye.
Hastings.
Richmond.
Adventure.

Terrible.
Ruby prize.
Sweepstakes.
Dolphin.

Assurance.
Play prize.
Sorlings.
Mermaid.
Mary, galley.

Scarborough.
Siam, hospital ship.
6. St. Albans prize.

Dunwich,
Jersey.
Penzance.
Germoon prize.
Mariana prize.

Orford.

Lark.
Queenborough.
Seahorse.
Henry prize.

Rupert prize.
Jolly prize.
Swan.
Greyhound.
Bideford.

Maidstone.
Essex prize.
Hind, pink.

Wren, pink.
Brilliant, sloop.
Express

Mercury boat
Messenger boat
Spy, brigantine.

Fireships.

5. Fortune.

Joseph.

Owner's Love.

Rate. Ships' names.

Rate. Ships' names.

1696.

No. 1082.

Fireships-cont.

5. Phænix. Griffin. Strombolo. Flame. Firebrand. Hawk. Vesuwius. Blaze.

> Vulture. Rose. Hunter.

> > Tenders.

6. Martin
Quaker
Roe

Yachts.

6. Isle of Wight.
Monmouth.

Yachts-cont.

6. Soesdyke.
Charlotte.
Fubbs.
Henrietta.
Isabella.
Katharine.
Mary.
Merlin.
Navy.
William and Mary.
Cleveland.
Jemmy.

Storeships.

Queenborough.

Squirrel.

Muscovia Merchant.
Katharine.
Canterbury.
Greenfish.
Suffolk \ hag
Yarmouth \ boats.

(2) A List of his Majesty's ships and vessels in harbour putting into a condition for the sea:

Rate. Ships' names.

1. St. Andrew.
Britannia.
London.
Royal William.
2. Albemarle.

Duchess.
Duke.
Neptune.
Ossory.
St. Michael.
Sandwich.
St. George.
Royal Katherine.
Vanguard.

3. Burford.
Berwick.
Cumberland.
Dunkirk.
Dorsetshire.
Edgar.
Elizabeth.
Grafton.
Humber.
Kent.
Ipswich.
Lenox.

Rate. Ships' names.

3. Lion.
Montague.
Norfolk.
Northumberland.
Russell.
Resolution.
Suffolk.
Stirling Castle.
Warspite.

4. Bonadventure.
Bonadventure, hired.
Bristol.
Harwich.
Josiah, storeship.
Lichfield.
Lincoln.
Trident prize.

5. Charles, galley.
Dover prize.
Fowey.
Feversham.
Garland.
Gosport.
St. Malo, hired.
Southsea Castle,

Pearl.

1696.	Rate.	Ships' r	ames.			Ra	te.	Ships' names.
No. 1082.		Fireshi	ps.				Bon	nb vessels—cont
	5. 6	rescent.					J_{i}	ulian.
		tna.				K	itchen.	
	L:	ightning.					Tary Ann.	
		t. Paul.						ortar.
	V	ulcan.					wner's Adventur	
	St	. Vincent	t_*					ortsmouth.
		agle, adv		at.				hœnix.
		oyal, trai						ar.
		lebay.	1					ociety.
		eaford.						ılamander.
	~	in prize.						erpent.
		aramour,	pink.					error.
		out, boat						rue Love.
		Briganti	nes.					Machines.
	D	lianna				T ₀	hn and Martha.	
		iligence. iscovery.					nn ana marina. ideavour.	
		espatch.				vner's Goodwill.		
	\widetilde{Fl}						O i	oner's Goodwin.
	In	y. telligenc	0					
	P	stboy.	•				Smacks.	
		ark.						omacks.
	N.						P.	ual Fooms
								yal Escape.
		Bomb ve	eeole					emish Longboat. ttle London,
		Domo ve	55015.				Lit	iiie Lonaon.
	Aı	rgel.						
	Bl	last.						Hulks.
	Bc	ısilisk.						
		rcase.					A	rms of Rotterdan
	Co	met.						atham.
	G_{i}	ranada.						. David.
	G	reyhound	7.					ench Ruby.
	Fi	redrake.						. George.
	F_{ℓ}	urnace.						eopard.
	(3) Sh	ips now 1	buildin	0" :				1
	(0) 22	Rates.		8.				
		2nd						73
		3rd	. •	-	•	~	•	Four.
		4th		•	-	-	-	Three.
		5th		-	•	-	•	Eight.
		6th	-	-	-	-	-	Two.
			ce boa	4	-	•	-	One.
	(4) (1)					•		One.
	(4) Shi	ips to be Rates.	set up	as ot	hers l	aunch	:	
		3rd						(TI)
		ara 4th		•	**	-	-	Three.
		5th			-	-		One.
	61.			0		-	-	One.
	Signed	, by com	mand o	of the	ir Lor	dships	, W	. Bridgeman.

(d) 10 Dec. Papers presented this day by the Commissioners of the Admiralty to the Select Committee, by whom they were reported to the House for the purpose of being referred to the Committee, viz.:—

1696. No. 1082.

(d) 10 Dec. The Lords of the Admiralty's Answer relating to the registering seamen. Pursuant to Order of the House of 2 Dec. (see notes above, p. 303), they acquaint the House that it appears in the Register Office, 4656 men have registered themselves, who are now actually in his Majesty's service, whereof 911 are commission and warrant officers. They annex a paper containing what they propose for remedying the obstructions in the Register Act. Signed as in (c)², with the addition of J. Kendall Dated Admiralty Office, 9 Dec. 1696. [Received and read this day.]

 $(d)^2$ 10 Dec. Alterations and additions proposed [by the Lords of the Admiralty] to be made in the Act for Registering

Seamen

Having had under our consideration what additions and alterations may be necessary to be made in the Act for Registering Seamen, we humbly propose that the particulars following be inserted in the said Act, for the better answering the intent thereof:

lst. It being enacted that the Governor or Governors of the hospital shall have the power of admitting disabled persons therein, or the widows and children of such of them as are slain, upon certificates of the said men being disabled or slain, under the hands of the officers of the ships to which they did belong, we are humbly of opinion that, whenever it shall happen that there be more pretenders at one time to the said relief than the hospital shall be capable of receiving, the preference shall be given to such as shall appear to have been first registered.

2nd. Whereas it is enacted that every seaman at the time of his being registered shall bring, or cause to be brought, a certificate of his place of abode, under the hands of two Justices of the Peace of the county or place where he lives, and the like as often as he shall change the place of his habitation, we are of opinion that, in regard to the said Justices of the Peace of frequently dwell at such distances from each other as gives great trouble, and will be a discouragement to seamen intending to register, it will be more for the service if they might be registered upon producing certificates under the hands of any one of the Justices of the Peace for the respective divisions of the counties wherein they live, and that the said Justices be directed to give no such certificates but on their own knowledge or sufficient testimony.

3rd. Whereas by the Act of Parliament masters' mates are to be excluded from the benefits of the register, we conceive that, in consideration that they have neither commissions nor warrants, or are in case of age or disability provided for in the Navy, as these officers are, either by the half-pay or superannuation, the said mates should be admitted, as other seamen are, to the benefits of the hospital and all other advantages given by the

said Act to registered seamen.

4th. We are of opinion that a clause should be added empowering the Commissioners appointed for registering seamen to administer

an oath to masters of ships and other persons concerned, for the

better putting in execution the powers of the said Act. 5th. Whereas, by the said Act, no seaman above 50 years of age is qualified to register himself, nor any man to be provided for in the hospital but such as are registered; and whereas many men upwards of that age may have served so-long and so well in his Majesty's ships as to deserve some provision in the said hospital; we are of opinion that a clause be added to the said Act, that such seamen as have served in any of his Majesty's ships five years, without desertion, may be permitted to register themselves, and consequently be qualified for the relief of the hospital, notwithstanding they were upwards of fifty years of age at the time of the passing of the Act. Signed Wm. Bridgeman.

(d) 10 Dec. The Lords of the Admiralty's Answer relating to Newfoundland [see Notes above, 2 Dec. p. 302]. In pursuance of Order of the House of 2 Dec., they acquaint the House that in the month of February last they received advice that seven ships were fitting at St. Malo, designed for Newfoundland, under the command of Mousr. de L'Epine. The annexed paper will show that the Admiralty sent such convoys to Newfoundland as directed by the King's Order in Council, though some were hindered by contrary winds from proceeding so soon as was intended. As to any intelligence of the enemy's privateers going to the West Indies, they have had some uncertain advices of the same from time to time. They submit a list of men of war employed this year for the care of our other plantations and trade in those parts. Signed and dated as in (d)'.

(d) 10 Dec. Statement by the Admiralty as to convoys for Newfoundland. His Majesty by his Order in Council of the 23rd of January was pleased to direct that a man of war should be appointed to convoy the ships belonging to the merchants of Bideford from Ireland to Newfoundland, and that another should proceed from Plymouth, and upon their joining off Newfoundland, to cruise there during the fishing season; that another man of war should be ordered to sail from England by the 10th of June next, with the ships that shall be then ready, to fetch off the fish and carry it to foreign markets; and that another man of war should be timely sent from hence, to bring home the ships with train-oil and passengers. Four men of war were sent

accordingly. [Appended to preceding.] $(d)^5$ 10 Dec. A List of ships appointed for the care of the plantations and the trade in those parts against the enemy.

Rates. 4. Newcastle Virgin prize 5. Barbadoes. 4. Bristol 5. Play prize 4. Colchester 5. Hastings at the Leeward Islands. 6. Jersey Southampton Princess Anne In Jamaica. Reserve Hampshire lately returned from thence. 6. Swan

1696. Rates-cont. 4. Greenwich Loyal Merchant No. 1082. Prince of Orange Virginia and Maryland. Hawk, fireship St. Albans prize Ordered home. 6. Richmond, attends on New York. 4. Falkland at New England. 5. Arundel 6. Orford Newport, lost. Sorlings, lately returned from New England. 5. 3. Dreadnought Newfoundland. 4. Oxford Saudadoes prize 5. Sapphire, lost. Signed W. Bridgeman. [Appended to $(d)^3$ above]. (d)6 10 Dec.—Answer of the Admiralty to Order of 4 Dec. (see Notes above). They have sent to the Navy Board for such an account of the ships as their Lordships have required. Signed and dated as in $(d)^1$. Countersigned Wm. Bridgeman. [Received and read this day in Select Committee. Com. Book.] (e) 12 Dec. Papers delivered to the Select Committee this day. (e)1 12 Dec. Paper endorsed Sir George Rooke his list of Dutch

(1) A List of the Dutch ships I found in the Downs the 25th of April 1696-

ships, consisting of :-

Ships' Names.			No. of Guns.					
D ' $Vr\ddot{y}he\ddot{y}d$		10		•	94			
D'Unie -			-	44	92			
Seven Provinti	en	-	m"	-	90			
Koning Willia	m	-	-	-	90			
Ridderschap	-	-	-	-	72			
Dordregt	-	-	-	-	72			
Holland of Ro	tterd	am	-	-	72			
Alckmaer		-	-	-	72			
Catwÿk -	m	-	-	100	72			
Haarlem -	-	-	-	-	64			
Vriesland	-		-	-	64			
Prince Friso	-	-	-		64			
Shi	os' N	ame	8.		No. of Guns.			

These 5 ships	('t Stot Muÿden				72
were detached	Holland of Amst	erdam	-	99	72
to attend	Velû'w	-	-	101	66
the King to	Amsterdam -	±"	-		64
Holland.	Zeelandia -	-	-	-	64
These 5 ships	('t Loo	-	-	-	64
were detached	Princess Amelia	**	-	-	56
for the	Damiâten -	→ ,	~	to.	50
Service of	De Son -	-		20.	50
Dunkirk.	Provintie van Ut	regt	-	-	50

(2) A List of Dutch ships of war in the line of battle, wh sailed with me from Spithead, May the 4th 1696.

Ships' Names. No. of Guns.

Dordregt	-	-	-	72
Koning Will	liam		-	90 - S.b. Nagt. de Boer.
Wassenaer	-	***	-	69
Seven Provin	ntien		-	90 - V. Adml. Callembergh.
Holland	_	_	-	72
Delft -	-	-	867	50
Alkmaer	-	_	-	72
Beschermer	_	-	-	90
Dieren -	~	-		64
Catwÿk	~	-		72
Vrÿheÿd	_		-	94 - Lt Adml. Almond.
Haarlem				64
Vriesland	-	-		64
Elswort -	40			64
Numchenden	_	_		72
Prince Friso	_			64
Unie -	_		_	92
Ridderschap			_	72 - S.b. Nagt. Vandergoes.
Deventer			_	72
Wapen Van	Mede	nhli		50
Dam Van U				64
2000 / 6010 0	orcene		-	UZ

(e)2 12 Dec. Report from the Commissioners of the Na Board to the Lords of the Admiralty about the Act Registering Seamen, as follows:—May it please your Lords ships, By our letter of the 16th inst. to your Lordshi Secretaries, we desired them to acquaint your Lordships the the Commissioners of the Register had it under their conside tion what additions and alterations might be necessary to made in the late Act for registering seamen for his Majest service, for the better execution thereof; and having received their Report concerning the same, we send your Lo ships a copy of it inclosed for your information therein. When the we have to observe upon it is this:—(1st) That when the shall be more pretenders at one time to the relief of the hospi than can be provided for, as we doubt there will be often, seems reasonable to us that preference should be given to su as are most disabled, rather than such as were first register unless those that were first registered shall be most disable (2nd) That the difficulty of procuring certificates from t Justices of the Peace being such, as we are informed, mightily retards the seamen registering themselves, we concein it necessary the certificate of any Justice of the Peace shot be authentic in that case, whether he be of the same county not, presuming the seamen will be able to satisfy them by lette oaths, witnesses, or one way or other, of their habitations a places of abode, though distant from them, and that the se business will be very much facilitated by that means. (31 The Chest at Chatham being a charity under the best constit tion, rules and laws, and managed with the least charge a greatest content and satisfaction of any we know or have her of, we think it will not be advisable to make any alteration therein, at least until the hospital shall be in a condition in

respects to answer the whole, it seems unreasonable to meddle with it. (4th) Whereas by the said Act no seaman above 50 years of age is qualified to register himself, nor any man to be provided for in the hospital but such as are registered, and whereas many seamen upwards of that age may have served so long and so well in his Majesty's ships as to deserve some provision in the said hospital, we humbly offer it to your Lordships, whether it may not be convenient to have a clause of that kind added to the said Act, namely; That such as have served in his Majesty's ships upwards of five or six years, without desertion, shall be qualified for the relief of the said hospital, though not registered, by reason of their being above 50 years of age at the passing of the said Act, which nevertheless is humbly submitted to your Lordships' determination by your Lordships' obedient Servants. Signed. R. H., C. S., J. S., Endorsed as received this day from the D. L., S. P. Commissioners for the Navy Board touching the Registry of

Seamen. (e) 12 Dec. Letter from the Commissioners for Registering Seamen to the Navy Board, dated 18 Nov., inclosed in preceding, as follows :- Gentlemen, We have received your letter of the 27th past, acquainting us that you had orders from the Rt. Hou. the Lords of the Admiralty, to advise with us concerning the Act of Parliament for registering seamen, for your laying before their Lordships what defects are in the said Act, and what alterations and additions may be made thereunto for the more effectual carrying on the said service, and desiring our opinion therein. We have considered the same, and do think that the particulars following are requisite to be inserted in the said Act. for the better answering the intent thereof, viz. (1st). It being enacted that the governor or governors of the hospital shall have the power of admitting disabled persons therein, or the widows and children of such of them as are slain, upon certificates of the said men being disabled or slain, under the hands of the officers of the ships to which they did belong, we are humbly of opinion that, whenever it shall happen that there be more pretenders at one time to the said relief than the hospital shall be capable of receiving, the preference be given to such as shall appear to have been first registered. (2ndly). Whereas it is enacted that every seaman at the time of his being registered shall bring, or cause to be brought, a certificate of his place of abode under the hands of two Justices of the Peace of the county or place where he lives, and the like as often as he shall change the place of his habitation. We are of opinion that, in regard the said Justices of the Peace do frequently dwell at such distances from each other as gives great trouble, and will be a discouragement to seamen intending to register, it would be more for the service if they be registered on their producing certificates under any one of the Justices of the Peace for the respective counties wherein they live, the said Justices being directed to give no such certificates but on their own knowledge or sufficient testimony. (3rdly). Whereas it is enacted by the said Act, that 6d. per mensem shall be allowed and paid out of the wages of every seaman belonging to any of his Majesty's ships and vessels, and of any the subjects of England, or the dominions thereof, for support of the hospital

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

to be erected at Greenwich, but no mention made therein whom the said 6d. shall be stopped, it is our opinion that masters of all merchant ships be empowered and directed, un certain penalties, to stop the said 6d. from their men abroad at home, and within 6 days after the delivering their goods deliver in upon oath to the persons appointed to take the sa accounts of the times of the men's entries and discharges of several persons belonging to their ships or vessels during voyage, and immediately after they shall have paid off their s men, to pay the sixpences they shall so stop to the office appointed for receiving the same. (4thly). Forasmuch as seamen in most of the colliers and other coasting vessels sail the voyage or share, which the Act does not mention, and by the month, and the masters keep no accounts, or at b very imperfect ones, of the times of men's entries and discharg which not only occasions the officer concerned much trouble expense of time, but greatly lessens the income which humbly conceive is intended by the said Act, it is theref proposed that such seamen as sail by the voyage or share p 6d. in the pound out of their said wages or shares. (Whereas there are divers small vessels, hoys, close and of lighters, western barges, and other boats of carriage, in the ri Thames and within the several other rivers and ports of kingdom, who work not by the month, but by voyages, tid shares and day pay or otherwise, whose advantages are ve considerable and particularly distinguished in the said Act; it be intended that they shall contribute towards the charge Greenwich Hospital, we think it cannot be better done than the respective owners of the aforesaid vessels paying the per ton per annum which is the nearest computation to that 6d. per man a month; and in regard the wages of seamen w go foreign voyages in merchant ships is more than double w is allowed those in his Majesty's service, it is left to conside tion whether such seamen ought not to pay 12d. per month of their wages during the war. (6). Whereas by Act Parliament, masters' mates are to be excluded from the bene of the register; we conceive that, in consideration they have neither commissions nor warrants, or are in case of age disability provided for in the Navy, as those officers a either by half-pay or superannuation, the mates should admitted, as other seamen are, to the benefit of the hospi and all other advantages given by the said Act to register men. (7). We are of opinion that a clause should be add impowering the Rt. Hon. the Lords of the Admiralty to dire us, either by ourselves or officers, to administer oaths to a masters of ships or others concerned, for the better putting t said Act in execution. (8). That the incomes and revenues the Chest at Chatham may be incorporated to that of t Hospital at Greenwich, so soon as the same shall be in condition to answer the claims of disabled and wound seamen, &c .- We are, &c. Signed I.H., G.B., T.B.

Endorsed as received this day from the Commissioners Registering Seamen. Marked 1.

(e)⁴ 12 Dec. Letter from same to the Secretaries of the A miralty, dated 8 Dec., inclosed in (e)², as follows:—Gentlement

In obedience to the directions of the Rt. Honble, the Lords of the Admiralty, signified to us by your letter of the 5th instant, requiring our giving their Lordships an account what effect the late Act for registering seamen has had; and, if there have been any obstructions therein, what those were, and what can be proposed for remedying them, we desire you will please to acquaint their Lordships that we have registered 4,656 men who are now actually in his Majesty's service, and of those 911 are commission and warrant officers. The obstructions we meet with in putting in execution the powers contained in the said Act, together with what we propose for the remedying the same, are as follow, viz. :- The obstruction which we find at present to the registering of seamen is their being obliged by the Act to procure certificates of the places of their abode under the hands of two Justices of the Peace of the county where they dwell, in order to their being registered, and to do the like as often as they remove their habitation; and that this is a very great obstruction we find, as well by seamen that have been at this office and refuse to comply with those methods, as by the letters of several of our officers at the out-ports, acquainting us that, by means of this difficulty alone, they have missed the registering of some hundreds who have applied to them for that purpose, but would not take the trouble of procuring such certificates. Also, that by the inconveniences of sending so far as places of their respective habitations for the said Justices' certificates they are frequently miscarried and lost, or the ships they belong to sail before they can have answers; several instances whereof we have from the commanders of his 'Majesty's ships, who acquaint us that the greatest part of their men who have employed their friends to register them have had no account thereof. And lastly, you may please to inform their Lordships, that about 14,000 blank letters of attorney have been delivered to seamen for this purpose, notwithstanding which, there are no more than the said 4,656 in the books of this office, many of whose certificates of their being registered have been daily miscarried For removing this obstruction we do humbly pro-

pose that any seaman desiring the same may be registered upon certificate under the hands of one Justice of the Peace of any county, or of one magistrate of any corporation, though not the same wherein they dwell, presuming the said seamen will be able to satisfy them by letters, oaths, witnesses or otherwise, touching place of their habitation; and we doubt not but we may be able to inform ourselves of the truth of most of the said certificates by means of our own officers at several of the seaport towns. The obstruction which we meet with in our collection of the 6d. per mensem of merchant seamen's wages for the use of Greenwich Hospital is from the masters of merchant ships and vessels, who refuse to give account upon oath of the times of their men's entries and discharges, and to pay the said duty. And this we are daily informed of, both by the Receiver at the port of Loudon, who finds the said master so unwilling to comply therewith that scarce any pay the said duty without compulsion, and then refuse to do it upon oath, so that he is forced to take what-

1696. — No. 1082.

officers at the out-ports, many of whom acquaint us by the letters that they can get no money at all, and the rest t they receive but very little, especially from the smaller vess whose stay in any place is so short that they avoid the be stopped by the authority of the Vice Admiral of the count who often dwell at some considerable distances from the pl the said vessels use. For removing this obstruction humbly offer it as our opinion that there be an express cla in the Act obliging the masters of all merchant ships : vessels, under certain penalties, to stop the sixpence per m sem out of their seamen's wages, and to pay the said more to the officers appointed for receiving the same; and also deliver to the said officers accounts upon oath of the tir of their said men's entries and discharges, and that all Cust House officers may be directed not to clear any ships or ves whose masters shall not produce certificates from our s officers of their having complied hercwith. The third struction we meet with is from the masters of such coast and other small vessels, whose men generally sailing by voyage or for shares, think themselves exempt from pay any duty, because the Act mentions only its deduction out monthly wages. For removing this obstruction and avoid the many disputes that otherwise will happen between officers and these men we conceive it necessary that the should be a little explained in that particular, distinguish either by the burthen, employments, or otherwise, those t are to pay the said duty; and we are also of opinion that in the pound would be collected with much more ease: certainty out of the wages of such seamen as sail by the voyand shares than so much by the pounds. Having by our let of the 18th past, in obedience to their Lordships' commangiven the Navy Board our opinion more at large in seve particulars touching the defect of the said Act of Parliams with our reasons for such alterations and additions as conceived needful to be made thereunto for the more effect carrying on this service, which we understand has been tra mitted to their Lordships by those gentlemen, we pray le to refer thereto for what may be further offered on this occasions and J. H., B. T., G. B., T. B. Endorsed as received this day from the Commissioners for Registering Seam Marked 2.

(e) 2 March 1696-7. Letter from James Vernon to Mr. Bridgem as follows:—

The gentleman you mentioned, who had a letter from Oste bringing it me this morning, I send you an extract of it, to communicated to the Lords of the Admiralty.

Sir, your most humble servant, Ja. Vernor

The Extract appended is as follows:-

We have an account from Dunkirk by Jo. Vincke (master of

vessel that was there), that 15 frigates, besides what were then in garrison. (See next paper.)

Endorsed, Mr. Vernon with advice from Ostend about the Dunl

Privateers. Read in the Ho; Peers, 2 March 1696.

f) 2 March 1696-7. Letter from Robert Mullow, addressed to Mr. Paul Bauwens or, in his absence, to Mr. James Ball, Merchant, in London, as follows:—

Ostend, 15 Feb. 1697.

1696. No. 1082.

Sir, I wrote you on Sunday last under your Lady's cover, and then gave you an account of what had passed in respect to Joannes Vincke, who yesterday, God be thanked, arrived safe here * * * I gave Mr. Hill, our envoy, the relation of an English master that came from Dunk[irk] on Sunday last as to affairs there, which [I] doubt not he will represent to the Admiralty or Secretary of State. The same I find confirmed by Jo. Vincke, but with this addition, that 15 frigates [of] from 36 to 18 guns, under which the Portsmouth galley was one, were yesterday in the road, most part then sailing down channel, and the others, he believes, are now followed, except two or three that are gone to the northward, which we saw yesterday pass us. There are as many more with all speed fitting out, all extremely well manned. The Portsmouth has 300 aboard; the Milford is to be one of the others a-fitting; they are under 4 squadrons, the red, white, blue, and red and white, for a month he says, they are to cruise 7 or 8 together, and then may separate according to their pleasures. The owners as well as the captains of some of them did tell him downright that they knew the Queen and Mansfelt were cruising for some of their wine ships, and after some time was to come from Plymouth with the Irishmen and Absom men, whom they were resolved to meet in his way hither, and questioned not to bring her up to Dunkirk. There is lately built 18 great long boats to put men in upon occasion, and there are 25 capers upon the stocks. Last week a small diego took two English pinks out of the road of Yarmouth, laden with butter and lead, and there is some of King James' guards at Dunk[irk], who told the English master that they expected 15,000 thereabouts speedily, besides what were then in garrison. This I thought proper to advise you of, desiring it may be communicated to his Grace the Duke of Shrewsbury or the Lords of the Admiralty, that some English frigates, good sailers, may be ordered to cruise and come up Channel with [the] Paul and Mansfelt, or I doubt we shall have great losses by these squadrons, which I doubt not their Lordships will provide against. Without I were confident of the truth, I would not write it, because I find the writing things at random causes more needless charge than it produces good effect; besides, such persons ought not to be written to but upon good grounds. A great many here and in Holland are of opinion the Plenipotentiaries will make nothing of their session, because it is supposed the Fr[ench] K[ing's] design is only to surprise us with amusements, and for my part I am of opinion the others do believe the contrary. If you wait on his Grace pray tender him my humble respects and service, and tell him that I shall have in a few days two excellent pipes of Champ[agne], and that I am expecting both vin de St. Lawrence and Hermitage. I wish you a good voyage and rest, Sir, Your humble Servant,

Rob. Mullow.

Endorsed, Read 20 Feb. 1696. [From the MS. Min. it appears have been delivered to the House in Committee this day Mr. Vernon.

(g) 22 March 1696-7. Papers delivered into the Select Commit by Sir Alexander Rigby this day (Com. Book) as follows:—
(g) 22 March 1696-7. Names of persons refused Letters

Mart and others illtreated by the Admiralty:

(1) Persons which have been refused Letters of Mart by Admiralty.

Sir Joseph Herne, in King's Arms Yard, Coleman Street.

Mr. Charles Peers, in Bury Street.

(Mr. Antho. Stratton, Thames Street, near Billingsgate.

Mr. Antho. Forti, near Mincing Lane.

Mr. Hum: Radburne, to be heard on at Lloyd's coffee hou Lombard Street.

Mr. John Bateman, the solicitor on behalf of several merchan (2) Merchants illtreated by the Admiralty.

Mr. Robt. Heysham, at Lloyd's coffee house. Mr. Sam: Sheppard, in Bishopsgate Street.

Mr. Antho. Forti.

Mr. John Denew, Mark Lane. Mr. Alexr. Carnes, Budge Row.

Mr. Taylor. } Mr. Savory.

Mr. Edward Haistwell, Scotch Yard, Bush Lane.

Mr. John Evans, Bedford Row, near Gray's Inn Gardens.

Mr. Geo: Bodington, St. Helens. Mr. Powell, Garraway's coffee house. Mr. Wallis, Hackney.

Endorsed, Sir Alexander Rigby's Paper. Read 22 Ma 1696.

(g)2 22 March 1696-7. Sir Alexander Rigby's deposition at the Herne, and the Ormond, Massareene and John galle being the evidence he gave before the Select Committee 18 March, reduced to writing, and delivered in this day, follows :- I am part owner of the Herne, a ship of about tons, 60 guns, which Sir Joseph Herne, myself and ot bought at the time that Genoese and foreign embarkations under disparagement. Into said ship was put one Co Thomas Barrett, who had, in a late voyage to Leghorn, great reputation by fighting and baffling one Mons. Duccommander of a French frigate fitted out at Toulon purpose to waylay him, the history of which makes it app to be one of the best actions that hath happened this Such a ship, and such a commander, tempted the merch to load goods to a very great value, and being to go alone Captain desired a letter of mart, whereupon application made, but the Lords of the Admiralty refused it. [Margin. points of time I refer myself to Sir Joseph Hernej. Then Joseph Herne petitioned the Council, but the Admiralty of opposed it that the ship was forced to go to sea without commission, and had gone the whole voyage thus had not e Dutch been kinder, who, at the instance of Sir Joseph He The refusal of their Lordships here was thou granted one. very extraordinary, the ship being of so great a force and va ? and the Captain a person who had done so much in defence it

his former commission, as is here above mentioned. This matter passed about the time that Sir John Houblon came into the Admiralty; and many of the owners did think he was the occasion of such refusal, although, he, being a merchant, might have informed the board of the reasonableness of the thing, especially after he had been particularly acquainted therewith at his own house; which I myself did, fully answering what objections he then made me. This ship hath, my Lords, been again refused the same favour since a few months, though the Captain who commands her hath sometimes been honoured with the King's Commission, and hath served as convoy to a Newfoundland fleet. And it may be proved that at the times of refusing letters of mart to the ship Herne, the Admiralty granted letters of mart to other trading ships. If further particulars about this matter are required, Sir Joseph Herne and Mr. John Bateman, a solicitor, can give them. [Margin Augt. (96)]. In the beginning of last winter I ordered Mr. Bateman, a solicitor, to get me letters of mart for the Ormond, Massareene and John galleys. He endeavoured it, as he told me, but at last, when the said galleys were ready to sail, he came to my house and said that letters of mart were just then granted to the Shrewsbury and Sarah galleys, so that if I went myself, in all likelihood I might obtain the same favour for mine. answered, I had no mind to go, for the delays and treatments myself and other merchants had met with had made me almost resolve never more to go there. However, I was at last prevailed on; and as we went along, I asked Mr. Bateman what was the reason he could not do business as formerly. He answered, that Sir Robert Rich opposed everything he appeared for, and that since his coming to the board he could never do any business with comfort. I then desired to know what cause he had given Sir Robert Rich. His reply was, none that he knew of, except that being beloved by the merchants he had hindered one Mr. Aldred, a creature of Sir Robert Rich, to fall into his way of soliciting letters of mart, protections, &c. When I was called into the board, I delivered, in writing, to Mr. Bridgeman, my desire of having letters of mart for the Ormond, Massareene, and John galleys. My request was no sooner read but Sir Robert Rich, after a very haughty and rough manner, told me I needed not to have brought that paper, I might have sent it; and that the board would not grant any letters of mart. I immediately retired and acquainted Mr. Bateman that what I expected was come to pass; I had been refused and affronted. He, to pacify my concern, said, if I would write unto the board praying a commission for the John galley, purely to privateer, possibly they might grant it. I did so, and I was called in, and Sir Robert Rich received me more civilly, and excused the not giving me commissions for the other galleys, because the King wanted seamen. I answered, it was strange to me that the ships that carried away the fewest men should then be refused commissions. The Ormond and the Massareene were as good ships as the John, and both together did not carry so many men; the John having about 100 on board, and the others 30 or 40 each. To this Sir Robert Rich made no reply, but that he was a piece of a merchant himself, and he knew that letters of mart were often got under pretence

1696. No. 1082.

of going purely a privateering, when the chief design was take freights home from Turkey and other places; and add that if the John galley did so, our bonds should be sued. answered that if they did that it would be hard, for in vateering no man could assure success, and to return with something to pay the charge would be a great burden to owners of such privateers, and would be contrary to the pract of all other nations. However, I said, if the board would ple to give me the commission, I would return it from abroad, wh Sir Robert said would not excuse us if we returned home lad or words to that effect. I then withdrew, and since that t I have not been at the board, nor do I design to trouble th any more. I am informed [that] Mr. John Bateman, the aft mentioned solicitor, is refused to do any more business at Admiralty, which I humbly conceive a thing worthy y Lordships' cognizance, for it is hard the merchants may employ whom they please; and as in law matters every r puts his business into the management of those they know so, I hope, men of traffic may do the like; for, till someth is proved against Mr. Bateman which may justly render incapable, it is hoped he ought not to be denied the libe of a subject; and the rather, in regard he is a man of stance, and one who knows the practice of most offices at the Court, and is esteemed by many good merchants a punct honest, diligent man, and hath the experience of eleven ye March 22, 1696-7. Signed Alex. Rigby. Endorsed, A. R---y's deposition about the Herne and the Ormo Massareene and John galleys being refused Letters of M Read this day.

(h.) 22 March 1696-7. An account of what intelligence has b received by the Admiralty concerning the French Tor squadron from 8 Feb. 1695-6 to 1 June 1696. Feb. 8, 1695 We had advice by a letter of this date that the French tinued their utmost diligence to get their ships at Toulon a readiness, and that about 26 of them were out in the reand that all hands were at work to send out the rest, that they were also at Marseilles preparing their galleys accompany their ships, so that by the beginning of Marc was supposed they would be ready to sail; that the sa might be upwards of 60 great and small, besides attend with 36 galleys, being, as it was generally discoursed, designed for Barcelona and afterwards for Brest. Feb. This day we received intelligence that it was talked much of the enemy's great preparations for the sea, but it was not yet known what designs they were intended to that it was certain they would have 80 ships ready by end of March, reckoning those that are fitting at Toulon, Roo fort, Brest, Port Louis and Dunkirk, and some others fitt as it was said, at St. Malo; that some were of opinion] of these ships were to go out to cruise, but others belie if the fleet from Toulon pass the Straits, that all the squadrons will join together at Brest, and that if Sir (Rooke did not return from Cadiz quickly after them, the en would be in a condition to hinder the conjunction of our m time forces. Feb. 13. A letter of this date says that Toulon fleet was, the 3rd inst., still there, but that all to frigates were ready, being 50 odd sail, and waited only orders to depart. Same date. By a letter of this date, it is said that in all probability the Toulon fleet cannot be departed thence. Feb. 21st. That intelligence received this day says that the Court had sent an express to Toulon to hasten the departure of the fleet; but that whatever diligence Mons. de Chateaurenault could use it was believed it would be the 12th of the next month before they could be ready to sail, and that it was probable they might be delayed yet longer, in regard the Treasurer of the ships had not yet received the money he expected, besides it was reported that 500 seamen sent from West France to serve in the fleet have deserted on their way; that the enemy hasten very much the fitting out their fleet, and Mons. Chateaurenault would sail towards the end of this month to the Isles of Hyères, with 30 ships. The seamen are paid on board, and many think the design is against Catalonia; that though the month of March is now coming, yet there is no news that the fleet at Toulon is ready to sail; that all the vessels in the ports of Normandy are stopped, that the seamen may be taken into the King's service. Feb. 26. A letter of this date says that 50 of the enemy's men of war were fitted and got into Toulon road, and by this letter we received the list following of such of their ships as were out; that they were using all diligence to fit out other 10 ships to complete their fleet from thence to the number of 60 men of war, which might be ready

Liste des Vaisseaux du Roi armés à Toulon l'année [16]96.

to sail by the beginning of the next month.

Vaisseau	х.		Capitaines.		Hommes.	Canons.
Phillip	-	-	M. Le Compte de Chateau-Renault	-	725	90
nnant		-	Le Marquis de Normand	-	700	90
nquerant		-	Le Comte de Relinquis	-	550	80
inqueur	-	-	M. Coçoqten	-	620	88
ueilleux		-	M. Deimblemont	-	650	90
uronne		-	My Lord Grand Prieurchefr -	- 100	500	76
llequeux		-	M. Beaupu	-	500	70
ompt	-		M. de Septeme		500	76
incible	-		M. de Belleisle	- 1	450	. 66
stre	-		Chevel, il Bellefontaine	-	450	76
ıreux			M. de Reatis	-	450	70
nstant	-	_	Le Comte de la Beille	-	450	66
orieux		-	M. Di Redeaud	-	380	66
. Faprit		a.e	M. de Chalard	-	3 80	66
ort	-		M. de Allegre	-	430	70

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

1696.

Liste des Vaisseaux du Roi armés à Toulon l'année [16]96-cont.

No. 1082.

Vaissea	ux.		Capitaines.		Hommes.	Cano
Le Superbe	٠		Comte de la Gallisoniere	-	450	68
Le Bourbon	-		M. Dequerne		725	90
Le Capable		-	M. de Chabert		350	60
Le Sanspareil	-	-	M. d'Abovile		350	60
Le Brillant			M. de Frênes	-	380	60
Le Juste -		-	M. de Campreynii	-	380	60
L'Aimable	-	-	Le Marq. de Banac -,		430	70
Le St. Michel			M. de Perenills	-	350	60
Le St. Louis	•	-	Marqs, de Roviere		380	66
L'Agréable	-	-	M. de Ricour		350	66
Le Brave -	-	-	M. de Genlett		350	60
Le Courageux		-	M. de Ribarette	-	380	60
Le Henry		-	Le Chevar, de Villard -	-	380	66
Le Courtesan	•		Le Cheva ² . d'Interville	-	380	6 6
L'Oseletant		-	Le Cheva*, il Chaumerant		420	66
L'Apollon	•,		M. Le Baron d'Arris		350	56
Le Bizarre	-	-	M. de Pointes	-	400	68
Le Hardi -	-		M. du Quisnenonier		330	54
L'Arrogant	44		M. de Sartovis		350	54
L'Indien -		-	Mons ^r . De la Boissiere		330	56
Le Cattrieau	-	- 1	M. de Matheux	• •	330	54
L'Eole -		-	M. le Chev. de la Rogiere	-	380	66
Le Furieux	• `		M. de Combes - ·		350	66
L'Entendu			M. le Chev ^r . de Chantare	-	350	60
Le Diamant	-	- ^	M. le Chev ^z . de Tozerne	-	350	66
L'Ardent -	٠	-	M. de la Rochlard	-	350	66
Le Neptune	-		Comte de Betune		280	50
Le Furon -		0	M. de Moaspon		350	60
Le Vermendois	3	-	Le Marq. Contre Blani		350	60
L'Oseurile	-	-	Le Marq, de Chaureud	-	380	68
La Perle -	-	-	Le Viscomte de Croloquon -	-	300 -	50
Le More -		-	M. Darquis	-	300	60
				1	1	

e des Vaisseaux du Roi armés à Toulon l'année [16]96-cont.

1696.

No. 1082.

Va:	isse	eaux.			Hommes.	Canons.					
ep	rei	ant	- 1	M. de Traupe	۰	-				350	58
306		-	-	M. de Caroly	-	-	4		-	350	60
(0		e	-	M. le Bail de l	Leran	e -	~	v	-	830	52

Frigates 2, Galiotes 2, Burlôts 6.

eby. 28. This day we received advice that the cordage made at Marseilles for the ships at Toulon, consisting chiefly of great cables, would not be ready to be sent from thence in less than eight days; that the enemy continued their utmost diligence in fitting out the fleet; and it was believed all the ships would be at the isles of Hyères by the end of this month, and after that go to sea with the first fair wind, and it was fully believed they designed to pass the Straits, having taken provisions for two months. March 5. Advice this day received says that the enemy press very much the departure of the fleet, and it was believed they would not be there on the 10th of March 1695-6, N.S. March 5. We did also receive a further account this day that the fleet commanded by Mons' de Chateau-Renault was still at Toulon, in number about 50 sail, and that instead of two months, as was at first ordered, they had taken in provisions for four months; but that the number of seamen was not yet complete, which retarded their departure. [March] 9th. We this day received advice that the enemy's fleet was in the road of Toulon the 29th of Feby., and that it was believed they could not be ready to sail before the 5th of this month. March 11th. By a letter of this date it is said that 7 other men of war were added to the Toulon squadron, so that their whole fleet consisted of 57 men of war, great and small, besides attenders; that they were ready to depart whensoever they should have orders, as also their galleys: That the Count de Chateau-Renault, who commanded them in chief, was not then arrived there, but expected suddenly from Paris, as also that they intended other mariners from West France, their ships not being so fully manued as they have given them out by their lists. [March] 18. Another letter of this day's date says that the Toulon fleet was seen at the isles of Hyères the 14th or 15th instant, at anchor; that they had put to sea, but were soon forced back by contrary winds and bad weather, which continued, so that they must consequently be still there; that they were about 56 sail of men of war, great and small, and but meanly manned. [March] 19th. In a letter of this date it is said the enemy report they will certainly pass the Straits with the Toulon squadron. March 25, A letter of this day's date says that on the 22nd instant there were seen at the Isles of Hyères the French fleet, who were there at anchor, being detained by strong westerly winds and tempestuous weather, which still continuing, there is little probability of their getting thence in some time. [March] 26 [16]96. By a letter of this date we receive advice that the French fleet consisting of 52 frigates, 6 fireships, and 2 bomb ketches, sailed

from Toulon the 20th instant; but that, to appearance, the w did not many hours favour them, and that of late the weat had been boisterous, at W. and S.W., so that it was likely t might still be detained at the isles of Hyères. April 2nd. I letter of this date it is said that on the 20th past, Mons. Chate Renault with the fleet put out from Toulon, but the wind not long continue for them, so that they were forced bac the islands of Hvères, and that the weather had been upw of a week very boisterous at W. and S.W., so that according all appearance they were yet there for shelter, and would likely sneak back again. April 9th. A letter of this date that it was generally concluded the Toulon fleet are shrunk back into port again, or fast at anchor at the island April 10th. We had this day intelligence that French had been seen the 15th March before Barcelona, h counted there 45 sail, and that the 12th they parted Toulon, being then in all 60; that nothing had been hear them since from any of the ports, so that probably they n have felt the effects of the storms, otherwise they would soon at Cadiz. April 12th. The French fleet came on Toulon the 3rd March, consisting of 60 sail of men of war 5 fireships, 8 of which were three-deck ships. Mons. Chair Renault, Admiral of the White and Blue, in a ship of 112 and a Vice-Admiral of the White and Blue, in a ship of guns; a Rear-Admiral in a ship of 60 or 70 guns; a Admiral of the Blue in a ship of 60 or 70 guns, and a l Admiral in a ship of 60 or 70 guns, having a great numb men on board of all their ships. On the 4th of April, at I the French fleet was seen off Cape Gatt [Gata]; the mentioned Flags were seen, but could not discover abov or 45 sail, which seemed to be of force for a line of ba their number in all 61 sail. April 16. By another lett this date we were informed that the French fleet sailed the island of Hvères the 22nd of March, and that since said time there were no tidings of them, but in all appear considering the bad weather which had been, some dis might probably befall them. April 20th. The French fleet seen off Gibraltar, consisting of 74 sail, great and small, so to the westward. April 26. We received this day advice oath, before the mayor of Bristol, from the master of a mer ship, that he had intelligence the 30th March last (E style) that the Toulon fleet had before that put into Al bay, and was departed thence in order to pass into Ocean, and that on the 9th of April instant (same advice was likewise brought by three several proprie expresses, that the said fleet having been forced bac strong westerly winds, was again put into Almeria ba were then coming down, and that on the 11th of the April, about noon, he arrived at Gibraltar, and the same ev sailed thence in company of six of his Majesty's ships of returning from cruising in the Mediterranean, where remembers the Rochester, Lyme, and Adventure to be having a Levant wind, and that being passed the Straits the men of war parted for Cadiz, and the deponent made the of his way home, and that the Levant wind continued wi deponent about 36 hours after his passing the Straits' mouth,

he apprehends it not sufficient to bring the Toulon fleet into the Ocean; and further that he at his arrival at Gibraltar was advised that Admiral Rooke was sailed from Cadiz 10 days before. April 28th. The 24th were seen off the rock of Lisbon 10 or 12 ships standing to the northward. The 25th a fleet was seen of about 40 sail very large ships, which was concluded to be the French fleet. May 9th. By a letter of this date from off Dartmouth, we received an Information of Thomas Ford, as follows: -On Saturday the 2nd instant in the latitude of 45, Cape Finisterre then bearing south, distant 40 leagues, or thereabouts, met with a fleet of French men of war of 40 sail, whereof 34 are ships from 50 to 80 guns a piece, so near as I could judge, then steering away N.N.E., wind then W.N.W., four of them being flag-ships, that is Vice-Admiral and Rear-Admiral of the White, and Vice-Admiral and Rear-Admiral of the Blue. On Tuesday the 5th instant, being 2 leagues to the northward of Ushant, met with three French men of war, which commanded the boat on board, being ships of 40 guns, and gave an account of the Toulon fleet being arrived at Brest, they belonging to them, and when we met with the Grand Fleet they did not command our boat, but hailed us. I suppose they could not reach Brest, but are somewhere about Belleisle. Dated on board his Majesty's ship Oxford, off Portland, this 8th day of May, 1696. May 10th. This day we received intelligence that the 4th of May (new style) about 3 in the afternoon, passed by Lisbon the French from Toulon, as near as could be discovered about 63 sail in all, of which about 50 men of war. That about 5 in the evening came into the river of Lisbon four of our men of war, chased by a squadron of French, who afterwards followed the body of their fleet, who stood northward, not far from the shore, the wind being yesterday in the evening and all that day N.W., a fine breeze, and the weather and season such as was like to continue so, which gave hopes that the intelligence might arrive before the French fleet could get in the Channel. May 11th. This day came the advice that the St. John Baptist from Bilbon arrived at Plymouth the 8th instant, the master whereof gives the following information, viz.: That on Monday last, about 25 leagues S.W. from Ushant, he fell in with the French Toulon fleet, consisting of about 70 sail, 50 whereof were fighting-ships. The lieutenant of one of the French men of war was on board him, and told that they were resolved to put into the first port they could make, and therefore it is presumed they may be got into Brest before now. May 12th. By a letter of this date from off the Lizard we received an account that it was believed the enemy passed along the coast of Portugal, and though they might then be at Belleisle, yet it was probable the late east winds might have brought them to Brest. May 12. Mr. Manual Mayon de Hora, master of a Portugal ship, gave an account that on the 3rd (new style) the Toulon fleet sailed by the rock of Lisbon, northward. May 12. The following account from Capt. Bowles of the Lyme was sent us :- On the 2nd of April at 8 at night came a Spanish boat with advice by letter from the Consul at Malaga, that the French fleet were seen off Almeria bay the 28th March last, plying to the westward, a copy of which letter I gave to some of the merchantmen of our company, and on the 5th of April the Adventure and we with the merchantmen came into the bay of

1696. No. 1082. 1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

Gibraltar. The 7th ditto we made several boards, but could get nothing, the wind blowing strong westerly, and the nex day did the like; and on the 9th ditto we heard that the Frene fleet were plying to the westward off Cape de Gatt [Gata] and that eight of their best sailers were got as low as Maderi [Madeira]. On the 12th April, there coming up a fresh Levan we got through the Straits and lay off Cape Spartel to observ their motion. At 4 afternoon the 13th do. we made four s coming down under the Barbary shore; we made them plain to be French ships, and judged them to be their scouts. The chased us till night; we lay by till next morning between St. Pedro and Traseldegar [Trafalgar]. The 14th at 1 after noon espied the same 4 sail, who chased us again and forced a into Cadiz bay, where, on the 16th, we had an advice express from Gibraltar that the French fleet was at anchor the eastward of that place, much about where the Lumley Cast was lost, and the four ships that chased us were seen off Terre [Tarifa] cruising from side to side, while their fleet lay re Gibraltar. May 14th. By a letter of this date we had adv of the return to Toulon of several men of war, which we intended to pass the Straits with Chateau-Renault's squadro but by stormy weather were disabled; and that one thereof w the Neptune, a ship of 60 guns. Extract of a letter from 1 Thomas Ford dated the 14th of May 1696; received the 17 "This serves only to acquaint your Honours that coming from Oporto in the ship, Monts de Carmuo, bound for Rotterdam, the latitude of 45°, 40 leagues N. from Cape Finisterre, I with the Toulon fleet from the Straits, they being in all ships, of the which I suppose 20 sail 50 guns, and the rest from 60 to 80. The 2nd day of May, they then steering N.N.E., wind then westerly, but in a short time at N.W., and contin so for four or five days, and having seen them the day before storm of wind at W.N.W., they carrying their main top 8 to get clear the coast of Gollizar [Galicia], they being windward, the wind then veering more westerly. In the n made towards them as nigh as I thought convenient, and in morning early, supposing [them] to be our English fleet fr Cadiz, paid my respects to the Vice-Admiral very nigh, took no notice of us, they unwilling to be discovered what t were, and falling astern too got clear of the rear of their f they all sailing very heavily, and I willing to go more northe was forced to go a little touch through the rear of their fle and at last when clear, and thought to have steered my coast, met with one of their scouts a league and a half dist who brought me to and commanded the boat on board a of 50 guns, where lay a dispute of half an hour, using w arguments he could to make a surprisal of us, but at last tur us off, they all being in great fear of our fleet, and had we 25 sail of our 3rd and 4th rates they would have done their w in two hours to have destroyed them all, they all being sl that sailed very heavy, and many of them old broken-bac rotten ships. 1 took as great notice as I could of them, cannot suppose that they were arrived at Brest, but believe t might gain Belleisle, or the parts near adjoining, the wind prov northerly. This I thought in duty bound to advise your Hono and have done the like at the backside of the isle of Wigh Admiral Rooke at his going forth. May 19th. We had

1696. No. 1082.

account that on the 14th were seen in Brest water all the ships, which were 22 sail, whereof 17 or 18 great ships for the line of battle, and nine or ten of them three-decked ships, whereof four flags; and that the 16th of May were seen in Brest water about 20 sail of ships and one blue flag at the fore-topmast head; as also that, on the said 16th of May, we had an account from the officer of the Foudriant, being a French ship taken which sailed from Brest the 13th instant, that the Toulon fleet arrived at Brest the 5th instant, and were 47 sail of men of war of their line, besides four which were forced back to Toulon by damage at sea. The 18th instant the captain of the aforesaid prize likewise gave an account that the Toulon fleet arrived at Brest the 15th of May (N.S.), consisting of 47 men of war and some other frigates and fireships. May 27. We this day received advice that some French prisoners gave an account that the Toulon fleet arrived at Brest the 15th inst. (N.S.), in number 54, and that they joined 17 great ships that lay there ready, which was the strength of their fleet, by what could be got from the prisoners, and that they were indifferently well manned. By Command of the Commissioners, signed Wm. Bridgeman. Endorsed 22 March 1696.

25 March 1697. Papers presented to the Select Committee

from the Admiralty this day, pursuant to Orders of 22 March (see Notes above, and Com. Book of dates). They are as follows:—

1. A list of H.M. Ships in the Downs on the 11th of April

1696 :--Rates.

> 1st. Victory. London. 2nd. Duchess. Sandwich. Albemarle. Ossory. St. Michael. 3. Elizabeth. Burford. Devonshire. Monk. Montague. Suffolk. Cumberland. Expedition. Resolution. Hampton Court. Stirling Castle. Lion.

Norfolk.
Lincoln.
Southampton.
Severn.

Northumberland.

Rates.

Kingfisher.
Colchester.
Newcastle.
Advice.
Windsor.
Woolwich.
Tiger.
Prince of O

Prince of Orange.
Archangel.
Princess Anne.
Loyal Merchant.
6th. Dunwich.

5th. Griffin.
St. Vincent.
Vulture.
Hawk.
Crescent.
Fortune.
Owner's Love.
Firebrand.

Machine.

One bomb-vessel.

Five brigantines.

fireships.

By command of the Commissioners,

Signed Wm. Bridgeman,

2. Copies of Orders of 11 and 15 April from the Admiralty to
Sir Cloudesley Shovell, being Nos. (56) and (62) of (c) above.

3. 25 March 1697. Answer of the Admiralty to Order of the
Select Committee of 22 March (see Notes above) requiring an

account in writing at what time the Order for the fleet's sailing

1 6 9 6. No. 1082. from Cadiz was communicated to them. It appears from the books and papers that on 24 March D. Shrewsbury communicated to them a copy of a letter from Sir George Rooke, dated to them a copy of a letter from Sir George Rooke, dated to them a copy of a letter from Sir George Rooke, dated to the second of the King's Ord for his coming to England with his squadron, and on 9 A following a letter was received from Sir G. Rooke, dated 9 March, stating that he was ready to execute the King's Ord for Jan., sent him under D. Shrewsbury's cover, and that should put to sea with the very first opportunity of with Dated Admiralty Office, 24 March 1696-7. Signed Bridgeman. [Read this day. Com. Book.]

(k.) 26 March 1697. L. Haversham's abstract of letters relat to Sir G. Rooke's return from Cadiz. His Majesty se Orders of the date Jan. 27, 1695-6, to Sir Geo. Rooke for sail from Cadiz with the first opportunity, which were sent un the D. of Shrewsbury's cover (note by clerk-The 24th F ruary 1695-6 is the date of Sir G. Rooke's letter to the D. Shrewsbury, which gives notice of the receipt of his Majest letter of 27th January). Sir Geo. Rooke from Cadiz, March 9th, acquaints the Lords of the Admiralty, and sends then copy of his Majesty's Orders (note by clerk-Quære the Ord By four Orders, bearing date March 3rd, from the Admira Sir Geo. Rooke is commanded to repair to Spithead, and le there the ships that needed refitting, and, if of 50 guns and un putting them in the best posture of defence to prevent insults of the enemy, and that he should proceed to the Do with the rest of the ships under his command, and conti there till further direction from the Board, and that he sho send them immediate word of his arrival. And by four Or the 6th of March he is commanded, notwithstanding for Orders, to bring with him to the Downs all the bomb vessels fireships. The 24th of March the Admiralty is acquainted the D. of Shrewsbury with his Majesty's Orders of 27th January for Sir Geo. Rooke's sailing. The 30th of March Geo. Rooke sails from Cadiz, as by his letter from the Liv the 22nd of April, wherein he gives account to the Admir that the ordering some light frigates might turn to good acco since the Toulon squadron brought many merchant ships, by considering the winds and other circumstances, in his opin the Toulon squadron must be within the Straits. On the Sir Geo. Rooke acquaints the Admiralty that he had received their several Orders for sailing to the Downs, which he we punctually observe, though he feared it might not be so con nient, because Spithead was a more proper place to take the extra naval stores, which were best done before they in provisions; which advice if they followed, he desired Or to be sent him to Portsmouth. On the 9th May, N.S., Tho. Ford sends an account that he fell in with the Fr fleet, which was 40 in line of battle, besides merchants 68 very heavily, and that they seemed to be shaken old ships, that 25 of 3rd or 4th rate men of war would have destre them all. On the 24th of April the Admiralty acquaints chief officer in the Downs of Sir Geo. Rooke's arrival off Lizard the 22nd of April. Endorsed as read this day.

(1) 26 March 1697. Admiralty Orders between 14 Feb. 169 and 1st June 1696. Signed W. Bridgeman. Endorse

read this day. (See Notes above, of 18, 22, and 25 March, as to Toulon fleet, pp. 307, 309.) The Orders are the following:—

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

- 1) 14 Feb. 1695-6, to Henry Greenhill, Esq., Commissioner of H.M. Navy at Portsmouth. As soon as ships fitting out at Portsmouth shall have got all their guns and stores aboard, and a fitting proportion of provisions to keep them safe at Spithead, he is to order them out thither, where they are to get the rest of their provisions and get ready for sea with all speed. Signed E. Russell, R. Austen, R. Rich. Countersigned W. Bridgeman.
- 2) is No. (8) in $(c)^1$ above.
- 3) 23 Feb. 1695-6, to the Commander-in-Chief of H.M. ships at Spithead and St. Helens, to use all possible diligence in carrying out yesterday's Orders (c¹ No. 12), ordering the various ships to sail at once when ready, tiding it, if necessary, to the Downs. Signed H. P., R. A., J. H. Countersigned J. B.
- 4) is No. (9) in $(c)^1$ above.
- 5) 24 Feb. 1695-6, to Capt. Aston, H. M. Master Attendant on Float, to hasten as much as possible the fitting out of all ships of war, fireships and brigantines, despatch them to the places where they are to take on board their guns, provisions, stores and fireworks, and thence to the Buoy of the Nore, apprising the Admiralty at once of anything which may obstruct their immediate despatch, and keeping them informed of the progress made. Signed H. P., R. A., R. R. Countersigned J. B.
- (6) is No. (10) in (c)1 above.
- (7) is No. (11) ,, ,
- (8) is No. (13) ", ",
- (9) is No. (15) ", ",
- 10) is No. (24)
- 11) is No. (25) ,, ,
- 12) is No. (27) ,, ,,
- 13) is No. (34) ,, ,
- 14) is No. (54) ,, ,,
- 15) is No. (55) , , ,
- 16) is No. (56) ,, ,,
- 17) is No. (57) ,, ,,
- 18) is No. (60) ,, ,,
- 19) is No. (62) ,, ,, 20) is No. (67) ,, ,,
- 21) is No. (76) ,, ,,
- 22) 29 April 1696. Letter from the Commissioners of the Admiralty to Sir George Rooke at Spithead, as follows:—Sir, This brings you Orders for your proceeding with the fleet into the Soundings, to which we have nothing more to add than that his Majesty is very earnest the fleet should sail as soon as 'tis possible, f.r., if any time be lost, you are sensible the service intended may be

1 6 9 6. No. 1082. frustrated. We are, Sir, your very humble servants. Signe H.P., R.R., J.K.

- (23) is No. (77) in $(c)^1$ above.
- (24) is No. (78) ,, ,,
- (25) is No. (81) , ,
- (26) is No. (82) ,, ,,
- (27) refers to No. (65) in (c)¹ above, and quotes the clause directing the capture of merchant vessels brought into these seas by the Toulon squadron.
- (m) 27 March 1696. Papers endorsed as received from Mr Bridgeman this day. They are the following:—
- (m)¹ Admiralty Order of 25 April 1696 to Sir Cloud: Shove being No. (65) in (c)¹ above.
- (m)² Admiralty Order of 27 April 1696 to Sir George Rooks being No. (69) in (c)¹ above.
- (n) 29th March 1697. Answer of the Admiralty to Order of Select Committee of 22 March, requiring an account in writin at what time the Order for the fleet's sailing from Cadiz we communicated to them. It appears from the Books and Paper of the Admiralty that, the Board attending the King at Kensington on 1 March 1695-6, his Majesty directed that Orders should be lodged for Sir Geo: Rooke at Portsmouth and Plymoust and that the Board should consider what might be necessary refit any of the ships that come with him. On 24 Mar D. Shrewsbury communicated to them a letter from Sir Geo Rooke, dated Cadiz, 24 Feb., wherein he owns he received thing's Orders for his coming to England with his squadron & as in (i) above. Dated Admiralty Office, 27 March 168 Signed W. Bridgeman. Endorsed as received from Mr. Bridgeman on 27th March and read on 29th. [See also Com. Book.]
- (o) 31 March 1697. Paper endorsed Mr. Bateman's List of sh to whom letters of mart have been given or refused, follows:—Letters of mart were freely granted to such tradiships as were not embargoed till about May or June, 1694, then denied to the ship Herne, burthen about 700 tons, earrying 60 guns, bound to the Straits, Capt. Thomas Barre commander, and, upon the denying of her, denied all other Thereupon, in June, 1694, a petition, signed by a great num of merchants, was presented to her late Majesty and Cour praying that the Lords of the Admiralty might be directed grant letters of mart to trading ships, as formerly; wh being referred to the Admiralty, their Lordships reported to it was fitting and convenient to grant letters of mart to trad ships of 200 tons, and 20 guns or upwards, but not to sma ships; and accordingly they granted two letters of mart to Carmarthen and Cloudesly galleys. [In margin-begins of July, 1694.] Thereupon application again was made to Admiralty for a letter of mart for the Herne, being within rules of the said report, which was denied, and another stop; but soon after a letter of mart was granted to ship St. Margaret. [In margin—Middle or latter end of J 1694] Then application was again made for the Herne other ships, but all refused, yet two of those ships, viz.,

1696.

No. 1082.

Upton galley, and Blackham frigate, that were then asked for and denied with the Herne, in a little time afterwards had letters of mart granted them. [In margin, Sept. 1694.] And then a new application was made for the Herne and another ship called the Stratford frigute, and denied; whereupon the owners, Sir Joseph Herne and Mr. Peers, about October, 1694, petitioned her Majesty in Council for letters of mart for those two ships, and had two or three several references and orders to the Admiralty, but could not obtain letters of mart, and the Herne went to sea without an English commission, though, as I was informed, she had a Dutch letter of mart. [In margin, November, 1694.] From that time to August or September last I kept no minutes or memorandums, so cannot be particular; but I remember that in that time there bath been a great number of letters of mart granted to trading ships, I believe about a hundred; but there hath been many stops in that time, sometimes for a fortnight or a month or two, then grant them again and then stop, and then the ice would be broken again, and so on to August or Sept. 1696. [Date in margin.] Then letters of mart were granted to the Shrewsbury and Surah galleys, and a few days after denied to the Ormond and Massarcene galleys, but lately hath been granted to the Hawk frigate and 8 or 10 more trading ships. I take no notice of privateers, but such only as have license to trade. Signed, Jn. Bateman.

- p) 31 March 1697. Answers of the Commissioners of the Admiralty to Seven Questions put to them by the Select Committee on 29 March (see Notes above, p. 312). Dated this day, when also they were delivered in to the Committee (see Notes above, p. 312). Marked No. (2), as being the second part of the Committee's Report, made on 14 April. They are printed in extenso in L. J., XVI. 154-5; but the concluding words, "of a French invasion," are omitted in the Journal entry.
- q) 5 April 1697. Answers of same to Six Questions put to them by the Select Committee on 31 March (see Notes above, p. 312). Dated this day, when also they were delivered in to the ('ommittee (ib.), Marked No. (3), as being the third part of the Committee's Report, made on 14 April. They are printed in extense in L. J., XVI. 156.
- r) 12 April 1697. Answers of same to Questions put to them by the Select Committee on 5 April (see Notes above, p. 312). Dated this day, when also they were delivered in to the Committee (ib.). Marked No. (4), as being the fourth part of the Committee's Report, made on 14 April. Printed in extense in L.J., XVI. 157, with the following omissions:—In Question 2 the list of ships, which is identical with the list in (c) 46 above, is omitted; as also the particulars annexed to Answer 2, and entitled "A List of the 49 ships mentioned in the Order of the Lords Committees of the House of Peers of the 5th April '97, with a weekly account of their stations, victuals and men, from the 2nd of March '9\frac{1}{16}\$ to the 29th April '96," are also omitted, a note in L. J. stating that they had not been entered because not read. The L. J. also omits the list

1696. No. 1082.

annexed to Answer 5, which is the first annex to the Commissioners' Answers, and is as follows:

	illed with Adml. Bussell from the Downs the 27 Feb. (95-6.	il. from Ports- Feb., off the	oined the Admi. from the River the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	oined the Admi, from the coast of Norfolk the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	Adml. from Ply- 28 Feb., on the 1st.	on the coast of Feb.	Number of Men	
Ships' Names.	Sailed with Adml. Russell from the Downs the 27 Feb. /85-6.	Joined the Adml. from Ports- mouth the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	Joined the Adml. from the River the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	Joined the Adml. from the coast of Norfolk the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	Joined the Adml. from mouth the 28 Feb., French Coast.	With the Adml, on the coast of France the 28 Feb.	Borne.	Mustered,
1.								
Victory	1 1	-		· warner	_	1	682	56
3.								
Devonshire	1	-	_	dena		1	115	425
Elizabeth	ron	-	1	-		1	380	318
Hampton Court			1	1	*****	1	399	344
Northumberland		1	mate	-		1	410	377
Defiance	1		4 4984	- 5	-	1	370	345
Montague		and the same of th	. 1	- '		1	316	215
Monk		1	ettert.	quarte	-	1	320	29(
Berwick	atrition 1 1	1	-			1	385	30-
Captain'		1	<u></u> ,	1		1	300	36
Mary	-	.1	,	mine	_	1	278	27
Royal Oak	-	*****	1			1	250	21
4.	1	_						- 1
Portland	-		-	_	1	1	202	2
Loyal Merchant		derest	1	-	-	1	110	- 1
Centurion	-	-	quests	1		1	226	2
Bonadventure Hired		NAMES .	1		_	1	190	-1
Lincoln	_		-	1	Smight	1	195	
Kingfisher	-	1		- Whole	_	1	172	-1
Severn	-	-	-	1		1		-1
Norwich	_		-	_	1	1	209	Ш
Woolwich	1	-	-	-	-	1	254	П
Anglesey	177		***************************************	_	1	1	240	ч
Lichfield	-	-	_	Married States	1	1	234	н
Newcastle	1	-	when	-		1	189	и
Southampton	easts .	,1	wite			1	228	1
Colchester	1	- Ama	*****			1	229	
Burlington	w-les	1			_	1	197	
Princess Aune	1	-	****			1	167	
Tiger		1				1	191	

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

		_	THE PARTY NAMED IN							
Ships' Names.		Sailed with Adml. Russell from the Downs the 27 Feb. /95-6.	Joined the Adml. from Portsmouth the 27 Reb., off the back of the Goodwin.	Joined the Admil. from the Biver the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	Joined the Admil from the coast of Norfolk the 27 Feb., off the back of the Goodwin.	ined the Adml. from Ply- mouth the 28 Feb., on the French Cos-t.	With the Adml. on the coast of France the 28 Feb.	Number of Men		
			Sailed with Ad	Joined the Admouth the	Joined the Adm the 27 Feb., the Goodwin	Joined the Admi. from to for Norfolk the 27 Feb.	Joined the Adml. from mouth the 28 Feb., of French Coa-t.	With the Adml. on t France the 28 Feb.	Borne.	Mustered.
tion		-	1			-	_	1	161	155
π	-		months	1				1	292	272
		- [*****	1		-	-	1	182	177
of Orange -		- 1	1		-	-	_	1	-	_
5.										
rize	-	-	1	-	-	a		1	156	156
George -	-	-	-	-	-cops		1		104	104
Talley -		-	_	-	-	1	-	1	180	131
Prize =	-	-	1	_	-			1	106	104
ı Factor -		-	1			*******		1		moved
6.										
	-	-]	1		www		_ ^ ′		0-04	
ink		-	1		_	-	_	-		_
Ketch -	-	- 1	1				_	_		-
tta Yacht -		-	1		Branchille		-			-
Brigantine		-	1				2	4000	-	
Fireships.										
e	-	-	-	1				1	45	44
			-				1	1	46	46
	-	- 1	1	-		-		1	50	48

There are also eight columns giving the number of days' provision on board each ship of Bread, Beer, Beef, Pork, Pease, Oatmeal, Butter, and Cheese.

(s) 12 April 1697. Answer of same to Questions put to them by the Select Committee on 9 April (see Notes above, p. 313).

Dated this day, when also it was delivered in to the Committee.

Marked No. (5), as being the fifth part of the Committee's Report, made on 14 April. Printed in extenso in L. J., XVI. 158.

(1) 12 April 1697. Answers of Sir Geo. Rooke to Questions put to him by the Select Committee (see Notes above, 26 March, p. 311, and next paper). Endorsed with this day's date, and read this day at the Committee (see Notes above, p. 313). Marked No. (6), as being the sixth part of the Committee's Report, made on 14 April. Printed in extenso in L. J., XVI. 158.

made on 14 April. Printed in extenso in L. J., XVI. 158.

(n) 12 April 1697. Letter from Sir George Rooke to the Select Committee, enclosing preceding answers, dated Jermyn Street, this day, as follows:—My Lords, This being the first day, after

1 6 9 6. No. 1082. a sharp and tedious sickness, that my physician or my friend would suffer me to look at any papers or concern myself in an kind of business, and your Lordships' Order of the 27th ultin (with the questions inclosed) being of the first consequence, we the first papers that came to my sight and perusal; in answer to which I do humbly offer to your Lordships the inclose which, in regard my Journal and all papers relating to the service are before your Lordships, I hope may be particult enough for your Lordships' satisfaction, which is the earned desire of, My Lords, Your Lordships' most obedient and humber servant. G. Rooke. [Read this day. Com. Book. S.

Notes above, p. 313.

(v) 12 April 1697. Copy of Letter from Admiral Russell to t. Lords of the Admiralty, dated Victory off Graveling, th 28th of February 1695-6, as follows :- Right Honourable, I a now at an anchor off Graveling, my Lord Berkeley half w between me and Dunkirk. I ordered Sir Cloudesley Shove into Bullen [Boulogne] Bay, where there was no ship or bar I sailed close along Calais, which place is crowded with: sorts of embarkations; it was impossible to count them, but cannot judge them less than three or four hundred sail of sorts, with their sails to the yards. I saw them very busy shore removing guns from one place to another, and belie they took the tenders with me to be bomb-vessels. I wish to or three had been with me; possibly they might have done so execution on the ships lying so thick, which were hardly to missed. I had two ships within half gun-shot of their batterie several bombs and shot went over them, but did little or no m chief. In Flemish Road I see about 17 sail of ships, great a small, which I believe is all they have to convoy over the tran port ships, believing, as some prisoners tell me, we had not a ships fit for the sea. Whether any thing can be done up those ships I cannot inform you. The pilots I have talked w say they cannot be attacked as they lie. I am so perfec stranger to the place, that I must govern myself by those the have been here, and therefore resolve, to-morrow morning to discover, with my Lord Berkeley and Sir Cloudes Shovell, as also the pilots. What can be attempted my be without delay, for this coast at this time of the year is very good place to be upon. I hope the enemy's designare frustrated, seeing such a fleet together. I can ne think they will attempt crossing the sea, when you are mast of it. I believe such a squadron, from so many places in so sh a time to join, was never before seen. The Plymouth ships ca to me this morning. I do not see any likelihood that there will occasion to make use of so great a fleet. If you please to consi whether, if no occasion offers, the whole shall be kept together I think they should not be out of call, lest a greater streng is to come from West France, which for my own part I do believe, but conclude, with the ships I see off Dunkirk, the tra port vessels would have been over in a few days. nothing more to trouble you with. Signed Edw. Russ P.S.—This comes to you by the Smyrna Factor, which s I have ordered into the Downs, and to remain there for y directions, unless there be any packet to be brought to me w diligence. Enclosed I send you the List of the Ships with 1

and to-morrow, if the weather be fair, I intend to send the Victory back to the Downs. I have ordered the Mermaid and Jolly to cruise off Rye Bay, E. R. Certified as a copy by Wm. Bridgeman. [Read this day at the Select Committee, having been delivered in by Mr. Bridgeman. See Notes above, p, 313.]

1 6 9 6. No. 1082.

(v)¹ List, appended to preceding, of his Majesty's Ships and those of the States General now in the Fleet. Victory, 28 Feb. 1695-6.

	English.			English.		
Rate.	Ships.	Guns.	Rate.	Ships.	Gui	
1.	Victory -	- 100	4.	Prince George		8
3.	Devonshire	- 80		Burlington -		0
	Elizabeth -	- 70		Princess Anne		8
	Hampton Court	- 70		Tiger	- 5	0
	Northumberland	- 70		Coronation		8
	Defiance -	- 60		Windsor -		0
	Montague	- 60	5.	Mary galley		0
	Monk	~ 60		Virgin prize -	- 4	0
	Berwick -	- 70		Smyrna Factor	~ 4	0:
	Royal Oak -	- 74		Hawk)		
	Captain -	- 70		Griffin fireship	os.	
	Mary	- 60		Vulture)		
4.	Portland -	- 50		Dutch.		
	Loyal Merchant	- 46		De Conien -	- 6	4
	Centurion -	- 50		Friezland -	- 6	4
	Bonadventure	- '48	,	Gröningen -	- 3	8
	Lincoln -	- 50		Zeeland -	6	60
	Kingfisher	4 8		D'Unie -	- 9	2
	Severn -	- 50		De Ridderschap	- 7	2
	Norwich -	- 50		Haarlem -	- 6	64
	Woolwich -	- 54		Soes-dike -	- 3	88
	Anglescy -	- 50		Alckmaer -	- 7	2
	Lichfield -	50		Prince Friso	- 6	34
	Newcastle -	- 54		D'Amiaten -	- 5	50
	Southampton	- 50		Amsteedam ,	- 6	34
	Colchester -	- 50		Brander -		-
	Ruby prize	- 44		Salamander		-
	Advice -	- 50				
	210000	-		XX7 T)		

WM. BRIDGEMAN.

(w) 14 April 1697.—Prefatory part of Report of Select Committee made this day, and printed almost in extenso in L. J., XVI. 153. It is marked (1), and, together with the Answers of the Admiralty and of Sir Geo. Rooke (Annexes p to tabove), forms the Report printed in L. J., XVI. 153-8.

1083. Nov. 30. Mynors' Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act for the settlement of the manor of Treyagoe in the county of Hereford and other the lands late of Crompton Mynors, Esquire, deceased, and for raising and increasing of the portion of Theodosia Mynors, the daughter of the said Crompton, she being an infant of the age of seventeen years. The names of the trustees were inserted in Lords' Select Committee. No Amendment in the Commons. [Read 1st this day. Royal Assent 11 Jan. following. L. J., XVI. 23, 55. 8 & 9 W. III. c. 7 in Long Cal.]

1 6 9 6. — No. 1083. Annexed:

(a) Paper of Lords' Amendments in Select Committee. above.

(b) Paper containing the names of the trustees to be inserted the Bill.

1084. Nov. 30. Horner v. Popham.—Paper stating that when Petition of Mr. Horner and others is presented relating to some I proceedings in Chancery, where the question will be whether the sa were formerly in judgment before and determined by the House Lords or not, Mr. Popham desires a copy of the Petition and time answer. [Found in MS. Min. under this day's date. See L. J., X 119.]

1085. Dec. 7.—Ashton's Estate Act. Amended Draft of an Accuable Sir Ralph Ashton, Bart., to supply an omission of a limitar intended in his Marriage Settlement for the benefit of his issue m The Lords' Amendment and the Amendments made by the Commare of a formal character. [Read 1ª this day. Royal Assent 8 Ma following. L. J., XVI. 32, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 20 in Long (See also Com. Book, 11 Jan. 1696-7.]

1086. Dec. 9.—E. Bradford's Privilege (Charles Brockwell).—(tificate of E. Bradford that Charles Brockwell is his menial servand secretary. Dated this day. Signed Bradford. Endorsed

Bradford's Privilege broke, 9 Dec. 1696.

[This day the House was informed that a breach of Privilege committed against the E. Bradford by his servant's goods being set Frances Brockwell (sworn) says her wearing clothes were taken an attorney and constable, for diet and boarding. One Robert Lois landlord and Joan his wife, and one Bland, an attorney. There a constable. Ordered, that Robert Loder and Joan, his wife, in D Street in Fetter Lane, and Bland, Attorney at Law, in Wharton Conear Holborn Bars, attend to-morrow to answer the complaint.—10 Dec. the House was informed that Robert Loder and Bland h submitted themselves to E. Bradford, and he desires that the preedings may in this case be set aside. MS. Min. No entry L. J.]

Annexed :-

(a.) Paper containing the names and addresses of Robert Land Bland, as given in the Order in Note above. Appende preceding.

1087. Dec. 10.—Kettle v. Townsend. Petition and Appeal Elizabeth Kettle, widow, and Joseph Kettle, her son, an intant, his said mother and prochein amie. In Michaelmas Term 1686 Edv Townsend, Executor of Joseph Kettle, exhibited his Bill in Chansetting forth that the latter had bequeathed by will to his son, Appellant Joseph, a leasehold messuage in Cambridge, held of St. Jo College there, together with twenty acres of free land, charged an annuity of 30l. a year to Appellant Elizabeth, his widow, was to have two rooms for her habitation during her widowho some of the household goods were left to Joseph, and the rest div equally between the Appellant Elizabeth and Elizabeth Chary; one John Wooton was appointed guardian to Joseph for these prem during his nonage. He also devised to Joseph 130 acres of free adventure lands in Waterbeach, and his freehold and copyhold la &c. in Cottenham, and his copyhold messuages and tenement Landbeach and Waterbeach, with 20 acres of freehold lands in Mi

is, charged with some legacies, and Townsend and one William tle were appointed guardians of Joseph for these premises, the itees being empowered to enter and enjoy the premises if their icies were not paid; and the Testator, estimating that his personal te and his lease at Denny would bring 1,500l., if sold, willed that executors Townsend and William Kettle should pay off the legacies of that sum, and lay out the residue in lands to be settled on Petitioner eph. The Testator died in June 1686, having first surrendered the wholds in Cottenham, Landbeach, and Waterbeach to the use of the I, and Townsend alone proved the will; but Elizabeth Kettle and son insisted that there had been no surrender of the copyhold ate at Cottenham, which therefore could not be devised by the will, ereas it was in fact surrendered, and Petitioners had the surrender their custody, or had seen it; and Petitioners entered on the said whold premises, worth about 30l. a year. Townsend's Bill theree prayed a discovery of Testator's personal estate, and whether the whold premises in Harlston and Cottenham-were surrendered to use of the will, and that Petitioner Joseph and Wootton might w cause why they refused to suffer Townsend to receive the ofits; and the Bill took no further notice of the copyhold als in Waterbeach. Petitioners answered (Petitioner Joseph John Wooton), declaring that they knew of no surrender, but it Testator had declared that the copyhold lands, which were rth 231. a year, were to go to his heir-at-law. The Cause was heard fore the Master of the Rolls, who on 25 May in 4 Jac. II. decreed, er alia, that the parties should proceed to an account of the personal tate before Dr. Edisbury, one of the Masters, who found Petitioner izabeth entitled to 100l. on a bond, with interest and costs, payable Townsend out of the personal estate, over and above her I. jointure, the remainder to be paid to Townsend to be disposed according to the will; and Elizabeth was to account for the susehold goods at the Denny Abbey farm, of which Joseph was have the part left to him by the will, the rest being divided equally tween the widow and Townsend, the last share to be disposed of cording to the will; and the Master reported that the stock and rsonal estate at the Cambridge farm, which was decreed to Joseph his guardian, amounted to 446l. 7s. 6d. On 6 Feb. 1691 the cause as reheard, at Townsend's instance, before the Commissioners for the reat Scal, who decreed an account before Sir Miles Cooke, one of the asters; Elizabeth to account for the personal estate in her hands d for the rents of the copyhold premises, and to elect between the 101. and interest and the legacies left her by the will. The Master ported on 22 Jan, 1693 that the legacies amounted to 1,767l. 10s., d Testator's personal estate and the rents during Joseph's nonage ould cover all the debts, with a great overplus, but not all the gacies; and that the copyholds in Harleston and Waterbeach had ot been surrendered; and the Master submitted to the judgment of c Court whether the rents thereof should be applied towards Ayment of the legacies. On 16 May 1694 Lord Keeper Somers correct that they should be so applied during Joseph's nonage. On I June 1696 the Master, in his Report, charged Petitioner Elizabeth ith the rents and profits of the unsurrendered copyhold lands, not only Harleston in Cottenham, but also of Waterbeach, for which 'ownsend had made no demand by his Bill; and by the Lord Keeper's bid of 29 June 1696 Elizabeth was allowed only one-third of the ums she had paid as fines on her son's admission to these copyhold

1 6 9 6. No. 1087. 1696. No. 1087. lands, and nothing for the heriots she had paid; and by Order 7 July 1696 she was ordered to pay Townsend 2141. 2s. 2d. Appagainst the Decrees of the Commissioners for the Great Seal and Lord Keeper, on the ground that they gave more than the prayed for, and that unsurrendered copyhold lands cannot pass by and pray that Edward Townsend may be ordered to answer, and stay of proceedings in Chancery. Signed by both Appella Countersigned Samel. Buck, Joseph Moxon. L. J., XVI. 35.

[At the hearing, on 28 Jan. 1696-7, Sir Thomas Powys and

[At the hearing, on 28 Jan. 1696-7, Sir Thomas Powys and Filmer appeared for Appellants, and stated that the question whether Chancery should supply the defect of a surrender. Dobuns and Mr. Dormer appeared for Respondent. MS. Min.

Appeal was allowed. L. J., XVI. 85.]

Annexed.

(a) 22 Dec.—Answer of Edward Townsend. Testator's legac other than to his widow and son, were 10l. a year to daughter Anne, wife of Richard White, and 2001. to her Joseph White; 2001. to his grandson John Davies; 2500 his grandchild Elizabeth Chary; 100l. to his grandchild A Prescott; 100l. apiece to the seven children of his daug Elizabeth, Respondent's wife; 201. to his daughter Anne, of John Mail, and 100l. apiece to her two children; 20 John Wooten, his son's guardian, and 201. apiece to Respond and his own brother William Kettle, as executors; and s small legacies, amounting to 371. 10s., to the poor of sev villages. Respondent's co-executor refused to act; Appell concealed the personal estate, and pretended the copyhold la in Cottenham had not been surrendered, though they knew fact to be otherwise. Appellants refused an offer, made them by the Respondent in the Court below, that Joshould have the whole estate on undertaking to pay all debts and legacies. Appellant Elizabeth elected to accept dower and the 100% in lieu of all her demands. She had paid the 2141. 2s. 2d. she had been ordered to pay. Fe none of Testator's children and grandchildren had any m of support but what was left them by his will; and Tes had been very liberal to his son Joseph, the farm at Camb being valued at 800l., and the rest of the property at a 100l. a year. The Decrees complained of do not give than was asked by Respondent's Bill. Though the sugge that all the copyhold lands had been surrendered was a mis yet it was a proper case for Chancery to deal with. Pray Appeal may stand dismissed with costs. Signed by Redent. Countersigned Tho: Stead. Endorsed as brought in day. See L. J., XVI. 85.

(b) 7 Jan. 1696-7.—Petition of Appellant Elizabeth Kettle, ing that Joseph White, of Drury Lane, Turner, may ente Recognizance on behalf of Petitioner, who is living in bridge, and is not in a condition to travel. L. J., XVI. 50

(c) 9 Jan. 1696-7.—Petition of Respondent, Edward Towt of Highgate, Brewer, for a short day for hearing the A which had been lodged merely for delay. L. J., XVI. 52.

1088. Dec. 14.—Writ of Summons (Bp. of Chichester).—W Summons to John [Williams], Bishop of Chichester. Dated thi [Took the Oaths this day. L. J., XVI. 38.] ins, or burgesses of the House of Commons shall be

were the first of a real section of the first particular products being making a few against and of walk park in tales in line was bring ray for in the the state of the s THE WARE STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF STATE A MARK TO COLUMN THE RESERVE OF THE BOOK OF THE PARTY. and a room for the legacity law of soil processing to "The there it shall certify and seture to the Court from whence it the full full form in the first flow the same flat processing that wer for His domes, along a large data was a La destruction of the second s that the second was tweeter that the first had the section of the Later Control of the Control of A harmony distributed as a pure of a gap and a what years are been all reclaiments or any of the language as a large see if the Heart of the second constants the same in the same of the sa the late and groups in the control of the control o of Chancery, or other Court of Equity, shall be All the same of any product of terminal inc. TAME IN DESCRIPTION OF SAME AND A PERSON OF THE PARTY OF the first of the second of the first of the con-Telephone the scale of section and the scale of the scale - 2'- : the House of Commons, the next process with as something the first sent posses but not first to to the Heart of Laurence and the property of and 🛰 fra Hasa filiran ssharor e tararel irak radical by virtue of any such

one of the way. In the XVI. So we have the property of the pro

1886

1 6 9 6. No. 1089.

on his privilege, resolved nemine contradicente that no member of Commons should have any privilege, except for his person only, and municated their Resolution to the Lords, asking for a Conference or subject, which was at once granted. (C. J., XI. 602; L. J., XVI. 2 On 7 Dec., on taking into consideration the subject-matter of the ference, the Lords ordered that the House should, on the 10th, con Heads for a Bill for the greater ease of the subject in relation to priv of Parliament. (L. J., XVI. 32.) The MS. Min. of 7 Dec. conta expunged entry as follows: Lords Committees appointed to dra Bill for the limiting of privilege of Parliament. On 10 Dec. a S Committee was appointed to prepare Heads for a Bill (ib. 36), an 11 Dec., in Select Committee, the L.C. Justice [of the King's Bench] Mr. Justice Powell, being present, are directed to draw a Bill ag Monday [the 14th] on the following Heads: -(1) That all privi except personal privilege, shall be taken away even during the si of Parliament; (2) That there be the same process at Common against a Commoner, sitting in the Parliament, as against a Peer of Parliament; (3) That, if the trial must necessarily be in the cou then privilege to prohibit the same as it now does, saving necessary preparations for the said trial; but if at the Bar, the privilege to be allowed, because it is near the place where Parliament sits (Com. Book). On 14 Dec. L.C.J. Holt Mr. Justice Powell offer to the Committee the above Bill draw pursuance of the directions of the 11th, which is read and, amendments, agreed to be offered to the House (Com. Book). Bill was reported from the Select Committee the same day and 1^a (L. J., XVI. 38). On 11 Jan. 1696-7 the House was or to be in Committee on the Bill on the following Saturday; but, b the Committee sits, the House will take into consideration the of Protections (ib. 56). A similar Order was made on 19th Jan Book of Protections and John Ellison's Petition, read that day, ordered to be considered before C. W. H. (ib. 75) On 27 Jan Book of Protections was accordingly read. William Spencer's tection vacated, E. Sussex and other lords not present. The follo lords were not present when their names were read, viz.:

M. Normanby,
L. Osborne.
D. Norfolk.
L. Raby.
D. Northumberland.
E. Carlisle.
L. Weston.
L. Lovelace.

Then the Order against written Protections was made. (MS. On 1 Feb. 1696-7, in C. W. H., M. Halifax in the Chair, the and Preamble were read and postponed, and, after the 25th 1697 had been filled in for the commencement of the Act first Clause was read as amended, and, after debate, the que whether it should stand part of the Bill was resolved in the neg Contents 22, Not Contents 40; Tellers, D. Somerset and E. borough. The other two Clauses read and agreed to, as also the and Preamble. On Report, the House agreed with C. W. leaving out Clause 1, and it was afterwards ordered that the should not be engrossed. (L. J., XVI. 88; MS. Min.)]

1090. Dec. 14. Tilley r. Richardson.—Petition and Appeal of Tilley, Esqre. Petitioner was concerned to transact the passi 1692, of the Bill to enable Thomas Bromhall, an infant, to se interest in the Office of Warden of the Fleet, for payment of

ich received the Royal Assent. When the Bill was in Committee the Lords, one Thomas Richardson petitioned to be heard against it, behalf of himself and several others who lived above 120 miles stant, but did so without their privity. Appellant, foreseeing that infant would be ruined unless the Bill passed that Session, gave chardson a bond of 1,000l. penalty for payment of 500l. to without his opposition, and then brought his Bill in Chancery to be ieved against the bond, as being unduly and without consideration tained; but in July 1695 the Master of the Rolls decreed that opellant should pay by instalments, and this decree was confirmed on peal to the Lord Keeper. Appeals against the decree, and prays at Richardson may be ordered to answer. Signed by Appellant. Intersigned Fra: Winnington, Caven: Weedon. L. J., XVI. 38. [At the hearing, on 8 Feb. 1696-7, Sir Francis Winnington, for ppellant, stated that there was 11,000l. depending upon the Office of effect. Sir Thomas Powys also heard for Appellant, and Serjeant right and Mr. Dobyns for Respondent. MS. Min. Appeal smissed with 20l. costs. L. J., XVI. 92-3.]

Annexed :-

(a) 7 Jan. 1696-7. Answer of Thomas Richardson.—Bromhall was 8 years old at the time, and Respondent, being married to Grace, one of his three co-heirs, was concerned to see that she should not be injured by the Bill, which proposed to pay the overplus of the purchase money to Thomas Bromhall's father, William Bromhall, a person of a very mean estate, to be disposed of for the sole benefit of the infant, whereby the co-heirs would be debarred of their expectations. Accordingly Respondent gave notice of the Bill to his wife's two sisters and other relatives of the infant, who lived 100 miles away, and received directions to petition in the names of Katherine Abnett, Wm. Abnett and Richard Thorley; and, expecting to hear from his wife's two sisters, he petitioned in the names of the Abnetts and Thorley and in his own name, on behalf of the infant and the co-heirs, he himself alone signing the Petition. Appellant sent Mr. Manlove, the Warden of the Fleet, to get Respondent to withdraw his petition, and, on a bond for 500l. being given him, the latter withdrew on behalf of himself and his wife, and let the Abnetts and Thorley know he could not act any further for them; but he had not heard from his wife's sisters. The first bond was replaced by a second, and that by a third, on an alteration being made in the Title of the Bill. Appellant had paid Respondent 521. 17s. 11d. on the bond, but delayed paying the remainder, whereupon Respondent sued him, and obtained a decree, with costs, to be taxed by Sir Miles Cooke, one of the Masters. Appellant had caused delays in the Courts below. Respondent prays the Appeal may be dismissed with costs. Signed by Respondent. Countersigned Wm. Dobyns. Endorsed as brought in this day. See L. J., XVI. 92.

(b) 25 Jan. 1696-7.—Petition of Appellant, who, having had the misfortune to fall under the displeasure of the House of Commons, is now actually in custody of the Serjeant at Arms. Prays therefore, that the hearing may be put off. L. J., XVI. 81.

(c) 3 Feb. 1696-7. Petition of same. He has been released this day from the custody of the Serjeant at Arms, but is unable to be prepared in so short a time to prosecute the Appeal. Prays for a further adjournment of the hearing. L. J., XVI. 89.

1696.

No. 1090.

1696. No. 1091.

1091. Dec. 14.-L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon. - Petition George Hastings, commonly called Lord Hastings, son and heir appr of E. Huntingdon. Petitioner is tenant in tail of the Manor or Ba and Rectories of Bradley and Collingham and other hereditamen Bradley, Collingham, Wyke, Bowley, Rigton, Mickiethwaite, Com Clifford and elsewhere, in the County of York, which he inhe from his mother Elizabeth, Countess of Huntingdon, except the held by his grandmother Lady Lewis for her life, for her join Petitioner's mother died on 24 Dec. 1688, when Petitioner was el years old, whereupon the Earl, his father, as his guardian, receiverents, about 600l. a year, and possessed himself of the title dee the said lands. Petitioner, having no allowance from the Earl, or means of support, applied to the tenants for their rents, which refused, as the Earl insists on his privilege; and for this reason Petitioner dares not sue them at law. Furthermore, a long ter years of part of the premises was created to enable the Earl to be 4,500l., which has now been repaid; but the term has been assign friends of the Earl, instead of being surrendered or continued in for Petitioner. The latter is not conscious of having given any occasion of offence to his father, and nothing but absolute nece could enforce him to have any contest with him. Prays he may liberty to proceed at law or in equity without incurring any brea privilege claimed by the Earl. Signed Hastings. Read this L. J., XVI. 38. On 21 Jan. 1696-7 Sir Barth: Shore was 1 for Petitioner: The mortgage was satisfied. There was a promi deliver the writings. We have witnesses to attest this declarati hope. The Earl of Huntingdon is only a trustee or guardian. have an actual right, a good estate in law. We hope for your ju -Lady Lewis' deposition offered to be read. It was denied Huntingdon's Counsel. Sir Barth: Shore heard in answer t We come only for support. Sir Tho: Powys: We hope we shall have the Deed read before the other Counsel. Then the matter referred to seven lords to endeavour an accommodation, as in XVI. 77. (MS. Min.) The Earl finally waived his privilege the end of the term. L. J., XVI. 86.]

Annexed :---

(a) 8 Jan. 1696-7.—Answer of Theophilus, Earl of Huntin, The Manor and Rectory of Bardsey, called Bradley in Petition, and the hereditaments in Rigton and Clifford belo E. Scarsdale; those in Compton to Dame Sarah Lewis as of her jointure. In the rest, which were the inheritan Repondent's late wife, he has an estate for his life becourtesy of England; and the property was mortgage 4,5001., precedent to any estate or title in the petitioner, sum was secured by a term of 1,000 years. The mortgage paid off by Respondent out of his own estate, and the ter in accordance with the settlement, assigned to him to re himself, as it was not intended that he should discharg mortgage out of his own money. The value of the estate than stated in the Petition, and Respondent has not yet I reimbursed himself. Petitioner has debarred himself o maintenance and support his father formerly allowed by saking and disobeying him for twelve months past, and regain it by a dutiful submission. Prays the Petition m dismissed as groundless and vexatious. Signed Huntin [Brought in and read this day. L. J., XVI. 51.]

(b) 21 Jan. 1696-7. Lady Lewis' affidavit, in Sir Miles Cooke's hand, as follows:—This Deponent maketh oath that, many years since, coming to this city, the late Countess of Huntingdon, her daughter, acquainted her that she had given her lord leave to take up a sum of money (to the best of her remembrance the sum was 4,500%, upon her estate to purchase a place called Captain of the Band of Pensioners, upon which she, this Deponent, was much concerned; but her daughter, the Lady Huntingdon, told her there was an agreement between her and her lord that he was not to meddle with any of the profits of the place until so much money should be raised out of the profits of the place as would clear her estate. And some time afterwards she, this Deponent, coming to town, the Countess of Huntingdon, her daughter, acquainted her that her lord had paid off the mortgage from her estate, and soon after, upon the same day, the Lord Huntingdon coming into the room, his lady the Countess of Huntingdon desired him to deliver up the said mortgage deeds to this Deponent to burn them, saying to my Lord, Have you not paid off the mortgage? He answered, Yes, yes, it was done; and, when his lady desired him to deliver up the writings to this Deponent, he said, Yes, yes. And this Deponent has often heard her daughter, the Lady Huntingdon, say that, whenever she died, she had so settled her estate that George, her son (meaning the Lord Hastings), should have it for his present maintenance, because she found his father of that temper that he might not use her son so well as he should do, and therefore that her son might have something to maintain himself, Signed Sarah Lewys. Sworn on 20 Jan. 1696 before

Sir Miles Cooke. Endorsed as delivered this day. [Taken by Sir Miles Cooke in pursuance of the Order of the House of 20 Jan. L. J., XVI. 76. Offered to be read this day, at the hearing, but objected to by E. Huntingdon's Counsel. MS.

Min.]

. Dec. 14. E. Nottingham's Privilege (Shoebridge and ers). Petition of Sarah Shoebridge (the poor widow of Thomas Bebridge, deceased), John Berryman, Robert Cock, Francis Asplin, les Allison, John Byatt, and Richard Neale. E. Nottingham has a indebted to petitioners severally for the last six years in consideresums for work done, &c., but has been refusing or delaying payat; and the sessions of Parliament have been so frequent that there not been any interval of privilege long enough to enable them to him at law. Petitioners, fearing to be barred by the Statute for intations, pray leave to prosecute actions at law against him, or at st to sue out Originals, and summon him thereon, and procure a urn thereof. Signed by Petitioners (Asplin, Byatt and Shoebridge their marks). Endorsed as read this day. [On reading the lition, Binyon and Eveling's case, 14 Car. II., was cited, and the unding Order with regard to Originals (L. J., XVI. 38) was made, dendorsed on Petition. MS. Min. No entry of Petition in L. J.]

1093. Dec. 22.—Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill.—Commons' grossment of an Act for the further regulating elections of members serve in Parliament.

For the better securing and establishing the dignity and constitution Parliaments, it is necessary to make provision for the election of 'h persons to serve in Parliament who are and shall be natural

1696. No. 1091 1696. No. 1093. subjects of this realm, born within the kingdom of England dominions thereunto belonging, having estates sufficient to supthemselves in the execution of that great trust. Be it there enacted, &c. That no person shall hereafter be elected or returne serve in Parliament for any county, city, borough, port, or place is kingdom of England, dominion of Wales, or for the town of Berw upon-Tweed, that is not or shall not be a natural subject of this reborn within the kingdom of England, or the dominions or territ thereunto belonging.

And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid That every pe hereafter to be elected knight of any shire in England or Wales sha the time of such his election to serve in Parliament have, possess, enjoy, to his own use and not in trust, an absolute estate of freehol inheritance, or for years determinable upon life or lives, or for one twenty years not subject to any power of revocation, or copyhol inheritance or for life, in lands, tenements, rent-charges, or hereditam of the clear yearly value of five hundred pounds within the kingdo England, dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, or be at the time of such his election intituled, by some settlements settlements before that time made without fraud or covin, and free any power of revocation, to the next and immediate remainder expeupon an estate for life, or for years determinable upon life, in such latenements, or hereditaments of the same yearly value.

And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid That eperson hereafter to be elected to serve in Parliament for any borough, port, or place within the kingdom of England, domini Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, shall at the time of succlection to serve in Parliament have, possess, and enjoy, to his ow, and not in trust only, an absolute estate of freehold of inheritance, years determinable upon life or lives, or for one and twenty years subject to any power of revocation, or copylold of inheritance of life, in lands, tenements, rent-charges, or hereditaments, of the yearly value of two hundred pounds, within the kingdom of Eng dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, or shall be a time of such his election intituled, by some settlement or settlet before that time made without fraud or covin, and free from any 1 of revocation, to the next and immediate remainder expectant upestate for life or for years determinable upon life in such lands, tenem or hereditaments of the same yearly value.

And be it further enacted and declared by the authority afor That every person hereafter to be elected or returned to ser Parliament for any county, city, borough, port, or place within kingdom of England, dominion of Wales, or for the town of Ber upon-Tweed, not being born within the kingdom of England o dominions thereunto belonging, or who at the time of such his ele to serve in Parliament shall not have, possess, and enjoy such esta lands, tenements, rent-charges, or hereditaments as aforesaid, thereunto intituled in remainder as aforesaid, is hereby declared adjudged disabled and incapable to be elected or returned a mem Provided always that nothing serve in such Parliament. contained shall be construed to extend to any person or person natural born subjects of this realm, who have been or hereafter be naturalised, so as such person or persons who have been or her shall be naturalised be descended from parents who were natura subjects of this realm, and so as they have such estate or estates hereinbefore required.

1696. No. 1093.

And whereas, by an Act made the seventh and eighth years of his jesty's reign for the further regulating of elections of members to ve in Parliament, and for preventing the irregular proceedings of riffs and other officers in the electing and returning such members, vas enacted that the proper officer, to whom the execution of the eral writs of election of members to serve in Parliament do belong uppertain, shall, within three days after the receipt of the said writ election, by himself or proper agent deliver, or cause to be delivered, h precept or precepts to the proper officer of any borough, town, porate port or place within his jurisdiction to whom the execution of h precept doth belong, and to no other person whatsoever, under the alty of forfeiting five hundred pounds, which time of three days ng found too short for making the precept and delivery thereof to several Mayors of the Cinque Ports, towns and members, in regard Lord Warden generally resides in the City of London, and the que Ports and members are distant in the counties of Kent and sex. Be it therefore enacted by the authority aforesaid That the per officer, to whom the execution of the several writs of election of ubers to serve in Parliament for the Cinque Ports and their towns members both belong, shall, within eight days after the receipt of said writ of election, by himself or proper agent deliver, or cause to iclivered, such precept or precepts to the proper officer of every town, porate port, or place in his jurisdiction to whom the execution of such ept doth belong, under the penalty prescribed by the said Act, thing in this or the said former Act contained to the contrary withstanding.

rovided nevertheless that this Act, or anything herein contained, I not extend to exclude or disable any natural born subject of this m, or of the dominions thereunto belonging, who by the space of In years hath been by his profession a merchant or trader, and who he space of twelve months next before this election as aforesaid I have been an inhabitant with his family within any city, borough, own having right of electing a member to sit in Parliament, from g elected and sitting as the representative of such city, borough, or n wherein such merchant or trader is an inhabitant as aforesaid, and has had by the space of six months before his election, and who I have at the time of his election, an estate real or personal or in amounting to the value of five thousand pounds, who shall licly make oath thereof, which oath shall be set down in writing and ed by the person making the same, at the time of his election, before mayor, bailiff, or other head officer, which oath the said mayor, iff, or head officer is hereby empowered to administer to such chant or trader, being an inhabitant as aforesaid.

and it is hereby declared that no person or persons whatsoever which e adventured or put in, or who hereafter shall adventure or put in, sum or sums of money in the East India Company, or Guinea pany, or Bank of England, or other Company whatsoever, shall, for 'y reason only of such adventure of moneys so put into or to be put " the said company or companies or bank as aforesaid, be adjudged, an, or reputed to be a merchant or trader within the sense and 'ning of this Act.

rovided also, and be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, that, so in as any election of a knight or knights of the shire for the county bussex shall be at Chichester, the Sheriff of the said county or his ut, at the request of one or more of the candidates, shall adjourn Poll (after every freeholder then and there present is polled) to 1 6 9 6. No. 1093. Lewes, in the said county, for the ease of the inhabitants of the east of the said county, any law or statute to the contrary notwithstand Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Commons this day. Mo for second reading negatived 23 Jan. L.J., XVI. 46, 81.]

Annexed:

(a) 23 Jan. Petitions against the Bill from Norwich, Briwater, Fowey, Callington, Tregony, Penryn, New Sa Ryc, Winchelsea, Tiverton, Weymouth and Mclcombe R Dorchester, Exeter, Winchester, Plymouth, Ashburton, I Regis, and Barnstaple. [Read this day. MS. Min.]

1094. [Undated.] Troops in Ireland.—Petition as follows:—

To the Honorable House of Lords of the Kindom of Engassembled in parlement.

Honored Lords,

The Soldiers of Major Generall La Melloniere Regiment of represent to the honorable house that, having served their Maduring all the ware of Irland with all Loyallté inmaginable withstanding that they are obleged to cary ter Juste complaints there of your honored meeting, to aske the honorable meeting Jeust ye wronge that is done to them.

First thowing that there is much due to them by the reer Irland, wiche the understand that ther Cornlle has receved wit making them any acconte; therfore the hwoll Regiment desirry your Lords may heare them and that you by plesse to doe them Ju

Secondley, the late Quene of blessed memoric having given to shillings to all the forces that where in Irland, wich twenty shi wee heare that the Coll: has received; not with standing that g noe account therfor we desire the honorable house heare us and us Justice.

And in the yare 1691 ther said Coll: did cause an order shead of the Regiment, by wich order every soldiers sould receve a pare Bridches, tow Chars, tow Cravates, tow paires showes, and paires stokings, and rater the to give them the said Coll: has paire Bridches, a hatt, tow Chars, tow Cravates and five paires S

and fowre paires stokings.

Therfore, honored Lords, the said soldiers desier humble the wold heare them, and doe soe that there Coll: sould doe them, and paye them what is due to them. Soe doeing wee shall a praye for the Conservation of Your noble meeting. [Found papers of 1696. The MS. Min. of 3 Feb. 1695–6 contain the follentry: A Letter was offered to be read, being delivered to the SI Agreed that the Speaker open the letter, and declare the nam It being opened, there was no name. It was delivered to the and read. No entry in L. J.]

Annexed:

(a) Cover of preceding, addressed to the honorable ho Lords of the Kindom of England assembled in parlemen London. Numbered 14.

1095. Jan. 11. Neve's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an enabling Oliver Neve of Great Witchingham in the County of N Esquire, to sell two houses in London, and for vesting other lands said County, of greater value, to the same uses. Amended in Corby filling the blanks with the date 15 Feb. 1696. Com. Book, No Amendments in the Commons. [Read In this day. Royal 29 Jans. L. J., XVI. 54, 86. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 10 in Long (

1696 - 7.

No. 1095.

Annexed :-

(a) 20 Jan. Consent of Francis Neve to the passing of the Bill. Dated 7 Dec. 1696. Attested by Tho. Sacheverell. [Produced in Committee this day. Com. Book.]
(b) 20 Jan. Same of Tho. Townshend.

Dated 8 Dec. Attested as above. [Produced in Committee this day. Com.

Book.

(c) 20 Jan. Same of Wm. Newson. Dated 9 Dec. Attested as above. [Produced in Committee this day. (Com. Book.]

1096. Jan. 11. Protections (L. Morley and Montcagle).—Petition John Smith, Gent., Under-Sheriff of Middlesex, to be freed from seedings against him for discharging one Abel Weekes, arrested at suit of James Reyne for a debt of 500%, but protected by L. Morley. J., XVI. 55. In extenso. [Smith, being called in this day and rn, said the contents of his Petition were true; and the House then ered Reyne to attend, and his attorney, Arthur Lowe, to be sched. MS. Min. On 19 Jan. Lowe, on his Petition (Annex (a) ow) was discharged without fees, and the House made the Order to considering Protections, &c.* as in L. J., XVI. 75.—Smith having sented another Petition on 5 Feb. (Annex (b) below), Reyne and we were ordered to attend on the 8th. MS. Min.; L. J., XVI.

Reyne, being called in and told of Smith's Petition, was asked at he had to say. He then offered a Petition (Annex (c) below), ich was read. He declared he was willing to discharge the creiaments against the Sheriff, and forbear calling for a return of the t against Weekes. A Paper was read, sent by the Sheriff for him sign, and Reynes agreed to sign it. Moved that Reyne may prodat law against Weekes. L. Morley declared that Reyne might e his remedy at law against him after a month, if Weekes did not e satisfaction; to which the House agreed. MS. Min.; L. J., XVI.

Annexed :-

(a) 19 Jan. 1696-7. Petition of Arthur Lowe, in custody of the Serjeant-at-Arms. Petitioner caused Mr. Abel Weekes, formerly a brewer, to be arrested at the suit of James Reyne, executor in trust for infants, not knowing Mr. Weekes to be a menial servant to any peer, for which offence he begs pardon of the House and of L. Morley. The Sheriff, on being called on for a return of the writ, put Petitioner off on the plea that he must advise with Counsel, but never told him that Mr. Weekes was protected. Mr. Weekes, at the time of his arrest, was, as he still is, Keeper of the New Prison, and personally attending the Sessions at Hicks' Hall. Prays to be discharged without paying his fees. L. J., XVI. 75.

(b) 5 Feb. 1696-7. Petition of John Smith, Gent., Under-Sheriff of Middlesex. Petitioner is given to understand that their Lordships have lately been pleased to discharge all Protections. This matter, however, was transacted before such Order, and Petitioner acted purely in obedience to their Lordships' privileges. Prays their Lordships to order the Plaintiff and his attorney to discharge the amerciaments, and forbear all further proceedings against the Sheriff. L. J., XVI. 91.

B b 2

For further proceedings on this Order, See Notes to Ellison's Petition of Jan. (No. 1098).

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1096. (c) 8 Feb. Petition of James Reyne. Petitioner's broth Robert about seven years since having lent 250l. on a bond Mr. Abel Weekes, devised the same for the maintenance of t infants, and made Petitioner executor in trust for the Petitioner, trusting to Weekes to repay the money, paid 15 for the preferment of the infants, and gave a bond for remaining 100l., on which bond he is daily threatened to sued. Weekes, on his arrest, showed Petitioner a pretent Protection from Justice Perry, a member of the House Commons, Weekes not then pretending to be L. Morkservant; but, on Petitioner's attorney serving the Sheriff Middlesex with two 40s. rules to return the writ, comple was made to the House of a breach of L. Morley's privile The Sheriff has never since been called on for a return of the w but only sent Petitioner a paper to subscribe, as to which Petitic desired time to consult Counsel; but Mr. Smith, without wait for Petitioner's answer, has petitioned their Lordships to discha the amerciaments, and Weekes threatens to make an example Petitioner. Prays their Lordships to commiserate his p condition, and allow him to take his remedy at law aga Weekes for recovery of the debt. L. J., XVI. 92.

1097. Jan. 13. Writ of Summons (V. Lonsdale).—Writ of Summ to John, Viscount Lonsdale. *Dated* 20 Oct. 1696. [Introduced day. L. J., XVI. 57.]

1098. Jan. 19. Protections (D. Norfolk).—Petition of J Ellison, Gent. James Howard, Esq., who has a real estate, and Patent Officer in the Customs, a Justice of the Peace and a relatio D. Norfolk, has for some years taken unlawful means to defraud creditors, and particularly Petitioner, who has served his Maj many years, but is now out of commission and in great w Petitioner on the 7th inst. having procured Howard to be arrested a debt on bond, in the verge of the Court, by an order from the G Cloth, Howard produced a Protection signed by D. Norfolk, and thereupon discharged. Petitioner well knows Howard to be i condition to pay. Prays for relief. [The House, after reading above this day, as well as a petition of J. Smith (See No. 1096), an Order for considering it, together with the Book of Protection the 27th, before proceeding in C.W.H. on the Bill "for the grease of the subjects in recovering their just debts." MS. Min.; I XVI. 75.]

vesting and settling certain [estate] estates of William James, Gen and upon trustees, to be sold for the payment of debts and making vision for himself, his wife and their children. The Lords' Amendra are, as shown on the Draft, to insert the names of the trustees, at alter the concluding saving clause as follows:—(other than except [the said William James, Elizabeth his wife, Roger Jasellizabeth James, Jane James and Margaret James and their his all and every person and persons, and their heirs, who do, can, so or may claim any estate, right, title, or interest of, in, to, or out of premises or any part thereof, by, from or under the said William James, John James his brother, John James his father, Roger James, John James his brother, John James his father, Roger James grandfather, all or either of them). The Commons' An

* See No. 1089, 14 Dec. 1696.

[†] Omissions are shown by square brackets and additions by italics.

ats, which are mainly drafting ones, are given in C. J., XI, 723-4. and 1^a this day. Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI, 76, 115. 9 Will, III. c. 31 in Long Cal.]

1696-7. No. 1099.

100. Jan. 20. Milward's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act the vesting of certain lands of William Milward, in the County of reford, Clerk, in trustees, for payment of debts. The Lords' endments (Com. Book, 4 Feb.) are purely drafting ones, as are also two Amendments of the Commons (C. J., XI. 721). [Read 1a this . Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI. 76, 115. 8 & 9 Will. 111. 6 in Long Cal.]

Innexed :-

(a) 4 Feb. 1696-7.—Consents of William and Anne Milward (the latter by her mark) to the passing of the Bill. Dated 25 Jan. 1696-7. Attested by Jno. Kidley, Junr., Thomas Gwatkins, William Havard, John Hodges, William Street, Thomas Paine and Charles Rocke. [Read in Committee this day. Com. Book.]

(b) 5 Feb.—Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Made in Committee, 4 Feb. (Com. Book), and reported this day. L. J.,

XVI. 90.7

101. Jan. 22. D. Ormond's Estate Act.—Amended* Draft of an for enabling [the present] James Duke of Ormond to raise money sale of woods and making leases [of] for lives renewable for ever, payment of debts, and for encouraging English Plantation in and, and for Charles, Lord Weston, Earl of Arran in the Kingdom Ireland, to make leases of his estate in the said Kingdom. The v Amendments by the Lords of any importance, besides those ked in the title, are to insert in the first enacting Clause the words acept the prize wines or butlerage in the Kingdom of Ireland") ("at the request of the respective lessee or lessees in such respective ses"). The Commons' Amendments are given in C. J., XI. 700. ad 1a this day; Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI. 79, 115. 9 Will. III. e. 17 in Loug Cal.]

Innexed :--

(a) 27 Jan. Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Made in Committee, and reported this day. Com. Book; L. J., XVI, 83.]

102. Jan. 22. Naturalization Act (Keyser and others).—Amended aft of an Act for naturalizing of John Keyser and others. The sons to be naturalised are:—

1. John Keyser, son of John Keyser and Susanna Maria de Ree,

born at Amsterdam.

2. Jacob Banck, son of Lorens Banck and Christina his wife, born at Stockholm.

3. Jacob Oosterland, son of Abraham Oosterland and Clara van Geel, born at Haarlem.

4. Daniel Lombard, son of John Lombard and Frances his wife,

born at Angiers.

The Lords' Amendments consisted in the insertion of the names of ob Banck (sworn 1 Feb. MS. Min.), and Daniel Lombard. In the mons the name of Mathew Fanjoux, son of Mathew Fanjoux and therine his wife, born at Tours, was added. C. J., XI. 723. [Read his day. Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI. 79, 115. 8 & 9 Will. c. 29 in Long Cal.]

^{*} Omissions are shown by square brackets and additions by italics.

1 6 9 6-7. No. 1103. 1103. Jan. 26. Goodwin's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of Act to enable Nicholas Goodwin the elder, and Nicholas Goodwin younger, to sell the manor of Winslow, in the County of Bucks with the moneys arising thereby, and other moneys to be advance the said Nicholas Goodwin the elder, to purchase lands of a gryearly value, to be settled to the same uses as the said manor is settled. The Lords' Amendments consisted of the insertion of date of the commencement of the trust and of some other dri Amendments (Com. Book, I Feb.). No Amendments in the Com [Read 1^a this day. Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI. 82, 8 & 9 Will, III. c. 25 in Long Cal.]

Annexed.

(a) 1 Feb. Consent of Richard Goodwin to the passing of Bill. Dated 30 Jan. 1696-7. Attested by John Goo [Read in Committee this day. Com. Book.]

1104. Jan. 26. Savill's Estate Act.—Draft of an Act for se the estate of Mary Savill, an infant, upon her marriage. No Aments in either House. [Read 1^a this day. Royal Assent 8 M. L. J., XVI. 82, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 22 in Long Cal.]

1105. Jan. 27. Martin v. Stephens.—Petition of Thomas M the elder, Henry Morrell, Robert Bewick, Simon Brown, the Simon Brown, the younger, Robert Hills, Edward Ballard, J Leach, and Thomas Cottage. In 1473 Thomas Green, then vi Great Linton, Cambridgeshire, complaining to the master and se of Pembroke Hall, in the University of Cambridge, who were see the Rectory, that the mansion-house belonging to the vicarage w remote from the church, the parties agreed, by indentures of 18 1473, that the master and scholars should have the mansion-hous the vicar and his successors should have another messuage ne church, and ten acres of arable lands in the fields, and the titl 40 acres of corn, and 12s. annual rent, and other lesser tithes u received by the vicar, for their whole portion of all corn and whatsoever; also the tithes of saffron of certain lands, and 3s. year in lieu of all tithes of saffron; also all oblations, personal mortuaries of strangers, and all other small tithes, viz. of wool, milk, calves, flax, hemp, herbs, fruit, geese, pigs, wax and home their whole and entire portion of tithes for ever, notwithstanding other things that might after happen de novo. Accordingly, the ever since have enjoyed the messuage and ten acres and oth matters agreed on. About 60 years ago carrots and turnips be be sown in the common fields of Linton, but in so small quantitie the tithes of them were not demanded, but afterwards, whole being sown with them, the vicar for some few years received the of them under the notion of small tithes; but the farmer of the R applying to the College to see the vicar's endowment, and it app that these were a new kind of tithes happening after and not co hended in the composition, he sued for and recovered them, an since, for above 20 years last, the College and their farmers Rectory have enjoyed them, as also the tithes of hasty-peas, w late have been sown in the common fields in very great quantities Petitioners having for 1694 sown a great many acres with carrots, and turnips, and paid the tithes thereof to the farmer Rectory as in former years, William Stevens, Clerk, the present sued them by English Bill in the Exchequer for the same, v making the College or farmer parties, and the Court has adjude

tithes to the Vicar and not to the Impropriator, contrary to the agreement. Pray that the Decree may be reversed, Stephens ordered to answer. Signed Thos. Martin, the r, Robt. Bewick, Robert Hills; Countersigned Con. Phipps, and Jones. L. J., XVI. 83. [The Cause was heard on 25 Feb. Thomas Powys and Mr. Northey were heard for Appellant. Mr. jeant Wright (for Respondent): The usage, we will prove, has a with us: If this tithe of green pers be taken away, the herdman a better place than the Vicar. Mr. Dod (for Respondent): rots, &c. are a vicarage tithe, and always taken so. (Depositions Phos. Willows, &c. read). Decree affirmed, with 101. costs. MS. b.; L. J., XVI. 104.]

(a) 6 Feb. 1696-7.—Answer of William Stephens, Clerk, Vicar of Lynton. As to the agreement, neither Petitioner nor his predecessors have ever enjoyed all the matters therein mentioned, nor have Appellants proved the same, or paid any tithes, as they allege, except to the farmer. Respondent purposely did not make the College or farmer parties, having no demand against any one but Appellants, the occupiers. Had he done otherwise they would have been dismissed with costs. But both the College and their lessee or farmer assisted Appellants in defending the suit. Prays that the Decree may be affirmed, and the Appeal dismissed with exemplary costs. Signed by Respondent; Countersigned Sam. Dodd. Endorsed as brought in this day.

(b) 11 Feb.—Petition of Appellants that John Lone, of Gray's Inn, Esq., may enter into a Recognizance on their behalf. Signed Thos. Marten, Robt. Bewick, Simon Browne. L. J., XVI.,

1106. Jan. 28. Knott's Estate Bill [H.L.].—Amended Draft of an to enable trustees to sell an estate in Bread Street, London, for yment of the debts of William Knott, Esq., and settle another estate better value in lieu thereof. Essentially the same as the Act Will. III. c. 16 in Long Cal. [Read la this day. Sent to the minious 24 Feb. L. J., XVI. 85,104. No further proceedings.]

1107. Feb. 1. Sir J. Harper v. Attorney Gen. of Duchy of Lancaster, the relation of Gladwin and others .- Petition and Appeal of Sir John arpar, Baronet, an infant, by Robert Burdett, Esq., Robert Wilmot, sq., and Henry Dyson, Gent., his Guardians, and of the said Robert urlett, Robert Wilmot and Henry Dyson. The Relators' Information ts forth a title to the Crown in the hundred and wapentake of Wyrksorth, co. Derby, and the customary profits of lead mines there, and e Attorney General, on behalf of the Relators, claims the customs ollowing, vizt.:—(1.) That any of his Majesty's subjects may get lead re as well within the soil of any person in the wapentake as in his lajesty's soil (churchyards, dwelling-houses, gardens, orchards and ighways excepted), and may possess themselves of any meere of round in the wapentake and get ore therein, working according to the ustoms of the mines there. (2.) That, when any mines are drowned with vater, the proprietors may sink shafts from the surface into their vein, ad dig and make soughs underground in, along and through their rakes nd lead mines for unwatering the same. (3.) That the miners may, by he custom of the mines, wash their lead ore upon the rakes or vein where the same has been got, where the mine is at a distance from vater, always keeping the earth and rubbish within quarter cord, or

1696-7.

No. 1105.

16:96-7. No. 1107.

within the outsides, skirts and compass of the vein. The Informa further set forth that the Relators had made a sough for unwate their mines in the lands of Sir John Harpur, partly under an agreen made by the late Sir John Harpur and partly by the customs of mines, and yet offer to give the Petitioner Sir John 20 years' pure for the lands where the sough is, and charge Petitioners with quieting them and threatening to stop the sough. Petitioners, in t answer, denied any agreement for making the sough and insisted it was not warranted by the custom of the mines. On 19 Nov. the Court decreed that the Relators might keep open the sough; the measuring of a quarter cord in a pipe work should be from the and outside of the vein; that, where there is water in a lead mine, miner might wash the lead ore within quarter cord; that the Rela might lay out the quarter cord in the lead mines, they being pipe-we and enjoy the same from the skirt or outside of the vein, and wash ore amongst the earth and rubbish in quarter cord; and that Petitio should pay Relators their costs. This decree is erroneous, because extent in the quarter cord is not in issue, and the washing of the within quarter cord is extended further than the Information clai because to allow the Relators to lay out their own quarter cord make them judges in their own case, and to give them an author belonging by custom to the Bar-Master only; and because there no proof of disturbance to warrant costs from Petitioners, which paid at all, should be paid out of Sir John's estate. Petitioners l no wish to obstruct Relators in the mines, or deprive them of privileges they can justly claim. Pray that the decree may be rever and that the Attorney-General of the Duchy and Thos. Gladwin, T Legh, Gilbert Munday, Robert Hayward, Robert Ferne, Robert Pide and Edward Parkes, the Relators, may be ordered to answer. Sigby Appellants; Countersigned Alex. Stanhope, Nich. Wilmot. I XVI. 87. The Cause was heard on 13 March, Sir Bartholo Shore and Mr. Dobyns appearing for Appellant. Sir William Raw son (for Respondents) is heard, Sir Thomas Hoare (for Responde reads to the custom. The custom allowed on either side for mir After debate, decree affirmed. MS. Min.; L.J., XVI. 122.]

Annexed:

(a) 22 Feb. 1696-7.—Answer of Thomas Gladwin, Esq., The Legh, Esq., Gilbert Mundy, Gent., Robert Hayward, Ro Ferne, Robert Pidcock and Edward Parkes. No such de as alleged was made by the Duchy Court on 19 November The Information set forth that the Crown, in right of Duchy of Lancaster, was seized in fee of the hundred, and wapentake of Wirksworth and of the lead mines and custon profit and lott and cope, the lott being every thirteenth dish of and the cope being 6d. per load of ore, accounting 9 dishes to load, and of Lord's meers, primgapps, &c., and all mines not freed, and of other profits arising by the mines, and of kee of Barmoot Courts on the mines; and that there is a custom out of mind in the wapentake that any of his Majesty's pe may become miners, as well in the soil of any person the in his Majesty's soil (churchyards, dwelling-houses, gare orchards, and highways excepted), freeing the ground by pa to the Barmaster the first dish of ore (which is called a dish), and also lott and cope, and keeping the ground in la possession with stores and timber, and that such persons gained an inheritance therein so long as they work the n

and keep possession; further, that there is a custom that, when any mines are drowned, the proprietors ought to sink shafts upon their vein and work soughs, &c., in their mines for unwatering them; and further, that the miners may wash their ore on the spot, where the mine is at a distance from water, always keeping the earth or rubbish within quarter cord or within the outside skirt or compass of the vein, and sink shafts within quarter cord, &c., of their vein for better working the mines, without hindrance from any person, which hindrance whenever practised has been staved by injunction in the Duchy Court; that the Respondents, then Relators, had been seized according to the said custom for above 70 years of an ancient rake, vein, or pipe of lead called the Milnelose Groves, and of divers possessions for meers of ground supposed to be rake veins, pipes or strings of lead ore in the said Milnclose and other grounds adjacent in the liberty of Wensley and soke and wapentake of Wirksworth, being the inheritance of Sir John Harpur, the Appellant's grandfather; and that about 20 years before the Information, the lead mines being flooded, the Relators or those under whom they claim undertook to bring up a long adit from Cowley Brook to the mines, but, as the said rake vein was not then carried on to the brook by some few vards, in respect of the water troubling the vein, application was made to Sir John Harpur, who assented to a sough being made (as could have been done by custom without his assent), which accordingly the Relators did, some 17 years before the Information, at the expense of over 2,000l., and thereby unwatered the mine, and brought in 400l. a year to his Majesty, as well by the duties arising out of the mine as by his Majesty's Customs for exportation of lead ore; that the Defendants, now Appellants, had disturbed the works, and Mr. Burdett, Mr. Wilmot, and Mr. Dyson pretended that the closes and grounds are the inheritance of the Appellant Sir John Harpur, and threatened to spoil the sough unless the Relators would give them a considerable share of the mines, pretending further that, although the sough is in some part carried on in the vein, yet the Relators had driven it 80 yards where they had not had or taken the vein with them, and the Defendants, by the power of Harpur and Wilmot, apprehended some of the Relators' servants and indicted them for supposed riot. To this Information Sir John Harpur, by Burdett, Wilmot, and Dyson, his guardians, put in his Answer, disputing any customary right of the miners to make soughs underground in the lands of any freeholder without composition to the owner of the soil, or to wash the lead got in the freehold land in the wapentake, and stating that, the sough being continued without the consent of Sir John Harpur, and to the damage of his tenants, he had a right to stop it, but that he had never required any lead ore. To this Answer the Attorney-General, on behalf of the Relators, replied, and on 22 Feb. 1689 the Duchy Court, assisted by Justices Powell and Ventris, decreed that the Relators might work and continue the sough without hindrance, and make such shafts as were necessary for getting the lead ore, and referred to a trial at the Exchequer Bar two issues, vizt., (1) Whether the quarter-cord in a pipe work ought to be measured from the spindle or from the skirt or outside of the 1 69 6 - 7. No. 1107. 1696-7. No. 1107.

vein, and (2) Whether, where there is water in a lead m available for washing the ore, the miners may by cust wash it amongst the earth and rubbish within quarter-co On 12 August 1690 the Chancellor of the Duchy Court, Appellants' petition, further ordered that, on the Defenda depositing 51. with the Registrar, the Cause should be set do for rehearing. After several postponements, the Cause reheard 10 July 1693, when the Court declared that whate shafts were necessary for working the mine were necessary incidents thereto, and that, before any final Order should be ma the two former issues ought to be tried as directed, thereupon, by the advice of Sir John Turton and Sir Jo Powell, the then Judges Assistants, the Court referred the s two issues to a trial at the Exchequer Bar, together with t other issues, vizt. (3) Whether there be such a special cust as laid in the Information, namely, whether there was a ri or custom for the miners to make soughs to unwater the mi and (4) Whether the lead ore in the mine in question may got with advantage any other way than by soughing. 21 May 1694 the Duchy Court, assisted by Chief Baron Atk and Baron Turton, confirmed their Order of 10 July 1693 ordered a trial at bar, giving leave to the Appellants to wa the trial of what issues they pleased, on giving notice to Respondents; in pursuance of which, as appeared by a subsequ Order of 8 Nov. 1695, the Appellants waived the two last iss A trial was accordingly had at the Exchequer Bar on the two issues, and, a verdict being given on both for the Relat the Cause was finally heard in the Duchy Chamber at W minster upon the equity reserved on 19 November 1695, w the Court, assisted by Mr. Justice Nevill and Mr. Baron Tur confirmed the decree of 22 Feb. 1689, and decreed that custom for measuring the quarter-cord from the skirt of the and also the custom allowing the miners to wash the ore am the rubbish, where there is water in the mine available for purpose, as found by the verdict, should be established, further declared, as to the two last issues, which were wai that the miners had a right to unwater the mine, and that ore could not be got with advantage except by soughing. Court further granted an injunction, ordering Appellant allow the Relators to enjoy the customs so found by the verand to pay costs, the injunction, however, not to prevent John Harpur and his tenants from proceeding at law as to trespasses not warranted by the customs. This decree is just equitable, and the award of costs against the Appellants is more reasonable, because they have since the decree Respondents to further charge by bringing a Bill in Change as well as several actions for trespass, in order to harass t by further litigation. Pray that the Appeal may be dism with costs. Signed by Respondents; Countersigned Rawlinson, Thos. Powys. Endorsed as brought in this de

(b) 22 Feb. 1696-7. Answer of Edward Northey, Esq., Attor General to the Duchy of Lancaster. Not being cone further than as Attorney-General for the Duchy, prays t dismissed from the Appeal. Endorsed as brought in this of

(c) 23 Feb. 1696-7. Petition of Respondents.—His Maje revenue, as well as Respondents' interest, is much concerne

the Cause, and may be considerably prejudiced if the hearing be delayed. Pray for an early day for hearing. Signed by Respondents Legh, Hayward and Ferne. [Read this day. L.J., XVI, 103.]

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1108.

- 1108. Feb. 1. L. Holles' Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act r the speedy satisfying of the debts of Francis, late Lord Holles, ceased. The Lords' Amendment (Com. Book, 5 Feb.) is the crection of a misrecital of fact. In the Commons a verbal Amendent was made and a saving Clause for Carr Harvey and his sister abella inserted. C. J., XI. 707. [Read 1" this day; Royal Assent March. L. J., XVI. 87, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 19 in Long Cal.]
- 1109. Feb. 1. Bishop of London's (Exchange of Advowsons) Act. Draft of an Act for the exchange of certain Advowsons between 12 Bishop of London and the Earl of Nottingham. No Amendments 13 cither House. [Read 1a this day; Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., VI. 88, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 18 in Long Cal.]
- 1110. Feb. 3. Kerry's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act for sting the estate of Edward Kerry, Esq., lying in Binweston, in the ounty of Salop, in trustees, to discharge incumbrances thereon and raise portions for younger children, and for confirming the marriage attlement of the said Edward Kerry. The only Amendment is a verbal se. Com. Book, 9 Feb. [Read Is this day: Royal Assent 8 March. J., XVI. 89, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 27 in Long Cal.]

Annexed:—
(a) 9 Feb.—Duplicate of preceding, subscribed by Edward Kerry, Sarah Kerry, Edward Kerry, Thomas Kerry, Sarah Kerry, Eleanor Powell, Henry Powell and John Hollings, as consenting thereto. Dated 1 Jan, 1696–7. Attested Henry Newton, John Edwards, Edmund Pryce, La. Lambe, W. Baskerville, Hen. Rathbone, J. Littleton. [Produced this day in Committee. Com. Book.]

1111. Feb. 5. Baldwin Leighton's (Warden of the Fleet) Bill.—
'etition of Col. Baldwin Leighton. Richard Manlove, late Warden
f the Fleet Prison, was, by Inquisition taken on 9 March 2 W.
M. at St. Clement Danes, Middlesex, by virtue of a Commission nder the Great Seal, found guilty, among other great offences, of xtortion, which of itself is a forfeiture of the office in fee to the rown. Thereupon their Majesties, under the Privy Seal, granted he office to Petitioner or his deputy, which grant was stopped at the ireat Seal by the Lords Commissioners, who kept Petitioner in uspense by interlocutory Orders until he complained to the King in Jouncil, who ordered the Lords Commissioners to hear all parties peedily, and his Attorney General to report the matter as it appeared pon the hearing. Their Lordships on 18 Nov. 1690 took the opinion, is to the validity of the Inquisition, of L. C. Justice Holt, who, lowever, gave no opinion, declaring it was not regularly before him. No order was then made, but Manlove, or Mr. Tilley, who pretends title to the office on Manlove's surrender, by undue practices procured three Orders, dated 26th and 28th Nov. 1690 and 25 June 1691, and delivered them in December 1692 to Mr. Aaron Pingry, me of the clerks of the Petty Bag Office, as Orders duly made in Chancery, in whose office the Inquisition was filed upon record; and Tilley desired him to enter a Vacatur upon the Inquisition, particularly by virtue of the last Order. Mr. Pingry, declaring the Orders to be irregular and not sufficient warrant to make such entry upon the

1696-7. No. 1111.

Inquisition, attended the Lords Commissioners to know their pleas who directed him to forbear vacating. Petitioner, as soon as he notice of the Orders being lodged with Mr. Pingry, complained to House, but their Lordships, looking upon his Majesty's and Petition right and title to be fully saved by a general as well as partic clause in the Act which Tilley was labouring for, to enable trufor the infant heir of one Bromhall to sell the equity of redemy of the office, did not think fit to hear Petitioner, and, without mal any order, left him to seek redress in the Courts below. Petiti thereupon applied to the Lord Keeper, who, on Tilley producing at hearing in Easter term 1694 a certificate of Mr. Pingry showing the Inquisition was vacated by the last pretended Order, declared seeing it was done, though irregularly, he could do nothing Petitioner, being now remediless, appeals to the House. Prays Tilley may be ordered to answer, and a day appointed for hear Signed by Petitioner; Countersigned Wi. Williams, J. Grove. this day, and referred to a Select Committee to consider how far proper for the House to take cognizance of the matter contained (L. J., XVI. 91). In the Select Committee on 13 Feb. Sir Will Williams, for the Petitioner, says the Petition is proper for your L ships' decision how it shall be determined. This forfeiture being fo by inquisition must be actually vested in the Crown. Says the Ore of the Chancery were obtained by undue practice. Here is a g abuse in the cause by Officers below. The King nor no Court your Lordships' Judicature can relieve us. If you cannot remedy then there must be a failure of justice, which the Law will not end This is no original Cause. You are not finally to determine matter, but you will give such direction that right may be done be by my Lord Keeper. Then you will inspect my Lord's Judgmen the case if it be not agreeable to your judgment. He cites Duchess of Grafton's case and the Lord Chief Justice's. Winnington, for Mr. Tilley, says no erroneous proceeding in Westmin Hall was ever examined by Petition. If there be error in proceeding at law it ought to be by Writ of Error. If error on the Latin sidthe Petty Bag, it ought also to be by Writ of Error; if from Chancery, then by appeal. Here is no failure of justice. This g Court is not on petition to examine the errors of clerks; that is to done by the Judges; they to correct their officers. You can recthe Judges' errors and the Lord Keeper's mistakes. This has be examined by the Lord Keeper. Mr. Tilley desires Mr. Leight petition, rejected 28 Jan. 1692, may be read. It is ordered that Duchess of Grafton's petition and the proceedings thereon relating the Judges of King's Bench be brought at the next meeting, also former petitions and proceedings in this case, and search made whet petitions concerning any irregularity in Westminster Hall have b received by the House.

On 17 Feb. the proceedings in the case of the Duchess of Grafton; the Judges of the Court of King's Bench are read out of the Jour Book beginning 24 Nov. 1693. (See II.L. MSS., Vol. I., No. 78 Mr. Tilley proposes that an Order of Chancery of 25 Junii Gul. [III.] whereby the Inquisition is quashed may be read. The Or is read. He says Mr. Leighton has no authority from the Attornes Solicitor General to complain in the King's name, nor to have a the Lord Chief Justice Holt gave no opinion. Sir William Willia for Leighton, quotes Stanton's case, 14 Ed. III., fol. 30, Cotte abridgment: Lord Holles' "Jurisdiction of Courts" fol. 2: 7

1696-7. No. 1111.

dation of this case is upon Magna Charta. 20 March 1640, h and Keniston's case in the Journal of this House: This was a tion in order to a Writ of Error. Winn and Roberts, 1664; the I Chancellor delaying to give opinion, the House thought it proper ave a Bill in Parliament. Sedgwick and Hitchcock's case, 21 Nov. 1. Mr. Tilley. Stanton's case nothing like this; there justice was ed; here it was given; there a delay contrary to Magna Charta. William Williams says he knows not whether the Writ of Error Keniston's case was before or after the petition. Mr. Tilley. m and Roberts' case the same with Stanton's. There justice was eved, in this case it was given. Sir W. Williams cites the hess of Grafton's case, Coke's 2nd Institutes, 408; In matters great difficulty, all causes to be adjourned before the Lords. He tions Bracton, Fleta & Britton; 18 Ed. I., fol. 20; Ryley's cita Parliamentar; 1668, Morley and Grenville v. Elwes case-March 1668: 29 Nov., directions given the Chancery. Mr. Tilley. is is an Appeal from a decree. There was a Writ of Error in Grafton's case, and the petition was in relation to the same: ghton's complaint is of an officer, who has been examined by the d Keeper, who has power to correct; no failure here. In the case Sedgwick there was an appeal, and the Order only amended. Mr. lley proposes that the former proceedings in this matter may be read. dered, that the clerk examine the precedents above quoted with the ginals and make a copy of the same, and the copy and books be laid ore their Lordships, &c.

On 24 Feb. the clerk having abstracted the precedents and produced a books, the precedents and the paper drawn by the clerk are read decompared, and to be reported. Col. Leighton says he has further ceedents to offer, which he desires may be read, and delivers in four. Spies are ordered to be delivered to Mr. Tilley, and he and Col.

ighton to be heard what they have to say to them.

On 26 Feb. Mr. Brunskell, for Col. Leighton, opens the precedents livered in the last day, viz.:—1° R. II. n. 28, Touch[ant] les countes March et de Sarum. 15 R. II. n. 23, The petition of the Dean and capter of Lichfield: 8 Ed. II. n. 48, The petition of Isabel, wife of

ugh Bardolph: 8 Ed. II. n. 38, Jeffery Hartlepool's petition.

The last-mentioned precedent is read. Mr. Tilley: This was a tition to bring up the Record before the Lords in order to a final dgment after the Writ of Error. Mr. Brunskell opens the precent of Isabel, wife of Hugh Bardolph. Mr. Tilley: This is nothing The King cannot be petitioned against but Parliament. If the King disseizes a man of his estate, it is proper apply to Parliament. The precedent of the Count March, &c. pened. Mr. Tilley: It is implied there was a Writ of Error, because e says a Scire facias was directed. Brunshell: In all records here there is a Writ of Error there are the whole proceedings. The recedent of the Dean and Chapter of Lichfield opened. Tilley: lere a Scire facius is prayed to assign errors. This explains the last recedent. The suit is still depending till execution is taken out. Brunshell: A Scire facias is sometimes granted on a petition as well is on a Writ of Error. Our case being on the Plea side in Chancery, we cannot bring a Writ of Error, and an appeal does not lie, so that we can only complain of failure of justice. Mr. Tilley: It appears, y implication, there were Writs of Error in the case of these petitions. On 2 March, Col. Leighton's Petition and the Order of Reference were read. The precedents offered in French and Latin at the last 1696-7. No. 1111. meeting were compared with the translations, and the notes taker the clerk were read; the Report formerly drawn up was read, and clerk ordered to add the precedents and notes to the Report (Amex below), and to offer them to the consideration of their Lordships. 6 March the Report, which is set out in full, was ordered to be m Com. Book. On 18 March the Report was made, and the He ordered that Col. Baldwin Leighton should be allowed to bring i Bill for his relief. L. J., XV. 128. See annex (f) below.]

Annexed :--

(a) 24 Feb. Extract from Rolls of Parliament of 1 Ric. No. 28, "touchant les countes de March et de Sarum." Rolf Parliament III. 7, 8, in extenso. Certified, "Extract: nos, P. Brunskell, Jo: Higgons; Convenit cum Recordo, Petyt." [Delivered in, with the three following papers, Col. Leighton this day.]

(b) 24 Feb. Extract from Rolls of Parliament of 15 Ric. No. 23. The Petition of the Dean and Chapter of Licht Rolls of Parliament III. 289, in extenso. Certified as precedent.

paper.

(c) 24 Feb. Extract from Rolls of Parliament of 8 Ed. No. 38. Petition of Jefferey Hartlepool. Certified as precent paper.

(d) 24 Feb. Extract from Rolls of Parliament of 8 Ed. No. 48. Petition of Isabel, wife of Hugh Bardolf. Cert.

as preceding paper.

(e) 18 March 1696-7. Report from the Lords' Commit appointed to consider how far it is proper for the House to cognizance of the matter contained in the Petition of Col Leighton, vizt.:—

Ordered to Report:

That their Lordships have heard Counsel, as well for the said Leighton as for John Tilley, Esq., who conceived hin concerned in the matter complained of in the said Petition.

That the Petitioner's Counsel offered the precedents following induce their Lordships to be of opinion that the said Petition

proper for the cognizance of the House, vizt.:-

Stanton complained of delay by Demurrer in the Court of (mon Pleas, and prayed by Petition to have the matter exam by the Peers, and to have judgment; after which the H sent the King's Writ under the Great Seal to the Judges, taining the whole matter, willing them to proceed to judg without delay. After that an Alias was sent, of the nature, or else the Judges to signify the cause; but, they d nothing therein, the House, upon another Petition of the Stanton, sent their Clerk to require the Judges to procee judgment, or, if they could not for difficulty, then to bring up whole Process and Record, which they accordingly did, afterwards a Writ under the Great Seal was sent to the Judges to give judgment.

Coke 2 Institutes, Fol. 408. Matters of great difficulty wer ancient time usually adjourned into Parliament, to be reso

and decided there.

20 March 1640. Nash brought a Writ of Error in Parlian but delayed to assign his Errors, though twice ordered so t

Then petitioning, pretending the Record is not fully removed, the Lord Chief Justice was ordered to certify the Original Writ, Venire facias, distringas et nomina Juratorum, and, in case the same shall not be so returned, the Record shall be remitted.

1696-7. No. 1111.

- 29 November 1664. In the case upon the Petition of Mr. Roberts against Mr. Wynn, it was ordered that direction be given to the Lord Chancellor to proceed to make a speedy decree according to equity and justice, not with standing there be not any precedent
- In the case of Mr. Hitchcock against Mr. Sedgwick, Ordered that the Judgment of the House made the 20th December 1690 be amended.
- 21 November 1693. A Writ of Error was brought in between Mr. Holt and Mr. Bridgman. The same day a Petition of the Duchess of Grafton and Mr. Bridgman, relating to the Judges not signing a Bill of Exceptions tendered to them before judgment, whereby the Petitioners were hindered from making the matter of the said Bill part of the Record upon the said Writ of Error, was read, and the Judges ordered to put in an answer thereto, which after having been done, and Counsel thereupon heard, it was moved on the 21st of December following that the said Duchess and Mr. Bridgman may have leave to withdraw their Petition, and on the 22nd it was ordered accordingly.
- 11 November 1667. Upon the Petition of Mr. Morley and Mr. Grenville, praying that a Release made to Mr. Elwes, and also a dismission of their Bill in Chancery upon the hearing their Cause in that Court may be set aside, it was referred to a Committee to consider whether fit to be relieved .-- 11 December 1667. The said Committee reporting that the Petitioners cannot be relieved in the ordinary Courts in Westminster Hall, it was ordered that Counsel should be heard.—31 May 1668. Counsel and witnesses having been several days heard, it was adjudged, that the said Release was made upon trust, and that the decree mentioned in the Petition shall be reversed, and that the cause be remitted to the Chancery, and that the Lord Keeper do proceed thereon as upon an equitable mortgage.—29 November 1669. Upon consideration what further directions shall be given to the Court of Chancery in this case, it was resolved that Elwes should account for the mean profits of the lands holden for life, as well as of those holden in fee simple.
- Rot. Parl., 8 Edw. II. No. 33. Jeffery Hartlepool petitions in Parliament, suggesting that, in a Plea begun in the Court of the Bishop of Durham between him and Ralph, the Plea was removed before the King at his suit, and there continued a long time; that afterwards the Record and proceedings therein were sent to the Bishop of Durham, against the law and custom of England, in prejudice of the King's Crown, for that no Plea had begun before the King or his justices itinerant, or otherwise ought not to be removed or sent against the law or custom aforesaid; and alleges the judgment in the Bishop's Court to be erroneous and to his disinheritance; therefore he prays relief, and that the Records may be brought in Parliament to be examined. The answer is that the Records be brought

into l'arliament in order to do as justice requires.

Rot. Parl., 8 Edw. II. No. 48. To our Lord the King and his Council, showeth Isabel, late wife of Hugh Baldolphe, how that 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1111. she, at the suit of Robert Lewar, was ousted of her freehold Eynesworth, &c. by colour of an Inquisition and Privy & therein mentioned, and unduly obtained, and without knowledge of the said Isabel, for remedy wherein she have sued in Chancery and in the Parliament, yet no right is dher; and therefore she prays the King and his Council to a mand that right and reason be done her in the premises, that she be restored to her seizin in the premises, and the wridone her redressed, according to the tenor of the Great Char The answer is: It seems [good] to the Great Council of our Lithe King, that the said Isabel be restored to the said teneme mentioned in the Petition, with a salvo jure to the King, whe shall think fit to interplead with her for the same fairly not by surprise, for that she has showed ancient deeds evidences of her ancestors, whereby they were seized of said tenements.

On the Parliament Roll, 1 Ric. II. No. 48, is a recital of a Peti of William Montague, E. of Salisbury, complaining of erroneous recovery had in the King's Bench by one Romortimer, late Earl of March, praying the matter may examined and restitution awarded; whereupon, as saith Record, the Chief Justice of the King's Bench was comman to bring the Record of the said Judgment into Parliament, whe did, and a Scire facius was awarded to the other party appear in the next Parliament to abide further order.

On the Parliament Roll, 1 Ric. II. No. 23, it appears that Dean and Chapter of Lichfield exhibited their Petition in I liament in these words:—To our Sovereign Lord the King, to our noble Lords in this present Parliament, humbly comp

Judgment in a suit which was depending before our Lord King in the King's Bench by Writ of Error, &c., there manifest errors to the great damage of the Petitioners. Ma therefore please our Lord the King and the said Lords to exto be brought before them the Record and Process thereof, all things thereunto belonging, into this present Parliament correct and rectify the said errors, and also to cause notice be given to, &c., to appear in this present Parliament a certain day, to hear the said errors, &c.; and, the said Petibeing read in Parliament, a Scire facias was awarded to other party to appear in the next Parliament to hear the error and it was Ordered that the said Record and Process, with things thereto belonging, be brought to the next Parliament the purpose aforesaid.

That the Counsel for the Petitioner Leighton said that the about mentioned precedents show the Petitioner's case to be proposefore the Lords, for that the Inquisition found vested office of the Warden of the Fleet in the Crown; and that Orders of Chancery for quashing the said Inquisition vobtained by undue practices; and that the Petitioner is relievable but by the Lords in Parliament, and, if it were so, there would be a failure of justice, which the law wo not endure; that a Scire facias is sometimes granted o Petition as well as on a Writ of Error, and, this case being the Plea side in Chancery, a Writ of Error cannot be brought of the Petitioner can only comp

1696-7.

No. 1111.

of failure of justice; and, this being no original Cause, he hopes the Lords will give such directions to the Lord Keeper as right

may be done to the Petitioner below.

That Mr. Tilley insisted that Col. Leighton hath not directions from the King nor Mr. Attorney-General to exhibit his Petition; that it appears by the Order of Chancery of 25 June 3 Gul. et Mar. that the then Lords Commissioners, assisted by the Lord Chief Justice Holt, the Lord Chief Justice Pollexfen and Mr. Baron Nevill, declared their opinions that the Inquisition, upon which the forfeiture was founded, was void in law and ought to be quashed; that Mr. Attorney, after having acquainted his Majesty with their said opinion, being present in Court when the said Inquisition was quashed and declaring he had no direction to oppose the same, it was an acquiescence of the King and Mr. Attorney; that it is a false allegation in Mr. Leighton's Petition that the Lord Chief Justice Holt delivered no opinion in this matter.

That Stanton's case is nothing like the Petitioner's, that being a complaint for delay of justice, contrary to Magna Charta.

That there was no difficulty in the Petitioner Leighton's case, for the three Judges and Commissioners all agreed in opinion.

That the case of Nash and Keniston was upon a Writ of Error, and there is no more in the precedent than a bare alleging of diminution, which is necessary to be complained of by Petition.

The case of Wynn and Roberts was a complaint of delay in not giving judgment, but in Colonel Leighton's case judgment is

That Hitchcock's case was an Appeal, and the Lords on a Petition amended some words in a former Order made by them on hearing the Cause.

That in Holt and Bridgman's case there was a Writ of Error, and the Duchess of Grafton's and Mr. Bridgman's Petition was a complaint of the Judges in relation to the same.

That the precedent of Morley and Grenville against Elwes was an Appeal from a dismission of their Bill, and the judgment of the

Lords was that the said dismission should be reversed.

That the precedent of Hartlepool was a Petition to bring up the Record before the Lords, in order to a final judgment after the Writ of Error.

That the precedent of Bardolph is nothing like Col. Leighton's If the King disseizeth a man of his estate, it is proper

to apply to the Parliament by Petition.

In the precedent of the Earls of March and Salisbury, it is implied there was a Writ of Error, because a Scire facias was directed.

In the precedent of the Dean and Chapter of Lichfield, a Scire facias is prayed to assign Errors. This explains the last precedent; the suit is still depending till execution is taken out.

It appears by implication there were Writs of Error in the cases of these Petitions.

That no Appeals be from henceforth Stat. 1 Hen. IV. c. 14. used in Parliament, but be tried by the laws of the land.

That none of the precedents come up to Mr. Leighton's case, for they are either complaints for delay of justice, or Petitions exhibited on Writs of Error or Appeals depending.

That no erroneous proceeding in Westminster Hall of any officer

was ever examined by Petition.

Cc

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1111. That, if there be error in proceedings at Common Law, it to be redressed by Writ of Error.

If error on the Latin side in the Petty Bag, it ought also by Writ of Error. If error in Chancery, then it ought to Appeal.

That this great Court is not on Petition to examine the errollers; that is to be done by the Judges, and the Lord Ke and the Judges' mistakes are to be rectified by this Court.

That Mr. Leighton's complaint is of an officer, who hath al been examined by the Lord Keeper, who has power to chis own officers, if they misbehave themselves; and in case there hath been no failure of justice. Dated 6 M 1696. [Made this day, and leave given to bring in a L. J., XVI. 128. In extenso in Com. Book, 6 March.]

(f) 23 March 1696-7. Draft of an Act for the relief of Ba Leighton, Esq., in relation to the Office of Warden of the Whereas, by an Inquisition duly taken the twenty-ninth March in the second year of his Majesty's and his late Consort's reign, by virtue of a Commission in that behalf under the Great Seal of England, it was found that Ri Manlove, Esq., then Warden of the Prison of the Fleet, said Commission mentioned, and his deputy, had commission divers great offences and misdemeanours in the execut that office, whereby the same became forfeited and in their jesties' dispose; And whereas, some time after the taking said Inquisition, their Majesties were graciously pleased to the said office, with the fees and profits thereof, unto Ba Leighton, Esq., during his good behaviour, which grant the Privy Seal the twenty-fifth day of April in the said and was prepared for the Great Seal, but, by reason of undue practices and false allegations of the said Richard love, the then Commissioners for the custody of the Grea delayed the sealing thereof, and on the twenty fifth of J the third year of their Majesties' reign ordered that the Inquisition should be quashed, which was done accord and, it appearing the said Order was unduly obtained, a vacating the said Inquisition manifest wrong and prejuc the said Baldwin Leighton, who has no remedy by the or course of justice, and is only relievable by Act of ment; May it therefore please your Most Excellent M at the humble request of the said Baldwin Leighton, may be enacted, and be it enacted by the King's Most Ex Majesty, &c., That the said Inquisition shall be, and is revived, and shall be adjudged, deemed and taken to judgment by default of the said Richard Manlove, traversing the same Inquisition and entering into secu prosecute his traverse within three months next afte Inquisition was duly filed upon record, according to the meaning of the Statute made in the first year of King the Eighth, intituled An Act to enlarge a Statute f traverse of lands seized into the King's hands before Esch And that the said Inquisition shall be of such and the lik and effect, and be pleaded and allowed of in all Courts and as fully and effectually to all intents and purposes as if th had never been quashed or made void, the said Order twenty-fifth of June or any other Order, Act, or proceed

1696 - 7.

No. 1111.

relation to the vacating of the said Inquisition, to the contrary notwithstanding. [Read 1ª this day. L. J., XVI. 133. A Petition of Anthony Church against the Bill having been presented, Counsel were ordered to be heard. On 13 April Sir Thomas Powys was heard for the Petition, and Sir Bartholomew Shore for the Bill, and the Lord Chief Justice, Common Pleas, gave the opinion of the Judges. The consideration was then adjourned. Ib. 151; MS. Min. No further proceedings took place.

took place.]
(g) 24 March 1696-7. Petition of Authony Church, Esq., Warden of the Fleet Prison. Petitioner, by the Order annexed (Annex (g¹) below), and the several other proceedings in the Court of Chancery, can make it appear that the Inquisition was regularly quashed. Prays to be heard by Counsel against the Bill. [Read this day, and ordered to be heard. L. J., XVI. 133.]

(g^t) 24 March. Copy Order of the Lords Commissioners of 25 June 3 W. & M. (made on motion by Mr. Sloane, Counsel for the Warden of the Fleet, the Attorney-General being present and not opposing), quashing the Inquisition, pursuant to previous Orders of 26th and 28th November last respecting such quashing until his Majesty's pleasure was known and cause was shown to the contrary. Signed Geo. Edwards, Dep. Reg. [Referred to in preceding as annexed thereto.]

in preceding as annexed thereto.]

(h) 26 March. Motion paper for Order for George Edwards and Carew Gwydott, Esquires, Deputy Registers in the Court of Chancery, to attend with their Minute Books containing the Orders relating to the Inquisition, and for the attendance of them and W. Ford, Clerk in the Court, and eleven other persons, on behalf of the Warden of the Fleet. Noted in another hand; My Lord Chief Justice IIolt and my Lord Chief Justice Treby will be in town Saturday night, who can inform your Lordship. Endorsed as read this day. [The Order was made this day. L. J., XVI. 136.]

(i) 26 March. Petition of Baldwin Leighton, Esq. Petitioner has to examine some records, which he cannot do in time for the hearing appointed for to-morrow. Prays that the hearing may be put off to Tuesday next. [Read this day, and hearing put off to the 31st. L₁J., XVI. 135.]

(j) 13 April 1697. Petition of Baldwin Leighton. Mr. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, gives out that he has interest enough to put off any hearing this Session. For a smuch as Petitioner has been at great expense, and a hearing has been ordered on this day, he prays the Order may stand for this day, and that he may be heard. [MS. Min. of date has the following entry: A Petition of Baldwin Leighton was read, praying to hear Counsel on his Bill as desired. No entry in L. J.]

12. Feb. 5.—Panton's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act for ng part of the Estate of Thomas Panton, Esq., in Trustees, to be for payment of debts and securing a jointure to Mary, his now. The Lords' Amendments consist chiefly of the insertion of the es of Trustees. No Amendments in the Commons. [Read 1* this Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI. 90, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. 3 in Long Cal.].

anexed:——
(a) 13 Feb.—Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Made in Committee 12 Feb. and reported this day. Com. Book; L. J., XVI. 27.]

1 6 9 6 – 7. No. 1113. 1113. Feb. 5.—Burleigh v. Sir M. Cooke (Privilege).—Petitic Ferdinando Burleigh. Petitioner was employed last April by Sir M. Cooke, one of the Masters in Chancery, to be solicitor for his son F. Cooke, then a prisoner in Newgare for high treason, which Petiti undertook, and disbursed several sums for copies of records as searches after others, by order of Sir Miles and his Counsel, and forced to expend other moneys; all of which Sir Miles refuses to alleging himself to be protected by the House. Prays for leave to Sir Miles at law for his debt. [Read this day. L. J., XVI. 91.]

1114. Feb. 8.—Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) At Petition of several poor distressed Prisoners in the Prison of the F Petitioners, who are highly concerned in the Bill, pray to be hear Counsel against it. 108 signatures. Endorsed as read this day rejected. L. J., XVI. 92. [The Bill was brought from the Common 5 Feb. On 8 Feb. the above Petition, and on Feb. 10, 15 and and March 1 several Petitions relating to the Bill were prese (see annexes (a) to (h).)

On 23 Feb. Counsel were heard at the Bar for the Bill, and for Petitions of Edmond Boulter (annex (a)), and Anthony Smith (a (d)), and the Bill was committed to a Select Committee (L. J., 2

103).

In Committee, on 3 March, the Judges are called in, and the Clause, so far as concerns the bounds of the King's Bench Prison, is 1 Mr. Taylor, under-marshal of the King's Bench Prison, produces a of the prison and bounds thereof, and says that they have somet 2,000 or 2,500 prisoners, but at that time about 1,600. He is ord to bring copies of the Rules of Court for that part of the bounds whe pretends to, which are left out of the Bill; and an Order is mad Mr. Boulter to be heard by Counsel, if he thinks fit. That portio the Clause is postponed, and the rest of it read, and Mr. Chi Warden of the Fleet Prison, is ordered to bring copies of the Rule the Courts of Chancery, Common Pleas, and Exchequer for the bo of that prison. Com. Book.

On 6 March Mr. Taylor produces a map of the King's Bench Pr and two Rules formerly made for enlarging the prison, viz., 1st, 21 J (annex (i)), the other 22 Car. II. (annex (j)), which Rules are reasonable.

Mr. Taylor says in 9 months' time he has had nearly prisoners. Sir Thomas Powys says it is impossible the Marshal afford rooms as the Bill directs at 2s. 6d. per week. The Marshal 8s. 3d. is the most he has taken for chamber rent; for those at he has taken 1s. 3d., sometimes 2s. 6d. He speaks to the unreason ness of the Clause which makes void securities taken by the Ma for lodging or diet; as also to the Clause which directs the enrolling the conveyances and leases of inheritance; as likewise that the Ma may be arrested in any Court whatever as well as in the King's Be whereby he will be under an incapacity of looking after his priso Mr. Church, Warden of the Fleet, offers two Rules of Courts of mon Pleas and Exchequer (annexes (k) and (l)), one of which is He says there are about 120 prisoners now in the house; about 20 the Rules; he believes 400 or 500 pay chamber rent; some pay some 1s. 2d., some 1s. 6d.; the most that is paid is 3s. 4d. On 9 M the Order of Reference is read. Richard Luxford's Petition (annex is read, and Mr. Tilley and Mr. Luxford are called in. Anthony Cha being asked what he knows in relation to the latter part of Mr. Luxf Petition, says he never heard Mr. Tilley say any one such wor has been read to him in Mr. Luxford's Petition, nor can he call to that anyone ever told him that Mr. Tilley ever said any such the e Lucy, being asked the same question, says he never heard Mr. speak disrespectfully of any Lord of this House, nor never told uxford any such thing. Anne Hancock says that Mr. Tilley said only palming about 100 guineas to have half a dozen speaking and he could have anything done. This was about a month the Queen died. She believes it was on the 19 January 1694. ys Mr. Walden offered her 200 guineas not to come in against illey at the House of Commons. Walden said he came from illey. John Gilbert said he bad heard Mr. Tilley say that, if he siness with the House of Lords, he doubted not but he could have :: The Lords, he said, would take bribes. Mrs. Hancock was t. This was three or four years since. The last time was about two since. Major Walden came to Mrs. Hancock, as he said from and told her she should have 2001. if she would not give evidence Commons' House. He lives in the Temple. Mrs. Hancock and together sometimes. Susannah Kelley says she heard a gentleout knows not his name) tell Mrs. Hancock Mr. Tilley would pay of Guy's of 100l. to Gilbert, and give her 100l. if she would not at the House of Commons against Tilley; and, if Madam Hancock not bespatter Tilley any more, he would give her an healing balsam. Luxford lays before the Committee Interrogatories to examine itnesses on, which are read. Anne Hancock, asked as to the sterrogatory, says she knows nothing; to the 2nd, says she has Mr. Tilley say it was but palming 100 guineas to 5 or 6 speaking and they would silence the rest. There was not one of the of Commons but, to his knowledge, would take a bribe. d, says that Mr. Tilley said the Judges were all such a pack jues, they would swallow his guineas faster than he could give He said the best of my Lord Keeper's perquisites was the he gave him. She says Mrs. Gilbert was by when he said so. e 6th, she says she knows nothing. John Gilbert says he never Tilley speak anything of the Lord Keeper, but he has heard him ie Judges would take bribes. Francis Duncombe, to the 1st ogatory, says he has heard Mr. Grindall speak to the effect in aid Interrogatory, but knows nothing himself. To the 2nd, he nothing. Anthony Grindall says in Oct. or Nov. 12 months ellected, at the request of the prisoners, 151., whereof Mr. Tilley .01. for coach hire, and 51. was to himself and Capt. Whiting. was a Bill then depending for relief of prisoners, and Mr. Tilley ery assisting. To the 3rd, he knows no more than the common Year's Gifts. Robert Maddox, to the 1st and 2nd Interrogatories, he knows nothing. To the 3rd, there have all along been presents Judges. Mr. Luxford says Duncombe, Maddox, and Grindall ficers in the Fleet. Walcot Hobson, to the 1st Interrogatory, he knows nothing, but has heard two years since that there was y was gat[her]ed; he thinks (on recollection) it was last Session. e 2nd, he knows nothing. To the 3rd, he knows nothing except Year's Gifts. John Holland says, to the 1st Interrogatory, he has of money collected; he believes it was last Session of Parliament, t 101. Mr. Tilley has told him. To the 2nd, he never heard of noney to any Lords lent or given, directly or indirectly; but has of money owing by a Member of Commons to Mr. Tilley. Says as agent to Mr. Tilley, and hopes he shall not be asked to accuse elf. He knows not of any money given to anyone that is now be of the House of Commons for stopping any Bill. Mr. Holland d by my Lords that he shall not suffer for anything he shall answer ent to Mr. Tilley. He says he knows of no presents given to any

1696 - 7. No. 1114. 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1114. Members of this Parliament, but a Member of the last Parliament Mr. Waller (a Member for York), had a present of a clock left for but he knows not whether he had it, nor knows he the value of it. knows of no more besides the clock given him, save twenty gu He believes it was that he might be favourable at the Committe Prisons, where he was chairman. He knows of nothing more to any other. He heard at the Committee, where he was examined Sir Jo. Trevor had 100 guineas; one Hobson, he thinks, spoke Says he gave Waller the guineas, and left the clock for him a lodging. He says he never heard Tilley make any reflection in his on this Honourable House. Nicholas Johnson says he heard Mr. say he had presented the late Speaker's lady with 50 guineas whe Bill for sale of the Fleet was depending, and that he promised h more if the Bill passed. Walcot Hobson knows not of any p given to any particular Member of the Commons House, either of Parliament or the last. Says Mr. Fox, the late Warden, told him bought a repeating clock to give the chairman of the Committee. believes the clock worth 201. John Holland says he canno positively whether Duncombe or Hobson spoke of the present t Jo. Trevor.

On 10 March the Marshal of the King's Bench Prison an Warden of the Fleet were ordered to lay before the Committee the transfers of the prisoners in their respective prisons. The Committee the Rules of the King's Bench and the Fleet are postponed. Mr. 1 opens Mr. Smith's case, and offers a Clause to be added to the (annex (m)), consideration of which is put off to the end of the Mr. Weedon is heard for the Warden of the Fleet. The next Clause and amended. Mr. Weedon thinks it hard for the Warden to special bail on bringing a Writ of Error. He speaks to the (concerning taking securities. The Judges ordered to consider a Clause. (Note by the Clerk. Mem. Robinson's petition (annex delivered me by the Earl of Rochester.) Com. Book. On 12 Mar House ordered that the Haberdashers should be heard by the Comon their Petition (annex (n)). L. J., XVI. 121. In Committ 13 March numerous amendments were made.

On 16 March the Marshal and Warden delivered in transithe prisoners in their several prisons (annexes (p) and (q)). Sir 1 Powys (for Mr. Boulter) speaks to the rates set by the Bill for the in the King's Bench. Mr. Weedon (for the Warden) shows the in the Fleet have been set by the Judges of the Common Pleas, and the Orders made by Lord Chief Justice Herbert touching them Thomas Powis offers a Clause on behalf of Mr. Boulter, and Mr. offers a Clause in Mr. Smith's case instead of the Clause fo delivered in. These Clauses and others are referred to the Judge Clause as to privileged places paying church rates is read and with Mr. Weedon offers a Clause on behalf of the Warden, which is githe Lord Chief Baron to consider. Major Richardson acquain Committee that Mr. Tilley and Mr. Church have given him satis by assigning the security they had taken, so he will not trout Committee with his Petition. A Petition of Joseph Robinson (o)) complaining of the Marshal is read, and the Marshal heard.

On 19 March a Petition from Luxford (annex (r)) was read, protection from arrest was granted to him. L. J., XVII. 129 20 March a Petition of Elizabeth Leave (annex (s)) was also rereferred to the Committee. Ib. 130. On 24 March, in Comm

ber of amendments were made, and the Quakers ordered to attend their Clause.

1696-7.

n 27 March the Lord Chief Baron gives in a Proviso (annex (w)) No. 1114. ght to him by Mr. Boulter's Counsel, which, he says, will sufficiently

re the debt charged on the King's Bench, but will make the Bill ectual as to the King's Bench till Mr. Boulter's debt be paid. ered that it be part of the Report that the Committee is of opinion Mr. Boulter's debt ought to be secured as this Clause directs. Lord Radnor assures my Lords that the debt now owing by Mr. thall to Mr. Boulter is more than eighteen thousand pounds. d Chief Baron says he saw securities for 15,000l. of it. A saving ise for securing a debt to Mr. Smith on the Fleet (annex (x)) serted, and two provisoes. The Lord Chief Baron offers a Clause (x)), on behalf of Mr. Tilley, relating to mortgages on the Fleet. Ir. Tilley is asked what he can say for Norwood's debt in the ise. He says he has a report of Chancery, made in 1693, where the is stated. The Lord Chief Baron acquaints my Lords that Mr. nents' deed for his debt mentioned in the Clause seems to be very fair. : of the Letters Patents, 19 Car. II., to Sir Jer: Whitehcot is read. lause (annex (u)) for relief of poor prisoners for debt is read and eted. Com. Book.

In 8 April, in Committee, Mrs. Eliz. Leave's Petition (annex (s)) Order of Reference, and a Petition of John D'Berdt (annex)) are read. Some further amendments are made, and a Clause nex (z)) offered by E. Radnor added for vacating all deputations be by Mr. Lenthall without Mr. Boulter's consent. The Quakers are ble by Mr. Lenthall without Mr. Boulter's consent. The Quakers are and on their Clause (annex $(a \ b)$), which is rejected, and the Bill pred to be reported. Com. Book. The Amendments made by the amittee were agreed to by the Commons and may be found in C. J., The Bill received the Royal Assent on 16 April. L. J.,

8 & 9 Will. III. c. 27. Fol. Ed.] I. 163.

unnexed :-

(a) 10 Feb. 1696-7. Petition of Edmond Boulter, Esq. Petitioner is sole executor and devisee to the late Sir John Cutler, and is entitled under his will to his estate, upon certain trusts. Amongst other things, the office of Marshal of the Marshalsea of the King's Bench was mortgaged to Sir John by William Lenthall, Esq., as security for 10,000l., which debt, together with other moneys since lent on the said security, amounts now, with interest, to 18,000l. Petitioner is informed that the Bill will in a great measure, if not wholly, take away this security. Prays to be heard by Counsel against the Bill. [Read and ordered as prayed. See notes above, p. 396. L. J., XVI. 93.]

(b) 10 Feb. Petition of several poor Prisoners within the Prison of the King's Bench and the Rules thereof, on behalf of themselves and all other prisoners within the said prison and Rules. The benefit of Day Rules (which the Court of King's Bench for several years past have thought fit to allow to prisoners in execution in term time, the better to enable them to attend their business in Westminster Hall and elsewhere for satisfaction of their creditors) is in effect taken away by the Bill, as also are the Rules of the said prison, which the Judges have for several years allowed of as part of the prison, the better to prevent pestilential distempers and other inconveniences, which might be occasioned by the straitness of the prison, which in the most prosperous and best times for trade has been found too little to contain a fourth part of the prisoners within the walls. By

several other Clauses prisoners for debt are made subject greater difficulties than in other neighbouring nations, which prove of as dangerous consequence as the grievances intende be redressed by the Bill. Pray to be heard by Counsel agait. 261 signatures. *Endorsed* as read this day and reject. J., XVI. 93.

(c) 15 Feb. Petition of Edmond Boulter, Esq., for further time

be heard against the Bill. L. J., XVI. 96.

(d) 15 Feb. Petition of Anthony Smith, of Rotherhithe in County of Surrey, Mariner. Thomas Bromhall in 1677 rowed of Petitioner 500l., for which he mortgaged to him s lands held at a rent of 40l. a year of the Mayor and Burge of Shrewsbury, and a lease of a small house in London. 3 years later Bromhall died, devising the equity of redemp to his wife and executrix; and Petitioner, for a further paid to her, purchased the lands and obtained possession. years later the lands were extended upon a recognizance 1,600l. entered into by Bromhall 13 years before, for securi money given to a charity, and Petitioner was forced in 168 pay one Edward Kynnaston, Esq., 425l., upon which Recognizance was by Order in Chancery assigned to Petitic Bromhall being seized of the inheritance of the office of Wa of the Fleet Prison, which was charged by the Recognizbut mortgaged by him to one Henry Norwood, Esq., Petit brought his Bill in Chancery against Norwood and other have the 425l. and interest paid out of the said office &c., as 1685 obtained a decree to redeem Norwood, who had the incumbrance, and to have all his demands out of the office, Norwood was satisfied his mortgage money and interest, w if now paid, is but very lately done, and, till that was Petitioner could not proceed for his debt. The Act for the of the office, on behalf of Bromhall's son and, heir, contain proviso saving Petitioner's claim. Petitioner, however, being able to go abroad, by reason of his great age and the wounds he has received in the naval wars, where he comma as captain for many years, was lately informed that a Bil passing the Commons for making the office liable to all es of prisoners and other damages by reason of the executi the office, which, before, it could not be charged with. would destroy Petitioner's security and be to his da near 1,000l., which will be his utter ruin. Petitioner at to the Commons to have his demands saved in the Bill, bu too late. The Bill contains a saving Clause for the deman the widow Johnson and her children on the office, which subsequent to those of Petitioner. Prays for security for debt and interest. L. J., XVI. 96.

(e) 15 Feb. 1696-7. Petition of Anthony Church, Esq., W of the Fleet. The Bill takes away the liberty which Petitioner now has to take security for true imprisonment, w by reason of the narrow limits of the prison, has been hit found absolutely necessary, and reserved to the Warden Hen. VI. c. 10., and thereby only is Petitioner enabled to prisoners in execution in certain adjacent streets, made pt the prison by Rules of Court, which was so made for the wholesome keeping of prisoners and preventing infection, the Bill Petitioner will be obliged to keep them all within House, which is morally impossible. The many prisoners

mesne process, who have been trusted by Petitioner no further than by the law he might, decading the misery that must attend so narrow a confinement of such a vast multitude of prisoners in one house, will, it is to be feared, withdraw themselves out of Petitioner's power, to the great prejudice of the creditors and Petitioner's utter ruin. It will be impossible for any person to execute the office of Warden if the Bill pass. Prays to be heard by Counsel against it. [Read this day and rejected. L. J., XVI. 96.]

(f) 15 Feb. Petition of Francis Creswicke, Esq. During Petitioner's confinement in the King's Bench Prison one John Farrington, who acted as Marshal there, extorted several bonds and judgments from him, and, on his removal by one of his creditors to the Fleet Prison, where he now is, Farrington charged him in execution in 200l. thereon, because he would not give him security to remove himself back to the King's Bench Prison, when there was not nor is one penny due from him to Farrington for chamber-rent, fees, or anything else. Prays for relief. Endorsed as read this day. Ordered to be heard if he please. No Order issued. [No entry in L. J.]

(g) 22 Feb. Petition of Thomas Langton, Esq., John Knight, Gent., and John Bale, late High Sheriff, Under Sheriff, and Gaoler of the County of Somerset. Petitioners, having received last term two writs of Habeas corpus, one returnable in the King's Bench and the other in the Common Pleas the last day of the term, for bringing up Samuel Moor and Robert Webb, charged with above 5,000l, Bale brought the prisoners under a strong guard from the Sheriff's Ward in Ivelehester to Westminster, to have them turned over to the King's Bench and Fleet Prisons, and placed them with a guard at the Cross Keys, in Arundel Street, Strand. Shortly after their arrival, one Thomas Gurney and one Anthony Ward, with 20 or 30 other armed persons inhabiting the Whitefriars, came riotously to the house, forced the doors and carried the prisoners away to the Whitefriars. Pray that the Bill may pass. Endorsed as rend this day; nothing done on it. [No entry in L. J.]

(h) 1 March 1696-7. Petition of Richard Luxford, Gent.

Tilley, Esq., pretending to have a good title to the office of Warden of the Fleet Prison and estate from the trustees appointed by the late Act for the sale thereof, contracted with Petitioner to grant him, on payment of a fine of 1,000l. in two instalments within a twelvemonth, a lease for seven years, at an annual rent of 1,500l., payable monthly. Petitioner found the money, and has kept it ready upon Tilley's promises to perform the contract, and Tilley, by an instrument of 2 January last, appointed him to act in his post as superior Warden for a few days, until he should put him into full possession. But Petitioner, finding that Tilley had been trifling with him and finding himself cheated moreover of a loan of 250l. to him, caused Tilley to be arrested; whereupon Tilley procured one John Wich to arrest Petitioner upon a bill penal of 2,000l for payment of 1,000l to one Bethesda Savage, pretending that Wich was entitled to sue the bill, though it was well known that Wich had a wife living to whom he was married before he married Bethesda, and that about six years since an action was commenced on the bill in Bethesda's name against Petitioner, and was dropped by reason that the bill had been obtained in her name by one Lewis Westcomb by undue practices, and was void. Tilley,

1696 - 7. No. 1114. 1696-7.

No. 1114.

making fair promises to Petitioner, who was not then aware he was arrested by his contrivance, prevailed with him to out a Habeas Corpus and turn himself over upon a fob action the Fleet Prison; but, before Petitioner was entered upon books of the prison, he superseded the fob action, and gave to the action of Wich, whereupon Tilley induced his bai surrender him, upon a suggestion that he intended to run a and Petitioner is now in close confinement, while Tilley m it his business to defame him. Tilley has contracted for office with others and cheated them in like manner, and g out that the Courts in Westminster Hall cannot reach him, that it is but making presents to half a dozen speaking Lo and he can have anything pass or be thrown out, all which be testified by Anthony Church, the Warden, George L Barrister-at-law, Anthony Grindall, William Ford, Gent., J Hutchinson, Clerk, John Whitwood, Robert Maddox, Wal Hobson, Gert., Captain Whiting, and Francis Duncombe, G which matters were not so particularly proved at the Commi of the Commons as they might have been, as Tilley persus some of the witnesses to conceal what they knew or heard Prays for relief. Endorsed as read this day. L XVI. 108.

(i) 6 March 1696-7. Copy of Order (in Latin), made 21 Jame for enlarging the King's Bench Prison. [Read this day be the Select Committee on the Bill. Com. Book. See n above.

(j) 6 March 1696-7. Copy of Similar Order (also in Latin) enlarging the same, made 22 Charles II. Signed J. Ke [Read this day before the Select Committee on the Bill. C

See notes above.

(k) 6 March 1696-7. Copy of Similar Order (in English) rela to the Fleet Prison, dated 28 Oct. 2 James II. Signed I Beddingfield, Street, Ed. Lutwych, John Pov T. [Probably produced this day by Mr. Church before the Se Committee on the Bill. Com. Book.]

(1) 6 March 1696-7. Copy of Similar Order of same date. Sug Edw. Atkyns, Rich. Heath, Chr. Milton. [Probably production]

by Mr. Church as above. Com. Book.]
(m) 10 March 1696-7. Clause as follows:—"Saving Anthony Smith, Mariner, his beirs, executors, administra and assigns, all such right, title, estate, equity, interest demand as he or they now have or shall or may have, challe or claim of, in, or unto the office of Warden of the Fleet or Prison of the Fleet, or all or any the houses and shops belon to the office of Warden of the Fleet, or to the Prison of the F or herein-before contained (by virtue of two decrees in Chance the one of them made the 22nd day of June 1683, and the o of them the 26th day of January 1686, whereby 4251. and interest thereof was and is decreed to be paid to the said Anth Smith out of the said office, houses, shops and appurtenar after a mortgage made thereof by Thos. Bromall [Broml unto Henry Norwood, Esq., was satisfied), as fully and effects to all intents and purposes as he or they had before the mal of this Act, and as if this Act had never been had or ma Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(n) 12 March, 1696-7.—Petitions of the Master and four Ward of the fraternity of the Art or Mystery of Haberdasl

Petitioners are Governors of the possessions and 1696-7. revenues of the Hospital at Monmouth of the foundation of William Jones, Merchant Adventurer. Their predecessors purchased certain lands at Hatcham Barnes, Surrey, for a fund to answer the payment of the Charity, part of which lands Petitioners let to one Thomas Pitcher, against whom they obtained a verdict in the Common Pleas, in Easter term last, for 3881. lbs. arrears of rent, besides costs of suit. In Trinity term following Petitioners charged Pitcher in execution for 3251. 4s. remaining due on the judgment, but the Warden of the Fleet allowed him to go at large, and suffered him to remain so, although admonished of his escape. Petitioners then brought an action against Anthony Church, Esq., nominal Warden, and in Hilary term last obtained judgment against him for the last named sum, with costs. Church, after promising to take out execution, to satisfy Petitioners, against Pitcher, from whom he declared he had good security, now pretends the security is lost, which pretence Petitioners believe is merely a trick to give the security time to dispose of their effects, and frustrate Petitioners of their demands, Church being an indigent and needy person. Pray that a clause may be added whereby Petitioners may have satisfaction for their debt. Sealed with the Company's seal. Endorsed as read this day, and referred to Committee to be heard. L. J., XVI. 121.

(o) 16 March.—Petition of Joseph Robinson, carver. Petitioner having recovered a judgment for 3431. 17s. against John Withers, Citizen and Scrivener of London, for carver's work done about some buildings for him, the latter, being rich, brought a Writ of Error for delay, which was affirmed, and then exhibited a long Bill in Chancery. Petitioner charged him in the King's Bench in execution, in the custody of one William Briggs, then Marshal, who immediately, on security or other gratuity, permitted him to go home. Petitioner then recovered judgment against Briggs for an escape. On Mr. George Taylor succeeding Briggs as Marshal, Petitioner took up Withers again upon the judgment; but the latter brought his habeas corpus, and turned himself over to the King's Bench, to the custody of Taylor, who has suffered him to go home and live as if no prisoner, to the utter ruin of Petitioner. Prays Taylor may attend and answer, and make Petitioner satisfaction. Read this day (having been delivered to the Clerk by E. Rochester on the 10th), and the Marshal heard. Petition ordered to be further considered at the next meeting, but there is no further entry. Com. Book.]

(p) 16 March 1696-7.—Transfer of the Prisoners in the Fleet, as follows:-This Indenture, made the two and twentieth day of May, in the seventh year of the reign of our Sovereign Lord William, by the Grace of God of England, Scotland, France and Ireland King, Defender of the Faith, &c., Annoque Domini 1695, between Thomas Fox, present Warden of his Majesty's Fleet Prison, Esqr., of the one part, and Anthony Church, of the City of Westminster, Gent., of the other part, witnesseth that the said Thomas Fox hath on the day of the date hereof delivered, and by these presents doth deliver, unto the said Anthony Church the several bodies of all the persons named in a Schedule hereunto annexed, being prisoners in the said Prison of the Fleet in the custody and charge of the said Thomas Fox, and charged in the

No. 1114.

said prison with the several causes mentioned and expressed the book or books remaining in the said prison of the nan and causes of the prisoners in the said prison, which s prisoners are charged in the said prison with no other cause causes than in the said books are contained. In witness where the parties first above-named to these present Indentures int changeably set their hands and seals the day and year first abo written. A Schedule of all such prisoners as were turned over Thomas Fox, Esq., Warden of the Fleet, unto Anthony Church Gent., at the time of his entrance into the office of Warden the Fleet, viz., the four and twentieth day of May in the sever year of the reign of King William over England, &c. 1695. (H. follow 99 names.) It would make a mutiny and confusion to bri all the prisoners into the House (and indeed impossible to be don On such an occasion, therefore, the Warden takes possession some in name of the whole, and the Court by Rule empowers Warden to fetch in the rest as necessity requires. Signed T Fox. Endorsed as read this day.

(q) 16 March 1696-7. List of prisoners turned over by Indeture by William Briggs, Esq., to George Taylor, Esq., present Marshal, the 2nd May 1696. (Here follow 61 name

Endorsed as read this day.

Petition of Richard Luxford, Ge (r) 19 March 1696-7. Petitioner having in his Petition (Annex (h) above) charge Tilley with reflective words of their Lordships, and being swo at the Bar to testify his knowledge relating to his Petiti before the Committee, Mr. Stone, a friend and agent of Tilley and in a manner a mere stranger to Petitioner, became bound his own accord to the Warden of the Fleet for Petitioner's tr imprisonment, in hopes to prevail with him thereby to retract Petition, and, in order thereunto, tendered him a Petition sign, purporting to deny the charge, and, on Petitioner's refusito withdraw his former Petition, seeks to take him into custo again, and gives out that Tilley can make a better agreement w Petitioner when he has him in prison. Petitioner has offer sufficient bail to the action, but it is not taken. Prays-Endorsed as read this day, and he protected. L. XVI. 129.

(s) 20 March 1696-7. Petition of Elizabeth Leave, daughter Charles Leave, deceased. Petitioner obtained execution ab three years ago against one John Pollex fen on a bond of 11 N 1681 for 2001., being all that was left her by her fath Pollex fen removed himself out of the King's Bench into Fleet, from whence by bribes he obtained his liberty from Till the Warden, and has often upbraided Petitioner, saying the none but fools and beggars continued close prisoners, and the for 20 guineas he could obtain his liberty at any time, there being an honest man belonging to the Fleet. Petitioner frequently applied to Tilley to confine Pollex fen, but with effect, and she and her aged mother are reduced to a stary condition. Prays for redress. Endorsed as read this d L. J., XVI. 130.

(t) 24 March. Amended* Clause, marked x, to come in Sk. 11, l. 28, as follows:—[to the plaintiff or plaintiffs in ev such action or suit, to be recovered as aforesaid,] and moreo

^{*} Omissions in square brackets, additions in italics.

shall be by some justice of peace committed to the common gaol of such county, city, or place where such offence shall be committed, there to remain without bail or mainprize until the next Assizes, Sessions of Oyer and Terminer, or General Gaol Delivery [or General Quarter Sessions of the peace] to be held for such county, city, or place [which shall first happen]; and such offender or offenders being of such offence or offenders duly convicted, every such offender [or offenders] shall suffer and undergo such [fine and] imprisonment, and be set in the pillory, as the Court where such conviction shall be shall think fit [and reasonable; and moreover for the first offence shall be set in the pillory and have one of his [or their] or her ears cut off, and for the second offence shall in like manner be set in the pillory and have his or her other ear cut off, and for the third offence shall be deemed and adjudged a felon, and shall suffer death as in case of felony, without benefit of clergy; and if any rescue, &c.]. [Added to the Bill this day. Com. Book.]

(u) 27 March 1697. Clause as follows:—And whereas there was a charitable Act passed the last Session of Parliament for relief of poor prisoners for debt or damages, but no person could have any benefit thereby that was indebted above one hundred pounds, nor were they excepted from payment of fees and chamber rent, as by all former Acts they were; and, there being many hundred persons that have been long in prison and owe more, and may perish for their fees, who are great objects of charity, being disabled by war, fire, or other misfortunes, who are willing and desirous to serve his Majesty, as by the said Act is provided, but some gaolers or keepers of prisons refuse to swear for many of them, though really prisoners, under pretence or scruple of conscience, or because they had not money to give them; Therefore, Be it enacted by the authority aforesaid that any gapler or keeper of prison may take either of the oaths in the said last Act appointed, and the prisoner giving the creditors such notice and observing such method or form for his or her discharge as by the last Act is required, then it shall and may be lawful for any person in prison for debt on the , who does not stand charged in execution with

pounds to any one person, principal money, such man being under the age of years, listing himself in his Majesty's service, or in his place or stead procure one able man to be enlisted by such person or persons whom his Majesty shall appoint under his Royal Sign Manual, shall have the full benefit of the last Act, intituled An Act for Relief of poor Prisoners for Debt or Damages, and his person shall be free from all debts, fees and chamber rent contracted before his discharge, anything in the said Act or any other statute or custom to the contrary notwithstanding. Endorsed as read this day and rejected. [See Com. Book. See also next paper for words suggested to fill up blanks.]

(u) 27 March 1697. Paper containing the words to fill up the blanks in preceding clause, vizt., 1st blank: 25 December 1695;

2nd blank: 200; 3rd blank: 40 or 50.

(v) 27 March 1697. Proviso as follows:—Be it enacted by the authority aforesaid That this Act or anything herein contained shall not extend to a close confinement of any person whose original debt does not exceed the sum of ten pounds. Endorsed Proviso, read 27 March 1697.

1696-7. No. 1114 1696 - 7No. 1114.

(w) 27 March 1697. Clause marked (φ), then (A), securing M Lenthall's debt to Mr. Boulter. It forms & xix of the A Endorsed Proviso for the Marshal of the King's Bench mortgag [Added to the Bill this day. Com. Book.]

(x) 27 March 1697. Saving Clause, marked (o), then (B), securing a debt to Mr. Smith on the Fleet. It forms § xx the Act. Endorsed Proviso for Anth. Smith. Noted Receiv 16 March '96. Not opposed by the Warden's Counsel. [Add

to the Bill this day. Com. Book.]

(y) 27 March 1697. Proviso, marked (z), then (C), relating to Warden and Mr. Clements and Mr. Norwood. It forms § x of the Act. The words "or so much thereof as is justly of thereupon" were added by the Committee in two places. the end is the following, expunged:—" Nor to the right, to and interest of the Warden of the Fleet in and to the mans house, garden and ground where the said prison is now ke nor in or to the shops, stalls, or standings in and adjoining Westminster Hall which the Warden of the Fleet claims, disti from the said office, by virtue of certain Letters Patents fr his late Majesty King Charles the Second, bearing date the 19 day of September in the 19th year of his reign, to Sir Jere Whitchott, Bart., and his heirs, anything in this Act contain to the contrary hereof in any wise notwithstanding." The Par is noted "Tilley." [Added to the Bill this day after the Sav marked (o). Com. Book.]

(z) 8 April 1697. Clause marked first (R), then (D), vacat deputations made by Mr. Lenthall without Mr. Boulter's conse It forms the last section of the Act. [Added this day at the of the Bill. Com. Book. This and the three preceding Clau were agreed to by the House on Report on 13 April, and

immediately preceding Clause is so endorsed.]

(aa) 8 April 1697 .- Petition of John De Berdt, who obtaine verdict and judgment for 1,614l. debt and 20l. costs again Anthony Church, Esqre., Warden of the Fleet, for the escape his debtor Sir James Chapman Fuller, Bart. Mr. Church concealed himself ever since, to avoid execution. Mr. Tilley the freehold of the office of Warden, and demised it to Church, or else Mr. Church is Mr. Tilley's deputy, and Tilley, as Mr. Church's superior, ought to make good Petitioner the principal and interest due on Petitione judgments against Fuller, which amount to over 900l. Pr. for relief. [Read this day before the Select Committee on

(ab) 8 April 1697. Petition of Theodor Eccleston and Jo Vaughton to the Select Committee, on behalf of themselves a other the people called Quakers, as follows:-We do not des to appear either for or against the Bill, being satisfied, as relates to our civil capacities, with what the Parliament shall wisdom judge most beneficial for the nation in general. inasmuch as, by two Acts for the more easy recovery of tit made by this Parliament, the prosecutors by distress having readier way for recovery of their demands, where legal, the other subjects have for their debts; therefore no damage to th if they have no benefit by this Bill, where the sum exceeds ten pounds. For the reason of the Bill seems to be that so

debtors injuriously carry their goods into privileged places, other ways conceal them, so that upon an issue in law no effe

can be found. But this is not the case of the Quakers, for their prosecutors, where they please, do readily find their goods, so that they have no need of such imprisonment, unless by the close confinement intended in this Act they would ruin them in bodies and estates for small demands, we having many instances of our friends in divers parts of the nation under prosecution after the old methods, who will soon be liable to the severe effects of this Wherefore we humbly request to be heard to offer a clause, that those two Acts of Parliament, made the last Sessions, relating to tithes, may not be made ineffectual by this Act of Parliament. [Undated. The Quakers were called in this day. and heard to a Clause to be offered by them. Com. Book. See also ib., March 24, 27.]

(ab1) 8 April 1697. Clause referred to in preceding, as follows:-Whereas, by two Acts passed in the last Session of this present Parliament, vizt. An Act for the more easy recovery of Small Tithes, and An Act that the solemn Affirmation and Declaration of the People called Quakers shall be accepted instead of an Oath in the usual form, provision is made for the more easy recovery of tithes, small tithes, and church rates, for the value of ten pounds and under; Be it enacted by the authority aforesaid That nothing herein contained shall extend to any prosecution of the people called Quakers, where the suit is for tithes, small tithes, or church rates, and the original claim does not exceed the value of ten pounds, otherwise than as by the said Acts is directed.*
Endorsed 8 April. Clause with the word Quakers. Read and

rejected. [See also Com. Book, March 24, 27, April 8.]
(ab²) 8 April 1697. Draft Clause as follows:—Whereas, by two Acts passed in the last Session of this present Parliament, vizt., An Act for the more easy recovery of Small Tithes, and An Act that the solemn Affirmation and Declaration of the People called Quakers shall be accepted instead of an Oath in the usual form, provision is made for the more easy recovery thereof, so as the sum exceed not the value of ten pounds, Be it enacted by the authority aforesaid That this Act or anything herein contained shall not extend to the confinement of any person or persons, where the suit is for tithes, church-rates, or anything recoverable by the abovesaid Acts, otherwise than as by the said Acts is directed. Endorsed as offered by the Quakers, and read and

rejected this day. Com. Book.
c) 10 April 1697. Petition of Thomas Stone. Richard Luxford (ac) 10 April 1697. was committed to the Fleet in an action of 2,000l., and, in order to enable him to prosecute his petition then rending before the House of Lords, Stone became security to the Warden of the Fleet for his due imprisonment, on Luxford's representation that he had been committed upon a sham action. Petitioner, afterwards discovering the debt to be a real debt, called upon Luxford to surrender himself or give bail to indemnify Petitioner; but, in spite of promises given by Luxford and his solicitor Bradon, the former absconded, and, under pretence that he would be ill used if re-committed to the Fleet, obtained a protecting Order from their Lordships pending his petition, and set Stone at defiance. On the 8th inst., Stone pressing Luxford and Bradon at the House to make good their promises, Luxford used very provoking language, and swore before their Lordships that

^{*} These words in italics are added in the clerk's hand.

Petitioner had struck him, whereupon Petitioner was committee to the custody of Black Rod. Petitioner appends the affiday of two strangers, present at the time, that he did not strike offer violence to Luxford, who moreover formerly swore high treason against the mother of his creditor and was disbelieve Prays to be discharged out of custody on bail. [Read the day. Nothing done on it. MS. Min. No entry in L. J.; but see L. J., XVI., 144, 151.]

(ac1) Affidavit of Daniel Rape, of Bishopsgate, and Charl Jennyngs, of Stocksmarket, London, weavers. Rape saw Stormoving his forefinger about Luxford's face, as if he had been keeping time to music, and touching him gently on the no without any intention to hurt him. Rape called Jennyng attention to them. Both deponents were strangers to Stone at Luxford. They could not discover violence used or blow give but thought Stone was a Frenchman from his eagerness as overmuch gesture. Signed by both deponents, and sworn befo J. Holt on 8 April. [Appended to preceding.]

(ad.) 13 April. Petition of William Briggs and John Greene, Ger In 1690 the office of Marshal was granted to Petitioner Brig and his assigns for his life. Petitioner Greene is bound to pa Mr. Lenthall 1,400l. a year rent for the office during the life Briggs. The prison having been burnt down by a fire in Sout wark, Lenthall obliged himself to repair it, and directed Brig, to do so, promising to allow the cost out of the said rent. The cost was 1,350l., and Lenthall owes Petitioners 1,000l. beside and has to pay them out of the rents. Pray to be heard again the clause in the Bill making void the grant of the office Briggs. Signed John Greene, for self and Wm. Brigg Read this day and rejected. MS. Min. No entry in L. J.

(ae.) 13 April 1697. Petition of John Tilley, Esqre., to the Con mittee to whom Richard Luxford's Petition is committe Petitioner has been unjustly accused before the Committee irreverent and disrespectful words by persons who have con bined to ruin him, to serve the end of one Mr. Baldwy Leighton, who pretends title to the office of Warden of the Fleet, in which Petitioner is interested, as appears by annex affidavit. Petitioner has several other witnesses who have be concerned with Mr. Leighton and others, who can make appe their undue practices in relation to Petitioner. Prays for a di to hear his witnesses. [Read this day before the Select Cor

mittee on Prisons. Com. Book.]

(ae.) Affidavit of John Curtis, of St. Margaret's, Westminster that one Mr. John Gilbert came to him from Mrs. Hancock desire him to come to her lodgings in Whitefriars, to meet C Leighton and his solicitor, Mr. Brunskill. There they propos to give him a grant of the office of Warden of the Fleet und Leighton, on his advancing 1,000l., and he and Leighton we then to divide the profits. Mrs. Hancock, Leighton, depone and Brunskill met the next day at Mr. Story's, nigh to St. Jam Park, to perfect the articles prepared by Brunskill; but, it bei proposed to deponent that he should advance 501. to prosecu Mr. Tilley, who is interested in the Fleet Prison, deponent becar suspicious, and refused to seal the articles. To induce him comply, Mrs. Hancock declared she would do Mr. Tille business, for she and Mr. Gilbert, whom she called her "love" would swear against him in Parliament, and would get one

two more to do the same, and ruin him. She added that Col. Leighton was to allow her 100l. a year, or such like sum, in case he recovered the Fleet from Mr. Tilley. Gilbert and Mrs. Hancock, pursuant to this design, are witnesses against Mr. Tilley. Sworn before Miles Cooke on 29 March. [Appended to

preceding.

af.) 13 April 1697. Petition of John Wich, Esqre. Petitioner caused Richard Luxford to be arrested for a penal Bill of 2,000L for payment of 1,000L to Petitioner's wife, Luxford's Bill in Chancery to be relieved having been dismissed. His bail having surrendered him to the Fleet Prison, he presented a Petition to the House of Lords suggesting the bond was void in law and equity, in spite of the Lord Keeper's dismission of his Bill, and thus obtained their Lordships' Order to protect him. Prays Luxford may attend the House from time to time in custody, until he shall give bail to answer, so that he may not, under colour of prosecuting his Petition, find means to escape. [Read this day. L. J., XVI. 151. On the following day an Order was made for Luxford to attend the Committee in

custody. 1b. 159.]

(ag) 13 April. Petition of George Taylor, Marshal of the Court of King's Bench. Petitioner in Easter term last was admitted to the office of Marshal, by virtue of a grant to him by William Briggs, Esq., late Marshal, for which Petitioner paid 2,000l. Briggs held the office under a grant from William Lenthall, Esq., about seven years since. A Clause has been added in Committee to the Bill, making void all grants by Lenthall, whereby Petitioner will lose his office. By another Clause Petitioner and the keepers of all other prisons are obliged to put in special bail upon all Writs of Error brought upon judgments recovered against them for escapes for the whole sum recovered, although it be upon a penalty where little or nothing is due, which will be a great hardship upon the keepers of prisons, and the giving bail for the money really due (only) will be sufficient security to the Plaintiffs in the actions. Prays to be heard by counsel against the two Clauses, before the Bill be read a third time. Endorsed as read this day and rejected. MS. Min. No entry in L. J.

(ah) 14 April 1697. Petition of Richard Luxford, gentleman. Mr. Wescomb, who married Lady Copleston, and whose character is not unknown to the world as a sharper and gamester about town, met Petitioner at a cock match just as he arrived at Bristol, invited him to his house, got him into gaming, and got from him a Bill of 3271., besides 1031. paid in money. On their keeping their coach, four or five liveries, music playing constantly at meals, Petitioner thought they had a fortune answerable, and courted their daughter, with whom was proposed a very great fortune. When he came home to his friends, they gave him an account of the cheat of those people, and how they had like to bave tricked a gentleman a summer or two before. This made Petitioner desist having any more concern with them, and he afterwards married the woman he now has; but, Petitioner not being of age, they then got him into no bond. The day after his marriage, Wescomb came to Petitioner here in town. Petitioner told him of his marriage, and he wrote to his daughter about it, and dined with Petitioner and his wife the day after, and received a pair of gloves. He then ensnared Petitioner into

1696-7. No. 1114.

the country with him, to make good his promise of letting have a share in some lead mines, telling Petitioner he mu nothing of his marriage, otherwise Wescomb's wife and day would obstruct his having any share. Petitioner being come of age, Wescomb intrigued him out of a bond daughter for 1,000% out of natural love and affection, bond they now sue, and Wescomb has taken Petitioner the suit of John Wich, who has a wife now living that married to him before this. At this action an agent o Tilley's would not let Petitioner trouble his friends for ba would get bail himself for the suit, which accordingly was Afterwards Mr. Tilley, having put Petitioner to a very expense in holding him nine months in treaty for the Fle got part of his money, let Petitioner have neither the Fle his money again; so Petitioner arrested him. But, Petit bail being strangers, Tilley got them to deliver Petitioner the Fleet Prison, and sent him word that, if he would give the debt, he would give him his liberty. After Petition presented his Petition before the House, one Stone, wh prisoner in the Fleet, came to him without his request, was a stranger to him, and became security to the Ward the Fleet for his true imprisonment. Next day Stone c have Petitioner sign a Petition to withdraw a former Peti their Lordships against Mr. Tilley; and, because Pet refused, he ever since seeks to deliver him again to the Flee Petitioner obtained their Lordships' protection, which the seek to get off, and to bring Petitioner into the Fleet to their mercy. So that, between Tilley, Wich, and We Petitioner is to become a prisoner, to lose his money and and his wife and children made miserable, being already dis out of Chancery, by reason of some letters Petitioner pr some time after marriage, which was procured to be de Wescomb and sent always enclosed in his to his wife, in o hold correspondence till such times the lead mines were Prays their Lordships not to leave him to the hands of merciless men. Endorsed as read this day and re MS. Min. No entry in L. J.

1115. 10 Feb.—Trade and Plantations.—Papers produced a Committee appointed to consider of the State of the Trade Kingdom.

The Committee was appointed, on motion, this day. (MS. I., J., XVI. 94.)

Their proceedings are recorded as follows:--

11 Feb.—E. Rochester in the Chair.—The Report made 2 1695, touching trade, was read. Ordered, That the Clerk send thereof to the Commissioners for Customs, and that some of them on the 15th inst. with an information of what has been done by pursuance of the Report (Paper c), and particularly in what botton observe the importations and exportations have been manage whether they have anything more to offer, in supplement to the Act concerning the Plantation trade. Ordered, That the Commi of Trade be desired to send on the 15th inst. a copy of their Comming (Paper a^1), with an account of what has been transacted before pursuance thereof; and, if they have observed their Commission decortain sufficient power for the securing or improving the tradenation, to lay it before their Lordships with their opinion how best be supplied, and likewise to acquaint their Lordships with

w have occurred to their observation for the better management

said trade (Paper a).

eb. - E. Rochester in the Chair .- The Secretary of the Comof Trade delivers in a copy of the said Commission (Paper a^{1}), an Account of what has been under their consideration since the g the Commission (Paper a), which Account is read. The issioners of the Customs are called in. Mr. Clarke says Sir Southwell is not well, otherwise he would have attended. s in an Account of exportations and importations for the three ars, contained in books they have brought with them (Taper c^1). o delivers in an Account of the English and foreign ships cleared nd arrived at London and the out-ports from Michaelmas, 1692 The Papers from the Commissioners of Customs are Mr. Randolph, called in, delivers in a d to be signed by them. of Proposals offered by him formerly to the Commissioners of ns, which is read (Paper b). Proposal I being read, Mr. Clarke vey made a Presentment of the matter in that Proposal to the issioners of the Treasury. The Presentment is what the Comners of the Customs thought fit to make upon Mr. Randolph's Proposals. Ordered, That the Commissioners of the Customs lay the Committee tomorrow a copy of their Presentment (Annex d); at for the future they keep an account of what ships the several entered in the Custom House in London or in the outports are ed or imported in, and that they make the best estimates they can values of the goods so exported or imported. Mr. Randolph is ed to lay before the Committee a copy of the lease made by the ing James, when Duke of York, to Mr. Penn, of that part of and that lies on the sea-coast, whereby the trade of the Plantations

nuch abused. Feb. - E. Rochester in the Chair .- Mr. Randolph says he can o copy of Mr. Penn's lease, but he has a Report of the Attorneyal and the Solicitor-General's Report upon the Grants to Mr. which is read (Paper e). Ordered, That Mr. Penn attend on the ust, with two Indentures from the late King James, when Duke ork, dated 24 Aug. 1682, touching the Country of Newcastle and acts of land depending thereon in America Ordered that Mr. wayt produce on the 20th inst. a copy of Col. Fletcher's Commun when he went as Governor to New York. The Account delivered terday by the Commissioners of Trade was read. Ordered, That cumissioners of Trade produce copies of their Representation to ing concerning the settling of Courts of Admiralty in the Planta-urder proper officers of his Majesty's appointment (Paper h), and equaint their Lordships whether anything has been laid before his sty by them in relation to the Governors to be approved of by his sty, in pursuance of the late Act for preventing frauds &c. in the ation trade. Mr. Clarke delivers in a copy of the Presentment to ords of the Treasury &c. (Paper d), as also an Account of the sh and foreign ships cleared &c. (Papers c1 and c2), and an Account they have done in pursuance of the Report of 20 Jan. 1695 or c). Ordered, That Mr Randolph bring on the 20th a list of e Proprietors of Plantations that are independent of the Governof his Majesty (Paper o). The Commissioners of Customs are ted to consider whether it be necessary to send Mr. Randolpin ntly with the other officers to the Plantations.

Feb.—E. Rochester in the Chair.—The Secretary of the Commisof Trade delivers in the Representations ordered on the 16th ers f to k), as also Col. Fletcher's Commission as Governor of New

1696-7. No. 1115.

York (Papers l and m). E. Bridgewater lays before the Com the Petition of the Proprietors of several Plantations to his M upon the Representation of the 17th Dec. 1696, about erect Admiralty Courts there (Paper n). Mr. Daniel Blake says that, this morning with Mr. Penn, he found him ill of a fever, and he him to pray their Lordships to excuse his attendance till he is which he hopes will be suddenly. Mr. Blake is directed to know that he attend on the 23rd, which he accordingly under Ordered, That Mr. Randolph attend the Committee as often a shall think fit. Mr. Randolph is called in, and delivers in a list Proprietors of the Plantations that are independent, which is (Paper o). Ordered That the Proprietors of Carolina and the B Islands, viz. E. Craven, E. Bath, L. Berkeley of Stratton, L. A. Carteret, Sir John Colleton and John Archdale, Junr., attend 22nd inst.

22 Feb.-E. Rochester in the Chair.-E. Bath acquaints the mittee that he is come in obedience to their Order, but he has n opportunity to speak with the other Proprietors, and he know their Lordships' commands. The list of Proprietors was read, a following Paper, with the Abstract mentioned in it, was sent Proprietors of the Bahama Islands and of Carolina, viz., "The Committees appointed to consider of the State of the Trade Kingdom send this Abstract of a Representation made to his I from the Commission of Trade, which they think reasonable complied with, and desire you will agree to settle a certain alle upon the Governor, and that he may be obliged to give such s as is proposed in this copy of their Representation; and that y consider in like manner of a Governor of Carolina, on whom desired you will also settle a certain salary, and oblige him t security, as the several Acts relating to trade and navigation a Plantations require, for his obedience to those Acts. They desi will meet and give your answer with what convenient speed may

23 Feb.-E. Rochester in the Chair.-Mr. Penn, called in, has brought the deeds which he had from King James, when I York, relating to Newcastle in America. (They are read.) by virtue of those deeds he has a power of making a Governor The Duke had so before, and he has so now, he conceives. He Grant the Duke of York had from the Crown. The late Act Plantations has been put in execution. His Governor is read what the Act obliges. He himself submits to it. (That part Randolph's Paper (Paper o) which relates to Pennsylvania and castle, &c. is read). Desires he may have time to answer, and bring a charge against Mr. Randolph of his ill-usage of the Go there, &c. (Ordered, That Mr. Penn may have a copy of that Mr. Randolph's Paper which has been read). He has laid Markham's name before the Commission of Trade for the approbation. An Order of Council of 9 Aug. 1694 to Col. Nic relating to the prevention of smuggling, is read. Mr. Penn unc to bring a copy of the Act made by Col. Nicholson, which he co He will lay before the Committee what he thinks for advar trade, and he will give an answer in writing on 1 March to M dolph's Paper. Ordered, That Mr. Randolph's witnesses, vi Richard Savage, Mr. Stephen Board, Mr. Peregrine Brown, M Nichol, Mr. John Taylor, Mr. Ebenezer Chaffin, and Mr. John [6 attend on 1 March, and appear in the meantime at the Bar to be

1 March.—E. Rochester in the Chair.—The Report from the missioners of the Customs, touching Mr. Randolph's going

tions (Paper p), is read. Mr. Pople, Secretary to the Comhers for Trade, delivers in a copy of the Report of the Commisof the Customs to the Commissioners of Trade on 17 January · q). Mr. Penn, called in, delivers in answers in writing to and olph's charge (Papers r and s). Mr. Randolph is called in onn's two answers are read. Mr. Penn: It is impracticable to he King of tobacco this way. The charge and answer are read Stephen Board, sworn, says the list of the ships now showed xcept Matthew Eustis) is true (Paper n). It is a list of ships in Pennsylvania with tobacco, and it appears not they have ved their loading here. Mr. Randolph, sworn, says he often Mr. Markham (Mr. Penn's Governor) why the bonds he had of several ships he named to him for their returning for England not put in suit, they having not accordingly come hither, and arkhum only said it was not done. The bonds, he says, are in larkham's hands, but he has left a list of them with Mr. Webb. 'enn: Bouds have been taken; it is not our fault that they have en prosecuted. Richard Savage, sworn, delivers in a certificate ng a Dutchman's carrying a quantity of goods the land way r w). Peregrine Brown, sworn, says one Arnaldus Delegrange, thman, carried 2,000%, or 3,000%, in goods the land way, and ased about 1,000 hogsheads. He knows that one hogshead of to has been carried that way. Mr. Savage delivers in a list of el instances of an illegal trade and undue practices at Pennsylvania, teted out of letters to the Commissioners of the Customs (Paper a). withdraw. Mr. Penn, recalled, delivers in a copy of the Act by Mr. Nicholson (Paper y). He will offer something for the tage of trade on the 4th inst., at which time be is directed to

March.-E. Rochester in the Chair.-Mr. Penn, being called in sked what more he has to say in answer to the Paper formerly red in by Mr. Randolph, delivers in an Act made by Governor colson in Maryland (Paper z). Mr. Randolph is called in. Mr. 11: The ship that brought unlawful goods to Pennsylvania belonged clagrange. She came only into the river and not into the ports, what ill she did was in one night, which cannot be much We had ip strong enough to oppose him. All the care that is possible, at · listance we are at from Pennsylvania, is taken. (Delivers in the or he promised at the last meeting, for the advantage of trade er a a), which is read). Mr. Randolph's Governor takes insuffisecurities. I have sued one or two, and they are worth nothing. red, That one or more of the Commissioners of the Customs attend ne 8th inst., with an account in writing of what instructions they given or are preparing to give the officers they are about to send e Plantations in America, and also an account of what instructions been given to his Majesty's Governors in the said Plantations in ion to trade. Mr. Penn is asked what objections he can make to putting the government of the Proprietors' Plantations into the 3's hands. The country is nothing worth to him the moment after hall lose his government. The loss of it will ruin him and his dy. This is his right and the support of his family. Without this rument he cannot sell an acre of land. He proposes that his uty Governor shall be approved by the King and give security. is directed to attend on the 8th inst.

March.—E. Rochester in the Chair.—Sir Robert Southwell, &c., in nance of the Order of the 4th inst., produces copies of the instruction to the Governors in the Plantations and to their officers to

1696-7. No. 1115.

be sent thither, both of which are read (Paper bb). The Commi present undertake to move the Commissioners of the Treasu the King be humbly desired to sign the instructions to the Go as the late Kings have done. (Mr. Penu's Proposal for advan of trade the last day is read.) The Commissioners say the Go of the Proprietors' Islands take no notice of any instruction them. They withdraw. Mr. Randolph delivers in a Paper

is not read (Paper.cc). 11 March.-E. Rochester in the Chair.-Mr. Randolph's delivered in the last day, is read. Mr. Penn is called in, and a entitled An Expedient against Fraud in the Plantation trade, de in by him, is read (Paper dd). Ordered that it be sent to the C sioners of the Customs, to be considered of by them, and returned 15th inst. with their observations thereon. Ordered, That M may have a copy of the list of the vessels trading to Pennsy &c. delivered in by Mr. Savage. Ordered, That the Commis of the Customs bring on the 15th inst. the instructions to the Go of the King's Plantations, undertaken to be prepared by them Lords Commissioners of the Treasury, to be laid by them bef Majesty for his Royal signature; and that they lay the same before they are presented to the Lords of the Ti Memorandum: The Lord in the Chair acquainted Mr. Penn effect following: that the Committee are of opinion that the Go for the Proprietors should receive the same instructions from the as his Majesty's Governors shall have, and that the Proprietors s under obligation that those instructions be observed by their De and be liable to answer for their misbehaviour; that, if there be complaint against the Proprietors after this, the Parliament may take another course in this matter, which will be less pleasing to

15 March .- E. Rochester in the Chair, Sir Robert Son delivers in the observations of the Cemmissioners of the Customers Mr. Penn's Paper sent to them by this Committee the last day, are read (Paper ee). He delivers in a copy of the instruction pared for the King's signature to the Governors of his Plan which is read. The Commissioners withdraw. Mr. Penn, desi be heard, is called in. He offers a Paper of Proposals for the ragement of trade in the Plantations. The Commissioners Customs are called in, and, the Paper being read to them, they to consult together, that they may propose what may be proper. Paper is given back to Mr. Penn, he undertaking to give the Co sioners of the Customs a more correct copy. The Commission directed, when they have received and considered it, to make a sentation thereof to the Committee. (Paper ff²). They are d to forbear delivery to the Lords Commissioners of the Treas the said instructions till they hear again from the Committee. withdraw. Ordered to report as in L. J., XVI. 125-6.

18 March.—E. Rochester in the Chair. An Address, in pur of the Order of the House yesterday (L. J., XVI. 126), is draw agreed to be reported. This Address is given in L. J., XVI. 122 extenso. The King's answer on the 22nd is given in L. J., XVI. 23 March.—M. Normanby in the Chair. Adjourned to the 27t 27 March.—E. Rochester in the Chair. Adjourned to 1 April. Book.—Nothing further recorded.

The Papers are as follows:--.

(a) 15 Feb.—Account from the Commissioners for Trade o of the things done by them in pursuance of their Comm as follows:— To the Right Honourable the Lords Committees of the most Honourable the House of Lords, appointed to consider the state of the Trade of this Kingdom.

1696-7.

May it please your Lordships.

n obedience to your Lordships' Order, dated the 11th of this menth, we humbly lay before your Lordships a copy of our Commission for promoting the trade of this Kingdom, and for inspecting and improving his Majesty's Plantations in America and elsewhere, in pursuance whereof we have enquired, so far as we have been able, by correspondence abroad and conference with merchants here, and all other ways, into matters relating to domestic and foreign trade, amongst which the woollen, linen and paper manufacturers, the Lustring Company, the exportation of wool, the claudestine importation of prohibited goods from France, the supply of naval stores from his Majesty's Plantations, besides several other things of public concernment in trade, have fallen under our consideration. We have made divers representations to his Majesty, as occasions have required, and particularly for the setting out of convoys to several parts, as hath appeared to us requisite for the advantage of those trades; upon which his Majesty hath been graciously pleased to give orders. We have held a correspondence with his Majesty's Governors in all his Plantations, signifying his Majesty's pleasure to them in all matters that have occurred to us for public service, amongst which some particulars have regarded the suppression of pirates, some the conduct of the Plantations respectively towards one another, some the government of the several Plantations distinctly within themselves. A good part of our time hath been taken up in perusing and considering the transcripts of the public proceedings of those Plantations which have been transmitted to us, and more particularly the Acts of their general assemblies or laws, in order to represent to his Majesty which of those laws may be fit to be continued, and which repealed, as well with respect to the orderly government of those Plantations as to the benefit of this Kingdom. The better to enforce the late Act of Parliament for preventing of Frands and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade, and in order to prevent a trade from Scotland and other illegal trades into those parts, we have proposed to his Majesty the settling of Courts of Admiralty there, under proper officers of his Majesty's appointment. Upon the preparations of the French (as we apprehended) against the West Indies, we laid before his Majesty an opinion for securing his Plantations and offending the enemy there; wherein his Majesty hath been pleased to give directions. Upon the misfortune that happened in Newfoundland, we also considered that matter, and laid before his Majesty what we humbly conceived to be for his service; upon which his Majesty hath been pleased to signify to us that he will take care therein. These are some instances, among many others, that might be given of the things that we have been transacting since the opening of our Commission.

We humbly conceive that our said Commission doth contain such powers as enable us to hear, examine into, and report to his Majesty our opinion upon all matters proper to be considered for

the securing and improving the trade of this nation.

The matters that occur to observation for the management of trade are manifold, according to the circumstances of each particular trade whereof the whole is composed, and cannot

1696-7.

No. 1115.

easily be enumerated. But there doth not occur anything to finore general advantage to the trade of England than our hands at home be fully employed, whereby we may be better enabled to send large quantities of manufactures abrand that the consumption of such foreign goods as are purchased in return of our own manufactures be diminished.

Whitehall, Feb. 15 1696-7.]

J. Bridgewater.

J. Bridgewater. Tankerville. Ph. Meadows. Wm, Blathwayt. John Pollexfen. John Locke.

[Read this day. Com. Book.]

(a1) 15 Feb.—Copy of the Commission for Trade, enclosed preceding, viz.:—

William the Third, by the Grace of God King of Eng Scotland, France, and Ireland, Defender of the Faith, &c. our Keeper of the Great Seal of England or Chancello England for the time being, our President of our Privy Co for the time being, our Keeper of our Privy Seal for the being, our First Commissioner of our Treasury and our Treasury and our Treasury and our Admiralty of England for the time being, our First Commissioner of Admiralty and our Admiral of England for the time being, our principal Secretaries of State for the time being, and Chancellor of our Exchequer for the time being: To our trusty and right well-beloved cousins and counsellors, Jearl of Bridgewater, and Ford, Earl of Tankerville: To trusty and well-beloved Sir Philip Meadows, Knight, Wi Blathwayte, John Pollexfen, John Locke, Abraham Hill John Methwen, Esquires, Greeting.

Whereas we are extremely desirous that the trade of Kingdom of England, upon which the strength and riches the do in a great measure depend, should by all proper mean promoted and advanced. And whereas we are persuaded nothing more will effectually contribute thereto than the appring of knowing and fit persons to inspect and examine integeneral trade of our said Kingdom and the several parts the and to enquire into the several matters and things herein mentioned relating thereunto, with such powers and direct as are hereinafter specified and contained.

Know ye therefore that we, reposing especial trust and fidence in your discretions, abilities and integrities, nominated, authorised and constituted, and do by these pre nominate, authorise and appoint the said Keeper of our Seal or Chancellor for the time being, the President of Privy Council for the time being, the Keeper of our I Seal for the time being, the First Commissioner of Treasury or Treasurer for the time being, the First Cor sioner for executing the office of Admiral and our Ad for the time being, our principal Secretaries of State for time being, and our Chancellor of the Exchequer for the being, and You, John, Earl of Bridgewater, Ford, Ear Tankerville, Sir Philip Meadows, William Blathwayte, Pollexfen, John Locke, Abraham Hill and John Methwe any three or more of you, to be our Commissioners, during Royal pleasure, for promoting the trade of this our King and for inspecting and improving our Plantations in America elsewhere.

And, to the end that our Royal purpose and intention herein may the better take effect, our will and pleasure is, and we do hereby order, direct and appoint, that you do diligently and constantly, as the nature of the service may require, meet together at some convenient place in our palace of Whitehall which we shall assign for that purpose, or at any other place which we shall

appoint for the execution of this our Commission. And we do by these presents authorise and empower you our said Commissioners, or any three or more of you, to enquire, examine into, and take an account of the state and condition of the general trade of England, and also of the several particular trades in all foreign parts, and how the same respectively are advanced or decayed and the causes or occasions thereof, and to enquire into and examine what trades are or may prove hurtful, or are or may be made beneficial, to our Kingdom of England, and by what ways and means the profitable and advantageous trades may be more improved and extended, and such as are hurtful and prejudicial rectified or discouraged, and to inquire into the several obstructions of trade and the means of removing the same; and also in what manner and in what proper methods the trade of our said Kingdom may be most effectually protected and secured in all the parts thereof, and to consider by what means the several useful and profitable manufactures already settled in our said Kingdom may be further improved, and how and in what manner new and profitable manufactures may be introduced.

And we do further by these presents authorise and require you our said Commissioners, or any three or more of you, to consider of some proper methods for setting on work and employing the poor of our said Kingdom and making them useful to the public, and thereby easing our subjects of that burden, and by which ways and means such design may be made most effectual, and in general, by all such methods and ways as you in your discretions shall think best, to inform yourselves of all things relating to trade and the promoting and encouraging thereof, as also to consider of the best and most effectual means to regain, encourage

and establish the Fishery of this Kingdom.

And our further will and pleasure is that you our said Commissioners, or any five or more of you, do from time to time make representations touching the premises to us or to our Privy Council, as the nature of the business shall require, which said representations are to be in writing, and to be signed by five

or more of you.

And we do hereby further empower and require you our said Commissioners to take into your care all records, grants and papers remaining in the Plantation Office or thereto belonging, and likewise to inform yourselves of the present condition of our respective Plantations, as well with regard to the administration of the government and justice in those places as in relation to the commerce thereof. And also to enquire into the limits, soil, and product of our several Plantations, and how the same may be improved, and of the best means for easing and securing our Colonies there, and how the same may be rendered most useful and beneficial to our said Kingdom of England.

And we do hereby further empower and require you our said Commissioners, more particularly and in a principal manner, to inform yourselves what naval stores may be furnished from our Plantations, and in what quantities, and by what methods our

1696 - 7. No. 1115.

Royal purpose of having our Kingdom supplied with nava stores from thence may be made practicable and promoted. Am also to enquire into and inform yourselves of the best and mos proper methods of settling and improving in our Plantatious such other staples and manufactures as our subjects of England are now obliged to fetch and supply themselves withal from other prince and States. And also what staples and manufactures may best encouraged there, and what trades are taken up and exercise there which are or may prove prejudicial to England, by furnishing themselves or other our Colonies with what hat been usually supplied from England, and to find out prope means of diverting them from such trades, and whatsoever elsemay turn to the hurt of our Kingdom of England.

And to examine and look into the usual instructions given the Governors of our Plantations, and to see if anything may be added, omitted, or changed therein to advantage. To take a account yearly, by way of journal, of the administration of our Governors there, and to draw out what is proper to be observe and represented unto us. And, as often as occasion shall require, to consider of proper persons to be Governors, or Deputy Governor or to be of our Council, or of our Council at law, or Secretaries in our respective Plantations, in order to present their name to us in Council.

And we do hereby further authorise and empower you our sai Commissioners to examine into and weigh such acts of the Assemblies of the Plantations respectively as shall from time time be sent or transmitted hither for our approbation. And set down and represent as aforesaid the usefulness or mischic thereof to our Crown, or to our said Kingdom of England, or the Plantations themselves, in case the same should be established for laws there. And also to consider what matters may be recommended as fit to be passed in the assemblies there. To be complaints of oppressions and mal-administrations in our Plantations, in order to represent, as aforesaid, what you in you discretions shall think proper. And also to require an account of all monies given for public uses by the assemblies in or Plantations, and how the same are and have been expended laid out.

And we do, by these presents, authorise and empower you of said Commissioners, or any three of you, to send for persons a papers for your better information in the premises, and, occasion shall require, to examine witnesses upon oath, whi oath you are hereby empowered to administer, in order to a matters aforesaid.

And we do declare our further will and pleasure to be that y our said Commissioners do from time to time report all yo doings in relation to the premises in writing under the hands any five of you, as aforesaid, to us, or to our Privy Council, the nature of the thing shall require.

And we do hereby further authorise and empower you our si Commissioners to execute and perform all other things necess or proper for answering our Royal intentions in the premises.

And we do further give power to you our said Commissioners, any three or more of you as aforesaid, from time to time and occasion shall require, to send for and desire the advice a assistance of our Attorney or Solicitor General, or other c Counsel at law.

And we do hereby further declare our Royal will and pleasure to be that we do not hereby intend that our Chancellor of England or Keeper of our great Seal for the time being, the President of our Privy Council for the time being, the Keeper of our Privy Seal for the time being, the Treasurer or First Commissioner of our Treasury for the time being, our Admiral or First Commissioner for executing the Office of Admiral for the time being, our principal Secretaries of State for the time being, or our Chancellor of the Exchequer for the time being, should be obliged to give constant attendance at the meeting of our said Commissioners; but only so often and when the presence of them, or any of them, shall be necessary and requisite, and as their other public service will permit.

In witness whereof we have caused these our Letters to be made

patents.

Witness Thomas, Archbishop of Canterbury, and the rest of the Juardians and Justices of the Realm. At Westminster, the Fifteenth lay of May, in the eighth year of our reign.

By Writ of Privy Seal.

(b) 15 Feb.—Mr. Randolph's Proposals to the Commissioners of Customs for regulating abuses in the Plantation trade, viz :-

To the Honble, the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs. Proposals humbly offered for the more effectual putting in execution the Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade.

1. That the Governors in all the proprieties be duly qualified for the discharge of their trusts, in relation to the Acts of Trade,

as in the Act for preventing Frauds, &c., is directed.

2. That fit persons be appointed to be Governors of Carolina and Pennsylvania, to prevent the illegal trade carried on by Scotchmen and others, in vessels belonging to New England and Pennsylvania, from those provinces to Scotland, Carasaw [Curaçoa], and other unlawful places.

3. That a Commission under the Great Seal of England (as formerly) be directed to divers persons, with power to administer the oath to the present Governors in all the Plantations, and also to such who shall hereafter be made Governors of any of those Plantations, before their entering upon their respective

Governments.

4. [Noted in margin: Before the King in Council.]—That there be appointed a Judge, a Register, a Marshal of the Court of Admiralty, and an Attorney-General, in all the Colonies and provinces upon the coast of America, to try causes arising upon seizures and forfeitures made upon the breach of the several Acts relating to the trade in the Plantations, as in the aforesaid Act for preventing Frauds &c. is directed.

5. [Noted in margin: Done.]—That all such officers, Collectors and others, who have, by ignorance or connivance, encouraged the illegal trade, be removed, and that honest and able officers be put in their rooms, also in such places where officers are yet wanting, for putting the said Act in execution.
RANDOLPH, S.G. [Read this day. Com. Book.] Signed Ed.

(c) 16 Feb.—Return of the Commissioners of the Customs to an Order of the Committee of 11 Feb., as follows:-

To the Right Honble, the Lords Committees appointed to consider of the State of the Trade of this Kingdom.

1696 - 7.No. 1115.

May it please your Lordships.

In obedience to your Lordships' commands, by your Order of the 11th inst., upon a copy of a Report made upon the 20th of January 1695 from the Lords Committees appointed to consider of certain Papers delivered from this Board, requiring that some of us do attend your Lordships with an information of what has been done by us in pursuance of the said Report, we do humbly acquaint your Lordships, that, pursuant to several Orders of the House of Peers and of the Lords Committees of 30th December 1695 and 8th January 1695-6, we have caused to be collected an account of the exportations and importations of trade for the three then last years, distinguishing to or from what country or place the same were exported or imported, according to such specimens as we exhibited to their Lordships of the said Committee at our attendance on their Lordships, which account is contained in twelve books, which were yesterday laid before your Lordships, a schedule whereof is herewith presented. But, lest the said account may not fully answer your Lordships' purpose, so as to frame a balance of trade from thence, without distinguishing the shipping in which the said expertations and importations were made, whether English or foreign, and also without the knowledge of the value of the goods exported and imported, we have directed the account which is to be kept for the future of such exportations and importations to be kept in such a method as to distinguish the quality of the shipping, and also to give as certain an estimate of the value of the goods as can be known by the laws and methods of the Customs. And, in relation to the account already haid before your Lordships, although we cannot distinguish to your Lordships the shipping in which the goods contained in the said account were imported or exported, we have caused to be extracted out of the General Register of Shipping, which is kept by a clerk appointed by us for that purpose, an account o the number of English and foreign ships imported and exported in London and the out-ports for four years last past, in which the three years of the said account are included. And, in furthe obedience to your Lordships' said Order requiring us to inform your Lordships whether we have anything to offer in supplemen to the late Act concerning the Plantation trade, we do humbly acquaint your Lordships that some of our number that are of the House of Commons have under their care to bring in clause in some proper Bill to lengthen the time given by the said Act for administering the oaths to the Governors of the Planta tions, which, by reason of some accidents that have intervened is almost clapsed before the same could be put into execution and chiefly by the obstructions that have been given, by th Proprietors of those Plantations that were under particular charters, to those things that have been endeavoured by th Commissioners, in pursuance of the said Act.

All which is humbly submitted to your Lordships' consideration.

Walter Yonge, Ja. Chadwicke, Sam. Clarke, Ben. Overton.

Custom House, London, 16 February 1696-7. (c1) 16 Feb.—Engrosed Schedule of the Book containing an Account of three years' exportations and importations, as follows:—

1696-7. No. 1115.

Custom House, London, 15 February, 1696.

An Account of the trade of this Kingdom with all parts of the world by way of exportation and importation for 3 years, vizt., from Christmas 1692 until Christmas 1695, being in return to an Order of the House of Lords dated 30 December 1695, which is contained in 12 books, as follows, vizt.:—

Liber 1. Contains the exportations from the Port of London from Christmas 1692 to Christmas 1693.

- " 2. Contains the importations into the Port of London for the same time.
- " 3. Contains the exportations from all the out Ports of England for the same time.

4. Contains the importations into all the out Ports for the same time.

,, 5. Contains the exportations from the Port of London from Christmas 1693 to Christmas 1694.

6. Contains the importations into the Port of London for the same time.

7. Contains the exportations from all the out Ports of England for the same time.

8. Contains the importations into all the out Ports for the same time.

", 9. Contains the exportations from the Port of London from Christmas 1694 to Christmas, 1695.

" 10. Contains the importations into the Port of London for the same time.

" 11. Contains the exportations from all the out Ports of England for the same time.

, 12. Contains the importations into all the out Ports for the same time.

[Read this day. Com. Book.]

(c²) 16 Feb.—Account of the number of English and foreign ships arrived from and cleared to foreign parts in London and to the cut Ports in the years underwritten:

				London.				OUT PORTS.			
				Înwards.		Outwards.		Inwards.		Outwards.	
				English.	Foreign.	English.	Foreign.	English.	Foreign.	English.	Foreign.
	1692	88	(1693	326	647	401	478	453	264	617	324
	1693		1694	581	612	354	332	499	295	468	288
	1693	t	1695*	459	465	518	410	633	211	- 620	236
	1695	Mi	1696†	351	588	454	860	341	100	287	278
Total of ships .			ps -	1670	2312	1727	1580	1926	870	1992	1126
				187,040	289,000	193,424	197,500	138,672	85,260.	143,424	110,348
		-									

* Ships to and from Newcastle not here charged.
† Ships to and from 9 of the out-ports not inserted here, the accounts being not yet come up.

Memor: That the English ships in the Port of London, according to a medium, may be estimated, one with another, at 112 tons each, the foreigners at 125 each. That the English ships in

the out-Ports, according to a medium, may be estimated one with another at 72 each, the foreigners at 98 each. *Endorsement*, signed by John Fenton, Secretary to the Commissioners states that the above account is extracted out of the General Register of Shipping, and delivered to the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs, by a clerk appointed for that purpose. [Read this day. Com. Book.]

(d) 16 Feb.—Copy Presentment for Commission to empower persons to swear the Governors in the Plantations, &c. Lib

XIV., p. 214, as follows:—

Custom House, London, 17 July 1696.

To the Right Honble, the Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury.

Presentment.

By the Commissioners for managing and causing to be levied and collected his Majesty's Customs, Subsidies, and other Duties.

By a clause in the Act of Parliament made in the 7th and 8th years of his Majesty's reign, intituled An Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade, it is provided that all the present Governors or Commanders-in-Chief of every English Colony and Plantation shall, before the 25th day of March 1697, and all who hereafter shall be made Governors or Commanders-in-Chief of the said Colonies or Plantations, or any of them, before their entrance into their governments, shall take a solemn oath to do their utmost that all the clauses matters and things contained in the Act for encouraging and increasing of shipping and navigation, made in the 12th year of the reign of King Charles the Second, and all other laws which have been since made for the better regulating and securing the Plantation trade, and now in force, and that all the clauses contained in this present Act shall be punctually and bonâ fide observed according to the true intent and meaning thereof; and this oath being to be taken before such person or persons as shall be appointed by his Majesty, his heirs and successors, who are thereby authorized to administer the same, so far as appertains to the said Governors or Commanders-in-Chief respectively The Commissioners do herewith humbly lay before their Lord ships the form of a Commission, which hath been approved of by his Majesty's Attorney General, and pray that their Lordships will please to be a means that the same may pass under the broad seal, empowering Ralph Wormley, William Bird, Edward Hill Richard Lee, John Lear, Christopher Wormley, Edmond Jennings, Edwd. Randolph, Charles Scarborough, Edwd. Chilton Peter Jennings and the Attorney General for the time being Esquires, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's Colony of Virginia for the time being, to administer the oath before directed to the Governor or Commander-in-Chie of the said Colony, according to the form therein mentioned which hath been likewise approved by his Majesty's said Attorney General, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief there for the time being.

The like Commission to Sir Thomas Lawrence, Baronet Edward Randolph, Henry Jolly, George Robotham, James Frisbey, John Addison, Thomas Brookes, Edward Chilton, Peter Jennings, George Muschamp and Philip Clarke, Esquires empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's Province of Maryland for the time being, to administer the said oath to the Governor or Commanderin-Chief of the said Province, or to the Governor or Commander-

in-Chief there for the time being.

The like Commission to Frederick Philips, Stephen Courtland, Peter Byard, William Smith, William Penhorne, Edwd. Randolph and his Majesty's Attorney General for the time being. Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's Province of New York for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor, or Commander-in-Chief of the said Province, or to the Governor or Commanderin-Chief there for the time being.

The like Commission to Thomas Danforth, Peter Sarjeant, Elisha Hutchinson, Jonathan Curwin, James Russell, John Philips, Edwd. Randolph, Nathaniel Byfield, Benjn. Ballavant, Lawrence Hammond, and Jahleel Brenton, Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's Province of the Massachusetts Bay for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor, or Commander-in-Chief of the said Province, or to the Governor or Commanderin-Chief there for the time being.

The like Commission to John Bourden, Peter Beckford, Nicholas Laws, Charles Knight, Thomas Sutton. John Peake, Charles Whittel, Richd. Lloyd, and Edward Randolph, Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's island of Jamaica for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief of the said island, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief

there for the time being.
The like Commission to Thomas Hill, Charles Pynam, Joseph Tory, Edward Earles, Philip Lea, Samuel Gardner, Henry Carpenter, Nathl. King and Edward Randolph, Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's island of Nevis for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief of the said island, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief there for the time being.

The like Commission to Nathaniel Blackiston, Thomas Hill, John Simms, John Devereux, Thomas Roach, Nicholas Mead, William Hicks, Thomas Newgent, Edward Ruded and Edward Randolph, Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's island of Montserrat for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief of the said island, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief there for the time being.

The like Commission to Thomas Hill, Rowland Williams, John Barry, Nicholas Rainsford, John Vernon, Samuel Winthorpe, William Barnes, John Williams, Archibald Cockaine, John Lingham, William Dobbins, and Edward Randolph, Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's island of Antego [Antigua] for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor or Commanderin-Chief of the said island, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief, there for the time being.

The like Commission to Francis Bond, Edward Cranfield, John Farmer, Richd. Salter, Richd. Lillington, George Andros, John Branckley, William Sharpe, Patrick Mein, Robt. Bishop, 1696-7.

No. 1115.

Jonathan Langley, Robt Scott, John Boteler, Benjamin Crier Richard Wallup and Edward Randolph, Esquires, empowering them, or any five or more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's island of Barbadoes for the time being, to administe the same oath to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief of the said island, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief there for the time being.

And the like Commission to Richd. Penniston, William Pitt, Thoma Foster, Thomas Overbridge, John Robiuson, Saml. Trott an Edwd. Randolph, Esquires, empowering them, or any five o more of the members of the Council in his Majesty's island of Bermudas for the time being, to administer the same oath to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief of the said island, or to the Governor or Commander-in-Chief there for the time being.

And whereas it hath been found by experience that, either through the remissness or connivance of the Governors of the severe Colonies and Plantations which are under distinct proprietie the Acts of Trade and Navigation, and the Commissioners' order and instructions in pursuance thereof, have not been ther observed, as in other of the Colonies and Plantations which at under Governors nominated and appointed by his Majesty immediate Commission, they do further humbly move the Lordships that the respective Governors of the said proprietie may be persons of good estates and reputation, and otherwise duly qualified for the discharge of their trusts, being by a claus in the aforesaid Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuse in the Plantation trade, to be allowed and approved by h Majesty, his heirs and successors, and obliged to take the oaths enjoined by that or any other Act to be taken by the Governors or Commanders-in-Chief in other his Majesty's Colonic and Plantations before their entrance on their respective govern ments under the like penalties which his Majesty's Governor and Commanders-in-Chief are by the said Act liable to.

Whereas, by the Act made the 22nd and 23rd years of the reign King Charles the 2nd, it is provided, that, if any ships or vesse shall tade any of the enumerated commodities before bond give in manner therein directed, to carry the said goods to some other of his Majesty's Plantations, or to England, Wales, or Berwick or which, contrary to such bond, shall carry the said goods any place other than to such other English Plantations as of belong to his Majesty, or to England, Wales, or Berwick, ar there lay the same on shore, every such ship or vessel shall I forfeited, with her guns, furniture and lading, the one mole thereof to the King's Majesty, and the other to him or the that shall seize and sue for the same in any of the said Plantation in the Court of the High Admiral of England, or of any of I vice admirals, as well as in any Court of Record in Englan and, by the aforesaid Act for preventing fraud and regulating abuses in the Plantation trade, the penalties and forfeitur therein mentioned are to be recovered in any of his Majesty Courts at Westminster, or in the kingdom of Ireland, or in the Courts of Admiralty held in his Majesty's Plantations respe tively where the offence shall be committed, at the pleasure the officer or informer, or in any other Plantation belonging any subjects of England, the Commissioners do humbly mov as highly conducing to the due execution of these and oth penal laws for the good of the Plantation trade, that such Cour

of Admiralty may be erected in each respective Plantation, and that persons of known ability and integrity may, by commission from the Lords of the Admiralty, be accordingly empowered for these purposes, and that likewise persons of experience in the laws may be nominated and recommended by the King to the respective Governors to be employed as Attorneys-General for the prosecution of bonds, trying of seizures, and other matters relating to the Crown. [Delivered this day. Com. Book.]

(e.) 16 Feb. — Report of the Attorney-General and Solicitor General to the Committee of Trade and Plantations upon the

grants of Newcastle, &c. to Mr. Penn, vizt.:-

May it please your Lordships.

Mr. Penn acquainting us that it was your Lordships' pleasure that we should lay before your Lordships his title to the country of Newcastle and all the tracts of land depending thereon in America, to which, as well as Pennsylvania, the powers of Col. Fletcher's Commission do extend, he also produced to us

the writings and evidences following, that is to say :--

One Indenture, bearing date the 24th day of August 1682, made between his Royal Highness James, Duke of York &c., of the one part, and the said Mr. Penn, of the other part, whereby his said Royal Highness, for the good will be bore to Mr. Penn, and for the consideration of 10s., did bargain, sell, enfeoff, and confirm to the said Mr. Penn, his heirs and assigns, all that the town of Newcastle, otherwise called Delaware, in America, and all that tract of land lying within the compass or circle of twelve miles about the same, situate, lying and being upon the River Delaware in America, and all islands in the Island Delaware, and the said river and soil thereof lying north of the southernmost part of the said circle of twelve miles about the said town, together with all rents, services, royalties, franchises, duties, jurisdictions, liberties, and privileges thereunto belonging; and all the estate, right, title, interest, powers, property, claim and demand whatsoever of his said Royal Highness of, in, or to the same, or to any part or parcel thereof, with a saving to his said Royal Highness, his agents and servants, the free use of all ports, ways and passages into, through and out of the bargained premises, and under the reserved rent of 5s. to his said Highness, his heirs and assigns, at Michaelmas yearly, with a covenant from Mr. Penu, his heirs and assigns, to pay the same rent accordingly, and a covenant from his Royal Highness, his heirs and assigns, with Mr. Penn, for making further assurance during seven years, and a letter of attorney in the body of the deed to two persons to make livery. The deed is endorsed to be entered in the Office of Records for the Province of New York, the 21st of November 1682, and enrolled in the Ex-chequer in 1684.

One other Indenture, of the same date with the other, whereby his said Royal Highness, for 10s. and other considerations therein mentioned, did bargain, sell, enfeoff and confirm unto the said Mr. Penn, his heirs and assigns, all that tract of land upon Delaware River and Bay, beginning twelve miles south from the town of Newcastle, otherwise called Delaware, and extending south to the "Hore Kills," otherwise called "Copin Lopen," [Cape Henlopen] together with free and undisturbed use and passage into and out of all harbours, bays, waters, rivers, isles and inlets belonging to or leading to the same, together with the soil,

1696-7. No. 1115.

fields, woods, underwoods, mountains, hills, fens, isles, la rivers, rivulets, bays and inlets situate in or belonging unto limits and bounds aforesaid, together with all sorts of mine and all the estate, interest, royalties, franchises, powers, privil and immunities whatsoever of his said Royal Highness and heirs, as of their Castle of New York in free and com soccage, under the yearly rent of one rose at Michael yearly, if demanded. Mr. Penn covenants within a year erect a Registry upon the premises, and therein to set d all rents and profits which he, his heirs, or assigns should ways make, raise, or procure out of the premises, and at Micl mas yearly to pay and deliver to his said Highness, his heirs assigns, one moiety thereof, with power of distress to his High his heirs and assigns, for non-payment thereof, and a covenan his Highness, his heirs and assigns, to make further assur during seven years. The Indenture of the inheritanc endorsed to be entered in the Office of Records for the Prov of New York the 21st of November 1682, and there is a of attorney in it to make livery.

By virtue of which deeds Mr. Penn affirms he quietly held enjoyed all the premises thereby granted as fully as he did Province of Pennsylvania, until the coming of Col. Flet and his entrance by virtue of his Commission; and that inhabitants of these countries, as well as those of Pennsylv constituted the Assembly held under Colonel Fletcher, who those laws which are now humbly offered to her Majesty's F

approbation.

Signed Edward Ward. Thomas Trevor

25th July 1694.

[Read this day. Com. Book.]

(f) 20 Feb.—Representation of the Council of Trade relation the Governors of Plantations under distinct proprieties, vizt To their Excellencies the Lords Justices.

May it please your Excellencies

The Extract of a Presentment from the Commissioners of Majesty's Customs (whereof a copy is hereunto annexed) ha been transmitted to us by command of the Right Honble Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury, we hu represent to your Excellencies that, in concurrence with wh moved for by the said Commissioners of the Customs, ar conformity to an Act passed in the last Session of the pr Parliament, intituled An Act for preventing Frauds and reing Abuses in the Plantation Trade, we are of opinion that requisite Commissioners should be appointed for administ the oath or oaths enjoined by the said Act to the se Governors of those Plantations that are under distinct propr in the same manner as to the Governors and Commander Chief in other his Majesty's Colonies and Plantations.

Whitehall, Aug. 12 1696.

Signed

J. Bridgewate Ph. Meadows John Pollexfe John Locke. Abr. Hill.

1696-7.

No. 1115.

Here follows the Presentment above referred to, vizt.:-

Extract of a Presentment from the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs to the Right Honble. the Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury, dated the 17th day of July, 1696.

are by the said Act liable to. (See p. 424.)
[Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.]

(g) 20 Feb.—Representation of the Council of Trade relating to the settling of Admiralty Courts in the Plantations, vizt.:— To their Excellencies the Lords Justices.

May it please your Excellencies.

I pon an intimation, made to this Board by the Right Houble, the Earl of Bridgwater, that your Excellencies expected our opinion on the Proposal of the Commissioners of the Customs for erecting of Courts of Admiralty in the Plantations, as well as upon that for constituting of Attorneys General, required of us by your Order of the 23rd of July last, we humbly represent to your Excellencies that, in our opinion, the erecting of such Courts of Admiralty will conduce to the due execution of the penal laws for the good of the Plantation trade.

Whitehall, Aug. 19 1696.

Signed

J. Bridgewater. Ph. Meadows. John Pollexfen. John Locke. Abr. Hill.

Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

(h.) 20 Feb.—Representation of the Council of Trade, dated 17 Dec. 1696, relating to the settling of Admiralty Courts in the Plantations, as follows:—

To the King's Most Excellent Majesty.

May it please your Majesty.

Having in August last received directions from the late Lords Justices to give our opinion on the Presentment of the Commissioners of the Customs for creeting of Courts of Admiralty in the Plantations, we did, on the 13th of that month, humbly represent to their Excellencies our opinion that the creeting such Courts of Admiralty would conduce to the due execution of the laws for the good of the Plantation trade. And, their Excellencies having likewise ordered the Lords Commissioners of the Admiralty to consider of the erecting of Admiralty Courts in the said Plantations, their Lordships did, on the 19th of November last, represent to your Majesty that all the Governors of your Majesty's Colonies and Plantatious had Commissions to be Vice-Admirals, or might have them if they made application for the same; and, it appearing by a list presented at the same time by the said Lords Commissioners that there were divers Colonies and Plantations in America where no Commissions of Vice-Admiralty had been granted by them, your Majesty was then pleased in Council to signify your pleasure to us that we should consider to what other Plantations such Commissions may or ought to be granted, for the better execution of the late Act of Parliament for preventing frauds and regulating abuses in the Plantation trade and other parts of your Majesty's service; it did upon examination appear unto us, that no such Commissions of Vice-Admiralty had been granted to any of your Majesty's Colonies or Plantations governed by Proprietors or charters;

and, being informed that they had declined the same, we the upon thought fit to consult your Majesty's Attorney-Gene whether your Majesty might not, by your Commissioners of Admiralty, appoint Admiralty Courts within such proprie and charters, with an Advocate-General and other officers pro to such Courts, who has reported unto us that he did not anything within the said grants of proprieties and charters t does restrain your Majesty's power of erecting such Con of Admiralty in the said Plantations, and of appointing Advoc General and such other officers for the prosecution of suit such Courts of Admiralty as shall be thought convenient; wh opinion, hereunto annexed (Paper h^1), we having communicate the several Proprietors, or their agents, and discoursed with th they appeared unwilling to abide by or acquiesce therein, ins ing as well upon their own right of Admiral jurisdiction. the inconveniency of such proceeding; and have presented us the Paper which is likewise hereunto annexed (Paper) And, inasmuch as we find thereby your Majesty's power appointing such Courts and officers brought into question, wh we humbly conceive is not proper for us to determine, we therefore humbly submit the whole matter to your Majes Royal pleasure.

Whitehall, 17 Dec. 1696.

J. Bridgewater Ph. Meadows. Wm. Blathway John Pollexfer Abr. Hill.

[Read this day. Com. Book.]

(h¹.) 20 Feb.—Copy Attorney General's opinion about the erect of Admiralty Courts in the Plantations under distinct proprie referred to in the Representation of 17 Dec. 1696, as follows Sir.

According to the commands of the Lords Commissional Trade, signified to me by your letter of the 21st of Novel last, I have considered of the several charters granted to Proprietors of the several Plantations following, vizt.—B. Island, Connecticut, Pennsylvania, Carolina, Bahama Isla East and West New Jersey, Newcastle and the count Delaware; and, upon perusal of the abstracts of the said retive charters, I do not find anything therein that does reshis Majesty's power of erecting Courts of Admiralty in the respective Plantations; and therefore I am humbly of opithat his Majesty may, if he thinks fit, direct the Commission of the Admiralty in England to issue Commissions for exerce Admiralty jurisdiction in the said respective Plantations, may appoint Advocates General and such other officers for prosecution of suits in such Admiralty Courts as shall be the convenient. Signed Thomas Trevor. Dated Inner Tel 4 Dec. 1696.

(Annexed to preceding paper.) [Read this day. Com. Book

(h2.) 20 Feb.—Copy Memorial of the Proprietors of several Pl tions about the erecting of Admiralty Courts there, referring the Representation of 17 Dec. 1696, vizt.:—

To the Right Honble, the Lords Commissioners of Trade

Plantations.

The Lords Proprietors, Agents and others of the Provinces of Carolina, Bahama Islands, Pennsylvania, East and West Jersey and Connecticut in America, protesting their loyalty and duty to his Majesty, and reserving the benefit of being heard by themselves or counsel to their right and power of erecting Courts of Admiralty in the said Provinces, do offer that there are several clauses in their respective grants and charters which (as they are advised) import and imply a grant of Admiral jurisdiction and power of erecting the said Courts, and constituting judges and officers thereof, in the said Provinces: That the reason why they have not hitherto erected such Courts, or constituted such officers, is that all suits or informations upon and for the breach of the Acts of Navigation may, as appears by the said Acts, and particularly by the 15th of King Charles the Second, be brought and prosecuted in the Common Law Courts, and that the erecting Courts of Admiralty would have occasioned salaries and other great and expensive charges: That they apprehended there was no necessity of such Courts, unless for the condemnation of prizes, few or none of which have been brought into the said Provinces during this war, in order to be there tried and condemned. That the said Proprietors are, nevertheless, willing and ready to erect such Courts and constitute such able officers as shall be well affected to his Majesty's Government, careful of his interest, use their utmost endeavours to enforce an observance of the said Acts of Navigation, and zealously prosecute such vessels and persons as shall be guilty of the breach of them. All which is humbly offered and submitted. (Annexed to Paper h.) [Read this day, Com. Book.]

(i) 20 Feb. 1696-7.—Copy Representation of the Council of Trade to the King, relating to a Governor for the Bahama Islands,

vizt. :-

May it please your Majesty.

It being provided by a late Act of Parliament for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade that Governors, nominated and appointed by such persons or Proprietors who shall be entitled to make such nomination, shall be allowed and approved of by your Majesty, and shall take the oaths enjoined by that or any other Act to be taken by the Commanders-in-Chief in other your Majesty's Colonies and Plantations before their entering upon their governments: And, the Lords Proprietors of the Bahama Islands having nominated and appointed Nicholas Webb, Esq., to be Governor of the Islands of Providence, Eleutheria, and the rest of the Bahama Islands, and presented him to us, that he may be allowed and approved of by your Majesty, we desired to be informed from the said Lords Proprietors and the said Captain Webb—

 Whether the said Captain Webb were ready to take the oaths to your Majesty, and sign the Association and take the other oaths required by law for the observation of the Acts of Trade?

2. What is the salary appointed him?

3. What security is taken for his good demeanour in that

government?

To which questions we have received answer that he is ready to take all the oaths required by law, and sign the Association; that the salary appointed him, as to former Governors, is the seventh part of the rents of the Lords Proprietors in those Islands, which we understand does not amount in value to above

1696-7.

No. 1115.

1001. a year; that, as to the security, the said Proprietors had not required any, he being, as they inform us, well recommend to them. But, inasmuch as great exorbitances have been lat committed upon those Islands, in harbouring of Every a other notorious pirates, to the detriment and discouragement of trade and dispeopling your Majesty's Plantations, besides a violation of the Acts of Navigation by illegal traders, it seems us necessary that a better salary be allowed by the Lo Proprietors for the support of the Governor, lest he tempted to use the same courses as his predecessors, and that security be also given to your Majesty to deter him from like practices, and to answer the forfeiture of 1,0001. steril directed by the Act of Parliament aforementioned, upon offending contrary to the said Act. All which being first comply with, we see no reason why your Majesty may not thereupon pleased to approve of the said Governor. Which, neverthele we humbly submit to your Majesty's great wisdom.

Whitehall, 28 Jan. 1696-7.

Signed J. Bridgewater.
Ph. Meadows.
Wm. Blathwayt
Jno. Pollexfen.
Abr. Hill.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(h) 20 Feb.—Copy Representation of the Council of Trade to King, relating to a Governor for the Bahama Islands, vizt.:— To the King's Most Excellent Majesty.

May it please your Majesty.

In obedience to your Majesty's Order in Council, dated the 21 January, that we should make enquiry into the behaviour Nicholas Webb, Esq., towards your Majesty and your Gove ment, before the time of his being appointed Governor of Bahama Islands by the Lords Proprietors of those Islands, have made further enquiry concerning him of several persons, where he have received anything against him, and from the Lo Proprietors we have received an answer to this effect:—

That they have no manner of cause to suspect his good affect to the present Government, and that heretofore he had been well recommended by the Lord Howard of Effingham, I Governor of Virginia, that, had he been more early in application, their Lordships had given bim that post, when it sent their last Governor; and now, being recommended by m persons of honour and several eminent merchants entirely affected to this Government, they had granted his Commiss before they sent for him to town.

This being the account we have received, relating to the character of the said Capt. Webb, and the Lords Proprietors having a in testimony of their good opinion, augmented his salary, we not find anything to object why your Majesty may not be pleat to grant him your Royal approbation. All which is, neverthely

most humbly submitted.

Whitehall, 11 Feb., 1696-7.

Signed J. Bridgewater.
Tankerville.
Ph. Meadows.
Wm. Blathwayt
J. Pollexfen.
Abr. Hill.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(1) 20 Feb.—Copy of Col. Fletcher's Commission for the

Government of New York, vizt. :-

William and Mary, by the Grace of God King and Queen of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, Defenders of the Faith, To our trusty and well-beloved Benjamin Fletcher, Esq., Greeting. We, reposing especial trust and confidence in the prudence, courage and loyalty of you the said Benjamin Fletcher, out of our especial grace, certain knowledge and mere motion. have thought fit to constitute and appoint and we do by these presents constitute and appoint you the said Benjamin Fletcher to be our Captain-General and Governor-in-Chief in and over our Province of New York, and the territories depending thereon, in And we do hereby require and command you to do and execute all things in due manner that shall belong unto your said command and the trust we have reposed in you, according to the several powers and directions granted or appointed you by this present commission, and the instructions herewith given you, or by such further power, instructions and authorities as shall at any time hereafter be granted or appointed you under our Signet and Sign Manual, or by our Order in our Privy Council, and according to such reasonable laws and statutes as now are in force, or hereafter shall be made and agreed upon by you, with the advice and consent of the Council and Assembly of our said Province under your government, in such manner and form as is hereafter expressed.

And we do hereby give and grant full power unto you the said Benjamin Fletcher, after you shall first have taken an oath for the due execution of the office and trust of our Captain-General and Governor-in-Chief in and over our said Province of New York and the territories depending thereon, which our said Council, or any five of them, have hereby full power and authority and are required to administer unto you, to give and administer unto each of the members of our said Council as well the oaths appointed by Act of Parliament to be taken instead of the oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy as the Test and the oath for the

due execution of their places and trust.

And we do hereby give and grant unto you full power and authority to suspend any of the members of our said Council from sitting, yoting and assisting therein, if you shall find just cause for so

doing.

And, if it shall at any time happen that, by the death, departure [out] of our said Province, or suspension of any of our councillors, there shall be a vacancy in our said Council (any three whereof we do hereby appoint to be a quorum), our will and pleasure is that you signify the same unto us by the first opportunity, that we may under our Signet and Sign Manual constitute and appoint others in their stead. But, that our affairs at that distance may not suffer for want of a due number of councillors, if it ever shall happen that there be less than seven of them residing in our said Province, we do hereby give and grant unto you full power and authority to choose as many persons out of the principal freeholders inhabitants thereof as will make up the full number of our said Council to be seven, and no more; which persons by virtue of such choice shall be to all intents and purposes councillors in our said Province until they shall be confirmed by us, or that, by the nomination of others by us under our Sign Manual and Signet, the said Council shall have seven persons in it.

1696-7.

No. 1115.

- We do hereby give and grant unto you full power and author with the advice and consent of our said Council, from time time as need shall require to summon and call General Assemb of the inhabitants being freeholders within your governm according to the usage of our Colony of New York.
- And our will and pleasure is that the persons thereupon delected by the major part of the freeholders of the respectounties and places and so returned, and having before the sitting taken the oaths appointed by Act of Parliament to taken instead of the oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, subscribed the Test, which you shall commissionate fit persunder our seal of New York to administer, and without take and subscribing whereof none shall be capable of sitting, the elected, shall be called and held the General Assembly of our Province and territories depending thereon.
- And that you the said Benjamin Fletcher, by and with the consof our said Council and Assembly, or the major part of the respectively, shall have full power and authority to magnetic the constitute and ordain laws, statutes and ordinances for public peace, welfare, and good government of our said Proving and of the people and inhabitants thereof, and such others shall resort thereto, and for the benefit of us, our heirs successors.
- Which said laws, statutes, and ordinances are to be, as near as a be, agreeable to the laws and statutes of this our Kingdom England.
- Provided that all such laws, statutes and ordinances, of we nature or duration soever, be, within three months or sociafter the making thereof, transmitted unto us under our seal New York, for our approbation or disallowance of the same also duplicates thereof by the next conveyance.
- And, in case any, or all of them, being not before confirmed by shall at any time be disallowed and not approved, and so signi by us, our heirs and successors, under our or their Sign Marand Signet, or by Order of our or their Privy Council, unto the said Benjamin Fletcher, or to the Commander-in-Chief of said Province for the time being; then such and so many them as shall be so disallowed and not approved shall f thenceforth cease, determine and become utterly void and none effect, anything to the contrary thereof notwithstanding
- And to the end nothing may be passed or done by our said Cou or Assembly to the prejudice of us, our heirs and successors, will and ordain that you the said Benjamin Fletcher shall I and enjoy a negative voice in the making and passing of all is statutes and ordinances as aforesaid.
- And that you shall and may likewise, from time to time as judge it necessary, adjourn, prorogue, and dissolve all Gen Assemblies as aforesaid.
- Our will and pleasure is that you shall and may keep and use public seal appointed or to be appointed by us for our Prov of New York.
- We do further give and grant unto you the said Benja Fletcher full power and authority, from time to time and any time hereafter, by yourself or any other to be authorised

you in that behalf, to administer and give the oaths appointed by Act of Parliament to be taken instead of the oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy to all and every such person or persons as you shall think fit, who shall at any time or times pass into our said Province, or shall be resident or abiding there.

1696-7. No. 1115.

And we do by these presents give and grant unto you full power and authority, with the advice and consent of our said Council, to erect, constitute and establish such and so many Courts of Judicature and public justice, within our said Province and the territories under your government, as you and they shall think fit and necessary for the hearing and determining of all causes, as well criminal as civil, according to law and equity, and for awarding of execution thereupon, with all reasonable and necessary powers, authorities, fees, and privileges belonging unto them, as also to appoint and commissionate fit persons in the several parts of your government to administer the oaths appointed by Act of Parliament to be taken instead of the oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy and the Test unto such as shall be obliged to take the same.

And we do hereby authorise and empower you to constitute and appoint judges, justices of the peace, and other necessary officers and ministers in our said Province, for the better administration of justice and putting the laws in execution, and to administer, or cause to be administered, such oath or oaths as are usually given for the due execution and performance of offices and places, and for the clearing of truth in judicial causes.

We do further by these presents will and require that appeals be permitted to be made in cases of error from our Courts in New York unto our Governor and to our Council in civil causes, provided the value appealed for does exceed the sum of one hundred pounds sterling, and that security be first given by the appellant to answer such charges as shall be awarded in case the first sentence shall be affirmed.

And, whereas we do judge it necessary that all our subjects may have liberty to appeal to our Royal person in cases that may deserve the same, our will and pleasure is that, if either party shall not rest satisfied with the judgment or sentence of our Governor and Council, they may then appeal unto us in our Privy Council, provided the matter in difference exceed the real value and sum of three hundred pounds sterling, and that such appeal be made within one fortnight after sentence and security be likewise duly given by the appellant to answer such charges as shall be awarded in case the sentence of the Governor and Council be confirmed; and provided also that execution be not suspended by reason of any such appeal unto us.

And we do hereby give and grant unto you full power and authority, where you shall judge any offenders in criminal matter, or for any fines or forfeitures, fit objects of our mercy, to pardon and remit such offenders' fines and forfeitures before or after sentence given, treason and wilful murder only excepted, in which case you shall likewise have power, upon extraordinary occasions, to grant reprieves to the offenders until our Royal pleasure may be known therein.

We do by these presents authorise and empower you to collate any person or persons in any churches, chapels, or other ecclesiastical

benefices within our said Province and territories aforesaid

often as any of them shall happen to be void.

We do hereby give and grant unto you the said Benjamin Fletc by yourself, your captains and commanders by you to authorised, full power and authority to levy, arm, muster, c mand and employ all persons whatsoever within our Province of New York and other the territories under government, and, as occasion shall serve, them to tran from one place to another, for the resisting and withstand of all enemies, pirates and rebels, both at sea and and to transport such forces to any of our Plantal in America, as occasion shall require, for the defence of same against the invasion or attempts of any of our enen And them, if occasion shall require, to prosecute in or ou the limits of our said Province and Plantations, or any of the And, if it shall please God, them to vanquish, apprehend take, and, being taken, either according to the law of to put to death, or keep and preserve alive at your discret And to execute martial law in time of invasion, insurrection war, and during the continuance of the same, as also w soldiers in pay, and to do and execute all and every other the or things which to a Captain-General doth or ought of righ belong, as fully and amply as any our Captain-General doth hath usually done.

And we do hereby give and grant unto you full power authority to erect, raise and build in our said Province territories depending thereon such and so many forts platforms, castles, cities, boroughs, towns and fortifications you, by the advice aforesaid shall judge necessary, the same or any of them to fortify and furnish with ordna ammunition and all sorts of arms fit and necessary for the second

and defence of our said Province.

And we do hereby give and grant unto you the said Benja Fletcher full power and authority to erect one or more C or Courts Admiral within our said Province and territories, the hearing and determining of all marine and other causes matters proper therein to be heard, with all reasonable necessary powers, authorities, fees and privileges. As to exercise all powers belonging to the place and office Vice-Admiral of and in all the seas and coasts within y government, according to such commission, authorities instructions as you shall receive from our self under the secour Admiralty, or from our High Admiral or Commissioners executing the office of High Admiral of our Foreign Plantat for the time being.

And, forasmuch as divers mutinies and disorders do happen by sons shipped and employed at sea, in order that they may be better governed and ordered, we do hereby give and grant unto the said Benjamin Fletcher, our Captain-General and Governor Chief, full power and authority to constitute and appoint capta masters of ships and other commanders, and to grant to a captains, masters of ships and other commanders commission execute the law martial, and to use such proceedings, author punishment, correction and execution upon any offender offenders which shall be mutinous, seditious, disorderly, or way unruly, either at sea or during their time of about residence in any of the ports harbours, or bays of our

Province or territories, as the cause shall be found to require. according to martial law. Provided that nothing herein contained shall be construed to the enabling you, or any by your authority, to hold plea or have jurisdiction of any offence, cause, matter, or thing committed or done upon the high sea, or within any of the havens, rivers, or creeks of our said Province or territories under your government by any captain, commander, lieutenant, master, or other officer, seaman, soldier or person whatsoever who shall be in actual service and pay in and on board any of our ships of war or other vessels acting by immediate commission or warrant from our Commissioners for executing the office of our High Admirat of England under the seal of our Admiralty, or from our High Admiral of England for the time being, but that such captain, commander, lieutenant, master, officer, seaman, soldier, or other person so offending shall be left to be proceeded against and tried, as the merits of their offences shall require, either by commission under our Great Seal of England, as the Statute of the 28th of Henry the Eighth directs, or by commission from our said High Admiral according to the Act of Parliament passed in the 13th year of the reign of the late King Charles the Second, entitled An Act for the establishing articles and orders for the regulating and better government of his Majesty's navies, ships of war and forces by sea, and not otherwise, saving only that it shall and may be lawful for you, upon any such captain or commander refusing or neglecting to execute, or upon his negligent or undue execution of any of the written orders he shall receive from you for our service and the service of our said Province, to suspend him the said captain or commander from the exercise of his said office of commander, and commit him into safe custody, either on board his own ship or elsewhere, at the discretion of you, in order to his being brought to answer for the same by commission under our Great Seal of England, or from our said High Admiral as is before expressed. In which case our will and pleasure is that the captain or commander so by you suspended shall, during such his suspension and commitment, be succeeded in his said office by such commission or warrant officer of our said ship, appointed by our Commissioners for executing the office of our High Admiral of England, or by our High Admiral of England for the time being, as by the known practice and discipline of our Navy does and ought next to succeed him, as in case of death, sickness, or other ordinary disability happening to the commander of any of our ships of war, and not otherwise, you standing also accountable to us for the truth and importance of the crime and misdemeanors for which you shall so proceed to the suspending of such our captain or commander. Provided also that all such disorders and misdemeanours committed on shore by any captain, commander, lieutenant, master, or other officer, seaman, soldier, or person whatsoever belonging to any of our ships of war or other vessels acting by immediate commission or warrant from our Commissioners for executing the office of our High Admiral of England under the seal of our Admiralty, or from our High Admiral of England for the time being, may be tried and punished according to the laws of the place where any such disorders, offences and misdemeanours shall be so witted on shore, notwithstanding such offender be in our service and borne in our pay on board any such our ships

396-7. No. 1115.

of war or other vessels acting by immediate commission warrant from our Commissioners for executing the office of High Admiral, or from our High Admiral as aforesaid, so a shall not receive any protection for the avoiding of justice such offences committed on shore from any pretence of his beemployed in our service at sea.

Our will and pleasure is that all public money raised or to be rewithin our said Province, and other the territories under government, be issued out by warrant from you, by and with advice and consent of the Council, and disposed of by you

the support of the government, and not otherwise.

And we do hereby likewise give and grant unto you full power authority, by and with the advice of our said Council, to a with the inhabitants of our Province and territories aforesai such lands, tenements and hereditaments as now are, or here shall be, in our power to dispose of, and them to grant to person or persons for such term and under such moderate rents, services and acknowledgments to be thereupon rese unto us as you, by and with the advice aforesaid, shall thin which said grants are to pass and be sealed by our seal of York, and, being entered upon record by such officer or of as you shall appoint thereunto, shall be good and effectual in

And we do hereby give you full power to order and appoint marts and markets, as also such and so many ports, harb bays, havens and other places for the convenience and sec of shipping, and for the better loading and unloading of and merchandize, as by you, with the advice and consent o said Council, shall be thought fit and necessary, and in the any of them to erect, nominate and appoint custom-houses, houses, and offices relating thereunto, and them to alter, ch place, or displace from time to time, as with the advice afor

against us, our heirs and successors.

shall be thought fit.

And we do by these presents will, require and command y take all possible care for the discountenance of vice an couragement of virtue and good living, that by such ex the infidels may be invited and desire to partake of the Chr

religion.

And our further will and pleasure is that you shall not at an hereafter, by colour of any power or authority hereby gran mentioned to be granted, take upon you to give, grant, or d of any office or place within our said Province and terri which now is or shall be granted under the Great Se England, any further than that you may, upon the vaca any such office or suspension of any officer by you, put i person to officiate in the interval, until the said place be dir of by us under the Great Seal of England, or that our dire be otherwise given therein.

And we do hereby require and command all officers and mircivil and military, and all other inhabitants of our said Prand the territories depending thereon, to be obedient, aidin assisting unto you the said Benjamin Fletcher in the ext of this our Commission and of the powers and authorities contained, and, in case of your death or absence out of or Province and territories under your government, untuperson as shall be appointed by us to be Commander in Cour said Province, to whom we do by these presents give

grant all and singular the powers and authorities aforesaid, to be 1696-7. executed and enjoyed by him during our pleasure, or until your arrival within our said Province and territories. And if, upon such death or absence, there be no person upon the place com-missionated or appointed by us to be Commander-in-Chief, our will and pleasure is that the then present Council of our said Province do take upon them the administration of the government and execute this Commission and the several powers and authorities herein contained relating to our said Province; and that the first councillor who shall be at the time of your death or absence residing within the same do preside in our said Council, with such powers and pre-eminences as any former president hath used and enjoyed within our said Provinces or any other our Plantations in America, until our pleasure be further known, or your arrival, as aforesaid.

And lastly, we do hereby declare, ordain and appoint that you the said Benjamin Fletcher shall and may hold, execute and enjoy the office and place of Captain-General and Governor-in-Chief in and over our Province of New York, and the territories depending thereon, together with all and singular the powers and authorities hereby granted unto you, for and during our will and pleasure.

Memdm .- The Great Seal is dated the 18th day of March, in the 4th year of their Majesties' reign.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

(m) 20 Feb.—Colonel Fletcher's Commission for the Govern-

ment of New York and Pennsylvania, vizt.:—
William and Mary, by the Grace of God King and Queen of England, Scotland, France and Ireland, Defenders of the Faith &c. To our trusty and well-beloved Benjamin Fletcher, Esq., our Capt.-General and Governor-in-Chief of our Province of New York and the territories depending thereon in America, Greeting. Whereas, by our Commission under our Great Seal of England, bearing date the eighteenth day of March in the fourth year of our reign, we have constituted and appointed you the said Benjamin Fietcher to be our Captain-General and Governor-in-Chief in and over our Province of New York and the dependencies thereon in America, and have thereby granted unto you full power and authority, with the advice and consent of our Council, &c. (Here follows a recital almost in extenso of the powers given by the Commission for the Government of New York. See Paper (1).)

And whereas, by reason of great neglects and miscarriages in the government of our Province of Pennsylvania in America, and the absence of the Proprietor, the same is fallen into disorder and confusion, by means whereof not only the public peace and administration of justice (whereby the properties of our subjects should be preserved in those parts) is broken and violated, but there is also great want of provision for the guard and defence of our said Province, and the adjacent Colonies are much exposed, and in danger of being lost from the Crown of England; for the prevention whereof, as much as in us lies, and for the better defence and security of our subjects inhabiting those parts during the time of war, we find it absolutely necessary to take the government of our Province of Pennsylvania into our hands, and

under our immediate care and protection.

We, therefore, reposing especial trust and confidence in the prudence, courage and loyalty of you the said Benjamin Fletcher, have No. 1115.

thought fit to constitute and appoint you, the said Benj Fletcher, to be our Captain-General and Governor-in-Chi and over our Province of Pennsylvania and country of Newcand all the territories and tracts of land depending thereo America. And we do accordingly by these presents com and require you to take the said Province and country under Government.

And, for the better ordering, governing and ruling our said Proand country under your government, and the tracts and territ depending thereon, we do hereby give and grant unto you said Benjamin Fletcher, all and every the like powers authorities as in our said Commission bearing date eighteenth day of March, in the fourth year of our reign given, granted, and appointed you for the ruling and gover our said Province of New York, to be exercised in like me by you the said Benjamin Fletcher, in and over our Province of Pennsylvania and country of Newcastle and territories and tracts of land depending thereon in America.

And we do hereby require and command you to do and exall things in due manner that shall belong unto your command, and the trust we have reposed in you, according the several powers and directions granted or appointed you this present Commission, or Commission aforesaid, and such instructions and authorities as are, or at any time hereafter be, granted or appointed you under our Signet, Sign Manus by our Order in our Privy Council, and according to reasonable laws and statutes as now are in force, or here shall be made and agreed upon by you, with the advice consent of the Council and Assembly of our Provinc Pennsylvania and country of Newcastle aforesaid.

And our will and pleasure is, and we do by these presents reand command you the said Benjamin Fletcher, until our fur pleasure shall be known, to nominate and appoint a Lieuter Governor of our said Province of Pennsylvania and count Newcastle, unto which Lieutenant-Governor we do hereby and grant full power and authority to do and execute whats the shall be by you authorised and appointed to do, in pursuand according to the powers and authorities hereby granted

vou

And our further will and pleasure is, and we do by these pre require and command you in like manner, to nominate appoint such and so many councillors as you shall think require for our service, and not exceeding the number of twelve pe at the most, out of the principal freeholders and imhabitan our said Province and country, which said councillors, or three of them, shall be at all times held and deemed a Co to be aiding and assisting to you, and to our Lieutenant-Gove with their advice in the administration of the public affaithat our Province and country.

And we do hereby grant unto you full power and authority time to time to suspend such our Lieutenant-Governor, or members of our Council so appointed by you, from respective places and trusts, if you shall find just cause for

doing, and to appoint others in their stead.

And whereas we have been informed of the good affection of inhabitants of our Colonies of East and West New Jerse America, and that the Militia of those Colonies consi

fourteen hundred men, well armed and disciplined, and it being convenient, in this time of danger, that the forces of our subjects inhabiting those parts be united as much as may be upon all occasions that may require the same, we have therefore thought fit, and we do by these presents grant full power and authority to you the said Benjamin Fletcher, and to the Governor and Commander-in-Chief of our Province of New York for the time being, for and during this present war between their Majesties and the French King, to draw out and command any part of the Militia of our Colonies of East and West New Jersey in America, not exceeding seven hundred men at any one time, and to cause them to march out of our said Colonies for the security or defence of New York or Albany, or any other parts or places of our Province of New York under your government, in case of any invasion or insurrection, or attempt of the French or Indians upon our said Province or any part thereof.

1696 7. No. 1115.

And we do hereby require and command all officers and ministers, civil and military, and all other the inhabitants of our Province of Pennsylvania and country of Newcastle, and our Colonies of East and West New Jersey respectively, to be obedient, aiding, and assisting unto you the said Benjamin Fletcher in the execution of this our Commission, and the powers and authorities herein contained; and, in case of your death or absence out of our Province of New York and Pennsylvania, our country of Newcastle and our colonies of East and West New Jersey, to be obedient, aiding and assisting unto such person as shall be appointed by us to be Commander-in-Chief of our Province of New York for the time being, to whom we do therefore, by these presents, give and grant all and singular the powers and authorities afcresaid, to be executed and enjoyed by him for and during our pleasure, or until your arrival within our Provinces and countries aforesaid. And if, upon such death or absence there be no person residing within our Provinces or countries commissionated or appointed by us to be Commander-in-Chief of our Province of New York, our will and pleasure is that the then present Council of New York do take upon them the administration of the government of our Province of Pennsylvania and country of Newcastle, and execute this Commission and the several powers and authorities herein contained, in like manner as in the government of our Province of New York.

And lastly, we do by these presents declare and appoint that you the said Benjamin [Fletcher] shall and may hold, exercise and enjoy the office and place of Captain-General and Governor-in-Chief in and over our Province of Pennsylvania and country of Newcastle and the territories and tracts of land depending

thereon in America during our will and pleasure.

Memdm.

Col. Fletcher's Commission for the government of Pennsylvania, &c., bears date of the Great Seal from the 21st day of October 1692 in the fourth year of their Majesty's reign.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

(n.) 20 Feb.—Petition of the Proprietors of several Plantations to his Majesty upon the Representation of 17 Dec. 1696 about the erecting of Admiralty Courts there, as follows:—

To the King's Most Excellent Majesty.

1696-7.

No. 1115.

The Lords and others the Proprietors and Agents of the Pvinces of Carolina, Bahama Islands, Pennsylvania, East and W Jersey, and Connecticut in America

Humbly offer-

That upon reading and perusal of a copy of a Representat bearing date the 17th of December last from the Council Trade to your Majesty, the said Proprietors understand said Council of Trade and also your Majesty's Attorney Gene are of opinion that, notwithstanding the several charters gran to the said Proprietors, your Majesty may appoint and en Courts of Admiralty in the said Provinces; and that the Lo of the Admiralty did on the 19th of November last represent your Majesty that all the Governors of your Majesty's Color and Plantations had Commissions to be Vice-Admirals, or mi have them if they made application for the same. The Proprietors therefore most humbly pray that the Governors the said several Provinces may have Commissions to be V Admirals, with such powers relating to the Admiralty juris tion as the Governors of your Majesty's other Plantations ha All which is most humbly submitted, &c. Signed by I Coxe, on behalf of himself and the rest of the Propriet Presented 21 Jan. 1696.

[Laid before the Committee this day. Com. Book.]

(o) 20 Feb.—List by Mr. Randolph of all the Proprietors of Plantations that are independent of the Government of Majesty, vizt.:—

Bahama Islands.—E. Craven, E. Bath, L. Berkeley, L. Ash L. Carteret, Sir John Colleton, who have the right to the

and government of those islands.

Since the removal of M. Trott, their late Governor, they appointed Capt. Nicholas Webb to be the Governor. He allowed of by his Majesty's Order in Council. Those ish have been and still are a common receptacle for pirates illegal traders. Cadwallader Jones, who preceded Mr. To under pretence to make all officers, appointed one Boulton to the officer of the Customs. He entered and cleared vessels according as the Governor and he pleased. The Commissioners of Majesty's Customs have appointed a collector, who goes with the Governor. It is a place of import and ought to be secured, and may gain a great trade with the Spaniards, I near the Cape of Florida. All appeals lie from their Court the Lords Proprietors in England.

Carolina.—E. Craven and the other Lords, &c., together John Archdale, Junr., and Thos. Amey, Merchant, are the L Proprietors, and have the right to the soil and govern

thereof.

Mr. John Archdale, a Quaker, is Governor during his son's mino who is one of the Proprietors, and has a right to the govern whilst he remains in the Province. Charlestown is becofree port to all persons from all places. They trade to Car [Curaçoa], an island belonging to the Dutch, from whence manufacture of Holland is brought to Charlestown, and cafrom thence by New England men and other illegal trade Pennsylvania, Boston in New England, &c. 'They carry provisions and tobacco, which are sent from Carolina to Cars and from thence to Holland. About four years ago 70 pi

came with a vast quantity of gold from the Red Sea; they had liberty to stay in the country, or upon giving their bond to leave it. The Governor got well by them; he seized upon the vessel as wreek. The present Governor connives at the illegal trade; he gave a permit to the master of a vessel belonging to St. Thomas (an island inhabited by Danes), who, under pretence of wanting wood and water, sold his whole cargo of sugar and cocoa, taking no notice of the Commissioners of the Customs' collector. They have added to him a Comptroller. There is greatly wanting a Governor from his Majesty, to see the Acts of Trade duly executed. All appeals are likewise from the Courts in this Province to the Lords Proprietors.

North Carolina.—It belongs to the same Proprietors.

There are 60 or 70 families in it, but under no regular government. One Jarvis was the Deputy Governor, appointed by Col. Ludwell, then Governor of the Province; he had no salary. The inlet of Currituck lies convenient for small New England vessels to carry the tobacco out of the southern parts of Virginia to Boston, from whence they carry it in ships to Scotland directly. The inlet of Roanoake lies about 20 leagues to the southward from Currituck, and vessels from Barbadoes and Leeward Islands trade for provisions in Albemarle River. Pirates and runaways from Virginia, &c. are sheltered here. The Commissioners of the Customs have appointed two officers to take care of the trade.

Maryland.—The Lord Baltimore is the absolute Lord Proprietor

of the soil and government thereof.

A great revenue arises yearly to his Majesty from the tobacco shipped from thence to England, and will increase considerably when the carrying tobacco from that Province by land to Delaware is stopped. Col. Francis Nicholson, the present Governor, has endeavoured to prevent it; he is an active man, and zealous to see the Acts of Trade duly observed.

see the Acts of Trade duly observed.

The three lower counties of Sussex, Kent and Newcastle upon

Delaware.—Mr. Penn is the Proprietor of the soil only.

The Duke of York obtained a grant of them from King Charles the Second, with power of government, and soon after let them by lease to Mr. Penn. He has set up a sort of government amongst the inhabitants. Those counties lie above 100 miles upon the southern part of Delaware Bay, the only place upon that bay where they plant tobacco. It is adjoining all along to Maryland, from whence great quantities of tobacco are some years brought over land to Delaware and shipped directly for Scotland. Nine vessels for the year 1690 to the year 1695 have carried tobacco out of the bay to Scotland directly, besides Gustavus Hamilton, the chief factor for the Scotch merchants in Glasgow, and two other vessels, who have since carried their tobacco to Glasgow directly. Pirates are harboured there, and purchase their provisions of bread, beer, &c. at Philadelphia. The Commissioners of the Customs have appointed two officers for those counties, but they will signify little till they are annexed to the government of Maryland, or otherwise, as his Majesty shall be pleased to appoint.

Pennsylvania.—Mr. Penn is the sole Proprietor, and has the right

to the soil and government thereof.

Ir. Wm. Markham is by Mr. Penn's Commission the present Governor, to whom Samuel Carpenter and John Goodson (both Quakers) were, by another Commission from Mr. Penn, joined 1696 - 7. No. 1115. 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115. in the government with him, but refused to act. Patr Robinson, a Scotchman, is Secretary of the Province, and Da Floyd, a Quaker, is the country's Attorney-General. The A of Trade are not observed in this Province. The Judges in Courts of Judicature are not legally qualified, neither can officers of the Customs obtain justice for his Majesty. vessel with Scotch goods came last year from Scotland, and admitted to an entry at Philadelphia; another about the s time with wine and brandy from Norway, a Dutchman being master. The Governor is a favourer of the pirates, which c from the South and the Red Sea about three or four years and several Scotchmen are traders there. He desired me make him Collector of the Customs in Pennsylvania, having he wrote me) but a small maintenance; by which your Lords may please to take notice that Governors under such necess will be easily tempted to do and connive at unlawful thi Pennsylvania lies in the centre between Maryland and York, most commodiously for the illegal trade. It will become a staple of Scotch and Holland goods. No place h so short a time been by such ways so greatly improved. Commissioners of the Customs have appointed a Collecto reside at Philadelphia, but to little purpose till the govern be duly regulated in relation to trade.

East and West Jerseys.—Sir John Moor and Sir Thomas I Knts., Dr. Cox, Paul Dominick, Wm. Dockwray, Peter Sumr

&c., are the present owners of the soil.

The Duke of York did likewise get a grant of these lands King Charles the Second. He granted by lease the Provin East Jersey to Sir George Carteret, and West Jersey to the Berkeley. They have had a variety of owners, and the go ment was always delegated with the soil. Edward Billing Quaker) was a great owner; he had a lease of West Jersey the Lord Berkeley. East Jersey had several Scotchmen the Governors, who usurped a government over the inhabit and now, after great chopping and changing, they are the of the present Proprietors, who have appointed Col. Ar Hamilton, a Scotchman, to be their Governor, who acts Commission under the seal of the Company (as they call selves), signed by Mr. Dockwray, their Secretary. He money upon the inhabitants, and is a great favourer of the traders, his countrymen. He sat Judge in the Court last at the trial of a vessel with goods from Scotland, and disr the cause upon the Master's sham petition. The Commiss of the Customs have appointed an officer in each Province.

Colony of Connecticut in New England.—The government soil thereof is holden by charter from King Charles the S and they choose a Governor and Assistants yearly.

The inhabitants are generally husbandmen and planters. have convenient harbours, where illegal traders frequent. Robert Treat is their present Governor; he permitted a from Holland, under pretence of wanting wood and wa come to New Haven River, where he unlivered great phis loading, which was carried to New York, and, taking aboard, sailed with the remainder of his loading to Barb and also a vessel from Scotland imported a very great quof Scotch goods to New London about the year 1692.

Colony of Plymouth.—The inhabitants are owners of the soil only. The first planters obtained a grant from the Great Council of Plymouth to settle upon that tract of land, and exercised government, but had no grant from the Crown so to do; therefore that Colony is annexed to his Majesty's government in the Massachusetts Bay in New England.

Rhode Island and Providence Plantation.—The government and soil thereof is helden by charter from King Charles II. Their

Governor and Assistants are annually chosen.

Caleb Carr was the late Governor of Rhode Island and of a part of land upon the continent called Providence Planiation. It is become a free port to pirates and all illegal traders. Thomas Tew, a pirate, came thither from the Red Sea in the year 1694, and brought with him 100,000*l*, in gold and silver. He shared 12,000*l*, for himself and his sloop, and soon after went out to get more. He was followed by three or four vessels, which went out upon the same design. The inhabitants of the island and some merchants in Boston are great gainers by trading with them. The present managers of the Government are either Quakers or Anabaptists.

Province of the Massachusetts Bay.—The first inhabitants had a charter for soil and government. They enriched themselves by an open trade to all parts of Europe. His present Majesty in his late grant to them has reserved to himself the appointing the Governor, the Lieut.-Governor and Secretary of the Province; but, the people having the choice of the members of the Council, the chief whereof are illegal traders, his Majesty's Governor, when he arrives, can do little service in suppressing it. Mr. William Houghton is the present Lieut.-Governor of the Province, not bred up to military discipline. The country is daily exposed to the fury of the French and Indians, and cannot in the leastwise expect to be secure, for want of a Governor to defend them. But they have not, in their laws made since they are brought under his Majesty's immediate government, made any provision for his Majesty's Governor's maintenance, on purpose to discourage men of honour and abilities to live amongst them, and also to tire out his Majesty with the charge to maintain his own Governor for their own benefit. But, whatever it costs, that country and the lawful trade thereof ought speedily to be taken care of.

Province of New Hampshire.—Mr. Samuel Allen is the present Proprietor of that Province, and has a Commission from his Majesty to be the Governor of it. He purchased it of the heirs of Capt. John Mason, one of the members of the Great Council of Plymouth. He nominated Wm. Partridge (a millwright by trade) to be Lieut.-Governor in his absence. It is the only place from whence the great masts for the use of the Navy are brought. It was like to be lost the last year.

Province of Maine.—The Governor and Company of Massachusetts Bay in New England are the owners of the soil of that Province, purchased of the heir of Sir Ferdinando Gorges during the time they exercised a charter government. But since that Company is dissolved, the government reverts to his Majesty. The country and the people are most of them destroyed through the neglect

and ill conduct of the owners of it.

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

May it please your Lordships,

The chief end of granting those vast tracts of land, now cal Proprieties, to noblemen and others was doubtless to encour the first undertakers to plant and improve them for the ben of the Crown, and to be always subject and depending on Engla and conformable to the laws thereof. Great numbers of peo are now settled in some of those Proprieties, but have been le endeavouring to break loose and set up for themselves, having sort of regard to the Acts of Trade, and discountenancing app to his Majesty in Council. The persons appointed by the prieties to be their Governors are generally men of very indiffer qualifications for parts and estate; their maintenance is inc siderable, which renders their governments precarious a They have power only (like Civil Magistrates in petty Corp. tions) to make municipal laws, with consent of the people. their quiet and peaceable living, as appears by Mr. Pe Commission to the present Governor, [but are] accountable always liable to be turned out at the pleasure of those entrusted them; so that it cannot be presumed that the prietors' Governors are directed or any wise concerned put in execution the several powers and trusts which by Acts of Trade are reposed in the Governors of the Plantat depending on his Majesty's immediate authority; but [on contrary, I am humbly of opinion that it will be judged a misdemeanour in any of them to attempt [to do so], until become first qualified by Commission from his Majesty, as are Governors of Virginia, New York, Barbadoes &c. (noty standing the Proprietors' grants). By which and by no methods the many pirates, so long and so generally comple of to be harboured and countenanced in some of the prieties, and their piracies will be effectually suppressed. Acts of Trade hitherto eluded will be vigorously executed officers of the Customs assisted and encouraged, and the in tants, being always secured in their properties, will be h and secure from all spoil and rapine.

It is therefore humbly proposed that a Clause be brought invest the government of all the Proprieties in his Majesty, a Saving to the owners and the inhabitants the propertitheir lands and possessions, otherwise the Act for preve Frauds &c. will be ineffectual against the Scotch Act; no there be any security against an enemy without a due concur of power to be invested in his Majesty, the Proprieties independent one from the other. All which is humbly

mitted by

Ed. Randolph.

Feb. 20, 1696–7.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(o¹) 26/27 Feb.—List of Mr. Randolph's witnesses, vizt. E Randolph, Richard Savage, John Taylor, Stephen Board, Graves, Ebenezer Chaffin, Joseph Man, Mr. Wm. Nichol Peregrine Browne.

(p) 1 March.—Report from the Commissioners of the Custo the Committee, touching Mr. Randolph, Surveyor-Gene the Plantations, vizt.:—

May it please your Lordships,

In obedience to your Lordships' commands, signified to us be of our number at their late attendance on your Lordships'

requiring this Board to consider whether there is a present necessity of despatching Mr. Randolph to the Plantations, to put in execution the powers of the late Act of Parliament, or whether it be convenient to send away the new establishment of officers without him, we do humbly report to your Lordships our opinion that, although, by reason of some accidents that have happened, and some obstructions that have been given by the Proprietors of those Plantations that are under particular charters to some things endeavoured by this Board in pursuance of the said Act, the time thereby limited for the swearing the Governors is like to be clapsed before the same can be put in execution, for the lengthening of which time care will be taken in Parliament, as we hinted to your Lordships in our Report of the 16th inst; yet, in regard it has been thought necessary to form a new establishment of officers, to be settled in Virginia and other his Majesty's Plantations on the Continent and the Bahama Islands, for the better putting in execution the several laws relating to the Plantations, which officers (in regard there could not be that reasonable confidence in persons of interest and residence upon the place as in persons disinterested in and unrelated to the place) have been for the most part chosen from hence, and will be therefore new and unexperienced in the Plantations, it may be therefore necessary forthwith to despatch Mr. Randolph with them to the Plantations, who is the General Surveyor of that whole business, to dispose and settle them in their respective places, and to give them proper instructions, unless your Lordships shall think that his attendance here to give further information and light relating to the Plantation business during this Session of Parliament may more conduce to the public service.

Custom House, London, 22 Feb. 1696-7. Robt. Clayton. Walter Yonge. Ben. Overton. Ja. Chadwicke. Sam. Clarke.

Endorsed as received 23 Feb. and read this day. Com. Book.

(9.) 1 March.—Bound papers delivered in this day by the Secretary of the Commissioners for Trade, as follows:—

(1.) Order upon the Presentment of the Commissioners of the Customs, at the Council Chamber at Whitehall, 13 Jan. 1695. By the Right Honble, the Lords of a Committee of the whole Council—

Upon reading the Presentment of the Commissioners of the Customs upon two Memorials delivered to them by Edward Randolph, Surveyor-General of his Majesty's Customs upon the Northern Coasts of America, relating to the execution of the Acts of Trade and Navigation in those parts, which Presentment, together with the said Memorials, are referred to the Committee by his Majesty's Order in Council of the 9th of this inst. January; their Lordships are pleased to order the said Presentment and Memorials to be sent to the Lords Commissioners of the Treasury, who are desired to direct the Commissioners of the Customs to report their opinion thereupon, Article by Article, in writing, and to attend the Committee with the same at Whitehall on Friday the 17th of this inst. January at 5 o'clock in the afternoon; and their Lordships are further

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115. pleased to order Mr. Randolph to attend the Committee at same time.

Signed Rich. Colinge
Let the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs take cure that
Majesty's pleasure, signified in the above-written Order of Coun
be duly complied with, so far as appertains unto them.

Whitehall, Treasury Chambers,

14 Jan. 1695. Signe

Godolphin.
Ste. Fox.
Cha. Montague
J. Smith.

, (2.) Presentment of the Commissioners. To the Right Honourable the Lords Commissioners of Majesty's Treasury.

Presentment.

By the Commissioners for managing and causing to be levand collected his Majesty's Customs, Subsidies, &c.

The Commissioners having formerly acquainted their Lordsh upon complaint of most of the principal merchants trading Virginia and Maryland, that the trade is in a great measure destroyed and ruined by many ships trading directly from the back to the said places, without paying his Majesty's duties, prayed their Lordships to become a means that a vessel wit skilful and experienced commander might be appointed to cr on the coasts of Virginia and Maryland, and moreover that s effectual remedy might be taken, by writing to the Governm of Scotland, or otherwise as to his Majesty should seem n for preventing this great evil, tending to the diminution of Majesty's revenue and the trade and navigation of this Kinge and Mr. Randolph, who is employed by their Lords authority as Surveyor-General on the North Coast of Ame having, since his arrival in England, laid before the Com sioners his observation made in his travel from one Colon another, with a list of several ships which by his great skill industry hath been discovered by him to have traded bety Scotland and the Plantations directly, and some with cockets and certificates, which he hath likewise discovered brought over, being now under the Commissioners' examina they do humbly lay before their Lordships a copy of the Mr. Randolph's paper, as a matter of great moment; and Commissioners, being humbly apprehensive of this gromischief, for that the trade between Scotland and the Plantschief, for that the trade between Scotland and the Plantschief, for the trade between Scotland and the Plantschief, for the trade between Scotland and the Plantschief and the Scotland and t tions is now about to be more carried on under colour of a lately passed in Scotland for a joint-stock to Africa and Indies, wherein several merchants of England have interthemselves, they humbly pray that the same may be duly sidered, according to the exigency of so important a case laid before his Majesty in Council, in order to some effe remedy for suppressing such a trade from Scotland to Plantations, tending so apparently to the ruin of this prin branch of the revenue, and the violation of the Acts of Trade Navigation, which are the only security of the Plantation to and from this Kingdom.

Signed P. Ward. Robert Southwee Waltr. Young. Samuel Clarke.

(3.) Mr. Randolph's Memorial No. 1:—
To the Honourable the Commissioners of the Customs.

May it please your Honours-

In my paper of proposals to suppress the illegal trade in the Tobacco Plantations, which has been carried on from thence directly to Scotland for many years, I have shewn from whence it has so greatly prevailed, and laid down proper methods for preventing thereof for the future, a copy whereof is hereunto annexed, which will be effectually remedied if they were formed into an Act of Parliament to be passed this Session, and entitled an Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade, as is the Act of 14 Car. 2nd for England, and that the commanders of his Majesty's ships of war, during their stay in the country, may be ordered to receive instructions from this Board in what may concern the care of the trade and navigation to and from those Plantations, and to be aiding and assisting to the officers of his Majesty's Customs in the execution of their duties.

I now lay before your Honours an account of the present state of his Majesty's Colonies and Provinces upon the north coast of America, in relation to a Scotch Act which is lately passed; in which Act, under pretence of erecting an East India Company in that kingdom, they do (page 2nd) engage themselves with great sums of money in an American trade; a trade which has already for several years been carried on by Scotchmen, under pretence of being persons born within the allegiance of his Majesty, as by the Act of 12th Car. 2nd they claim liberty to do. And, although in the Act of the 14th of the same King only English, Irish and subjects in the Plantations are to be accounted English, as to the navigating of ships, yet they take on them to come from Scotland under the notion of supercargoes and merchants, and seldom fail of counterfeit masters.

In the 4th page they have liberty to plant colonies, &c., in or upon places not inhabited, and, page 5th, to make and conclude treaties of peace and commerce with the Governors and Proprietors, paying only to his Majesty out of Scotland the yearly acknowledgment of one hogshead of tobacco. And, although they forbid all other Scots than those of their Company to touch on any plantations which they shall acquire, on pain of confiscation, yet they allow all such Scots to trade in tobacco and sugar elsewhere (that is to say amongst the English), they paying for what they so bring home such duties as are now established in Scotland.

By all which it may be presumed how they project to let themselves into the trade of all his Majesty's Plantations, and it is probable they meditate either the purchasing a settlement in one of the three lower counties of Newcastle, Kent, or Sussex, on the southern shore of Delaware Bay, as being no part or parcel of the lands granted to Mr. Penn in his Province of Pennsylvania, or in some one or more islands near the Continent, by which expedient, if acquired, they might in a short time make a staple, not only of all serts of European manufactures, but also of the enumerated plantation commodities, even as it is this day practised with great abuse at the small Dutch island of Carasaw [Curaçoa].

1696-7. No. 1115.

Wherefore, for prevention of so great a mischief to Englit is humbly proposed:—

- That the south part of Carolina and all the Bahama Island put under his Majesty's immediate authority.
- 2. That North Carolina be annexed and put under the care inspection of his Majesty's Governor of Virginia, thereby prevent the shipping of the merchantable tobacco growing the southern part of that territory, by the inlets of Curri and Roanoake.
- 3. That the three lower counties of Newcastle, Kent and Susaforesaid, lying upon the southern shore of Delaware Barannexed to his Majesty's government in Maryland, which likewise prevent the shipping of merchantable tobacco groat the head of Chesapeake Bay, near Bohemia and Sassa Rivers, into Opaquimany River in Delaware Bay, as also importing European goods by that passage to Maryland, which evils have been but too much practised.
- 4. That the Province of West Jersey be annexed to the governof the Province of Pennsylvania, and an active Governor tappointed, such an one as is qualified to uphold the A Trade; for, as things now hang, the charge to maintain officers on both sides the Bay, from Bridlington in Jersey to Horekill in Sussex county, nigh Cape Henlopen, men and boats, &c., will not be defrayed for 800L a year; the trade of that river being now carried on by Scotchmen privateers, inhabitants in Pennsylvania and East and West Je which lie between Maryland and New York, in the very cof trade and business, all is exposed and lies open to tri from all places, and cannot be secured but by a great chor very regular government.
- 5. That the province of East Jersey be annexed to his Maje government in the Province of New York, as it hath formerly. And in like manner the Colony of Connect for this would wholly prevent the importation of Euro goods, too frequently made even by countenance of those Colonies.
- That the Colonies of Rhode Island, now under no regovernment, be, as formerly, joined to his Majesty's govern in the Province of the Massachusetts Bay in New England.
- 7. That no proprietor, planter, or other person whatsoever pre to alienate or transfer any island, plantation, &c., to any Sagent, factor or, other foreigner whatsoever, under the pe of high treason; the whole tract from 32° to 44° being Majesty's dominion and annexed to the Crown of England.

But, for a smuch as several of the Plantations hereunder ment have proprietors and owners by Letters Patents, and some Colonies are established by charters, where the persons cerned may not apprehend the danger that is threatenethe new law in Scotland, and so refuse to conform to wh that consideration only is hereby humbly proposed, as to annexing of governments, yet in point of securing to Engall the benefits of the Plantation trade to which by law stand bound, I think, when any shall refuse in this tin danger to accept his Majesty's government, they shoul obliged both to accept and maintain such officers as me

ED. RANDOLPH. S.G.

needful to preserve the trade to England and the duties to his 1696 7. Majesty. All which is humbly submitted by,

No. 1115.

December 7th, 1695. (4) Mr. Randolph's Memorial No. 2.

An account of several things whereby the illegal trade is encouraged in Virginia, Maryland and Pennsylvania, together with methods for prevention thereof, humbly offered to the consideration of the Honourable the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs, by Edward Randolph, Surveyor General,

1. That naval officers, taking persons of small or no estates to be security for masters bound to England, Wales, &c., but carry their tobacco to Scotland directly, yet afterwards upon producing

false certificates they discharge those forfeited bonds.

2. That general partiality of courts and juries, biassed by private interest, in causes relating to the Crown, of which some in Virginia and Pennsylvania are not legally quallified.

3. There is no penalty by law upon fob-masters, nor upon masters producing forged cockets and certificates to the Collectors in

the Plantations, nor upon those persons who forge them.

4. The inhabitants of the eastern shore of Virginia, Maryland and Delaware River, Scotchmen and others, have great stocks lying by them, to purchase tobacco, and to prepare a loading ready to be put on board, upon the arrival of any vessel for New England, Ireland, &c., who assist with boats and sloops to get the goods ashore before the vessel is entered, which they dispose of amongst their goods in the store; the vessel lying in some obscure creek 40 or 50 miles distance from the Collector's office, and is, in a short time, loaded and sails out of the Capes undiscovered.

5. Collectors permitting vessels entered outwards to some other place than the Plantations, as Ireland, Newfoundland, &c., to trade in the Plantations, bringing no certificate that security is

given in England.

6. Collectors permitting the natives of the Kingdom of Scotland, coming passengers from thence by way of Berwick, Whitehaven, &c., to the Plantations, with considerable quantities of goods, which, in a peddling manner, they dispose of, running in small boats from one river and creek to another.

7. Their not prosecuting masters upon forfeiture of their plantation bonds, nor vessels belonging to England, Ireland, or the subjects in the Plantations, upon the breach of the Acts of Trade, unless they come into the Plantation where the offence was committed.

8. Fob-masters not worth five pounds apiece, being Irish or subjects in the Plantations, sail vessels belonging to Scotch owners, and, upon their oath that they are such, are permitted to trade, especially if they can produce but a cocket from England.

9. The Collectors nor their deputies, going aboard vessels upon their districts, to examine whether the masters, the vessels and

mariners are duly qualified.

10. Their suffering vessels belonging to New England, Barbadoes, &c., carrying bread, flour and other provisions in cask from Virginia, Maryland or Pennsylvania to the Plantations, to load in any river or creek, though 50 or 100 miles distant from their 1696-7.

No. 1115.

officer, by which means great quantities of cut and dried tob are packed up in cask and carried to the Plantations.

11. Governors of the Plantations permit privateers of all nation be masters and owners of vessels, to trade at sea and e all the privileges as his Majesty's subjects of England and Plantations.

For prevention whereof it is humbly proposed:-

1. None of the enumerated Plantation commodities are to exported out of the Plantations till bond be first given, &c certificate produced, &c., as by the 12th of King Charles Second. And by the said Act the Governors of the Plantation required to take an oath to observe the aforementioned claim that Act; but neither the Governor nor the persons we they shall appoint are, by the 12th or the 15th of the same Funder any penalty if they take insufficient security. Governors ought therefore to be under the same penalty of thousand pounds and forfeiture, &c., for taking insufficienting, as by the said Act of the 15th of the King they upon entering upon their government before they have to a solemn oath, &c.

Query.—Whether the Governors of proprieties have incurred the penalties of that Act, for entering upon governments before they have taken the oath [which] is

joined thereby?

2. That a Court of Exchequer be erected in all the Plants on the coast of America to try all causes, criminal excerelating to the Crown; the Judges and Attorney-General appointed by his Majesty, one imparlance to be allowed Appeals to lie from that Court to the Governor and Council from them to his Majesty in Council, and the Court to the officers bound to prosecute.

- 3. Fob-masters, and masters producing a false certificate Collector in the Plantations, to suffer twelve months' important ment, and the forger imprisonment during life; and, for discontinuous discontinuous description on the forger imprisonment during life; and, for discontinuous discontinuous
- 4. No master or mate, or other person belonging to any coming to the Plantations, shall unlade any goods or merchi whatsoever, before entry, &c., upon forfeiture of two hu pounds sterling; and every inhabitant or foreigner that assist or take from on board such vessel any goods int sloop, &c., until such entry first made, and the master shewed a permit under the hand and seal of the officer, informed against and apprehended by a warrant from a j to f peace in the Government where the offence was command convicted thereof, shall for the first offence lose the &c., and all the goods aboard or landed out of her, and p sum of ten pounds sterling; and for the second offence twenty pounds, &c.

5. All vessels sailing from England, &c., to the Plantations to bring with them a printed certificate that bond is given in the port from whence they cleared, otherwise not to be permitted to trade under the penalty of to the Collector.

No. 1115.

1696 7.

6. All Scotchmen, being agents and factors, coming by way of Whitehaven, &c., directly to the Plantations, bringing with them Scotch manufacture, or the product thereof, to purchase tobacco, to be accounted aliens, according to the Act made in the 12th of King Charles the Second.

7. All English, Irish, or subjects in the Plantations, being masters or mates of vessels, ought strictly to be prosecuted upon forfeiture of their plantation bond, that a copy thereof attested before the Governor in Council, under the Seal of the Government, shall be valid evidence against the offender in any Court in England, Ireland, or the Plantations, shall bar the prosecution of her upon testimony of the fact vivá voce, taken before the Governor in Council under the Seal of the Government, which shall be sufficient proof to condemn her, being seized and prosecuted, in what place soever in his Majesty's dominions she shall be found, by such person as shall be thereunto appointed.

8. No English, Irish, or subjects in the Plantations to sail master or mate in any vessel belonging to the subjects in Scotland, upon any pretence whatsoever, upon forfeiture of the vessel and her lading, the one moiety to his Majesty, the other to the informer, if discovered in one year after the offence committed, or to such master or mate upon revealing and making known his offence to the Governor or to the Collector in the Plantation within twenty

days after his arrival.

9. The Collector or his deputy to go aboard where there is ground of suspicion to view the build of the vessel; the master to give an account in writing of the names of all his owners, the place or places of their habitation, with the number and names of all his sailors, of what country, upon oath, and 'signed by him before witness. If afterwards he be convicted, to forfeit five hundred pounds, the one moiety, &c.

All vessels carrying tobacco or provisions in cask from Virginia. Maryland, or Pennsylvania to any other of his Majesty's Plantations, ought to have two ports only allowed to load and unload at in each Colony and Province, to be appointed by the respective Governor. The Collector or his deputy to be always present at

the time of loading, upon penalty.

11. New England, Pennsylvania, South Carolina and other places abound with privateers, the pest of all trade. No privateer to be admitted by the Governor to enjoy any liberties or privileges in the Plantations until he has given sufficient security, not less than a thousand pounds sterling, to be taken and approved of by such person as his Majesty shall please to appoint, and thereupon to have liberty to reside, &c.

5) Report of the Commissioners of the Customs upon Mr. Randolph's Memorials.

> To the Right Honourable the Lords of a Committee of the whole Council.

lay if please your Lordships,

Reing commanded by your Lordships' Order of the 13th instant, which came to us from the Right Honourable the Lords of the Treasury on the 15th, to report our opinion on the two Memorials

given in by Mr. Edward Randolph, in reference to the exect of the Acts of Trade and Navigation on the northern coa America; We do humbly acquaint your Lordships, that of the said Memorials is of two parts. First it sets down, in elinstances, how and by what means the said Acts are elude Virginia, Maryland and Pennsylvania, and how illegal trade been encouraged, either by connivance of the officers intrusted, or by partiality of courts and juries of the court of the frauds of those who counterfeit legal certificates, a defects in the Acts themselves, which time hath discovered by which many advantages are taken to his Majesty's hurt.

. In the second part of the said Memorial there are eleven rem proposed for the prevention of the said evils; And we hu acquaint your Lordships that, upon our first perusal of these h which we presented to the Treasury on the 17th past, we the necessity of obtaining a new Act, and such as might several of the mischiefs and defects which are complaine and which could not be cured but by law, as do appear o 1st, 3rd, 4th, 5th, 6th, 7th, 8th, 9th and 10th of the said Arti and the draft of such an Act lies now in Mr. Atte General's hands to be considered of by him, and then t re-examined at our Board, that all the points that are ne may be taken in before it be offered (as is intended) to House of Commons for their approbation. But some of remedies proposed appear to lie within the reach of his Maje authority, as where, in the 11th complaint, that the Governo the Plantations permit privateers of all nations to be me and owners of vessels to trade at sea, and to enjoy all privi as his Majesty's subjects of England and the Plantations. that in particular New England, Pennsylvania, South Car and other places abound with such privateers, which are the of trade, we conceive his Majesty may command his Governors, and require it also of the Proprietors, that no liberties be granted to any privateer that doth not first security of 1,000l., to be approved of by his Majesty's office the Customs, for his good behaviour to the laws of trade before he have the privilege to reside. But, upon fu discourse with Mr. Randolph, we apprehend that such a here called privateers are rather freebooters, who break a rules both of Admiralty and trade, and as such ought who be suppressed. Also, we think on the second complain general partiality in the courts and juries, which are biasse private interests in causes relating to the Crown, tha Majesty hath power to erect Courts of Exchequer in Plantations for trial of causes that have reference to his Rev and to appoint the Officers thereof, and with such powe this end as his Majesty's learned Counsel shall advise; wl some are mentioned in the said Memorial. In the meantin ourselves have written amply to all the Governors and to a officers in those parts, by the ships now going, to excite care and vigilance in the execution of all points which, I laws now in being, they are bound to observe and maintain particularly with regard to the danger threatened by the Act of Trade in Scotland, which we also enclose to them. we presume not here to add any particular reflections on th Act, inasmuch as the consideration of that great affair lies before the Parliament.

In the other Memorial there is first set forth, from several clauses in the said Scotch Act, what seems intended by the powers thereby obtained, and how dangerously they may operate on his Majesty's Islands and Plantations in America if not timely

prevented.

The methods proposed by Mr. Randolph for this prevention are in seven articles. As first, in general, that some tracts of land on the continent, or islands adjacent, which have been granted to particular Proprietors, and where the abuses in trade have hitherto been most observed, should be put under his Majesty's immediate authority, as in the case of South Carolina and the Bahama Islands: but North Carolina to be put under the care of his Majesty's government of Virginia. That the two Jerseys, which are also in Proprietors, should be divided; the east part to be annexed to his Majesty's government of New York, the West part to the government of Pennsylvania, which is in propriety to Mr. Penn. That the three counties of Newcastle, Kent, and Sussex, which, we understand, are claimed also by the said Mr. Penu, be annexed to his Majesty's government of Maryland; for, though Maryland be the propriety of the Lord Baltimore, and his Lordship's profits are preserved unto him, yet his Majesty hath there appointed a Governor. That, besides the annexing the said east part of the Jerseys to his Majesty's said government of New York, there be added also thereto the Colony of Connecticut, which is a government by charter, and for Rhode Island which holds also by like charter, and to whom respectively his Majesty hath written letters, since his accession to the Crown, acknowledging them for Corporations, that this be annexed to the Crown of England.

As to the distribution of territories, we are humbly of opinion that Mr. Randolph hath well considered the situation of the respective parts, and how all the rivers and headlands of that coast might best fall under the inspection of particular govern-

ments.

And it is certain that this whole tract is subject to the Acts of Trade, and that his Majesty's dominion is over all. But, as we are not informed in the extents of those grants and powers which the Proprietors have obtained, or what they may allege herein if called upon, so must humbly submit the consideration and determination of this particular concern unto your Lordships' authority. Only thus far we conceive, that all Proprietors of the soil are so much tied up to the dominion of the Crown of England as they ought to defend the same at the peril of their lives, and may not alienate a foot to Scotch, or any other than subjects of England, but under the highest penalties. And, since also the Acts of Trade do extend and have their force in all that territory without distinction, it were most reasonable, in case more may not be had, that at least all Governors employed by the Proprietors should be sworn, as his Majesty's Governors are, to the observation of the said Acts, under the penalty of 1.000/.

And whereas, in the conclusion of the said Memorial, some doubt is made if the Proprietors would concur to what was proposed, and that in such case they might at least be obliged to accept and maintain such officers as were needful to preserve the trade to England and the duties to his Majesty, we are humbly of opinion that his Majesty's officers will best do their duty when wholly

1696-7. No. 1115. 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

independent from any proprietors for their salaries subsistence. And, as things now stand, we see a necessity have many new officers employed, and such as by fit rew may not be liable to the temptation or connivances which ot have heretofore been guilty of. And we humbly offer, a proposed in the said Memorials, that such commanders of Majesty's ships of war as go at any time to those parts madirected by the Admiralty to take some instructions from Board to be aiding to our officers while they remain there, for preserving our trade and navigation both out and home.

All which is humbly submitted to your Lordships' considered.

tion.

Robt. Southwell.
Robt. Clayton.
Ja. Chadwick.
P. Ward.
Walter Yonge.
C. Godolphin.
Sam. Clarke.

Custom House, London. January the 17th, 1695-6.

(6.) Report of the Committee upon the Presentment of Commissioners of the Customs about Mr. Randolph's Memor At a Committee of the whole Council, at the Council Char

at Whitehall, the 28th of January 1695-6.

The Lords of the Committee having, in pursuance of his Maje Order in Council of the 9th of this month, considered the prement of the Commissioners of the Customs upon the Memoria Mr. Randolph, Surveyor General of His Majesty's Customs the Northern Coast of America, relating to the execution of Acts of Trade and Navigation in those parts, and having there heard the Commissioners of the Customs, and received from a further report before his Majesty in Council, and further represent—

That, as to what is therein mentioned relating to the permis granted by the Governors in the Plantations to privateers on nations, their Lordships are expecting the opinion of the J of the High Court of Admiralty, to whom the same is referred by the Committee, how such privateers may be processed.

against.

That, as to the complaint of the partiality in the Courts and Ji which are biassed by private interest in causes relating to Crown, for remedy whereof it is proposed that Court Exchequer may be erected by His Majesty in the Plantat their Lordships have referred this matter to the consideration

Mr. Attorney for his opinion therein.

That, as to what is proposed in the said report in relation to putting under his Majesty's immediate authority the sent tracts of land in the continent, or islands adjoining, which been granted to particular Proprietors, and where the abust trade have hitherto been most observed, their Lordships die to receive His Majesty's pleasure whether the Committee anot inspect the several charters whereby those tracts of land the government thereof, have been granted by the Crown, send for the said Proprietors to adjust with them the

such measures as are proposed in the said report, relating to the said Proprietors, for the more strict observation and execution of

the Acts of Trade and Navigation.

And that a circular letter be sent by the Lords of the Council to the several Governors in the Plantations, requiring them, in his Majesty's name, strictly to pursue what hath been already proposed in the letters lately sent to them by the Commissioners of the Customs, relating to the better execution of the said Acts.

As also that such commanders of his Majosty's ships of war as go at any time to those parts may be directed to take some instructions from the Commissioners of the Customs to be aiding and assisting to their officers in the Plantations, and preserving the trade and navigation out and home, as is proposed by the Commissioners.

(7.) Reference of Mr. Randolph's Memorials to the Judge of the Admiralty.

At a Committee of the whole Council, Whitehall, the 28th

January, 1695-6.

Upon reading a report from the Commissioners of the Customs, dated the 17th instant, upon a Memorial presented by Mr. Randolph in reference to the execution of the Acts of Trade and Navigation on the northern coast of America, their Lordships order that an extract of the said report, relating to the permission given to privateers of all nations by the Governors in the Plantations, be sent to Sir Charles Hedges, Knight, Judge of his Majesty's High Court of Admiralty, for his opinion whether the privateers therein mentioned may not be treated as pirates, or how they are otherwise to be proceeded with.

Richd. Colinge.

(8.) The Judge of the Admiralty's Report upon the foregoing reference.

May it please your Lordships,

In humble obedience to your Lordships' Order of the 28th January last, requiring my opinion whether the privateers, mentioned in the extract of a report from the Commissioners of the Customs upon Mr. Randolph's Memorial, may not be treated as pirates, or how they are otherwise to be proceeded with; I have considered thereof, and, haying also received some further information from Mr. Randolph concerning the persons therein called privateers, I humbly conceive that they may be proceeded against and treated as pirates, they having, as I am imformed, no commission from any Prince or State, which I most humbly submit to your Lordships' great judgment.

C. Hedges.

February the 7th, 1695-6.

(9.) Report of the Committee relating to the Scots Acts.

Memdm., the 10th February, 1695-6.

The draft of the Report of the Committee upon the presentment of the Commissioners of the Customs relating to the Plantation trade being read, Mr. Attorney General, being present, was heard; whereupon their Lordships altered their Resolution from their first Report in the two clauses, as following:—

That, as to what is proposed in the said Report with relation to the putting under his Majesty's immediate authority the several tracts of land in the continent, or islands adjoining, which have been granted to particular Proprietors, and where the abuses in

1696-7. No. 1115. 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

trade have hitherto been most observed, their Lordships have consulted Mr. Attorney General therein, it appears to Committee that, by the several charters granted to the Proprie for the said tracts of land, the powers of government have I also granted to them from the Crown; but their Lordships at to move his Majesty that a circular letter be sent by the Lordships to move his Majesty that a circular letter be sent by the Lordships at the Council to the several Governors in the Plantati requiring them, in his Majesty's name, strictly to perform whath been recommended to them by the Commissioners of Customs, as in the letters they have now written, relating to better execution of the Acts of Trade and Navigation of Kingdom, and the preventing the inconveniencies that may a from the Act lately passed in Scotland.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

(r.) 1 March.—Mr. Penn's Answer to Mr. Randolph touching three lower counties, vizt.:—

1. The lower counties were granted to me in fee, upon advice of best and ablest Counsel of England, with a design of government well as soil. On these terms I solicited and received them, without it would not have made my two years' expedition America, the rest being very imperfect, if not impractice without their addition; and so it has been understood K. Charles, K. James, and this King's time.

It is true that what tobacco grows with us is made there amounts not to, one year with another, above 600 or hogsheads, which is but loading for a ship of ordinary bulk.

3. If tobacco be carried from Maryland to our side in fraud to King, Edw. Randall [Randolph?] ought to answer for tha suffering it; and if he can't, how can I? The crime lies or side of Maryland, where he chiefly resides; and there it is practices should be stopped, and not that we should suffer their neglects.

4. When it is on our side, there is a naval officer of his appointment, and has been long, who ought to answer for it. it were very hard that we should be security for officers of

putting in.

5. There is not any place upon both the bays where there little and so ill tobacco made as at and near the rivers of Boh and Opaquimany. Besides, the water carriage is so rechaper on Maryland side, and the conveyance safer for practices, that Edward Randall confesses 27 sail of ships transgressed from Maryland, which he calls a King's government is more immediately under his own inspection, for 9 sail went from our parts; and, if true, I dare affirm did not carry

tobacco than two of those from Maryland

6. As there is but one way alleged by E. Randall that any frambe committed, viz. from Bohemia river to Opaquimany on our so let it be considered that those bulky hogsheads must brought 8 or 10 miles over land, in that only way, which I heard was attempted but once and then discovered. No there be an advantage proposed in doing of it, since one halfper pound is all they can promise themselves for these follorisks; first, the charge of land carriage; secondly, the hof being taken; thirdly, the rarily and difficulty of freigl our side, being offtimes six months without shipping; four for the convenience of shipping, that makes our freight dearer than from Maryland, which offtimes obliges us to sen

growth to Virginia; lastly, we have no convoys, which fleets

from Maryland and Virginia seldom go without.

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

For conclusion, in answer to his charge relating to the lower counties, we have made a law to prohibit the importation of tobacco into our Province upon the forfeiture of the whole, unless it pay the penny per pound to the King, according to the Acts of Navigation, which are carefully observed in that Province, which is made what it is by the estates and industry of a sober, honest people, and not by any indirect ways, as he basely suggests. Please to call Saml. Groome, Robt. Ruddle, Jeremiah Bass, Capt. Wild, Richard Hill.

Wm. Penn.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

- (s.) 1 March.—Mr. Penn's Answer to Mr. Randolph's Paper relating to Pennsylvania, vizt.:—
- 1. I acknowledge Colonel Markham is my Deputy-Governor, a man without exception, or the Lords of the Privy Council would not have recommended him to the late Queen, as they did, for her approbation, and which she as readily complied with. He was Deputy-Governor to Colonel Fletcher, Governor of New York, while my Province was under his care, and therefore I conceive no fault in me to continue him.
- 2. That Patrick Robinson is a Scotchman and Secretary is true; but that he is by the laws of England capable of being so is as true; but he was not of my making, and, if the King think it improper, he shall be immediately removed by my next letters.
- 3. That David Floyd is called a Quaker and is the Attorney General is true, but that he is reputed an honest man and the ablest lawyer in that Province, and a zealous man for the Government, none that knows him will deny, he having often given such proof in cases wherein the interest of the Crown has been concerned.
- 4. For what concerns his reflection upon our Judges, they are honest and substantial men, one of them being worth lifty times the estate of the reflector.
- 5. For the two ships pretended to come from Scotland and Norway, I know nothing of them, and therefore crave time to answer it, though I believe not one word of the insinuation.

That the Governor favours pirates is both foul and ralse. Call Samuel Groome and Jeremy Bass, for they have the pass of the Governor of New York to reside or travel through our Province,

who is the King's governor in that Province.

Lastly. That Colonel Markham desired the Collector's place can be no fault to the King that, I know of, nor to himself, if profitable. But, if his poverty be an objection, he shall be changed if the King pleases; but for that reason E. Randall ought not to be Surveyor of the Customs, who, I have reason to believe, is not worth five hundred pounds, if one, in the whole world. Please to call Saml. Groome, Counsellor Wharton, Jeremy Bass, both to Colonel Markham's diligence against Pirates and Edward Randall's greater sufficiencies.

Wm. Penn.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

1696-7.

No. 1115.

(t.) Undated. Paragraphs relating to the three Lower Counties.

and Pennsylvania, in answer to Mr. Penn's exceptions, vint.

Paragraphs - - - Breve of the 2 paragraphs rela

Three Lower Counties

to the 3 Lower Counties on Delay

Capt. Brown's paper; the le

of Capt. Dyer, Mr. Mein, and

Mr. Williams, officers of

Customs, produced by Mr. Save

Bay and Pennsylvania.
Vouched by

Great quantities of tobacco are some years brought overland from Maryland to Delaware Bay.

. . And shipped directly for Scotland;

Vide abstract of the letters:
Mr. Prowse, the Commissioner
the Customs' agent in Scotlan
Mr. Savage

* Mr. Nicoll informs of and way over land from Elk Rive Newcastle, as also Joseph Man.

Alexander Smart, Mathias D'I and Righton's bonds not dischar Joseph Armstrong, a Scotch and a Quaker in London, owner of Righton's vessel, Eber Chaffin.

Vide copy of Capt. Mee letter and journal to Col. Nicho and lists of Scotch merchants li in Glasgow and of Scotch chants in London with whom correspond

Vide letter from Thomas L to Wm. Nicoll, which is vor by Wm. Nicoll and Ebenezer C

besides Gustavus Hamilton, the chief agent for the Scotch merchants in Glasgow.

Pirates are harboured there and purchase their provision in Philadelphia.

Pennsylvania -

Mr. Markham is the present Governor, to whom Samuel Carpenter and John Goodson (Quakers) are joined with him in the government, but refuse to act. Quer. What Mr. Graves can form of pirates there and is other places.

Vide his Commission from Penn, 24 December, 1694, dire that care be taken to suppre vice and disorderly walking, penter, a great merchant. Goe a surgeon

Mr. Penn thought it not entrust the civil affairs of his vince to Mr. Markham (an i man) only, yet has the great dence to recommend him to Lords of the Council of Trac fit to be the sole Governor, approved of by his Majesty matters of trade and state, wi addition of being appointed Admiral also.

Patrick Robinson, a Scotchman, Secretary, and David Floyd, a Quaker, the country's Attorney-General.

One vessel with goods from Scotland; another with wine, brandy, and European goods from Norway, having a Dutchman to be her master.

The Judges of the Courts of Judicature are not qualified according to law, neither can the officers of the Customs obtain justice for his Majesty.

The Governor is a favourer of pirates.

Pennsylvania lies in the centre, between Maryland and New York, most conveniently for illegal trade.

No place has, in so short a time, by such ways been so greatly improved.

Addenda

That Col. Nicholson was not the governor of Maryland when the tobacco was carried thence to Scotland.

The trade from the Plantations to Scotland is greater than before the passing the Act for preventing frauds, &c.

Pleaded in another province, at the trial of a vessel from Scotland, against the prosecutor for his Majesty. Vide Capt. Meeche's journal and Webb's letter - No. 3 Vide Meeche's journal and

Webb's letters -

1696-7. No. 1115.

Vide copies of the three trials, signed by the Clerks of the Courts in the 3 lower counties

Mr. Nicholl can inform the un-heard of injustice done between party and party in that province.

Vide Meeche's journal and Webb's letter - - No. 3 Vide Mr. Markham's warrant to - - No. 5

Vide list of pirates given me by Mr. Clark and Mr. Blake, at Chester in that Province, in February 1694

Everett Johnson, master of the vessel which imported wine and brandy, &c., from Norway, was a Dutchman, and gone to New York when his vessel was seized. Journal

No justice to be had at her trial in Philadelphia.

Vide Robert Webb's letter No. 3 By trade to Scotland, Curaçoa, and other prohibited places.

Vide Mr. Markham's warrant to Mr. Clark - - - No. 5

The goods were imported by Paris, a pirate, from Curaçoa, seized by Mr. Clark, tried and condemned by the Governor and Council, and appraised not at \(\frac{1}{4} \) the value.

He went over the Bay and seized the ship William and Mary from Scotland, Thomas Meeche, master. She was tried at Jamestown, and sailed, upon giving bond.

Vide Account of seizures in Maryland not prosecuted - No. 6*

* And by an Order of Council holden at St. Mary's in Maryland the 13th October 1694.

Vide lists of vessels extracted out of Mr. Prowse's letters from Scotland examined by Mr. Board - No. 2

Ebenezer Chaffin, Joseph Armstrong, Meeche's journal - No. 3

Naval officers take insufficient security for vessels loading tobacco for England, &c.

I have seized and prosecuted several vessels in Virginia. and Penn-Maryland, sylvania.

And obtained judgment against Mathias D'Hart for 1,000l, sterling at New York.

Addenda.

That I wrote to Governor Markham to take more care of bonds and certificates.

That he discourages the officers of the Customs.

That, besides the tobacco carried to England and Scotland, great quantities are carried from Delaware to the Plantations yearly.

James Reid bound with Alexa Smart. Henry Vandeburg Mathias D'Hart. John Feratt John Walley. Vide Newcastle of bonds -Joseph Man.

Vide the lists of vessels s and prosecuted -

Vide copy of the bond and original judgment

Vide his letter to me March

Vide Mr. Clark's and Mr. Bl. letters to me

Vide copies of two lists, con ing 78 vessels cleared from Dela to the Plantations, by the Colle of the Customs from the year to the year 1694

From whence it appears there is necessity of a Regul made in Pennsylvania in the matter of trade, and acquit masters, the Commissioners of the Customs, from the urreflection of misspending the treasure of the Kingdor maintain their officers in the Province, where, as it is affir there does not grow above seven or eight hundred hogsl of tobacco yearly; but the illegal and very advantageous to the inhabitants cannot be suppressed until his Majest pleased to appoint a Governor for that end.

[Probably delivered in by Mr. Randolph 1 or 4 March.]

r of Ships loading tobacco in Pennsylvania for England, which do not appear to have delivered the same in England, Wales, or Berwick, as by their bonds they are obliged.

. 9

No. 1115.

1696-7. (u.) 1 March.—List of Ships loading tobacco in Pennsylvania f Wales, or Berwick, as by the

Date.		Master's Name.	Ship's Name.	Whither bound.
1 Sept.	1688	Thomas Bond -	Trial	England -
29 May	1689	James Hathaway -	Trial, of Penn-	Newcastle in England.
4 August	1690	Benjn. Roberts -	Providence, of Bridlington in West Jersey.	London -
16 Oct.	1690	Tho. Manley -	Planter's Adven- ture, of Delaware.	Plymouth in En
20 May	1691	Mathias De Hart -	Unity, of New York	Beaumaris in En
May	1692	Maurice Trent -	Brigantine of Penn- sylvania.	Whitehaven England.
19 May	1692	Peter Trelony	Ross, of New England.	Beaumaris in En land.
26 May	1692	Peter Hawkins -	George, of New England.	Whitehaven England.
2 June	1692	e s' s	Thomas & Mary, of New England.	Curaçoa, a Dutc by Peter De H
24 April	1693	Alexander Smart -	Patience, of Pennsylvania.	England -
4 April	1693	John Walley -	Lark, of New England.	England -
4 Aug.	1694	Matthew Estis -	Dolphin	England -
17 Aug.	1694	Wm. Righton, Jun., of London.	Brigantine	England -
	1695	Gustavus Hamilton	Run out of Delawar	e Bay with 300 E
20 March	1692	Abraham Gibson -	Ketch Lily	London -

igland, which do not appear to have delivered the same in England, ands they are obliged.

1696 - 7. No. 1115.

Where she gave Bond.	Loading of Tobacco.	
Tewcastle in Delaware	79 Hhds., 2 barrels -	As appears by his bond in Mr. Markham's hands, dated 9 June 1688.
lewcastle in Delaware	147 Hhds. in casks, and 22,180 lbs. in bulk.	
Vest Jorsey	21 Hhds., 2 barrels &	As per abstract out of the Collector of Pennsylvania's books, 6 Aug. 1692.
)elaware	24 Hhds., 50 bundles, and a parcel in bulk.	As by abstract out of Mr. Williams's Book.
Vewcastle in Delaware	100 Hhds	As per copy of his bond, dated 24 April, 3° Gul. & Mar.
Newcastle in Delaware	100 Hhds	As per abstract out of Mr. Williams's book.
Newcastle in Delaware	100 Hhds	As per forged certificate of bond given at Beaumaris, 4 August, 1691.
	70 Hhds	As per forged certificate from Whitehaven, 10 Aug. 1691.
d, and there delivered Newcastle, one of his	his tobacco, as informed sailors.	
lewcastle in Delaware	90 Hhds	As per his bond in Mr. Clay- pole's custody, Naval officer.
ewcastle in Delawaro	90 Hhds	As per his bond in Mr. Clay- pole's hands.
ewcastle in Delaware	80 Hhds	As per his bond in Mr. Mark- ham's custody.
ewcastle in Delaware	100 Hhds	As per bond in Mr. Claypole's custody.
Pobacco on board, not	entered.	_
ennsylvania 🚅 🗥 🚗	79 Hhds., 80 lbs. in bulk, and 79 rolls.	As per his bond in Mr. Clay- pole's custody.

(v.) 1 March.—Account of ships and vessels trading to and f Scotland to the tobacco Plantations, from 13 April 1695 29 December 1696, as appears by letters from Mr. Valen Prowse, late agent in Scotland to the Commissioners of Majesty's Customs in London.

13 April 1695.—The ship Robert of Erwin [Irvine], 120 t

Francis Duncan master, from Scotland to Virginia. 29 May.—A ship of some force fitting out for Pennsylvania.

18 June. Mr. Perry.--A brigantine of Boston, 50 tons, T Smith master, from Virginia to Scotland with tobacco.

22 July.—William and Thomas of Glasgow, 140 tons, Rol Kerry master, with tobacco from Virginia.

30 Sept.—James and John of Maryland, 120 tons, James Sinc master, with Scotch goods to Maryland; and also a vebelonging to Gustavus Hamilton from the Plantations.

17 Oct.—James, of Glasgow, 170 tons, Hugh Campbell master, and the Elizabeth of Queensferry, Robert Sinclair master -

1 Nov. and 29 March following.—Rose, of Maryland, 120 tons, John Coates, master, a Scotchman, and John Mackgoone, merchant or master

11 May 1696.—Lion. .
Irish goods for Maryland.

18 June.—Barbara, of Glasgow, 120 tons, Hugh Scott mas Scotch goods to Virginia; and the Robert, of Erwin [Irvin 140 tons, master, Scotch goods to Maryland.

140 tons, master, Scotch goods to Maryland. 30 June.—Elizabeth, of Glasgow, 120 tons, Robert Sincl

Scotch goods to Maryland.

25 Aug.—Margaret, of . . . , 50 tons, Thomas Hunsmaster, with tobacco for New England.

10 Sept. - Elizabeth, of Greenock, Robt. Sinclair, with Sco

goods to Virginia.

6 Oct.—Margaret, of New England, Thomas Hunkins, 50 to bound first from Scotland to New England, and from thence Maryland, and the Alexander, of Greenock, Robert John master (Steward being the reputed merchant in the Plantation with tobacco from Patuxen in Maryland.

22 Oct.-Mayflower, of Boston, 50 tons, James Scott master, v

tobacco from Maryland.

The David, of Largs, 60 tons, Robert Row master, from Virginia; The James, of Glasgow, 170 tons, John Lile master, for Maryla

9 Nov.—
Mr. Perry

| Charles, of Glasgow, 70 tons, Robert Maclair master; and The John, of Eyre [Ayr], David Ferguson master, 80 tons
| With tobacco from Maryland and Virginia.

9 Dec.—Elizabeth, of Glasgow, 100 tons, Robert Sinclair, v Scotch goods for Virginia.

29 Dec.—A brigantine, of Larne, 40 tons, Joseph Vallis mas from Maryland, and the Alexander, of Greenock, 200 tons, Virginia.

Mem.—John Mackgoone loaded tobacco in Virginia, De Ferguson in Maryland.

[Probably produced in Committee this day.]

1696-7.

No. 1115.

(w.) 1 March.—Capt. Browne's Paper, as follows:—That in the year 1692 a Dutch ship from Amsterdam was in Delaware Bay. One Arnaldus Delagrange came in her, as I was informed, with between £2,000 and £3,000 in goods, and transferred the same into Maryland, and sold the same at the house of one Capt. Nicholson, in Cecil county in Maryland, and purchased about, as I was informed, 1,000 hogsheads. Myself, keeping store in the aforesaid county, was much prejudiced by the said Arnaldus Delagrange, as I believe, by his unfair and unjust trade.

(signed) Peregrine Browne.

January the 13th, 1696-7.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book].

(x.) 1 March 1696-7.—Several instances of an illegal trade and undue practices at Penusylvania, Carolina, and East and West Jerseys, abstracted out of the several letters to the Commissioners

of his Majesty's Customs, vizt.:-

Carolina.—Copies of certain presentments from the Commissioners of the Customs to the Lords of the Treasury since the year 1678, complaining of misdemeanours and disorders and the obstruction given the officers in Carolina.

Pennsylvania.—Letter of the 27 July 1685, from Mr. Dyre, complaining that several vessels carried away tobacco and

imported goods illegally.

East Jersey.—The said Dyre, in his letter of the 30th June 1685, complains of an illegal trade and of injustice in the Courts, being imprisoned and damages given against him.

East Jersey.—Mr. Mein, in his letter of 31 Aug. 1686, informs of many prohibited goods imported at East Jersey, the Governor

being a Scotchman.

Carolina.—Mr. Muschamp, in his letter of the 11 April 1687, informs of his having a trial wherein he was cast, it being preteuded that their charter gives them liberty of trading with Scotland and Ireland.

Pennsylvania.—Mr. Mein, in his letter of the 30 May 1687, complains that the officers could have no assistance from the

Government of Pennsylvania.

Carolina.—Francis Noble, in the year 1687, complains of an illegal trade there, and of the partiality of their Courts and Jury and

great discouragements put upon him in doing his duty.

Pennsylvania.—James Walliam, in his letter of the 28 June 1689, informs of the mean value of tobacco; that there were then several thousand hogsheads of tobacco in Pennsylvania and the territories thereof. Informs of his seizure of goods brought to Pennsylvania by Frenchmen, who obtained a special court, where he was forced to trial, and by the jury cast with costs of suit, without the benefit of appeal. Informs also of two vessels gone from thence without clearing.

West Jersey.—Edward Huntoke, in his letter of the 26 November 1695, informs of a ship arrived at West Jersey with prohibited

goods from Scotland, which was cleared on security.

Pennsylvania.—Thomas Meeche, in his letter of the 24 September 1695, to Governor Nicholson at Maryland, complains of injustice at the Court in Delaware, and of his Majesty's being defrauded of his dues there.

West Jersey.—The said Thomas Meeche, in his letter of the 10 November 1695 to the said Governor Nicholson, complains of Governor Hamilton, who sat judge in the Court of Common

Pleas at Burlington in West Jersey, denied a sworn jury for the trial of a vessel which brought goods from Scotland with factockets, denying the said Meeche's power and taking it out of hands. Informs of 300 hogsheads of tobacco carried from Pennsylvania for Scotland.

Pennsylvania, West Jersey.—The said Meeche, in his journal the 30 November 1695, informs of an illegal trade, and the gre discouragement he met with at Pennsylvania, not having justi

done him there nor in West Jersey.

Carolina.—Peter Jacob Guerard, in his letter of the 21 May 160 informs of his seizure of a vessel from New York for bei unduly navigated, and that the judge would not enter judgmen but removed the matter before the Governor and Council, we took security and discharged the said vessel, to the said Guerar discouragement, adding that the Governor doth obstruct a perplex all persons employed by the Commissioners.

Pennsylvania and Scotland.—Two letters of the 5th July a 2nd August 1696 from Mr. Prowse, Agent in Scotland, info of a ship arrived there from Pennsylvania, and of another sl

sailed from Scotland for Pennsylvania.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

(y.) 1 March.—Copy of the Act made by Mr. Nicholson, vizt.:-In Maryland.

An Act made in Annapolis, Anno 1695, intituled An Act imposite the duty of ten per centum upon all European commoditions.

exported out of this Province.

Be it Enacted by the King's Most Excellent Majesty, by and wi the advice and consent of this present General Assembly, a by the authority of the same, That, from and after the end the present Assembly, all goods, wares, and merchandize, of t growth and production or manufacture of Europe, which sh be imported into this province and exported again out of t same, shall before such exportation be duly and fairly enter with the naval officer within whose district the same sh happen to be shipped off or exported, and shall pay to t said naval officer, or give good caution for the payment the same, [the] sum of ten pounds for every hundred poun value of goods and merchandize, according to the true and reinvoice thereof sent out of England, or, in default there according to the real and intrinsic value thereof in this proving so to be exported, and so after the same rate for a greater lesser quantity of goods, except that the goods, wares, a merchandize of Europe be the proper goods of any of the go people or inhabitants of this province, and by them exported of of this province, bona fide, without fraud; provided always tl any such person or persons, inhabitants of this province, th shall at any time hereafter export out of this province any of t goods, wares and merchandize of Europe, do, before his or th shipping off of such commodities aforesaid, make oath upon t Holy Evangelists that such goods and merchandize so by him them shipped off to be exported or to be any ways carried out this province either by land or by water are the proper goo wares and merchandize of him or them that shall export or car away the same; and that the same oath shall be taken before the naval officer of such district, who hath full power a authority given unto him by this Act to take and exact same in the form following, viz.—I, A.B., do swear that

several goods and merchandize which I have now entered with the naval officer are by me intended to be exported out of this province upon my own proper risk and adventure, and that the same goods and merchandize are my own proper goods, and that no other person or persons whatsoever have any interest or right in the same; but the same is by me entered and exported bona fide without fraud, covin, or any deceit, but according to the true meaning and intention of the Act of Assembly in that behalf made. So help me God.

And be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, by and with the advice and consent aforesaid, that all masters of ships or other vessels coming into this province, or into any of the ports, rivers, creeks, or harbours thereof, with their said ships or other vessels, shall, at his or their entry of such ships or vessels with the naval officer in that district wherein such entry shall be made, make oath before the said naval officer whether he has imported any goods, wares, or merchandize in his ship or vessel into this province which are to be exported out of this province, and which were shipped on board his ship for Pennsylvania or any

other parts.

And be it enacted further, by the authority aforesaid, and by and with the consent and advice aforesaid, that all and every person or persons whatsoever, as well the inhabitants of this province as others, that shall export or carry out of this province, either by land or water, any goods, wares, or merchandize of the growth and production or manufacture of Europe that shall be imported into this province, and shall not, before his or their shipping off or carrying away of the same, make a fair and legal entry thereof with the naval officer of such district where the same goods and merchandize shall happen to be shipped off or carried away out of this province, either by land or water, bona fide, without fraud, according to the true meaning and intent of this Act, and, in case that such transporter or transporters of the wares or commodities aforesaid are not any of the inhabitants or good people of this province, shall pay or cause to be paid to his sacred Majesty or his successors, to the use in this present Act hereafter mentioned, the several rates and duties before in this Act set forth and expressed, that is to say, the sum of ten pounds for the value of every hundred pounds, according to the true and real invoice thereof sent out of England, and, in default thereof, according to the real and intrinsic value thereof in this province, and so proportionable for a greater or lesser sum, shall forfeit all such goods, wares, and merchandize, or the full value thereof, so shipped off or carried away, or which shall be attempted to be shipped off or carried away, either by land or water, out of this province, and not duly and fairly entered with the naval officer, and the duty thereof paid according to the true meaning of this Act, except as is before excepted, the one half to our Sovereign Lord the King or his successors for the use of this province, the other half thereof to the informer, or him or them that shall seize, sue, or inform for the same, to be recovered in any Court of Record within this province, wherein no essoin. protection, or wager at law to be allowed. Provided always that it shall and may be lawful to all such ships or vessels, coming into and trading in Potomac river, to transport their goods and merchandize from one side of the said river to the other, as they shall think fit, without paying the duty aforesaid in this Act

1696-7. No. 1115.

contained, and not elsewhere, they making due entry there aforesaid, anything in this Act before mentioned to the con

notwithstanding.

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, by and the advice and consent aforesaid, that the several naval office the several and respective restricts [districts] within this proposed shall yearly, and every year during the continuation of this account for and pay to the public treasurers of this proving the time being all such sum or sums of money as shall be by or them collected and gathered by force and virtue of this And the said treasurers are hereby enjoined to render accounts thereof to the General Assembly of this province, by them disposed of to such uses, ends and purposes, for public good and utility of the same, as to them shall seem and convenient.

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, and be with the advice and consent aforesaid, that every naval of [who] shall make such entries as aforesaid shall, for every entry by him or them made, have, demand, and receive of person or persons that shall require such entries to be made sum of two shillings and sixpence sterling, and no more.

Act to endure and continue for three years, or to the endure and continue for three years, or to the endure and continue for three years.

next General Assembly, which shall first happen.

[Delivered in this day. Com. Book.]

(z.) 4 March 1696-7.—Copy of an Act made by Gov Nicholson in Maryland, delivered in by Mr. Penn, as follow In Maryland.

An Act made at Annapolis, Anno 1695, intituled an Act for imposition of four pence per gallon on liquors imported

this Province.

For raising money for building and repairing Court-house schools, Bridewells, and such public services, it is by this pr General Assembly thought that no greater expedient be found than by assessing an imposition of fourpence gallon on all such liquors as shall be imported into this pro (liquors from England only excepted); wherefore we, Majesty's most dutiful and loyal subjects, the delegates burgesses of this present General Assembly, do humbly that it may be enacted, and be it enacted by the King's Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of present General Assembly and by the authority of the that, from and after the publication hereof, all masters of and other vessels that shall import any liquors into this pro shall pay unto his Majesty's naval officers for the time l for all and every gallon of liquor imported into this pro as aforesaid.

And be it enacted, by the authority aforesaid, by and wit advice and consent aforesaid, that all ships or other v which are built in this province before the making of the fe Act of Assembly, intituled an Act for the imposition of four per gallon on liquors imported into this province, and are wholly and solely belonging to the inhabitants the shall pay to his Majesty's naval officers for the time bein sum of twopence per gallon for every gallon of liquor shall be by them imported into this province, and no (liquors from England excepted) and all ships and other very

which have [been], since the making of the aforesaid Act, or which shall at any time hereafter be, built by and wholly and solely belong to, the good people the inhabitants of this province, shall be wholly exempted and acquitted from paying the impost of fourpence per gallon as aforesaid.

1696-7. No. 1115.

And whereas this present General Assembly do find that the money of this province is most, or the greatest part thereof, conveyed into Pennsylvania to purchase beer, rum, or other liquors, to the great discouragement of husbandry and tillage in this province, Be it likewise enacted by the authority aforesaid, by and with the advice and consent aforesaid, that all persons importing beer or other liquors from Pennsylvania into this province by land (except what is hereafter in this Act excepted) shall pay unto his Majesty's naval officers for the time being the sum of six pence per gallon for all beer, and the sum of nine pence per gallon for rum, wine, and other liquors, imported or brought into this province by land from Pennsylvania as aforesaid.

Provided always, and it is hereby declared, that this Act, nor anything therein contained, shall extend to the charging any liquers whatsoever imported by water into this province from Pennsylvania or any other place with more than the imposition of four pence per gallon hereby before imposed, all which duties, arising by such imposition of liquors as afcresaid, shall be collected and gathered by his Majesty's naval officers in their several and respective districts, for which they shall have for

their salary 81. in the hundred and no more.

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, by and with the advice and consent aforesaid, that no such liquors upon which the duty aforesaid is assessed shall be landed or put on shore out of any ship or other vessel which shall import the same, or any other, without due entry thereof made with the officer hereby appointed, upon oath of the person or persons importing the said liquors, for collecting the same, in the port or place where the said liquors shall happen to be imported as aforesaid, or before the duty due and payable for the same be satisfied or secured to be satisfied, and a warrant for the landing thereof be signed by the officer for that purpose appointed, upon pain and peril that all such liquors lauded and put on shore contrary to the true intent and meaning of this Act shall be forfeited and lost, or the full value thereof, one-half to his Majesty or his successors for the use of the free schools of this province, and the other half thereof to the vestry of each parish where such goods shall be landed contrary to this law, to be recovered in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record within this province by action of debt, bill, plaint, or information, wherein no essoin, protection, or wager of law shall be allowed, to be recovered of proprietors, true owners, or importers thereof.

And, for the better encouragement of all masters, merchants, owners, and other persons whatsoever to make due entry and payment of the duties, rates, and impost raised by this Act, in consideration of leakage and all other damages, the said officer is hereby authorised and empowered to make allowance of abatement of twenty gallons in each hundred gallons of all liquors so to be duly entered as aforesaid, and the said officers hereby appointed for collecting and gathering the duties aforesaid shall [be], and are hereby empowered, upon suspicion

of any fraud or deceit of any importer, owner, or proprieto any such liquors concealing and not making due entry of same, to go and enter on board any ship or vessel, or into house or warehouse on shore, and from thence to seize, hon shore, or secure all such liquors for which the duties afore are not duly paid or secured to be paid as aforesaid, and the said officers and their deputies may freely stay and recomboard until the goods are delivered and discharged of the said ship or other vessel, and all officers, as well militarcivil, of this province, and all captains and officers of ships hereby required and enjoined to be aiding and assisting to naval officers in discharge of their duty aforesaid, for all we the said officers and others assisting them shall be saved kept harmless by virtue of this Act.

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, by and the advice and consent aforesaid, that all such naval of shall give good security to the Governor of this provine the time being and shall take their several oaths well faithfully to gather the same impost so arising, and a just faithful account once a year thereof to give and render to public treasurers of this province for the time being authof or the receiving of the said impost, for which they shall for their salary 4l. per cent., who are to be answerable give good bond for the same to be accountable, and rende account to the next meeting of Assembly, who shall have ordering and disposing of the same, except what shall

disposed by this present General Assembly.

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, by and wit advice and consent aforesaid, that every master of a ship or vessel, at the time of his entry of such ship or vessel, wherein liquors shall be imported as aforesaid, shall render upon oat account of the quality and quantity of such liquors afore and that the several respective naval officers within this pro for the time being shall, at the time of their entering such and vessels as aforesaid, take good and sufficient security is Majesty's name for the payment of the imposition afore to such uses and purposes, and in such manner and form, this Act is appointed, and that all persons importing liquors by land from Pennsylvania, and not making due thereof, or concealing the duty hereby imposed, shall be st to the same pains and penalties as for not making due or concealing any liquors imported into this province by as aforesaid.

And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, by and the advice and consent atoresaid, that one Act of Assem this province heretofore made, initialed An Act for the position of fourpence per gallen on liquors imported interpretation province, and every branch thereof, be and the same is the repealed. This Act to endure for three years, or to the the next Assembly, which shall first happen. Endors delivered in by Mr. Penn this day. Com. Book.

(aa) 4 March. Mr. Penn's Proposal for the advancement of in America. The English Empire in America taking those latitudes of Europe which furnish us with forommodities that are either so necessary or agreeable to may, as I humbly conceive, raise them, if due methods taken for it in our own Colonies, as pitch, tar, deals, masts,

flax, iron, potashes, silk, wine, oil, fruit, gums, drugs, copper &c. That such a design is practicable New England and the neighbouring colonies have given us a proof, for pitch, tar, deals, masts, hemp, and flax may be plentifully raised on that continent, and which, for aught I hear, fall not short in geodness of those we have from the Baltie; about which proposals have several times been made, and do, I suppose, now lie before the Lords Commissioners for Trade and Plantations. Good wine has been made as far north-east as the Narragansett Country in Pennsylvania; both Germans and French make wine yearly, white and red, but not in quantity for export. For silk, Virginia gave a sufficient proof in the time of Sir Wm. Bartlett; King Charles II. wore of it. Oil may be produced there, and both doubtless in Carolina to perfection, that being yet more to the southward, as also all those fruits that come from the Straits. For gums and drugs, all the Colonies produce them in good quantities. also potashes to be made upon the continent. produce these commodities in fitting quantities three things are requisite: First, hands; secondly, time before duty be imposed; thirdly, a better discipline in general. We cannot have hands enough at reasonable rates without more encouragement to foreigners, which the late Act of Parliament makes more difficult to get than before; for, instead of advantaging ourselves by the labour of strangers in the Plantations, it suffers none but English to go thither without leave under the Privy Seal, which deserves consideration, since they have now but hands sufficient to raise the present produce of tobacco and sugar, and to feed themselves and the southern Plantations, and they will not be diverted to other things, nor is it fit they should. If there be peace, that part of the army which is disbanded will be well employed in such commodities. For those commodities we fetch from the Baltic, there are in and about New England those that understand their make, so that, if they had some more hands, they would be easily and speedily raised. The French refugees are most skilful in silk, wine, and fruit, and, if sufficiently encouraged, would with a suitable number of bands answer that point. 2. The next thing is time, and that may be made an encouragement to undertakers, if the Government will take care of what is made for seven years at a certain price, and no Custom or duty payable for that time, and more duty laid upon the same commodities of foreign growth and manufacture. 3. Discipline, which is chiefly wanted and would most of all contribute to the advancement of the Colonies, and what I take to be an artificial virtue, for without industry there can be no improvement, and where discipline is loose, industry must fall, of which we have in almost all the Colonies but too plain proof; for, by the study of private gain in officers, and the too great indulgence of licentiousness in the people, very mean improvements have been made in divers parts belonging to the Crown in America, insomuch that the common people live but from hand to mouth, are poor, shabby, and debauched, ill examples to the natives, little comfort to themselves, and not half the benefit they might be to the Coionies and the Crown; all which may be helped by a better discipline, that neither oppresses on the one hand nor indulges licentiousness on the other, but encourages the

people in sobriety and industry.

For the prevention of frauds from the tobacco Colonies to the King

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115. 1696-7 No. 1115.

and Kingdom, it is humbly offered that all masters of ships sho not only, in their account of loading, give the number of th hogsheads, but also the several marks those hogsheads have, cause cured and packed by several planters, and consigned to div hands, and consequently differently marked; also that there three contents, clearings and cockets of each ship, one to come the ship itself, and the other two to be sent to the Commissioners the Customs by such conveyance as the King's Officer shall this most safe, together with a copy of the certificate which received from such master, as given to the said master by Commissioners at his clearing out from England.

Lastly, that the master be bound to the Government, as well the owners, to forfeit 500l. if faulty in navigating to any po

but according to law.

Conclusion. For a better correspondence and commerce among King's provinces upon the Continent, and for the government the northern tract of America, where the people are planted great distance one from another, I humbly offer that the K would be pleased to recommend to them their annual meeting deputies, who best knowing their own and one anoth circumstances, they may adjust all matters in pursuance of s directions to a common benefit. [Read this day. Com. Book

(bb) 8 March 1696-7.—Report from the Commissioners of Customs to the Right Honble. the Lords Committees of Tr

&c., as follows :-

May it please your Lordships,

In obedience to your Lordships' commands, signified to us by y Order of the 4th instant, we do herewith humbly lay be your Lordships copy of the Instructions which we have prepare for the officers now going to the Plantations in America, likewise copies of the Instructions which have been given to Governors in the said Plantations in relation to trade.

Custom House, London, March 1696-7.

Robert Southwel C. Godolphin. Walter Yonge. Sam Clarke. Ben. Overton.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(bb1.) 8 March.—Instructions prepared by the Commissioner the Customs for the officers they are about to send to Plantations in America, as follows:-

Instructions by the Commissioners for managing and causing t levied and collected his Majesty's Customs, Subsidies, and c , Collector of all the r duties in England to duties and impositions arising and growing due to his Majes

by an Act of Parliament made in the year of the reign of King Charles II., intituled An Act for encouragement of the Greenland and Eastland trades, an better securing the Plantation trade.

First.*-Whereas by virtue of the said Act, in pursuance o authority and direction to us given by the Right Honble

^{*} Note in margin: The 12 following Articles are agreeable to the Sta Instructions which have been formerly given by the Commissioners of the Cu to the officers in the Plantations.

Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury, we have deputed you to be Collector of all the rates, duties, and imposi-, you are, by the tions payable to his Majesty at best ways and means you can, to levy and collect the same according to the tenor of the said Act. And, because they are thereby appointed to be collected under such penalties, both to the officers and upon the goods, as for non-payment of or defrauding his Majesty of his Customs in England, we do herewith send you a Book of Rates, wherein are contained the principal laws relating to the management of the Customs in England and the Plantation trade, vizt .- The Act of Tonnage and Poundage, the Act for the encouraging and increasing of shipping and navigation, the Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Customs, the Act for encouragement of trade, the Act for regulating the Plantation trade, and the aforesaid Act for the encouragement of the Eastland and Greenland trade and better securing the Plantation trade. And you are to conform yourself by the several Rules for managing the Customs in England, according to the said laws, in all things wherein the same are practicable with you, and to inform us, or the Commissioners of

on his Majesty's service. 2. You are, before you enter upon this employment, to give bond to his Majesty's use, with one or more sufficient sureties, in the sum of 5001., for the due performance of the same; and you are also to take the usual oath before your Governor for the true and

his Majesty's Customs in England for the time being, what further powers and authorities may be necessary for the carrying

faithful discharge of the said employment.

3. You are to take care that all ships and vessels, which by law

may trade in the Plantations, that shall come to

to ship or take on board any of the enumerated Plantation goods [In margin: Sugar, tobacco, cotton-wool, indigo, ginger, logwood, fustick and other dyeing wood, cocoa nuts], which shall not first have given bond to go to England, Wales, or Berwick, and to no other place, do, before they take in any part of their respective ladings, make entry with you, vizt.: the name of the ship, and master and burthen of the ship, and to what place bound, and that, before clearing, the masters do upon oath make report of the contents of their ladings, both as to quantity and quality, to the best of their knowledge, with the names of the merchants; and, if any ship shall take in any goods chargeable with the duties aforesaid before such entry made, the master thereof is liable to the penalty of 100%, according to the Act for preventing Frauds and the Statute 1 Eliz. cap. 1, contained also in the aforesaid Book of Rates.

4. And, in case any such ship shall take in any goods chargeable with the duties imposed by the aforesaid Act before the duty be paid or agreed for with you, or shall take in any greater quantity of goods than that for which the duty shall be so paid or agreed for, you are to make seizure thereof and proceed against the same

according to law.

5. You are to keep exact account of all moneys by you received, or goods in lieu thereof, and to make perfect entries thereof in a book or books to be kept for that purpose, containing the particulars of all the goods, with the names of the respective persons entering the same, together with the ship's name and master's name, the burthen of each ship, and to what place or places bound, taking 1696-7.

No. 1115.

1696-7. No. 1115.

especial care to inform yourself rightly of the true names of vessels and their masters, because many fallacies have committed and frauds practised by changing their names obtruding fictitious names.

6. You are to use your utmost endeavour to collect the afore duties* in money and not in goods, and what of necessity must take in goods you must endeavour to sell to the advantage, remitting the money by good Bills of Exchange the Receiver-General and Cashier of his Majesty's Custor England for the time being residing in London; and you a send duplicates of all such Bills of Exchange to us or the missioners of the Customs in England for the time being such goods as you cannot dispose of you are to ship at the conveniences and cheapest rates, and consign them to Majesty's warehouse-keeper at the Custom-house in London

the time being.

7. And, because there is no duty imposed by the aforesaid Act, in the 25th year of the reign of King Charles II., upon enumerated goods laden on such ships for which bonds before lading be given to go to England, Wales, or Berwick to no other place, you are to take especial care that none said goods, which shall be pretended for any of the shi bound to England, Wales, or Berwick only, be under that stolen on board ships bound elsewhere, and so evade the chargeable by this Act; to which end it will be necessar only that the Comptroller or Surveyor do frequently visit all and vessels that have not given bond as aforesaid to England, Wales, or Berwick, and to no other place, but where you find it necessary, that you put men on board ships to take an account of all the goods laden on board which account the said Comptroller or Surveyor, before cl any such ship out of port or harbour, is to compare wi entries and warrants, and, if any of the said enumerated shall be found on board for which there are no warra aforesaid, you or they are to seize and prosecute the according to law.

8. You are at the end of every twelve months to make up a account of the whole management of this business, w to be attested by the Comptroller or Surveyor and by th opportunity of shipping sent to us, together with your a current, and the balance of the whole account, either in 1 bills, or goods, directed and consigned as aforesaid, and du thereof by some other ship for fear of miscarriage.

9. And, for the further advantage of his Majesty's servirevenue of Customs in this Kingdom, you are to take ca the laws relating to the Plantation trade, as to so much , be put in e as is to be performed in execution, particularly for all ships or vessels that shall with you from this Kingdom, the dominion of Wales, or Berwick-upon-Tweed, [and] that certificates be produced Governor, or such as he appoints to receive the same some Custom-house of England, Wales, or Berwick, unhands of four or more of us, or the Commissioners

Memorandum in margin :- Those duties in Virginia and Maryland are by Charter from King William and the late Queen, bearing date 8 Feb. in year of their reign, for erecting and maintaining a free school and or

Customs in England for the time being, as also under the hands 1696-7. and seals of the Customer and Comptroller, or their deputies, and the hands of the Commission Collector in each respective No. 1115. port, that such ships or vessels have there given bond according to law. And, for such ships and vessels as shall arrive with you from any other ports or places, which are by law permitted to trade in his Majesty's Plantations, you are to take care that bond be given with one sufficient surety to your Governor, or the person appointed by him to receive the same, according to law, and if, contrary thereunto, any ship or vessel shall lade any of the said enumerated commodities before such certificate produced or bond given as aforesaid, you are to seize and prosecute such ship or vessel according to law.

And you are not to permit any ship or vessel to trade upon any certificate of bond given in Ireland, but in that case, before you suffer her to lade any of the enumerated goods, the master is to give bond with one sufficient surety to your Governor or the person appointed to receive the same as aforesaid. And, although by the Act of Navigation the word Ireland is inserted, in the condition of the bond, with England, Wales, or Berwick, and permission thereby given to bring the Plantation commodities to Ireland as well as to England, Wales, or Berwick, yet by the Act for regulating the Plantation trade (which, being expired, was afterwards revived, and is still in force) the word Ireland is repealed, and the condition of all such bonds must be to bring their respective ladings to England, Wales, or Berwick only.

10. Furthermore, you are to inform yourself whether any of the commodities of the growth, production, or manufacture of Europe are imported to , other than such as shall be brought directly from this Kingdom, the dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, and in such shipping and so navigated as is directed in the aforesaid Act for the encouragement of trade, except wines laden in the Madeiras or Western Islands or Azores, of the growth of either of them, servants or horses shipped or laden in Scotland and Ireland, and all sorts of victuals shipped or laden in Scotland or Ireland, of the growth or production thereof respectively, in ships qualified and navigated as beforesaid. And, if any ship or vessel shall import any other commodities of the growth, production, or manufacture of Europe, of which due proof shall not be made that they were shipped or laden in some port of England, Wales, or Berwick, the same ship or vessel is forfeited, with her guns, tackle, apparel &c., and also all such European commodities, which you are to seize and prosecute according to law.

11. And, for the further prevention of frauds, you are to inform yourself whether any ships or vessels arriving within unlade any commodities whatsoever until the master or commander thereof shall first have made known to the Governor, or whom he appoints for that purpose, the arrival of each ship or vessel, with her name and the name and surname of the master, and hath shown that she is an English built ship, or otherwise qualified and pavigated according to law, such master being obliged to deliver to the said Governor or such other person a true and perfect inventory of the lading, together with the

place or places where such goods were taken in.

12. And whereas we are informed that several ships and vessels do trade in his Majesty's Plantations which by law ought not 1696-7. No. 1115. to trade there, and that several European goods have be imported thither from other places than England, Wales, Berwick, and also that several ships laden with the enumera Plantation goods have gone for Scotland and other pla without first coming to England and actually unlading h and paying his Majesty's duties for the same according to laws of this Kingdom; for prevention thereof for the fut as much as may be, we direct that, with your account whyou are to send us, you transmit to us also an account f time to time of each ship or vessel that has entered and cles with you, according to the form and specimen* which you herewith receive. And you are carefully to examine certificates which shall be brought to your Governor, or person by him appointed for that purpose, of any s having given bonds, for the preventing of false and counter certificates: and, as to such ships and vessels for which be shall be given to your said Governor or other person, you ar use your utmost care and diligence to discover whether condition of the said bonds be performed, and certificates the produced, within the time hereafter limited, on failure who such bonds are to be vigorously prosecuted; and, in all a where bond hath been given, if you can discover and a proof that such ship or vessel has discharged her la contrary to her bond, you are to give us notice for prosecution thereof.

13. We herewith send you copy of an Act† lately passe Scotland for erecting a Company to trade from thence to I Africa, and America, by which you will observe the desthreatening his Majesty's Plantations and the loss likel attend our trade from thence, if the Scotch should, under thereof, settle themselves in any of the said Plantation prevent which, as we have excited your Governor to a vigexecution of the several laws made in England for the set of the Plantation trade, so we do hereby stir you up there requiring from you a diligent observance of the said law our several orders and instructions in pursuance thereof you are to have a more especial eye and regard to such and vessels as may be any ways suspected to come from Scot or be bound thither, it being our resolution to proceed a such officers who shall be any ways guilty of fraud in

kind with the utmost rigour and severity.

14. The better to secure his Majesty's duties, and preven frauds of masters of ships, or any of their seamen, in ru their goods here in England or sending them directly to for parts, you are to demand and take of every master, at his cle with you, an invoice of the contents and quality of his lithe marks and numbers thereof, and the persons' names by laden and to whom consigned, according to the form! he sent, copy of which invoice you are by the first conveyagencies to us, and to send another copy thereof by the ship, under cover sealed and directed to the Collector port to which she pretends to be bound, keeping by your original invoice.

* See next paper.

[†] Note in margin: This Article of instruction is added on occasion of the 10 Act.

I See next paper but one.

15. Herewith you will receive the Act passed the last Session of Parliament, intituled An Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation trade, which you are to put in execution within your district. Particularly you are, in pursuance thereof, to observe* :-

1696-7. No. 1115.

That, from and after the 25 March 1698 no goods or merchandizes whatsoever shall be imported into or exported out of any of his Majesty's Colonies or Plantations, or shall be laden in or carried from one port or place in the said Colonies or Plantations to any other port or place in the said Kingdom of England, dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, in any ship or bottom but what is or shall be of the build of England or Ireland or the said Colonies or Plantations, and wholly owned by the people thereof or any of them, and navigated with the master and three-fourths of the mariners of the said places only, except such ships only as shall be taken prize, and condemnation thereof made in one of the Courts of Admiralty in England, Ireland, or the said Colonies or Plantations, to be navigated by the master and three-fourths of the mariners English or of the said Plantations as aforesaid, and whereof the property doth belong to Englishmen, with an exception also for three years to such foreign-built ships as shall be employed by the Commissioners of his Majesty's Navy for the time being, or upon contract, in bringing only masts, timber, and other naval stores for the King's service from his Majesty's Colonies and Plantations in manner thereby directed.

16.† You are to take security for his Majesty's use, according to the form and penalty of the bond herewith transmitted to you, from the person who is or hereafter shall be appointed by your Governor for the performance of certain things mentioned in the Act for Encouragement of Trade made in the 15th year of the reign of King Charles II., commonly known by the name of the Naval Officer, for the true and faithful performance of his duty, taking notice that, in default thereof, the person or persons neglecting or refusing to give such security is disabled to execute the said office or employment, and that, till such security be given, and the person appointed to such office or employment be approved by us or the Commissioners of the Customs in England for the time being, the Governor is to be answerable for any of the offences, neglects, or misdemeanours

of the person or persons so by him appointed.

17. You are to be diligent in the execution of the powers and authorities given you by the aforesaid Act for visiting and searching of ships and taking their entries, and for seizing and securing or bringing on shore any of the goods prohibited to be imported or exported into or out of any of the said Plantations, or for which any duties are payable or ought to have been paid by any of the Acts therein mentioned, as are provided for the officers of the Customs in England by the Act made in the 14th year of the reign of King Charles II., and also for entering houses or warehouses to search for and seize any such goods, the wharfingers and owners of quays

Note in margin: The following Articles of instructions are added on occasion clate Act for preventing Frauds in the Plantation trade.

Note in margin: This is a particular Article and may be altered as the

missioners shall see occasion.

and wharves, or any lightermen, bargemen, watermen, port or other persons assisting in the conveyance, concealment rescue of any of the said goods, or in the hindering or resista of yourself or any other officers in the performance of you their duties, and the boats, barges, lighters, or other ver employed in the conveyance of such goods, being subject to like pains and penalties as are provided by the aforesaid made in the 14th year of the reign of the said King Charle relation to prohibited or uncustomed goods in this Kingdo and, as the like assistance is to be given to you and the o officers in the execution of your and their offices as by the last-mentioned Act is provided for the officers in England you and they will be thereby subject to the same penalties forfeitures for any corruptions, frauds, connivances, or conc ments, in violation of any of the laws, as any officers of the Cust in England are liable to by virtue of the said last-mentic Act; and, in case you shall be sued or molested for anythe done in the execution of your office, you are to plead the gen issue, giving this Act in evidence, and claim the like privil and advantages as are allowed by law to the officers of Majesty's Customs in England.

18. You are to take notice for your encouragement that all penalties and forfeitures, mentioned in the aforesaid Act preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plants trade, not particularly disposed of, shall be one-third part to use of his Majesty, his heirs and successors, one-third part the Governor of the Colony or Plantation where the off shall be committed, and the other one-third part to such pe or persons as shall sue for the same, to be recovered in an his Majesty's Courts at Westminster or in the Kingdon Ireland, or in the Courts of Admiralty held in his Maje Plantations respectively where such offence shall be commit at the pleasure of the officer or informer, or in any of Plantation belonging to any subject of England; and, where question shall arise concerning the importation or exportation any goods into or out of the said Plantations, the proof is tupon the owner or claimer, and the claimer to be reputed

importer or owner thereof.

19. You are to understand that the payment of the duties imply the Act, made in the 25th year of his Majesty's reign better securing the Plantation trade, upon the commot therein enumerated (that may be transported from one Plation to another for the supply of each other's wants) doth give liberty for carrying them on to any foreign marke Europe, but that, notwithstanding the payment of the duties, none of the said goods shall be shipped or laden on luntil such security shall be given as is required by law to the same to England, Wales, or Berwick, or to some other (Majesty's Plantations, as often as any of the said goods shipped or laden in any of the said Plantatunder the penalty of forfeiture of ship and goods.

20. You are likewise to take notice that all laws, bye-laws, us or customs at the making the aforesaid Act, or which here shall be in practice, or endeavoured or pretended to be in for practice, in any of the said Plantations, which are repugns any of the laws therein mentioned, so far as they do relate to

Plantations or any of them, or which are any ways repugnant to this present Act or any other law hereafter to be made in this Kingdom, so far as it shall relate to and mention the said Plantation, are illegal, null, and void.

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

- 21. Where there shall be reasonable ground of suspicion that the certificates of having given security in England are false, you shall require and take sufficient security for the discharge of the Plantation lading in England, Wales, or Berwick; and, where there shall be cause to suspect that the certificates of discharging the lading of Plantation goods in this Kingdom are false and counterfeit, you are not to cancel or vacate the security given in the Plantations until you shall be informed from us, or the Commissioners of the Customs in England for the time being, that the matter of the said certificate is true; and, if any person or persons shall counterfeit, rase, or falsify any cocket, certificate, return, or permit for any vessel or goods, or shall knowingly or willingly make use thereof, whereby he shall forfeit the sum of 500l., and the cocket, certificate, return, or permit so counterfeited, rased, or falsified be invalid, you shall be very active and industrious in discovering any frauds of this kind, and prosecuting the offenders for the recovery of the said forfeiture.
- 22. You are to take care that in any actions, suits, and informations to be brought or commenced in the Plantation, upon any law or statute concerning his Majesty's duties, or ship or goods to be forfeited by reason of any unlawful importations or exportations, there shall not be any jury but of such only as are natives of England or Ireland, or born in his Majesty's Plantations, upon all which actions, suits, and informations the offences may be laid or alleged to be in any colony, province, precinct, or division of any of the said Plantations where such offences are alleged to be committed, at the pleasure of the officer or informer.
- 23. And, if you shall observe that any place of trust in the courts of law, or what relates to the treasury of the islands, shall not hereafter be in the hands of the native-born subjects of England or Ireland or of the said islands, you are to inform us or the Commissioners of the Customs in England for the time being thereof for redress.
- 24. You are to take care that, in all bonds hereafter to be given in the Plantations in manner directed by the aforesaid laws, the sureties therein named be persons of known residence and ability in the said Plantation for the value mentioned in the bonds, and that the condition of the bonds be within 18 months from the date thereof (the danger of the seas excepted) to produce certificates of having landed and discharged the goods therein mentioned in one of his Majesty's Plantations, or in England, Wales, or Berwick, and such bonds, or copies thereof, being attested under the hand or seal of the Governor or Commander-in-Chief to whom the same was given, shall be in force and allowed of as if the original were produced in Court by the prosecutor.
- 25. If you shall discover that any persons or their assigns, claiming any right or propriety in any lands or tracts of land upon the Continent of America by Charters or Letters Patents, shall at any time hereafter alienate, sell, or dispose of any of the said lands, tracts of land, or proprieties, other than to the natural-born subjects of England, Ireland, Wales, or Berwick, without the

license and consent of his Majesty, his heirs and successo signified by his or their Order in Council first had and obtain you shall give notice thereof to us, or to the Commissioners of I Majesty's Customs in England for the time being. And, if a Governors, nominated and appointed by such persons or proprietors who shall be entitled to make such nomination, should be allowed and approved of by his Majesty, his heirs a successors, and shall not take the oaths enjoined by this or a other Act to be taken by the Governors or Commanders-Chief in other his Majesty's Colonies and Plantations, before the entering upon their respective governments, under the lipenalty his Majesty's Governors and Commanders-in-Chief by the said Acts liable to, you shall likewise inform us or said Commissioners of the Customs in England for the tipeing thereof, to the end the same may be laid before

Majesty, and such persons duly prosecuted.

26. And whereas by the aforesaid Act no ship or vessel shall, from and after the 25 March 1698, be deemed or pass as a ship of build of England, Ireland, Wales, Berwick, Guernsey, Jersey, of any on his Majesty's Plantations in America, so as to qualified to trade to, from, or in any of the said Plantations, w the person or persons claiming property in such ship or ves shall register the same in form and manner as thereby is appoint if any ship or vessel shall be found trading to, from, or in yedistrict after the said 25th March, and not having made proof her build and property as thereby is directed, such ship or ves will be liable to such prosecution and forfeiture as any fore ship (except prizes condemned in the High Court of Admiral would by this law be liable to for trading with those Plantation in which law it is provided that all such ships as have been shall be taken at sea by letters of marque or reprisal, condemnation thereof made in the High Court of Admiralty England as lawful prize, shall be specially registered, mention the capture and condemnation instead of the time and place building, with proof also upon oath that the entire property English, before any such prize shall be allowed the privilege an English built ship according to the meaning of the said A wherein, you will observe, it is further provided that noth therein shall be construed to require the registering any fis boats, hoys, lighters, barges, or any open boats or other ves (though of English or Plantation build) whose navigation confined to the rivers or coasts of the same Plantation or p where they trade respectively, but only of such as cross the: to or from any of the lands, islands, places, or territories in said Act recited, or from one plantation to another. Nor sany ship's name registered be afterwards changed with registering such ship de novo, which by the last clause in aforesaid Act is required to be done upon any transfer property in another port, and delivering up the former certifi to be cancelled, under the same penalties and in the like met therein before directed.

27. You are, in all these aforesaid and what further instruction a from time to time be given you, either by the Lords Commission of the Treasury or the Lord High Treasurer of England for time being, or the Commissioners of the Customs in England the time being, in general rules or directions, and in all to matters and things relating to your employment, faithfully

diligently to demean yourself, and shall not wittingly or willingly suffer to be done, abet, or conceal any act or thing whereby or wherein the aforesaid Acts or either of them, or anything therein contained, may be violated or broken, or wherein his Majesty's duties either in England or the Plantations may be lessened or damaged.

28. You are out of this body of Instructions to give such particular orders and instructions no ways repugnant hereto to any officers acting under you as in your judgment and direction you shall think fit for his Majesty's service. *Endorsed* as read this day. Com. Book.

(bb2.) 8 March 1696.—Form or specimen referred to in Article 12 of preceding.

(bb".) 8 March 1696.—Form of Master's invoice referred to in

Article 14 of preceding. (bb1). 8 March.—Copy of the Commissioners' Letter and Instructions to the Governors of his Majesty's Plantations on occasion of the Scotch Act.-There having been an Act lately passed in Scotland for creeting a Company to trade from thence to India, Africa, and America, wherein many persons have interested themselves by large subscriptions in order to a vigorous carrying on of the trade to and from those parts, which in time may tend to destroy the trade and navigation of England and carry it to Scotland, we did lately, in obedience to an Order of the Right Honble. the Lords Spiritual and Temporal in Parliament assembled, lay before their Lordships in writing what we conceived the proper means to remedy the inconveniences arising by the said Act, and their Lordships, with the Commons assembled in Parliament, having in an Address represented to his Majesty the great advantages thereby granted to this Company, and the obstructions that this Act will unavoidably bring to the general trade of this nation, and particularly that, when the Scotch shall have settled themselves in Plantations in America, our commerce in tobacco, sugar &c. will be utterly lost, for the reasons therein mentioned, we do herewith send you copy of the said Act, with copies of our said Report and of this Address, with his Majesty's Most Gracious Answer to the said Address, as the best means to awaken and excite you to a vigorous execution of the several laws made in England for the security of the Plantation trade, and making this Kingdom the staple both of the commodities of the Plantations and the commodities of other countries and places for the supply of the said Plantations: and, as we hope that the said laws and orders and instructions, which from time to time we have given in pursuance thereof to the several officers employed under us within your governments, will be found sufficient to prevent the inconveniences and mischiefs which may arise from this Act, so we desire you will please more especially at this time to see that all and every of the said officers do strictly pursue their duties, according to the laws of England relating to the Plantations, which they have in charge from us, and our aforesaid orders and instructions, and give you an account of every ship trading within their respective districts, in the form and method prescribed by us. And it will more especially concern you to be very careful that the persons employed under you as naval officers, for the taking of bonds and the giving out and taking in of certificates, be persons of known integrity, and that they

1696 7.

No. 1115.

1696-7.

No. 1115.

be under oath and security for the faithful discharge of the trusts.

On the other hand, that no officer within your governments may pretend ignorance, or be imposed upon in receiving or accepting of forged certificates mentioning security to be taken by th officers of the Customs in some port of England, Wales, o Berwick for the return of the ships with their ladings to thi Kingdom, or other forged certificates for ships discharging their ladings from the Plantations in some port of England, Wales, o Berwick, when in reality no such bonds have been here given nor loadings here discharged, but the ships therein mentioner have gone directly to and from Scotland (of which we have many instances now before us), we shall, for preventing these frauds and abuses hereafter, every three months, or as often a conveyance shall offer, send you an authentic list of every ship or vessel, with the master's name, that has entered in any por of England, Wales, or Berwick for any of his Majesty's Planta tions and given security to return from thence with her lading and a like list of every ship that has discharged her lading from . . and had a certificate thereof from any Custom-house of England, Wales, or Berwick, in order to the vacating the security given with you; and, if any ship pretending to comfrom England with a certificate of giving bond here, or a cer tificate of discharging her lading in some port of this Kingdom for vacating the bond given to you, shall not be found in one o those lists respectively, according to the order and date of such certificate, you may conclude such certificate to be forged o counterfeit, and, as the case shall happen, it will be incumben on you either to prosecute the bond as forfeited, or to seize such ship as shall presume to load or take on board any of th enumerated commodities by colour of such counterfeit certificate unless it may so fall out that a ship shall arrive with a certificate from England before such list comes to your hands, whereby to discover whether it be a true or counterfeit certificate, in which case it will be advisable for you to take good security in th country for her carrying such of the enumerated Plantation goods as she shall there load to some port of England, Wales

We likewise remind you on this occasion of the Clause in the Ac of Navigation, made in the 12th year of the reign of Kin Charles II., which provides that no alien, or person not bor within the King's allegiance or naturalized or made a fre denizen, shall exercise the trade or occupation of a merchant of factor in any of the Plantations, upon pain of forfeiture of all hi goods and chattels, and we desire you to cause a due observance

thereof within your government.

And let the Commanders of his Majesty's ships of war, or othe having Commission from his Majesty, coming to '...', be furnished with copies of the Instructions which we have herete fore purposely prepared and sent you, in pursuance of the sai Act of Navigation, by which Act they are authorized to seiz and bring in as prize all such ships and vessels as shall be four offending contrary thereunto, for whose encouragement on moiety of the forfeitures after condemnation is thereby allotte them and their companies, to be divided and proportione amongst them according to the rules and orders of the sea i case of ships taken prize. And, as by the Acts of Trade an

1696 - 7

No. 1115.

Navigation the Governors of the P!antations are particularly enjoined, by solemn oath and under the penalty of being removed from their respective governments, to do their utmost that all the matters and things therein contained shall be punctually and bonâ fide observed, according to the true intent and meaning thereof, so we expect you will particularly take care that no ships or vessels be permitted to import or export any goods or commodities to or from your government but such as shall be duly qualified and navigated as thereby is directed, having a more particular eye and regard to those places which are most conveniently situated for the Scotch and other illegal traders to load and discharge at, as And withal let none be accepted or taken as security with masters of ships but such as shall be persons of sufficiency and value, and inhabitants on the place.

It remains only further that we remind you of the Act of Trade, made in the 15th year of the reign of King Charles II., which provides that no European goods (except what are therein excepted) shall be imported into any of his Majesty's Plantations but such as shall be laden and shipped in England, Wales, or Berwick, and in ships and vessels qualified and navigated as therein is directed, under the penalty of forfeiture of ship and goods; and every person or persons importing any goods or commodities whatsoever into any of the Plantations is by the same Act to deliver each respective Governor, or the person authorised by him, within 24 hours after such importation, their names and surnames and a true inventory and particular of all such goods, together with the place or places in which the said goods were laden or taken in, under the like penalty and forfeiture.

9 Jan. 1695-6.

ent to—

His Excellency Sir Edmund Andros, Knt., his Majesty's Lieutenant and Governor of his Majesty's Territory of Virginia.

His Excellency Col. Nicholson, Captain-General and Governor of

the Province of Maryland.

The Hon. Sir William Beeston, Knt., his Majesty's Lieut.-Governor and Commander in-Chief of Jamaica and Territories thereof in America.

His Excellency Col. Benjamin Fletcher, Captain-General and

Governor of New York.
The Lieutenant Governor of Nevis, one of his Majesty's Leeward

Caribbee Islands in America.

John Goddard, Esq., his Majesty's Lieut.-Governor and Commander-in-Chief of Bermudas.

William Markham, Esq., Governor of Pennsylvania and counties annexed.

The Governor for the time being of Carolina.

Andrew Hamilton, Esq., Governor of East and West Jersey.

The Hon. William Houghton, Esq., his Majesty's Lieut.-Governor of Massachusetts Bay in New England.

Endorsed as read this day.

(Com. Book).

(bb⁵.) 8 March 1696-7.—Articles of the Standing Instructions to the Governors of his Majesty's Plantations in relation to Trade, as follows:—

1. You are well and truly to inform yourself of the princip laws relating to the Plantation trade, vizt., the Act of Navigatio made in the 12th year of the reign of King Charles II., the Act of Trade made in the 15th year of his said Majesty's reign, the Act for regulating the Plantation Trade made in the 22nd at 23rd years of his said Majesty's reign, and the Act for bette securing the Plantation Trade made in the 25th year of his said Majesty's reign, being contained in a Book of Rates herewith delivered to you for your further information.

2. You are to take notice that by the said Act of Navigatio no goods or commodities whatsoever are to be imported infor exported out of his Majesty's province of Maryland, or an 'other of his Majesty's Colonies or Plantations, in any other ships or vessels whatsoever but in such as do truly and without fraud belong only to the people of England or Ireland, Wales, c Berwick, or are of the build of and belonging to any of h Majesty's Colonies or Plantations, as the proprietors and righ owners thereof, and whereof the master and three-fourths the mariners at least are English, under the penalty of the forfeiture and loss of all the goods and commodities which shall be imported or exported in any other ship or vesse as also of the ship or vessel, and if any goods shall b imported or exported contrary thereunto, you are to causervice to be made thereof, as also of the ship or vesse importing or exporting the same, and direct prosecution thereo as in the said Act is provided. And, for your information a to foreign-built ships, we refer you to the Act made in the 14t. year of his said Majesty's reign for preventing Frauds an regulating Abuses in his Majesty's Customs, being also con tained in the said Book of Rates. And whereas it is require that the master and three-fourths of the mariners at least b English, you are to understand that any of his Majesty subjects of Ireland or the Plantations are to be accounted English, and no others, and that the number of mariners are to b accounted according to what they shall have been during th whole voyage.

3. Whereas by the said Act of Navigation it is further enacted that for every ship or vessel which, from and after th 25th of December, 1660, shall set sail out of or from England Ireland, Wales, or Berwick-upon-Tweed, for any Englis Plantation in America, Asia, or Africa, sufficient bond shall t given, with one surety, to the chief officers of the Custon house of such port or place from whence the said ship sha set sail, to the value of 1,000% if the ship shall be of les burthen than 100 tons, and of the sum of 2,000l. if the ship sha be of greater burthen, that, in case the said ship or vessel sha load any of the commodities therein enumerated at any of th said English Plantations, that the same commodities shall be b the said ship brought to some port of England, Ireland, Wale or to the port or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, and shall ther unload and put on shore the same (the danger of the seas on) excepted), and, for all ships coming from any other port or place to any of the aforesaid Plantations, who by Act are permitte to trade there, that the Governors of such English Plantation shall, before the said ship or vessel be permitted to load on boar any of the said commodities, take bond, in manner and to the valt aforesaid, for each respective ship or vessel, that such ship or vess

shall carry all the aforesaid goods that shall be laden on board 1693-7. the said ship to some other of his Majesty's English Plantations, or to England, Ireland, Wales, or Berwick, and that every ship or vessel which shall load or take on board any of the aforesaid goods until such bond be given to the said Governor, or certificate produced from the officers of any Custom-house of England, Irelan I, Wales, or Berwick, that such bond has been there duly given, shall be forfeited, with her guns, tackle, apparel, and furniture, to be employed and recovered as therein is directed.

You are to take notice that, although by the said Act the word "Ireland" is to be inserted in the condition of the bonds, and permission thereby given to bring the enumerated Plantation commodities to Ireland, as well as to England, Wales, or Berwick, yet by the aforesaid Act for regulating the Plantation trade (which, being expired, is revived by an Act of the present Session of Parliament) the word "Ireland" is to be left out of the condition of such bonds, and you are not to permit any ship or vessel to load any of the enumerated goods upon any certificate of bond having been given in Ireland after the next; but, in that case, before they load any of the said goods, they are to produce certificates of bond given in England, Wales, or Berwick, or to give bond to yourself or the person by you appointed to receive the same, with good security as aforesaid; and, if any ship or vessel shall load or take on board any of the said commodities until such bond given in Maryland, or certificate produced from the officers of some Custom-house of England, Wales, or Berwick, that such bond has been there duly given, the said ship or vessel is forfeited with her guns &c., to be recovered and divided in manner as therein is directed.

4. And whereas by the aforesaid Act made in the 25th year of his said Majesty's reign for better securing the Plantation trade it is enacted that, if any ship or vessel which, by law, may trade in any of his Majesty's Plantations shall come to any of them to ship and take on board any of the aforesaid co amodities, and that bond shall not first be given with one sufficient surety to bring the same to England, Wales, or the town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, and to no other place, and there to unload and put the same on shore, the danger of the seas only excepted, that there shall be answered and paid to his Majesty, his heirs and successors, for so much of the said commodities as shall be laden and put on board such ship or vessel the rates and duties therein mentioned. You are to understand that the payment of the said rates and duties thereby imposed doth not give liberty to carry the said goods to any other place than to some other of His Majesty's Plantations, or to England, Wales, or Berwick, and that, notwithstanding the payment of the said duties, bond must be first given to carry the said goods to some other of his Majesty's Plantations, or to England, Wales, or Berwick, and to no other place.

5. And, for preventing the many frauds, mischiefs, and inconveniences in granting certificates to ships giving in bond in England, Wales, or Berwick, the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs have caused certificates in blank to be sent to the Customer, Comptroller and Collector of each port in this Kingdom, with directions to grant the same as occasion [requires]; and you are to cause all such certificates of bond given in England, Wales, No. 1115.

or Berwick to ships arriving within his Majesty's provine Maryland to be produced, as well to the Collector of Majesty's Customs there for the time being, or his deputy, a the officer by you appointed to receive the same. And better to discover the truth of certificates of bond give Maryland, the said Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs formerly deliver to you a sufficient number of printed certific in blank of several sorts, and you are to give order and take that your secretaries or naval officers do join with the Collector of the Customs, or his deputy for the time being granting the said certificates, and that they do not grant other certificates than those before mentioned, nor them wit the hand and seal of the said Collector or his deputy, or ac of any security upon the bonds given in Maryland without privity and approbation of the said Collector or his deputy, or any certificates in discharge of such bonds without the

approbation.

6. Whereas by the aforesaid Act in the 15th year of his Majesty's reign, and his said Majesty's proclamation purs thereunto of the 24th November in the 27th year of his reig commodities of the growth, production, or manufacture of Ev (except salt for the Fishery of New England and Newfound wines of the growth of the Madeiras or Western Island Azores, servants or horses from Scotland or Ireland, and all of victuals of the growth or production of Scotland or Irel shall be imported into any of his Majesty's Colonies or Ple tions but what shall be bona fide and without fraud lader shipped in England, Wales, or Berwick, and in ships qualified, you are to use your utmost endeavours for the observance thereof, and if, contrary hereunto, any ship or v shall import into Maryland any commodities of the gro production, or manufacture of Europe but what are b excepted, of which due proof shall not be made that the were shipped and laden in some port of England, Wale Berwick, by producing cockets, or certificates under the and seals of the officers of the Customs in such port or where the same were laden, such ship or vessel and good forfeited, and you are to give in charge that the same be s and prosecuted accordingly. And, in order to prevent acceptance of forged cockets, which hath been practised t Majesty's great prejudice, you are to give effectual orders for all such European goods as by the said Act and proclam are to be shipped in England, Wales, or Berwick cocket the same in England, Wales, or Berwick be produced to the Collector of the Customs in Maryland, or to his deputy ther the time being, before the unloading thereof, and you are lik directed to give order that no European goods be landed b warrant from the said collector or his deputy in the presen an officer appointed by him. And, for the better preventi frauds of this kind, you are to take care that, according said Acts of Trade, no ship or vessel do lade or unlade any or commodities whatsoever until the master or comm thereof shall first make known to you, or such officer or person as shall be by you thereunto appointed and author the arrival of the said ship or vessel, with her name, an name and surname of the master, and hath shown that she ship duly navigated and otherwise qualified according to

and hath delivered to you, or such other person, a true and perfect inventory of her lading, together with the place or places in which the said goods were laden and taken into the said ship or vessel, under forfeiture of such ship and goods. You are likewise to cause effectual orders to be given that all masters of ships not trading coastwise from one part of Maryland to another do enter their ships with the Collector of his Majesty's Customs in the said province, or his deputy for the time being, as well as with the naval officer, and also give in their contents upon oath to the said Collector or his deputy.

- 7. And whereas we have been informed that several ships and vessels have carried great quantities of the enumerated Plantation goods from his Majesty's Colonies and Plantations to Holland, Hamburgh, and other places, without first landing the same in England, as the law in that case has provided, to the great prejudice of his Majesty's Customs and the trade and navigation of this Kingdom, for preventing the like frauds for the future, as much as in you lies, you are every year, or oftener as occasion [requires], to send a list of all such ships or vessels as shall lade any of the enumerated Plantation commodities within his Majesty's province of Maryland, or import any European goods, according to the form herewith delivered to you, to the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs in England for the time being, at the Custom-House in London.
- 8. Whereas we have been informed that there are a considerable number of bonds taken in his Majesty's province of Maryland, from masters of ships trading thither, in pursuance of the Act of Navigation, and that counterfeit certificates of landing the Plantation commodities in England have been produced in discharge of some of the said bonds, though the said commodities were carried directly to other parts than England, Wales, or Berwick, as also fictitious certificates of bond pretended to be given in England, Wales, or Berwick, when no such bonds have been there really given; and, moreover, that several forged and counterfeit cockets, alleged to be granted in England, have been accepted in Maryland, by colour whereof great quantities of European goods have been there passed as coming directly from England, Wales, and Berwick, when as in truth, the said goods were shipped and laden elsewhere, to the manifest prejudice of his Majesty's Customs and the trade and navigation of this Kingdom; you are therefore to cause a list of all the bonds taken and that shall be taken in Maryland, between Michaelmas 1679 and Michaelmas 1685 next coming, to be forthwith transmitted to the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs in England for the time being, therein distinguishing which of the said bonds are discharged, and which of them remain uncleared. You are also to cause to be transmitted to the said Commissioners all the original certificates which have been produced in Maryland, during that time, from the several Custom-houses of England, Wales, or Berwick, for the discharge of bonds given in Maryland; and also the original certificates of bond given, or pretended to be given, in the several ports of this Kingdom; together with all the original cockets which have been produced for European goods shipped or pretended to be shipped in the said ports, keeping abstracted lists or accounts thereof, and taking a receipt from the person to whom the same are delivered.

1696 7. No. 1115. 1696 - 7.

No. 1115.

9. And whereas, for the better collection of the several rates and dur imposed by the said Act of the 25th year of his said Majest reign, that whole business is to be ordered and managed, a the several duties thereby imposed are to be caused to be levi by the Commissioners of his Majesty's Customs in England the time being, by and under the authority and direction of Lord Treasurer of England, or Commissioners of the Treasurer for the time being, you are forthwith to require and comma such of your officers as have taken upon them the collection the said rates and duties to cease any further to act therein, a to render to the Commissioners an account of their receipts a payments since the death of the late Collector, together with account of their seizures and other proceedings. And you: to give in charge that they do not hereafter presume to coll his Majesty's duties, or otherwise to concern themselves there without the special appointment and direction of the s Commissioners, and both you and they and all others concern are hereby required to be aiding and assisting to the Collec and other officers appointed or that shall hereafter be appoint by the said Commissioners, by and under the authority a direction of the Lord High Treasurer of England, or Comm sioners of the Treasury for the time being, in putting in execut the several Acts of Parliament before mentioned, together w such orders and instructions as they have, or shall from time time receive, from the said Commissioners. Endorsed as rethis day. Com. Book.

(cc.) 4 March.—Mr. Randolph's Paper to the Committee,

follows:-

May it please your Lordships,

The East India Company in Scotland have the following priviled granted them by the late Scotch Act, under colour whereof the claim a right to trade in his Majesty's English Plantations.

They have liberty [In Margin: Scotch Act p. 4] to plant coloni &c., in and upon places not inhabited, or in and upon place inhabited by consent of the natives and inhabitants there To make [In Margin: p. 5] and conclude treaties of peace a commerce with the Governors and Proprietors, paying only his Majesty of Scotland one hogshead of tobacco yearly, required. And, although they forbid all other Scots than the of their own Company to touch on any Plantation which the shall acquire, on pain of confiscation, yet they allow all su Scots to trade in tobacco and sugar elsewhere (that is to amongst the English), they paying for what they so bring ho such duties as are established in Scotland; by all which it n be presumed how they project to let themselves into the trade his Majesty's Plantations, and it is probable they meditate eitl the purchasing a settlement in West Jersey on the northwa or in one of the three lower counties of Newcastle, Kent, Sussex on the southern shore of Delaware Bay, being no part parcel of the land granted Mr. Penn in his Patent of Pennsylvar or in some one or more islands nigh the Continent; by wh expedient (if acquired) they might in a short time make staple, not only of all sorts of European manufactures, but a of the enumerated Plantation commodities, even as it is at t day practised at the small Dutch island of Carasaw [Curaço For prevention therefore of so great a mischief to England, an A was passed the last Session of Parliament, intituled An Act

preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade, wherein it is enacted [In Margin: page 509] "That all "persons claiming any right or property in any islands or tracts "of land upon the Continent of America shall not hereafter

1696-7. No. 1115.

"sell or dispose of the said lands, &c., other than to the natural"sell or dispose of the said lands, &c., other than to the natural"born subjects of England, Ireland, dominion of Wales, or town
"of Berwick-upon-Tweed, without the licence or consent of his
"Majesty, his heirs and successors, signified by his or their Order
"in Council, first had and obtained;" which indeed puts a
present stop to their selling any of those lands to the Scotch
Company, but there is no penalty upon such who shall sell or
dispose of them without his Majesty's said Order in Council;
"And all Governors [In Margin: Ibid.] nominated and appointed
by any such persons or proprietors who shall be entitled to
"make such nomination shall be allowed and approved of by his
"Majesty, his heirs and successors as aforesaid, and shall take
"the oath enjoined by this or any other Act to be taken by the
"Governors or Commanders in Chief in other his Majesty's

"Governors or Commanders-in-Chief in other his Majesty's "Colonies and Plantations before their entering upon their "respective governments, under the like penalty his Majesty's

" Governors and Commanders-in Chief are liable to."

But, in regard there is likewise no penalty upon those who shall refuse to make such nomination, and that, after his Majesty has approved of such person so nominated to be the Governor, there being no power invested in his Majesty by the said Act either to remove such Governor for misdemeanour or to appoint another in his stead, in case the Proprietor neglect to nominate one, it is then to be feared such Governors, being under no cheek, will exercise an arbitrary power and promote the interest of their proprietors and inhabitants by being favourable to the Scotch trade (as formerly). Besides, this last clause, chiefly intended for suppressing the Scotch trade in the Plantations, does not (as I humbly conceive) answer the proposed end, for there is a great uncertainty in it, in regard the proprietors are altered and changed upon every sale (as those of the Jerseys), having by the said Act liberty to sell and dispose of their lands at their pleasure; and, although some of the proprietors are noblemen and persons of honour (Mr. Penn aforesaid pretending ever to be very zealous for the interest of the present Government),* yet it is not known how soon both his and the other proprieties may fall into the hands of persons less regarding the interest and advantage of the Crown.

The several proprieties are extended above a thousand miles upon the Continent of America. The Scots have a long time tasted the sweetness of the trade to our Plantations, they paying no duties to his Majesty for the goods they import to the Plantations, nor for the tobacco they carry from thence to Scotland directly, so that they can thereby sell their goods at far cheaper rates and give a better price to the inhabitants for their tobacco than the English merchants can do, which is not to be prevented but by a clause to invest the dominion of all those proprieties in his Majesty, in like manner as are the governments of Virginia, Maryland, and Barbadoes, which will in no wise invade or disturb the property the owners and inhabitants have to the soil, and all

^{*} The words in italics are cancelled.

1696-7.

No. 1115.

the profits and advantages arising from it, but the contrary, which is humbly submitted by

Ed. RANDOLPH.

Endorsed as received 8 and read 11 March. Com. Book. (dd). 11 March 1696-7.—An Expedient against fraud in tobacco trade, vizt.:—

Something humbly proposed in order to prevent foul trad

the Plantations and illegal trade with Scotland, &c.

1. That all Masters and Commanders give 2,000*l*. security (or sum as thought fit) in the said Plantations for the just perfort the Act of Navigation.

2. That every Commander do in the said Plantations give a content of his ship's loading, according to the law, which ob the same to be done in England, that is to say, as follows:—

The content of the Ship Mary of London, John Watts Mabound to the Port of London, 10 guns and 16 men, a

200 tons, &c.:—

н	×	Н	-	12	hogsheads	tobacco	shipped	by Henry Hall.
R	×	\mathbf{B}	1	15		22		Rob. Blake.
C	×	G	-	10	"	27	,,	Chas. Gould
				16			23	Lawrence Ma
<	>		ria r	50		. 99	1299	Stephen Dan
<:	$\langle \mathbf{a} \rangle$		-	. 50	27 .1	99.		John William
R	×	S ·	-'	70		59		Rich. Smith.
				223	hogsheads	in all.		

3. That such content as above be made tripartite, all sworn true by the Commander of the said ship and signed by his hall which is to be certified and signed on such contents be Collector of the said Plantation, one of which content perfected, the officer appointed shall deliver to the Comma by which he shall be obliged to enter his ship in England.

4. That one of the said contents, so perfected, shall be sent by convenient ship to the Commissioners of the Customs in Port of London, &c., who shall keep a just account of the in a book, and shall give no certificate of such ship's disciput that the same be noted under such certificate in the book so kept in the Custom-house at London.

5. The third content, so perfected, shall remain and be entered in a book in the said Plantations, with the sec

given for the just discharge of the said ship.

6. And that all Commanders be obliged in some convenient to cause a certificate to be produced from the Commissioners of Customs in London, or other port where he shall discharge he has made a legal discharge of his ship's lading in Englathe Governor of such Plantations; which certificate she entered in the above-said book as a discharge of the securities, or otherwise the said securities to be sued for same; and the said officer, on such penalty as thought converbe obliged to sue the same and to recover the same we composition (always the danger of the seas and enemies excepted).

1696-7.

No. 1115.

7. And, to remove all excuses that may be made of ships wanting securities in such Plantations, such Commander may, by a just letter of credit from his merchants and owners, obtain such in any Plantation, which letters of credit to become obligatory on the persons so giving them in case of fraud with double damages, by which means it will be hard for any person ever to run into irregular trade in the Plantations, which now is daily practised.

8. And, to prevent all false certificates, a severe penalty should be

laid on such as do produce them.

And, for better security from false certificates, the Commissioners
of the Customs shall by all ships send to the Governments of the
said Plantations a list or account of all certificates by them given,
which will with great ease detect the fraudulent trader.

- 10. That such certificates before-mentioned be printed upon paper, with a note or flourish, and cut indenture-wise, and numbered from 1 to 1,000 or more, and that each Plantation have such a number as may be suitable to the ships they yearly lade, of which a book may be kept in the Port of London, showing what numbered certificates are sent to each place, and what numbered certificate each ship carries, by which the officer in the Plantations may examine them.
- 11. The cruisers now allowed in the rivers of Maryland and Virginia to be continued, with further encouragement to detect ships from any place but directly from England, and good encouragement to be given to any seaman or seamen that shall discover any ship to enter herself in the Plantations coming from any place but as aforesaid, the same both for cruising and encouragement to be understood in any of the King's Colonies in America.

Certain ports in England to be assigned for the unlading of tobacco.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(ee.) 15 March 1696-7.—Report of the Commissioners of the Customs to the Lords' Committee, in pursuance of Order of 11 March, as follows:—

To the Right Honble, the Lords Committees appointed to consider the State of the Trade of this Kingdom.

May it please your Lordships,

In obedience to your Lordships' Order of the 11th instant, we do herewith return to your Lordships the Paper delivered in by Mr. Penn, superscribed "An Expedient against fraud in the tobacco trade," with our observations thereupon. And, in obedience to your Lordships' other Order of the same date, we do herewith humbly lay before your Lordships the Instructions to the Governors of the King's Plantations which we have prepared to be laid before his Majesty for his Royal signature by the Right Honble, the Lords Commissioners of his Majesty's Treasury.

Walter Yonge. Robert Southwell. C. Godolphin. Sam. Clarke.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(**e1.) 15 March 1696-7.—Observations of the Commissioners of the Customs on Mr. Penn's Paper superscribed "An Expedient against fraud in the tobacco trade"; vizt.:—

1696-7. No. 1115. 1*. This Act of Navigation, made in the 12th year of reign of King Charles II., makes particular provision for vizt., that sufficient bond shall be given with one surety every ship or vessel trading in the Plantations, to the valuation of the said ship be of less burthen than 100 tons, at 2,000*l*. if she be of greater burthen; and it has been the compractice to take such bonds for ships going from England to Plantations, and for ships coming from any other places to Plantations there to give like bonds pursuant to the said Act the Commissioners' Instructions thereupon.

3, 4, 5. This is sufficiently provided for, as may be see the 12th and 14th Articles in the Instructions to the office the Customs in the Plantations, and the forms and specitherein mentioned, copies whereof were laid before

Lordships at the Commissioners' last attendance.

6. The Governors and officers in the Plantations have instructed by the Commissioners to use their utmost endea to discover whether the conditions of the bonds there have been performed, and certificates thereof produced, with convenient time, and on failure to prosecute such bonds. by a clause prepared in the Bill by the Commissioners passed into an Act the last Session of Parliament, intitule Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in Plantation Trade, it is provided that the sureties in the taken in the Plantations shall be persons of known resi and ability there for the value mentioned in the bonds, an the condition of the bonds shall be within 18 months after date thereof (the danger of the seas excepted) to produce ficate of having landed and discharged the goods therein tioned in one of the Plantations, or in England, Wal Berwick, otherwise such bond to be prosecuted, which i a part of the Governors' and officers' instructions.

7. It is already incumbent by law upon the master of ship or vessel coming to the Plantations from England, bef loads in the said Plantations, to produce certificate of bond in some port of England, Wales, or Berwick to return w lading directly hither, and none but ships coming to any Plantations, and which are by law permitted to trade the at liberty to give bond to the Governor; and those bonds be taken by the Governor from the master, and one sur-surety, with condition to carry the lading to some Majesty's Plantations or to England, Wales, or Berwick, no other place, under the penalty of forfeiture of ever that shall load or take on board any goods before this be And, as generally the owners of ships going from E to the Plantations are here bound with their Masters fo return as aforesaid, so there is no need of letters of from such owners or others for obtaining securities in the tations, which cannot by law be there taken; nor do how it is practicable for ships coming to the Plantation other places to have such letters of credit from Englan that in this case it is far more advisable for the Gover take bonds from responsible persons of known residen ability in the Plantations than to rely on letters of cred

^{*} The corresponding proposals to which these observations respective are written on the opposite page. They appear in Mr. Penn's paper (dd.)

persons of foreign abodes, of whom nothing can in any likelihood be ever recovered, not to mention the frauds and abuses such letters of credit may be subject to by counterfeits, &c.

1696 - 7. No. 1115.

8. The Commissioners of the Customs made provision for this in the Bill which afterwards passed into the aforesaid Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade, wherein it is enacted that, if any person or persons shall counterfeit, rase, or falsify any cocket, certificate, return, or permit for any vessel or goods, or shall knowingly or willingly make use thereof, such person or persons shall forfeit the sum of 5001.; and the Commissioners have given the Governors and officers instructions to be active and industrious in discovering any trauds of this kind and prosecuting the offenders for the recovery of the said penalty.

9 and 10. The Commissioners are in the practice of sending to the several Governors authentic and attested lists of every ship or vessel, with the master's name &c., that has entered in any port of England, Wales, or Berwick for any of his Majesty's Plantations and given security to return from thence with their ladings, and like lists of every ship that has discharged her lading in England and had a certificate thereof from any Custom-house of England, Wales, or Berwick, in order to the vacating of the security given in the Plantations, and have given instructions that, if any ship pretending to come from England with a certificate of giving bond here, or a certificate of discharging her lading in some port of this Kingdom for vacating the bond given in the Plantations, the Governor may conclude such certificate to be forged or counterfeit, and is therefore to seize such vessel and prosecute such bond; and, the Commissioners having long since provided printed forms of these certificates upon paper, which are in use both here in England and in the Plantations, they humbly conceive this as much as is necessary to be done for security from false certificates.

11. The Commissioners did heretofore obtain an Order in Council for employing two small vessels to cruise on the coasts of Virginia, Maryland, and Pennsylvania, with power to the Commanders to examine all ships and vessels trading there, and to inspect their clearing &c., whereof there has been found a very good effect, as they have been informed from Colonel Nicholson, Governor of Maryland; and, upon notice from him of the death of Thomas Meeche, Commander of one of the said sloops, and that he was doubtful whether he should be able to get anyone to undertake that employment, by reason of the general aversion in most people to suppress the illegal trade, for which reason he proposed that some small vessel might be sent from England, the Commissioners did accordingly humbly offer to the Right Honble, the Lords Commissioners of the Treasury that such a vessel, of about 40 tons burthen, might be forthwith dispatched away from hence, with orders to the Commander to follow such instructions as he should from time to time receive from the said Col. Nicholson, or the Governor of Maryland for the time being, to cause a due observance of the several Acts of Parliament made for preventing frauds and abuses in the Plantation Trade, whereby was intended that the said vessel, with a proportionable force of men and guns, might be sent away by the Lords of the Admiralty at the charge of the 1696-7. No. 1115. Navy, instead of a man of war, and that for defraying the chat thereof in the country and the Commander's encouragement that the said Col. Nicholson might be authorised to dispose his Majesty's third part of the forfeitures upon the Acts Trade and Navigation, and the penaltics recovered on forfeitunds, in such manner as he should find most conducing to Majesty's service; and, in case of any want or deficiency there the remainder to be charged on the Navy.

Lastly. This cannot be done without an Act of Parliame and the Commissioners humbly conceive it no ways advisable

propose the doing thereof.

Custom House, London. 13 March 1696.

Endorsed as read this day. Com. Book.

(ee².) 15 March 1696-7.—Draft of Orders and Instructi prepared for the King to the Governors of the Plantations their observance of the Acts of Trade and Navigation, follows:—

Orders and Instructions to our Right Trusty and well-beloved , Governor of our of , in pursuance of several laws relating to the Trade Navigation of this our Kingdom of England, and our Color

and Plantations in America.

1. You shall inform yourself of the principal laws relating to Plantation Trade, vizt., the Act for encouraging and increase of Shipping and Navigation made in the 12th year of the reof our most dearly beloved Uncle of ever blessed memory K Charles the Second; The Act for preventing Frauds regulating Abuses in the Customs made in the 14th year the said King's reign; The Act for encouragement of Tr made in the 15th year of the said King's reign; The Act regulating the Plantation Trade made in the 22nd and 2 years of the said King's reign; The Act for the encouragen of the Eastland and the Greenland Trades and better securing Plantation Trade, made in the 25th year of the said Ki reign; and the Act for preventing Frauds and regulating Abi in the Plantation Trade, made in the 7th and 8th year of reign, all which laws you will herewith receive. And you s take a solemn oath to do your utmost that all the clar matters, and things contained in the before recited Acts Parliament, heretofore passed and now in force, relating to Colonies and Plantations, be punctually and bona fide obser according to the true intent and meaning thereof.

2. And as, by the last recited Act, the officers appointed by Governors for performance of certain things mentioned in aforesaid Act for the encouragement of Trade, commonly kn by the name of the Naval Officer, are to give security to Commissioners of our Customs in England for the time be or such as shall be appointed by them, for our use, for the and faithful performance of their duty, you shall take care the person by you so employed do not only give such see

to our said Commissioners of our Customs, but be approve by them in manner as thereby is enjoined.

3. Whereas, by the said Act of Navigation, no goods or common whatsoever are to be imported into, or exported out of, at our Colonies or Plantations in any other ships or vessels vesever but in such as do truly and without fraud belong on

our people of England or Ireland, or are of the build of, and belonging to, any of our lands, islands, or territories, as the proprietors and right owners thereof, and whereof the master and three-fourths of the mariners, at least, are English, under the penalty of the forfeiture and loss of all the goods and commodities which shall be imported into, or exported out of, any of the said places in any other ship or vessel, as also of the ship or vessel, with her guns, furniture, &c. And whereas, by a clause in the aforesaid Act of Frauds, no foreign built ship, that is to say not built in any of our dominions of Asia, Africa, or America, or other than such as shall bond fide have been bought before the 1st October, 1662, and expressly named in the list thereby appointed to be made of all foreign built ships in all the ports of England, shall enjoy the privilege of a ship belonging to England or Ireland, although owned or manned by English (except such ships only as shall be taken at sea by letters of marque or reprisal, and condemnation made in the Court of Admiralty as lawful prize), but all such ships shall be deemed as aliens' ships and be liable to all duties that aliens' ships are liable to by virtue of the aforesaid Act for the encouraging and increasing of shipping and navigation. And whereas, by a clause in the aforesaid Act for preventing frauds and regulating abuses in the Plantation trade, it is enacted that, after the 25th of March 1698, no goods or merchandise whatsoever shall be imported into and exported out of any of our Colonies or Plantations in Asia, Africa, or America, or shall be laden in or carried from any one port or place in the said Colonies or Plantations to any other port or place in the same our Kingdom of England, dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, in any ship or bottom but what is or shall be of the build of England, or of the build of Ireland, or of the said Colonies or Plantations, and wholly owned by the people thereof, or any of them, and navigated with the master and three-fourths of the mariners of the said places only (except such ships only as shall be taken prize, and condemnation thereof made in one of our Courts of Admiralty in England, Ireland, or the said Colonies or Plantations, to be navigated by the master and three-fourths of the mariners English, or of the said Plantations as aforesaid, and whereof the property doth belong to Englishmen, with an exception for three years of such foreign built ships as shall be employed by the Commissioners of our Navy for the time being, or upon contract with them, in bringing only masts, timber, and other naval stores for our service from our Colonies or Plantations to our Kingdom, to be navigated as aforesaid, and whereof the property does belong to Englishmen), on the pain of forfeiture of ship and goods. And whereas, by another clause in the same Act, for the more effectual prevention of frauds which may be used by colouring foreign ships under English names, it is further enacted that, from and after the 25th of March 1698 no ship or vessel whatsoever shall be deemed or pass as a ship of the build of England, Ireland, Wales, Berwick, Guernsey, Jersey, or any of our Plantations in America, so as to be qualified to trade to, from, or in any of the said Plantations, until the person or persons claiming property in such ship or vessel shall register the same in manner thereby appointed, you shall take care and give in charge that these matters and things be duly observed within our said . . .

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

intent and meaning of the said Acts, and the offences a offenders prosecuted according to the directions thereof. A whereas it is required that the master and three-fourths of the mariners be English, you are to understand that the true into and meaning thereof is that they shall be such during the servoyage, unless in case of sickness, death, or being taken p soners in the voyage, to be proved by the oath of the master other chief officer of the ship, and none but our subject of English.

4. Whereas, by the Act of Navigation, it is further enacted the for every ship or vessel which shall set sail out of or from England, Ireland, Wales, or Berwick upon-Tweed for a English Plantation in America, Asia, or Africa, sufficient bo shall be given with one surety to the chief officers of the Custon of such port or place from whence the said ship shall s sail, to the value of 1,000l. if the ship be of less burthen th 100 tons, and of the sum of 2,000l. if the ship be of great burthen, that in case the said ship or vessel shall load any the commodities therein enumerated, viz. sugar, tobacco, cotto wool, indigo, ginger, fustick or other dyeing wood of the growl production or manufacture of any English Plantation in America Asia, or Africa, at any of the said English Plantations, that t same commodities shall be by the said ship brought to some po of England, Ireland, Wales, or to the port or town of Berwic upon-Tweed, and be there unladen and put on shore, the dang of the seas only excepted; And for all ships coming from a other port or place to any of the aforesaid Plantations, which I this Act are permitted to trade there, that the Governors of suc English Plantations shall, before the said ship or vessel permitted to load on board any of the said commodities, tal bond in manner and to the value aforesaid for each respecti ship or vessel that such ship or vessel shall carry all the aforesa goods that shall be laden on board the said ship to some other our English Plantations, or to England, Ireland, Wales, Berwick; And that every ship or vessel which shall load take on board any of the aforesaid goods, until such bond l given to the said Governor, or certificate produced from the office of any Custom-house of England, Ireland, Wales, or Berwic that such bond hath been there duly given, shall be forfeite with her guns, tackle, apparel and furniture, to be employed at recovered as therein is directed. You are to take notice the though by the said Act the word "Ireland" is to be inserted the condition of the bonds, and permission thereby given to brin the enumerated Plantation commodities to Ireland, as well as England, Wales or Berwick, yet, by the aforesaid Act for regulating the Plantation trade, which being expired was revive and is now in force, the word "Ireland" is to be left out of the condition of such bonds, and you are not to permit any ships of vessels to load any of the enumerated goods upon any certifical of bond having been given in Ireland; But, in that case, before they load any of the said goods, they are to produce certificate of bond given in England, Wales, or Berwick, under the hand and seals of the Customer and Comptroller of our Customs, c their deputies, in such port from whence the respective ships sha come, signed also by four or more of the Commissioners Customs in England, or to give bond to yourself, or the person appointed to receive the same, with good security, as aforesaid. And, if any ship or vessel shall load, or take on board, any of the said commodities until such bond given, or certificate produced, the said ship or vessel is forfeited, with her guns, &c., to be recovered and divided in manner as is therein directed.

1696-7. No. 1115.

- 5. You shall carefully examine all certificates which shall be brought to you of ships giving security in this Kingdom to bring their ladings of Plantation goods hither, as also certificates of having discharged their ladings of Plantation goods in this Kingdom pursuant to their securities; and, where there shall be reasonable ground of suspicion that the certificate of having given security in England is false, in such case you, or the person appointed under you, shall require and take sufficient security for the discharge of the Plantation lading in our Kingdom of England, dominion of Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed. And, where there shall be cause to suspect that the certificates of having discharged the lading of Plantation goods in this our Kingdom is false or counterfeit, you shall not cancel or vacate the security given in the Plantation until you shall be informed from the Commissioners of our Customs in England that the matter of the said certificate is true. And, if any person or persons shall counterfeit, rase, or falsify any such certificate for any vessel or goods, or shall knowingly or willingly make use thereof, you shell prosecute such person for the forfeiture of the sum of 5001., according to a clause in the aforesaid Act for preventing frauds and regulating abuses in the Plantation trade. And, pursuant to the said Act, you shall take care that, in all such bonds to be hereafter given or taken in the Plantation, viz. of , the sureties therein named be persons of known residence and ability there for the value mentioned in the said bond, and that the condition of the said bonds be within eighteen months after the date thereof, the danger of the seas excepted, to produce certificate of having landed and discharged the goods therein mentioned in one of our Plantations, or in our Kingdom of England; otherwise to attest the copy of such bond under your hand and seal, and to cause prosecution thereof.
 - 6. You are to understand that the payment of the rates and duties imposed, by the aforesaid Act for the encouragement of the Eastland and Greenland trades and for the better securing the Plantations trade, on the several Plantation commodities therein enumerated, doth not give liberty to carry the said goods to any other place than to some of our Plantations, or to England, Wales, or Berwick only; and that, notwithstanding the payment of the said duties, bond must be given to carry the said goods to some of our said Plantations, or to England, Wales, or Berwick, and to no other place.

copy thereof by some other ship, or, for want of such opportunit by the same ship, under cover sealed and directed to the sa Commissioners of our Customs in England; and seud anoth copy thereof, in like manner, to the Collector of that port is England for the time being to which such ship shall pretend be bound.

8. Whereas, by the aforesaid Act for the encouragement Trade, no commodities of the growth, production, or manufactur of Europe (except salt for the Fisheries of New England an Newfoundland, wines of the growth of the Madeiras or Wester Islands or Azores, servants and horses from Scotland and Ireland and all sorts of victuals of the growth and production of Scotlan and Ireland) shall be imported into any of our Colonies of Plantations but what shall be, bona fide and without fraud, lade and shipped in England, Wales, or Berwick, and in ships dul qualified, you shall use your utmost endeavour for the du observance thereof; and if, contrary hereunto, any ship or vesse shall import into our of . . . any commodities of the growth, production, or manufacture of Europe (but what are before excepted), of which due proof shall not be made that the same were shipped or laden in some por of England, Wales, or Berwick, by producing cockets of certificates under the hands and seals of the officers of ou Customs in such port or place where the same were laden such ship or vessel and goods will be forfeited, and you are to give in charge that the same be seized and prosecuted accordingly.

9. And, in order to prevent the acceptance of forged cockets or certificates, which hath been practised to our great prejudice, you are to give effectual orders that, for all such European goods as by the said Act are to be shipped and laden in England, Wales, or Berwick, cockets for the same from hence be produced to the Collector or other officers of our Customs in our

before the unlading thereof, and you shall give order that not European goods be landed but by warrant from the said Collector, in the presence of an officer appointed by him. And, for the better prevention of frauds of this kind, you shall take care that, according to the said Act of Trade, no ship or vessel be permitted to lade or unlade any goods or commodities whatsoever until the master or commander thereof shall first have made known to you, or such officer or other person as shall be thereunto authorised and appointed, the arrival of the said ship or vessel, with her name, and the name and surname of her master, and hath shown that she is a ship duly navigated, and otherwise qualified according to law, and hath delivered to you or such other person a true and perfect inventory of her lading, together with the place or places in which the said goods were laden and taken into the said ship or vessel, under forfeiture of such ship and goods.

10. You shall not make or allow of any laws, by-laws, usages, or customs in our of which are repugnant to any of the laws herein-before mentioned, or any of them, so far as they do relate to our said Plantations or any of them, or to any other law here-after to be made in this our Kingdom, so far as such laws shall relate to and mention the said Plantations; but you shall declare all such laws, by-laws, usages

or customs in our of which are any wise repugnant to the before mentioned laws, or to any of them, to be illegal, null, and void, to all intents and purposes whatsoever.

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115.

- 11. You shall be aiding and assisting to the Collector and other officers appointed, or that shall hereafter be appointed, by the Commissioners of our Customs in England, by and under the authority and direction of our Lord High Treasurer of England, or Commissioners of our Treasury in England for the time being, in putting in execution the several Acts of Parliament before mentioned. And you shall cause due prosecution of all such persons as shall any ways hinder or resist any of our said officers of our Customs in the performance of their duty.
- 12. You shall take care that, upon any actions, suits, and informations that shall be brought, commenced, or entered in the said Plantations upon any law or statute concerning our duties, or ships or goods to be forfeited by reason of any unlawful importations or exportations, there be not any jury but of such only as are natives of England or Ireland, or are born in any of our said Plantations.
- 13. If you shall discover that any persons or their assigns, claiming any right or propriety in any islands or tracts of land upon the Continent of America by Charters or Letters Patents, shall, at any time here-after, alienate, sell, or dispose of any of the said islands, tracts of land, or proprieties other than to our natural born subjects of England, Ireland, Wales, or Berwick, without the license or consent of us, our heirs and successors, signified by our or their Order in Council first had and obtained, you shall give notice thereof to us, or to our Lord High Treasurer of England, or Commissioners of our Treasury in England for the time being.
- - 15. And, that there may be no interruption or delay in matters of prosecution and execution of justice in our Courts of Judicature within our of by the death or removal of any of our officers employed therein, until we can be advised thereof and appoint others to succeed in their places, you shall make choice of persons of known loyalty, experience, diligence, and fidelity to be employed for the purposes aforesaid, until you shall have our approbation of them or the nomination of others from hence.

[Read this day. Com. Book.]

(f.) 3 April 1697.—Letter of date from John Sauson to Mr. Relfe as follows:—

Sir,

I am commanded to transmit the enclosed Report to you, to be laid before the Right Honble. the Lords Committees appointed to consider the state of the Trade of this Kingdom, and to desire you to acquaint their Lordships that, if there be occasion for an of this Board to attend them thereupon, the Commissioners will readily give obedience to their Lordships' commands.

I am, Sir,

Your most humble servant, Jno. Sanson.

Custom House, London.

3 April 1697.

Endorsed To Mr. John Relfe.

(f'1) 3 April 1697.—Cover of preceding, addressed to Mr. John Relfe, one of the Clerks at the House of Peers. Endorsed Report touching Mr. Penn's Papers. Endorsed also is the following: "Memorandum, The Committee never met since I received this Packet. Mem.: I showed it to the E. Rochester, who was Chairman."

(f²) 3 April 1697.—Report touching Mr. Penn's Papers as

follows :-

To the Right Honble, the Lords Committees appointed to consider the State of the Trade of this Kingdom.

May it please you Lordships,

At our attendance on your Lordships, the 15th of March last, we received your Lordships' verbal orders to consider a Paper then presented by Mr. Penn, who, taking it back at that time to enlarge upon in some points, did on the 19th send to us the Paper, which is hereunto annexed; and, some part thereof seeming to need explanation, we made a few queries thereupon on the 24th, and received an answer thereto from the said Mr. Penn on the 27th of the same month, copies whereof are hereunte likewise annexed; and, upon consideration of the four particular heads contained in the said Paper, we do agree according to the purport of the two last:—

That the runaways of one province ought not to be protected

in another.

And that the people in the several Colonies, as to their manners, should by the respective magistrates be kept to a stricter discipline.

And whereas the 1st and 2nd Particulars, as they are now

explained, do point at two grievances, namely-

That duties are laid in his Majesty's Province of New York upon all goods of the growth and manufacture of England passing up the New York river to the proprieties of the Jerseys.

And that very heavy duties are exacted in Maryland upon all English goods for but crossing that Colony, when they are consigned to Pennsylvania, and upon all liquors brought to Maryland from Pennsylvania.

We have, as to the first, discussed with Mr. Brooke, Collector of New York, now in London, and he having, in the annexed Paper under his hand, acknowledged that there is a duty of 2 per cent levied on such goods going to the Jerseys, showing the long

continuance of that practice, the authority for it, and necessity 1696 - 7. thereof, we humbly pray your Lordships will please to be

referred thereunto.

But, as to the 10 per cent, and other duties which are alleged to be levied in Maryland by a new Act of Assembly there, we have neither seen that Act, nor do we understand the motives whereon it may be grounded, but are informed that the same lies now, by reference from his Majesty, before the Attorney-General for his opinion; and, it being probable that letters have, on occasion of the said Act, been written from that Colony to the Right Honble, the Lords Commissioners of the Council of Trade, we must likewise humbly pray your Lordships to be referred to what shall be reported to his Majesty by those who are more particularly authorised in a matter of this weight; humbly observing to your Lordships that this complaint is not in the former case for duties levied on goods which go in ships consigned to Pennsylvania, which have free passage up the river, but for such goods as go hence in ships bound to Maryland, and which after go by land carriage to Pennsylvania; and how far the distinct constitution of Colonies may give them title to levy duties upon each other, we are not able to judge; yet the aforesaid Mr. Brooke doth upon the second head say something to the reason of this practice.

We do likewise humbly observe to your Lordships that the laws of Trade and Navigation made in England, which may seem to have any relation to the matters in question, are the Act of Navigation made in the 12th year of the reign of King Charles II., the Act for encouragement of Trade made in the 15th year of his said Majesty's reign, the Act for regulating the Plantation Trade made in the 22nd and 23rd years of his said Majesty's reign, the Act for the encouragement of the Greenland and Eastland Trades and for better securing the Plantation Trade made in the 25th year of his said Majesty's reign, and the Act made in the 7th and 8th years of his present Majesty's reign for preventing Frauds and regulating Abuses in the Plantation Trade; which laws do more particularly provide that no ships but such as are duly qualified, both as to build and navigation, be permitted to import or export any goods or commodities to or from the Plantations. That, for the commodities to or from the Plantations. enumerated Plantation commodities, vizt., tobacco, sugar &c., shipped or laden for England, Wales, or Berwick, bond be given to carry the same to England, Wales, or Berwick, accordingly; and, for such of the said goods as shall be shipped for some other of the Plantations, that bond be in like manner given to carry the same to some other of the Plantations and pay the duties; and no European goods but such as are excepted in the aforesaid Act for Encouragement of Trade are to be imported into any of the Plantations, but from England, Wales, or Berwick only, and ships duly qualified and navigated. All which is humbly

submitted to your Lordships' consideration. Custom House, London. 3 April, 1697.

Ben. Overton. Robert Southwell. Walter Yonge. C. Godolphin.

(ff'3.) Paper presented by Mr. Penn to the Commissioners of Customs, referred to in their above Report and appended thereto, vizt.:-

No. 1115.

1696-7 No. 1115. That the inhabitants of the several Colonies may be du encouraged to improve trade and be upon a better understanding

among themselves, I humbly offer-

First, That no province shall obstruct or clog the passage of ar ship or goods coming from England through it, that a consigned to another, with any Custom or duty; for that plainly incommodes and discourages the King's subjects, and pu them upon shifting for themselves without the need of suc goods, and so far prevents the consumption of our Englis growth and manufacture, and hurts thereby trade and navigation besides that it is unwarrantable and highly impeachable by the law of England.

Secondly, That trade should be free of the growth of the province as it is here in England, from county to county, where the law of Navigation forbid it not; which will cure and preven animosities on that account, and the most industrious will no

be punished for their diligence by the idle.

Thirdly, That one province shall not protect the runaways another, be it for crimes or debts, but that justice shall be don according to the constitution of the several provinces.

Lastly, That the people be under a stricter discipline in the manners, yet cherished, and kindly as well as justly used b their respective magistrates.

(ff4.) Paper appended to above Report, as follows:-

Preamble.

What misunderstandings have been amongst the inhabitants i any of the Colonies and which of the Colonies, whereby trad hath been discouraged?

First.-How or wherein one province hath obstructed or clogge the passage of any ship or goods coming from England throug it, that are consigned to another, with any duty?

What is meant by a freedom of trade of the growth of the province

and what from county to county?

Berkeley Street, 27 March 1697.

To the Queries made me I answer in their order:

1. In East Jersey, though a distinct government, yet ships boun thither have been compelled to New York, and a Custom exacted to the great discouragement of trade, since, where one ship ha been sent to that Province because of that force, ten have bee hindered that would have otherwise been employed to that Next, this Custom has been exacted from such ship by laws made in New York without the consent of the inhabitant of East Jersey, as if New York had a representation of Jersey i that Assembly, though none of the members of it were partie to such an Act of Assembly, which is a disposition of propert that is very irregular and no ways English, and vexes the inhabitants of East Jersey, and more those of West Jersey, the lies quite on another river and bays, and about an hundred mile from that leading to New York and East Jersey; and, which is more tortious and unwarrantable, the Governor and Assembl of Maryland have put a Custom of 10 per cent. upon all Englis goods that are consigned to Pennsylvania in the fleet boun hence to Maryland for tobaccos, to the great discouragement of trade, as well as injustice to the King's subjects in Pennsylvani and territories, for hereby we are destitute of supplies and ar denied the freedom of the King's highways, which in the Charte of that Province the King has provided for himself and subjects Were the goods sold there, the pretence were more allowable, but they are carried to Pennsylvania without opening; vet the impost is 10%, per cent., not only without precedent, but not defensible by law, also 4d. if not 9d. per gallon upon all liquors that are carried out of Pennsylvania to Maryland, and which is so rigorously exacted that passengers, which come that way from Pennsylvania for England with the fleet at its return must pay for what they bring for passage only, and their chests are rifled to search for drink, and of some 10s. demanded, and the least they demand (and will have) is half-a-crown, things never heard of in America, and a severity that cannot but breed bad blood between the people of those Provinces, and which it is praved may be redressed, and that with the first.

If the people of Pennsylvania should deny those of Maryland requisite provisions to supply the ships bound thence home with tobaccos, in retaliation, the consequence would not be well; the reason at bottom for this was our making a law against tobaccos being brought into Delaware from Maryland, the thing we have of late been so much troubled about here at home, which it is our interest to forbid, as well as otherwise our safety, and I have reason to believe agreeable with the Commissioners of the

Customs' desire.

2. What has been said before answers the second Particular inclosed

3. By a free trade from one Colony to another, as here from one county to another, of the growth of the Provinces, I mean that whatever is not made to pay a Custom to the King by the laws of Navigation may be sold without paying any Custom, be it of the growth of the Provinces or English. Provisions have ever gone free from one Colony to another; so have English commodities, one being sometimes better stored than another, but this I leave to the Commissioners of the Customs to please themselves; only the first point is of that moment that, if care be not taken to prevent such unneighbourly doings, trade must suffer, and the King's subjects live ill towards one another, which pray communicate to the Board and quicken the remedy, and you will very much oblige-

Wm. Penn.

An Answer to the Paper given in to the Honble, the Commissioners

of the Customs by Mr. William Penn.

New York has for several years struggled under the burthen of an expensive war against the French of Canada and their Indians, and, without much assistance from its neighbours, has been the barrier to most of the English Plantations on the Continent of America, towards the defraying the charge of which, and supporting his Majesty's Government there, the inhabitants, by Act of General Assembly, have laid a certain duty on all goods coming from England, and granted it to his present Majesty as part of his revenue in that Province. The like was also done by them in the two last reigns, and great care was always taken (for that reason) none of the adjoining Colonies should obstruct the trade of New York, as appears by the following two Articles given for many years past and continued as part of the King's Instructions to every Governor or Collector sent to that Province.

Part of the Instructions to the Governors:

And whereas we are informed that some of the Colonies adjoining to our said Province, under colour of grants, or upon some

1696 - 7.No. 1115. 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1115. other groundless pretences, endeavour to obstruct the trade New York and Albany, you are not to suffer any innovativithin the River of New York, nor any goods to pass up the same but what shall have paid the duties at New York, to the end the chief benefit of that trade may be preserved to the laws of our said Province and to the former practice as well as necessary for the collecting those Customs and oth duties which are to be raised for the support of our Government there.

Part of the Instructions to the Collectors:-

Whereas we are informed that some of the Colonies adjoining our Province of New York, under colour of grants or up some other groundless pretence, endeavour to obstruct trade of New York and Albany, you are not to suffer a innovation within the River of New York, nor any goods pass the same but what shall have paid the duties at N. York, the same being agreeable to the laws of our strovince and to former practice, as well as necessary for collecting those Customs and other duties which are to raised for the support of our Government at New York.

Pursuant to these Instructions, the Jerseys have been and now hindered from a free trade within the River of New York, f the Jerseys being only divided from New York by that Rivas London is from Southwark, should they be allowed a frade contrary to the said Instructions, they would private furnish New York with dutiable goods from thence, and obstruct the trade, ruin the revenue, and defeat the good ends which it was intended. If the clog of a small duty of 2 per connection in the property of their consumption, that would certainly be more apparent New York than any other Plantation; but the contrary evident by the great quantities yearly sent thither and the grantest they find. What is said to be highly impeachable the law of England, I humbly submit to your Honours' be judgment.

To the Second:—If the several Colonies on the Continent of Ame were united in their public expense, laws, and interest, as several counties of England are, the proposal would be agreed to reason; but, where the constitutions of government, laws, interest all jar, as in those Plantations, how should a communication of the staple or principal produce of one provide expected by another. The merchants want returns England, and it is the interest of each province to keep for traders that dwell therein such part of its produce as may supply them, nor is there any animosity caused thereby the

could ever observe.

To the Third:—This is well proposed, but the ways and mean effect it are wanting.

Lastly:—The advice is very good, and to be wished by all ho men it were put in execution.

All which is humbly submitted by your obedient servant-

CHAS. BROOK

1116. Feb. 11.—Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Act—Petitio John Devink and Samuel Watts, on behalf of themselves and otlereditors of George Briggs and Alexander Hume, bankrupts. The

Il give an opportunity to Briggs and Hume and other bankrupts, by indulent conveyances, willing concealments, and other evil practices, defraud their creditors. Pray to be heard by Counsel against it. No. 1116. ead this day and ordered to be heard. L. J., XVI. 95. The Bill was night from the Commons on 22 Dec. Counsel were heard at the r for and against it on 24 Feb. ib. 46, 104. Mr. Serjt. Darnell r Sir Thomas Meeres and Sir E. Smith). If the Counsel for the Bill sent to waiving the last clause I have little to say. Sir Thomas neys. We submit to it if your Lordships think fit. Mr. Serji. right (for Weight and others). We hope you will reject the Bill in reral, it being against natural justice to put one man's business o another man's power. We hope at least to have relief in this 1 against Simkins. Sir Thomas Powys heard against Mr. Serjt. right and the petitioners he is for. It is in the power of one ditors. The Act hath not fully provided for the oath of the debtor bankrupt, and I have a proviso for this. We desire that the number y be inserted as well as the value. Sir Bartholomew Shore heard the merchants of London. Mr. Filmer heard in relation to Sir bert Vyner's creditors. There cannot be any composition for those it are dead, MS, Min. Royal Assent I April following. L. J., VI. 142. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 18, Fol. Ed.] Annexed.

1696-7.

- (a.) 18 Feb. 1696-7.—Petition of Sir Thomas Meres, Sir Edward Smith, Sir Fdward Betenson, William Fenwicke, Esq., and others, creditors of Sir Robert Vyner, deceased. The late Sir Robert Vyner, Knight, of London, owing several thousand pounds, a Commission of Bankrupts was awarded against him, and several proceedings had thereupon. He is since dead, and his son Thomas, his executor, possesses his estate. The Bill, if not amended, will greatly prejudice Petitioners and others, who are creditors by bond of the late Sir Robert, and who prosecute for their debts; and, although it appears to be a general bill, vet it seems to be levelled against Petitioners in particular. The bill is also in several places defective, and may be of a general inconvenience both to debtors and creditors. Pray to be heard by Counsel against the Bill. Signed by above-named, and also by Thomas Bury and Robert Partridge. [Read this day and ordered to be heard. L. J., XVI. 99.
- (b.) 18 Feb.—Petition of Edward Weight, Arthur Marshall and William Buckle, on behalf of themselves and several other creditors of Francis Simkins, late of London, Haberdasher. The Bill tends very much to the prejudice of Petitioners in particular, and will be of very great mischief and inconveniency to trade. Pray to be heard by Counsel against the Bill. [Read this day and ordered to be heard. L. J., XVI. 99.
 - (c.) 22 Feb.—Petition of several Merchants and Traders of London on behalf of themselves and others, being creditors of several persons who have been necessitated to withdraw themselves by reason of losses and misfortunes. Oftentimes, in cases of compositions or agreements between creditors and debtors, some few dissenting creditors, their executors, administrators, guardians or trustees, obstruct such as are reasonable and proposed as well for the benefit of creditors as debtors. Petitioners, from their long experience of trade, conceive the Bill is very necessary to pass into law. Pray to be heard by

1696-7. No. 1116. Counsel in favour of the Bill. Signed by the M (Edw. Clarke), and 84 others. [Read this day and orders be heard. L. J., XVI. 101.]

(d.) 24 Feb .- Petition of Sir Richard Levett, Knight, Alde of the City of London, Phillip Bridal, Esq., William Wit Esq., Samuel Brockenborough, Joshua Sabin, and ot creditors of Sir Robert Vyner, deceased, by bond, on behi themselves and many others, being the majority in number Sir Robert Vyner, being in 1671 by an overruling disabled from satisfying all his creditors, did many years as far as his personal estate extended, partly by money partly by assignments on a patent granted to him by Charl pay above three-quarters of his creditors in full with int and would have paid all of them by sale of his lands not some few obstinate ones, about 12 years since, sued for Commission of Bankrupt against him; and, although, aft expensive prosecution for two or three years, the Commission declared him not proved a bankrupt, and no further proceed have since been had thereupon, yet the title of his from estate was made doubtful and purchasers unwilling to Since then Sir Robert in his lifetime made fair proposals creditors, which were signed by above three-quarters number and value of them who remained unsatisfied, and will directed his lands to be sold for satisfaction of creditors, which his executor has been unable to perfor want of a general compliance to make good the title. Petil and many other creditors have for more than 25 years been from the benefit of many good offers made to them executor, and have had no satisfaction of their debts. few of Sir Robert's creditors, persevering further to en and delay Petitioners and the rest of the creditors, petitioned their Lordships to be heard by Counsel again Bill, designing to clog it with such clauses as may prev passing. Pray to be heard by Counsel in favour of the Signed by above-named Petitioners and also by Eliz. T Edw. Pickering, Thom. Theed, Reb. Cartwright, John 6 Roger Gill, Robert Goodall, and Henry Soames. [Res day and ordered to be heard. MS. Min.]

1117. Feb. 11.—Fanshaw v. Fowlis.—Petition and Appeal of Fanshaw, Esq. Appellant in 1688 brought a Bill in the Excheq administrator to Sir Simon Fanshaw, his uncle, against Dam Robinson, executrix of Sir Lumley Robinson, and Geoffry as representative of Anthony Deane, to recover a debt due on bor Anthony Deane, late of the Inner Temple, Esq., in the penalty of for payment of 2001. Anthony died seized of an estate suffic pay his debts, and particularly of a chattel estate in Suffolk, lease of 200 years, valuable at 4001. a year, which was purcha Sir Lumley, who retained money for payment of creditors. Robinson married Fowlis, and on her death Appellant revived lagainst him as her trustee, but it was dismissed, at the Heari Michaelmas term, both against Fowlis and Cobbe. Appeals agaidismission. Signed by Appellant. Countersigned, Nicho. Co Geo. Ireton. L.J., XVI. 96. [The Cause was heard on 29 when Mr. Dobyns and Mr. Filmer appeared for the Appellant is

nas Powys and Mr. Dodd for the Respondents. The Appeal was seed. L. J., XVI. 138.; MS. Min.]

1696-7.

No. 1117.

. mexed :-

- (a.) 11 March 1696.—Petition of Sir William Foulis, Baronet, one of the Respondents, for further time to answer. *Endorsed* as read this day. L. J., XVI, 119.
- (b.) 20 March 1696.—Answer of Sir William Foulis, Baronet. Knows nothing of the bond mentioned. The Appellant had neither just cause of suit nor proper parties to the Bill in the Court of Exchequer, and the decree was just and equitable. Signed Wm. Foulis. Countersigned Sam. Dodd. Endorsed as brought in this day.
- (c.) 26 March 1697.—Answer of Geoffry Cobbe. The bond was given by Deane in respect of the purchase of a manor in Yorkshire, but, the Earl of Norwich having refused to complete the bargain, his daughter, Lady Katharine Scott, in trust for whom the bond was given, promised to get Sir Simon Fanshawe to return it. He however refused to do so, pretending that he had lent money to Lady Katharine Scott, and assigned it to his tailor. The money left in Dame Ann Robinson's hands was to pay off incumbrances only, and the pretended debt was not mentioned in the schedule to Anthony Deane's will. The Court of Exchequer ordered what was left to be paid to Respondent as executor of his wife, and this has been done. Signed Geoffry Cobbe. Countersigned D. Deane. Endorsed as brought in this day.
- 18. Feb. 11. Cock's Estate Bill [H.L.].—Amended* Draft of an for the vesting and settling part of the estate of William Cock, of chland, in the County of Bucks, Gentleman, in and upon trustees, sold for payment of his debts. Whereas William, son and heir administrator of the personal estate of the late John Cock, citizen draper of London, by lease and release, dated 22 and 23 April 1681, 'eyed to George Holgate, citizen and haberdasher of London, and iam Coke, of Lincoln's Inn, Esq., a messuage and furm, formerly pied by George Cock, or his assigns, certain closes, &c., called le and Great Homesfield, Hallwick, Whitfield, and Whitfield om, containing in all 40 acres, in Wendover, co. Bucks, Tiddenden ing or Tiddenden Grove, containing 18 acres, in Wendover Forens, all other tenements, &c., sometime in the possession of Elizabeth bered or her assigns, situate in the said wood or coppice, certain s in Wendover Forens adjoining Cock's Wood, Cock Crown alias k's Crown or Cock's Grove in Wendover, containing 11 acres and e, and all other lands &c. granted to John Cock or his assigns by liam Adams by a deed of feoffment of 18 June 1658, together with reversions and remainders of all the premises (all which lands &c. e mortgaged to the Hon. Roger North, Esq., for a term of years yet expired, for security of 500%, to have and hold the premises to gate and William Coke and their heirs to the use of William Cock, after his death to the use of Elizabeth, his intended wife (whom he rwards married and who is since dead), for her jointure, and after death to their first son and his heirs male, with remainder over in male to all the after-born sons, and, failing such issue, to the use of grte and Coke for 500 years, in trust for raising 1,5001. for the tions of such daughters as should be of that marriage, and, after

The principal additions, taken from the Annexes below, are shown by italics.

1696-7. No. 1118. raising that sum, then in trust for William Cock and his heirs. remainder to the use of his right heirs for ever, but with power William Cock during his life or by his will to charge the jointure after his said wife's death with 1,500%, for the portion of any you child of the marriage; and whereas Elizabeth is dead, lea issue Timothy, her only son, now about 14, and Elizabeth her daughter, now about 13; and whereas William Cock has spent a 1,1001, towards discharging the several mortgages mentioned in deed of settlement and improving the estate so settled, whereby latter is now worth above 1,100L more than when the settlemen made, while William Cock is utterly disabled to pay off Ursula wright's mortgage and other debts, except either by committing waste (as by the deed he may do) upon the settled lands, or by s part of them; and whereas Timothy and Elizabeth are willing the debts should be discharged by sale rather than by wasting the es and whereas William Cock is content to be debarred from commi waste, and agrees to charge the lands with only 5001., inste 1,500l., as portion for his daughter; The Bill therefore enacts the said lands and reversions &c. be vested in the said William Coh in Joseph King, citizen and haberdasher of London, on trust t the same to the best purchaser and discharge, first Ursula Cartwr mortgage, and then all such other debts of William Cock, not exce two hundred pounds, as he shall direct. All the settled estate sh freed of all waste in future, and William Cock may charge it (the lands now settled on Coke and King to be sold) with 500 no more, as a portion for his daughter Elizabeth. Provided that and King may deduct from the purchase money all reasonable cos charges touching the premises. Saving &c. [Read 1ª this day. XVI. 95. Dropped in the Commons.

Annexed.

(a.) 8 March.—Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Made in mittee 6 March, and reported this day. Com. Book. XVI. 114.]

(b.) 8 March.—Clause added, as an amendment, freeing the from waste in future, &c. The substance of it is given [Agreed to in Committee on 6 March, and reported thi Com. Book. L. J., XVI. 114.]

F. Creswick.—Allegations of Francis Cr Feb. 15. against John Farrington, late Marshal of the King's Bench Creswick became a prisoner in the King's Bench Prison on 1692, and, to obtain the liberty of the Rules, entered with two into a bond and judgment for some thousands of pounds to Fari or his son, into which bond Farrington caused to be foisted a cla Creswick's payment of 10s. a week for chamber-rent, contrary agreement or knowledge, and notwithstanding the fact that C lodged in the Rules and not in the prison. Creswick paid all and chamber-rent to Farrington, as appears by his Account, an a whereof was made in the King's Bench Court; but Farrington, theless, would often confine him close to prison, and, before ad him to the Rules again, would extort bonds, &c. from him to th of above 250l., promising not to put them in suit again, while all t he never pretended that anything was due to him, and never gave C any account, nor was ever troubled or complained of by Cre creditors. Creswick being about to be removed by one of his c to the Fleet Prison on 30 Oct. 1695, Farrington required him security to remove himself back to the King's Bench Prison, and

al, charged him with two executions, one of 2001, and the other of which he had extorted from him, and he now lies in the Fleet in so charged, while Farrington refuses to deliver up the security bonds, &c., and threatens to put them in suit. [Read this day. red that the Petitioner be heard on the 19th inst., if he please. No to be issued. MS. Min. Nothing further recorded. No entry J.

1696 - 7.No. 1119

nexed.

(a.) 15 Feb.—Account between Creswick and Farrington, referred to in preceding, showing a surplus of 25s, due from Farrington.

(b.) Cover of preceding, addressed "For the Lord Marquis of Normanby." Endorsed: Creswick Papers.

15 Feb.—Fallows' Estate Act.—Amended Draft of An Act to e William Fallows, an Infant, to sell an Estate in the County of ter, to pay debts secured by mortgages. The only Amendment is nit to 100%, the overplus payable to Alice Fallows, in the last clause two. No Amendment in the Commons. Com. Book, 25 Feb. d la this day; Royal Assent I April. L. J., XVI. 97, 142. 9 W. 2. 41 in Long Cal.

mexed.

(a.) 25 Feb.--Engrossed certificate of consent to the Bill, signed by the following: Mary Fallows, William Tench, Rebecca Tench, Elizabeth Fallows, William Fallows, Alice Fallows, John Bradshaw, and Alice Bradshaw. Dated 4 Jan. and read in Committee this day. Com. Book.]

Feb. 16.—Silks (Persia and East Indies) Prohibition Bill. tion of the Churchwarden, Overseers of the Poor, and ancient inhaits of the hamlet of Bethnal Green in the parish of Stepney, in the nty of Middlesex, setting forth as follows :--" That the poor of our let are grown extreme numerous amongst us, in regard many weavers others employed in and about the silk and silk and woollen manuwe have entered themselves into his Majesty's service, as well by sea nd, and left their wives and families a great charge upon us. That weaving trade, and several other trades depending thereupon, are in unner extinguished amongst us, which formerly used to be the chief stainers and supporters of the necessities of our poor, the importing rought silks, Bengals, and printed and stained calicoes from India and sia being the chief oceasion thereof. That several of our said inhabis with their families have been driven to such extraordinary extremiby reason of the decay of the weaving trade as to eathorseflesh and ns to support nature. That a great number of weavers now out of k, who formerly used to contribute to the necessity of others, and I their taxes rated upon them, are become very great objects of our city, and ought to be relieved by us, were we in a condition suitable administer relief to them, as their necessities require; and that ral that were lately officers amongst us, through the great decay of le are become chargeable to us, and we, the inhabitants aforesaid, us willing to supply the necessities of the aforesaid poor, have oled our taxes over and above what we formerly used to do. Forsuch, therefore, as the maintenance of our poor, and those other taxes ident to tradesmen amongst us, relies almost wholly upon those sons using and exercising the weaving trade and other trades ending thereupon, we most humbly entreat your Lordships that you ald be pleased to use your utmost endeavours that some ways and ans may be found out this present Session for the encouraging the I weaving trade and other dependencies thereon, and for restraining 1696-7. the importing of the aforesaid wrought silks, Bengals, and printed stained calicoes, so destructive to the said weaving trade, as in y. No. 1121. grave wisdoms shall seem meet." Twelve signatures. [Read this cali

grave wisdoms shall seem meet." Twelve signatures. [Read this c MS. Min. No entry in L. J. The Bill was brought from the Comm on 8 Feb. L. J., XVI. 92.—On 16 Feb. Counsel and mercha were called in and heard.—Sir Thomas Powys heard for the E India Company. If these goods are prohibited here, no question other places will bring them hither and we must pay more for th Mr. Dobyns also heard. Mr. Phipps (for the Wiltshire and Glouces shire clothiers). We wonder at the impudence of the appearing for Bill: The arguments used last year are the same against the Bill now. Mr. Gardiner and Mr. Barrey heard for the Bill. Mr. Sa a silkman, heard for the weavers. There are abundance of trade the several counties of this Kingdom concerned in the manufacture our wool. Capt. Lekens says that trade which does not export manufactures is prejudicial to England and it must be considered l far the East India trade does this. The cloth carried to India is fit for that country: the cloth lies on their hands there and they s this to Persia and so hinder the Turkey trade. Mr. Crosley, a wes of London, a Norwich man, and "another" heard against the MS. Min. L. J., XVI. 99. In Committee on 20 and 22 I Amendments were made extending the operation of the Bill to wrought silks imported and all calicoes printed or stained "out of realm" (MS. Min.). The Commons disagreed to these Amendm (1) as being likely to injure the export trade and (2) as impos additional penalties, which imposition ought to originate in the Ho of Commons. Numerous Conferences took place but the Lords insiupon their Amendments and the Bill was lost. L. J., XVI. 116, 129, 132; C. J., XI. 720, 742, 752, 755, 756.].

Annexed:

(a.) 22 Feb.—Petition of the Gloucestershire Clothiers, in be of themselves and very many others. Petitioners set to w many thousand handicrafts people in making the woollen ma factures of this Kingdom, who thereby get a competent livelih The East India Company have of late years bought up and to the East Indies great quantities of woollen cloth, to the serving many hundred families, as well in this as in o countries, from ruin, who must otherwise have perished, w some time since the trade of woollen cloth was at a stop by great obstruction occasioned by the War, and scarce any bo up by other persons. The said Company yearly continu export great quantities of cloth, whereby Petitioners are ena to employ multitudes of poor people, who otherwise must s still, and thereby be reduced to great necessities. The Bill be of very ill consequence to Petitioners, in regard it cuts of great branch of the Company's trade, and is thereby likely much to diminish, if not put a full stop to, their exportation the woollen manufacture, because, as Petitioners are informe considerable part of these silks and goods are purchased the woollen goods sent out from hence thither. Crave leav betake themselves to their Lordships for succour this yes they did the last. Signed by Daniel Badgen and 97 otl Endorsed as read this day; nothing ordered on it. MS. N. no entry in L. J.

(b.) 5 March 1696-7.—Message from the Commons for a ference on the Bill. L. J., XVI. 112. In extenso.

.) 5 March.—Commons' Reasons for disagreeing to Lords' 1696.7. Amendments. [Communicated at the Conference this day. C. J., XI. 728. In extenso.]
L) 12 March.—Lords' Reasons for insisting on their Amend-

No. 1121.

ments. [Reported this day. L. J., XVI. 121. In extenso.]

2. Feb. 18.—Writ of Summons (E. Albemarle).—Writ of ons to Arnold Joost de Keppel, E. Albemarle. Dated 16 Feb. 7. [Introduced this day. L. J., XVI. 99.]

3. Feb. 19.—Stockley's Estate Act. Engrossed certificate of at to the passing of the Bill, signed by Deborah Stockley, Mary ley, Joseph Cobham, and Thomas Cobham; Dated 8 Feb.

Undersigned also is the consent of John Parker, John Heyes, rd Stockton, and Joseph Cobham to act as trustees. [Produced ead this day in Committee on the Bill. Com. Book. The Bill ead this day in Committee on the Bill. Com. Book. rought from the Commons on 28 Jan., and received the Royal it on 8 March. L. J., XVI. 84, 115; 8 & 9 W. III. c. 23 in Long

nexed :-

(a.) Feb. 19-Account of debts owing by Jeoffrey Stockley, late of Morley, co. Chester, and of the parties to whom the same are owing. [Appended to preceding.]

24. Feb. 22.—Offices (Sale and Purchase) Bill.—Commons' Enment, marked for Amendment,* of an Act to prevent the buying

selling Offices and Places of Trust.

For prevention of Corruption and ill administration in the State offices of Ministers in Courts and places of Trust, who at faithfully to do justice and prefer to places and stations of trust deserving and of abilities and no others; Be it enacted by the 3's most Excellent Majesty &c., That, if any person at any time the first day of June, one thousand, six hundred, ninety-seven, shall ain for or sell any place, employment, office, or offices, ecclesiastical, , or military, or deputation of any place, employments, office, or offices, eserve any part or parcel of the profits of any place, employment, e, or offices to himself or to any other, directly or indirectly, or shall ive, have, or take any money, iee, reward, gratuity, or profit, directly adirectly, by himself or by any other, for any place, employment, e, or offices to himself or to any other, directly or indirectly, shall and forfeit all his and their right that they have had to any e or places, and shall for the future be incapable of enjoying any e of profit or trust whatsoever.

. And be it enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that, if any person persons, from and after the said first day of June, by himself or by ther, directly or indirectly, shall make any such bargains, sales, mises, bonds, or obligations, then that all such bargains, sales, mises, bonds, or obligations shall be void in law to all intents and poses, as if the same had never been made, and the person so nding shall forfeit treble the money given for such place or places, ether with costs of suit, one half to the King, the other half to the ormer, which shall be recovered by action of debt, bill, plaint, or ormation in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, erein no essoign, protection, privilege or wager of law, injunction,

The Amendments in the text, shown by italies in the case of additions, and by the brackets in the case of omissions, are taken from the Paper of Amendments max (c) below). The remaining Amendments appear in the Clauses added ne Bill (Annexes (a) (l) and (c) below).

No. 1124.

or order of restraint, nor any more than one imparlance, shall be an

ways granted or allowed.

iii. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that r person or persons who [have obtained] from and after the said fir. day of June shall obtain the actual possession and enjoyment of an place, employment, office or offices, or part or parcel thercof, shall lose to dispossessed of the same because of any money, fee, reward, gratuit or profit by him or them given, or because of any promise, bone covenant, or assurance by him or them given for the same, anything this Act or any other to the contrary notwithstanding.* Parchmet Collection. [Brought from the Commons this day. L. J., XVI. 102

On Feb. 26 Counsel were heard for the Officers of the City London on their Petition against the Bill. (See No. 1126). See Thomas Powys. There are a great many offices in the City that law says they should not be sold. Sir Bartholomew Shore. Withink there is no reason for this Bill. It will be hard to deprive the gentlemen of their freeholds, and they are not within the Statute Edward VI. Sir Thomas Powys heard to name the several office concerned herein. They offer the Order of the Lord Mayor for the attendance. The House then went into Committee. (Lord Cornwall in the Chair.) A Clause for hereditary offices was agreed to (anne a), and a Proviso offered for the City of London was rejected.

On Feb. 27 The Lord Chief Justice of Common Pleas (for all 1) judges). He desires such a provision as is in the former Act, Ed. V I think I have as good a title as if an Act of Parliament had confirmed This is not my concern only, but also of the Crown. Lord Chief Baron. The Clerks of Assize, if they fall in the circuithey are in our gift. I hope I have done nothing to deser displeasure. This is a concern of a place. I humbly submit, to the Clerks of Assize, it is an office. Mr. Justice Turton, Mr. Justi Powell, and Mr. Baron Powys heard. Sir Tho. Powys (for the May and Court of Aldermen.) The disposing the offices tends to a public benefit which is for the honour of the Kingdom. These are not offic but employments which the Bill reaches. Sir Bartho. Shore. hope this may continue as it has done for 300 years last past.

On March 1.—The Clause in the Act of Edward VI. for exempting the judges, and the Act R. II. 12 against selling offices, were real The L. Chief Justice Common Pieus was heard as to an equivaler and their keeping the places in their own execution, and a Clause w offered for an exception to the judges. After debate the Proviso w

inserted (annex b.)

On March 4.—A Clause offered for the City of London was rejected a Proviso concerning offices of inheritance agreed to (annex a) and t

other Amendments (annex e) were made. (MS. Min.)

On March 10.—The Bill was recommitted, and a Clause in relation to deputies of officers was read and agreed to (annex c.) The Bill w reported, and all the Amendments agreed to except the 1st Clav

marked (A), which was rejected. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 118.)

The Bill was returned to the Commons on 11 March. (L. J., X 120.) They disagreed to the three Clauses added by the Lords, and Conference took place on 11 April (ib, 148.) A Report was made but the Prorogation interrupted the consideration of it (ib. 151, 161.

Annexed.

(a) 26 Feb.—Amended draft of Proviso A:—Provided always that this Act or anything therein contained shall not in any w.

^{*} Here are noted to follow, in Annex (e) below, the three Provisos A, B, and

extend to any office or offices, place or places of trust, which are now of inheritance, whereof any person or persons is or shall be seized of any estate of inheritance. [Read and agreed to No. 1124. this day, and on March 4. (MS. Min.) Rejected on March 10, when the Bill was reported after being re-committed. (L. J., XVI. 118.; MS. Min.) It appears among the Amendments disagreed to by the Commons. (C. J., XI. 779.)]

- (b) 1. March-Proviso B:-Provided always and be it enacted by the authority aforesaid that this Act or anything therein contained shall not in any wise extend or be prejudicial or hurtful to any of the Chief Justices of the King's Courts, commonly called the King's Bench or Common Pleas, or to any of the Justices of Assize that now be, or hereafter shall be, but that they and every of them may do in every behalf, touching or concerning any office or offices to be given or granted by them or any of them, as they or any of them might have done before the making of this Act, anything above-mentioned to the contrary in any wise notwithstanding. Noted: agreed to. [Added to the Bill this day. (M.S. Min.)]
- (c) 10 March-Amended Proviso C:- Provided always that, where any office, place, or employment may by law be executed by [any] deputy [or deputies], that in such case this Act or anything therein contained shall not extend to hinder any person having such office, place, or employment from having or receiving the fees and profits thereof [by or from such deputy or deputies or otherwise], so as such deputation be not sold contrary to the true meaning of this Act, nor consideration given for the same other than such salary or allowance as shall be made for the executing thereof. [Added to the Bill this day in Committee on re-commitment. (L. J., XVI. 118.; MS. Min.)]

(d) Engrossment of three last Provisoes.

(e) Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [See text of Bill above and notes thereto.]
(f) Commons' Reasons for disagreeing to Clauses A. B. C. added

by the Lords. (C. J., XI. 779, in extenso; L. J., XVI. 161.)

1125. Feb. 23.—Warburton's Estate Bill [H.L.] Amended Draft of n Act to enable Trustees to sell the Estate of Richard Warburton, lerk, for payment of his debts and raising portions for his children. Vhereas Thomas Warburton, late of Partington, co. Chester, Gent., eing seized of certain lands &c. in Partington, Bollington, Withington lias Lower Withington, Appleton, and Hull in Appleton, of the early value of 1401., settled the same, by lease and release, of 4 and O Sept. 1672, after some particular estates thereof limited to himself nd Ann, his then wife, now long since determined, to the use of his on Richard for life, then to the use of Richard's sons in tail male, and a default of such issue to the use of John, his second son, for life, her mainder to his sons in tail male, with divers other remainders ver in tail which are now extinct, and, for default of such issue, to he use of the said Thomas Warburton in tail male; which said prenises in Appleton and Hull were charged with an annuity or rentharge of 201. a year to John Warburton during his life, to commence rom the death of Ann Warburton, after whose death all the premises Partington and Bollington were also charged with 300l. for the ortions of Jane, Katherine, and Sarah, daughters of Thomas Warburon, to be equally divided among them, with a power reserved to Richard

1696-7.

1696-7. No. 1125.

and John Warburton, when in actual possession of the premises situate in Partington, Bollington, Appleton, and Hull within Appleton, by virtue of the said limitations, to make a jointure not exceeding 50l. a year over and above all issues and reprizes to the future wife of Richard or John, as also to charge the same with 500l. for the use of any younger sons or daughters of either of them; And whereas Richard Warburton, on his marriage with Frances, daughter of George Woodroffe, Esq., by deeds dated 6 and 7 November 1678, settled the premises in Partington, Bollington, Appleton, and Hull within Appleton to the use of himself for life, remainder to Frances for life, to the intent she should receive 501. a year if she survived him, the remainder to their sons in tail male, with other remainders over; And whereas Frances has since died, leaving Thomas, her eldest son, and Richard, Anne, and Mary, their younger children by the marriage; And whereas the charges left on the estate by Thomas Warburton the elder have proved a very great burden on the same, in respect of the restraint by the said settlement, and are much increased by interest and arrears, and will in time, if not discharged, defeat the benefit of the settlements, and the said Richard is thereby, and by other debts contracted by himself, become unable to satisfy the said debts and incumbrances or to maintain and educate his children; the Bill therefore, at the petition of Richard and John Warburton and John Gouldsmith, Esq., surviving trustee under the marriage settlement, enacts that all the said premises in Partington, Bollington, Withington alias Lower Withington, Appleton, and Hull in Appleton, mentioned in the deeds of 4 & 10 Sept. 1672, shall be vested in George Woodroffe, of Poyle, co. Surrey, the said John Gouldsmith, of Namptwich, George Dod, of Highfield, co. Chester, Esquires, John, Earl of Warrington, and John Byron, of Manchester, Gent., in trust, with the consent of Richard Warburton, to sell such part of them as will satisfy the original incumbrances and such debts that immediately affect the premises, and to apply 1,200l. for the benefit of the children of Richard and Frances, vizt., 600l. for the three younger children, share and share alike, and 600l. for Thomas, the eldest son of Richard; the rest of the money raised by the sale to be applied towards paying all such personal debts of Richard to which the premises are not immediately subjected, so far as the residue will extend. Provided that the trustees shall not be charged with any sum other than what they have received, and may deduct in the first place their necessary charges and expenses out of the money raised by the sale. Saving, &c. [Read 18 this day; sent to the Commons on 17 March, and the question that the Bill do pass negatived in that House on 1 April, L. J., XVI. 102, 125.; C. J., XI. 764.]

Annexed:--

(a.) Murch 5. Lords' Amendment to the Bill made this day. It is purely clerical. Com. Book.

1126. Feb. 24.—Offices, &c. (Sale and Purchase) Bill. Petition of several officers in the City of London, in behalf of themselves and others holding and exercising offices and places within the said city. The said offices and places have been time out of mind disposed and transferred from one to another, and ever since the Statute of Edward VI. have continued to be so, as not being esteemed to be within the purview and intent of that Statute or subject to mischiefs thereby intended to be prevented. By reason thereof Petitioners were encouraged to purchase their places and to advance c unsiderable sums for them, and are admitted to them for so long time only as they honestly and faithfully behave themselves. Petitioners are advised that the Bill, from

e extensiveness of its wording, will debar them from disposing of em as their predecessors have always done, although by age or other disposition they should be rendered incapable of executing them, ay to be heard by Counsel against the Bill. Signed John Harave, Alexr. Dawson, John Cooke, John Stone, James Gibson, Edward nith, Burdett Jodrell, Wm. Constantine, Thos. Leigh, Wm. Man, lward Gilbourne, Nich. Wilmot, George Smith, Rob. Aldersey, John ndrewes, Thos. Emes, Nath. Houlton, James Goulton, Jon. Leman, ichard Richardson, Wm. Lightfoot, Godfrey Woodward, Robt. Concay, Edward Farmiraw, Christopher Berry, Lee Sadleir, John undford, Wm. Paige, Sam. Ongley, Edmund Shepherd. [Read is day. L. J., XVI. 104. Counsel heard on the 26th February. Ib. 25; MS. Min.] (See No. 1124).

Annexed:—

(a.) 27 Feb. 1696-7.—Petition of the Lord Mayor and Aldermen of the City of London. The Bill greatly concerns the government of the City. Pray for a day to be heard by Counsel touching such matters as they have to offer. Signed Goodfellow.

[Road this day and rejected] MS Min a no entry in L.J.]

[Read this day and rejected. MS. Min.; no entry in L.J.]

(a¹.) 26 Feb.—City of London's Proviso:—"Provided always that this Act or anything therein contained shall not extend or be construed to extend to the officers of the City of London or any of them, notwithstanding any matter or thing therein contained to the contrary." Noted: Rejected. [Appended to preceding. Read this day and rejected. MS. Min.]

(b.) Undated.—Petition of several poor orphans of the City of London, on behalf of themselves and others Pray that the Bill may pass without excepting the Lord Mayor and Aldermen, or that Petitioners may lay before the House their grievances by the sales and extravagant allowances (by reason thereof) made to such officers as collect or otherwise manage the revenues given to Petitioners by a late Act for their relief; and that they may have the aid of the House to send for persons, books, and papers, the more plainly to make out the same. Signed by Nath. Herne and 40 others. No endorsement.

1127. Feb. 25 .- Jones v. Jones .- Petition of Hugh Jones, Gent. he House, on 3 April last, ordered Sir Jeffry Shackerley, one of the despondents, to re-convey the estate or tithes to Petitioner, on the atter paying 801. with interest for the same, and to account with Petitioner for the profits of one moiety of the tithes during the life of Lugh Jones, Senior, Petitioner's late father, and for the profits of the whole since his death. (See No. 1010). Petitioner tendered the 80%. nd requested an account, but Sir Jeffry refused to obey the Order, nd has since died, and his son, Peter, under colour of being named one f the executors or trustees, has caused Petitioner and his tenants to be erved with declarations in ejectment out of the Court of Exchequer, to ecover possession of the premises and tithes, and the Court has nade a common rule that Petitioner should enter his appearance o the action in a week or suffer judgment by default. Prays for elief. Endorsed as read this day; nothing done in it. MS. Min.; no ntry in L. J.

Annexed:—
(a) Feb. 25. Order of 3 April 1696, referred to in preceding, and appended thereto. L. J., XV. 727.

1128. Feb. 25. Strode v. Byrt.—Petition of Thomas Byrt. Petitioner brought an action of trespass against Edward Strode, Esqre., of Downeside, Somerset, and Richard Thorne, of the same, his yeoman, for

1696-7. No. 1126. 1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1128. digging coney burrows and laying snares at Mendip, in the same county. where Petitioner and other tenants of the Manor of Shepton Mallet have right of common, and obtained a Judgment, whereupon Mr. Strode brought a Writ of Error in King's Bench, which was decided against him, and Petitioner got 5s. damages to manifest his right, and costs taxed at 47l. Strode then brought another Writ of Error, returnable to the House of Lords, but obtained an Injunction at the same time, which expressly did not extend to the Writ of Error; but when the Record was transcribed and was ready to be sent in, it was stopped by Mr. Strode's Agent serving Mr. Coleman, the Clerk of the Errors, with the Injunction and a sham Order which took no notice of the Writ of Error having been excepted from it, so that that Mr. Coleman does not know what to do. This is a trick to produce delay, and Petitioner prays Mr. Coleman may be ordered to bring in the transcript. Signed Tho: Byrt. On this Petition being read this day, the L. C. Baron was heard. A letter to L. C. Justice of King's Bench to inform himself of this matter and give the House an account, was ordered. No further entry. No entry in L. J.]

Annexed:-

(a) Order of the Court of Exchequer continuing the Injunction, but not as regards the Writ of Error. [Referred to in preceding, and appended thereto.]

1129. Feb. 26. Milson's Estate Act.—Parchment containing certificates of consent of Joan Long and others to the payment of certain sums to Edward Milson for defraying the charges of obtaining the Act. [Read this day in Committee. Com. Book. The Bill was brought from the Commons on 18 Feb.; Royal Assent 8 March. L. J., XVI. 99, 115. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 24 in Long Cal.]

1130. Feb. 27. Bishop of Llandaff's Privilege (Iliffe and Drage).—Petition of William [Beaw], Lord Bishop of Llandaff. Wm. Iliffe and Wm. Drage have forcibly entered upon Petitioner's estate and forced his tenants to pay their rents to them, and refuse to give an account to Petitioner or his agents. Prays their Lordships to take the matter into consideration. [Read this day, and Iliffe and Drage ordered to be attached. L. J., XVI. 107; MS. Min.]

Annexed :-

- (a) 2 Mar. Petition of William Drage.—Petitioner did not know that the Bishop had any title to the estate, and is sorry for his offence, which was done by inadvertency. Prays to be discharged. [Read this day, and Order made for his discharge. L. J., XVI. 109.]
- (b) 6 March. Petition of William Iliffe.—One Wm. Bradgate owing Petitioner a considerable sum, Petitioner upon an outlawry extended an estate about a year and a half since, which was Bradgate's mother's jointure, and which came to Bradgate by her death, for securing his debt, and, Bradgate being indebted to the Bishop, his Lordship about 5 years since extended the estate Bradgate then had in possession; but Bradgate had no title to the estate which Petitioner extended until the death of his mother about 2 years since, so that, if Petitioner has offended the Bishop, it was done inadvertently, and Petitioner begs pardon of his Lordship and of the House. Prays to be discharged without payment of fees. [Read this day and Order made for his discharge, upon payment of fees. L. J., XVI. 113.]

1131. March 1. Trotman's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act to vest certain lands, late of Samuel Trotman, Esquire, deceased, in Barking, East Ham, West Ham, and Woolwich, in the Counties of Kent and Essex, in trustees, to be sold, and to settle other lands in lieu thereof. The Amendments in both Houses are of a formal character. Read 1ª this day; Royal Assent 1 April. L.J., XVI. 107, 142. 9 Will. III. c. 45 in Long Cal.]

Annexed :-

(a) 4 March. Consent of Thos. Phillips, Senior, of Ickford, co. Bucks, Gent, to the passing of the Bill. Dated 23 Feb. 1696-7.
Attested by John Wadman and Wm. Greene, and handwriting acknowledged by J. Hungerford and Alexr. Dontin. [This and the other consents were no doubt produced before the Committee, which reported this day, L. J., XVI. 110; but the Com. Book contains no record of the proceedings.]

(b) 4 March. Consent of Thos. Phillips, Junior, of Ickford, Gent. Dated 24 Feb. 1696-7. Attested by Andrew Symes and Wm.

Greene.

(c) 4 March. Consent of Mary Trotman, wife of Leuthall Trotman, of Bucknell, co. Oxon, Gent. Dated 24 Feb. 1696-7. Attested by Elizabeth Lepper and Wm. Greene.

(d) 4 March. Lords' Amendments to the Bill. [Reported this day. 1. J., XVI. 110.]

1132. March 3. Vellum &c. Act .- Petition of the Governor and Company of White Paper makers of England. For the encouragement of the manufacture of making white writing paper, the Clause annexed, (annex (a) below) was added by the Commons to the Bill, but the insertion of the words ("or printing"), an amendment made on the third reading, renders the clause ineffectual, and endangers the loss of the manufacture, and will greatly lessen the duty to his Majesty intended by the Bill. Pray to be heard. Signed by Paul Docminique, Governor. L. J., XVI. 110. [The Bill was brought from the Commons on 27 Feb. L. J., XVI. 106: On 6 March, before the House went into Committee, Counsel were heard for the white paper makers and for the Stationers, and evidence given that printing paper could only be made with white rags. MS. Min. It was passed without amendment, and received the Royal Assent on 8 March. L. J., XVI. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 7. Fol. Ed. Annexed :-

(a) 3 March. Clause, as amended on third reading. C. J., XI. 716. [Referred to in above Petition and appended thereto.]

(b) 5 March, 1696-7. Petition of Roger Atlee and others (Stationers). The design of the Clause, without the Amendment against which the Company of white paper makers have petitioned, was the ingressing all the white rags of England into the hands of the said Company, who have only six mills now at work, but by that Clause would have ruined about seventy mills now making white paper. Pray to be heard. Signed Roger Atlee, Henry Hatley, Edward Darell, Oliver Elliston, Geo. Littlebury, Wm. Proctor, Alex. Dyer, Thos. Simpson. L. J., XVI. 111.

1133, March 3. Moyle's Estate Bill [II.L.] - Amended Draft of an Act for vesting part of the Estate of Richard Moyle in trustees, to be sold for payment of Debts. Whereas Richard Moyle, of Trevissick, co. Cornwall, Gent., labours under great difficulties and hardships, by reason of many debts contracted by his father Richard, whereby he is

1696-7. No. 1131.

No. 1133.

become chargeable, by means whereof, and by unwarily parting with his estate, and by too strictly settling those lands on his family which otherwise must have gone, at least in great part, to satisfy those debts, and has since been forced to contract debts of his own, which he cannot pay without the help of an Act of Parliament; and whereas, or his marriage with Martha Clobery, a settlement was made by a lease, dated 7 Oct. 27 Car. II., between himself and his mother, Anne Movle. of the one part, and Christopher Clobery, of Bradstone, co. Devon. Esq., and Christopher Savery, of Shilston, co. Devon, Esq., of the other part, and a release dated the next day between the same parties of the first and second parts respectively, and John Clobery, son and heir of the said Christopher Clobery, Nicholas Killiow, son and heir of Richard Killiow, of Lanleak, co. Cornwall, Esq., Richard Harris, of St. Issy, and Samuel Hext, the younger, of St. Austell, co. Cornwall. Gent., of the third part, whereby the lands, &c., of Trevissick Pollmorder alias Nastevall, and Rosevear alias Hallibett, except those parts of the last-named then in lease to one John Paschoe and John Sowdon. were settled on his wife for life for her jointure, and certain other lands, &c., vizt. Hey Heywartha, Heywollas, Tregear Field alias Heyfield, Hendra, Rescheidgean alias Reschudgean, Rosevanion Buscavellck alias Porthmeare, Reserans alias Resorance, all the parts of Rosveare alias Hallibett then in lease to John Paschoe and John Sowdon, and St. Austell Church Town, and also all the said jointure land so limited to his wife after her and his own death, were settled or their sons successively and heirs male, failing whom on Christopher Clobery and Christopher Savery and their heirs, in case the said Martha should be with child at his death, until she should be delivered of such child or die, whichever should first happen, nevertheless in trus for such afterborn son, failing whom, in trust for the next in remainder or reversion, and, if such child or children should be a son or sons then to the use of such after-born son or sons successively and their heirs male, failing whom, to the use of John Clobery, Nicholas Killiow Richard Harris, and Samuel Hext, their executors, &c., for the term of 200 years, upon trust, in case he, the said Richard Moyle, should di without issue male, to raise portions for his daughters, vizt., 1,000 if but one daughter, 750l. apiece if two, and 2,000l. to be divided among them equally if more than two, to be paid one year after marriage o full age, and raised by sale of the said lands, and, after payment of such portions and the reasonable costs of the trustees, or in case he should have no daughters by Martha, or they should die unmarried or unde age, then the remainder of the term to cease, and Christopher Clober and Christopher Savery and their heirs to stand seized of the premise to the only use of himself, his heirs and assigns for ever; the Bil therefore, enacts that all the lands &c., not settled in jointure of Martha, and the reversions and remainders thereof, and all the estate &c of the said Richard Moyle, Christopher Clobery, Christopher Savery John Clobery, Nicholas Killiow, R chard Harris and Samuel Hext their heirs, &c., in the premises shall be settled on Hugh Fortescue, Filleigh, co. Devon, Esq., and the said John Clobery, their heirs an assigns, upon trust to sell the same for payment of the said Richar Moyle's debts. Saving &c.; and that the surplus shall go to the purpose mentioned in the said deed of settlement. Provided that the Act sha not extend to any leases or estates granted by Richard Moyle unde the powers in the said deed. [Read 1ª this day. L. J., XVI. 109: Ser to the Commons 27 March. Ib. 137. No entry respecting it appear in C. J.]

Annexed :-

(a.) 23 March. Lords' Amendment to the Bill. It is purely verbal. [Made this day in Select Committee. Com. Book.]

16 9 6 - 7. No. 1134.

1134. March 4. Leigh v. Stydolph.—Petition and Appeal of Francis Leigh. Thomas Bostock, being possessed of 500l. East India Company's stock, assigned it for valuable considerations to Appellant. After making the assignment Bostock, in order to avoid it, brought a Bill against the Appellant, but a Decree was given in favour of the assignment and the Appellant got the stock. Sigismond Stydolph, having a claim of 2,418l. 18s. 2d. against Bostock's estate, exhibited a Bill against his representatives and against Appellant for the 500l. if not well assigned for valuable considerations, with the result that Lord Chancellor Jeffreys ordered the estate to be sold, and the debt to be paid out of the proceeds. Langhorne and his wife (sister of Thomas Bostock), however, brought a Bill of Review in the case between them and Stydolph, and pending this obtained a rehearing of the case, when the Appellant was decreed ex parte to account for the 500%. Stydolph then brought an Appeal against the Langhornes and Appellant, and the Decree was reversed as far as he (Stydolph) was concerned, but the Langhornes carried on the ex parte Decree in the name of Stydolph, and have obtained an Order for the Appellant to pay 3,8211., the value of the stock. Appeals against the Decree. Signed by Appellant. Countersigned Jno. Newdigate, Wm. Fish. Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 111. [The Respondents, on petitioning (annex b), were allowed till March 17 to put in their Answer (ib. 117), and no further proceedings are recorded till July 23rd 1698, when on the petition of the Respondents the Appeal was dismissed (ib. 326). On 28 March 1699 a Petition from the Appellant to revive the Appeal was dismissed after being referred to a Committee. Ib. 421, 447.

Annexed :-

(a.) 17 March.—Answer of the Respondents Luke Langhorne and Susannah Langhorne. The Appellant was solicitor to William Bostock and Thomas Bostock and got an assignment from Thomas Bostock, brother of the Respondent Susannah, of the East India stock without any consideration. The Decree and Orders are just and equitable. Hope the Appeal will be dismissed with exemplary costs. Signed by Respondents. Countersigned P. Crawford. Endorsed as brought in this day.

(b.) 10 March.—Petition of Luke Langhorne and Susan Langhorne, the Respondents, for an extension of time to put in their Answers. Signed by Respondents. Endorsed as read

this day. [A week was allowed. L. J., XVI., 117.]

1135. March 4. Coward v. L. Mohun.—Petition and Appeal of William Coward, Serjeant-at-law, and Phillips, Lady Mohun, his wife, and Elizabeth Mohun, her daughter by Charles, Lord Mohun. In 1674, Charles, Lord Mohun, on his marriage, conveyed his lands in Cornwall and Devon to Arthur, Earl of Anglesey, and others, in trust for the payment of 1,000l. a year to him, with remainder to his wife for jointure, contingent profits to be raised by fines &c. to be applied to discharge his debts and those of his father, and the legacies of the latter. Lord Mohun died in 1677, and his father's creditors got possession of a great part of the estate, and his mother, upon whose jointure the timber grew, lived till 1692, so that little money could be raised. Appellant, Serjt. Coward, having become one of the trustees, with Lady Mohun his wife and Elizabeth the daughter, brought an action in Chancery to have the trusts of the marriage settlement carried

1 6 9 6 - 7. out. The Lord Keeper appointed a receiver to take possession of the estate and profits, but no land was to be sold. Appeals against the decree. Signed by Appellants. Countersigned R. Fairbraid (?)
William Wharton. L. J., XVI. 111. [The Appeal was heard and dismissed on 22 March, when Sir Bartholomew Shore and Mr. Northey appeared on behalf of Appellant and Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. Dobyns for Respondents. L. J., XVI. 131.].

Annexed :--

- (a.) 11 March. Answer of James Mohun, Cordelia Mohun, and Katherine Mohun.—Warwick, Lord Mohun, was seized in fee of all the manors &c. mentioned in the Appeal, and by his will left to his wife and three sisters full power over nearly all his land &c., during the minority of Charles, Lord Mohun, and, after payment of his debts, gave the rest to his son Charles, on condition that he should pay each of his younger brothers and sisters 2,000l. when they came of age, and, in case of failure gave full power to his wife and sisters to raise the sum of 2,200l. a piece for them, making his wife and sisters executrives. He left six children, of whom one died an infant, and another (Anne) died aged 21, and left her portion to her mother and brother and sisters. The others are of age, but have not received their portions, and very little interest. After the debts, which might have been paid long ago, their portions are the next charge, and ought to precede any interest in the estate which Charles, Lord Mohun, could have had. Signed by the Respondents. Countersigned Fran. Browne. Endorsed as brought in this day.
- (b.) 16 March. Answer of Sir John Baber, Knight.—Warwick Lord Mohun mortgaged some of his property for 1,000l. Afte Lord Mohun's death his son, wanting to pay off the mortgage, go Respondent to do it, and also to pay off another mortgage he taking assignments of both mortgages, and Charles, Lore Mohun, conveyed the property to the Respondent and entere into a bond with him. Afterwards Charles, Lord Mohun, mad a settlement, taking care that his estate and the rents and profit should be applied first to the payment of his father's debts and his own, before any lands of 1,000*l*, per annum were conveyed or settled on himself or on Lady Mohun. Yet, in spite of this the Respondent has received no principal or interest, was force to bring an action against the surviving trustee, and got decree for 1,100l. and 88l. for interest, his debt bein 3,48ll. 18s. 4d.; failing such payment, he was to be pai according to the trust, timber and land being sold for th purpose. Lord Anglesey, the trustee, died, and Coward an his lady have received the 1,1881., and have received rents an raised large sums on the estate, but only paid Respondent small sum for interest, so that his debt was, on March 3, over 4,600l., and he was forced to bring another action. The Lor Keeper decreed that the several trusts should be executed according to the will and settlement, that a master should take an account of what had been raised and received under the trusts, that tl debts of Warwick, Lord Mohun, should be first paid, and tl portions to his younger children should be paid next, that tl master should examine how much of Lady Mohun's marriage portion had been paid, and that the Respondent's debt was to be paid with interest. This decree was just and equitable. Signe

No. 1135.

by Respondent. Countersigned R. Thornhill. Endorsed as 1696-7. brought in this day.

- (c) 16 March. Several Answer of Philippa Mohun, surviving Executrix of Warwick, Lord Mohun. Similar to annex (a). She adds that, being informed that the debts of Warwick, Lord Mohun, had not been paid, and that the rents &c. received by the Appellants since the death of Charles, Lord Mohun, had not been applied by them according to the will made by Warwick, Lord Mohun, she took steps to carry out the powers given her by the will by appointing an agent to receive the rents, &c., who did so until stopped by an Order of the Court of Chancery appointing a Receiver. Is advised that the Appeal is unnecessary and groundless, and hopes it will be dismissed. Signed by Respondent. Countersigned R. Thornhill. Endorsed as brought in this day.
- (d) 16 March. Joint and several Answer of Charles, Lord Mohun, an infant, by Sir Charles Orby, Bart., his guardian, and of the said Sir Charles Orby.—States the case as it appears in the Appeal and the other Answers. At the death of Charles, L. Mohun, 3,000l. due to him and his sister came into his mother's hands, as well as 3,000l. of her jointure. The Appellants have ever since received the rents &c. of the estate, and have raised 20,000% on it, without paying the debts, legacies, or incumbrances, so that there is 11,000% due to the younger children of Warwick, L. Mohun, 6,000l. to Sir John Baber, and 6,000l. to Lady Theophila Harris. If the provisions of the will and settlement had been carried out, the debts &c., might have been paid long ago. The Respondents induced the surviving trustee to enter upon some of the lands and apply the rents according to the will, but the Appellants brought an action in Chancery for Lady Mohun's jointure, with arrears, and for Elizabeth's portion, and to have possession of the estate, and Sir John Baber brought an action for his debt. It was decreed that the will and settlement were to be carried out, and an account taken of what had been raised and received To prevent an account being taken, Sergt. Coward stood upon his privilege as a Member of the House of Commons, and so prevented a just judgment being given. The Appeal is vexatious and should be dismissed. Endorsed as Respondents. Countersigned R. Thornhill. brought in this day.

1136. March 5. Sheriffs Bill.—Commons' Engrossment of an Act of the case of Sheriffs in execution of their offices and passing their ecounts.

§ 1. Whereas the office of Sheriff hath been found by long experience be very burthensome to the subjects of this realm, partly because the ws made for preventing unnecessary charge in that office and for ease passing their accounts have not fully had that good effect as was stended, and also for want of ascertaining the fees of officers before thom their accounts are to pass, as well as other officers and persons emanding fees from the said Sheriffs, as also for want of ascertaining as allowances that shall hereafter be made to Sheriffs upon passing their occurnts; And whereas Judges of Assize in England and Justices of Great Sessions in Wales have formerly imposed great fines upon the officers their deputies for small mistakes or neglects, which have been eadily estreated, to the great charge of those that serve in such offices: and whereas Sheriffs have been put to long and unnecessary attendance

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1136. in the passing their accounts, by reason of all which discouragements those persons who in the several counties are most able and best qualified do use all their endeavours to avoid the said office, from whence many inconveniences do and may arise to the public welfare of this Kingdom; Be it therefore enacted by the King's Most Excellen Majesty &c., That, from and after the tenth day of May which shall be in the year of our Lord one thousand, six hundred, ninety-seven, if an Sheriff shall incur the penalty of two hundred pounds mentioned in a Act intituled An Act for preventing the unnecessary charge of Sheriff and for ease in passing their accounts, made in the thirteenth and fourteenth years of King Charles the Second, and made perpetual in th first year of the late King James the Second, that it shall and may b lawful for any person whatsoever to sue for and recover the said penalty the one moiety to his Majesty, and the other for his own use an behoof, who shall sue for the same, wherein no essoign, protection, o wager of law shall be allowed, nor more than one imparlance. Provide that no action or suit shall be commenced against any person for the sai penalty by reason of anything done before the said tenth day of May and provided such suit be commenced within one year after such offence shall be committed,

§ ii.* [And whereas the oath commonly administered to Sheriffs i very intricate, and all oaths ought to be plain, Be it enacted by th authority aforesaid that, instead of the oath commonly taken by th Sheriff for the due performance of his office, the oath shall be in the words, videlicet:

I, A.B., do swear I will well and truly serve his Majesty King William in the office of High Sheriff in the County of and do right to all his Majesty's liege people, and that I will not directly not indirectly, by myself or any other, sell, or set to farm, or receive an money, gratuity, or reward for the office of Under-Sheriff or Deput or Gaoler or Bailiff, or any other office or place in my disposal as Hig Sheriff (other than allowed by this Act), but in all things execute me said office to the best of my skill and knowledge, So'help me God.]

Which oath the said Sheriff is hereby required to take after h Patent for Sheriff shall be sued forth, and before he shall take upchim the execution of his said office; and it is declared to be the trintent and meaning of this Act that it may be lawful for the Unde Sheriff, for and in behalf of the High-Sheriff, to bear the incident ar necessary charges relating only to the management and execution the said office.

§ iii. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, that a officers of his Majesty's Exchequer, and all his Majesty's Auditors at Receivers in Wales and all other officers, person, or persons whatsoever who pretend to or claim any fees, gratuity, or reward whatsoever to due to him or them from Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs, or their Deputies England or Wales for the making out their Patents or Commissions passing their accounts, or for any matter or thing touching the Shrievalty, do and shall, some time before the twenty-ninth day September, one thousand, six hundred, ninety-seven, severally at respectively make Lists and Schedules of their respective fees they claim, and produce the same in open Court before the Lords Commisioners of his Majesty's Treasury for the time being, or Lord Hig Treasurer of England, † [the Lord Chamberlain,] Chancellor and Baro

^{*} The portion here following in square brackets was superseded by Paper A. Annex (c) below.

[†] These words were struck out in Committee on 17 March. (Com. Book.)

1696 - 7

No. 1136.

the Exchequer, or any four of them for England, and for Wales are the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Chief Justice of Chester, and rest of the Justices of the Great Sessions, or any four of them, who hereby required to peruse the same, and to disallow or allow particulars according as to them shall seem meet and reasonable, for England to cause a fair table of such fees as they so allow of made and hung up in the Exchequer-Chamber publicly every n for all persons to resort to without any fee or gratnity, and for les, in like manner, tables to be hung up in all the Shire Halls of respective counties*. And it is hereby enacted that such fees, so wed to be taken of and to be paid by the Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, or Deputy, shall be allowed to them in their discharge in passing a accounts.

iv. And it is hereby further enacted, that no greater or other fee, tuity, present, entertainment, or reward, other than such as shall be wed in such table, so to be exposed as aforesaid, shall be demanded, uired, or accepted by any Judge of Assize or Justice of Great sions, or, any Officer or person attending them in their respective suits, or any officer of the Exchequer, or any Auditor or Receiver of les or his or their deputy or deputies, or any other officer or person atsoever, from any Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, or his Deputy, by colour pretext of such Sheriff his entering into, executing of, or being sharged from, such his office of Shrievalty, or otherwise touching or cerning his said office.

v. [And, for ascertaining other allowances to be made to Sheriffs passing their accounts, Be it further enacted, by the authority resaid, that all Sheriffs or their Deputies, at the time of passing ir accounts, shail deliver in upon oath to such persons before whom y account bills or duplicates thereof, ingressed in parchment, such sums of money as they shall have really and bona fide ring the continuance of their Shrievalty) disbursed for his jesty, his heirs or successors, or expended upon account of their d office, or as may be otherwise due to them in respect of the due ecution of their office of Shrievalty as aforesaid, which shall be wed or disallowed by such of the Barons of his Majesty's Court of chequer before whom they account, as to them shall seem just and isonable; and the duplicates of such Bills, having the particulars thereof rked Allocat. or Non-Allocat., shall be signed by one of the Barons the Exchequer, and a true copy thereof in writing shall be delivered such Sheriffs or Under-Sheriffs or their deputies so accounting to ir respective succeeding Sheriffs or their Under-Sheriffs or Deputy, to for the future shall not be required or obliged to disburse any such ms of money as they there find have been disallowed in the said And it is further enacted that all Sheriffs shall upon their count. counts be allowed twelve pence in the pound out of all such sums of oney as upon their said accounts they shall tot, as a reward for rying the same, which said allowances, together with the fees paid d to be paid, and disbursements and allowances allowed as aforesaid, all upon their said accounts be admitted in part of their discharge, d be defalked out of their debits, and be allowed in part of their edit upon their account, and it is hereby further enacted, that no izures, pardoned, desperate, illeviable, unintelligible debts or sums of oney, which four former Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs, or their Deputies occessively have upon their accounts ritchild or sworn they could not

^{*} From here to ("passing their accounts"), Press 4, lines 1 to 6 were postponed Committee on 22 March. (Com. Book.)

No. 1136.

levy, nor any rents or farms which cannot be explained by setting forth the particulars thereof, or the estates out of which of right they ough to issue, or which have not been totted within the space of twenty years last past, shall from henceforth be put in charge to Sheriffs to account for, unless by Commissioners or other legal course they shall be revived and made answerable; and that all Sheriffs upon their accounts shall be discharged (without further order, plea, petition, or other charge) of all new seizures, fines, amerciaments, issues, and other debts from time to time arising and coming into the Exchequer, if the cannot levy the same, upon such oath thereof to be made by the Under Sheriffs or his Deputy at the time of their accounting.

&vi. And to the end sheriffs may not be vexed with long attendance and delays in passing their accounts, Be it enacted, by the authorit aforesaid, That the Barons of the Exchequer shall every year, in the next term after the Sheriff is superseded or discharged of his office appoint and prefix a certain and particular time and place when an where each Sheriff of England shall come to account before the prope officers, and in the same term, twenty days or more before such time of account, shall cause the same to be entered in a table, and that table to be hung up in the Exchequer Chamber, that each Sheriff may pre pare himself for his account accordingly, at which time and place the respective Sheriffs to whom the same are so prefixed, his Under-Sheri or Deputy, shall attend and account upon his whole charge upon oat by and before the last day of the second term after such days of pre fixion, and shall have a balance of his account, what he shall be foun debtor or creditor upon the foot of such account, delivered to him b the Clerk of the Pipe or his deputy, or left at the chamber of the Lor Chief Baron for the time being, or at the Senior Baron's of that Cour when there is no Chief Baron, with one of their clerks, which balance of debt or credit the said Clerk of the Pipe or his deputy are require to make and sign and deliver the same so signed within twelve day after; and, if such Sheriff shall be debtor upon such account, he sha some time within the next term following pay the sum wherewith h shall be so charged into the Receipt of the Exchequer, and within te days after such payment the Clerk of the Pipe shall make and delive out his Quietus est, which from thenceforth shall be a full discharge t such Sheriff and his heirs against his Majesty, his heirs and successors touching and concerning all debts and sums of money given him i charge or that he ought to collect during his Shrievalty or before th Quietus est, and that afterwards he shall be no further chargeable for the same; and in case such Sheriff upon the foot of his account shall b found creditor, then such balance, so found due to him, shall be pair him by the Lord High Treasurer or Commissioners of the Treasury fo the time being out of the revenue of the Crown some time in the nex term following, upon producing such balance of credit so signed by th Clerk of the Pipe or his deputy.]

Svii. And, for preventing injuries which may happen to the subject by abuse of Sheriffs, Bailiffs of Hundreds, or others who are employe under them to collect any Crown debts, Be it enacted, by the authorit aforesaid, That each debtor to his Majesty, his heirs and successor upon payment of such debts, may require an acquittance for the sam under such officer's hand who receives it, paying only four pence for such acquittance; and such Bailiffs or other officers, upon payment of such debts over to the Sheriffs or their Deputies, may require such acquittance from the said Sheriff or his Deputy, who are hereby require to give the same without fee or reward, after which debts paid an acquittances given as aforesaid the Sheriff shall discharge such debtor

the same against his Majesty, his heirs and successors, by totting em upon their accounts; and, in case he shall return into the Exequer those for debtors which have paid the same, the person so ending shall forfeit treble damages to the party grieved, and double e sum to his Majesty. And it is hereby further enacted That, if any icer or any for him shall exact, ask, demand, receive, or accept any her or greater fees, gratuity, reward, or for expedition, from any periff or his Deputy than what shall be set down in such table of fees aforesaid, or shall put in charge to any Sheriff any debts or rents or her sums of money totted or hereby prohibited to be so put in charge, shall neglect to deliver debits or credits, or to make out and sign nietus est, or otherwise offend against the true meaning of this present ct, [he] shall forfeit the sum of five hundred pounds for every such offence, hereof one third part shall be to his Majesty, his heirs and successors, d the other two thirds to the party grieved, to be recovered by action of bt in any Court of Record, wherein no essoign, privilege, protection, ager of law, or more than one imparlance shall be allowed. And if any neriff shall neglect, by himself, his Under-Sheriff, or Deputy, to come account or pay his debits into the Receipt of the Exchequer at the spective days prefixed, without a sufficient excuse to be allowed by s Majesty's Court of Exchequer, or shall put in his bill of expenses her or greater sums than he hath really expended, or shall in his counts ritchil or swear he could not levy any of the debts given him charge, when he hath or might have levied the same, or shall neglect tot such debts as he hath received, and be thereof duly convict, he shall rfeit to his Majesty, his heirs and successors, the sum of two hundred unds over and above all damages that shall be sustained thereby,

[viii. And be it further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That no rson whatsoever shall be or be appointed or nominated to, or be pable of serving, the office of High-Sheriff of any county of England xcept the county of Rutland), unless such person, or some other in ust for him, have lands, tenements, or hereditaments of the clear arly value of one hundred pounds within the same county; and at no person shall be nominated to, or be capable of serving, the fice of High Sheriff within twenty years after such person hath ready served or executed the said office within the same county for hich he shall be so nominated or appointed, nor shall any person again nominated or appointed to, or be capable of serving the said fice within fifteen years after he hath served the said office for any her county. And be it further enacted that no person shall, by mself or any other, or in his own or any other name, officiate or ke upon him the place, or be capable of exercising the office, of eputy or Under-Sheriff, Shire or County Clerk, or either of the said fices, or be any ways, directly or indirectly, concerned therein, or ceive any profit by virtue or colour of either of the said offices, or hich shall arise by the execution thereof, within seven years after hath executed the said offices or any of them; and whosoever shall fend therein shall forfeit five hundred pounds, the one moiety to s Majesty and the other moiety to him that shall sue for the same.

§ix. And it is further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That no ne or fines (other than judicial upon information or indictment), treatter to be set or imposed by any Judge or Judges of Assize, isi Prius, Oyer and Terminer, Gaol delivery, or in the Sessions of the Peace, upon any Sheriff or Sheriffs in England or Wales, during the time of his or their Shrievalty, shall be recorded, estreated, or vied, until the said fine or fines be first affeired, assessed, reduced,

1696 - 7. No. 1136, 1696-7. and agreed to by the Grand Jury attending such Court or Session

wherein the same is imposed.

No. 1136.

§ x. And whereas by long experience it hath been found that the Courts of the Tourne of the Sheriff have been much neglected, an are of no use or advantage to the freeholders of the several countie and, under colour and pretence that the suitors to such Courts do no perform suit to the said Courts of Tourne, the Sheriff, Under-Sherif his and their Bailiffs, Officers, and Agents do levy, collect, and received divers great sums of money from the freeholders and subjects of th Kingdom, and the said Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, Bailiffs, Officers, ar Agents, do very often levy and demand from divers of the subjec of this Kingdom a duty called Smoak Silver, or some other nam which is never answered to his Majesty, but a great grievance to h Majesty's good subjects; Be it therefore further enacted, by the authority aforesaid, That, from and after the five and twentieth da of March, one thousand, six hundred, ninety seven, no Sheri Under-Sheriff, Bailiff, County Clerk, or Steward of the Sheriff Tournes or County Court, or any other Bailiff or officer whatsoever shall, under any colour or pretence whatsoever, ask, demand, collection levy, or receive any sum or sums of money whatsoever from ar person or persons within the Kingdom of England, Dominion Wales, or town of Berwick-upon-Tweed, for his or their not appea ing at the Sheriffs' Tournes, or for any fine or amerciament by mean or reason thereof, nor for the duty commonly called Smoak Silver Peter Pence, or any other name, any law, statute, custom, usage, prescription to the contrary in any wise notwithstanding.

§ xi. Provided that nothing in this Act contained shall in any we extend unto the Sheriffs of London and Middlesex, and the Sheriffs Westmoreland, or either of them, or any of the Sheriffs of or belongit to any city and county or town and county within this realm, other than such cities and towns as are counties, as to giving them can passing their accounts in the Exchequer; But the same Sheriff ar Sheriffs shall or may do as heretofore hath been used or accustome within the said counties, cities, and towns, anything herein contained

the contrary notwithstanding.

§ xii. And whereas by an Act made in the thirty-fourth and thirty fifth years of King Heury the Eighth (intituled An Act for certain ordinances in the King's Majesty's Dominion and Principality of Wales it is (amongst other things) enacted that there shall be Sheriffs in ever of the twelve shires in Wales yearly appointed by the King's Majesty, at that the said Sheriffs shall yearly account before such the King's Auditor Auditors as shall be assigned and appointed by the King's Majesty for his Dominion of Wales; Be it therefore declared and enacted, the authority aforesaid, That the said Sheriffs shall not be compelled to appear to be opposed in his Majesty's Court of Exchequer, but sha account before his Majesty's said Auditor or Auditors in the Principalit of Wales, and not elsewhere, any law, statute, custom, or usage to the contrary notwithstanding; and that the Quietus of the said Sheriff under the said Auditor's hand or his deputy, as is usual, shall be sufficient discharge for the said Sheriff in that behalf.

§ xiii. Provided always, and be it declared and enacted, That the respective Auditors of the Counties Palatine of Chester and Lancaste by virtue of their respective offices, shall and may take, state, and allow the accounts of the Sheriffs of the said respective counties, and of the city and county of the city of Chester, and oppose the said Sheriff respectively touching the execution of the processes to them respectively directed, called the King's process, in such manner and method a

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1136.

etofore hath been used, and that the said respective Sheriffs, upon h their accounts and opposal touching the premises, shall and may be, sue, and obtain their respective Quietus est and discharge, withany accounting or opposal touching the same, by or before the Lord ief Baron or other Barons of his Majesty's Court of Exchequer, or erwise howsoever.

xiv. And whereas there are no certain fees by any law appointed Sheriffs for the execution of writs of Habere facias possessionem aut sinam, great extortions have been and are daily committed by Sheriffs l other their Under-Officers; Be it therefore enacted, That it shall be lawful, from the said tenth day of May now next ensuing, to or any Sheriff or Under-Sheriff, Bailiff of Franchises or Liberties, or their or any of their officers, servants, bailiffs, or deputies, nor for y of them, by reason or colour of their or any of their office or offices, demand, receive, or take of any person or persons whatsoever, ectly or indirectly, for the execution of any writ of Habere facias ssessionem aut seisinam any more or other consideration, fee, or compense than is in this Act appointed (which shall be lawful to be manded, received, and taken), that is to say, twelve pence for every enty shillings per annum of any manor, messuage, farm, land, or reditaments whereof possession or seisin shall be given where the ne exceeds not the yearly value of fifty pounds, and the sum of pence more for every twenty shillings per annum being over and ove the yearly value of fifty pounds, and upon pain and penalty that ery Sheriff, Bailiff of Franchises or Liberties, or any of their agents, ectly or indirectly doing the contrary, shall lose and forfeit to the rty grieved his treble damages, with costs of suit, and also the sum of y pounds, for every time he or they or any of them shall do the ntrary, one moiety thereof to our Sovereign Lord the King, his heirs d successors, and the other moiety thereof, with costs of suit, to the rty or parties that shall sue for the same by action of debt, plaint, l, or information in any of his Majesty's Courts, wherein no essoign, ger of law, or protection shall be allowed. Parchment Collection. brought from the Commons this day. L. J., XVI. 112. In Committee 17 March Counsel were heard on the Petition of Officers of the schequer (annex a), the Judges being present. The ancient oath ken by the Sheriffs was read. The $L.\ C.\ Baron$ said the greatest part the oath was in the oldest book of the law, vizt. The Return of Writs. Clause ii., except the last paragraph, was struck out, and Paper A nnex c) substituted, and the Lord Chamberlain struck out of Clause iii. 22nd March Sir Thomas Powys was heard against the King's ying the Undersheriff's charges; the last paragraph of Clause iii. was stponed, and the 1st half of Clause v. left out. On 30 March Sir Tho. owys shows the inconvenience of the 2nd half of Clause v. Mr. Dod ys the first part of the clause prejudices the King greatly. To admit e Sheriffs to nill all seizures looseth both the King's and subjects' bts. The L. C. Baron. This is a strange and dangerous Clause. late Act of Parliament has made provision in this case. The King's evenue has been recovered after 14 sheriffs have ritchild it. If 4 ars must have been a stinted time of the King's debts he must have st many thousand pounds he has received. The rest of the Clause d Clause vi. were left out. (Com. Book.) No further proceedings, ough messages from the Commons to remind the Lords of the Bill

Annexed:—
(a.) 11 March 1696-7.—Petition of the Officers in the Exchequer concerned in passing Sheriffs' Accounts. The Bill contains

ere received on 15 March and 12 April. (L. J., XVI. 123, 149.)]

1 6 9 6 7. No. 1136. several things that seem very prejudicial to his Majesty's interest, and impracticable in relation to the offices. Pray to be heard before the Bill pass. Signed L. Thompson, J Hastings, N. Boothe, Geo. Blakwell, John Hamond, Cha Hornby, Jo. Tayleure, Ch. Battely, Wm. Allanson, W. Wrightson, Thos. King, Jo. Tyas, Michael Baker, Peter Frowde Walter Wallinger, Tho. Cole, Jos. Cranmer, Cha. Milborne Phil. Tullie, Will. Wroth. Endorsed as read this day. L. J. XVI. 120.

(b.) 11 March 1696-7.—Order of the House, on preceding Petition, for Petitioners to be heard before the Committee of Wednesday next. Signed Math. Johnson, Cler. Parliamentor

L. J., XVI. 120.

(c.) 17 March 1696-7. — Amended Clause as follows:—"Be if further enacted That to the Sheriff of every County, for the due performance of his office, the oath following shall be

administered vizt. :--

Ye shall swear that well and truly ye shall serve the King's Majesty in the office of the Sheriff of the County of [Cambridge] and do the King's profit in all things that belongeth to you to do by way of your office, as far forth as you can or may. Ye shall truly keep the King's rights and all that belongeth to the Ye shall not assent to decrease to lessing ne (sic) con cealment of the King's rights or of his franchises, and, when soever you shall have knowledge that the King's right or the rights of his Crown (sic) been concealed or withdrawn (be it in lands, rents, franchises, or suits, or any other things), ye shall do your true power to make them to be restored to the King again and, if you may not do it, ye shall certify the King, or some of the Council thereof, such as you hold for certain will say i to the King. Ye shall not respite the King's debts for any gif or favour, where you may raise them without great grievance o the debtors. Ye shall duly and rightfully treat the people of your Sheriffwick, and do right as well to poor as rich in all the belongeth to your office. Ye shall do no wrong to any man for any gift or other behest or promise of good for favour nor State Ye shall disturb no man's right. Ye shall truly acquit at the Exchequer all those of whom ye shall anything receive of the King's debts. Ye shall nothing take whereby the King maj lose, or whereby the right may be letted or disturbed, or the King Ye shall truly return and truly serve all the King writs as far forth as shall be to your cunning. Ye shall not have to be your Under-Sheriff of any of the Sheriffs' Clerks of the last year passed. Ye shall take no Bailiff into your service bu such as you will answer for. Ye shall make each of your Bailiff to make such oath as you make yourself, in that [which] belonged to their occupation. Ye shall receive no writ, by you or any o yours, unsealed, or any sealed under the seal of any Justice save Justices in Oyer, or Justices assigned in the same shire where ye be Sheriff in, or other Justices having power and authority to make any writs unto you by the law of the land, or of the Justices of Newgate. Ye shall make your Bailiffs of true and sufficient men in the County. Ye shall be dwelling in your own proper person within your Bailiwick for the time you shall be it the same office (except you be otherwise licensed by the King) Ye shall not let your Sheriffwick nor any Bailiwick thereof to any man, nor shall you directly nor indirectly, by yourself or

1696 - 7. No. 1136.

any other, sell or let to farm or receive any money, gratuity, or reward for, the office of Undersheriff or Deputy or gaoler or Bailiff or any other office or place in your disposal as High Sheriff, other than allowed by this Act. Ye shall truly set and return reasonable and due issues of them that be within your Bailiwick after their estate and behaviour, and make your panels vourself of such persons as be most next, most sufficient and not suspect nor procured, as it is ordained by the Statutes; and, over this, in eschewing and restraint of the manslaughters, robberies, and other manifold grievous offences, that be done daily (namely, by such as name themselves soldiers and other vagrants, the which increase in number and multiply, so that the King's subjects may not sure ride, nor go to do such things as they have to do, to their intolerable hurt and hindrance), ye shall truly and effectually, and with all diligence possible to your power, execute the Statutes, as the Statutes of Winchester and vagabonds. All these things ye shall truly observe and keep as God help you, and by the contents of this Book. Endorsed Sheriff's Oath. [Inserted in the Bill this day. Com. Book.]

(d.) 22 March 1696-7.—Rough draft of some of the proceedings in Committee, vizt., postponing (1) title and preamble, and (2) Clause beginning Pr. 4 line 1 to ("and") in line 6. [See Com. Book of 17 and 22 March.]

Spademan's (Llandinam Rectory) Bill.—Commons' 1137. March 8. Ingressment of an Act for re-vesting the Sine Cure of Llandinam, in the county of Montgomery, in John Spademan, Clerk. Whereas his late Majesty King Charles the Second in the year one thousand, six hundred, eventy and four, did confer upon John Spademan, Clerk, Master of arts, the mediety of the Rectory and Church of Llandinam in the county of Montgomery, who became legally vested therein, and the ossession thereof ever since hath been held accordingly; but, in regard ome doubt hath been conceived whether the said John Spademan, notrithstanding the said medicty is a sinecure, should not have taken the aths which by the Act of Parliament made in the first year of the reign f his now Majesty and of her late Majesty Queen Mary of blessed nemory, intituled An Act for the Abrogating of the Oaths of Supremacy nd Allegiance and appointing other Oaths to be taken by persons then aving any ecclesiastical dignity, benefice, or promotion before the first f August in the year one thousand, six hundred, eighty and nine; which, y reason of his residence in parts beyond the seas, and his ignorance of he passing of that Act, and not knowing that it was any way incumbent on him to take the said oaths, he did not take the same till after the aid first of August, but upon his first arrival in England, which was in he year one thousand, six hundred, ninety and one, he took the same in he open Court of the General Quarter Sessions of the Peace held for the ounty of Middlesex at Hick's Hall; Be it therefore enacted by the King's Most Excellent Majesty, &c., That the estate, interest, possession, ight, and title of the said John Spademan, which he hath or ever had of, , or unto the said mediety of the Rectory and Church of Llandinam, hall not be avoided, impeached, prejudiced, or determined for or by eason of his the said John Spademan's not taking the said oaths before he said first of August in the year one thousand, six hundred, eightytine, but that he, the said John Spademan, shall and may hold and moy the said mediety of the said Rectory and Church of Llandinam, with the rights, benefits, and profits thereof as aforesaid, as fully and mply to all intents and purposes as if the said John Spademan had

1696-7.

taken the said oaths before the said first of August in the year on thousand, six hundred, eighty and nine, the said recited Act or anything therein contained to the contrary notwithstanding. Parchmen No. 1137. Collection. [The Bill was brought from the Commons this day (L. J. XVI. 114.) On 12 March a Petition from the Dean and Chapter of Bangor (See No. 1142) against it was read, and, after debate, the Bil was rejected. (ib. 121)

> 1138. March 8. Milward's Estate Act.—Engrossed copy of an Ac for the vesting of certain lands of William Milward, in the County of Hereford, Clerk, in trustees, for payment of Debts. Signed Math Johnson, Cler. Parliamentor. Purchment Collection. [The Act receive the Royal Assent this day. L. J., XVI. 115. 8 & 9 Will III. c. 26 i Long Cal.

> March 10. Whitfield v. Payler.—Petition and Appeal 1139. Timothy Whitfield and Elizabeth his wife, Charles Ventris and France his wife, and Henrietta and Sarah Stoughton, which said Elizabeth Frances, Henrietta, and Sarah are daughters of Sir Nicholas Stoughton and sisters and co-heirs of Sir Lawrence Stoughton, Bart., decease Sir Lawrence Stoughton, when just of age, married Mary, daughte of John Burnaby, a brewer, who agreed to give him 5,000l. with h daughter, to be paid in discharge of incumbrances on Sir Lawrence estate, and Sir Lawrence agreed to make her a jointure of 500%. Three weeks after the marriage, when only 400l. of the portion had been paid, Sir Lawrence died of small-pox. Watkinson Payle married the widow, and brought an action against the Appellants ar John Burnaby and others for the rest of the 5,000l., and to have the jointure confirmed, and that the claimants to the reversion of the esta might pay 5,000l. secured by a lease for 1,000 years of the whole esta to Anthony Burnaby and Joseph Hatton, two servants of John Burnab for the use of Lady Stoughton. This lease was never mentioned Sir Lawrence, nor heard of by his relations, and Appellants brought action to set it aside, when the Bill was dismissed, but the portion ordered to be paid to take the incumbrances off the estate. was decreed upon Payler's Bill that the 5,000l. should be paid him by the 1st day of Hilary term; but, a rehearing of the two Caus being obtained, the decree was altered by the Lord Keeper, so that t surplus of the 5,000l. portion should be paid to Appellants to disencur ber their inheritance. The lease to pay back 5,000 t. to Lady Stoughte while at the same time she enjoys 500l. a year, appears to have be obtained by surprise and circumvention, and Sir Lawrence could n have understood what he was doing, and how little of his estate wou be left for the support of the Appellants. Appeal from the decree Signed by Appellants. Countersigned T. Powys, E. W. Hildeyar L. J., XVI. 118. [The Appeal was heard and dismissed on March, when Sir Thomas Powys and Mr. Dobyns were heard f Appellants, and Sir W. Whitelocke and Sir B. Shore for Responden MS. Min. L. J., XVI. 139.]

Annexed :-

(a.) 20 March.—Joint and several Answers of Watkinson Payl-Dame Mary his wife, John Burnaby, Anthony Burnaby, a Joseph Hatton. The treaty and articles of marriage and t 1,000 years' lease were made by Sir Lawrence upon long a deliberate advice, and for great and weighty considerations, a not by fraud or circumvention. Pray that the Appeal may dismissed with costs. Signed by Respondents except Antho

Burnaby. Countersigned Wm. Whitelock. Endorsed as 1696-7.

brought in this day.

(b.) 22 March.—Answer of Anthony Burnaby.—It may be true that he is one of the trustees, but his name was used without his knowledge; he has never transacted anything in the trust nor knows what the deed contains. Prays he may be hence dismissed. Endorsed as brought in this day.

(c.) 23 March—Petition of Respondents Watkinson Payler and John Burnaby for a short day for the hearing. Endorsed as

read this day.

(d.) Undated.—Petition of the Appellants praying that a day may be appointed for the hearing. Not signed.

114C. March 11. Bampfeild v. Popham.—Petition of Sir Copplestone arwick Bampfeild, Bart., and John Bampfeild, Esq., both Infants, George Horner and Thos. Beare, Esqrs., their next friends, the said George Horner, Thos. Beare, Joseph Laughton, and tony Stocker, Esqrs., Executors and Trustees of all the estate Warwick Bampfeild, Esq., deceased, in trust for the said Infants I for discharging the debts and legacies of the said Warwick mpfeild and Henry Rogers, deceased. Recites the proceedings the Appeal of 2 June 1685,* which was dismissed by the House 1 July 1689, and on Respondent's subsequent Petition of 29 Dec. 13, ending with the Report of the Committee thereon,† and adds it, pending the Bill of Review in Chancery, Warwick Bampfeild d, having settled his estate on Horner, Beare, Laughton, and Stocker, trust to pay his debts and legacies, and after to the use of Sir pplestone Warwick Bampfeild, with remainder to Mr. John mpfeild. Appellants in 1695 brought a Bill of Revivor, but are vised not to proceed further without leave of the House. Pray ir Lordships' directions accordingly. Signed by Appellants. untersigned J. Hawles, Thos. Filmer. L. J., XVI. 119. [The tition was heard on 18 Jan. 1697-8. The Attorney-General r Appellants): The question was upon the former Appeal, whether t proceed below to controvert the Master's Report by a Bill of view. This Report was not complained of in the Appeal. The licitor-General (for Appellants) is heard. The Report of 3 Jan. 93-4 [is read.] Mr. Serjeant Wright (for Respondent): Your rdships have upon the hearing declared there was an equivalent. r. Thomas Powys (for Respondent) is heard. They read desitions. Counsel withdraw. Proposed to hear them whether this port of the Master was before the House at the last hearing, and ether read or not. Counsel called in and told that they should beed to prove that it was read at the Bar, and they proceeded reon, and were heard in reply. Counsel withdraw. Ordered that Petition be dismissed, because the matters therein complained of ve been already settled by the House. (MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 7.)]

Annexed:

(a) Papers appended to preceding, vizt. :-

(a1) 2 June 1685. Copy Order of date on Respondent to answer. Signed Math. Johnson, Cler. Parliamentor. Noted 10 Dec. 1696. Exd., Hen. Wearre. See L.J., XIV. 27.

^{*} See Hist, MSS. Com. 11th Report, App. Part II. No. 448.

† See under date 29 Dec. 1693. H.L. MSS. Vol. 1. No. 768.

1696-7. No. 1140.

(a2) I July 1689. Copy Order and judgment of date, dismissing the Appeal, and affirming the decree. Signed as preceding Noted 8 July 1696. Exd. Rich. Longford, Hen. Wearre. See L.J., XIV. 261.

(a3) 29 Dec. 1693. Copy Petition of Respondent of date. Noted Examined at the Parliament Office, Hen 27 Nov. 1696.

Wearre.

(a4) 2 Jan. 1693-4. Order of Date, appointing a Committee to consider of the case. Signed as (a1). Noted 10 Dec. 1696

Exd., Hen. Wearre.

(a5) 3 Jan. 1693-4. Report of date, from Committee, that as there appear to have been no proceedings as yet of the Courof Chancery in this matter, nothing need be done by the House. Signed as (a1). Noted 27 Nov. 1696. Exd. at the Parliament Office, Hen. Wearre.

(b) 19 March 1696-7. Petition of Respondent for further time.

to answer. Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 129.

(c) 7 April 1697. Answer of Alexander Popham.—Repeats the substance of his Petition of 29 Dec. 1693, (No. 768 in preceding volume). At the hearing of the Appeal, on 1 July 1689, the House, before dismissing the Appeal, allowed the Master's Repor to be read, and overruled the objection of Appellants' Counsel or the foot of these proceedings. A decree in Chancery was obtained against Respondent on 22 Nov. 1692 for the payment of 5,000l to his sister Letitia, and in Jan. 1692-3 an Act was passed for the settlement of his estate. The Bill of Review brough by Bampfeild on 18 July 1693 assigned for errors against the Decree the same matter as had been urged at the hearing o the Appeal; and, as to the now Appellants' Bill of Revivor the Lord Keeper after a full hearing in December last, was pleased to make no Order. This Petition is simply to unrave and set aside the former judgment of the House. Prays that it may be dismissed. Signed by Respondent. Countersigned W. Whitelocke, Wm. Prece Williams. Endorsed as brought in

(d) 13 April 1697. Petition of Respondent for further time for

hearing. L. J., XVI. 151.

(e) 16 Dec. 1697. Petition of Appellants for an early day for hearing, the matter being merely an explanation of their Lordships' former Order in the Cause. Signed by Horner and L. J., XVI. 181. Stocker.

1141. March 11. Cooper v. E. Derby.—Petition of Thomas Cooper Gent., and the Right Hon. the Lady Charlotte, his wife. Charlotte Katherine Savage, only child of the late Thomas, V. Colchester, being entitled to a portion of 10,000*l*. chargeable on certain lands of the late Thomas, E. Rivers, in Essex, pursuant to a settlement made by him, by her will, of 19 March 1686, devised to the Petitioner, Lady Charlotte (by the name of her cousin the Lady Charlotte Murray the sum of 8,000l., and to William George Richard, E. Derby, 3,000l. and other great legacies to her mother and other relations, much more than the 10,000%. The said E. Derby, her executor, proved her will and, being the only surviving trustee in the settlement for raising the said portion of 10,000l., has received about 4,000l. out of the rents of the estate chargeable therewith, but refuses to pay the Petitioner Lady Charlotte any part of it, and insists on his privilege, in consequence o which Petitioners cannot maintain themselves and children. Pray for

re to proceed against the Earl for the said legacy, he being only rustee in the case. *Endorsed* as follows: "Read 11 March 1690, reed E. Derby to have notice to attend to-morrow, 12 March, dered a Copy and an Answer, 20 March. The E. Derby declared the would not insist upon his privilege, either as trustee or atee or executor in trust." L. J., XVI. 121. (12 March.) See also 130.

1696-7. No. 1141.

1142. March 12. Spademan's (Llandinam Rectory) Bill.—Petition the Dean and Chapter of Bangor. The Rectory, which the Bill poses, as Petitioners understand, to revest in John Spademan, in st for Joseph Hill of Rotterdam, is at present actually vested in titioners by Act of Parliament for several pious and charitable uses, I the Bill tends to disinherit Petitioners and defeat the charities for ich they are trustees. Pray to be heard against the Bill. Signed hn Jones, D.D., Dean of Bangor. Endorsed as read this day and Bill rejected. L. J., XVI. 121. See also No. 1137.

1143. March 17. L. Fairfax's Estate Bill.—Commons' Engrossment an Act to enable Thomas, Lord Fairfax, of the Kingdom of Scotland, raise monies, charged by Thomas, Lord Colepeper, deceased, upon his state. The preamble recites that whereas by Indentures quinquertite of 4 Oct. 4, Jac. II. between (1) the late Thos., L. Colepeper, won of Thoresway, (2) Sir John Trevor, then Master of the Rolls, d Christopher Cratford, of St. Giles-in-the-Fields, Gent., (3) William ottell, of the Middle Temple, Gent., and William Roberts, of Gray's n, Gent., (4.) Samuel Reynolds of St. Giles'-in-the-Fields, Gent., d (5.) Susanna Weldon alias Willis, of St. James'-in-the-Fields, inster, Charlotte Colepeper, Spinster, and Dame Susanna Englefield, fe of Sir Chas. Engleneld, the said L. Colepeper granted to Sir J. revor and Cratford (in their actual possession then being by a deed of rgain and sale for one year made by L. Colepeper the previous day d by force of the Statute for transferring of uses into possession) the anor of Forshaw alias Foshaw, in the parish of Solyhull alias lihull, co. Warwick, then or late in the tenure or occupation of umphrey Jennings, Esq., under the yearly rent of 951. reserved on a ase, made to him on 20 Feb. 1683, for 27 years from Lady Day then ext ensuing; also the tithes of the parsonage of Mayfield, in Sussex, entofore in the tenure or occupation of William Hartredge, Gent., under e yearly rent of 901., and then or late of Thos. Hooper, Gent., under e yearly rent of 651; also about 65 acres, called Battle Meads, in the rishes of Bodyham and Ewherst, co. Sussex, thentofore in the tenure occupation of Edward Hawes, Gent., under the yearly rent of 2. 10s., and then or late of Robert Startuppe and Samuel Chester, one of them, under the yearly rent of 40l. and the keeping of two easts in the summer time gratis, out of which waterscotts also were be paid by the landlord for $4\frac{3}{4}$ acres in the Level of Ewherst and acres in Bodyham Level; also the marshes, lands, &c., in the arishes of Lydd and Promehill alias Bromehill, in Kent and Sussex, one of them, containing about 260 acres, part of which, known as romehill Salts alias the New Jinnings, containing about 200 acres, eretofore belonged to the late L. Colepeper, and the rest was since urchased by his Lordship of Thos. Colepeper, of St. Stephens, Esq. nd Sir George Curtes, and which were all then or late in the tenure occupation of Mr. George Wightwicke, Executor or assignee of his te father Humphrey Wightwicke, who was tenant by lease from . Colepeper to the said Humphrey Wightwicke, dated 12 Sept. 1682, or 18 years from Michaelmas 1683, at a yearly rent of 471. 16s. for the

1 6 9 6 - 7. No. 1143. 60 acres purchased by L. Colepeper during the whole 18 years and 1501. for the remaining 200 acres for the first six years, and 1401. for the second six years, and 180l. for the last six years, of the lease of 18 years, with the keeping of one horse or two bullocks at grass over and above the said rents, and under several covenants; also the marshes, lands, &c., in or near Erith, Plumstead, and Lesnes, in Kent, purchased by L. Colepeper of Philip, V. Strangford, Thos. Colepeper, of St. Stephens, Esq., and Henry Thornhill, Esq., then or late in the tenure or occupation of Cresfield Draper, Esq., under a lease for 31 years from Michaelmas, one thousand, six hundred and eighty, at a yearly rent of 72l., free from all Parliament taxes or other deductions or payments; also the barn and lands called Coulters' Deane, in Buriton, co. Southampton, containing 120 acres, together with all commons, sheeppastures, etc., thereto belonging, formerly purchased by L. Coleppen and then or late in the tenure or occupation of the executors, &c of Hanbury, deceased, to whom the same were leased about 3 years then last past before for 21 years at a yearly rent of 261, over and above all deductions and reprizes; also the farm and mill, callet Parson's Mill, in or near the parishes of Loose, East Fairley, and Maidstone, co. Kent, purchased by L. Colepeper of Dame Mary Englefeild and Robert Cage, Esq., with about 45 acres sometime before in the Parsons; also the manor and lordship o tenure or occupation of Thoresway, co. Lincoln, with all lands, &c., in or near the parisher of Thoresway, Claxby and Walesby, under the management of Mr Daniel Wickham, and then or late in the tenure or occupation of several tenants there, under several yearly rents amounting in the whole to about 200l., but charged with the payment of 100l. year to Dame Susanna, wife of Sir Charles Englefield, during he life, under a deed of 20 Feb., 2 Jac. II.; also three parcels, or abou 24 acres, of land then or late overflowed with the tide, in or nea Wittersham, in the Isle of Oxney, in Kent, purchased by L. Coleppel of the heirs of George Bonham, Clerk, deceased, for which there was paid the yearly rent of 20l. 12s. 10d. by the Expenditor of the Upper Levels for the time being, pursuant to Articles and Decree of Sewers, and another parcel of land, similarly overflowed, lying near Kent Bridge, in or near Wittersham, in the said Island and County purchased by L. Colepeper of Sir Thomas Colepeper, of Hollingborne Knt., for which there was paid the yearly rent of 71. 10s. by the said Expenditor; also the messuage and farm called Totnams, in or near the parish of Hollingborne, in Kent, at a place called Eghorne Street, with about 40 acres thereto belonging, lately purchased by L. Colepeper, and then or late in the tenure or occupation of Alexander Colepeper, Gent. under the yearly rent of 45l., during a lease of 21 years, of which abou 18 were then in being, and the molety of a tenement in Hollingborne with about 24 acres of land thereto belonging, then lately purchased als by L. Colepeper, and then or late in the tenure or occupation of William Spice, under the yearly rent of 121., together with two other parcel or ten acres, of land at Bradstreet, in the parish of Hollingborne, the lately purchased also by L. Colepeper, one of which was sowed with sanfoin, then or late in the tenure or occupation of the said William Spice, under the yearly rent of 31, to hold unto Sir John Trevor an Christopher Cratford, their heirs, &c. to the following uses, vizt., to the us of Thomas L. Colepeper and his assigns for life, and after his death, ast the said manor of Forshaw alias Foshaw, the tithes of Mayfield, Battle Meads, the lands in Lydd and Bromehill, in Erith, Lesnes and Plumstead Coulters' Deane, and Parson's Mill, then or late in the occupation of Joseph Twopenny at the rent of 30l., to the use of Susanna Welldo

us Willis for life, and after her death the said lands, &c., last named, ether with the rest of the estate, to the use of William Pottell and Iliam Roberts, their Executors, &c., for the term of 200 years, without seachment of waste, upon the trusts therein declared, and, after the iration or sooner determination of the term, to the use of Katherine, ighter of Thomas, L. Colepeper, for the term of 99 years, with a nainder to her issue and divers other remainders in default thereof, nainder over to L. Colepeper's right heirs; and, as concurring the term 200 years, it was declared that the same was so limited upon trust t Pottell and Roberts should raise and pay 3,000l, to the said ırlotte, Susanna Welldon's daughter, at her age of 21 or marriage h her mother's consent, and out of the profits of the said manor and mises should pay Charlotte for her maintenance and education 1201. ear, in equal quarterly payments, after Thomas, L. Colepeper's death. d whereas the said L. Colepeper by his will of 17 Jan. 1688 confirmed above Indentures, and devised to his natural daughter, the said arlotte, in reversion after his wife's death, the farm called Redway, t of his manor of Arreton, in the Isle of Wight, then or late in the ure or occupation of Pitts, widow, and Shute Farm, part of said manor, in the parish of Briaton, then or late in the tenure or upation of Jackman, and Compton Grange, in the parish of shwater, for herself and her issue, failing which, to his own right rs for ever. And whereas the said L. Colepeper is since dead, and said Katherine his daughter is married to Thomas, L. Fairfax, and said Charlotte is married, with her mother's consent, to John Peshall, Sugnall, co. Stafford, Esq. And whereas, upon stating accounts ween Thos., L. Fairfax, and Peshall, it is agreed that 4,000l. is owing Peshall in right of his wife, which sum L. Fairfax and his wife desire pay. The Bill, therefore, enacts that, from and after 25 March 1697, the premises conveyed and limited in the above Indenture shall be sted in Edward Kinaston, of Oatley, co. Salop, Esq., and Thomas rison, of Copgrave, co. York, Esq., to the following uses, vizt., as to such parts of the said premises (except the estate limited to Susanna elldon during her life) conveyed as aforesaid immediately from and er 25 March 1697, and also as to such parts limited to Susanna as resaid after the determination of her estate for life therein, to the of Sir William Saint Quinton, of Harpham, co. York, Bart., and Sir bert Davers, of Rougham, co. Suffolk, Bart., their executors, &c., the term of 200 years, without impeachment of waste, upon the ists hereinafter expressed, and, after the expiration or sooner termination of the term, to the use of the said Katherine, daughter of Colepeper and now wife of L. Fairfax, her heirs and assigns for er; the trust last-mentioned being to raise 4,000l. and interest at o. c. from 4 June 1697 until payment of the 4,000l., and pay the ne to John Peshall in full discharge of all sums settled on Charlotte the late L. Colepeper. All the premises devised to Charlotte by Colepeper's will, after the death of his wife, are declared to be vested Charlotte, her heirs and assigns, for ever. Provided that, when the 000L and interest shall have been paid, the term of 200 years in the emises shall cease. Saving to Dame Judith Benett, Widow and ecutrix of Sir Levinus Benett, late of Babrain, co. Cambridge, Bart., d to Judith, Levina, and Jane, his daughters, all such right as their her had at the time of his death, or which his widow and daughters w have in any of L. Colepeper's lands in the Isle of Wight. A Saving the King &c., and to Lady Margaret, late wife of Thos. L. Colepeper, d to John, now L. Colepeper, and to Susanna Welldon alias Willis, and all lessees and all others (except Pottell and Roberts and Katherine

1 0 9 6 7. No. 1143. 1696 - 7. No. 1143. and Charlotte and their heirs, and Samuel Reynolds and Dame Susanna Englefield and her heirs) all their rights in the premises. Provided that nothing in the Act contained shall impeach or prejudice Dame Susanna Englefield or her assigns in respect of the yearly rent of 1001. secured to her for life by the Indenture quadrupartite of 20 Feb., 2 Jac. II., out of the manor of Thoresway and other lands therein mentioned, by a demise or lease thereof to Christopher Cratford and Charles Haynes for 99 years, if the said Dame Susanna should so long live. Parchment Collection. [Brought from the Commons this day (L. J., XVI, 125). On 25 March Lord Colepeper ordered to be heard by himself or counsel before the 2nd Reading (ib. 134). On 7 April Counsel heard accordingly. Sir Thomas Powys: We hope your Lordships will see cause to reject this Bill. Mr. Dobyns: A saving will be ineffectual to us. Wm. Colepeper says he has several times waited or the Lord Fairfax to waive his privilege, and my Lord has done the same. Sir Bartho. Shore: The question is whether they have offered to show any right the Lord Colepeper has to this estate, and wherein this Bill is prejudicial to that right. We agree to any saving he can desire, and in what words he pleases. Mr. Filmer heard also. The debate is adjourned to Monday (12th). On 13 April Counsel wer again heard, and the debate on the Second Reading was then adjourne till Saturday next. (MS. Min.) On Friday (16th) the Prorogation too place.

1144. March 18. L. Fairfax v. E. Derby.—Petition of Charles Lord Fairfax, of Emuli [Elmley], in the Kingdom of Ireland, an Colonel Ralph Widdrington. Petitioners are executors to William L. Widdrington, deceased, who was sole executor to William Stanles Esq., who died in April 1691, much indebted, leaving his estate t L. Widdrington to pay his debts and legacies, which estate the E. Derb pretends to claim as his heir-at-law. On a Petition presented b L. Widdrington during his lifetime, their Lordships ordered the Earl waive his privilege, so that the will might be proved.* L. Widdringto accordingly examined two witnesses to the will, but the third witne was in Flanders in the King's service, and is lately returned; but, before any further proceedings were had, L. Widdrington made his will in 169 devising the estate to Petitioners to perform the will, and shortly aft died. Petitioners have agreed to sell the estate, to pay the debts at legacies, but the purchasers desire to be satisfied that the will was legal executed and proved, which cannot be done without a new examination the witnesses to the will of Stanley, as also to prove that of L. Widdrin ton, because Petitioners, being devisees of the latter, cannot revive b proceedings upon the former examinations taken to perpetuate the testimonies, but must make the heir of L. Widdrington a party to the Bill, as well as the Earl. Pray that the Earl may so far wai his privilege that Petitioners may prove both the wills. Endors as read this day, and leave given to examine witnesses as desire L. J., XVI, 127.

1145. March 22. E. Scursdale.—Certificate that Robert, E. Scarsdatook the oaths appointed by 1 W. & M. c. 8 and 30 Car. II. c. Unsigned. Dated Parliament Office, Westminster, this day.

1146. March 23. Thompson's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of Act for the speedy payment of the debts of Sir William Thompson, Kr Serjeant-at-law, deceased. The Lords' Amendment (Com. Rook, March) is merely to read ("help") for ("aid"). No Amendment in t

^{*} See Hist. MSS. Com., 13th Rep., App.. No. 459.

amons. [Read 1ª this day; Royal Assent 16 April. L. J., XVI. 1696-7., 163. 9 W. III. c. 63 in Long Cal.].

147. March 23. Crowle's Estate Act.—Consent of William Kirkby, No. 1147. Ashslack, co. Lancaster, Esq., to the passing of the Bill. Dated eb. 1696-7. [Read this day in Committee. Com. Book. The Bill brought from the Commons on 10 March. Royal Assent 1 April., XVI. 118, 142. 9 W. III. c. 44 in Long Cal.]

nnexed:-

(a) 23 March.—Consent of Edward Wilson, of Park-house, co. Lancaster, Esq. Dated 29 Jan. 1696-7. [Read this day in Committee. Com. Book].

148. March 23. Countess of Derby r. E. Derby.-Petition of the ht Hon. Dorothea Helena, Countess Dowager of Derby, mother of Right Hon. William George Richard, Earl of Derby. By virtue of ttlement dated 3 August 1667 executed by the now Earl of Derby his Lady, the manors of Basenthwait, Whicham, and Selcroft, co. iberland; of Beetham and Witherslack-cum-Arnside, co. Westmore-; of Bolton, Adgerly, Alston Burscough, Skelmersdale, Upholland, the rectory of Upholland and the tithes of Dalton, and of therton, Rainford, and Ormeskirk-cum-Aughton, Newburgh, and the esnes of Newpark, co. Lancaster, and of Upton, co. Chester, and ood Farm, co. Flint, were conveyed to certain trustees, of whom Thomas Doleman alone survives, in trust to pay Petitioner 600l. a in satisfaction of dower. The Earl, being in possession of the lands, has suffered Petitioner, who has very little else for her istence, to be 600l. in arrear, and refuses to put the surviving tee into possession, insisting on his privilege. Petitioner has also ral sums due to her from him on bonds, and, being brought to it necessity, must be constrained to seek a remedy at law. Prays he may be ordered to waive his privilege. Signed D. II. Derby. lorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 132. On March 29 the Earl ed his privilege, ib. 138.]

nnexed :-

(a) 29 March 1697. Answer of the Earl of Derby.—Respondent's father made no settlement on Petitioner, his wife; and, although her pretence of dower does not extend to above 400l. a year at the most of such parts of his estate whereof she was dowable, Respondent voluntarily assigned to her 600l. a year, and confirmed leases, which are in the possession to her or her assigns, to the value of about 500%, a year more, and also conveyed lands to far greater value, namely, those mentioned in the Petition, to trustees, to secure her 600l. a year more for her life. Petitioner has for many years enjoyed the leases, and has also received from the tenants or Respondent's bailiffs and receivers the 600% a year for the last twenty years, with the exception of only one year's rent, as is confessed. Respondent never forbad any of his tenants or bailiffs to pay her the said sum, nor had any of them any authority from him to stand upon privilege, but the bailiffs have orders to pay her what is due. Respondent believes that the bonds, entered into without any valuable consideration, and amounting in all to about 500l., have been all paid, the last one demanded being about November 1695. Respondent never refused to put the surviving trustee into possession, and he cannot remember that it was ever demanded of him, nor was there any cause for such demand, since only 600l. is pretended to be due for receiving 12,000l. Respondent does not insist

1696-7. No. 1148.

on any privilege, but is ready to pay Petitioner whatever due upon a fair demand and statement of accounts. Sign Derby. Endorsed as brought in and read this day. L. XVI. 138.

1149. March 24. Countess Dowager of Radnor r. Vandebendy. Petition and Appeal of the Right Hon. Sarah, Countess Dowager Radnor, Relict of the late Right Hou. Robert Roberts, Esq., who v son and heir apparent of the Right Hon. John, Earl of Radnor, deceas Petitioner's late husband having an estate of 30,000/. on his marria and having been seized, during Petitioner's coverture, of an estate in tail worth 1,200l, a year, and dying without making any settlement jointure, Petitioner after his death brought her writ of dower recover her thirds of the estate, to which Abraham Vandebendy, tenant to that action, pleaded in bar a term for 99 years created Charles, E. Warwick, for securing the payment of certain annuities of his whole estate, whereof only the sixth part was limited Petitioner's husband, judgment being given for Petitioner in dow with a cessat executio until the determination of the term. She brou a bill in Chancery against Vandebendy, the pretended purchaser, payment of her thirds and for an account, and that the term should set aside to that extent, as having been created only to secure annuitants and, after that trust performed, to attend the inheritance which Petitioner's estate in dower was part, and also to be relie against a dormant statute of 1,000%, kept on foot to bar Petitione her dower. Vandebendy having pleaded the term and statute, Cause was heard on 20 May, 2 Jac. II., before L. Chancellor Jeffre An account having been taken, it was decreed that Petitioner should relieved, and that, she waiving her judgment at law, Vandebendy she withdraw his plea as to the term, and plead so that Petitioner m proceed to trial as to what land she was dowable of, and that the sta and lease should not be made use of to obstruct her recovery, wher Petitioner was relieved against the term and incumbrances. Soon Vandebendy died, without performing the decree, whereupon Petitic brought a Bill of Revivor against his son and Sir John Rotherst pretended purchasor under the father, and such as had the right in to the statute and lease of 99 years; but the Bill was dismissed by L. Keeper. Prays that this dismission may be reversed, and Respondent ordered to answer. Signed by Appellant; countersigned T Brotherton, Ch. Constantine. L. J., XVI. 134. [At the hear on 12 April, Serjt. Rawlinson and Sir Thomas Powys appeared for Appellant, and on 14 April Sir Bartholomew Shore and Mr. Nort for Respondents. . Mr. Dod was also heard for Serjt. Rother (MS. Min.) The Appeal was dismissed (L. J., XVI. 159). An atte was made in Nov. 1707 to revive it; but, after investigation, the He refused to receive the Appeal (L. J., XVIII. 340, 355)]. diameter.

Annexed:

(a) 24 March. Order of the Lord Keeper, of 3 March 9 III. (made after two previous Orders of 22 June and November last) dismissing Appellant's Bill. Signed Ca Gwydott, Deput. Reg. [Appended to preceding.]

(b) 1 April 1698. Answer of John Vanden Bemdy. Res dents' father was an honest and real purchaser, and Respond has become the same, by virtue of his parents' marr agreement and a considerable marriage portion and a of conveyance, duly executed, thereof. There is no preced of any such relief as is claimed by Appellant. Prays that Appeal may be dismissed with costs. Signed by Respondent. Endorsed as brought in this day.

this day.

1696-7. No. 1149.

(c) I April. Answer of Sir John Rotheram, Knt., Serjeant-atlaw. Abraham Vanden Bemdy having a good estate in an
undivided moiety of a third part of the premises, subject to
the estate for life of Appellant and several annuities for lives,
Respondent agreed in 1678 to purchase for 4,000l. a moiety
thereof, and in 1679 had a conveyance duly executed in trust
for him and his heirs, and has since enjoyed the profits; and
not only several incumbrances, but the term of 99 years, have
been assigned for the benefit as well of Abraham's as Respondent's purchases. Respondent was no party to the Cause
heard before L. Chancellor Jeffreys. Prays that the Appeal
may be dismissed with costs. Endorsed as brought in this
day.

50. March 25. Countess of Westmorland v. E. Derby—Petition achel, Countess of Westmorland. Petitioner, who is seized in a fee-farm rent of 101l. 15s. 11d. a year, payable out of the yof Rissing in the Isle of Man, the Estate of E. Derby, has sted the Earl to pay the arrears, amounting to nearly 800l., he has often promised to do, and sent orders to Petitioner for payment of money and delivery of several head of cattle, which, eyed, would have before now in a great measure satisfied the same, never intending his promises to have effect, countermanded the s, so that Petitioner has reaped no benefit thereby, and cannot her right at law, though she has endeavoured to do so. Prays elief. Endorsed as read this day. L. J. XVI., 135. [The Earl parby put in his Answer, and Lady Westmorland her reply. E. Derby vered her reply, and Counsel were ordered to be heard, but the ing was put off, "the House being informed that there were a proposals of an accommodation of the matters in difference." Ib. 139, 142, 144.]

nnexed:-

(a) 25 March. Case of the Countess of Westmorland.—Under an Act of Car. II. for the sale of fee-farm rents, John Bence, Esq., Alderman of the City of London, purchased from his then Majesty an annual fee-farm rent of 1011. 15s. 11d. issuing out of the Priory of Rissing, in the Isle of Man, the estate of the E. Derby, and devised it to Rachel, Countess of Westmorland. The arrears then amounted to above 1,0001, which Rachel's late husband, Vere, E. Westmorland, besought E. Derby to pay off, but only obtained from him an order to Col. Sanckey and others, the Governors of the Revenue in the Isle of Man, to pay him 2031. 11s. till the arrears were discharged. husband, however, never received anything, the Earl having by private instructions countermanded the order. Petitioner, after her husband's death, agreed with E. Derby, after several solicitations, that the growing rent of 101l. 15s. 11d. should be paid by the Governors of the Revenue, and the arrears discharged by the yearly delivery of 200 head of cattle, to be transported into England for her use, and obtained an order, of 16 August 1696, commanding the Governors to deliver the cattle accordingly. But E. Derby forbade the payments of any money for growing rent, and kept the order for the cattle so long in his hands, that, when it was delive ed in the Island, there was not left unsold there a sufficient number of cattle to

1 6 9 7. No. 1150. answer the same, so that Petitioner was compelled to ta 140 instead of 200. The Earl then promised to order t delivery of 260 head of cattle to make good the deficiency f the last year, but has put Petitioner off with an order for lihead. [Appended to preceding.]

- Answer of the Earl of Derby.-T (b) 29 March 1697. Petitioner, Lady Westmorland, has a right to a rent of about 100l. a year issuing out of the Priory of Rushing, in the I of Man, of which some arrears are due, but not nearly to t value of 8001. Towards payment of the arrears, the late Westmorland agreed with Respondent to receive the same a the growing rent by 2001. a year, which Respondent paid hin his lifetime, and after his death made one payment of 20 to Petitioner, who agreed to accept 200 head of cattle year Respondent, on hearing that she wanted 60 head of the car for the last year, gave fresh orders for the same, as also for 200 cattle for this year, and he has lately had a letter fr her agent, one David Murray, stating that the 140 cattles were sold for 232t. for her use, and that the Governor of Island had agreed that the remaining 60 should be shipped: sent over for her next June, the proper season for transport them. Since Respondent's last named agreement with Petitio the growing rent, or most of it, has been paid, and, if there any arrears, they are well secured and will be paid. Respond never gave any private orders nor countermanded any of previous orders about the rent and cattle, as is scandalou suggested, and Petitioner has no reason to complain of anythi Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 138.
- (c) 30 March 1697. Reply of the Countess of Westmorland the Answer of E. Derby.—The exact yearly rent in quest is 1011. 15s. 11d., and the arrears now due are fully 8t is true that the late E. Westmorland agreed to reco payments by 2001. a year towards discharging the arre then amounting to above 1,000l., but Respondent, E. Der never paid a penny to him in pursuance of that agreem The 2001. received by Repliant was in discharge of the hundred and odd pounds since grown due, and not in discha of any part of the 1,000l. arrears. The second agreen was not as stated by Respondent, but that there should be arrears only paid by the transporting of cattle, and the grow rent by the yearly transmitting 1001. in money, and Respondent gave orders accordingly. Repliant's compl is not groundless, for, although 140 head of cattle was tr ported for her benefit, she expected, according to the agreem an order for 1001. in money, and another one for 260 hear cattle, vizt., 200 for the year 1696 and 60 more to supply v was wanting for 1695. Contrary to these expectations, Earl lately sent an order for only 100 head of cattle, wi declaration of his intention that, if she would accept that or it was the only order that should stand, or that she m expect any benefit of, which was a breach and countern of his former orders. Repliant is compelled reluctantly aver these truths, as her Petition has been called scandal Prays that the arrears may be paid off with all conver speed, and that the growing rents may be effectually secu Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 139.

1 6 9 7. No. 1150.

(d) 7 April 1697. Further Answer of the Earl of Derby. Respondent still avers that the late E. Westmorland received in his lifetime divers sums from Respondent on account of rent, and particularly by the hands of Mr. Greenwood, of Lancaster, and afterwards of Mr. Winkeley, of Preston, the agents of the said late Earl. Though Repliant seeks to apply her receiving of 2001, to be in her discharge of 3001, of a growing rent, yet Respondent intended the same on the general account, and does not conceive it material which way it is applied, considering that the whole must be paid. Respondent signed the order last year for 200 head of cattle, instead of 200%, which was the former agreement, Repliant had good reason to be better satisfied, since the value of 200 cattle much exceeds 2001,, and the arrears will be satisfied much sooner under the second agreement than under the first. Respondent never intended to pay 100%, in money besides the 200 head of cattle, and he explained the same to her upon her subsequent enquiry, and it is his misfortune that this second agreement was not reduced to writing beyond that Respondent gave her an order for the 200 cattle, which he is willing to perform. The 60 head of cattle were not sent over at first because they could not at that instant be got, but they were ordered to be ready against the next season, and Respondent gave fresh orders to deliver 200 head of cattle for this year; but, when he found that Repliant mistook the agreement and insisted on having 100% in money as well, he sent her an order for 100 head of cattle instead, and that she might receive the 100l. in the Isle of Man, where there was a standing order for paying that sum. Respondent hopes that, if Repliant insists further on her claim, their Lordships will give him time to send into Lancashire and the Isle of Man, where the accounts and most of the persons relating to the matter are. Though Repliant has received, and is to receive, by her own confession, more money than what she or her husband was to have had by the former agreement, yet Respondent is willing, to avoid contention, that she should have the 100l. over and above the 200 head of cattle, for that she should never have wanted it, had Respondent conceived it was ever mentioned or intended in the agreement. Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 144.

151. March 26. Party Guiles Act.—Petition of the Master, redens, and Assistants of the Company of Distillers of London, on alf of themselves and all other free distillers in London, Westster, and Southwark. The Clause, added in the Commons, giving rty to all persons to distil spirits from malted corn or cider, will, if sed, in a short time ruin Petitioners' trade, and much lessen the mue and be injurious to the public. Pray to be heard by Counsel inst the Clause. Signed Thos. Plesteed, Master, Wm. Mackley, in East, Sam. Shephard, wardens. L. J., XVI. 136. [The Bill brought from the Commons on 24 March (ib. 133). Counsel were of for the Distillers in C. W. H. on 27 March, but the Bill was orted without amendment and received the Royal Assent on 1 April. Min.; L. J., XVI. 142. 8 & 9 W. III. c. 19, Fol. Ed.]

152. March 29. Harrington v. E. Warrington (Privilege).—Petition S. sannah Harrington, the wife of John Harrington. Petitionering taken out a process against her husband, in the Consistory Court the Bishop of London, to answer a cause of separation or divorce

1697. No. 1151. a thoro et mensā by reason of crueity and adultery, and for alimony he refuses to appear, exhibiting a Protection from E. Warrington, a the Earl's solicitor, upon which the Court declined to proceed. Pray that the Protection may be set aside, Petitioner's husband never havin lived in the Earl's family, and Petitioner being thus forced to live wit an adulterous husband, in evident danger of her life, or to live from him unalimented and perish through want, although she brought him nearly 2,500l. Endorsed as read this day and rejected, "It appears that the said John Harrington was a servant to the Earl of Warrington and had been so for some years." L. J., XVI. 138.

11.3. March 30. Lustring Company &c. Act.—Petition of William Murray and George Brough, in behalf of themselves and seven hundreds of wholesale and retail shopkeepers. The Bill for encouraging the Royal Lustring Company contains a Clause that any person serve with a writ or process shall, at the return thereof, or the time of his appearance, give bail to answer the forfeitures and penalties for such offences as he shall be convicted of, or, in default suffer judgmen against him. This security is extraordinary, and bail should be accepted as in other cases is provided. Pray to be heard by Counse Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 139. [The Bill was brough from the Commons on 24 March. L. J., XVI. 134. In C. W. H. of 31 March Sir Bartholomew Shore and Mr. Northey were heard for the shopkeepers, and on April 1 an amendment was made leaving on the words "and in default of giving such bail or security judgmen shall be given against him, her, or them." M.S. Min. Royal Assert 6 April. L. J., XVI. 162. 8 & 9 W. III. c. 36, Fol. Ed.]

1154. March 30. Booth v. E. Warrington and others (Privilege). Petition of Cecil Booth. Petitioner, believing that his brother Henry late E. Warrington, died intestate, was prevailed on by the present En to take out Letters of Administration, and permitted John Harringto and John Edmonds to receive a great part of the personal estate, the present Earl, by himself and his agents, taking possession of the rest, a but the sum of 59l. 10s. and another sum of 50l., and three bonds and note, upon which Petitioner had never received any money, which is a that ever came to Petitioner's hands. Petitioner having joined wit the present Earl, at his request, in a Bill of Sale to secure a debt 3,000l. to the late Earl's eldest daughter, Lady Elizabeth Delves, the present Earl not only sent the late Earl's creditors to him, pretending that all the personal estate was in his hands, but endeavoured to get the Letters of Administration revoked in the Prerogative Court of Canter bury, upon suggestion of mal-administration, and, failing there as well? in Chancery, now insists on privilege for himself, as well as Harringto and Edmonds, to defeat certain decrees and judgments at law obtains by Petitioner before the Earl's privilege began, as well as a Bill son time since exhibited for the discovery of a deed, concealed by the prese Earl or his agents, whereby a small annuity was secured to Petition by an estate settled on trustees by George, Lord Delamere, his fathebeing all the provision Petitioner had from his father's estate. Pra that the Earl may be ordered to waive his privilege. Endorsed as rea this day. L. J., XVI. 139. [Lord Warrington's Answer was put in c 7 April, and Booth's replication on 10 April (ib. 143, 148); but, though the hearing was fixed for the 14th, and then for the 15th, it did not tal place before the prorogation on 16 April].

Annexed:

⁽a.) 7 April 1697.—Answer of George, Earl of Warringto Respondent, being about 18 years of age, permitted Petition

1697. No. 1154.

to take out the Letters of Administration for his benefit and during his minority, but not with any intent that he should meddle with the personal estate. Respondent, finding that Petitioner had joined with Sir William Gregory to his prejudice, and threatened to break open his closet in his absence, endeavoured to get the administration discharged. The Bill in Chancery was brought, as agreed on by Counsel for both parties, to indemnify Petitioner, and obtain the direction of the Court as to the disposal of the personal estate. Contrary to this agreement, Petitioner put in an adversary answer, insisting on his right to get the personal estate into his hands, and brought Bills in Chancery against Respondent and his servants, Harrington, Edmonds, and Delahuze, for an account, and actions at law also against the three servants, and has decrees and judgments against them, and Harrington has been his prisoner about two years upon mesne process, whereas he and Edmonds had paid away all they received (the greatest part towards debts and funeral expenses, and the rest by Respondent's order) before any suits were brought against them by Petitioner, and Respondent has always offered to be accountable for the whole. Petitioner also threatened to arrest Delahuze for jewels, which he only carried up to London to Respondent to be sealed up. Respondent never sent any creditors to Petitioner, nor is Petitioner in any danger of suit, as the administration is determined, and Respondent took care to inquire into, and promised to pay, all his father's just debts. Petitioner has already paid above 5,000/. himself, besides many hundred pounds more by his servants, towards debts, so that there is not more than 2001, due to any person, besides a few debts on bond to persons who, having interest, do not call for their money, and he has adjusted his sisters' demands of the legacies given them by the Duchess of Somerset. As for the complaint about the annuity, Petitioner did not proceed on his Bill of 15 Nov. 1694, and no deed could be found on search being made. Prays their Lordships not to compel him to waive his privilege, the prosecution being malicious and unreasonable. Signed by Respondent. Endorsed as read this day. L. J., XVI. 143.

(b.) 10 April 1697.—Replication of Cecil Booth, Esq., to preceding. The overplus of the personal estate, after payment of debts, was to be for the benefit of Respondent's younger brothers as well as for Respondent himself. It was Repliant's duty and interest to see the debts paid, and secure himself against creditors. He did not for some time endeavour to get out of Respondent's hands such part of the personal estate as he had possessed himself of till he found that his intention seemed to be to apply it towards clearing the debt which lay upon the real estate, and to charge Justice Gregory with 7,000l., which he seemed to think the Justice had permitted his father to receive, in breach of a trust reposed in him by the late Duchess of Somerset, for the benefit of the late Earl's two daughters, Lady Elizabeth and Lady Mary, as also to subject Repliant to a devastavit for applying part of the late Earl's personal estate towards securing 3,000l. to Lady Elizabeth, while Respondent and his agents were in possession of the effects which should clear the debts of a higher nature. But, before the appearance of such intention in Respondent, Repliant at his desire permitted Edmonds and Harrington, his then servants, to receive part of the late Earl's 1 6 9 7. No. 1154. personal estate, but called them to give an account as soon he perceived that they intended to dispose of it to his damage Repliant's joining with Sir Wm. Gregory was no further th Respondent then desired, and was but justice to the memory the late Earl, who had intended to secure to his daughters 7,00 he had received by Sir W. Gregory's permission, and wor have thought it a great reproach to him that Sir William show be obliged to make good the same out of his own esta Repliant's joining with Sir W. Gregory would, moreover, be prejudice to Respondent, who not only joined with Repliant what was done, but since his coming of age has promised perfect what was then begun, and in his answer says he h satisfied his sisters their legacies, which is the very joining wi Sir W. Gregory of which he complains. As to Replian breaking open the closet, it is true that, on seeing lette from Respondent to one of his sisters containing seve threats to her about Repliant's annuity, he said that, if were as resentful as Respondent, he should break of the closet where the deed of his annuity lay and do hims justice, but he never really intended to do so, nor of anything towards it. Respondent was blamed by the Juc of the Prerogative Court for his attempt to set aside the admin tration, the attempt being grounded only upon Replian endeavouring to pay a just debt owing by the late Earl to daughter Elizabeth. The meeting of Counsel was procured Repliant, in hopes of an amicable settlement; but, as the p posals of Counsel on the other side were a vain project, chie intended to keep the personal estate from Repliant and preven him from indemnifying himself against the creditors, nor v any reasonable proposal made for his security accordingly, at nearly ten months forbearance, he resorted to Common L and Chancery, whereas, if he had not been so forbearing, might have possessed himself of so much of the personal esta before the commencement of the Earl's privilege, as might have secured him against the creditors, who may, when they plea charge him with what he had permitted Respondent's servants receive, nor would their payments to Respondent or his ore any way discharge him, and yet no security was ever proffer him for the same. Delahuze, whom Repliant arrested, had so and printed some loose papers of the late Earl's. Repliant of prove that creditors of the late Earl have made demands up him, and that some have been sent for that purpose by Respo dent; but, even if this were not so, he is liable to pay all debts of a higher nature than those which are yet discharg and several bonds and other securities are yet unsatisfied. If administration is determined, as is alleged, Respondent need refuse to give him security to indemnify him against t creditors. Respondent has for two years stopped the paymon of the small provision made for Repliant by his father, refus to pay his brother the remainder of the money for which he l sold his annuity to the late Earl, endeavoured to deprive sister of part of her portion, and turned his two brothers for th years together to live on the charity of relations, though provide for by their father. The alleged search for the deed is pretence; Petitioner concealed for two years his father's w and, after protesting solemnly that it could not be found, so notice to Repliant, upon a quarrel with Edmunds, that it I

1697.

No. 1154.

been discovered about three weeks after his father's death. As to Respondent's never having waived privilege, he acted in Edmonds' Cause till 18 December last, being the day before Repliant was to move for liberty to take out execution of a judgment at law obtained against Edmonds, and this was seven months after Respondent had privilege. Respondent in his own and Harrington's Cause acted both as Plaintiff and Defendant, and did not insist upon privilege till the latter end of February last, when the Causes should have been reheard upon his own Petition. Respondent could not act otherwise than as a trustee in the administration, having no pretence to any property in the personal estate till the debts were paid. Prays that Respondent may be ordered to waive his privilege. Signed by Repliant. Endorsed as brought in this day. L. J., XVI. 148.

1155. March 31. ('oin (Prevention of Counterfeiting) Act. -- Amended

§ i. line 8, Fol. Ed. . . . from and after the fifteenth day of May, one thousand, six hundred, ninety seven, no smith, . . .

1. 19 . . . instrument or engine not of common use in any trade out contrived [or used] for marking† of money round the edges with etters, grainings, or other marks or figures resembling those on the edges of money coined in his Majesty's Mint, nor any press [which have be used in coining of gold or silver moneys] for coinage, nor any cutting engine [used or which may be used] for cutting round blanks by force of a screw out of flatted bars of gold [or] silver or other metal, nor shall knowingly buy . . .

1. 28 are hereby adjudged to be guilty of High Treason, and, being of the said offences or any of them convicted or attainted, according to the order and course of the laws of this realm, shall suffer

leath as in case of High Treason.

§ ii. l. 2 . . . from and after the said fifteenth day of May .

1.8 . . . are hereby adjudged to be guilty of High Treason, and being of the said offences or any of them convicted or attainted, according to the order and course of the laws of this realm, shall suffer death as in case of High Treason.

§ iii. l. 3 from and after the said fifteenth day of May

1.7 . . . is hereby adjudged to be High Treason, and the offender . . .

1.9 . . . shall suffer death as in case of High Treason. § iv. 1.2 after the said fifteenth day of May shall colour

1.8. . . . are hereby adjudged to be guilty of High Treason, and, being convicted or attainted thereof, according to the order and course of the laws of this realm, shall suffer death as in case of High Treason.

§ v. l. 3 after the said fifteenth day of May he hid after the said fifteenth day of May any counterfeit

§ vi. l. 3 . . . after the said fifteenth day of May shall blanch

1697. — No. 1155.

- 1.5°... or shall knowingly and fraudulently buy or sell or offer to sale any malleable composition or mixture of metals or minerals which shall be heavier than [copper] silver, and look and touch and wear like standard gold [or standard silver], but be manifestly worse
- 1. 10 . . . adjudged guilty of felony, and, being thereof convicted or attainted, according to the order and course of the laws of this realm, shall suffer death as in case of felony.
- \S vii. l. 2 . . . offences made treason or [misprision of treason] felony by this Act

1.6 . . . after the said *fifteenth* day of *May* be accused l. 7 . . . offences made treason or [misprision of treason] *felony*

by this Act

* Provided always, and be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid that, if any action, suit, bill, plaint, or information shall be brought or prosecuted against any person or persons whatsoever for what he or they shall do in pursuance or execution of this Act, or of any the authorities, powers, matters, or things therein contained, such person or persons, so sued or prosecuted in any Court whatsoever, shall and may plead the general issue of not guilty, and may give this Act and the special matters in evidence at any trial to be had thereupon; and, if any such plaintiff or prosecutor shall become non-suit, or forbear further prosecution, or suffer a discontinuance, or if a verdiet pass against him, the Defendant and Defendants shall have and recover his and their treble costs, for which he and they shall have the like remedy as in any case where costs by the law are given to Defendants.

[The Bill, which was prepared and brought in by the Judges in pursuance of an Order of the House made on 9 March (L. J., XVI. 116), was read 1^a this day (ib. 140). On 9 April in C. W. H. it was amended by filling up the blanks for the date with "1st day of May 1697" (which was altered on report to "15th"), by making offenders gnilty of high treason, and by the insertion of Clause A. (MS. Min.) It then went to a Select Committee and the officers of the Mint were ordered to attend (L.J., XVI. 147). On 11 April Mr. Newton gave evidence to the Committee as to the tools used in coining being only proper for that purpose (Com. Book). The Royal Assent was given

on 16 April. (L. J., XVI. 162.)]

Annexed :-

(a.) 9 April. Draft proviso or clause marked A, being § viii of the Act. The draft originally concluded with the words ("upon which the whole penalties and forfeitures shall be and enure to the use of the King's Majesty"). These words are struck through. [Added this day in C. W. H. MS. Min.]

1156, April 7. Hammond's Estate Act.—Amended Draft of an Act for the enabling the Sale of the Manor of Rowling in Keut, which by mistake was by general words comprised in the marriage settlement of William Hammond, gentleman, contrary to the meaning of the parties. The Lords' Amendments were to insert the names of the trustees. No Amendment in H. C. [Read I^a this day. Royal Assent 16 April. L. J., XVI. 143, 163. 9 Will. III. c. 65 in Long Cal. See also Com. Book, 13 April.]

* This proviso is not in the Act.

[†] Here, at the end of the Bill, it is noted to add Proviso A. (annex (a) below), being § viii of the Act.

Annexed :-

(a.) 13 April. Affidavit of Sir Robert Marsham, Bart., that the manor of Rowling and the lands in the parish of Goodnestone, late the estate of Gabriel Richards, were not intended to be included in the marriage settlement of William Hammond on his marriage with Elizabeth, the daughter of Mr. John Kingsford. He knows, having made the proposals to Mr. Kingsford during the marriage treaty. The manor and lands were then in mortgage to Mr. Wm. Masemore. Sworn on 12 April before Sir Miles Coke. [Produced and read this day before the Select Committee. Com. Book.]

1157. April 9. Writ of Summons (L. Berkeley of Stratton).—Writ Summons to William L. Berkeley of Stretton [Stratton]. Dated April 1697. [Sat first in Parliament this day. L. J., XVI, 146.]

1158. April 9. Knight's Divorce Act .- Petition of Mary Watkinson d Thomas Goodinge, junior, praying to be heard against a Bill passed the Commons to dissolve the marriage of Petitioner Goodinge th Hannah Knight, daughter of Petitioner Watkinson, whereby annah is rendered incapable of marrying, until she shall attain the e of fourteen, without the Lord Keeper's consent. The marriage as duly solemnised, with consent of Hannah's mother, the Petitioner atkinson, who was her proper guardian, and there was a Settlement ecedent which provided well for Hannah. Signed by both the etitioners. L. J., XVI. 146. [Read this day, after the First Reading of e Bill, which was brought from the Commons the same day, and Petioners ordered to be heard the following day. On 10 April Mr. Clerke as heard for Petitioners: We treated with the mother, who was the nardian. Mr. Dobyns (for same): The marriage was in church, fors open, and bedded. Two-hours' marriage of an idiot is allowable our law, though he cannot tell 20. We consent to the child's being the custody of a Master in Chancery. We hope you will not extend our legislative power to annul this act. Sir Tho: Powys (for Mr. aylor and Mr. Clarkson): These have no interest in the infant. Only ncles and trustees for raising the money for the infant. This is so far om being a marriage that both are at liberty to dissent, and [it] is othing but an inchoation. Sir Barth: Shore heard for the uncles d trustees; also for the Bill. Mr. Serjeant Goodwin (Goodinge) eard for himself; I knew nothing of this marriage until I heard it om my son. I told my son I would not deal with her, but her friends. s to the oath, it does seemingly reflect on me. I went with the other to Doctors' Commons. The mother did say about twelve. MS. in. The Bill was then read 2a, committed to C. W. H. (E. Bridgeater in the Chair), and reported without amendment. Royal Assent 3 April. L. J., XVI. 148, 163. 9 Will. III. c. 64 in Long Cal.]

1159. April 10. Marriage of Infants Bill.—Amended* Draft of an et to prevent undue marriages of Infants, and for better securing the nardianship of them. Forasıruch as divers good laws have been made discourage [maids and women] children who have had left unto em, by their parents, friends, or relations, lands, tenements, or greditaments, or goods and chattels, or credits, from contracting atrimony with loose, idle or disorderly persons that have little or estates in lands, tenements, goods, or chattels, and also for the trishment of such loose, idle, or disorderly persons that shall contract atrimony with such [maids or women] children, which have not

1 6 9 7. No. 1156.

^{*} Additions in italics, omissions in square brackets.

1 6 9 7. No. 1159.

proved sufficient to prevent the mischiefs thereby intended to be remedied, and therefore to avoid all manner of inconveniencies that may hereafter happen upon the like occasions. Be it enacted by, &c., That, from and after the first day of June, 1697, if any person shall contract matrimony with any male child under the age of sixteen years or with any woman or maiden that shall be under the age of fourteen years without the consent of the father or of such person* that by the laws now in force, or by force of this present Act, shall be guardian of such child, such marriage or contract of marriage shall be to all intents and purposes void and of no effect, and the party so marrying or contracting matrimony with such [maid or woman] child, his or her counsellor, abettor, aiderst or assisters to the solemnisation of marriage shall incur the penalty of pramunire. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid that, if any mother [who] shall happen to be guardian to her child that has an estate in lands, tenements, goods, or chattels, or is thereunto entitled by the gift or kindness of any friend or relation, shall take any husband after her right of guardianship shall accrue, that then, and from the time of her intermarriage with any such other husband, her guardianship shall cease and be determined as if she were actually dead. Provided nevertheless, and be it enacted by the authority aforesaid, that the Lord Chancellor or Lord Keeper or Commissioner for the custody of the Great Seal for the time being may, upon summoning such [woman] child, and also such friends or relations of him or her [upon] at such times and in such manner as he or they shall think fit, appoint such mother or any other person to be guardian of such child, unless the father has otherwise appointed the guardianship. Read 1ª and 2ª this day, immediately after the report of Knight's Bill (see No. 1158), and considered in C. W. II. (E. Bridgewater in the chair) on the 12th, when the amendments noted in the text above were made. After three amendments had been made progress was reported, and the Committee desired another time might be appointed for them to proceed; but the House went into Committee again at once, and the rest of the Bill was gone through. L. J., XVI. 148; MS. Min. The Bill went down to the Commons, who amended it on Third Reading (C. J., XI. 789), and a debate on the question that the Bill do pass was cut short by the Prorogation (ib. 790).]

116?. April 14. D. Richmond's Privilege (Holcomb).—Paper stating that Richard Holcomb, menial servant to D. Richmond, was arrested on 13 April by William Brown (Bailiff), at the suit of John Hyron, a cheesemonger in King Street, St. James's, while about the Duke's business. Brown being unwilling to meddle with him, Hyron said he would bear him harmless, if it cost him 200l. On Hierom Boteman, the Duke's Steward, warning Brown not to detain Holcomb at his peril. Brown answered Hyron would bear him harmless. Holcomb is retained a Prisoner at the Bush, over against Cole Yard in Holborn. L. J., XVI. 153. [Boteman this day stated to the House that the Duke paid Holcomb 30l. a year wages. On 15th Hyron and Brown denied that they knew he was the Duke's servant. Holcomb was ordered to be discharged. Hyron and Brown were reprimanded, and the latter discharged, paying fees. MS. Min.; L. J., XVI. 161.]

1161. April 14.—Poor Relief Amendment Act.—Clause as follows:
—Whereas in many parishes, especially in the cities of London and

^{*} An amendment to substitute "child" for "person" was made and afterwards cancelled.
† The question was put whether the words "aiders or abettors" should stand.

1697. No. 1161.

Westminster and the weekly bills of mortality, and in other cities, peroughs, corporations, and places in the kingdom of England and lominions of Wales, manifold great evils and abuses do frequently rappen and arise by and from select vestries, or pretended select vestries, livers private persons, under the colour and pretence thereof, and without the consent of the greater part of the most able and sufficient inhabitants of such parishes, usurping to themselves the sole power and lisposal of the public monies and stock and of the yearly revenues, rates, taxes, incomes, and profits belonging and appertaining to the same parishes, and which were given, granted, raised, and intended, and ought to be applied, for relief of the poor and other charitable public uses in case of the inhabitants of such parishes, such select vestry-men, or pretended select vestry-men, and other persons by them employed, wrongfully and unjustly applying the said monies, stock, revenues, rates, taxes, and profits to their own use, or expending and consuming the same, or the greater part thereof, in extravagant and unnecessary feastings and other eating and drinking, to the great loss and prejudice of such parishes. And whereas the said select vestrymen do take upon them to prepare and make the public rates of the said parishes, which oftentimes are very partial, unequal, and oppressive, and do frequently refuse or omit to give a due and just account to the parishioners of the public monies by them received for the use of the said parishes, and of their payments and disbursements of the same, and, for the sake of their private lucre and gain the said select vestrymen, by indirect methods and practices, impose and continue themselves in the said place and office of vestrymen for divers years together, for remedy whereof and of the like abuses and inconveniences for the future [The first sheet, paged 1, ends here. Then follow, in a different hand, two more sheets, paged 1 and 2, as follows:] And it is also enacted by the authority aforesaid that, in all cities, boroughs, corporations, parishes and places where select vestries or pretended select vestries have been held, used, or accustomed, it shall and may be lawful to and for every the inhabitants and parishioners that are rated at per annum to and for the poors-rates and taxes for the church and poor of such parishes annually and every year to proceed to the nomination and election of such number and so many of the chief most discreet and able men of each parish for to be vestrymen as hath been accustomed in every parish where there is and hath been select vestries, or so pretended to be, which persons so to be elected as aforesaid, shall be and continue in the place and office of vestrymen in the respective parishes where they shall be so elected for the space of one whole year from the time of their election and no longer, and shall and may during such time act, do, and perform all such lawful act or acts, thing or things, belonging to the said place or office as hath heretofore been lawfully acted or done by former vestrymen for the good and benefit of such And be it also enacted by the authority aforesaid that the parson, vicar, or curate of every such parish, two Sundays at the least before the election, immediately after morning Divine Service, shall give public and due notice to the parishioners and inhabitants of the time and place to meet and choose vestrymen for such parishes as aforesaid; and for the better maintenance of peace and good order in the performance of the same, it shall and may be lawful to and for the parson for the time being, and in his absence for the vicar or curate, to proceed at such election and to take the poll, and judge, of and by the same, who are duly elected vestrymen by the majority of parishioners as aforesaid, and, if any dispute shall arise or happen concerning the choice of any vestryman, then and in such case the person and persons 1697. No. 1161.

pretending to be aggrieved shall and may, within the space of ten days then next, appeal to the patron or patrons of such parish or parishes where such dispute shall happen, who is and are hereby empowered to examine and finally determine the same without any further appeal. And be it further enacted by the authority aforesaid that the parson, vicar, curate, and churchwardens for the time being shall be members of such vestry or vestries, and the parson, or in his absence the vicar or curate, shall be chairman thereof, and proceed therein, and also such parson or vicar in his or their absence shall and may depute or appoint some fit and discreet person in his or their place to act, sit, and proceed in the meetings and assemblies of the said vestrymen. And be it also enacted that all and every person and persons aforesaid who shall at anytime hereafter be elected vestrymen or members of any vestries as aforesaid, and the major part of them, shall and may have full power and authority within one month after such their election to summon and call before them all and every the vestrymen or members of former vestries, churchwardens, overseers of the poor, scavenger and surveyors of the highways of their respective parishes, and such other person and persons who have received and possessed themselves of any parish-money, rents, revenues, estate, or profits whatsoever, and to demand, take, and receive from them and every of them an account of such parish money, rents, estate, revenues, rates, taxes, gifts, gatherings, and all other public monies given and belonging to the several parishes or had and received by them, or any or either of them, and of all affairs, matters, and things belonging unto or concerning the church and poor and the highways of their respective parishes, and to take their respective accounts, and to pass and allow the same, if they shall happen to be just and true; and upon any defect or default therein the said vestrymen to be elected as is aforesaid, or the major part of them, shall or may make complaint thereof to the justices of the peace for the city or county in which such parish or parishes do lie, at the next general or quarter sessions of the peace, who are hereby authorised and empowered forthwith to hear, redress, and determine the same, according to justice and his Majesty's laws in that behalf provided. Provided always that, in all parishes where there are and have been payments made by the parishioners and others for the burial of the dead in the churches, chapels, vaults, or churchyards of their respective churches or chapels, nothing in this Act contained shall be construed to give the parson of the parish (without the consent of the vestry first had and obtained) any power to alter or change the rates of burials of the dead, or the rates of the pew-rolls by reason of any parishioners sitting in any seat of the church or chapel, so as the same be duly paid to the parson for the time being; and, for the more easy recovery of such fees, dues, or payments, it shall and may be lawful to and for the parson for the time being of such parish or parishes, upon refusal of payment thereof after lawful demand to be in that behalf first made, to commence and bring an action or actions of debt for the same in any of his Majesty's courts of law. Provided always that in all and singular the parishes and places aforesaid nothing in this act shall be construed to give the parson or vicar for the time being any power to alter, annul, or make void any deed or grant heretofore legally made or granted by himself or any of his predecessors of any part or parcel of the glebe belonging to the parish church, but such grant or grants legally made and granted by such as had good authority to grant the same shall be valid, according to the tenor and contents thereof, and no otherwise shall the parson for the time being be kept out of the possession of any part or parcel of the glebe than as is aforesaid. Endorsed This is a Clause to prevent the poor's being cheated,

und most humbly offered to be added to the Bill for setting the poor on work.—Select Vestries.—Clause offered 14 April '97. Withdrawn. The Bill for supplying some defects in the Laws for the Relief of the Poor was brought from the Commons on 29 March. L. J., XVI. 138. In C.W.H. on 14 April, E. Stamford in the chair, the Title and Preamble read and agreed. The enacting Clauses read and agreed to to the end of the Bill. Clause concerning Select Vestries (the Clause above) offered and read. Clause withdrawn. Clause for St. Albans offered, read and agreed to. Bill reported with addition of a Proviso (Sviii of Act).

MS. Min. The Bill received the Royal Assent on 16 April. L. J., XVI. 162. 8 & 9 Will. III. c. 30. Fol. Ed.]

1162. April 14. Stockbrokers Act.—Petition of sundry Merchants and other Traders in the City of London, on behalf of themselves and many others, praying to be heard by Counsel against the Bill to restrain the number and ill practice of Brokers and Stock-jobbers. Petitioners, by reason of the scarcity of money, have sold their goods for Bank Notes, and were necessitated to make many other bargains and contracts pursuant thereto. There is a Clause in the Bill making void former contracts and agreements, which will tend very much to the prejudice of trade in general, and will destroy the legal right of Petitioners. Signed-

James Bignoll. Edw. Long. James Parratt. Nath: Hathed. John Turney. Gabriel Glover. John Cox. James Lamouche. Charles Cabr Andrew Whelpdale, Sam. Dunckly. Isaac Gerard. Robt. Lancashire. Phin. Bowles. Nathaniel Maidstone. Peter Manvillam. Tho. Chambers.

[Read this day. L. J., XVI. 159. The Bill was brought from the Commons this day, and received the Royal Assent on 16th. Ib. 159, 162. 8 & 9 Will. III., c. 32, Fol. Ed. Sir Thomas Powys was heard for Petitioners on 15th April. I am for the Petitioners and the Bill also. The words of the Clause are to retrospect, which I am to speak to. We pray some words that may not extend to tallies and exchequer bills or retrospect in this case. Mr. Robert Lancashire (sworn): I have known East India Stock sold at 80 percent., and taken back at six or three months' end. Bank bills have been parted with, with a liberty to redeem them again. Gabriel Glover (sworn) says he has many contracts with several people for East India Stock and Bank Stock. reads a contract with Sir Wm. Scawen. Dumullen sworn and heard. Thom: Chambers (sworn): I have lent money upon Bank Bills. Mr. Whiston, the broker, was heard. The Bill was then read 2a, and committed to C. W. H. forthwith. In Committee, E. Stamford in the chair, the Clause objected to, concerning policies and retrospects, was amended by leaving out, in Skin 6, lines 35, 36, the words ("made and entered into or") after a division. Contents 29, Not Contents 30; Tellers, E. Scarbrough, L. Clifford. In Skin 7, line 11, Proviso A (annex a below) was added after ("assigns"). The rest of the Bill was agreed to. Ou report, the L. C. Justice was heard, and the House disagreed to the Amendments, after dividing on the first; Contents 25, Not Contents 34; Tellers, L. Wharton, L. Clifford. L. J., XVI. 160; MS. Min.]

Annexed:-

(a) 15 April. Clause A, as follows: - Provided that every policy, contract, bargain, or agreement made and entered into before the

1697. No. 1161. 1 6 9 7. — No. 1162. said 1st day of May, contrary to the tenor and purpose aforesaid, concerning any Exchequer Bills or Exchequer Tickets, shall be void and the premium paid back and restored, as if the same had been made and entered into after the said first day of May. [Added this day in C. W. H. and disagreed to on report. MS. Min.]

1163. May 13. Commission.—Commission for proroguing Parliament this day to 17 June next. L. J., XVI. 164. In extenso.

1164. July 22. Commission (Speaker of H. L.).—Commission for revoking the one for Sir G. Treby, L. C. Justice of the Common Pleas, to be Speaker. *Dated* 22 July 1697. *Parchment Collection*. L. J., XVI. 166. *In extenso*.

1165. July 22. Commission.—Commission for further proroguing Parliament this day to 26 August next. Parchment Collection. L.J., XVI. 166-7. In extenso.

1166. Nov. 23. Commission (Speaker of H. L.)—Commission for revoking the one appointing Sir John Holt, C. Justice of the Court of King's Bench, Speaker during the absence of L. Chancellor Somers. Dated 22 Nov. 1697. Parchment Collection. L. J., XVI. 172. In extenso.

1167. Nov. 23. Commission (Speaker of H. L.).—Commission appointing Sir George Treby, knt., C. Justice of the Court of Common Pleas to be Speaker during the absence of L. Chancellor Somers. *Dated* 23 Nov. 1697. Parchment Collection. L. J., XVI. 172. In extenso.

A.

Abbott's Anne, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.

Abergavenny, mentioned in connection with the Wye and Lugg Navigation Act, 202.

Lord, Petition from the tenants of, against said Bill, 202.

Abnett, Katherine and William, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Tilly v. Richardson," 373.

Accounts Commissioners, Report of, for 1694-5, 156-89.

Adams, William, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 507.

Addis, John. Attestation of, to a Consent to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

Addison, Capt., Master of the ship "Endeavour," from the Leeward Islands, cast away in the West Indies, 89.

John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Maryland, 422.

Adgerly, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Admiralty, The. Complaints made against them by Merchants, 64-69, 75-99.—Reflections by them on M. Carmarthen (I. Kiveton), 69-74, 92-94, 96-97, 99-115.—Papers delivered from them, 66-70, 72, 74-75, 89-99, 112-17, 124-25, 304, 315-40, 359-60.—Their action with respect to M. Carmarthen's charges against Capt.Cole, 74-75, 114-17.—Ordered to furnish an account of the condition of every ship, 126.—Counsel beard on their behalf on the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 152.—To give an account as to the number, condition, and sufficiency of the Fleet, 302.—To furnish copies of all orders sent by them to the Main Fleet, 302.—To for an account as to what care was taken to secure New-

Admiralty, The-cont.

foundland, &c., 302 .- To give an account of the effect of the late Act for registering seamen, 303.

-- Make light of intelligence as to French designs upon certain ships coming from Barbadoes, 311.—Refuse cruisers for their protection, 311.—List of persons illtreated by them, 350.—Orders sent by them to the Main Fleet. 315-37.—Intelligence received by them concerning the French Tou-lon squadron, 352-59,—Orlers sent by them to Sir C. Shoveli, 359. 362. - Communication to them of the Order for the Fleet's return from Cadiz, 359-60, 362.

Orders issued by them between 14th February 1695-6 and 1st June 1696, 360-62.—Letter from the Commissioners of the Admiralty to Sir G. Rooke, 361-62.— Order sent to him, 362.—Their answers to various questions put to them by the Select Committee on the Navy, 363-65.

See also Bridgeman, William.

Courts, Petition of Proprietors of several Plantations for erection of, 412.—Establishment of, in the Plantations, proposed by the Commissioners of Trade, 415-424-25,427-28.—Attorney-General's opinion as to the erection of, in the proprietary Plantations, 428.—Memorial of several Proprietors of Plantations to the Commissioners of Trade on the same subject, 428-29.—Petition of Proprietors to the King, 439-40.—Mr. Randolph's Memorials respecting the American Plantations referred to the Judge of the Admiralty, 455.—The Judge's Report, 435.

Ady, John, Amount paid to, out of the Customs, 177.

African Company, Views of, with regard to the Scotch East India Company, 3, 4, 6, 13, 18-19.—One of their forts taken by the French, 36-37.—Their losses at sea, and consequent complaints against the Admiralty, 65, 79-82, 87-88, 90, 97-98.

Trade, Remarks by Jamaica Merchants on, 19.

- Ailesbury, Earl of, fined 1007. for being absent from L. Mohun's trial, 263.—Present at a Jacobite meeting, 275.
- Alamodes, Provisions respecting, in the Lustrings Bill, 138-40.
- Albemarle, Duchess of, v. E. Bath, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege. 259.
 - Duke of, Agreement between E. Montagu and E. Bath with respect to the estate of, 254.—E. Montagu insists upon his privilege in all causes relating thereto, 256.
 - Earl of. Arnold Joost de Keppel E. Albemarle introduced, 511.
- Albert, Peter, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 34.
- Albertson, John, Signature of, to same paper, 33.
- Alborne Chase, Clause respecting the sale of, added to Jones' Estate Act,
- Alderne, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.
- Aldersey, Robert, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Aldred, Mr., mentioned in connection with the refusal of Letters of Mart to certain ships, 351.
- Aleppo, One of the three places to which the Turkey Company trade, 42.
- Algiers, Consul at, Amount paid to, out of the Customs, 177.
- Alienations. Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.
- Allanson, William, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
- Allen, Daniel, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 141.
 - David, made a Respondent to said Appeal, 142.
 - Samuel, Proprietor and Governor of New Hampshire, 443.
 - Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal " Hort v. Hort," 215.
- Allgood, George, Attestation of, to certain Consents to Heron's Estate Act,
- Allison, James, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege, 375.
- Almond, Lieut. Admiral, in command of Dutch ships, 344.
- Alston Burscough, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Ambassadors, Envoys, &c., Amount paid for, 157, 167.

- American Plantations, Effect of the Scotch Act on the trade to, 13, 21-22.—Provision in the trade with France Prohibition Bill respecting ships taken as prize there, 151 .- Smuggling there, 412.
- Amey, Thomas, One of the Proprietors of Carolina, 440.
- Andrew, Joseph, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill,
- Andrewes, John, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Andrews, Benjamin, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterder." 117.
 - John, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 239.
- Andros, Sir Edmund, Governor of Virginia. Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East Ind'a Company, 481-83.
 - George, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.
- Anglesey, Countess or, v. p. Case of, quoted on questions of Peers' Privilege, 254, 259.
 - Earl of, Sir Maurice Eustace r., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
 - Arthur E. Anglesey, mentioned in the Appeal "Coward v. L. Mohun," 519.
 - James E. Anglesey takes his seat, 2.—A Teller on a Division, 235.
- Anne, Princess, Amount of Tension paid to, 157.
- Annesley, Francis, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 34.
- Annuities, Amounts of, 159, 169, 171.— Amount borrowed on, 160.— Amount paid in satisfaction of loans on, 163 .- Amount received from lapsed annuities, 172.
- Anselme, Abram, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 272.

 Anthony, Samuel, Master of the Yacht "King William," lost on her
- voyage from Jamaica, 79.
- Antigua, Merchant ships from, taken by the French, 80-82, 88.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of 423.
- Appeals: Athyns, Sir Robert v. Tocke, 213-
 - Bampfeild v. Popham, 531-32.
 - Berrington, Mason v., 217-18.
 - Bromley College, Widows of, Lee Warner v. 193-94.

Appeals -cont.

Browne v. Dashwood, 271-72. Cecill, North v., 2. Clifton, Thornhill v., 119-20. Coward v. L. Mohun, 519-21. Dashwood, Browne v., 271-72. Davenant v. Midy, 196-97. Deere v. Gibbon, 126-27. Eastmond v. Sandys, 262. Fanshaw r. Fowlis, 506-7. Fowke, Winchester v. 268-69. Fowlis, Fanshaw v. 505-7. Foyle r. Swayne, 203-4. Gibbon, Deere r. 126-27. Grahme v. Harnage, 140-43. Griffith v. Harries, 62-63. Griffith and Morris v. Harries, 63. Hall v. Potter, 117-18. Harnage, Grahme v., 140.43. Harper, Sir J. v. Attorney-General of Ducby of Lancaster, 383-87. Harries, Griffith v., 62-63. Harries, Griffith and Morris v., 63. Hort v. Hort, 215-16. Jones v. Jones, 197-98, 515. Kettle v. Townsend, 368-70. Lancaster Duchy, Attorney-General of, Sir J. Harper v., 383-87. Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley Leigh v. Stydolph, 519. Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle, 260-62. Martin v. Stephens, 382-83. Mason v. Berrington, 217-18. Midy, Davenant v., 196-97. Mohun, L., Coward v., 519-21. Morris and Griffith v. Harries, 63. North v. Cecill, 2. Norwood v. Norwood, 195-96. Pawlin, Whitaker v., 3. Payler, Whitfield v., 530-31. Popham, Bampfeild v., 531-32. Potter, Hall v., 117-18. Radnor, Countess Dowager of, v. Vandebendy, 538-39. Richardson, Tilley v., 372-73. Rolle, Earl of Lincoln r., 260-62. St. Paul's, Dean of, Smith v., 143-Sandys, Eastmond v., 262. Shatterden, Watkins v., 117. Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's, 143-Stephens, Martin v., 382-83. Stydolph, Leigh v., 519. Swayne, Foyle $v_{\cdot \cdot}$, 203-4. Thornhill v. Clifton, 119-20. Tilley v. Richardson, 372-73. Tooke, Sir Robert Atkyns v., 213-

Appeals -- cont.

Townsend, Kettle r., 368-70. Vandebendy, Countess Dowager of Radnor r., 538-39.

Warner, Lee, v. Widows of Bromley College, 193-94. Watkins v. Shatterden, 117. Webb, Sir Cæsar Wood v., 191-92. Whitaker v. Pawlin, 3. Whitfield v. Payler, 530-31. Winchester v. Fowke, 268-69.

Wood, Sir Cæsar v. Webb, 191-92. Appleton, Lands at, proposed to be sold by Warburton's Estate Bill, 513.

Archdale, John, Junr., One of the Proprietors of Carolina, 412, 440.

John, Senr., a Quaker, Governor of Carolina, 440.

Archer, Andrew, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's

Armenians, East India Company's trade farmed out to, 39, 62.

Armstrong, John, Consent of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.

Joseph, a Quaker, named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458-59.

List of the Army, 131-35 .-Army. Amounts paid for the Land Forces, 157, 163.—Proposed inquiry into the Army abroad, 302. -Petition from a regiment in Ireland for payment of the

Arnold, William, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 241, 242.

Arran, Charles Earl of, a Colonel of Horse, 132.—Enabled to make leases of his estate by D. Ormond's Estate Act, 381, See also Weston, Lord.

Arreton, Manor of, mentioned in Lord Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Arrowsmith, William, Attestation of, to a Consent to Heron's Estate Act,

Ashburnham, Lord. Leave of absence given to him during the Proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder

Ashburton, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

Ashey, Jo., Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Ashley, Lord, One of the Proprietors of Carolina and the Bahama Islands,

Ashton, S'r Ralph, Bart. His marriage settlement amended by Ashton's Estate Act, 368.

Asplin, Francis, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege, 375.

Assize, Clerks of. Their offices in the gift of the Judges, 512.

Association for the King's Safety, Proceedings relating to, 204-13.—Clause respecting it in the Security of the King's Person Act, 246.

Aston, Capt., H.M. Master Attendant on Float. Admiralty Orders to him, 319, 326, 361.

Athlone, Earl of, Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.

Atkyns, Edw., Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet Prison, 402.

Sir Robert, Appellant in the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," 213-15.

Atlee, Roger, Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, 517.

Attainder of Sir John Fenwick, 274-301.

Attendance of Lords at a Committee, House to be informed respecting, 12.

Atterbury, Francis, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 269.

Attinga, Operations of the East India Company in, 41-42.

Attorney-General appears as counsel on a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 255.—On an Appeal, 531.

Attorneys, Privilege of, 251-53.

Attwood, Walter, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 216.

Atwood, Mr., appears as counsel on a Writ of Error, 269.

Robert, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 34.

Austen, Robert, One of the Commissioners of the Admiralty. Admiralty papers signed by him, 91, 92, 95, 96, 97, 114, 125, 315-20, 323-27, 329, 331-32, 335-37, 361.

Aylesbury, Earl of, refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.

Aylmer, Vice-Admiral Mathew. Admiralty Orders to him, 325, 335-36.

Ayloff, Benjamin, Amount paid to, out of the Customs, 177.

Ayre, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119, 120.

Azores, Exception in the Act of Navigation with respect to wines from, 17, 475, 486.

В.

Baber, Sir John, Respondent to the Appeal "Coward v. L. Mohun," 519--21.

Badgen, Daniel, Signature of, to a Petition from Gloucestershire Clothiers, 510.

Baesh, Dame Anne, and Sir Edward, Petition of, respecting the Writ of Error "Wade v. Heath," 268.

Baggs, John, Countersignature of, to an Answer to an Appeal, 143.

Bagley, John, M.D., Certificate from that L. Coventry is unable to attend the House, 265.

Bahama Islands, Proprietors of, ordered to attend the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 412.—
Attorney-General's opinion as to the erection of Admiralty Courts there, 428.—Representations from the Commissioners of Trade relating to a Governor for, 429-30.—Capt. Nickolas Webb proposed as Governor of, 429-430.—List of the Proprietors of, 440.—Capt. Webb appointed Governor, 440.—Capt. Webb appointed Governor, 440.—Cadwallader Jones formerly Governor of, 440.

Bailey, Arthur, heard as to the case of the ship "Avarilla," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 68-69.—His signature to a paper relating thereto, 98.

Baker, Michael, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Nicholas, Solicitor, reads at the Bar Goodman's Information against Sir John Fenwick, 277.

—His examination on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 278, 293, 298.

Thomas, Consul at Algiers, Amount paid to, 177.

Baldwin, R., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 194.

Bale, John, late Gaoler of Somerset, Petition o', in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 401.

Balfour, James, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5, 6.

Ball, James, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 305.— Letter to him from Ostend, giving information respecting privateers, 349.

Samuel, Signature of, to papers delivered by New England Merchants and Leeward Islands Merchants, 87, 89.

- Ballard, Edward, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382-83.
- Ballazore, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56.
- Baltimore, Lord, Absolute Proprietor of Maryland, 441.
- Bampfeild, ---, Case of, cited on Fenwick's
 - Attainder Bill, 281. Sir Copplestone Warwick, Bart., an Appellant in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham, 531-32.

 - Warwick, Esq., Executors of, Appellants in said Appeal, 531-32.
- Banastre, W., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 2.
- Banck, Jacob, Act for Naturalization of, 381.
- Bangor, Dean and Chapter of, Petition of, against Spademan's (Llandinam Rectory) Bill, 530, 533.
 - Humphrey Humphreys, Bishop of, takes the Oaths, 120.—Letter from him excusing himself from attending the -House, 265 .- Excused from attendance, 277.
- Bank of England. Amount paid for Bank Interest, 163.—Amount paid to, as commission on the Million Lottery, 157, 169.—Proposed in-quiry into, 302.—Shereholders in, not to be deemed merchants or traders for the purposes of the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 377.
- Banks, Sir John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209. Leigh, M.P., ditto.
- Battam, The English driven from the trade of, 40, 52.
- Barbadoes, Rents in, worth less than in India, 62 Losses sustained by Barbadoes Merchants at sea, and consequent complaints against the Admiralty, 64, 65, 66, 67, 76-77, 78, 80, 81, 82, 85, 88, 89, 90 91, 98.—Convoy for the Barbadoes Fleet, 65, 66, 67, 90, 94,95 .- Refusal of the Admiralty to provide cruisers for its protection, 311.—Cruisers ordered to look out for it, 329. —Measures for re-taking any ships captured by the enemy, 331.—
 Two of H.M. Ships stationed at Barbadoes, 342.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor, 423-24. The Governor commissioned by His Majesty, 444.
- Bardolph, Isabel, v. Lewar, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391-92, 393.

- Bardsey, Manor of, mentioned in E. Huntingdon's Answer to L. Hastings' Petition, 374.
- Barkham, Sir William, Will of, confirmed by Sir William Barkham's Estate Act, 193.
- Barkhamstead Manor Act, 144-45.
- Barking, Lands at, to be sold under Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- Barkstead, --, mentioned in the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville," 154.
- Barnes, Lands at, the subject of the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's," 143-44.
- Barnes, William, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua,
- Barnstaple, Wool from Ireland allowed to be imported at, 195.—Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.
- Elizabeth, Consent of, to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225. Baron,
- Baron Wild, Capt., Commander of the "Northumberland." Admiralty Order to him, 316.
- Barons of the Exchequer heard on the Petition of Sawrey v. E. Derby,
- Barrell, John, Master of the ship "Abi-gail," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- Barrett, Capt. Thomas, Master of the "Herne." His successful engagement with a French frigate,
- Barrowfield, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.
- Barry, Francis, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243, 510.
 - John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua, 423.
- Bartlett, Sir William. Silk produced in Virginia in his time, 471.
- Baskerville, James, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes Estate Act,
 - W., Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.
- Baskett, John, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 34.
- Bass, Jeremiah, desired by Mr. Penn as a witness before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 457.
- Bassenthwaite, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby 537.
- Batavia, Designs of the French upon the Dutch convoy from, 84.

- Bateman, John, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet,
 311.—Lists of ships to which
 Letters of Mart were given or
 refused delivered by him to the
 Committee, 312, 362-63.—Solicitor on behalf of several merchants
 to whom Letters of Mart were
 refused, 350.
- Bates, Thomas, Signature of, to papers delivered by His Majesty's Five Searchers to the Commissioners of Customs, 25, 26.
- Bath, Earl of. 'Petition of E. Montagu for waiver of the privilege of John E. Bath in certain actions, 253-59.—John E. Bath heard as to a precedent on a question of D. Devenshire's Privilege 259.—One of the Proprietors of Carolina and the Bahama Islands, 412, 440.

Duchess of Albemarle v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

- Bathurst, Sir Benjamin, delivers papers from the East India Company, 7, 23, 75.—Heard on behalf of the Company by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 8.
- Battely, Ch., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
- Bauwens, Paul, Letter to, from Ostend giving information respecting privateers, 349.
- Baynham, Capt., Master of the ship "Diligence," taken by the French on her voyage to Barbadoes, 77.
- Beadnell, Katherine, Consent of, to Midford's Estate Act, 192.
- Beake, Abraham, Signature of, to papers delivered by East India Merchants, 33, 34.
- Beale, Aaron, Master of the ship "Joanna," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- Bealing r. Sweetapple, E. Montagu insists upon his privilege in the cause of, 256.
- Bearcroft, Thomas, Certificate from, that Bp. Worcester is unable to attend the House, 263.
- Beare, Thomas, an Appellant in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham," 531-32.
- Beaufort, Duke of, declines to sign the Association for the King's Safety, 206, 213.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.—Letters from, excusing himself from attending, 266-67.—The Deputy Serjeant-at-Arms sent to bring him to the House in custody, 257.

- Beaumont, Col. John, a Colonel of Foot,
 - Beauvoir, Charles, Signature of, to papers delivered by His Majesty's Five Searchers to the Commissioners of Customs, 25, 26.
 - Beawes, Capt. William, a member of Councils of War called by M. Carmarthen, 108, 109.
 - Beckford, Peter, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.
 - Beckman, Sir Martin. Admiralty Order to him, 335.
- Beddingfield, Henry, Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet Prison, 402.
- Bedford, William, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns r. Tooke," 213-15.
 - William Duke of, Consent of, to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.
- Bedingfeld, Daniel, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 194.
- Beeston, Sir William, Lieut.-Governor of Jamaica. Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.
- Beetham, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Belasyse, Sir Henry, a Lieutenant-General, 131.—A Colonel of Foot, 133.
- Belcastell, Col. de, a Colonel of Foot, 133.
- Belhaven, Lord, a Subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.
- Bellamy, —, mentioned in connection with a printed libel relating to the Association for the King's Safety, 206.
 - Adam, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 33.
- Bellasise, Isabella, Consent of, to Poulet's Estate Act, 127.
- Bellingham, Allan, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.
 - William, mentioned in an Answer to said Appeal, 141.
- Benbow, Capt., ordered to proceed to the French coast with certain tomb vessels, 325.
 - Rear Admiral John. Admiralty Order to him, 336.
- Bence, John, Alderman, mentioned in Lady Katherine Fane's Estate A.t, 119.—Mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v. E. Derby, 539.

- Benett, Jane, mentioned in L. Fairfax's
 - - Dame Judith, ditto.
 - Levina, ditto.
 - Sir Levinus, ditto.
- Bengal, Wool exported by the East India Company to, 242.
 - Bay of. A fort wanted there, 41 .-Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. there, 56, 58, 60 .- Ships coming from, taken by the French, 75.
- Bengals from Persia and India, Bill for restraining the wearing of, 238-45, 509-11.
- Benn, John, Attorney, Complaint against, for having caused the arrest of a King's Servant, 223.
- Bennett, J., Signature of, to Answers to an Appeal, 194.
 - John, mentioned in the Appea. "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," Appeal 213 .-- Attestation of, to a Consent to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.
 - Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Penson, William, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Mer-chants, 33.—To a Petition from Merchants trading to the East-
- Berchaven, The ship "Antigua Merchant" taken by the French at, 65, 89,
- Beresford, Mr., appears as counsel on a Writ of Error, 154.
- Bergavenny, Honnora, Consent of, to Poulet's Estate Act, 127.
- Berkeley, Earl of, Lefter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 264.—Leave given to him to withdraw during the pro-ceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277, 278.
- Berkeley House, Petition relating to the sale of, 259-60.
- Berkeley of Berkeley takes the
 - of Stratton, Lord. John L. Berkeley of Stratton takes the oaths, 1.-- Makes a statement as to M. Carmarthen's movements, 72.— Orders Capt. Cole to join his fleet, 93.—Ordered to send the "Captain" to M. Carmarthen 93 -Bombards St. Malo and Granville, 114. - Petition relating to the sale of a house by him, 259-62.— Admiralty Orders to him, 315, 317, 318, 336-37.—One of the Proprietors

Berkeley of Stratton, Lord-cont.

- of Carolina and the Bahama Islands, 412, 440.—West Jersey leased to him by James II., when Duke of York, and by him to E. Billing, 442,
 - William L. Berkeley of Strat-
- Berkeley, Sir George, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attain-
- Berkley, Edward, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Berkshire, Earl of, Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 263.
- Bermuda, Merchant ships lost in a hurricane near, 66.-Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of, 424 .-Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.
- Berringe, -, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.
- Berrington, Humfrey, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington,
 - Thomas, Esq., Respondent to said Appeal, 217-18.
- Berrow, Lands at, the subject of the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215-16.
- Berry, Christopher, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Berryman, John, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege,
- Bertie, Hon. Charles, Amount paid to, f r ordnance, 157, 163.
 - James, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
 - P., Signature of, to a paper delivered by His Majesty's Five Searchers to the Commissioners of Customs, 25, 26.
 - Robert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Berwick, Town of, Amount of annuity paid to, out of the Customs, 175.
- Betenson, Sir Edward, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.
- Bethnal Green, Petition from, in favour of the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Prohibition Bill, 509-
- Beverly, Capt. Anthony, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.
- Bewick, Robert, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 352-83.

- Beyer, Francis, Signature of, to a paper delivered by the East India Company, 58.
- Bideford, Wool from Ireland allowed to be imported at, 195.—Convoys for ships from, to Newfoundland, 342.
- Bigg's, Thomas, Estate Act, 219.
- Bignall, John, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.
- Bignoll, James, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
- Bilboa, Two men of war to convoy merchant ships to, 337.
- Bill'ng, Edward, a Quaker. West Jersey leased to him by L. Berkeley, 442.
- Billing brook, Manor of, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 261.
- Billop, Capt. Christopher, called as a witness to prove M.Carmarthen's movements, 70, 71, 72, 73, 114.
- Bills, Rules of Procedure on. Proceedings on the question raised in connection with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129-30.
- Bilsington, An exchange of the Manors of, for messuages in London, confirmed by Ryder's Estate Act, 192.
- Bindon, Viscount, Case of, quoted on a que-tion of Peers' Privilege, 254, 259.
- Binweston, An estate at, vested in trustees, to discharge incumbrances, &c., by Kerry's Estate Act, 387.
- Binyon and Eveling, Case of, cited in connection with a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 375.
- Birch, Serjeant, mentioned in connection with the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Act, 224.
- Bird, William, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.
- Bishop, Robert, the same for Barbadoes, 423.
- Bishopstone, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason of Berrington," 217.
- Black Rod, Yeoman Usher of, sent to the Admiralty to summon the Commissioners, 72, 74.
- Blackborne, Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.
 - Robert, Secretary to the East India Company, Signature of, to papers delivered from the Company, 15, 18, 30, 43, 56, 76, 84. —to a Petition from the Company, 244.

- Blackiston, Nathaniel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.
- Blackwood, Robert, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5, 6.
- Blake, Daniel, informs the Committee on Trade and Plantations of Mr. Penn's inability, through illness, to attend, 412.
 - Mr., named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 459, 460.
- Blakey, Joseph, Attestation of, to certain Consents to Heron's Estate Act, 137.
- Blakwell, George, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
- Bland,—, Attorney, ordered to attend to answer a complaint of breach of E. Bradford's Privilege, 368.
- Blaney,—, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.—Mentioned in connection with Nicholas Baker's Examination on said Bill, 298.
- Blasquets, The, Certain of H.M. Ships ordered to cruise off, 103, 110.
- Blathwayt, William, One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.—Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 428, 430.
- Blencoe, Baron, heard on a Writ of Error, 270.—On Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275.
- Blinekhorne, William, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.
- Blondel, John, Signature of, to a Petition from the Lustrings Company, 139.
- Bloome, Robert, Signature of, to a Petiticn from Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries, 153.
- Blount, Lady Jane, Consent of, to Blount's Estate Act, 120.
 - Thomas Pope, Signature of, to the Report of the Accounts Commissioners, 189.
- Blount's Estate Act, 120.
- Board, Stephen, One of Mr. Randolph's witnesses before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 444.
- Bochan, Col. John, a Colonel of Foot, 133.
- Bodiham, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Bodington, George, One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
- Boer, S. B. Nagt. de. in command of Dutch Ships, 344.
- Bohun, George, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

- Bolingbroke, Earl of. Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.
- Bolles, Sir John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Bollington, Lands at, proposed to be sold by Warburton's Estate Bill, 513.
- Bolton, Duke of, a Member of the Select Committee on the question of procedure raised in connection with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129.— A Colonel of Foot, 133.—Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 265.—Ordered to be taken into custody, if not in attendance on a certain day, 265.
- Bolton, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Bombay. A place of little value, 36, 37.—
 Its strength, 41.—Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. there, 56.—For the yearly revenue there, 57, 58, 60.
- Boncour, Elias de, a Brigadier, 132.— Colorel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.
- Bond, Francis, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.
 - Thomas, Master of the "Trial," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Bonham, George, Clerk, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Booth, Cecil, Petition of, for waiver of E. Warrington's Privilege, 542-
 - Hon. Vere, a Respondent to the Appeal "Liñcoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62.
- Boothe, N., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 214.—Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
- Bostock, Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Leigh v. Stydolph," 519.
 - William, mentioned in the Answer to said Appeal, 519.
- Boteler, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 424.
- Boteman, Hierom, D. Richmond's Steward mentioned in connection with a breach of the Duke's Privilege, 548.
- Boulogne Bay. Sir C. Shovell ordered by Admiral Russell to enter the hay

- Boulter, Edmond, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 396, 399.—Clause securing the debt due to him from W. Lenthall, Warden of the Fleet, inserted in the Bill, 406.—Clause vacating deputations made by Lenthall, without his consent, inserted, 406.
- Boulton, —, appointed officer of the Customs at the Bahama Islands, 440.
- Bounties and Free Gifts, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167.
- Bourden, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423
- Bowden, Mr., Privileges of trade in India granted to the English in consequence of the surgical skill of, 38.
- Bowen, Paul, Letters received by him respecting French privateers, 305.—Examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 305. 306.
- Bowes, Mr., mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 278.
 - P., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 272.
- Bowles, Capt., Commander of H.M.S.
 "Lyme." His information respecting the movements of the French fleet, 337-58.
 - Phineas, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
- Bowley, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.
- Boyce, Edward, a Bailiff, Complaint against, for having arrested a King's Servant, 223.
- Boyle, H., Signature of, to the Report of the Accounts Commissioners, 189.
- Boys, Mr., mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293.
- Bradford, Francis Earl of, takes the oaths, 1.—Chairman of a Committee to prepare Reasons for insisting on amendments to the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129.— Letter from him excusing himself from attending the House, 268.—Leave given to him to withdraw during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277.—Breach of his Privilege, 368.
- Bradgate, William, mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Bishop of Llandaff v. Iliffe and Drage, 516.

Bradley, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Bradon, —, mentioned in a Petition of T. Stone, in custody for an assault committed at the House, 407.

Bradshaw, Alice, Consent of, to Fallows' Estate Act, 509.

John, ditto.

Sir Roger, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Bragg, Mrs. Katherine, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.

Branckley, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.

Brandenburg, Prince of, a Colonel of Dutch Foot, 134.

Brazil, Trade of, much diminished by the West Indies, 19.

Breane, Lands at, the subject of the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215-16.

Brent, William, mentioned in the Appeal
"Norwood v. Norwood," 195.

South, Lands at, the subject of the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215-216.

Brenton, Jahleel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.

Brereton, Edward, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's safety, 210.

Brest, Merchant ships taken by the French and carried into, 75, 85, 87, 95.—Orders to Rear-Admiral Hopson to endeavour to intercept the French fleet there, 95.

— Advantage of its situation, to the French, 100, 110.—French men-of-war fitting there, 352.

Bretherton, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Brewer, John, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 196.

Richard, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Briaton, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Bribery, Provision against, in the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 200-1.

Bridal, Phillip, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Bridgeman, William, Secretary to the Admiralty, examined before the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 65, 69.—Admiralty papers signed by him 91, 96, 97, 98, 116, 125, 315, 316, 317, 319, 320,

322, 324, 325, 326, 327, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337, 340, 342, 343, 359, 360, 361, 362, 367.

—Examined by the Committee of the whole House on the Scamen's Bill, 220.—By the Committee of the World House on the Scamen's Bill, 220.—By the Committee of the World House on the Scamen's Bill, 220.—By the Committee of the World House on the Scamen's Bill, 220.—By the Committee of the World House on the Scamen's Bill, 220.—By the Committee of the World House on the Scamen's Bill, 220.—By the Committee of the World House on the Scamen Scam

Bridgeman, William-cont.

men's Bill, 220.—By the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 305-6, 309, 310.—Papers delivered by him, 318, 362, 367.—Letter to him from Mr. Vernon, inclosing information from Osteud respecting privateers, 348.

Bridges, Sir Matthew, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Bridgewater, Wool allowed to be imported from Ireland at, by the Trade with France Prevention Bill, 195.—Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

Bridgewater, Countess of. Her case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Earl of. John E. Bridgewater takes the oatbs, 1.—Letter to him from Lady Richardy, consenting to Poulet's Estate Act, 127. — Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 128.— —A member of the Se'ect Committee on the question of procedure raised in connection with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129.— Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Nation (Attempt on the King's life), 204.—of the Committee for Privileges on Lucy's Petition against the Bisbop of St. David's, 221.—of the Committee of the Whole House on the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Bill, 225. — On the Ecclesiastical Courts Regulation Bill, 227.—On the Wool Bill, 247.—Letter to him from E. Bradford, excusing himself from attending the House, 268.—Delivers a Petition to the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 412.-One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416 .- His Signature to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 426, 427, 428, 430.—Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on Knight's Divorce Bill, 547. - on the Marriage of Infants B.Il, 548.

Bridgman, Holt v., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 391, 393

> Lord Chief Justice, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College,"

Brigadiers, List of, 132,

- Briggs, George, Petition of creditors of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 504-5.
 - John, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.
 - William, Marshal of the King's Bench. J. Withers allowed by him to go at large, 403.—List of prisoners transferred by him to G. Taylor, 404.—Petition from him against the clause in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill making void the grant to him of the office of Marshal, 408.
- Brisco, Mercy, Mother and Guardian of John Brisco, Consent of, to Midford's Estate Act, 192.
 - William, mentioned in above Consent, 192.
- Bristol, Wool from Ireland allowed to be imported at, 195.
 - Bishop of. John Hall, Bp. Bristol takes the oaths, 117.
 - Channel, Certain of H.M. Ships stationed in, 91.
- Broach, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56.
- Brockenborough, Samuel, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.
- Brocket, John, examined on the hearing of the Petition of M. Normanby v. D. Devonshire, 259.
 - William, ditto.
- Brockley, John Piggott, mentioned in the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215.
- Breckman, William, Attestation of, to a Consent to Stoughton's Estate Act, 119.
- Brockwell, Charles, Goods of, seized, in breach of E. Bradford's Privilege, 368.
 - Frances, Evidence of, in said case, 368.
- Brodrick, St. John, appears as counsel on an Appeal, 144.
- Bromehill, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Bromhall, Thomas, formerly Warden of the Fleet. His office mortgaged by him to H. Norwood, Esq.,
 - Thomas, an Infant, mentioned in the Appeal "Tilly v. Richardson," 372.
 - William, mentioned in the Answer to said Appeal, 373.
- Bromley College, Administration of, the subject of the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193-94.
- Bromley, William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210,

- Brooke, Charles, Collector of Customs in New York, Paper from him respecting the duties on English goods in Maryland, 500.—His remarks on Mr. Penn's suggestions for improved relations between the Plantations, 503-4.
 - Lord, to be attached for not attending the House, 263.—Letter from him, excusing himself from attending, 266.
- Brooke's Estate Act, 120.
- Brookes, Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Maryland, 422.
- Brotherton, Thomas, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 538.
 - Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Brough, George, Petition of, for amendment of the Lustring Company, &c. Bill, 542.
- Broughton, Manor of, Petition that E.

 Derby may waive his privilege
 in an action of Ejectment from,
 251-53.
- Brown, Henry, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill 299.
 - Peregrine, examined by the Committee on Trade and Plantations 413.—Paper from him, respecting illegal trade in Maryland, 465.
 - Simon, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382 -83.
 - William, Bailiff, Arrest of R. Holcomb by, in breach of D. Richmond's Privilege, 548.
- Browne, Benedict, mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Walcott v. The King," 269.
 - Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Prosperity," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
 - Francis, appears as counsel on an Appeal, 2.—Countersignature of, to certain Appeals, 63.—To an Answer to an Appeal, 520.
 - John, Assignee in Bankruptcy of John Hind, an Appellant in the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 271-72.
 - Mr., a Calenderer, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.
 - Rupert, Petition of, against the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Bill, 224-25.
- Brudenall, Col. Thomas, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

- Brunskell, P., appears as counsel for Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389.—Mentioned in an Affidavit on the same subject, 408.
- Brunswick, Prince of, a Colonel of Wolfembuttle Foot, 134.
- Buccleuch, Anne Duchess of, empowered to grant leases by the Duchess of Buccleuch's Estate Act, 225.
- Buck, Samuel, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 370.
- Buckle, William, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.
- Buckley, Edward, a Respondent to the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 271-72.
- Buckram Stiffeners, Petition of, for amendment of the Silks (Persia and East Iudies) Bill, 245.
- Buller, Francis, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Bullivant, Benjamin, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.
- Bulthrope, Manor &c. of, mentioned in Answers to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, r. Rolle," 261, 262.
- Burchett, Josiah, Secretary to the Admiralty, examined by the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 69.—Letters of, to M. Carmarthen, 70, 72, 73, 113, 114.—Offensive reply made by him to one giving intelligence as to French designs, 311.—Countersignature of, to Admiralty Orders, 315, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 329, 331, 332, 335, 336, 337.
- Burdell, Edward, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.
- Burdett, Robert, an Appellant in the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General of Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.
 - caster," 383-87.
 Robert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Burial Fees, Provision respecting, proposed to be added to the Poor Relief Amendment Bill, 550.
- Buriton, Lands at, mentioned in L.

 Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Burleigh, Ferdinando, Petition of, for leave to sue Sir M. Cooke, a Master in Chancery, 396.
- Burlington, Earl of. Leave given to him to withdraw during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277.

- Burnaby, Anthony, a Respondent to the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler," 530-31.
 - John, ditto.
- Burnham, Lands at the subject of the Appeal "Hort v. Hort", 215-16.
- Burthorp, Manor, &c of, mentioned in Answers to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle" 261, 262.
- Burton, Bartholomew, Amount paid to, for Her Late Majesty's Stock in the Bank, 157, 165.
 - Robert, Signature of, to a paper delivered by His Majesty's Five Searchers to the Commissioners of Customs 25, 26.
- Bury, Thomas, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.
- Butts, Edmund, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.
- Byard, Peter, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of New York, 423.
- Byatt, John, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege, 375.
- Byerly, Robert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209
- Byfield, Nathaniel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.
- Byron, John, proposed to be appointed a trustee underWarburton's Estate Bill, 514.
 - Lord. William L. Byron takes his seat, 143.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.
- Byrt, Thomas, Defendant in the Writ of Error "Strode v. Byrt," 515-16.

C.

- Cadiz (Cales), Provisions respecting ships carried as prize into, in the Trade with France Probibition Bill, 147.
 - Expedition, Sir G. Rooke to furnish copies of all orders, letters, and papers relating to, 302.—Letter from Sir G. Rooke in relation to said Order, 302, 314.—Papers delivered by him, 302—Capt. Cole crdered to proceed with certain ships to Cadiz, 316.—Similar Order to Capt. Baron Wild, 316.—Similar Order for the content of the column of

- Cadiz (Cales), Expedition—cont.
 Capt. Fairborne, 317.—Order
 for the Fleet's return from Cadiz,
 359-60.—L. Haversham's abstract of letters relating to Sir
 G. Rooke's return from Cadiz,
- Cæsar, Charles, Consent of, to Blount's Estate Act, 120.
- Cage, Robert, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Calais, Proposed bombardment of, 114.—
 The French fleet blocked up there by Admiral Russell, 212.—
 The Admiralty directed by D. Shrewsbury to give the necessary orders for preventing any ships from coming out of Calais, 327.
- ('alendrers of London, Petition of, against the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 245.
- Calico and Linen Dyers, Petition of, for amendment of the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244-45.
 - Printers, Petition of, to be heard on said Bill, 243.
- Calicoes, Dyed, &c., from Persia and India, Bill for restraining the wearing of, 238-45, 509-11.
- Calicut, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56.
- Call of the House. Letters of excuse from certain Lords, 263-68.
- Callembergh, Vice-Admiral, in command of Dutch ships, 344.
- Callington, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.
- Callow, Mr., mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.
- Calvert, Mrs. Ann, mentioned in the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 261.
- Cambridge, A messuage at, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368-70.
- Campbell, Hugh, Master of the "James," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
- Canaries, Certain of H.M. ships ordered to convoy a fleet of merchantmen from, to the Lizard, 112.
- Canary Trade, Incorporated by Charles II., 47-48.
- Canterbury, Archbishop of, gives information to the House on the hearing of the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 191.
- Capel, Lord, Lord Deputy of Ireland, Letter from M. Carmarthen to, 73, 102, 103, 108, 110.

Carey, John, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India merchants, 33.

- Carlisle, Bishop of, Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 267-68.
 - Countess of. A letter from Sir John Fenwick to his wife delivered at her house, 278.
 - Earl of. Charles E. Carlisle takes the Oaths, 1.—Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.—Gives an account as to his connection with Sir John Fenwick, 282, 289.—Desired by Lady M. Fenwick to obtain from E. Monmouth instructions for her husband's behaviour on the Bill of Attainder, 285.—Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on the Bill, 285.—Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.
- Carlow, Thomas, examined in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 292.
- Carmarthen, Peregrine Marquess of (Lord Keviton or Kiveton). Dispersion of his squadron by order of the Admiralty, 67, 68, 73, 94, 96, 103, 110, 113.—Reflections by the Admiralty on him, 69-74, 92-94, 96, 99-114.—His charges against Capt. Cole, 74-75, 96-97, 114-17.—Recalled by the Admiralty, 73, 94, 96, 104, 110-11.
 —Desires to be brought before a Court Martial, 73, 96-97, 104, 111.
 - See also Keviton, Lord; Osborne, Lord.
- Carnes, Alexander, One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
- Carolina, Acts of Trade and Navigation not duly executed in, 7, 21.—
 Proprietors of, ordered to attend the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 412.—Illegal trade carried on there, 419, 440, 465, 466.—Attorney-General's Opinion as to the erection of an Admiralty Court there, 428.—List of the Proprietors of, 440.—Col. Ludwell, formerly Governor of, 441.—Illegal trade connived at by the Governor of North Carolina, 441.—Silk and oil produced there, 471.—Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.
- Carpenter, Henry, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423,

Carpenter-cont.

Samuel, a Quaker, appointed joint Governor of Pennsylvania, but refused to act, 441-42, 458.

Carr, Caleb, Late Governor of Rhode Island and Providence Plantation, 443.

William, Attestation of, to Consents to Heron's Estate Act, 137.— Affidavits of, in connection with said Consents, 137.

Carter, Samuel, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 261.

Carteret, Lord, One of the Proprietors of Carolina and the Bahama Islands, 412, 440.

Sir George. East Jersey leased to him by James II., when Duke of York, 442.

Carterett, Sir Charles, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Cartwright, Rebecca, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Thomas, M.P., reported not to have subscribed the Association for the King's Safety, 209.— This report incorrect, 212.

Ursula, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 508.

Carwar, Value of the East India Company's forts at, 41.—Amount allowed in the Company's balance theet for good debts there, 56.

Cary, George, Physician, Certificate from, that V. Weymouth is unable to attend the House, 213.

Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 240.

Rd., Signature of, to a paper delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants, 89.

Cassambaser, Increased production of silk at, 20.—Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c., there, 56.

Castle Yard, Holborn, A charge on certain houses in, the origin of the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns r. Tooke," 213.

Castleton, George Lord, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Catchpole, Mr., accused of piracy, 38.

Catley, Manor of, the subject of the Appeal "Mason v. Be:rington," 217-18.

Cawfield, Fra., Signature of, to a paper delivered by New England Merchants, 87

Cecill, Philip, Respondent to the Appeal "North v. Cecill," 2.

Ceylon, Fortresses of the East India Company of Holland in, 34, 40.— Designs of the French against the Dutch convoy from, 84. Chadwick, Ja., Signature of, to papers delivered by the Commissioners of Customs, 17, 22, 24, 26, 420, 445, 454.

Chaffin, Ebenezer, One of Mr. Randolph's witnesses before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 412, 444.

Chamber, Treasurer of the. Amount issued to him from the Exchequer, 157, 167.

Chamberlain, Sir James, Trustees of, enabled to exchange lands by Chamberlain's Estate Act, 153.

Dame Margaret, Consent of, to said Act, 153.

Chamberlain, Lord. See Dorset, Earl of.

Lord Chamberlain and E. Northumberland v. Duchess of Somerset, Case of, quoted on a question
of Peers' Privilege, 254, 259.

Chambers, —, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill. 300.

Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551. — Heard on the Stockbrokers' Bill, 551.

Chancery, Question of the jurisdiction of, in matters of freehold, raised in the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," 213-15.

Chandos, James Lord, takes the Oaths, 193.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.

Channel Islands, Restriction on the exportation of wool to, from Southampton, by the Trade with France Prevention Bill. 195.

Channel, The, Want of cruisers in, 65, 87, 89-90.—Merchant Ships taken by the French in, 81, 87, 88, 90.—Certain of H.M. Ships stationed in, 91, 97.—English ships taken for a French fleet in, 109.—List of Ships for the Fleet in, 124, 316.

Chapman, Thomas, Attestation of, to Consents to Blount's Estate Act, 120.

Charitable Gifts Act, nearly identical with the Mortmain (Licence of Alienation) Bill, 222.—Amended Draft of the Act, 245.

Charles II., Grant by, of Sussex, Kent, and Newcastle, in America, to James II., when Duke of York, 441.—Similar grant of New Jersey, 442.

Charnock,—, to be sent on a mission to King James, 275, 281.—Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 299.

- Chary, Elizabeth, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368-70.
- Chateaurenault, Mons. de, French Adr'ral. Intelligence respecting his movements, 353, 355, 356.
- Chatham, Chest at, a certain portion of naval prize money proposed to be allotted to, by the Trade with France Probibition Bill 146.
- Chatham Dockyard, Accounts of Naval Stores at, 314-15.
- Chaym, Ettimand, Governor of Surat, 37.
- Cheney, Charles Lord, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Cheseley, James, examined before the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 4, 5.
- Chester, Wool from Ireland allowed to be imported at, 195.
- ('hester, Sir Henry and Lady, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191.
 - Samuel, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Chesterfield, Earl of, declines to sign the Association for the King's Safety, 206, 208.—Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 266.
- Chichester. Proposal in the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill that elections of Members for Sussex should be adjourned from Chichester to Lewes, 377-78.
 - Bishop of. John Williams, Bp. Chichester, takes the Oaths, 370.
 - Bishop of, v. Churchwardens of St. Peter the Great, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
- Chief Baron heard on certain Bills, 217, 512, 527.—On a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 251, 252.—On an Appeal, 261.—On Writs of Error, 270, 516.—On Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275.—A clause, proposed to be added to the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, referred to him, 398.—Further proposed clauses handed in by him, 399.—Makes a statement to the Select Committee on the Bill, 399.
- Chief Justice of the Common Pleas. Chief Justice Pollexfen mentioned in the Report of the Committee on Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 393.
- Chief Justice Treby heard on Appeals, 141, 144.—Letter to him from E. Rutland, excusing himself from attending the House, 212.—Heard on certain Bills,

Chief Justice Treby--cont.

217, 395, 512.—On a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 234, 255.—On a Writ of Error, 270.—On Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275, 278.— Revocation of his Commission as Speaker of the House, 552.—Commission appointing him Speaker, 552.

- Chief Justice of the King's Bench. Chief Justice Holt heard on a Writ of Error, 155.—On Bills, 217, 224, 234, 245, 246.—Consulted as to the drafting of a clause in an Estate Bill, 271.—Heard on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275, 278.—Directed to draw a Bill relating to Privilege of Parliament, 372.—Consulted by the Commissioners of the Great Seal as to the office of Warden of the Flect, 387, 388.—Mentioned in the Report of the Committee on Leighton's Petition relating thereto, 393.—A letter ordered to be sent to him for information respecting a Writ of Error, 516.—Revocation of his Commission as Speaker of the House, 552.
- Child, Sir John, Opinion of, as to the value of Bombay, 36.
 - Mr., expelled by the Mogul, 37.
- Childpoole, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Joan," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
- Chilton, Edward, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governors of Virginia and Maryland, 422.
- China, Trade to, established by the East India Company, 42.—Wool exported by the Company to, 242.
- Chiswell, Richard, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 33.
- Chivalry, Court of, Jurisdiction of, the subject of the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville," 154-55.
- Cholmondeley, Hugh Lord, takes the Oaths, 2.
- Cholmondly, George, Colonel of the Grenadier Guards, 132.
- Christian, Lieut. Charles, called by M.
 Carmarthen as a witness to
 prove his movements, 70, 71.
- Chudleigh, Hugh, Amount paid to, out of the Customs, 177.
- Church, Anthony, Warden of the Fleet, Petition of, against Baldwin Leighton's (Warden of the Fleet) Bill, 395, 400-401.—
 Examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 396.
 —Papers delivered by him to the Committee, 402. T. Pitcher

Church, Anthony-cont. allowed by him to escape and remain at large, 403. — Deed transferring the prisoners to his

custody from that of T. Fox, 403-404. — Judgment obtained against him for the escape of a debtor, 406.

Churchill, Charles, a Major-General, 131. -A Colonel of Foot, 132.

Chutanuttie, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c., at, 56.

Chute, -, Signature of, to a Royal Commission, 419.

Cinque Ports, Provision for saving the rights of, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 150, 152. -Time proposed to be extended by the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill for the issue of precepts for the election of Members for the Cinque Ports,

Cinquille, Col., a Colonel of Hanover Foot, 134.

Clansey, Mr., endeavours to buy off Porter's evidence against Sir J. Fenwick, 275, 278, 281.—Petition of Sir J. Fenwick that Clansey, a prisoner in Newgate, might give evidence on the Bill of Attainder, 277, 296-97.

Clapham, A house at, the subject of the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke,"

Clarencieux King of Arms, Alleged infringement of the rights of, the origin of the Writ of Error, "Oldys v. Domville," 154-55.

Clarke, Capt., Master of the East India Company's ship "Hawk," which successfully engaged two French privateers, 83.

Edward, Lord Mayor of London, Signature of, to a Petition in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Sir Gilbert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

James, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants and Shipowners against the Plantation Trade Bill, 233.

Philip, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Maryland, 422.—Named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 459, 460.

Samuel, one of the Commissioners of Customs, examined by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 7.—Signa-ture of, to papers delivered by Clarke, Samuel--cont.

the Commissioners, 17, 22, 24, 26, 420, 445, 446, 454, 472, 491.— Heard by the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 411.— Papers delivered by him, 411.

Clarke, Sir Talbot, Plaintiff in the Writ of Error "Clarke v. Cary," 222-23.

Clarkson, Mr., mentioned in connection with Knight's Divorce Act, 547.

Claxby, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Claypole, Mr., Naval Officer at Newcastle in Delaware, 463.

Clayton, Robert, one of the Commissioners of Customs, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Comsioners, 17, 22, 24, 26, 445, 454.

Clear, Cape, Ships to cruise near, 67, 68, 82, 85, 86, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 99, 103, 106, 107, 108, 110.—
Merchant ships taken by the French near, 78, 87, 89, 90.

John, Consent of, to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Clement, John, a Respondent to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203-204.

Clements, Mr., Saving clause, for securing a debt due to him, added to the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 399, 406.

Clerke, Mr., appears as Counsel against Knight's Divorce Bill, 547.

Cleveland, Duchess of, Amount of pension paid to, 187.

Clifford, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Clifford, Lord, a Teller on Divisions, 197

Clifton, Sir Gervase, a Respondent to the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton, 119-20.

Jane, mentioned in said Appeal,

Robert, ditto.

Dame Sarah, a Respondent to said Appeal, 119-20.

Sir William, mentioned in said Appeal, 119.

Clippers, Amount expended in prosecu-ting, 157, 169.

Clitherow, Christopher, an exchange of lands by, confirmed by Ryder's Estate Act, 192.

Clobery, Christopher, mentioned Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.

John, ditto. Martha, ditto.

Clothiers and Clothworkers, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244.

Clowdesley, Paul, Signature of, to a Petition from the Lustrings Company, 139.

Coachmakers' Company, Petition of, to be heard before the second reading of the Wool Bill, 247.

Coalbeck, Elizabeth, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.

Coates, John, Master of the "Rose," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.

Cobbe, Geoffrey, a Respondent to the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis," 506-507.

Cobham, Joseph, Consent of, to Stockley's Estate Act, 511.

Thomas, ditto.

Cock, Elizateth, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 507.

George, ditto.

John, ditto.

Mr., examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.

Robert, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege, 375.

Timothy, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 508.

William, Estate of, proposed to be vested in trustees for payment of debts by Cock's Estate Bil¹, 507– 508

Cockaine, Archibald, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua, 423.

Coffee, Tea, &c., Amount received at the Exchequer from, 164.—Account of, 180, 181.

Cofferer, The, Amount issued from the Exchequer to, 157, 167.

Coin (Prevention of Counterfeiting) Act, 545-46.

Coinage. Effect of the East India Company's privileges on the state of the coinage, 54.—Committee of the whole House on the state of the coinage, 128.—Cash remaining at the Exchequer on coinage, at Michaelmas 1695, 159.—Account of, 180, 181.

Coinage (Plate) Act, Petition against, 234-35.

Coinage (Silver) Bill, 128-31.

Coke, William, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 507.

Colchester, Thomas Viscount, mentioned in the Petition of T. Cooper v. E. Derby, 532.

Cole, Christopher, Consent of, to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

> Lawrence, a member of the Common Council of London, refuses to sign the Association for the King's Safety, 211.

Cole, Christopher-cont.

Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Capt. Thomas, Commander of the "Dreadnought." M. Carmarthen's charges against him, 68, 73, 74-75, 93-97, 111-12, 114-17.—Admiralty Orders to him, 69, 70, 93, 94, 96, 102, 104, 112, 316.—M. Carmarthen ordered to join him in the Soundings, 93.—His squadron and merchant ships taken by M. Carmarthen for the French, 93, 96, 102, 110.—M. Carmarthen's efforts to find his squadron, 93, 101, 104-106, 107, 108.—Ordered to join L. Berkeley's fleet, 93.—The "Portland" called off from a chase by him, 112, 113.

Coleman, Mr., Clerk of the Errors, mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Strode v. Byrt," 516.

Colepeper, Alexander, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Charlotte, ditto 533.

Katherine, ditto, 535.

Lord. John L. Colepeper takes the Oaths, 1.—Appointed one of the Managers of a Conference with the Commons, 6.—Mentioned in L. Fa'rfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Thomas L. Colepeper, deceased, Bill to enable L. Fairfax to raise monies charged upon his estate by, 533-36.

Margaret Lady, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Thomas, ditto, 533.

Sir Thomas, ditto, 534.

William, heard on said Bill, 536.

Colinge, Richard, Signature of, to Orders of Council, 446, 455.

Colleton, Sir John, One of the Proprietors of Carolina and the Bahama Islands, 412, 440.

Collingham, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings r. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Collingwood, Col. Francis, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Collumbine, Col. Ventris, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Collyear, Sir David, a Brigadier, 132.—
A Colonel of Foot, 133.

Col. Walter Philip, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Colt, Col. Edward Dutton, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Committees. Complaint made to the House of the insufficient attendance of Lords at a Committee, 12. Commons, House of. Qualifications of Members proposed in the Parliamentary Elections (Qualifi-cation of Members) Bill, 199-201.—Petitions against the pro-

posed qualifications, 216-17.

See also Members of Parliament; Speaker of the House

of Commons.

Companies, Shareholders in, not to be deemed merchants or traders for the purposes of the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill,

Compositions, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.

Compton, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Compton, Henry, Bishop of London, takes the Oaths, 1.

Conaway, Robert, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

Coningsby, Thomas Lord, Amount paid to, for the Irish Army, 157, 163.

Connecticut, Attorney General's opinion as to the erection of an Admiralty Court in, 428.—Held by charter from Charles II., 442. -Col. Treat, Governor of, 442.

Constantine, Ch., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 538.

William, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of

Constantinople, One of the three places at which the Turkey Company had established trade, 42.

Convoys, List of, 124-25. Sent to Newfoundland, 342.

Conway, Sir John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Cooke, John, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 51ő.

Sir Miles, a Master of Chancery, mentioned in certain Appeals, 140, 369, 373.—Affidavits sworn before him, 375, 409, 547.—Petition of F. Burleigh for leave to sue him, 396.

Peter, Son of Sir M. Cooke, Evidence taken at the trial of, proposed to be read during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attributed Proceedings on Technical Proceedings of the Proceedings o tainder Bill, 277.-H. Sherbrooke examined as to Goodman's evidence at Cooke's trial, 278.— Mentioned in the Petition of F. Burleigh v. Sir M. Cooke, 396.

Cookes, Samuel, servant to L. Coventry, Affidavit of, that his Lordship is unable to attend the House, 265.

Cooling, Mr., One of the clerks of the House, 68, 74, 303, 304.

Cooper, Thomas and Lady Charlotte, Petition of, for waiver of E. Derby's

Coote, Col. Richard, a Colonel of Foot,

Copleston, Lady, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petition for Relief, 409.

Copplestone, Sir John, mentioned in connection with the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath, 256, 257,

Corbin, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Sarah" lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.

Cornforth, Henry, Rickards v., Cause of, mentioned in connection with the Petition of E. Montagu v. E.

Batb, 254, 257.

Cornwallis, Lord, a Teller on Divisions, 8, 242.—A Member of the Select Committee on the question of procedure raised in connection with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129.—Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members, &c.) Bill. 217.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the difference between D. Devonshire. M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.

Corrupt Practices, Provision against, in Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 200-201.

Coryton, Sir William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Cottage, Thomas, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382-83.

Cottenham, Lands at, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend, 363-70.

Couleir, John, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants and Shipowners,

Coules, Thomas, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Hort v. Hort,"

Council, The, Applications to, respecting the delay in the sailing of the Barbadoes Fleet, 65.—Order of, on this subject, 66.

Counter, -, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 291, 299:

Courteney, Francis, M.P., does not sub-scribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Courtland, Stephen, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of New York, 423.

- urtney, Nicholas, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 506.
- Sutts, Thomas, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 4, 5.
- Coventry and Lichfield, Bishop of, to be attached for not attending the House, 263.
 - Bishop of, r. D. Southampton, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
- Loventry, Lord, having failed to attend the House to be sent for in custody, 263.—Letter of excuse from him, 264-65.
- Coward, William, Scrgeant at-law, Appellant in the Appeal "Coward v. L. Mohun," 519-21.
- Jox, Dr., one of the Proprietors of New Jersey, 442.
 - John, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
- Coxe, Daniel, Signature of, to a Petition from Proprietors of American Plantation, 440.
- Coy, Col. John, a Colonel of Horse, 132.
- Coychurch, a property at, the subject of the Appeal 'Deere v. Gibbon,"
- Cranborne, Jane, examined on Fenwick's
 Attainder Bill, 280.
- Crane, James, Slop-seller, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 241.
- Cranfield, Edward, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.
- Cranmer, alias Wood, Sir Cœsar, Appellant in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191-92.
 - Charles, mentioned in said Appeal,
 - Henry, ditto.
 - Jos., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
 - Mary, mentioned in the Apreal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191.
 - Samuel, ditto.

(g.)

- Cratford, Christopher, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Craven, Earl of, appointed one of the Managers of a Conference with the Commons, 6.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—One of the Proprietors of Carolina and the Bahama Islands, 412, 440.
- Crawford, Christopher, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.
 - P., Countersignature of, to Answers to certain Appeals, 117, 143, 519.

Creditors, Bill for the better security and relief of, 235.

- Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Act, 504
- Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.)
 Act, 396-410.
- Cresset, John, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.
- Cresset, Mr., appears as Counsel on a Petition in connection with an Appeal, 127.
- Cressey, Martin, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 120.
- Creswicke, Francis, Petition of, complaining of the conduct of J. Farrington, acting Marshal of the King's Bench Prison, 401, 508-509.
- Crew, Lord. Leave given to him to withdraw during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277.
- Crier, Benjamin, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 424.
- Crisp, Samuel, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Watkins r. Shatterden," 117.
- Cromwell, Thomas, Earl of Essex. His case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
- Crone, Mr., Confession of, alluded to in William Fuller's Petition respecting Jacobite Plots, 273.
- Crosley, Mr., a weaver, heard against the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Prohibition Bill, 510.
- Crosse, Mrs. Anne, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.
 - Capt., Commander of the "Pover." Admiralty Orders to him, 322, 324.
- Crowle's Estate Act, 537.
- Crowther, Jeremiah, Attestation of, to a certificate from the Minister, &c. of St. Martin's-in-the-Fields, 198.
- Cruisers, List of, 124-23.—Provided for by the Wool Act, to cruise from the North Foreland to the Isle of Wight, 247.—Inquiry as to the appointment of, 307.—Refusal of the Admiralty to provide cruisers for the protection of ships coming from Barbadocs, 311.—Appointed for the Soundings, 321, 329.
- Cumberland, George, Duke of, (Prince George of Denmark) takes the Oaths, 2.
- Cunningham, Col. Richard, a Colonel of Dragoons, 132.
- Curaçoa, Illegal trade carried on from the American Plantations to, 419, 440.

Currance; Mr., Consent of, to Warner's Estate Act, 135.

Curtes, Sir George, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Curtis, John, Affidavit of, respecting the charges made against J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 408-409.

Curwin, Jonathan, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.

Custom House, Balance at, at Michaelmas, 1694, 156.—Amount of interest paid at, 159.—Amount of tallies paid at, 159.—Loans paid at, 159.—Cash remaining at, at Michaelmas 1695, 159.

Customs, Exemption from, granted to the Scotch East India Company by their Act, 13, 14, 17.—Injury to the revenue of likely to result from the establishment of the Scotch Company, 14, 17, 22.—Advantage to, of the establishment of the East India trade in a regulated Company, 32, 33, 39.—Importance to, of the safe arrival of the East India Company's ships, 83.—Amount borrowed on, 158.—Amounts paid in satisfaction of loans on, 159, 161.—Amount received from, 162.—Account of, 172-77.—Petition from persons who had lent money on the security of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244.

Commissioners of, summoned to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 3-4, 6-7.— Papers delivered by them, 6, 7, 17, 21-29, 411, 413-14, 419-25, 444-45, 472-88, 491-504. Examined by a Select Committee to whom their papers had been referred, 7 .- Returns from them ordered, 5, 6-7. - Powers in matters of naval prize proposed to be conferred upon them by the Trade with France Prohibi-tion Bill, 145-53.—Presentment from them to the Treasury as to the suppression of the trade between Scotland and the Scotland Plantations, 446.—Their Report on Mr. Randolph's proposals respecting the American Plantations, 451-54. - Report of a Committee of the whole Council thereon, 454-55. - Instructions given to the officers sent by them to the American Plantations, 472-81.— Their observations on Mr. Penn's papers, 491-94, 500-501.—Queries from them on his suggestions for improved rela-tions between the Plantations, 502.—His answers, 502-503.-

Customs, Commissioners of ---cont.

Remarks addressed to them, by
Charles Brooke, thereon, 503-504.

Comptroller General of the Accounts of, Reports from, as to the preparation of a Return ordered by the House, 24, 25, 27-29.

Cutler, Sir John, Office of Marshal of the King's Bench mortgaged to him by W. Lenthall, 399.

Cutts, John, Lord. Message to the Commons for leave to him to be examined before the Committee on L. Kiveton (M. Carmartien) and the Admiralty, 70.—His evidence, 71.—A Brigadier, 132.—Colonel of the Coldstream Guards, 132.

Cyprus. The Ketch "Serpent" surprised by the French in a port there, 78.

D.

- Dagger, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Endeavour," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 88.
- Daggett, —, Master of the Merchant Ship "Pelican," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- Dalkeith, James Earl of, empowered to grant leases by the Duchess of Buccleuch's Estate Act, 225.
- Dalston, Thomas, Esq., proposed to be appointed a trustee under Fletcher's Estate Bill, 199.
- Danforth, Thomas, One of the proposed Commis-ioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.
- Daniel, William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Danish Foot, Strength of, 134.
- Danish Horse, Strength of, 134.
- Danyell, Jo., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 261.
- Darby, Paul, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries, 153.
- Darell, Edward, Signature of, to a pajer delivered by East India Merchants, 33.—To a Petition from Staticners, 517.
- Darnell, Mr. Serjeant, appears as counsel on certain Bills, 139, 505.— On a Writ of Error, 154.
- Parrell, Capt., examined on the Silks (Persia and East In lies) Bill 242.

- Dartmouth, William Lord, takes his seat, 2.
- Dashwood, George, Esq., The Executors of, Respondents to the Appeal "Browne r. Dashwood," 271-72.
 - Sir Robert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.—A Respondent to the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 271-72.
 - Sir Samuel, a Respondent to said Appeal, 271-72.
- D'Auverquerc, Monsieur, a Lieutenant-General, 131.—Colonel of a Troop of Dutch Guards, 134.
 - Hon. Henry de Nassau, Certificate of his having taken the Sacrament, in connection with De Nassau's Naturalisation Act, 198.
- Davenant, Charles, LL.D., Appellant in the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy," 196-97.
 - Mr., mentioned in connection with the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 240, 243.
- Davenport, Christopher, a Respondent to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62.
- Davers, Sir Robert, Bart., mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.
- David, Howell, mentioned in the Appeal "Deere v. Gibbon," 126, 127.

 Nest, ditto.
- Davies, John, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 370.
- Davis, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Joan," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
 - Edward, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Foyle r. Swayne," 203.
 - James, ditto.
 - Jeremy, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.
 - John, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden," 117.
 - Thomas, a King's Messenger, claims privilege from arrest, 223.
- Davison, Judith, mentioned in the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden," 117.
 - Michael, ditto.
- Dawes, Capt., Some of the crew of the "Lindsay" pressed by him, although she had Letters of Mart, 310.
 - William, Certificate from, that E. Bradford is unable to attend the House, 268.
- Dawson, Alexander, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

- Dawson, Alexander-cont.
 - Joseph, Estate of, vested in trustees for payment of debts, &c., by Dawson's Estate Act, 143.
 - Mrs., consents to Dawson's Estate Act, 143.
- D'Azevedo, Joseph Cohen, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 4.
- De Berdt, John, Petition of, for the insertion of a clause in favour of the Quakers in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 399.—Petition of, complaining of the escape of his debtor from the Fleet, 406.
- De Nassau's Naturalisation Act, 198.
 - Deacon, Mary, Consent of, to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.
 - Thomas, ditto.
- Dean, Caot., commanding H.M.S. "Stirling Castle," ordered to look out for certain East Indiamen, 93.
- Deane, Anthony, mentioned in the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis," 506.
 - D., 'Countersignature of, to an Answer to said Appeal, 507.
- Debary, David, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries, 153.
- Debtors, See Creditors.
- Debts, Bill for facilitating the recovery of, from Peers and Members of Parliament, 371-72.
- Deccan, The. Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c, there, 56.
- Declue, —, mentioned in connection with the Lustrings Bill, 139.
- Deer in Windsor Forest, Amount paid for hay for, 157, 169.
- Deere, Edward, Appellant in the Appeal "Deere v. Gibbon," 126.
 - William, mentioned in said Appeal, 126, 127.—Petition of, for leave to enter into recognizance for the Appellant, 126.
- Deering, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Friends' Adventure," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
- Delahuze, —, mentioned in E. Warrington's Answer to the Petition of C. Booth, 543.
- Delamere, George Lord, deceased, mentioned in the Petition of C. Booth v. E. Warrington, 542.
- Delarew, —, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 282.
- Delaware. See Newcastle County (America).
- Delaware Bay. Tobacco grown there, 441
 —Pirates harboured there, 441.

- Delawarr, Lord. John L. Delawarr takes the Oaths, 2.—A Teller on a Division, 223.

 Case of L. Delawarr, poisoned by
 - his nephew, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
- Delegrange, Arnaldus, alleged to have engaged in smuggling America, 413, 465.
 - Deleval, Col. Thomas, mentioned in the Petition of William Fuller respecting Jacobite Plots. 273.
 - Delves, Lady Elizabeth, mentioned in the Petition of C. Booth v. E. Warrington, 542.
 - Lady Mary, mentioned in connection with said Petition, 543.
 - Denbigh, Earl of, a Colonel of Dragoons, 132.
 - Denew, John, One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
 - Denmark, Prince of, Amount paid out of the Excise to, 185.
 - Denton, Alexander, mentioned in the
 - Answer to the Appeal "Thornhill r. Clifton," 120.

 Alexander, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
 - Deptford Dockyard, Accounts of Naval Stores at, 314, 315.
 - Deputy, Lord, of Ireland. See Capel Lord.
 - Countess Helena Derby, Dorothea Helena Countess Dowager of, Petition of, for waiver of E. Derby's Privilege,
 - William George Richard, Earl of. Petitions for waiver of his privilege, 251-53, 532-33, 536-37.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.-Petition of the Countess of Westmorland for redress against him, 539-41.
 - Devenish, Joseph, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.
 - Devereux, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.
 - Devink, John, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 504-505.
 - ire, William Duke of, Lord Steward. Petition of M. Nor-manby for waiver of his privilege, Devonshire, 259-60.—Ordered into custody for being absent during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277.-Gives an account as to his connection with Sir J. Fenwick, 282.—Heard on the Bill, 285.—Intelligence received by him of French designs upon

- Devonshire, William, Duke of--cont. certain Ships coming from Barbadoes, 311.
- D'Hart, Matthias, mentioned in E. Ran-dolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458, 460.—Master of the "Unity," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Dickeny, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Hopewell," lost in a burricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
- Digby, Lord, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Dighton, Christopher, named by Sir J. Fenwick as his solicitor on the Bill of Attainder, 274.--Attempts to bribe Row to throw discredit on Goodman's testimony against Fenwick, 276.—His Examina-tion, 293, 298.—Letter from Fenwick requesting that Dightor should have access to him, 295.
- Dilke (alias Wentworth), Fisher, Esq. Consent of, to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.
 - Capt., Commander of the "Cum berland," to be left in command at Spithead and Portsmouth 520, 322.
- Capt. Thomas, commanding H.M.S. "Rupert," a member of certain Councils of War called Dilkes, by M. Carmarthen, 69, 107, 108 109.—Admiralty Orders to him 94.
- Dingle Bay. The "Henry," East India man, forced ashore there by privateer, 76, 83, 94.
- Dispensing Power of the Crown, Recition of the abolition of, in the Mormain (Licence of Alienation Bill, 222.
- Distillers' Company, Petition of, to l heard on the Spirit Duties Bil 250.—Against a clause in th Party Guiles Bill, 541.
- Distington, Manor of, proposed to l vested in trustees for paymer of debts, &c., by Fletcher Estate Bill, 198-199.
- Dobbins, William, One of the propose Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor Antigua, 423.
- Dobyns, William, appears as counsel (certain Appeals, 3, 63, 120, 12 141, 144, 194, 197, 203, 215, 87 373, 384, 506, 520, 530.—Counted in Appeals of the App signature of, to certain Appea 63, 117, 192, 218.—Appears counsel on certain Bills, 138, 15 201, 212, 510, 536, 547.—Me tioned in D. Devonshire's Answ

- Dobyns, William--cont.
 - to M. Normanby's Petition, 260.

 —Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 269.
- Dockwra, William, Amount of pension paid to, 189.—One of the Proprietors of New Jersey, 442.
- Dockyards. Accounts of Naval Stores at His Majesty's Dockyards, 314-15.
- Dod, George, proposed to be appointed a trustee under Warburton's Estate Bill, 514.
 - Samuel, appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 2, 383, 507, 538. —On certain Bills, 201, 224, 239, 242, 527.—On a Writ of Error, 223,
- Doddington, George, heard for the East India Company before the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 4, 12.—Papers delivered by him to said Committee, 12, 14.—Signature of, to a Paper delivered by the East India Company to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 86.
- Dodington, George, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.
- Dolben, Gilbert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Dolberry, Capt., mentioned in connection with the loss of the African Company's Ship "Diamond,"
- Doleman, —, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
 - Sir Thomas, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Dominique, Paul, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.

 —One of the Proprietors of New Jersey, 442.—Governor of the White Paper Makers' Company, 517.
- Domville, Charles, Defendant in the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville,"
- Done, Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Dontin, Alexander, Attestation of, to a Consent to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- Dorchester, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.
- Dormer, Mr., appears as counsel on the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 370.
- Dorrill, Robert, Signature of, to a paper delivered by the East India Company, 86.

- Dorset, Charles Earl of, Lord Chamberlain, appointed one of the Managers of a Conference with the Commons, 6.
- Douglas, John, a Master of Chancery in Extraordinary, Consent of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.—An Affidavit in connection with said Act sworn before him at Newcastle, 137-38.
 - Mr., a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.
- Downs, The, Certain of H.M. Ships stationed in, 67, 97.—List of the ships in the Downs, on 11 April 1696, delivered, 309, 359.—The Virginia Ships unable to reach the Downs from Plymouth for want of convoy, 311.—Admiralty Orders for ships to be hastened to the Downs, 317, 318, 319, 319–320, 320, 321, 322, 324.—L. Berkeley to take command there, 318.—Sir G. Rooke's list of Dutch ships in the Downs, 343.
- Doyley, Capt., Commander of H.M.S.
 "Bristol," Impresses a large number of the crew of the "Avarilla" at Barbadoes, 68-69, 98.
- Drage, William, Petition of Bishop of Llandaff for redress against, 516.
- Dragoons, Regiments of, Strength of, 132.
- Drake, Montague, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Draper, Cresfield, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Dubart, Monsieur. Measures ordered by the Admiralty to prevent his getting out of Dunkirk with seven men of war, 328-29.— Rear Admiral Benbow ordered to keep with him a force superior to that of Dubart, 336.
- Dudon, Mons., Commander of a French frigate, defeated by Capt. T. Barrett, Master of the "Herne," 350.
- Dummer, E., Signature of, to papers delivered by the Navy Board, 315.
- Dumullen, —, heard on the Stockbrokers Bill, 551.
- Duncan, Francis, Master of the "Robert," trading between Scotland and Virginia, 464.
- Dunckly, Samuel, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
- Duncombe, Francis, an officer in the Fleet
 Prison, examined by the Select
 Committee on the Creditors'
 Relief (Privileged Places, &c.)
 Bill, 397.
 - S., Countersignature of, to an Appeal.

Dunkirk, Intended bombardment of, 114.

—Measures for preventing any ships from coming out of Dunkirk, 327, 328-29.—Two fireships to join Capt. Johnson's Squadron off Dunkirk, 332.—Dutch ships detached for the service of, 343.

—Information given to the English envoy at Ostend respecting affairs there, 349.—French men-of-war fitting there, 352.

Durham, Nathaniel Crew, Bishop of, takes the Oaths, 2.

Dutch, The. The Merchant Ship "Constantinople Merchant" protected against them by the Mogul, 8, 36.—Sent no money to India till of late, 11.-Procure India goods by the barter of spices, &c., 11.— Establishment by them of companies and joint stocks for the African trade, 19.—The same for India, 30, 43.—In case of a breach with them the trade to India must be lost if not established in a joint stock, 31.—Reasons why they settled their trade to India in a joint stock, 34.—Weakness of Bombay in case of war with them, 36.— East India Company's forts of no use in case of war with them, 38.—Have preserved their trade in various places by means of forts, 40.—Eng ish ships protected from them by Fort St. George, 41.—French ships taken by them in the Bay of Bengal, 41.-Could be best out-traded in India by a regulated Company, 49. - The English deprived of the spice trade by them, 52.

Dutch Dragoons, Strength of, 134.

Dutch East India Company, established in 1602, 30.—Promoted the establishment of the English Eat India Company, 35.—Are not subject to an Act of Navigation, 35.

Dutch East India Ships, Designs of the French against, 82, 84, 104.

Datch Foot, Strength of, 134.

Dutch Horse, Strength of, 134.

Dutch Ships protected from the French by Fort St. George, 41.

Dutch ships of War, Lists of, 304, 343, 344, 367.

Dutch West India Company, The trade of, far exceeded by that of the English merchants, 36.

English merchants, 36.

Dyer, Alex., Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, £17.

Capt., an officer of the Customs, named by E. Randolph as an authority; for his statements respecting the American PlantaDyer, Capt.—cont. tions, 458.—Letters from him complaining of illegal trade in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 465.

Mr., appears as counsel on a Bill, 241.

Dyers of Calico and Linen, Petition of, for amendment of the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244-45.

Dyers of Wrought Silks, Petition of, to be heard on said Bill, 243-44.

Dyke, Sir Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Dyson, Henry, an Appel ant in the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. At-" torney General of Duchy of " Lancaster," 393-87.

E.

Earle, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Victory," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.

Earles, Edward, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423.

Easingwould, Lands, &c., at, to be sold under Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

East Farleigh, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

East Ham, Lands at, to be sold under Trotman's Estate Act, 517.

East India Company, summoned to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 3, 7, 13.— Heard by the Committee, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 61-62. — Papers delivered by them, 6, 8, 12, 14-15, 17-18, 29-32, 39-43. 52-58. — Proposed that an East India Company be established by Act of Parliament, 6.-Resolved to the same effect, 8.—Resolution as to the amount of capital, 9. The Company's Charters, 9, 10 11, 30, 31, 44, 49, 50, 51. Further regulations proposed, 9-10.—The same agreed to, with others, 12. — The regulations agreed on to be reported to the House, 13. — The Company's Charter of 1693 was to continue for 21 years, 31.—East India Merchants' criticism on the Company, 34-39. - John Gardner's proposals for the establishment of the Company, 43-44.-Mr. Pollexf.n's objections to the

East India Company-cont.

incorporation of the Company, 44-49.—Mr. Shelden's proposa's for the regulation of the Company, 49-52.—The trade would have improved if the Company's charter had been confirmed by Act of Parliament, 51.—Estimate of the Company's stock, 56-58.—Papers delivered by the Company to the Committee on Naval Mis'a'riages, 64, 65, 75-76, 82-87.—The Company examined by the Committee, 64.—Admiralty to answer the Company's statements, 65.—Questions put to the Admiralty respecting the Company's complaints, 67, 68.—Their answer, 91, 92-96.—Wool exported by the Company, 243.—Petition of the Company, 243.—Petition of the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244.—Heard by counsel against the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Probabilition Bill, 510.

- East India Company (Holland). See Dutch East India Company.
- East India Company (Scotland), Inquiry into 3-62.—Illegal trade with the Plantations likely to increase after the establishment of, 446.—Their designs on the Plantation trade 447.—Precautions to be taken in the Plantations against them, 476, 481-83.—Paper from E. Randolph respecting them, 488-90.
- East India Goods, Amount paid in satisfaction of loans on, 161.

 Amount received at the Exchequer from, 162-78.
- East India Merchants, summoned to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 3.—Heard by the Committee, 4, 8, 9.—Papers delivered by them to the Committee, 8, 32-39.
- East India Ships, taken by the French, and carried into Brest, 85, 95.— Designs of the French against, 104.—Convoy for, 336.
- East Indies. Provision respecting ships taken as prize there, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.—Bill for restraining the wearing of silks, &c., from, 238-45, 509-11.
- East, John, a Warden of the Distillers'
 Company, Signature of, to a
 Petition from the Company,
 541.
- Eastland Company, a regulated Company, 42.

Eastmond, Henry, The Executor of, an Appellant in the Appeal "Eastmond v. Sandys," 262.

Joseph, an Appellant in said Appeal, 262.

- Ecclesiastical Courts Regulation Bill, 226-27.
- Eccleston, Samuel, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.
 - Theodor, Petition of, for insertion of a clause for the Quakers in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 406-407.
- Edgerton, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Samuel," from the Leeword Islands, cast away on Scilly, 89.
- Edisbury, Dr., a Master in Chancery, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 369.
- Edmonds, John, mentioned in the Petition of C. Booth v. E. Warrington, 542.
- Edwards, George, Deputy Register in the Court of Chancery, Signature of, to copy of an Order of the Commissioners of the Great Seal, 395.—To attend with certain books on the hearing of Church's Petition against Baldwin Leighton's (Warden of the Flect) Bill, 395.
 - John, Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.
 - Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "North v. Cecill," 2.—In an Answer to the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 216.
- Eldred, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "William and Mary," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
- Elections. See Parliamentary Elections. Eliot, Daniel, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Ellenberger, Lieut., a Colonel of Danish Foot, 134.
- Ellison, John, Petition of, relating to the discharge of J. Howard, Esq., arrested at his suit, but protected by D. Nortolk, 380.
- Elliston, Oliver, Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, 517.
- Elwes, Morley and Grenville v., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.
- Elwick, John, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.
- Ely, John, Attestation of, to certain Consents to Heron's Estate Act, 137.

- Emberly, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Joseph," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
- Emes, Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Emmot, Launcelot, Deputy Serjeant-at-Arms, gives evidence as to the inability of certain Peers to attend the House, 264, 267.
- Englefield, Sir Charles, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Dame Mary, ditto, 534.

Dame Susanna, ditto, 533.

- Epine, Mons. de, Expedition of, against Newfoundland, 342.
- Eppinger, Col., a Major-General, 131.— Colonel of the Dutch Dragoons, 134.—A Colonel of Danish Foot, 134.
- Erfa, Col., a Colonel of Danish Foot, 134.
- Erith, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Erle, Thomas, a Brigadier, 132. A Colonel of Foot, 133.
- E:rington, George, Attestation of, to certain Consents to Heron's Estate Act, 137.
 - Ralph, Consent of, to said Act, 137.
- Error, Writs of. See Writs of Error.

Escapes Prevention Bill, 235-38.

- Essex, Earl of. Algernon E. Essex takes the Oaths, 2.—A Colonel of Dragoons, 132.—Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.
 - Case of Thomas Cromwell E. Essex cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
- Essington, Margaret, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.
 - Peter, a Respondent to said Appeal, 140-43.
- Estcourt, Thomas, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 135.
- Estis, Matthew, Master of the "Dolphin," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Etheridge, Sir James, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Ettimand Chawm, Governor of Surat, 37.
- Ettrick, William, Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 262.
- Eure, Ralph, Lord, takes the Oaths, 3.
- Eustace, Sir Maurice, v. E. Anglesey, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
- Evans, John, One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.

- Eveling and Binyon, Case of, cited in connection with a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 375.
- Every, a Pirate, mentioned in connection with the appointment of a Governor for the Baham Islands, 430.
- Evison, Thomas, Deputy Serjeant at-Arms, Evidence of, as to the inability of L. Coventry to attend the House, 265.—Sent to bring D. Beaufort to the House in custody, 267.
- Ewherst, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Ewias Lacy Hundred, Petition of Freeholders of, against the Wye and Lugg Navigation Bill, 202.
- Ewins, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Eagle," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French 89.
- Exchequer, Barons of, Heard on the Petition of Sawrey v. E. Derby. 252.
 - Officers, Petition from, to be heard on the Sheriffs Bill, 527-28.
 - The, Balance at, at Michaelmas 1694, 156.—Amount of interest paid at, 159.—Cash remaining at Michaelmas, 1695, 159.—Account of Exchequer Receipts and Issues, 162-73.
- Excise Office, Balance at, at Michaelmas, 1694, 156.—Amount of interest paid at, 159.—Amount of Tallies paid at, 159—Cash remaining there at Michaelmas, 1695, 159.
- Excise, The, Amount borrowed on, 158.—Amounts paid in satisfaction of loans on, 159, 161.—Amount received from, 166.—Account of 182-85.
- Excommunication, for contempt of the Ecclesiastical Courts, proposed to be abolished by the Ecclesiastical Courts Regulation Bill, 226-27.
 - for non-payment of tithes, Proviso respecting, proposed to be added to the Small Tithes Recovery Act, 153.
- Exeter, Petition from the Mayor, &c, of, against the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members, &c.) Bill, 217.—Against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.—Petition from, concerning the Wool Bill, 247.—Effect of the prohibition of the importation of Irish wool to, 247.
- Eynesworth, The case of Bardolph & Lewar, relating to a freehold at, cited on the hearing of Leigh-

Eynesworth-cont.

ton's Petition with respect to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391-92.

Eyre, Mr. Justice, heard on a Writ of Error, 269.—On Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275, 278.

Samuel, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants and Shipowners against the Plantation Trade Bill, 233.

Eyres, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Dove," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French,

F.

Fairborne, Capt., Commander of the "Victory." Capt. Baron Wild to place himself under his orders, 317.—Admiralty Order to him, 317.

Fairbraid, R., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 520.

Fairfax, Col. Thomas, a Colonel of Fout,

Lord (of Ireland). Petition of Charles L. Fairfax for waiver of E. Derby's Privilege, 536.

Lord (of Scotland). Petition to H.C., of J. Peshall and wife, alleging that Thomas L. Fairfax opposed their claim against him by standing on his Privilege, 371-72.—Bill to enable him to raise monies charged by L. Colepeper upon his estate, 533-36.

Thomas, Amount of pension paid to, 175.

Fallows, Alice, mentioned in Fallows' Estate Act, 509.

Elizabeth, Consent of, to said Act, 509.

Mary, ditto.

William, an infant, enabled to sell an estate to pay debts by said Act, 509.

Fane's, Lady Katherine, Estate Act, 119. Fanjoux, Mathew, Act for naturalisation of, 381.

Fanshaw, Simon. Appellant in the Appella

Fanshaw, Sir Simon, mentioned in said Appeal, 506.

Farleigh, East, Lands at, mentioned in Lord Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Farmer, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.

Farmiraw, Edward, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

Farrer, Mrs., a letter from Sir J. Fenwick to his wife, delivered to, 278.

Farrington, John, acting Marshal of the King's Bench Prison. Petitions complaining of his conduct, 401, 508-509.

Col. Thomas, a Colonel of Foot,

Fauconberg, Earl of, Consent of, to Stoner's Estate Act, 136.—Leave given to him to withdraw during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277.

Faux, Guy, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Fawkener, Mr., writes, with three others, to the Admiralty to ask that one of H M.'s ships should look out for the "Edward" on her way from India 94.

Fawkner, William, an Executor of, the Defendant in the Writ of Error "Swayne v. Middleton," 223.

Featherston, —, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Featley, Grace, a Respondent to the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193-94.

Fee Farm Rents, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.

Fell, James, Keeper of Newgate Prison.
Complaint made againt him by
Sir W. Trumball that he had
allowed Sir J. Fenwick the use
of writing materials without
proper authority, 295-96.—Committed to the Gatehouse Prison,
296.—Released on petition, 296.

Fenton, John, Secretary to the Commissioners of the Customs, Signature of, to a paper delivered from the Commissioners, 422.

Fentzell, John, Signature of, to papers delivered by East India Merchants, 32-33, 34.

Fenwick, Lady Mary, Petition of, for leave to be with her husband in Newgate Prison, 275, 296.—Seconds Clansey's effort to buy off Porter's evidence, 276.—Desires E. Carlisle to obtain from E. Monmouth instructions for her husband's behaviour on the Bill of Attainder, 285.—Examined on the Attainder Bill,285.—Examined as to certain papers sent to her by E. Monmouth, 286-87.—Petition from her for a week's reprieve to her husband, 295, 300-301.—Petition for his banishment or perpetual imprisonment, 295, 301.—Letter from her to the Duchess of Nor-

Fenwick, Lady Mary—cont.
folk, 297. — Her examination
concerning some instructions given to her husband in relation to his trial, 297.

> Sir John. King's Speech respecting Fenwick's conspiracy, 202 203.—Charges made against him hy William Fuller, 272-73.—At tainder of, 274-301.

Fenwicke, William, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.

Feratt, John, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 460.

Ferguson, David, Master of the "John," trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.

Col. James, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Ferne, Robert, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney-General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Ferrers, Catherine, mentioned in the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's," 143.

Edward, ditto.

Lord, takes the chair in the Select Committee on the papers delivered by the Admiralty to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 65.—Reports to the House the notes taken by the Committee, so far as they relate to L. Kiveton (M. Carmarthen), 74. -Reports the opinion of the Committee that Captain Cole should be forthwith tried by a Court Martial, 75.—Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.—A Teller on Divisions, 197, 205, 223, 242, 270, 294.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.-Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Bill, 224.—On the State of the Fleet, 302.

Susan, mentioned in the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's,"

Thomas, ditto, 144. William, ditto, 143.

Feversham, Earl of, a Teller on Divisions, 129, 217, 277, 295.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.

Fifield, —, Master of the Merchant Ship "Phœnix," from New England, taken by the French, 87.

. Filmer, Thomas, appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 3, 117, 215, 370,

Filmer, Thomas-cont.

506. - On certain Bills, 240, 242 398, 505, 536.—Countersignature of, to Answers to an Appeal, 261, 262.—To a Petition in connection with an Appeal, 531.

Finch, Heneage, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's

Safety, 209.

Mr., appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 3, 117, 120, 126, 141, 192, 194, 214, 262, 272.—Mentioned in connection with an Appeal, 197.—Appears as counsel on a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 259.

Fines imposed on Lords failing to attend

the House, 263.

Fines of Leases, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.

Finnes, Robert, 'Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 254.

Firmin, Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition from the Lustring Company,

First Fruits, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.

Fish, William, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 519.

Fisheries, Commissioners of Trade to consider the best means of encouraging, 417.

Fitzgerald, James, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Thomas, Case of, cited on said Bill.

Fitzpatrick, Edward, a Brigadier, 132.— a Colonel of Foot, 132.

Fitzwalter, Lord. Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill,

Five Searchers, Reports from, to the Commissioners of Customs, 25, 26.

Fleet Prison. Richard Manlove, Warden of the Fleet, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Tilley r. Richardson," 373.—Petition of Baldwin Leighton for means to enforce his claim to the office of Warden, 387-95.— Forfeiture of the office by Manlove 387, 394.—Petition of Anthony Church, Warden of the Fleet, against Baldwin Leighton's Bill, 395, 400-401.- The inquisition against Manlove quashed, 394, 395.—Petition of prisoners in the Fleet against the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 396.—A. Church, Warden of the Fleet, examined by the Select Committee on said Bill, 396.— Charges made against J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 396-98, 401-402, 404, 406, 408-409.

Flect Prison--cont.

Certain officers in the Fleet examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.—Tilley's agent examined, 397. - Members of the House of Commons alleged to have received bribes from Ti ley 398. received bribes from Ti ley 398.

—Mr. Fox, formerly Warden of the Fleet, mentioned in connection with the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 398. — Office of Warden mortgaged by T. Bromhall to H. Norwood, 400. — Crowded condition of the prison, 399, 400. — Orders for its enlargement 402. —T. Pitcher allowed ment, 402. -T. Pitcher allowed by the Warden, A. Church, to escape and remain at large, 403. -Deed transferring the prisoners from the custody of T. Fox to Proviso relating to the Warden and Mr. Clements and H. Norwood added to the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c) Bill, 406.--Petition of J. De Berdt complaining of the escape of his debtor; 406.—Proposal by Leighton, to grant the office of Warden to J. Curtis, 408.

Sec also Leighton, Baldwin.

Fleet, Sir John, Governor of the East India Company, 83.

Fleet, The, List of, 124-25. — State of, 125-26, 302-67.—Admiralty Orders sent to the Main Fleet, 315-37.—List of the Channel Fleet, 316.—Captain Cole ordered to join the Mediterranean Fleet with certain ships, 316.—Similar Order to Captain Baron Wild, 316.—Similar Order to Captain Fairborne, 317.—List of H.M. Ships for the Main Fleet, 330.

Fleming, Henry, M.P., does not sign the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Fletcher, Col. Benjamin, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.—His Commission as Governor of New York, 411-12, 431-37.—As Governor of New York and Pennsylvania, 437-39.—Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Sir George, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.

Mary, Jointure of, proposed to be reduced by Fletcher's Estate Bill, 199.

William, Lands of, proposed to be vested in trustees for payment of debts, &c. by said Bill, 198-99.

Flint Thomas, Master of the Merchant Ship "Barbadoes Merchant," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.

Floyd, David, a Quaker, Attorney-General of Pennsylvania, 442, 457, 459.

Foley, P., Signature of, to the Report of the Accounts Commissioners, 189.

Folkes, Mortimer, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 192.

Folkingham, Manor of, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of v. Bolle," 262.

Follett, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Experiment," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.

Folliott, Henry, Consent of, to Powell's Estate Act, 118.

Thomas Lord, ditto.

Foot Regiments, Strength of, 132-33.

Forbes, J., Attestation of, to a Consent to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.

Ford, Thomas, Information of, respecting the movements of the French Fleet, 357, 358, 360.

William, Clerk in the Court of Chancery, to attend on the hearing of Church's Petition against Baldwin Leighton's (Warden of the Fleet) Bill, 395.—Mentioned in R. Luxford's Petition, complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 402.

Forest, Marquis de la, a Colonel of Danish Horse, 134.

Forfeitures for Treason, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 170.

Forshaw, Manor of, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Fort St. Davids, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56.

—For the yearly revenue there, 57, 58, 60.

Fort St. George, very tenable, 8.—Of little value, 36, 37.—English ships protected from the Dutch by, 41.—Dutch ships protected from the French by, 41.—Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56, 58, 60.—For the yearly revenue there, 57, 58, 60.

Fortescue, Bridget, a Respondent to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62.

Charles, Attestation of, to a Consent to Fowne's Estate Act, 190.

Hugh, Esq., a Respondent to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62. — Mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.

Forti, Anthony, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 309-10. - Some of the crew pressed from his ship, the "Lindsay," although she had Letters of Mart, 310.—Letters of Mart refused to him, 350.

Foshaw, Manor of, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Foster, Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Bermuda, 424.

William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Foucault, D., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 192.

Foulkes, Capt. Simon, Commander of the "Resolution," afterwards Commander-in-Chief at Spithead and Portsmouth. Admiralty Orders to him, 319, 320, 322.—Ordered to attend the Admiralty Board, 324.—Sir G. Rooke empowered to call off his squadron from the Soundings, 335.

Fowke, Elizabeth, mentioned in the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke, 268.

Thomas, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.

Fowle, John, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 278.

Fowles, -, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 4.

Fowlis, Capt., Commander of the "Restoration," 322.

Sir William, Bart., Respondent to the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis,"

Fownes, Anne, Consent of, to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

John, Esq., enabled to sell certain lands by said Act, 190.

Richard, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Fox, Charles, Amount paid to, for the Irish Army, 157, 163.

Stephen, Signature of, to a direction appended to an Order of Council, 446.

Thomas, formerly Warden of the Fleet, mentioned in connection with the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 398.— Deed transferring the prisoners from his custody to that of A. Church, 403-404.

Foxcroft, \leftarrow , mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119.

Foyle, Edward, Countersignature of, to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne,

Foyle, Edward—cont.
Elizabeth, an Appellant in said
Appeal, 203-204.

Henry, mentioned in an Answer to said Appeal, 203.

John, an Appellant in said Appeal, 203-204.

Robert, mentioned in said Appeal, 203.

France, one of H.M. Ships stationed on the coast of, 97.-Wool exported to, by means of the French-privateers, 194.—Bills against Trade with, 145-53, 194-95.

Francis, Jonathan, Master of the Mer-chant Ship "Biscay Merchant," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 77.

William, Consent of, to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Frasier, Hugh, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 4

Freeman, Ralph, Consent of, to Blount's Estate Act, 120.

Freke, Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

French, The. English merchant ships protected from them by the Mogul, 8, 36.—Dutch ships protected from them by Fort St. George, 41.—The East India Company's books and papers taken by them, 61-62. — Merchant ships taken by them, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 87, 88, 95, 98. — Have immediate intelligence of everything done in Ireland, 109.

French Colours, required for M. Carmarthen's squadron, 106.

French Fleet, blocked up at Calais by Admiral Russell, 212.—List of the Toulon Fleet, 353-55.

Ships, Capt. Cole's squadron taken for, 69, 72, 102, 109, 110. -Captured by the Dutch in the Bay of Bengal, 41.

Freshwater, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Friend, Sir John, mentioned in connec-tion with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279, 280.

Frisbey, James, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Maryland, 422.

Frowde, Peter, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer,

Frye, William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

- Fuller, Sir James Chapman, Bart., Escape of, from the Fleet Prison, 406.
 William, Petition of, respecting Jacobite Plots, 272-74.
- Fuller's Earth, Prohibition of the exportation of, by the Trade with France Prevention Bill, 195.
- Fyshe, Humphrey, Attestation of, to a certificate from T. Bearcroft, that Bp. Worcester is unable to attend the House, 263.

G.

- Gainshams (Somerset), mentioned in the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.
- Galiard, Charles, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.
- Galway, Viscount, a Lieutenant General, 131.—A Colonel of Horse, 132.
- Gambo, a fort of the Royal African Company, taken by the French, 36-37.
- Gardens, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167.—Amount paid out of the Post Office for, 187
- Gardiner, Mr., heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Prohibition Bill, 510.
- Gardner, John. heard by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 4.—Papers delivered by him to the Committee, 6, 8, 18, 43-44.—Papers delivered by him to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 64, 78.
 - Samuel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423.
- Garth, Mr., mentioned in connection with an Appeal as having been assigned to Appellant as his Six Clerk in the court below, 197.
- Geers, Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.
- Generals, List of, 131.
- Genes, Monsieur de. A fort of the Royal African Company, taken by him, 37.—His design on Quebec, 85.
- Genoa, Certain Oil and Wine from the subject of the Writ of Error "Wethered v. Roberts," 272.
- Gerard, Isaac, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
 - John, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Gervaise, Lewis, Secretary to the Lustring Company, Signature of, to a Petition from the Company against the Lustrings Bill, 139.

- Gibbon, Richard, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Deere v. Gibbon," 127.
 - William, Respondent to said Appeal, 126-27.
- Gibson, Abraham, Master of the Ketch "Lily," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
 - Edmond, mentioned in connection with the Petition of Sawrey v. E. Derby, 252.
 - James, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 278.—Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515,
- Gibsone, Col. John, a Colonel of Foot, 133.
- Gifford, Capt., heard for the East India Company by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 61-62.
 - Mary, Personal estate of, vested in Trustees by Jones' Estate Act, 198.
- Gifts, Amount issued from the Exchequer for Bounties and Free Gifts, 157, 167.
- Gilbert, —, Master of the Merchant Ship "Swan," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - John, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.

 —Charges made by him against J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 408–409.
- Gilbourne, Edward, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Gill, Roger, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.
- Gladwin, Thomas, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General of Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.
- Glazers and Buckram Stiffeners, Petition of, for amendment of the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 245.
- Gloucester, Petition from the Mayor, &c. of, against the Wye and Lugg Navigation Bill, 202.
- Gloucestershire Clothiers, Petitions of, against the Silks (Persia and East Indics) Bill, 244, 510.
- Glover, Alphonsus, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 306.

Glover-cont.

Gabriel, Signature of, to a Petilion from London Merchants and Traders, 551.—Heard on the Stockbrokers Bill, 551.

Mr., a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.—Examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.

Glyd, Anne, consent of, to Stoughton's Estate Act, 119.

Goddard, John, Lieut.-Governor of Bermuda. Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Godfrey, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Bilboa Factor," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.

Edmond, examine I on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Elizabeth, mentioned in the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville," 154.

Michael, ditto.

Mr., writes. with three others, to the Admiralty to ask that one of H.M. ships should look out for the "Edward" on her way from India, 94.

Peter, Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 33.

Godolphin, C., one of the Commissioners of Customs, Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 22, 24, 26, 454, 472, 491, 501.

Sidney Lord, takes the Oaths, 1.—
A Teller in a Division, 8.—Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Coinage (Plate) Bill, 235.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the difference between D. Devonshire, M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.—mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 287.—Signature of, to a direction appended to an Order of Council, 446.

Golconda, overrun by the Mogul's army, 41.

Gold, Anne, mentioned in the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke," 268.

James, ditto.

William, ditto.

Goldesbrough, Edw., Signature of, to an Order of the Lord Keeper in the matter of the Petition of M. Normanby v. D. Devonshire, 260.

Goldsburrow, Sir John, the East India Company's Commissary General, 38. Gombron, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56.—For the yearly custom there, 57, 58, 61.

Good, Gilbert, Attestation of, to certain Consents to Heron's Estate Act, 137.

Goodall, Robert, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Goodfellow, —, Signature of, to Petitions from the Lord Mayor, &c. of London, 217, 515,

Goodinge, Mr. Serjeant, heard on Knight's Divorce Bill, 547.

Thomas, Petition of, against said Bill, 547.

Goodman, Mr. His Information against
Sir J. Fenwick, taken by Mr.
Vernon upon oath, called for by
counsel for the Bill of Attainder,
276.—Attempt by Dighton to
bribe Row to throw discredit on
his testimony, 276.—H. Sherbrooke examined as to Goodman's
evidence at Cooke's trial, 278.—
Duke of Grafton poisoned by
him, 280.— Evidence of Mr.
Baker as to the time at which
his flight tecame known, 293.

Goodnestone, Lands at, mentioned in connection with Hammond's Estate Act, 547.

Goodson, John, a Quaker, appointed joint. Governor of Pennsylvania, but refused to act, 441-42, 458.

Goodwin, John, Attestation of, to a Consent to Goodwin's Estate Act, 382.

Nicholas, enabled to sell the manor of Winslow by said Act,

Richard, Consent of, to said Act, 382.

Gorée, Re-taking of; 81.

Gorges, Sir Ferdinando. Maine purchased by the Massachusetts Bay Company from his heir, 443.

Gother, Capt., Commander of the "Royal Katherine," Admiralty Order to him, 327.

Gough, Sir Henry, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Gould, Ja., Master of the Merchant Ship "Plymouth," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.

> Nathaniel, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 33.

Gouldsmith, John, proposed 'to be appointed a trustee under Warburton's Estate Bill, 514.

- Goulton, Christopher, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.
 - James, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Gower, Sir John Leveson, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Gracedieu, Bartholomew, Signature of, to a paper delivered by Jamaica Merchants, 20. – Examined as to the evidence on which the Grand Jury, of which he was a member, found the Bill against Sir J. Fenwick, 277.
- Grafton, Duchess of. Amount paid to her out of the Excise, 183,—and the Post Office, 187.—Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the Office of Warden of the Fleet, 388, 389, 391, 393.
 - Duke of. Amount paid to him out of the Excise, 183.--Poisoned by Goodman, 280.
- Graham, —, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 290.
 - William, D. D., Consent of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.
- Grahme, James, Appellant in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.
- Grainge, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Swallow," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
- Grand Jury examined as to the evidence on which they found the Bill against Sir J. Fenwick, 277.
- Granger, Thomas, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Collectors, &c., of the Port of London to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.
- Granvil, Chevalier, Design of, to assassinate the King, 273.
- Granville, Bombarded by Lord Berkeley, 114.
 - Sir Bevill, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

 Charles Lord, takes the Oaths, 1.
 - John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Gravelines, Provision ships from Ireland attacked off, 306.
- Graves, John, One of Mr. Randolph's witnesses before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 444.
- Great Chamberlain, Lord. See Lindsey, Earl of.
- Great Queen Street House Act, 219.
- Greaves, Mr., mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill r. Clifton," 119.
- vireen, Thomas, a former vicar of Great Linton, mentioned in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382.

- Greene, John, Petition of, against a clause in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 408.
 - William, Attestation of, to Consents to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- Greenhill, Henry, Commissioner of H.M. Navy at Portsmouth. Admiralty Orders to him, 317, 361.
- Greenwood, Mr., mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v. E. Perby, 541.
- Gregory, Justice, mentioned in connection with the Petition of C. Booth r. E. Warrington, 543.
 - Sir William, mentioned in E. Warrington's Answer to said Petition, 543.
- Grenvile, Bernard, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Grenville and Morley r. Elwes, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393
- Grenville, E. Huntingdon v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peer's Privilege, 259.
- Grevill, Francis, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Grey, Henry, enabled to provide a jointure for his wife by E. Kent's Estate Act, 118.
 - John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Griffin, Richard, Master of the Merchant Ship "Soldadoe," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- Griffith, Jenkin, Appellant in the Appeals
 "Griffith v. Harries," and
 "Griffith and Morris v. Harries,"
 62-63.
- Grimes, James, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.
- Grindall, Anthony, an officer of the Fleet Prison, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.
- Groome, Samuel, desired by Mr. Penn as a witness before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 457.
- Grosvenor, Sir Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Grove, J., appears as counsel on a Petition in connection with an Appeal, 127.—His countersignature to Leighton's Petition relating to the Office of Warden of the Fleet, 388.

- Guerard, Peter Jacob, Letter from, complaining of illegal trade in Carolina, 466.
- Guilford, Francis Lord, takes the Oaths, 1.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.—Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.
- Guinea, Merchant Ships taken by the French on the coast of, 78, 79, 80, 81, 87.
- Gurner, Thomas, Prisoners from Ivelchester, rescued by him and others in Westminster, 401.
- Guy, —, mentioned in connection with the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.
 - Faux, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
 - Henry, Amount paid to, for Secret Service, 165.
- Guyguer, Samuel, mentioned in the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy," 196,
- Gwatkin, William, Examination of, on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 298.
- Gwatkins, Thomas, Attestation of, to Consents to Milward's Estate Act, 381.
- Gwydott, Carew, Deputy Register in the Court of Chancery, to attend with certain books, 395. —His signature to an Order of the Lord Keeper, 538.
- Gwyn, Francis, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

H.

- Haberdashers' Company, Petition of, for the insertion of a clause in their favour in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 402– 403.
- Hackett, —, Porter convicted of the manslaughter of, in Charles II.'s time, 280.
- Hackney Coaches, Amount received from the tax on, 172.
- Haddock, R., Signature of, to papers delivered by the Navy Board, 315.
- Haistwell, Edward, a Barbadoes Merchant, summoned to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 65.—Signature of, to a paper delivered by Barbadoes Merchants, 88.—One of the Merchants ill-treated by the Admiralty, 350.

- Halifax, William Marquess of, takes the Oaths, 1.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Privilege of Parliament (Recovery of Debts from Peers and Members of Parliament) Bill, 372.
- Hall, John, Bishop of Bristol, takes the Oaths, 117.
 - John, Appellant in the Appeal "Hall . Potter," 117.
 - John, Churchwarden of St. Martin's in-the-Fields, 198.
- Ham, East and West, Lands at, to be sold under Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- Hamburgh Company, a paper delivered by, to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 6, 18. —A regulated company, 42.
- Hamilton, Col. Andrew, Governor of New Jersey, 442.—Letter from T. Meeche to Governor Nicholson complaining of his conduct, 465-66.—Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.
 - Col. Frederick, a Colonel of Foot, 133.
 - Col. George, ditto.
 - Lord George, a Brigadier, 132.—A Colonel of Foot, 132.
 - Gustavus, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458.—Master of a vessel engaged in illegal tobaccotrade from Pennsylvania, 462.—Owner of a vesel trading between Scotland and the tobacco Plantations, 464.
 - Hammond, Anthony, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
 - Elizabeth, mentioned in connection with Hammond's Estate Act, 547.
 - Lawrence, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423,
 - William, an error in the marriage settlement of, rectified by Hammond's Estate Act, 546-47.
- Hamond, John, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
- Hanaper, The, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 170.
- Hanbury, —, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Hancock, Anne, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill,

- Hancock, Anne-cont.
 - 397.-Charges made by her against J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 408-409.
- Handy, Mary, mentioned in a Consent to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.
- Hanham, Dame Jane, Provision respect-ing, added to Hanham's Estate
 - Sir John, Lands of, proposed to be sold under Hanham's Estate Bill, 218-219. Part of his estate to be sold under Hanham's Estate Act,
- Hannes, Ed., M.D., Certificate from, that V. Saye and Sele is unable to attend the House, 267.
- Hanock, Samuel, appears on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill,
- Hanover Foot, Strength of, 134.

Horse, Strength of, 134.

- Prince of, a Colonel of Hanover Foot 134.
- Harbe, Henry, Attestation of, to a Consent to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.
- Harcourt, Simon, of the Crown Office, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 290. -His examination, 293, 298.
 - Simon, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209,
- Harding, Henry, Attestation of, to certain Consents to Heron's Estate Act,
- Hargrave, John, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Harison, Thomas, named as a trustee in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.
- Harley, Ro., Attestation of, to a Consent to Powell's Estate Act, 118 .-Signature of, to the Report of the Accounts Commissioners,
- Harlston, Premises at, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend,"
- Harnage, Richard, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.
- Harper, Sir John. an Appellant in the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General of Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.
- Harries, Hug'r, Respondent to the Appeals "Griffith v. Harries" and "Griffith and Morris v. Harries," 62-
- Harrington, John, Solicitor, Petition of the wife of, for revocation of a Protection given to him by E.

- Harrington, John -cont. Warrington, 541-42, - Men-
- tioned in the Petition of C. Booth r. E. Warrington, 542. Harris, Richard, mentioned in Moyle's
 - Estate Bill, 518. Lady Theophila, mentioned in an
 - Answer to the Appeal "Coward v. L. Mohun," 521.
- Harrison, Edm., Signature of, to papers delivered by East India Merchants, 33, 34.
 - Mr., heard for East India Mer-chants by the Committee on the 8, 9.
 - Richard, Signature of, to papers delivered to said Committee by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 33,
 - Samuel, Master of the Merchant Ship "Pennsylvania Merchant," taken by the French on the coast of Scotland, 78.
- Harman, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "John," from Guinea, taken by the French, 78.
- Hart, William, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage,"
- Hartlepool, Jeffery, v. Ralph, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 390, 391, 393.
- Hartredge, William, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Harvey, Carr and Isabella, Saving clause for, in L. Holles' Estate Act,
 - Sir Eliab, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's. Safety, 209. William M.P., ditto, 210.
- Hastings, J., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.
 - Lord, son and heir apparent of E. Huntingdon, Petition of, for leave to proceed against his Father without incurring breach of Privilege, 374.
- Hatcham Barnes, Lands at, purchased by the Haberdashers' Company, 403.
- Hathaway, James, Master of the "Trial," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Hathed, Nathaniel, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
- Hatley, Henry, Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, 517.

Hatton, Joseph, a Respondent to the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler,"

Viscount, Letters from, excusing himself from attending the House, 213, 266.—To be attached for not attending, 263.

Haughton, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Bridgwater," from Nevis, taken by the French, 78.

Capt., Henry, a member of certain Councils of War called by M. Carmarthen, 108, 109.

Havard, William, Attestation of, to Consents to Milward's Estate Act, 381.

Havengh, Col., a Colonel of Wolfembuttle Foot, 134.

Haversham, John Lord, introduced, 251.

—Leave given to him to be absent during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 284.—

His abstract of letters relating to Sir G. Rooke's return from Cadiz, delivered by him to the Committee on the state of the Fleet, 310, 360.

Hawes, Edward, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Nathaniel, consents to Warner's Estate Act, 135.

Hawkers and Pedlars Bill, 230-33.

Hawkins, Peter, Master of the "George," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.

Hawles, Jo., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 262.—To a Petition in connection with an Appeal, 531.

Hawley, Major, The Tower intended to be delivered up to the French by, 212.

Haxthuysen, Brigadier, a Colonel of Danish Foot, 134.

Hayes, George, mentioned in the Petition of William Fuller respecting Jacobite Plots, 273.

Haynes, Charles, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 536.

James, Consent of, to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

Mary, mentioned in a Consent to said Act, 136.

Richard, empowered to settle a jointure on his wife, &c., by said Act, 135-36.

Thomás, Consent of, to said Act, 135-36.

Thomas, deceased, mentioned in a Consent to said Act, 136.

Hayward, Robert, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper r. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Healy, Richard, added to the Saving Clause in Sands' Estate Act, 143. Hearth Money, The division of, among the farmers of the duty, the subject of the Appeal "Browne r. Dashwood," 271-72.

Heath, Richard, Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet

Prison, 402.

Heathcote, Gilbert, Signature of, to papers delivered by Jamaica Merchants, Leeward Islands Merchants, and East India Merchants, 21, 32, 34. — Asks the Admiralty to send a ship to look out for the "Edward" on her way from India, 94.

Gilbert, M.D., Certificate from, that E. Rutland is unable to

attend the House, 264.

Hedges, Sir Charles, Judge of the Court of Admiralty, ordered to prepare clauses to be added to the Tra-le with France Prohibition Bill, 151, 152.—Brings up clauses drawn by him, 152.—Heard by the Select Committee on the Bill, 152.—His opinion as to the suppression of illegal trading in the American Plantations, 455.

Herbert, —, Master of the Merchant Ship "William and Mary," taken by the French in the Channel, 87.

William, mentioned in a Consent to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.

Herbert of Cherbury, Lord, Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Bill, 224.—On the Security of the King's Person Bill, 245.—Chairman of the Select Committee on the State of the Fleet, 307.

Hereford, mentioned in connection with the Wye and Lugg Navigation Act, 202.

Hereford, Edward Viscount, takes his seat, 251.

Herne, Nathaniel, Signature of, to a Petition from Orphans of the City of London, 515.

Heron, Dame Anne, Consent of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.

Sir Charles, enabled to sell lands for payment of debts, &c., by said Act, 136-38.

Charnock, Consent of, to said Act, 137.

Cuthbert, ditto.

Dame Elizabeth, Payment of the portion, &c., of, secured by said Act, 136.

Giles, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Sir Joseph, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 313.—Letters of Mart

- Heron, Sir Joseph.—cont. refused to him, 350-52.—Petitions Her Majesty in Council for Letters of Mart for his Ships, 363.
 - Matthew, Consent of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.
- Heron, Samuel, Secretary to the Royal African Company, 13, 19, 88.
- Hewet, John, examined in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 292.—Ordered to be taken into custody, 292.—Mentioned in a letter from D. Shrewsbury to L. Keeper, 299.
- Hext, Ameas and Juliana, Amount of pension paid to, out of the Customs, 175.
 - Samuel, mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.
- Heyes, John, Consent of, to Stockley's Estate Act, 511.
- Heysham, Robert, a Barbadoes Merchant, summoned to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 65.—Signature of, to a paper delivered to the Committee, 88.—One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
- Hickes, Hannah, Consent of, to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

Thomas, ditto.

- Hicks, Sir William, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 143.
 - William, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.
- Hide, Thomas, Amount of pension paid to, out of the Customs, 173.
- Higgons, J., Signature of, to extracts from the Rolls of Parliament, 390.
- Highwaymen, Amount paid for apprehending and prosecuting, 157,
- Hildeyard, Edward W., Countersignature of, to certain Appeals, 3, 530.
- Hill, Abraham, One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.—Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 426, 427, 428, 430.
 - Edward, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.
 - John, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Navy Board, 315.
 - Joseph, mentioned in a Petition against Spademan's (Llandinam Rectory) Bill, 533.

Hill-cont.

- Mr., English envoy at Ostend. Information given to him respecting affirs at Dunkirk, 349.
- Richard, desired by Mr. Penn as a witness before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 457.
- Col. Thomas, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.
- Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governors of Nevis, Montserrat, and Antigua, 423.
- Hillersdon, Richard. Affidavit sworn before him at Totnes, in connection with Fownes' Estate Act, 190.
- Hills, Robert, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin?. Stephens," 382-83.
- Hilton, Robert, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 141.
- Hind, John, goldsmith, One of the farmers of Hearth Money. His Assignees in Bankruptcy Appellants in the Appeal "Browner. Dashwood," 271-72.
- Hitchcock v. Sedgwick, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.
- Hoare, Sir Thomas, appears as counsel on an Appeal, 384.
- Hobbs, Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.
- Hobson, Capt., summoned to the Committee on the charges made by L. Kiveton (M. Carmarthen) against Capt. Cole, 74.
 - Walcot, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397, 398.
- Hodges, John, Attestation of, to Consent to Milward's Estate Act, 381.
- Hodson, Daniel, Master of the Merchant Ship "Three Brothers," taken by the French on her voyage to Barbadoes, 77.
- Holborn, A charge on certain houses at, the origin of the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," 213.
- Holborne, Lady Anne, mentioned in a Consent to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.
- Holcomb, Richard, Arrest of, in breach of D. Richmond's Privilege, 548.
- Holford, Mr., mentioned in connection with an Appeal, as having been assigned to Appellant as one of his counsel in the court below, 197.

Holford-cont.

Sir Richard, reports Keymer's inability to give evidence in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293.

Holgate, George, haberdasher, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 507.

Holland, Certain ships appointed to convoy the King to, 331.—Dutch ships detached to attend the King to, 343.

East India Company of. See Dutch East India Company.

Holland, John, Agent to J. Tilley, claiming to be Warden of the Fleet, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397-98.

Holles, Francis Lord, deceased, Act for satisfying the debts of, 387.

Hollingborne, Lands at, mentioned in L Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Hollings, John, Consent of, to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Holme, Manor of, proposed to be sold under Hanham's Estate Hill, 218-19. — To be sold under Hanham's Estate Act, 270.

Holmes, Henry, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Major, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 291, 293, 299.

Thomas, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.

William, proposed to be appointed a trustee under Fletcher's Estate Bill, 199.

Holt, Col. Henry, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Holt, J. An affidavit sworn before him, 408. Sir John, Lord Chief Justice of the

Sir John, Lord Chief Justice of the King's Bench. See Chief Justice of the King's Bench.

r. Bridgman, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 391, 393.

Holyoake, Fisher, Attestation of, to Consents to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.

Hompesch, Baron de, a Brigadier, 132.

Honor, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.

Hooke, James, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," 215.

Margaret, Attestation of, to a Consent to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

Hooper, Thomas, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Hopson, Admiral, Admiralty Orders to, 95, 111.

Hora, Manual Mayon de, Master of a Portuguese ship. His information respecting the movements of the French Fleet, 357.

Hornby, Charles, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Horner, George, an Appellant in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham," 531-32.

v. Popham. Paper relating to certain proceedings in Chancery, 368.

Horse, Master of the, Amount paid to, 157, 165.

Horse, Regiments of, Strength of, 132.

Horses from Scotland or Ireland excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 475, 486.

Horsington, Lands at, to be sold under Ridout's Estate Act, 218.

Hort, Isaac, Appellant in the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215-16.

Kingman, ditto.

Thomas, a Respondent to said Appeal, 215-16.

Horton, —, Master of the Merchant Ship "Trial," taken by the French off Cape Ortegal, 87.

Hoskins, Watts v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

Houblon, Sir James, heard for the East India Company by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 9.

Sir John, one of the Commissioners of the Admiralty, examined by the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 65, 66, 69, 70.—Admiralty papers signed by him, 91, 96, 97, 98, 113, 125, 317, 319, 320, 326, 331, 335, 336, 337.—Appears before the Committee on the State of the Flect, 303, 304, 306, 307.—Supposed to have caused the refusal of Letters of Mart to the "Herne," 351.

Houghton, William, Lieut. Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 443.—Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Houlton, Nathaniel, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

How, Col. Emanuel, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Richard, M.P., ditto.

Howard, —, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Howard, James, Attestation of, to a Consent to Midford's Estate Act, 192.

> James, Proceedings relating to his discharge from arrest, as being protected by D. Norfolk, 380. Queen Catherine. Her case of

Queen Catherine. Her case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Sir Robert, Amount of Customs Duties in the hands of, 158.

Thomas, Son of Sir R. Howard, mentioned in a letter from E. Berkshire excusing himself from attending the House, 263.

of Effingham, Lord, late Governor of Virginia. His recommendation of N. Webb, proposed as Governor of the Bahama Islands, 430.

Howell, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Shield," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.

David Richard, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Deere r. Gibbon," 126, 127.

Joan, mentioned in said Appeal, 126, 127.

Howes, George, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 110-13.

Howland, Elizabeth, Consent of, to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.

Hubbard, Capt, commanding H.M.S. "Dorsetshire." Admiralty Orders to him, 70, 112.

Huby, Lands, &c., at, to be sold under Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Hudson, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Three Brothers," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 81, 87.

William, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.

Hughes, Elizabeth, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 241.

Mr., a Scrivener, mentioned in the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke,"

Hull in Appleton, Lands at, proposed to be sold by Warburton's Estate Bill, 513.

Hulson, Col., a Colonel of Hanover Foot, 134.

Hume, Alexander, Petition of creditors of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 504-505.

Humphreys, Humphrey, Bishop of Bangor, takes the Oaths, 120.

Hungerford, J., Attestation of, to a Consent to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.

Jo., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 269.

Hunkins, Thomas, Master of the "Margaret," trading between Scotland and New England and Maryland, 464.

Hunsdon, Robert Lord, takes the Oaths, 1,

Hunt, Francis, to be the first Minister of Stretton and Princethorpe, 229.

Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke," 268.

Huntingdon, Elizabeth Countess of mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Huntingdon, Theophilus, Earl of, Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the State of the Nation, 3, 6, 64, 65, 126, 128, 135.—A Teller on a Division, 261.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.—Letter of excuse from him, 267.—Petition of L. Hastings (his son and heir apparent) for leave to proceed against his Father without incurring breach of Privilege, 374.

Huntingdon, Earl of, v. Grenville, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

Huntley, William, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.

Huntoke, Edward, Letter from, giving information of illegal trade in New Jersy, 465.

Hutchins, Mr. Serjeant, mentioned in connection with an Appeal, 197.

Hutchinson, Elisha, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.

John, Clerk, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petition complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 401.

Hutting, Robert, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.

Hyde, Henry Lord, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Robert, a Respondent to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203-204.

Capt. William, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Hyron, John, Cheesemonger, Arrest of R.
Holcomb at the suit of, in breach
of D. Richmond's Privilege,
548

I.

Iliffe, William, Petition of Bishop of Llandaff for redress against, 516.

Impressment of Seamen, Admiralty Order respecting, 315.

Independent Companies, Strength of, 133.

India. See East India.

Infants, Undue marriages of, proposed to be prevented by the Marriage of Infants Bill, 547-48.

Ingoldsby, Col. Richard, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Major Richard, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Interest, Amount paid for, at the Exchequer, &c., 159.

Interlopers, admitted to trade by the Indian princes, 8.— Manage their trade in the same manner as the East India Company, 11.—Power given to the Scotch Company to exclude them, 14.—Their ships seized by the East India Company, 48.

Ipswich, French goods received and marked at, 139.

Ireland, Servants and horses from, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 475, 486.—Steps taken by the Admiralty to protect the coast of, 65.—Merchant Ships taken by the French off the Irish coast, 75, 90.—Certain of H.M. Ships stationed there, 92, 96, 97.—Immediate intelligence received by the French of everything done there, 109.—Bill for amending the Oaths (Ireland) Act, 120-124.—Wool from, allowed to be imported at certain places, 195.—Importation of wool from, encouraged by the Wool Act, 247.—Inquiry into the loss of certain ships from Ireland, 302, 305-307.

Lord Deputy of. See Capel, Lord.

Ireland, Edward, Master of the Merchant Ship "Phoenix," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

Ireton, George, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 506.

> Justice, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293.

Irish Army, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 163.

Isbery, Manor of, charged with a legacy to Elizabeth Pelham by Jones' Estate Act, 198. Isham, John, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 196.

Itchington, Long, Two farms at, bequeathed for the maintenance of a Minister for Stretton and Princethorpe, 229.

Ive, John, Signature of, to a paper delivered by New England Merchants 87.

Ivelchester, Prisoners from, rescued by rioters in Westminster, 401.

J.

Jackman, —, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

J., Attestation of, to a Consent to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act. 229.

Jackson, Henry, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63. Jacob, Sir John, a Colonel of Foot. 133.

Jacobite Plot (Fenwick's Conspiracy), 202-203.—Association for the King's Safety entered into in consequence of, 204-13.—Petition of William Fuller respecting, 272-74.

Jacobsen, Theodore, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries, 153.

Jamaica, Merchant Ships from, taken by the French, 79, 80, 81.—French descent at, 81.—Ships stationed there, 342.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of, 423.—Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Jamaica Merchants. Papers delivered by them to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 6, 19-20.—To the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 64, 65, 79.

James I, Embassy of, to the Mogul, 38.

James II. Lease made by him, when Duke of York, to Mr. Penn of part of Maryland, 411.— Deeds from him relating to Newcastle in America, 412, 425-26.— Grant by Charles II. to him, when Duke of York, of Sussex, Kent, and Newcastle, in America, 441.—Lease by him of the same territories to Mr. Penn, 441.—Grant by Charles II. to him of New Jersey, 442.—East Jersey leased by him to Sir G. Carteret, 442.—West Jersey leased by him to L. Berkeley, 442.

James, Elizabeth, originally named in the saving clause of James' Estate Act, 380.

Jane, ditto.

John, named in said clause, 380.

Margaret, originally named in said clause, 380.

Roger, named in said clause, 380.

William, certain estates of, vested in trustees for payment of debts, &c., by said Act, 580.

Japan, Attempt of the East India Company to establish trade with, 42.

Mr., mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, Jarmy,

Jarvis, -, Deputy Governor of North Carolina, 441.

ciation for the King's Safety, 208.—Altercation between him and E. Scarborough, 285 .-- Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.

Jeffries, Jeffery, M.P., does not subs ribe the Association ofor the King's

Safety, 210.

Jekyll, J., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 3.-Appears as counsel on a Bill, 239.—On an Appeal, 261.—Signature of, to an Answer to an Appeal, 262.

Jenkins, —, mentioned in the "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119. -, mentioned in the Appeal

Jenkinson, Jesse, Attestation of, to a Con-sent to Midford's Estate Act,

Sir Robert, MP., does not sub-scribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Jennings, Edmond, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.

> Edward, appears as counsel in certain Appeals, 141, 197.

Humphrey, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

J., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 197.

Capt. John, a member of certain Councils of War called by M. Carmarthen, 108, 109.—Deposition of, in connection with M. Carmarthen's charges against Capt. Cole, 111.—Present when the disagreement took place between those two officers, 115.

Peter, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governors of Virginia and Maryland, 422.

Jennyngs, Charles, Affidavit of, relating to the alleged assault by Stone on Luxford at the House, 408.

Jennyngs—cont. William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's

Safety, 209. Jersey, One of H.M. Ships stationed at,

Richard Attestation of, to a Consent to Stoughton's Estate Jewell, Act, 119.

Jewels and Plate, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167,

Jews, East India Company's trade farmed out to, 39.

Jodrell, Burdett, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of

Johnson, Capt., Commander of the "Euffolk." Admiralty Orders Admiralty Orders to him, 328-29.—Two fireships to join his squadron off Dunkirk, 332.--Certain ships placed under his orders, 333.

Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Providence," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.

Everett, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 459.

Math., Clerk of the Parliaments. Signature of, to Orders of the House, 528, 531, 532.-- To a copy of an Act, 530.

Matthew, a trustee under Sawyer's Estate Act, 216.

Mrs., claims of, secured by a saving clause in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 400.

Nicholas, examined by the Select Committee on said Bill, 398.

Robert, Master of the "Alexander," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.

Jolly, Henry, One of the proposed Com-missioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Maryland, 422.

Jollyvet, Evert, Attestation of, to a certificate from the Minister &c, of St. Martin's-in-the-Fields Church, 198.

Jones, Anne, mentioned in the Appeal "Jones v. Jones," 197.

Cadwallader, formerly Governor of the Bahama Islands, 440.

Da., Attestation of, to a Consent to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

Edmd., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 383.

Edward, mentioned in the Appeal "Jones v. Jones," 197.

Edward, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Jones-cont.

Dame Elizabeth, added to the saving clause in Jones' Estate Act, 198.

Hugh, Appellant in the Appeal "Jones v. Jones," 197-98, 515.

John, D.D., Dean of Bangor, Signature of, to a Petition from the Dean and Chapter, 533.

Mr., Deputy Vice-Admiral of the west part of Cornwall, 109.— Appears as Counsel on an Appeal, 141.

Richard, Personal estate of, vested in Trustees by Jones' Estate Act, 198.

Sir William, Dame Elizabeth Jones, the Relict of, added to the saving clause in said Act,

William, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136 .- Founder of a hospital at Monmouth, mentioned in a Petition from the Haberdashers' Company, 403.

Joye, Peter, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants trading to the Eastland Countries, 153.

Jumper, Capt., Commander of H.M. Ship "Weymouth." Admiralty Orders to him, 94, 95.—Deposition of, in connection with M. Carmarthen's charges against Capt. Cole, 111.

Justices, Lords, Petition of the East India Company to, for protec-tion to their shipping, 83, 85-86, 94.

K.

Keene, John, an Appellant in the Appeal "Hall r. Potter," 117.

Keeper, Lord. See Somers, Sir John.

Keling, J., Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the King's Bench Prison, 402.

Susannah, examined by the Select Committee on the Cre-ditors' Relief (Privileged Places, Kelley, &c.) Bill, 397.

Kendall, J., One of the Commissioners of the Admiralty. Admiralty papers signed by him, 320, 321, 322, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337.

Keniston, Nash v., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 390-91, 393.

Kensington House, Plot to seize the King at, 299.

Kent, —, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne,"

(America), subject to the Plantation Laws, 21.—Mr. Penn, Proprietor of, 441.—Grant of, by Charles II. to James II. when Duke of York, 441.—Lease of, by the Duke of York, to Mr. Penn, 441.

Anthony Earl of, appointed one of the Managers of a Conference with the Commons, 6.—Enabled to make a jointure for his son's wife by E. Kent's Estate Act, 118.- Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.

Mary Countess of, Consent of, to E. Kent's Estate Act, 118.

Kerr, Capt. Inquiry to be made as to his not following some of the enemy's privateers, 326.

Kerry, Edward, Estate of, vested in trustees, to discharge incum-brances, &c., by Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Robert, Master of the "William and Thomas," traling between Scotland and Virginia, 464.

Sarah, Consent of, to Kerry's Estate Act, 387. Thomas, ditto.

Kettle, Elizabeth, Appellant in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townseud," 368-70.

Joseph, ditto.

Joseph, deceased. E. Townsend. his executor, Respondent to said Appeal, 368-70.

Thomas, dyer, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.

William, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 369,

Keviton, Peregrine Lord, takes the Oaths, 3. See also Carmarthen, Marquess of.

Keyes, Elizabeth, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Keymer, -, reported to be unable to Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293.

Keyser, John, Act for naturalization of, 381.

Kidley, John, Attestation of, to Consents to Milward's Estate Act, 381.

> Richard, examined on the Wve and Lugg Navigation Bill, 201

Killigrew, Henry, One of H.M. Gentle-men of the Privy Chamber, claims privilege from arrest, 118,

Killigrew-cont,

Sir William, Amount paid to, out of the Excise, 185.

Killiow, Nicholas, mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.

Richard, ditto.

Kinaston, Edward, named as a trustee in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Kinaston (Herefordshire), Impropriate
Tithes of, the subject of the
Appeal "Mason v. Berrington,"
217-18.

King, Joseph, proposed to be appointed a trustee under Cock's Estate Bill,

> Nathaniel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423.

Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer,

528.

Bench Prison, Marshal of, examined by the Select Com-mittee on the Creditors' Relief King's (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 396. --Petitions complaining of his conduct, 398, 401, 403, 508-509. --Office of Marshal mortgaged to Sir J. Cutler by W. Lenthall, 399 .-- Petition of several prisoners against the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 399-400.—Orders for the enlargement of the prison, 402. -J. Withers allowed by the Marshal to go at large, 403 .--List of prisoners transferred by W. Briggs, Marshal of the Prison, to his successor, G. Taylor, 404.
--Clause securing W. Lenthall's delt to E. Boulter, devisee of Sir J. Cutler, inserted in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 406.--Clause vacating deputations made by Lenthall without Boulter's consent, added to the Bill, 406.— Petition of W. Briggs and J. Greene against the clause in said Bill, making void the grant to Briggs of the office of Marshal, 408.—Office of Marshal granted by Lenthall to Briggs, and by Briggs to Taylor, 409.

King's Person, Security of, Act, Clauses added to, 245-47.

King's Safety, Association for, 204-13.— Clause respecting it in the Security of the King's Person Act, 246.

King's Servants' Privilege, 118, 223.

King's Speech on the plot to assassinate him, 202.—At the Prorogation, 250.—At the opening of the Session, 250.

Kingroad, Certain of H.M. ships stationed at, 97.

Kingsford, John, mentioned in connection with Hammond's Estate Act, 547.

Kinsale, Certain of H.M. ships stationed at, 97.—Merchant Ships from Virginia, detained there for want of convoy, 311.

Kingston Seymour, mentioned in the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215.

Kirkby, Capt., Commander of the "Southampton," ordered to proceed to Spithead with certain vessels, 335.

> William, Esq., Consent of, to Crowle's Estate Act, 537.

Kirke, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191-92.

Mary, ditto.

Kirwood, Thomas, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 272.

Kiveton, Lord. See Carmarthen, Marquess of; Keviton, Lord.

Knight, Charles, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.

Hannah. Act to dissolve her marriage with T. Goodinge, 547.

John, late Under Sheriff of Somerset, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 401.

Mr., Amount repaid to, on account of loans paid at the Custom House, 159.

Thomas, a Respondent to the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 216.

Knights of the Shire, Qualification of, proposed in the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 200.

Knott, William. Proposal to enable trustees to sell an estate for payment of his debts in Knott's Estate Bill, 383.

Kynaston, Edward, mentioned in A. Smith's Petition for amendment of the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 400.

Edward, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

John, M.P., ditto.

L.

- Lambe, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Mary," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - La., Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.
- Lambert, Abigail, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.
- Lambton, William, mentioned in a Consent to Midford's Estate Act, 193.
- Lamiatt (Somerset), mentioned in the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.
- Lamouche, James, Signature of, to a Fetition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.
- Lamplugh, Thomas, proposed to be appointed a trustee under Fletcher's Estate Bill, 199.
- Lancashire, Robert, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.— Heard on the Stockbrokers Bill, 551.
- Lancaster Duchy, Attorney General of, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General of Duchy of Lancaster," 383-387.
- Lancaster, Mr., a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, examined by the Committee on that Company, 5.
 - William, D.D., Minister of St. Martin's-in-the Fields Church, Certificate from, in connection with De Nassau's Naturalisation Act, 198.
- Landbeach, Messuages at, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368-70.
- Land's End, Merchant Ships taken by the French near, 81, 88, 89, 90.
- Lane, John, an Executor of the Defendant in the Writ of Error "Swayne v. Middleton," 223.
 - Sir Thomas, One of the Proprietors of New Jersey, 442.
- Lanellen, Vicarage of, mentioned in the Appeals "Griffith v. Harries" and "Griffith and Morris v. Harries," 62, 63.
- Langattock juxta Usk, Rectory of, mentioned in the Appeal "Griffith v, Harries," 62-63.
- Langhorne, Luke, a Respondent to the Appeal "Leigh v. Stydolph," 519.
 Susannah, ditto.
- Langley, Jonathan, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 424.

- Langley--cont.
 - Sir Roger, One of the Commissioners of Prizes, examined by the Select Committee on the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 152.
- Langston, Col. Francis, a Colonel of Horse, 132.
- Langton, Thomas, late High Sherfff of Somerset, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 401.
- Latin, Mr. His Lodge, near Richmond, to be attacked while the King was there, 299.
- Laughton, Joseph, an Appellant in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham," 531-32.
- La Warr, John Lord, takes the Oaths, 2. Law Suits, Amount issued from the Ex-
- chequer for, 157, 167.

 Lawder, Col. George, a Colonel of Foot,
- 133.

 Lawrence, Griffith, Master of a Merchant Ship, from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
 - Sir Thomas, Bart., One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to Governor of Maryland, 422.
- Laws, Nicholas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.
- Lawson, Elizabeth, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 287, 288, 297.
- Lawton, C., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 141.
- Lea, Philip, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423.
- Leach, Joseph, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382-83.
- Lear, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.
- Leave, Elizabeth, Petition of, complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 398, 399, 404.
- Leaves, —, mentioned in the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy" 196.
- Leckhampton, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Norwood v. Norwood," 195.
- Lee, Dr. John, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner r. Widows of Bromley College," 193.
 - Mr., mentioned in connection with the Petition of Sawrey v. E. Derby, 253.

- Lee--cont.
 - Richard, One of the proposed Commissioners for admini-tering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.
- Lee Warner, Appellant in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193-94.
- Leech, Capt. Thomas, Master of the Merchant Ship "Ann," taken by the French off the coast of Guinea, 80.
- Leeds, Thomas Duke of, Lord President, Amount of Pension paid to, 187.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the difference between D. Devonshire, M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.
- Leeward Islands. Merchant Ships from, taken by the French, 88.—Ships stationed there, 342.
- Leeward Islands Merchants, A paper delivered by, to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 6, 20-21.—Papers delivered by them to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 64, 65, 78, 88-89.
- Legh, Thomas, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.
- Leigh, Col. Edward, a Colonel of Dragoons, 132.
 - Francis, Appellant in the Appeal "Leigh v. Stydolph," 519.
 - Lady, v. Lord Leigh, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
 - Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition. from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - Thomas Lorda Consent of, to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.
- Leighton, Col. Baldwin, Warden of the Fleet, mentioned in a Petition from J. Tilley, claiming to be Warden, 408.—Proposal by him to grant the office of Warden to J. Curtis, 408.
- Leighton's, Baldwin (Warden of the Fleet) Bill, 387-95.
- Lekens, Capt., heard on the Silks (Persia and East. Indies) Prohibition Bill, 510.
- Lekeux, Peter, Signature of, to a Petition from the Lustring Company, 139.
- Leman, Jonathan, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Lemon, Paul, mentioned in the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215.

- Lenthall, William. Office of Marshal of the King's Bench mortgaged by him to Sir J. Cutler, 399.—Clause securing his debt to E. Boulter, inserted in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 406.—Clause vacating deputations made by him without Boulter's consent added to the Bill, 406.—Rent paid to him by J. Greene, for the office of Marshal of the King's Bench, 408.—Office of Marshal granted by him to W. Briggs, 409.
- Lepper, Elizabeth, Attestation of to a Consent to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- Lesnes, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- L'Estang, Monsieur de, a Brigadier, 132.
- L'Estrange, Sir Roger, committed to Newgate, 212.
- Letten, Martha, Attestation of, to a Consent to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.
 - Susanna, Consent of, to said Act, 225.
- Letter Money, Amount borrowed on, 158.

 —Amount paid in satisfaction of loans on, 161.—Amount received from, 166.
- Letters of Mart, Inquiry into the refusal of, 302, 307, 308, 309, 309-310, 310-311, 312, 313, 314.—List of personsto whom Letters of Mart had been refused, 350.—J. Bateman's list of ships to which Letters of Mart were given or refused, 362-63.
- Levant Company. See Turkey Company. Levens, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.
- Leveson, Richard, a Brigadier, 132.—A Colonel of Horse, 132.
- Levett, Sir Richard, Alderman, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.
- Levingston, Sir Thomas, a Major General 131.—A Colonel of Dragoons 132.
- Levinz, Mr. Serjeant, appears as Counsel on an Appeal, 117.
- Lewar, Robert, Bardolph v., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391-392, 393.
- Lewen, William, Deputy Governor of the Lustring Company, Signature of, to a Petition from the Company against the Lustrings Bill, 189.
- Lewes. Proposal in the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, that elections of Members for Sussex should be adjourned from Chichester to Lewes, 377-78.

Lewis, Jo., Case of, cited on Fenwick's | Attainder Bill, 281.

Dame Sarah. Her affidavit in connection with the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 375.

Lewknor, John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's

Safety, 210.

Lichfield and Coventry, Thomas Wood, Bishop of, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood r. Webb," 191.

Lichfield, Dean and Chapter of, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 390, 392, 393.

Liddell, Henry, Attestation of, to a Consent to Midford's Estate Act, 192.

Liddington, Roger, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill,

Lieutenants General, List of, 131.

Lightfoot, William, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

John, Master of the "James," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.

Lillingston, Col. Luke, a Colonel of Foot,

Lillington, Richard, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.

Lincoln, Earl of. Edward E. Lincoln, mentioned in the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, r. Rolle,"

Francis E. Lincoln, ditto, 261.

Henry E. Lincoln, Appellant in

said Appeal, 260-62.
Susanna Countess of, an Appellant (on behalf of her infant son, E. Lincoln) in said Appeal, 260-62.

Lincoln and Holland, Richard Pate, Archdeacon of. His case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill,

Lincoln's Inn Fields, Trustees enabled to sell a Messuage, &c. in, by Sawyer's Estate Act, 216.

Lindsey, Robert Earl of, Lord Great Chamberlain, a Teller on a Division, 277.

Linen Drapers, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243.

Drapers' Apprentices, ditto, 244. · Dyers, Petition of, for amendment of said Bill, 244-45.

Lingham, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administer-

Lingham, John—cont.
ing the oath to the Governor of Antigua, 423.

Linton, Great, Tithes of, the subject of the Appeal "Martin r. Stephens," 382-83.

Littlebury, George, Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, 517.

Littleton, Dr., appears as counsel on a Bill, 152.

Edward, Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 33, 34.

J., Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Liverpool, Wool from Ireland allowed to be imported at, 195.

Livesey, Richard, E. Aylesbury's servant, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.

Lizard, The, One of H.M.'s Ships stationed off, 91.

Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 264. —Petition of, for redress against W. iliffe and W. Drage, 516.

Llandinal Rectory. See Spademan.

Lloyd, Capt., ordered to attend the Admiralty Board, 324.

> John, Signature of, to papers de-livered by Leewards Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 32, 34.

Richard, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.

Capt. Thomas, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Col. William, a Colonel of Dragoons, 132.

Loans, Account of, 158-63.

Samuel, Signature of, to papers Lock, delivered by East India Merchants, 33, 34.

Locke, John, One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.-Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 426, 427.

Loder, Joan, ordered to attend to answer a complaint of breach of E. Bradford's Privilege, 368.

Robert, ditto.

Lodington, Nathaniel, Consul at Tripoli, Amount paid to, 177. Loggin, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Whitaker v. Pawlin." 3.

William, ditto.

Lomb, Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 240.

Lombard, Daniel, Act for naturalisation of, 381.

- London, Excessive size of, 47.—Amount of annuity paid out of the Customs to the City of London, 175.
 —Common Council of, sign the Association for the King's Safety, 211.
 - Bishop of. Henry Compton, Bp. London, takes the oaths, I.—
 Delivers a paper from Mr. Shelden to the Committee on the
 East India Company (Scotland),
 12, 52.—Act for the exchange
 of certain advowsons between
 him and E. Nottingham, 387.

Callenders, Petition of, against the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 245.

(City) Officers, Petition of, against the Offices, &c. (Sale and Purchase) Bill, 514-15.

Collectors, Receivers, and Examiners of the Port of, Reports from, to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.

Distillers' Company, Petition of, to be heard on the Spirit Duties Bill. 250.

Lord Mayor, &c. of, heard by counsel against the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members, &c.) Bill, 216 17.—Petition of, to be heard on the Offices, &c. (Sale and Purchase) Bill, 515.

, Merchants, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 245.

Merchants and Traders, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505-506.—Petition of, against the Stockbrokers Bill, 551.

Orphans, Petition from, respecting the Offices, &c. (Sale and Purchase) Bill, 515.

Shopkeepers - and Warehouse-Keepers, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 245.

Lone, John, Petition of Appellants in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," that he might enter into a recognizance on their behalf, 383.

Long, —, Master of the Merchant Ship "Falkland," from New England, taken by the French, 87.

> Edw., Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.

> Joan, mentioned in connection with Milson's Estate Act, 516.

Long Reach, One of H.M. Ships stationed at, 97.

Longford, Richard, Signature of, to an Order of the House, 532.

Longucville, Henry Viscount, takes the Oaths, 1.—Signifies E. Fauconberg's Consent to Stoner's Estate Act, 136.—Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.

Lonsdale, John Viscount, introduced, 380.

Loose, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fair-fax's Estate Bill, 534

Lord, John, Master of the Merchant Ship "Don Carlos," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

Lords, House of. House informed of the meagre attendance of Lords at a Committee, 12.—Letters of excuse from Lords failing to attend the House, 263-68.—Fines formerly imposed on absent Lords, 263.—Lords not attending to be sent for in custody, 263.

House of (Ireland), Provisions respecting the taking of Oaths by Peers of Ireland in the Oaths (Ireland) Amendment Bill, 121-24.

Lorne, Lord, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Lorraine, William, Consent of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.

Loton, Richard, mentioned in the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden, 117.

Lotteries. Amount paid for management of the Million Lottery, 167, 169.

—Amount paid as commission to the Bank, 157, 169.—Amount of annuities on Lotteries, 159,

—Amount received from Lotteries, 168.

Lovelace, Lord, not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.

Lowe, Arthur, Attorney to J. Reyne, attached in connection with a breach of L. Morley's Privilege, 379.

Lowndes, William, Amount paid to, for Secret Service, 165.

Lowry, Thomas, named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458.

Lowther, Sir John, one of the Commissioners of the Admiralty, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Commissioners, 91, 98, 113, 114, 125, 315, 316.—Examined by the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 66, 70.

Lucas, Mr., of Clapham, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Robert Lord, takes the Oaths, 1.

Thomas, Signature of, to papers delivered by East India Merchants, 33, 34.—Master of the

Lucas, Thomas-cont.

Merchant Ship "Friends' Adventure," from New York, taken by the French, 78.

Lucy, George, Barrister, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.

Robert, Charges brought against the Bishop of St. David's by, 220 –21.

Ludwell, Col., formerly Governor of Carolina, 441.

Lugg and Wye Navigation Act, 201-202.

Lumley, Henry, a Brigadier, 132. — Colonel of the Queen's Regiment, 132.

Lusay, —, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Lustring Company, heard by counsel against Lustring's Bill, 138-40.— Act for the encouragement of, 542.

Lustrings Bill, 138-40.

Lutwych, Ed., Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet Prison, 402.

Luxford, Richard, Petitions of, complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 396-98, 401-402, 404.—Assaulted by T. Stone at the House of Lords, 407-408.—Petition of J. Wich against his release from custody, 409.—Petition of, for relief, 409-10.

Lydd, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Lyddell, D., Signature of, to papers delivered by the Navy Board, 315.

Lyle, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Elizabeth and Mary," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.

Lyme, Corporation of, Amount of annuity paid to, 175.

Lyme Regis, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

Lyon, George, Master of the "Lion," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.

Lytcott, Mr., Comptroller General of the Accounts of the Customs, mentioned in a Report from the Commissioners of Customs, 24.

M.

Macao, Trade established at, by the East India Company, 42.

Macassar, Spice formerly procured at, by East India Merchants, 35.—The English driven from the trade of, 40.

Macclesfield, Earl of, a Major General, 131.—A Colonel of Horse, 132. —Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.

Mackay, Col. Aeneas, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Col. Robert, ditto.

Mackenzie, Roderick, examined by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 6.

Mackgoone, John, mentioned in a list of vessels trading between Scotland and the Tobacco Plantations, 464.

Mackley, William, a Warden of the Distillers' Company, Signature of, to a Petition from the Company, 541.

Maclair, Robert, Master of the "Charles," trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.

Maddox, Robert, an officer in the Fleet Prison, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.

Madeira, Wines from, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 475, 486.

Madeley, Manor of, vested in trustees by Brooke's Estate Act, 120.

Magdalen College, Oxford, Dr. Peirce, President of, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193.

Maides, Hugh, servant to L. Coventry, Affidavit of, that his Lordship is unable to attend the House, 265.

Maidstone, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Maidstone, Nathaniel, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.

Mail, Anne, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 370. John, ditto.

Maine, owned by the Massachusetts Bay Company, who purchased it from the heir of Sir F. Gorges, 443.

Maine, Capt., Commander of H.M.S.

"Kent," calls off the "Portland" from chasing some French
ships, 112, 113. — Ordered to
attend the Admiralty Board,
324.

Maitland, Col. James, a Cofonel of Foot, 133,

Majors General, List of, 131.

Malabar, Trade established at, by the
East India Company, 42.—
Amount allowed in the Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. there, 56, 58.

Malaga, Advice from the Consul at, respecting the movements of the French fleet, 357.

Man, Joseph, One of E. Randolph's witnesses before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 444.

William, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

Manchester, Charles Earl of, takes the Oaths, 1.—A Teller on Divisions, 217, 261, 294.—Chairman of the Committee of the whole House on the Seamen's Bill, 219.—On the Si'ks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 240.

Manley, John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Safety, 209.

Thomas, Master of the "Planter's Adventure," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.

Manlove, Richard, Warden of the Fleet, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Tilly v. Richardson,"
373.—Forfeiture by him of his office, 387, 394.—The inquisition against him quashed, 394, 395.

Mansel, Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Manvillam, Peter, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.

March, Roger Mortimer Earl of, Earl of Salisbury v., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 390, 392, 393.

Marjar (Maria),—, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.

Markham, Capt. William, proposed by Mr. Fenn as Governor of Pennsylvania, 412.—Appointed, 441, 457.—An infirm man, 458.— Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Marlborough, John Earl of, takes the Oaths, I.—Letter to, from Lady Jane Blount, giving her Consent to Blount's Estate Act, 120.—A Teller on Divisions, 129, 282, 372.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the dif-

Marlborough, John Earl of—eont. ference between D. Devonshire M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.

Marque, Letters of. See Letters of Mart.

Marseilles, supposed to have been bombarded by Admiral Russell, 114. —Preparation at, of galleys to accompany the Toulon fleet, 352.

Marsh, George, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Marshal, The Earl, Jurisdiction of the Court of, the subject of the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville," 154-55.—See also Norfolk, Duke

Marshall, Arthur, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.

> Ra., delivers papers from the East India Company, 11, 12.—Signature of, to one such paper, 86.

Marsham, Sir Robert, Bart., Affidavit of, in connection with Hammond's Estate Act, 547.

Mart, Letters of. See Letters of Mart.

Martin, Capt., obtains Letters of Mart, 310.

Josh., Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands
Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 33, 34.—To a Petition
from Merchants trading to the
Eastland Countries, 153. — To a
Petition from Merchants and
Shipowners against the Plantation Trade Bill, 233.

Thomas, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382-83.

Marton, Comte, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Martyn, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Deborah and Martha," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.

N., Signature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 262.

Maryland, subject to the plantation laws, 21.—Ships stationed there,343.—Lease of part of, made by James II. when Duke of York, to Mr. Penn, 411.—Act made by Col. Nicholson imposing a duty on European commodities exported from, 412, 413, 466-68.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of, 422-23.—Lord Baltimore absolute Proprietor of, 441.—II-legal trade there,446,465.—Names of vessels trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.—Act made by Col. Nicholson imposing a duty on liquors imported into

Maryland -- cont.

Maryland, 468-70. — Customs duties levied there applied to education in Virginia, 474.—Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83. — Duties levied on English goods crossing the colony to Pennsylvania, and on liquors brought therefrom, 500, 502-503.

Masemore, William, mentioned in connection with Hammond's Estate Act, 547.

Mason, Benjamin, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.

Dodington, Appellant in said Appeal, 217-18.

George, mentioned in said Appeal, 217.

Capt. John. New Hampshire purchased by S. Allen from his heirs, 443.

Sarah, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington, 217.

Massachusetts Bay, Proposed Commission for administering the eath to the Governor of, 423.—Held by charter, 443.—W. Houghton, Lieut.-Governor of, 443.—Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Massachusetts Bay Company, Owners of Maine, which they purchased from the heir of Sir F. Gorges, 443.

Massam, Capt., Impressment by, of several of the crew of the "Avarilla," at Barbadoes, 68, 98.

Massey, Anne, mentioned in the Appeal "Jones v. Jones," 197.

John, ditto.

Master, Streynsham, Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 33.

Masters and Servants Bill, 227-29.

Matthews, Brigadier Edward, a Colonel of Dragoons, 132.

Maulda, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 56.

Mayfield, Parsonage of, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Maynard, Lord, Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 266.

Maynes Wood (Herefordshire), the subject of the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217-18.

Mead, Nicholas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423. Meade, John, examined as to the evidence on which the Grand Jury, of which he was a member, found the Bill against Sir J. Fenwick, 277.

Meadows, Sir Philip, One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.—Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 416, 426, 427, 428, 430.

Medcalfe, Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 240.

Mediterranean Fleet, Strength of, 97.— List of, 124.—Capt. Cole ordered to join the Fleet with certain ships, 316.—Similar order to Captain Baron Wild, 316.— Similar order to Capt. Fairborne, 317.

Meeche, Capt. Thomas, Commander of a revenue cruiser on the American coast, named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458, 459.—Letters from him complaining of illegal trade in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 465-66.

Meeres, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Robert," from Barbadoes' forced ashore by the French, 76

Mein, Patrick, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.—Named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458.—Letters from him giving information of illegal trade in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, 465.

Melcombe Regis, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

Melinda, Attempt of the East India Company to establish trade on the coast of, 42.

Melkinthorpe, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.

Meloniere, Monsieur de la, a Major-General, 131.—A Colonel of Foot, 133.—Petition from the soldiers of his regiment for payment of the arrears due to them, 378.

Members of Parliament, Qualifications of, proposed in the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 199-201.—Petitions against the proposed qualifications, 216-17.—Qualifications proposed in the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 375-78.—Bill for facilitating the

Members of Parliament—cont. recovery of debts from Peers and Members of Parliament, 371-72.

Mendip, Land at, the subject of the Writ of Error "Strode v. Byrt," 516.

Mennel, John, linendraper, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 241.

Merchants' Losses at Sea, Inquiry into,

Meres, Sir Thomas, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.

Merry, Anthony, a subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.

Methwen, John, Esq., One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.

Micklethwaite, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Middleton, Sir Richard, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's safety, 210.

Midford, Jane, Consent of, to Midford's Estate Act, 193.

William, Trustees enabled to sell part of the estate of, by said Act,

Midy, Bartholomew, Respondent to the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy," 196-97.

Milborne, Charles, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Milford, Certain of H.M. Ships stationed at, 97.

Milk, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Union," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French,

Miller, Justinian, appointed Lieutenant on H.M.S. "Roebuck," 108.

Million Act, Amount of Annuities on, 159, 169.

Lottery, Amount paid for management of, 157, 169 .-- Amount paid as commission to the Bank, 157, 169.

Milson, Edward, mentioned in connection with Milson's Estate Act, 516.

Milton, Lands at, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368-70.

Milton, Christopher, Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet Prison, 402.

Milward, Anne, Consent of, to Milward's Estate Act, 381.

William, Clerk, Lands of, vested in trustees, for payment of debts, by said Act, 381, 530.

Mindanas, Attempt of the East India Company to establish trade at, 42.

Minehead, Wool from Ireland allowed to be imported at, 195.

Mint, The, Amount expended for, 159 .--Certificate of the Officers of, as to the amount which they could coin per week, 130.—Said officers examined at the Bar, on the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 130.—Ordered to attend the Select Committee on the Coinage (Prevention of Counterfeiting) Bill,

Miremont, Marquis de, a Major-General, 131.—A Colonel of Dragoons.

Mitchell, Vice-Admiral, examined by the Committee on the State of the

Mogul, The. The English treated kindly by him, 8.—The French dare not attack Ships in his ports, 8, 36 .--The East India Company's trade not valued by him, 37.—Embassy of Sir T. Row to him, 38.—Ships not protected by him, 41.—Probable break-up of the Indian Empire at his death, 41 .-- Debt owing by him to the East India Company, 56, 58, 61.

Mohun, Anne, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Coward r. L. Mohun," 520.

Cordelia, a Respondent to said Appeal, 519-21.

Elizabeth, an Appellant in said Appeal, 519-21.

fames, a Respondent to said Appeal, 519-21.

Katherine, ditto.

Charles L. Mohun, deceased. E. Ailesbury fined 1001. for being absent from his trial, 263.

Warwick L. Mohun, deceased, mentioned in Answers to the Appeal "Coward v. L. Mohun," 620, 521.

Philippa, a Respondent to said Appeal, 519-21.

Phillips Lady, wife of Mr. Serjeant Coward, an Appellant in said Appeal, 519-21.

ofineux, Francis, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.

Monchton, Robert, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.

1 Bk, Christopher, mentioned in connection with the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath, 258.

mouth. Petition of the Mayor, &c. of, gainst the Wye and Lugg vigation Bill, 201 .- Hospital v, mentioned in a Petition from the Haberdashers' Company, Monmouth-cont.

Duke of, case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Earl of, a Teller on a Division, 129.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the difference between D. Devonshire, M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.—Named by Sir J. Fenwick as having given him advice how to conduct himself on the Bill of Attainder, 283.—E. Carlisle desired by Lady M. Fenwick to obtain from him instructions for her husband's behaviour, 285. - Heard as to his connection with Sir J. Fenwick, 286, 289-90.—Committed to the Tower, 294.—A Representation made to the King as to his commitment, 294.—Liberated on petition, 295, 301.—Paper dictated by him to the Duchess of Norfolk in connection with the Attainder Bill, 297.— Notes written by him on the Examination, 297-98.— Letter amination, 297-98. - Letter from the Duchess to the L. Keeper, praying to be heard if E. Monmouth has contradicted anything she has said, 298.-Petition of the Duchess that she may be admitted to vindicate herself from reflections cast upon her by his witnesses, 298 99.

Monpouillan, Marquis de, Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.

Montagu, Ralph Earl of, Petition of, that E. Bath may waive his privilege in certain actions 253-59.

Montague, Charles, Signature of, to a direction appended to an Order of Council, 446.

Montgomery, Lord, included in the Indictment against Sir J. Fenwick, 277.—Evidence concerning him given on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.

Montigny, Brigadier, a Colonel of Hanover Horse, 134.

Montserrat, Merchant Ships from, taken by the French, 80, 81.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of, 423.

Moor, Sir John, One of the Proprietors of New Jersey, 442.

Samuel, a prisoner from Ivelchester, rescued by rioters in Westminster, 401.

Moore, Edward, Master of the Merchant Ship "Robert and William," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

Francis, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 294, 298.

Moore--cont.

Mr., examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.

More, Sir Thomas, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Mordaunt, Col. Henry, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Moresby, Manor of, proposed to be vested in trustees for payment of debts, &c. by Fletcher's Estate Bill, 198-99.

Morgan, William, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," 213-15.

Morley and Grenville v. Elwes, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.

Morley, Lord. Leave given to him to withdraw during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 277.—Proceedings relating to a Protection given by him, 379-80.

Sir William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Morrell, Henry, an Appellant in the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382-83.

Morris, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Hannah," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.

John, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Griffith v. Harries," 63.—Appellant in the Appeal "Griffith and Morris v. Harries, 63.

Mortimer, Roger, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Mortmain, Bill to enable the King to license alienations in, 221-22, 245.

Mountstevens, John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Moxon, Joseph, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 370.

Moyle, Anne, mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.

Richard, Estate of, proposed to be vested in trustees, for payment of debts, by said Bill, 517.

Mullow, Robert, Letter from, giving information respecting privateers, 349.

Muncaster, Jo., Attestation of, to Consents to Midford's Estate Act, 192, 193.

Munday, Gilbert, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney-General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Mr., examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280. Munden, Capt. John, Commander of the "Albemarle," afterwards Commander in-Chief at the Nore and the River Medway. Admiralty Orders to him, 319, 320, 321, 322, 324, 325.

Murray, Lady Charlotte, mentioned in the Petition of T. Cooper v. E.

Derby, 532

David, mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v, E. Derby, 540.

William, Petition of, for amendment of the Lustrings Com-

pany, &c. Bill, 542.

- Muschamp, George, One of the proposed
 Commissioners for administering
 the oath to the Governor of
 Maryland, 422.—Letter from him
 respecting illegal trade in Carolina, 465.
- Muscovy Company, A regulated company, 42.
- Musgrave, Sir Christopher, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Musters, Mr., Engineer, operations of, against Dunkirk, 114.

- Myngs, Capt. Christopher, Commander of H.M.S. "Lenox." Admiralty Orders to him, 94.—A member of certain Councils of War called by M. Carmarthen, 107, 108, 109.
- Mynors, Crompton, deceased. His lands settled by Mynors' Estate Act, 367.

Theodosia. Her portion increased by said Act, 367.

N.

- Nash v. Keniston, Case of, cited on the hearing of B. Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 390-91, 393.
- Nassau, Comte, a Lieutenant-General, 131.

 —A Colonel of Dutch Foot,
 134.
- Nation, State of the. See State of the Nation.
- Naval Miscarriages, Inquiry into, 64-117.
 - Officers in the Plantations, Qualifications of, 22.—Security to be taken from them, 477, 494.
 - Prize Money, Provisions for the distribution of, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 146-53.

Naval Miscarriages--cont.

Stores, The Naval Board to send in an account of, 302.—Accounts delivered, 314-315.— Commissioners of Trade to inquire into the supply of, from the Plantations, 417-18.

Navarra, Abraham, sent by the East India Company to the Mogul to

sue for peace, 37.

- Navigation, Act of, rendered useless by the Scotch East India Company's Act, 13.—Not duly executed in the proprietary Plantations, 424.—Instructions to the Governors of the Plantations for the observance of, 494—99.
- Navigation, Act of (Scotland), suspended by the Scotch East India Company's Act, 17.
- Navy, The, Inquiry concerning, 64-117, 125-26, 302-67.—List of, 124-125, 337-40.—Amounts paid for, 157, 163.—Proposed measures for increasing the number of seamen, 219.—Admiralty Order respecting Leave of Absence, 315.—See also Admiralty; Fleet; Ships.
 - Board, to send in an account of the stores, 302.—Papers delivered by them, 302, 305, 314-15, 344-45.— Examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 305.
- Nayle, Samuel, an Appellant in the Appeal "Eastmond v. Sandys," 262.
- Neale, Richard, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege, 375.
- Nedham, Daniel, Master of the Merchant Ship "Mary," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
- Needler, Culverwell, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.
- Needwood Forest (Tutbury) Act, 224-25.

Negroes, Trade in, 19.

- Nesmond, Monsieur de, French Admiral,
 Design of, against English and
 Dutch East India Ships, 82, 83,
 84-85, 104.—Certain vessels
 taken by him, 83, 85.—Inability
 of the Admiralty to send out
 ships against him, 83.—A squadron ordered by the Lords
 Justices to go after him, 83.—
 His design against Spithead, 95.
- Neve, Francis, Consent of, to Neve's Estate Act, 379.
 - Oliver, enabled to sell certain houses by said Act, 378.
- Nevill, Mr. Baron, mentioned in the Report of the Committee on Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 393.

Nevill-cont.

Mr. Justice, heard on the Bill of Attainder against Sir J. Fenwick, 275.—Mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 386.

Nevis, Merchant Ships from, taken by the French, 78, 79.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of, 423. — Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

New England, Salt for the fisheries of, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17.—Mr. Randolph heard by the Committee of the whole House on the Wool Bill as to wool from, 247.—Ships stationed there, 343.—Names of vessels trading between Scotland and New England, 464.

New England Merchants, Papers from, delivered to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 64, 65, 77, 87.—Ships belonging to, taken by the French, 89-90.

New Hampshire, S. Allen Proprietor and Governor of, 443.—Purchased by him from the heirs of Capt. Mason, 443.— Mr. Partridge Lieut.-Governor of, 443.

New Jersey, Plantation laws not duly executed in, 21. — Attorney General's opinion as to the erection of an Admiralty Court there, 428.—Employment of the Militia of, in the defence of other parts of the Province of New York, 439.—List of the Proprietors of, 442.—Grant of East and West Jerseys by Charles II. to James II., when Duke of York, 442.—East Jersey leased by the Duke of York to Sir G. Carteret, 442.—West Jersey leased by him to L. Berkeley, and by L. Berkeley to E. Billing, 442.—Col. Hamilton Governor of, 442.—Illegal trade carried on there, 465, 466.—Complaint of the conduct of Governor Hamilton, 465-66.— Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83. — Duties levied on English goods passing up New York river to, 500, 502.

New River, A share in, the subject of the Writ of Error "Swayne v. Middleton," 223.

New Sarum, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

New York, A Merchant Ship from, taken by the French, 78.—A Ship stationed there, 343.--Col.Fletcher's New York-cont.

Commissions as Governor of, 41I
-12, 431-39. — Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor, 423.—The Governor commissioned by His Majesty, 444.—Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83. — Duties levied on English goods passing up New York river to New Jersey, 500.

Newburgh, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby

v. E. Derby, 537.

Newcastle, County (America), subject to the plantation laws, 21.—Deeds from James II., when Duke of York, relating to, produced by Mr. Penn, 412.—Report of the Attorney General and Solicitor General on the grant of, to Mr. Penn, 425-26.—Attorney General's opinion as to the erection of an Admiralty Court there, 428.—Col. Fletcher's Commission as Governor of, 437-39.—Mr. Penn Proprietor of, 441.—Grant of, by Charles II. to James II., when Duke of York, 441.—Lease of, by the Duke of York, to Mr. Penn, 441.

Newcastle, John Duke of, takes the Oaths, 118.—To be attached for not attending the House, 263.

Newdigate, John, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 519.

Newfoundland, Salt for the Fisheries of, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 486.—Loss of, 302, 342, 415.—Convoys for, 342.— Ships stationed there, 343.

Newgent, Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.

Newpark, Demesnes of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Newport, Andrew, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Newson, William, Consent of, to Neve's Estate Act, 379.

Newton, Henry, Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Mr. (afterwards Sir Isaac), gives evidence before the Select Committee on the Coin (Prevention of Counterfeiting) Bill, 546.

Nichol, William, One of Mr. Randolph's Witnesses before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 444.

Nicholas, Edward, Treasurer to Her late Majesty. Amount paid to him, 157,165.

Nicolas--cont.

Mr., signifies Mr. Currance's consent to Warner's Estate Act, 135.

Nicholson, Capt., engaged in illegal trade in Maryland, 465.

Col. Francis, Governor of Maryland, Order of Council to him relating to the prevention of smuggling in America, 412.—
Act made by him imposing a duty on European commodities exported from Maryland, 412, 466-68.—Zealous to see the Acts of Trade duly observed, 441.—Letters to him from T. Meeche, complaining of illegal trade in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, 465, 466.—Act made by him imposing a duty on liquors imported into Maryland, 468-70.—Instructions to him respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Niston, Joseph W., Complaint against, for having caused the arrest of a King's Servant, 223.

Noble, Francis. Complaints made by him of illegal trade in Carolina, 465.

Noell, Edward, a Respondent to the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 271-72.

Nore, The, Certain of H.M. Ships stationed at, 97.

Norfolk, Duchess of, named by Sir J. Fenwick as having sent him from E. Monmouth instructions how to conduct himself on the Bill of Attainder, 283.—Her Examination in connection with the Bill, 286, 287-88, 297-98. — Admits that she received papers from E. Monmouth for Lady M. Fenwick, 286.—Offer made by her to Mrs. Norton to produce evidence that Porter was guilty of the murder of her husband, 293.—Petition from her that she may be admitted to vindicate herself from reflections cast upon her by E. Monmouth's witnesses, 294, 298-99. — Letter to her from Lady M. Fenwick, 297.— Paper dictated to her by E. Monmouth in connection with the Attainder Bill, 297.—Letter from her to the L. Keeper, praying to be heard if E. Mon-mouth has contradicted any of her statements, 298.

Henry, Duke of, Earl Marshal, Jurisdiction of the Court of, the subject of the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville," 154-55.— Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.— Gives an account as to his conNorfolk, Henry.--cont.

nection with Sir J. Fenwick,
292.-Not present when the
Book of Protections was read,

Book of Protections was read, 372.—Proceedings relating to a Protection given by him, 380.

Normanby, John Marquess of, a Member of the Select Committee on the question of procedure raised in connection with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129.— Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—Petition of, for waiver of D. Devonshire's Privilege in a certain suit, 259-62.—Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.—Chairman of the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 414.

Norris, Sir Edward, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Montague Lord, M.P., ditto.

William, Countersignature of, to an Answer to an Appeal, 204.

North, Dame Anne, Appellant in the Appeal "North v. Cecill," 2.

Sir Dudley, mentioned in said
Appeal, 2.

R., Countersignature of, to said Appeal, 2.

Hon. Roger, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 507.

William, Answer of, to the Appeal "Lee Warner v, Widows of Bromley College," 194.

North Foreland, One of H.M. Ships stationed off, 92.

North Sea, Certain of H.M. Ships stationed in, 91.

Northcott, Col. Edward, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Northey, Edward, Attorney General to the Duchy of Lancaster. Appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 196, 262, 383, 520, 538.

—On certain Writs of Error, 222, 223, 269.—On certain Bills, 224, 242, 542.—On a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 254.

—A Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Northleigh, Robert, Consent of, to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

Northumberland, Duke of, Amount paid out of the Excise to, 185,—Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.

Northumberland, Duke of, and L. Chamberlain v. Duchess of Somerset,
Case of, quoted on a question
of Peers' Privilege, 254, 259.

- Norton, Elizabeth. Porter to be accused of the murder of her husband, 293.—Her examination in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.
- Norway. Certain of H.M. Ships stationed there, 97.
- Norwich, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.
 - Bishop of, to be attached for not attending the House, 263.
 - Earl of, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis," 507.
- Norwood, Charles, mentioned in the Appeal "Norwood v. Norwood,"
 195.
 - Francis, ditto.
 - Henry, Saving Clause, for securing a debt due to him, added to the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Place, &c.) Bill, 399.—Office of Warden of the Fleet mortgaged to him by T. Bromhall, 400, 406.
 - Col. Henry, mentioned in the Appeal "Norwood," Norwood,"
 - John, Appellant in said Appeal, 195-96.
 - Richard, mentioned in said Appeal,
 - Thomas, Respondent to said Appeal, 195-96.
 - William, mentioned in said Appeal, 195.
- Nottingham, Daniel Earl of, takes the Oaths, 196.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the difference between D. Devonshire, M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.—Petition for waiver of his Privilege, 375.—Act for the exchange of certain advowsons between him and the Bishop of London, 387.
- Nunn, Joseph, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 241.

0.

- Oaths (Ireland) Amendment Bill, 120-24.
- O'Brien, —, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.
- Offices (Sale and Purchase) Bill, 511-13, 514-15.

- Ogle, Lady, mentioned in the Appeal "Hall v. Potter," 117.
- Ohr, Col., a Colonel of Hanover Horse, 134.
- Old Bailey, A house in, the subject of the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke," 268.
- Oldham, —, examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.
- Oldys, William, LL.D., Plaintiff in the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville, 154-55.
- Oliver, Mrs., mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193.
- Ongley, Samuel, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Oosterland, Jacob, Act for naturalisation of, 381.
- Orby, Sir Charles, Bart., a Respondent to the Appeal "Crawford v. L. Mohun," 519-21.
- Ordnance, Amount paid to the Hon. Charles Bertie for, 157, 163.
- Ormeskirk-cum-Aughton, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Ormond, James Duke of, A Lieutenant General, 131. — Colonel of a Troop of Guards, 132.—Enabled to raise money for payment of debts, &c. by D. Ormond's Estate Act, 381.
- Ortegal, Cape, Merchant Ships taken by the French off, 87.
- Ortz, Col., a Colonel of Danish Foot, 134.
- Osborn, —, mentioned in connection with the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath, 258.
- Osborne, Peregrine Lord, not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.—See also Carmarthen, Marquess of.
 - Richard, Countersignature of, to an Answer to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.
- Otterburne, R., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 215.
- Overbridge, Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Bermudas, 424.
- Overton, Benjamin, One of the Commissioners of the Customs, Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 420, 445, 472, 501.
- Owen, Capt., Commander of H.M.S.
 "Roebuck," consulted by M.
 Carmarthen as to his movements, 107.—A lieutenant appointed to his ship, 108.

Oxford, Bishop of, to be attached for not attending the House, 263.

Earl of, Aubrey E. Oxford takes the Oaths, 1.—A Lieutenant Gene-ral, 131.—Colonel of the Royal Regiment, 132.

Oxney, Isle of, Lands in, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534,

Ρ.

Pack, Jane, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119.

Packers and Clothworkers, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244.

Packet Boats, Amount of charge for, 158, 189.

Page, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Phoenix," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.

Paggen, Peter, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 33.

Paige, William, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

Paine, Thomas, Attestation of, to Consents to Milward's Estate Act, 381.

Palmer, Mr., consents to Dawson's Estate Act, 143.

Panton, Mary, Jointure secured to, by Panton's Estate Act, 395.

Thomas, Estate of, vested trustees for payment of debts, &c., by said Act, 395.

Paper, Parchment, &c., Amount received from the tax on, 172.

Papists. Roll containing the signatures of Lords to the Declaration in the Act of 1678 for disabling Papists from sitting in Parliament, 1.—Property given for Popish purposes proposed to be vested in the Crown by the Papists (Disinheriting Protestants, &c.) Bill, 249.

Paris, —, a pirate from Curaçoa, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 459.

Parker, Col., Design of, to assassinate the King, 273.

Henry, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

John, Consent of, to Stockley's Estate Act, 511.

Parkes, Edward, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Parkhurst, Nathaniel, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119, 120.

Parkyns, Dame Elizabeth, Consent of, to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Sir Thomas, enabled to sell certain lands, &c. by said Act, 63.

Parliament. See Privilege of Parlia-

(Ireland). Provisions of the Oaths (Ireland) Amendment Bill respecting the taking of the Oaths by Peers of Ireland, 120-24.

Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 199-201, 216,

Elections Regulation Bill, 375-78.

Parmenter, Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill,

Parratt, James, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.

Parsons, -, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Ja., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 262.

John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety,

Samuel, Affidavit of, as to the value of the estates affected by Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Partington, Lands at, proposed to be sold

by Warburton's Estate Bill, 513.

Partis, Mathias, mentioned in a Consent
to Midford's Estate Act, 192.

Partitions Bill, 250-51.

Partridge, Robert, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions)

William, Lieut.-Governor of New Hampshire, 443.

Party Guiles Act, 541.

Paschoe, John, mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.

Pate, Hercy, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119, 120.

Richard, Archdeacon of Lincoln and Holland. His case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Paterson, William, examined by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 5.— Profit assigned to him by the Company's Articles of Association,

Pattana, mentioned in the East India Company's Estimate of their Stock, 56.

Pawlett, Lord Edward, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.

James, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.

- Pawlin, William, a Respondent to the Appeal "Whitaker v. Pawlin," 3.
- Payler, Dame Mary, a Respondent to the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler," 530-31.
 - Watkinson, mentioned in Stoughton's Estate Act, 118.—A Respondent to the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler," 530-31.
- Payne, Robert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Peake, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.
- Peck, Edward, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243.
- Pedlars, Intended suppression of, by the Hawkers and Pedlars Bill, 230-33.
- Peers. Bill for facilitating the recovery of debts from Peers and Members of Parliament, 371-72.
 - (Ireland), Provisions of the Oaths (Ireland) Amendment Bill respecting the taking of the Oaths by, 120-24.
- Peers, Charles examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 314.—Letters of Mart refused to him, 350.
- Peirce, Dr., President of Magdalen College, Oxford, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193.
- Pelham, Elizabeth, Clauses respecting, added to Jones' Estate Act, 198., Thomas, mentioned in a clause added to said Act, 198.
- Pemberton, Sir Francis, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 192.—Request of Sir J. Fenwick that he might be visited by him, 274.
- Pembroke, Countess of, Consent of, to Sawyer's Estate Act, 216.
 - Earl of. Thomas E. Pembroke, Lord Privy Seal, makes a Report from a Conference with the Commons, 152.—Amount paid out of the Customs to him, 175.
- Pembroke Hall, Cambridge. Appeal respecting the tithes of Great Linton, the rectory of which was vested in the Master and Scholars of the Hall, 382-83.

- Penhorne, William, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of New York, 423.
- Lease made to him by Penn. James II., when Duke of York, of part of Maryland, 411.—Examined by the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 412, 413. -Produces the deeds from James II., when Duke of York, relating to Newcastle in America, 412.—Papers delivered by him, 413, 414, 456, 457, 468-72, 490-91.— Report of the Attor-ney General and Solicitor General on the grants to him of Newcastle, &c., 425-26.— Proprietor of Sussex, Kent, and Newcastle, in America, 441.— Proprietor of Pennsylvania, 441. -His Answer to Mr. Randolph touching the Counties of Sussex, Kent, and Newcastle-upon-Delaware, 456-57. - His Answer regarding Pennsylvania, 457 .-E. Randolph's reply to him, 458-60.—His Expedient against fraud in the tobacco trade, 490-91. - Observations from the Commissioners of Customs on his papers, 491-94, 500-501.— Suggestions from him for im-proved relations between the plantations, 501-502, - Queries thereon from the Commissioners of Customs, 502.—His Answers, 502-503. Remarks thereon by Charles Brooke, 503-504.
- Penniston, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Two Brothers," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
 - Richard, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Bermudas, 424.
- Pennoyer, William, mentioned in the Appeal "Watkins r. Shatterden," 117.
- Pennsylvania, Plantation laws not duly executed in, 21.-Paper delivered by Pennsylvania Merchants to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 64,78. - Merchant ships from, taken by the French, 78.-Capt. Markham proposed by Mr. Penn as Governor of, 412.-Evidence as to smuggling in 412, 413.—Illegal trade carried on there, 419, 465, 466.—Attorney General's Opinion as to the erection of an Admiralty Court there, 428.—Col. Fletcher's Commission as Governor of, 437-89. -Mr. Penn, Proprietor of, 441. -Sir M. Markham, Governor of, 441, 457, 458.—S. Carpenter and J. Goodson appointed joint Go-

Pennsylvania -- cont.

vernors with Sir W. Markham, but refused to act, 441–42, 458.

—P. Robinson Secretary of, 442, 457, 459.
—D. Lloyd, Attorney General of, 442, 457, 459.

Acts of Trade not observed there, 442.—Pirates favoured by the Governor, 442.—E. Penn's Answer to E. Randolph's paper relating to, 457.—List of ships from Pennsylvania engaged in illegal tobacco trade, 462–63.—Good wine made there, 471.—Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481–83. — Duties levied in Maryland on English goods crossing to Pennsylvania, and on liquors brought therefrom, 500, 502, 503.

- Pensioners, Band of, Amount paid to, 157, 167, 187.
- Pensions, Amounts paid for, 157, 167, 173, 175.
- Penton, Henry, appears as counsel on an Appeal, 141.
- Pepper Trade, maintained by the East India Company at great cost, 8, 42, 62.—Preserved by the Company's forts, 40-41.—The English deprived of the greater part of it by the loss of Bantam, 52.
- Percival, Mr., heard for the West India Merchants by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 4.
- Perkins, Sir William, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 294, 295.
- Perry, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Antigua Merchant," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
- Perry, John, a Respondent to the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 271-72.
 - Justice, M.P., mentioned in the Petition of J. Reyne relating to a breach of L. Morley's Privilege, 380.
 - Mr., a Barbadoes Merchant, heard by the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 466.—Mentioned in a list of vessels trading between Scotland and the tobacco Plantations, 464.
- Persia, Bill for restraining the wearing of silks, &c., from, 238-45, 509-11.—Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for cloth sold there, 56.—Amount allowed for good debts, &c. there, 56, 58.
- Pertuys, Joseph, mentioned in the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy," 196.

Peshall, John and Charlotte, Petition of, to H.C., alleging that L. Fairfax opposed their claim against him by standing on his Privilege, 371-72.—Mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

- Pespoole, Manor of, to be sold by trustees under Midford's Estate Act, 192.
- Peter Pence, Prohibition of the exaction of, by sheriffs, &c., 526.
- Peterborough, Bishop of, appointed one of the Managers of a Conference with the Commons, 6.
- Petyt, William, Signature of, as certifying extracts from the Rolls of Parliament, 390.
- Pew Rents, Provision respecting, proposed to be added to the Poor Relief Amendment Bill, 550.
- Peyton, Sir Robert, expelled from the House of Commons, 210.
 - Thomas, Attestation of, to a Consent to Stoughtons' Estate Act, 119.
- Phelps, —, Master of a merchant ship, from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
- Philips, Frederick, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of New York, 423.
 - John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.
- Phillipps, Mr. Serjeant, mentioned in connection with an Appeal, 197.
 - William, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.
- Phillips, Thomas, Consent of, to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- Philpott, J., Master of the merchant ship "Bordeaux Merchant," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 77.
- Phipps, Con., appears as counsel on certain Bills, 139, 240, 242, 510.—On a Writ of Error, 155.
 - Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 383.
- Picard, William, a silk-dyer, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243.
- Pickering, Capt., mentioned in connection with the inquiry into the State of the Fleet, 309.
 - Edw., Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.
- Pickin, Capt. Thomas, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.
- Pidcock, Robert, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Pidgeon, Charles, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 141.

Piedmont, Woollen manufactures sent by the Lustring Company to, 139.

Pierce, Thomas, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

Pierrepont, William, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63,

Piggott, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Hort v, Hort," 215.

Piles, Capt., Master of the "Guinea" galley, taken by the French near Cape Clear, 87.

Pingry, Aaron, a clerk of the Petty Bag Office, mentioned in connection with Baldwin Leighton's (Warden of the Fleet) Bill, 387, 388.

Pipe, Officers of the, Amount paid to, 177.

Pitcher, Thomas, Tenant of lands at Hatcham Barnes, belonging to the Haberdashers' Company, 403.

Pitt, Capt., accused of piracy by the East India Company's factors, 38.

William, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Bermudas, 424.

Pitts, —, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.

Capt. Thomas, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Pixley, Manor of, the subject of the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217-18,

Plantation Trade Act, Petition against, 233-34.

Plantations, Injury to, likely to result from the establishment of the Scotch East India Company, 13.

—Provisions of the Acts of Trade and Navigation with respect to, 17.—The profit made by English sugar-planters gave the first rise to, 20.—Those held in propriety subject to the Plantation Laws, 21.—Letter from the Commissioners of the Customs to the Governors of the American Plantations respecting the Scotch East India Company, 21-24.—The Admiralty to give an account of what orders have been given for the preservation of the Plantations, 302.—List of ships appointed for the care of the Plantations, 342.—See also Trade and Plantations.

Plate, Bill to encourage the bringing of, into the Mint to be coined, 234-35.

Plate and Jewels, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167.

Plesteed, Thomas, Master of the Distillers'
Company, Signature of, to a
Petition from the Company, 541.

Plumstead, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Plymouth, One of H.M. ships stationed at, 97.—Merchant ships from Virginia detained there, for want of convoy, 311.

Plymouth Dockyard, Account of Naval Stores at, 314.

Plymouth (America), annexed to His Majesty's Government in Massachusetts Bay, 443.

Pollexfen, Chief Justice. See Chief Justice of the Common Pleas.

Ed., Affidavit sworn before him, at Plymouth, in connection with Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

John, examined by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 10-11, 62.—Papers delivered by him to the Committee, 11, 13, 44-49, 58-59.—East India Company's answer to his paper, 52-56.—J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, bribed by him to allow him his liberty, 404.—One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.—Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 426, 427, 428, 430.

Pontchartrain, Monsieur de, Information sent to, of the taking of two English East Indiamen, 85.

Pooley, Mr., appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 192, 261.—On a Bill, 239.

Poor, Employment of, Commissioners of Trade to consider the best means of promoting, 417.

Relief Amendment Act, 548-51.

Pope, Ann, One of H.M. Gentlemen of the Privy Chamber arrested at the suit of, 118.

J., Comptroller General of the Accounts of the Customs. Report made by him to the Commissioners, 25.

Popham, Alexander, Respondent to the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham," 531-32.

Horner v. Paper relating to certain proceedings in Chancery, 368.

Letitia, mentioned in the Answer to a Petition of the Appellants in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham," 532.

Pople, Mr., delivers a paper from the Commissioners for Trade, 413.

Port Lewis, The Merchant Ship "Resolution," from Surat, taken by the French and carried into, 75.

—French men-of-war fitting there 352.

Porter, Capt., Master of the Merchant | Powell -cont.
Ship "Gilbert and Hester," from the Leeward Islands, taken by | John, Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet the French, 89.

Capt. George, Examination of, on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275, 298.—Attempt to buy off his evidence, 275-76, 278, 296-97. -Convicted of manslaughter in Charles II,'s time, 280. - Examined as to a charge of murder intended to be brought against him, 293 .- Petition from him to be allowed to give an account upon oath of certain designs against his life, 297.

Portland, The Merchant Ship "Industry," from Pennsylvania, taken by

the French off, 78.

Earl of, A Lieutenant General, 131. -Colonel of a Regiment of Dutch Horse, 134.—Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 287.—Gives an account of some letters received from Smith, 292 .- Mentioned in one of the three papers delivered by Lady M. Fenwick, 297.

Portsmouth, One of H.M. ships stationed

at, 97.

Dockyard, Accounts of Naval Stores at, 314, 315.

Portuguese, The. The sugar trade lost to them by the rise of the English Plantations, 19-20.—
The Indian trade at one time monopolised by the Spaniards and Portuguese, 34.

Post Office, Balance at, at Michaelmas 1694, 156.—At Michaelmas 1695, 159.—Amount of interest paid at, 159 .- Amount of tallies paid at, 159.—Account of the Post Office, 186-89.

Pottell, William, mentioned in L. Fair-

fax's Estate Bill, 535. Potter, George, mentioned in the Appeal "Hall v. Potter," 117.

Jane, Respondent to said Appeal, 117-18.

Potts, Peter, a Master of Chancery in Extraordinary at Newcastle-on-Tyne. An affidavit in connection with Heron's Estate Act sworn before him, 137.

Poulet, Lord Francis, enabled to make provision for his younger children by Poulet's Estate Act, 127.

Poulett, John Lord, takes his Seat, 271.

Powell, Eleanor, Consent of, to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Elizabeth, Consent of, to Powell's Estate Act, 118.

Henry, Consent of, to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Prison, 402.

Mr., one of the Merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.

Mr. Justice, heard on the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath, 254, 255.-On a Writ of Error, 270. —On Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275. — Directed to draw a Bill relating to Privilege of Parliament, 37?.—Mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 385, 386. -Heard on the Offices (Sale and Purchase) Bill, 512.

Samuel, Lands, &c. of, vested in trustees for payment of debts by Powell's Estate Act, 118.

Powys, Mr. Baron, heard on the Petition of Sawrey v. E.Derby, 252.—On a Writ of Error, 270.—On Fen-wick's Attainder Bill, 275.—On the Offices (Sale and Purchase)

Sir Thomas, appears as counsel on r Thomas, appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 2, 3, 63, 117, 120, 126, 141, 144, 192, 194, 196, 197, 203, 214, 215, 218, 261, 262, 269, 272, 370, 373, 383, 506-507, 520, 530, 531, 538.—On certain Bills, 138, 151, 201, 217, 224, 239, 395, 396, 398, 505, 510, 512, 527, 536, 547, 551.—Countersignature of, to Answers to Appeals, 197, 386.—Appears as counsel on certain Writs of Error, 223, 269.-On Petitions for waiver of Peer's Privilege, 254, 255, 374.—Named by Sir J. Fenwick as one of his counsel on the Bill of Attainder, 274.--Letter from Sir J. Fenwick, requesting that Sir T. Powys should have access to him, 295.

Pratt, Mr., appears as counsel for Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 275.

Prescott, Anne, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 370.

President, Lord. See Leeds, Duke of.

Preston, Lord, Confession of, alluded to in the Petition of William Fuller respecting Jacobite Plots, 273.

Priaulx, P., Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants,

Price, -, Master of the Merchant Ship "Elizabeth," from New England, taken by the French, 87.

Capt., Commander of the "Centurion." Admiralty Order to him, 318.

Price-cont.

Charles, an interloper, mentioned in connection with the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243.

Ro., appears as counsel in certain Appeals, 126, 269.—Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 197.

Robert, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Priestman, Capt. Henry, One of the Commissioners of the Admiralty, heard by the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 67, 67-68, 71-72, 73, 74.—Admiralty papers signed by him, 91, 96, 97, 98, 113, 114, 125, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337.—Examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 313.

Princethorpe, Provision made for the maintenance of a Minister for, by the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.

Princess Anne, Amount of Pension paid to, 157.

Prisoners for Debt, &c., Bill for preventing the escape of, 235-38.

Private Acts, Admission of copies of, as evidence, 251.

Privateers, Provisions for the encouragement of, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 145-53.

Privilege of Attorneys, 251-53.

Privilege of King's Servants, 118, 223.

Privilege of Parliament. Resolution of H.C. that a Member's Privilege should be limited to his person, 372.—Conference with H.C. on the subject, 372.—Book of Protections read, 372.—Order made against written Protections, 372.

Privilege of Parliament (Recovery of Debts from Peers and Members of Parliament) Bill, 371-72.

Privileges, Committee for, meets on Lucy's

Petition against the Bishop of
St. David's, 221.

Privy Purse, The, Amount issued to, from the Exchequer, 157, 165.

Privy Seal, Lord. See Pembroke, Earl of.

Prize Money, Naval, Provisions for the distribution of, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 146-53.

Prize Ships, Provisions respecting, in said Bill, 145-53.

Prices, Commissioners of, examined by the Select Committee on said Bill, 152,—Substituted therein for the Commissioners of Customs, 152. Procedure on Bills, Rules of. Proceedings on the question raised in connection with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129-30.

Proctor, William, Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, 517.

Promehill, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Prorogation Commissions, 250, 552.

Protections. See Privilege of Parliament. Protest, of forty-nine Lords, against the Second Reading of Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 282.

Protestant Heirs of Papists, Bill for preventing the disinheriting of, 247-

Prowse, Valentine, Customs Agent in Scotland, named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458, 459.—List of vessels trading between Scotland and the Tobacco Plantations, taken from his letters, 464.—Letters from him giving information of illegal trade between Scotland and Pennsylvania, 466.

Proxies of Peers (Ireland), Provisions of the Oaths (Ireland) Amendment Bill respecting, 120-24.

Pryce, Edmund, Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Pugh, John, an Appellant in the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden," 117.

Puissar, Marquis de, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Punter, —, mentioned in the Appeal "Deere v. Gibbon," 126, 127.

Purbeck, Lady, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

Purvis, Capt., Master of the Merchant Ship "Wolf," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 88.

Pymm, Charles, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423.

Pynnill, Henry, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Q

Quakers, Proviso concerning, in the Security of the King's Person Act, 246.—Clause in their favour proposed to be added to the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 399, 406-407. Qualification of Members of Parliament.

See Members of Parliament.

Queen Dowager, Amount of Pension paid to, 157, 185.

Queen Mary. Amount paid to her Treasurer, 157, 165.—Amount paid for Her late Majesty's Stock in the Bank, 157, 165.

Queenborough, Duke of, Colonel of the Scots Guards, 132.

R.

Raby, Thomas Lord, introduced (under special limitation), 2.— Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.

Radburne, Hum. Letters of Mart refused to him, 350.

Radnor, Earl of, ordered into custody for absenting himself from Sir J. Fenwick's trial, 280.—Not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.—Makes a statement to the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 399.—Proposes a clause for insertion in the Bill, 399.

John E. Radnor, deceased, mentioned in the Appeal "Countess Dowager of Radnor v. Vandebendy," 538.

Robert E. Radnor, Sarah, Widow of, Appellant in said Appeal, 538-39.

Rainford, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537. Rainsford, Nicholas, One of the proposed

Rainsford, Nicholas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua, 423.

Raleigh, Sir Walter, Case of, quoted on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 276.

Ralph, Hartlepool v., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.

Ramsey, George, A Major General, 131.

— Colonel of the Scots Guards, 132.

Randolph, Edward, Surveyor General of the Plantations, heard by the Committee of the Whole House on the Plantation Trade Bill, 233.—On the Wool Bill, 247.—Papers delivered by him, 411, 412, 488-90.—List of witnesses called by him before the Committee on Trade and Plantations,

Randolph, Edward--cont.

412, 444.—His proposals for regulating abuses in the Plantaregulating abuses in the Franca-tion trade, 419,—One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governors of Virginia and Maryland, 422.—Also to the Governors of New York, Massachusetts Bay, Jamaica, Nevis, Montserrat, and Antigua, 423.—Also to the Governors of Barbadoes and Bermudas, 424.—His list of Proprietors of Plantations that are independent of His Majesty's Government, 440-44. — Report of the Commissioners of Customs as to the necessity for sending him to the Plantations, 444-45. —His suggestions for improving the administration of the American Plantations, 448-49. -Measures proposed by him for suppressing illegal trade in the Plantations, 450-51.—Reports of a Committee of the whole Council on said Presentment, 454-55. Presentment of the Commissioners of Customs respecting his Memorials, 451-54. —His Memorials referred to the Judge of the Admiralty, 455.— The Judge's Report, 455.—Mr. Penn's Answers to his Memorials, 456-57.—His reply, 458-

Ranelagh, Richard Earl of, Amount paid to, for the Land Forces, 157, 163.

Rape, Daniel, Affidavit of, relating to the alleged assault by Stone on Luxford at the House of Lords, 408.

Rathbone, Henry, Attestation of, to Consents to Kerry's Estate Act, 387.

Rauventlaw, Count, a Colonel of Danish Horse, 134.

Rawlinson, Serjeant, appears as Counsel on an Appeal, 538.

Thomas, a Warden of the Distillers' Company, Signature of, to a Petition respecting the Spirit Duties Bill, 250.

Sir William, appears as Counsel on certain Appeals, 218, 384.— On a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 254.—Countersignature of, to an Answer to an Appeal, 386.

Raworth, Robert, Signature of, to a paper delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants, 21.

Reade, John, examined as to the evidence on which the Grand Jury, of which he was a member, found the Bill against Sir J. Fenwick, 277.

- Readeriffe [Rotherhithe], A wet dock to be made at, under M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.
- Receivers, Amount paid for Rewards to, 157, 169.
 - General, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.
- Rechteren, Col., Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.
- Reed, Charles, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.
- Rees, John, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Deere v. Gibbon," 127.
- Reeves, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Return," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 81, 87.
- Reid, James, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 460.
- Relfe, John, one of the Clerks of the House. Letter to him enclosing a Report, 500.
- Remfrey, Henry, Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 127.
- Reneu, E., Signature of, to a Petition from the Lustring Company, 139.
- Renforces, made by the Royal Lustring Company, 139.
- Rent of Grants, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.
- Rent of Land, ditto.
- Revenue, Public, Accounts of, for 1694-5, 156-89.
- Rewards for Services, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167.
- Reynardson, Elianor, Consent of, to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225. Mary, ditto.
- Reyne, James, Proceedings relating to the discharge of A. Weekes, arrested at Reyne's suit, but protected by L. Morley, 379–80.
 - Robert, mentioned in the Petition of J. Reyne relating to said case, 380.
- Reynoll, —, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns v. Tooke," 214.
- Reynolds, Samuel, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Rhode Island, Plantation laws not duly executed in, 21.—Attorney General's opinion as to the erection of an Admiralty Court there, 428.—Held by charter from Charles II., 443.—C. Carr late Governor of, 443.—Frequented by pirates, 443.

- Rice, John, sworn at the hearing of the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath. 255.
- Bath, 200.

 Rich, Sir Robert, One of the Commissioners of the Admiralty, heard by the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 70, 71, 72, 73, 75.

 —Admiralty papers signed by him, 113, 114-115, 125, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 331, 332, 333, 335, 336, 337, 361.

 Opposes the granting of Letters of Mart to certain ships, 351.
- Richard, Gibbon, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Deere v. Gibbon," 127.
- Richards, Gabriel, mentioned in connection with Hammond's Estate Act, 547.
 - Col. Jacob, Admiralty Order to, 321-22.
- Richardson, Grace, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Tilly v. Richardson," 373.
 - Major, appears before the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 398.
 - Richard, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - Thomas, Respondent to the Appeal "Tilly v. Richardson," 372-73.
- Richardy, F., Consent of, to Poulets'
 Estate Act, 127.
 Lady, ditto.
 - Richmond, Plot to seize the King near, 299.
 - Duke of. Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.— Breach of his Privilege, 548.
- Rickards, Robert, mentioned in the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath, 254.
- Rickards v. Cornforth, Case of, mentioned in connection with said Petition, 257.
- Ridout, Christopher, Part of the estate of, to be sold under Ridout's Estate "Act, 218.
- Rigby, Sir Alexander, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 307, 309, 310.—Papers delivered by him, 350.—His deposition respecting the refusal of Letters of Mart td certain ships, 350-52.
 - Richard, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142,
- Righton, William, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458.—Master of a

- Righton, William -- cont.
 - brigantine engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania.
- Rigton, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.
- Rissing, Priory of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v. E. Derby, 539.
- Rivers, Earl of, A Major General, 131.— Colonel of a Troop of Guards, 132.—A Teller on Divisions, 235,
 - Thomas E. Rivers, deceased, mentioned in the Petition of T. Cooper v. E. Derby, 532.
- Roach, Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.
- Roberts, Benjamin, Master of the "Providence," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania,
 - Francis, Defendant in the Writ of Error "Wethered v. Roberts," 272.
 - Gabriel, Deputy Governor of the Levant Company, 21, 78.
 - Patricius, Evidence of, as to the inability of D. Beaufort to attend the House, 267.
 - William, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Roberts v. Wynn, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.
- Robertson, William, proposed to be appointed a trustee under Fletcher's Estate Bill, 199.
- Robes, Master of the, Amount paid to, 157, 165.
- Robins, John, Countersignature of, to the Appeal "Jones v. Jones," 197.— Examined in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 290, 298.-His deposition read, 293.
- Robinson, Dame Ann, mentioned in the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis," 506.
 - Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Avarilla," from Barba-does, taken by the French, 76,
 - John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Bermudas, 424.
 - Joseph, Petition of, complaining of the conduct of George Taylor, Marshal of the King's Bench Prison, 398, 403.

- Robinson-cont.
 Sir Lumley, mentioned in the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis," 506.
 - Patrick, Secretary of Pennsylvania, 442, 457, 459.
- Robotham, George, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Maryland, 422.
- Rochefort, French men-of-war fitting at,-
- Rochester, Bishop of. His case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
 - Dr. Sprat, Bp. Rochester, refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety,
 - Earl of, Chairman of the Select Committee on the question of with the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 129.—On the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.—Amount of pension paid to him, 187.—Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the Plantation Trade Bill, 234.—Appointed, with others, to endeavour to compose the difference between D. Devonshire, M. Normanby, and L. Berkeley, 260.

 —Chairman of the Select Committee appointed to draw up the Representation to the King as to E. Monmouth's commitment, 294. -Of the Committee appointed to read Smith's letters to D. Shrewsbury, 294.—Of the Select Committee on the Answers received from the Admiralty as to the State of the Fleet, 303 .- Presents a petition complaining of the conduct of the Marshal of the King's Bench Prison, 398, 403.-Chairman of the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 410.
- Rochester, John Lee Archdeacon of, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193.
- Rochford, William Earl of, A Lieutenant General, 131.—Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134. - Introduced, 198. — Excused from attending the House, 277.
- Rocke, Charles, Attestation of, to Consents to Milward's Estate Act,
- Rockingham, Lord, Saving Clause in favour of, added to Bigg's Estate Act, 219.
- Rogers, Henry, deceased, mentioned in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham,"
- Rogle, Lewis, mentioned in the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's," 144.

Rokeby, Benjamin, Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 38.—Writes, with others, to the Admiralty to ask that one of H.M. ships should look out for the "Edward" on her way from India, 94.

Rolfe v. Sweetapple, E. Montagu insists upon his privilege in the cause of, 256.

Roll of the Lords. Garter's Roll, 1.— Test Roll, 1.

Rolle, Samuel, a Respondent to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62.

Romane, Mr., examined on the Lustrings Bill, 139.

Romney, Henry Earl of, takes the Oaths, 1.—A Lieutenant General, 131.

—Colonel of the First Regiment of Guards, 132.—Delivers a List of the Army, 135.—Consideration of a matter relating to the Cinque Ports, postponed in his absence, 152.—Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 287, 297.

Rooke, Sir George, Orders from the King to, 86, 95.—Signature of, to Admiralty papers, 113, 115, 337.— To furnish copies of all orders, letters, and papers relating to the expedition to Cadiz, 302.-Letter from him in relation to said order, 302, 314.—Papers delivered by him, 302, 315-40.—Appears before the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 303, 304.—Certain ships to meet the Fleet coming from the Straits under him, 321. — Admiralty orders to him, 322, 324, 326, 327, 329, 331, 332, 332, 34, 335, 336, 362.—Vice Admiral Aylmer ordered to join his Fleet, 335.

Lord Berkeley appointed to succeed him in command of the Fleet, 336.—His list of Dutch sbips in the Downs, 343.—His list of Dutch ships which sailed with him from Spithead, 344.-Intelligence respecting his movements, 357.—Information given to him respecting the movements of the French Fleet, 358.—Letters from him respecting his return to England, 360, 362.—L. Haversham's abstract of letters relating thereto, 360.-Letter from the Commissioners of the Admiralty to him, 361-62.—His answers to certain questions put to him by the Select Committee on the Navy, 365-66.

Roper, Francis, Attestation of, to a Consent to Midford's Estate Act, 193.

Robert, ditto.

Roscommon, Isabella Dillon Countess of, Petition of, in connection with the Writ of Error "Walcott v. The King," 269-70.

Ross, mentioned in connection with the Wye and Lugg Navigation Act, 201.

Ross, Bell, Master of the Merchant Ship

"James and Robert," lost in a
hurricane on her voyage from
Barbadoes, 76.

Col. Charles, a Colonel of Dragoons, 132.

Rotherham, Sir John, Serjeant-at-Law, a Respondent, to the Appeal "Countess Dowager of Radnor v_{*} Vandebendy," 538-39.

Rotherhithe [Readeriffe], A wet dook to be made at, under M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.

Rouse, Richard, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Row, Mr., examined on said Bill, 276, 280.

Robert, Master of the "David," trading between Scotland and Virginia, 164.

Sir Thomas, Embassy of, to the Mogul, 38.

Rowling, Manor of, enabled to be sold by Hammond's Estate Act, 546.

Rowney, Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Royal Assent, withheld from the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 201.

"Royal Sovereign" Ship, Court Martial on the loss of, 317.

Ruddle, Robert, desired by Mr. Penn as a witness before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 457.

Ruded, Edward, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.

Rudyier, Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 241.

Rushing, Priory of, mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v. E. Derby, 540.

Russell, Admiral Edward, Signature of, to Admiralty papers, 91, 96, 98, 125, 316, 317, 318, 325, 327, 329, 330, 331, 332, 335, 336, 337, 361.

—Supposed to have bombarded Marseilles, 114.—Amount paid to him for the Navy, 157, 163.—
The French Fleet blocked up at Calais by him, 212.—Admiralty Orders to him, 315, 320-21, 321, 322-24.—Letter from him to the Admiralty, 366-67.

Russell-cont.

Col. Francis, A Colonel of Foot, 133.

James, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.

Lady Rachel, Consent of, to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.

Russon, John, a Warden of the Distillers'
Company, Signature of, to a
Petition respecting the Spirit
Duties Bill, 250,

Rutland, Earl of, Letters from, excusing himself from attending the House, 212, 264.—To be attached for not attending, 263.

Ryder, Thomas, An exchange of lands by, confirmed by Ryder's Estate Act, 192.

Rye, H.M.S. "Weymouth" ordered to attend the fishery off, 105.—Certain ships ordered to cruise off Rye Bay, 367.

S.

- Sabin, Joshua, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.
- Sacheverell, Thomas, Attestation of, to Consents to Neve's Estate Act, 379.
- Sadleir, Lee, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- St. Albans, Clause respecting, added to the Poor Relief Amendment Bill, 551.
 - Duke of, Charles D. St. Albans takes the Oaths, 1.—Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.
- St. Asaph, Bisbop of, Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 266.
- St. Briavell's, Petition from, against the Wye and Lugg Navigation Bill, 202.
- St. David's, Dr. Thomas Watson, Bishop of, refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—Charges brought against him, 220-21.
- St. George, Sir Henry, Clarencieux King of Arms, Alleged infringement of the rights of, the origin of the Writ of Error "Oldys v. Domville," 154-55. — Provision re-

St. George, Sir Henry--cont.
specting him added to Hanham's

Estate Act, 270.

- Thomas, Garter King of Arms, Signature of, to the Roll of the Lords, 1.
- St. Helena, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. at, 57.58, 60.
- St. Helens, Certain of H.M. Ships stationed at, 97.
- St. James' Parish (Westminster) Act, 204.
- St. John's College, Cambridge. A leasehold messuage at Cambridge, held of the College, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368-70.
- St. John-without-Blyth, Hospital of, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119.
- St. Loc, George, Commissioner of the Navy at Plymouth. Admiralty Orders to him, 106, 318.— Directed by M. Carmarthen to survey certain stores, 112.
- St. Malo, bombarded by L. Berkeley, 114.

 —French men-of-war fitting there, 352,
- St. Martin's-in-the-Fields, Certificate from the Minister and Churchwarden of, in connection with De Nassau's Naturalisation Act, 198, —Improvement of a piece of ground in the parish of, provided for by the Duchess of Buccleuch's Estate Act, 225.
- St. Paul, Col., A Colonel of Hanover Foot, 134.
- St. Paul's, Dean and Chapter of, Respondents to the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's," 143-44.
- St. Peter the Great, Churchwardens of, Bishop of Chichester v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
- Saint Quinton, Sir William, Bart., mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 535.
- St. Sebastian, Two men-of-war to convoy merchant ships to, 337.
- Salaries, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167.
- Salford, Exchange of lands at, provided for by Chamberlain's Estate Act, 153.
- Salisbury, Bishop of, Dean of Windsor v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.
 - Gilbert Burnet, Bp. Salisbury, takes the Oaths, 3.—Chairman of the Committee of the Whole House on the East India Company (Scotland), 8.—Amount paid to him out of the Customs, 175.—

620

Salisbury—cont.
Chairman of the Committee of the

Whole House on the Plantation Trade Bill, 233.

INDEX.

- William Montague Earl of, v. Earl of March, Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 390, 392, 393.
- Salt, for the fisheries of New England and Newfoundland, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 486.
 - Excise, Cash remaining on, at the Exchequer, at Michaelmas 1695, 159.—Amount received from, 166.—Account of, 186-87.
- Salter, Richard, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.
- Saltpetre, for His Majesty's use, to be sold by the East India Company at a fixed price, 10.
- Salvage, Provisions respecting the payment of, for vessels re-taken from the French, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 147-48.
- Sanckey, Col., One of the Governors of the Revenue in the 1sle of Man, mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v. E. Derby, 539,
- Sanders, John, Master of the merchant ship "Dolphin," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- Sandes, Thomas, Assignee in Bankruptcy of John Hind, an Appellant in the Appeal "Browne v. Dashwood," 271-72.
- Sandford, John, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Sands, Mary, Provision made for the maintenance of, by Sands' Estate Act, 143.
- Sandwich, Edward Earl of, takes the Oaths, 2.—A Teller in a Division, 153.—Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.
- Sandys, Mr., Rector of Yeovileton, Respondent to the Appeal "Eastmond v. Sandys," 262.
- Sanson, John, Letter from, enclosing a Report from the Commissioners of Customs, 500.
- Sare, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Appletree," taken by the French on her way to Barbadoes

- Sarjeant, Peter, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Massachusetts Bay, 423.
- Saunders, —, Master of the merchant ship "Three Brothers," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- Saunderson, Col. Thomas, a Colonel of Foot, 133.
- Savage, Bethesda, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petition complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 401.
 - Charlotte Katherine, mentioned in the Petition of T. Cooper v. E. Derby, 532.
 - Richard, examined by the Committee on Trade and Plantations 413.
 - Walter. Affidavits as to L. Coventry being unable to attend the House sworn before him at Worcester, 265.
- Savery, Christopher, mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.
- Savill, Mary, Estate of, settled by Savill's Estate Act, 382.
- Savin, Mr., a Silkman, heard for the weavers on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Prohibition Bill,
- Savory, Mr., One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
- Sawrey, Roger and Jeremiah, Petition of, that E. Derby may waive his privilege in an action of Ejectment, 251-5%.
- Sawyer, Dame Margaret, Consent of, to Sawyer's Estate Act, 216.
 - The late Sir Robert, Attorney General, certain property of, to be sold under said Act, 216.—Mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Walcott on The King," 269.
- Saye and Sele, Viscount, to be attached for not attending the House, 263.— Certificate from Ed. Hannes, M.D., of his Lordship's inability to attend, 267.
- Saywell, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Providence," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
- Scarborough, Charles, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.
- Scarborough, Earl of, a Lieutenant-General, 131.—Colonel of a Troop of Guards, 132.—A Teller on Divisions, 277, 286, 551.—Altercation between him and L. Jeffreys, 285.

Scarsdale, Robert Earl of, a Teller on Divisions, 129, 282.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—Mentioned in E. Huntingdon's Answer to L. Hastings' Petition, 374.— Certificate of his having taken the prescribed oaths, 536.

Scawen, Sir Will'am, mentioned in connection with the Stockbrokers Act. 551.

Schack, Bojeslave, A Brigadier, 132.— Colonel of a squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.

Schoer, Col., A Colonel of Danish Foot,

Schomburg and Leinster, Duke of, General of the Horse, 131.—A Colonel of Horse, 132.—Amount of pension paid to him, 187.

Scilly, Merchant ships taken by the French off, 87, 88.

Scotch East India Company. See East India Company (Scotland).

Scotland, Proposal for the union of, with England, 6—Servants and horses from, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 475, 486.—The merchant ship "Pennsylvannia Merchant" taken by the French on the coast of, 78.—One of H.M. Ships stationed on the north-west coast of, 92.—Presentment from the Commissioners of Customs as to the suppression of the trade between Scotland and the Plantations, 446.—List of vessels trading between Scotland and the Tobacco Plantations, 464.

Scott, -, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Hugh, Master of the "Barbara," trading between Scotland and Virginia, 464.

James, Master of the "Mayflower," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.

Lady Katharine, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Fanshaw v. Fowlis," 507.

Robert, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 424.

Scroope, John, Countersignature of, to Answers to an Appeal, 216.

Seamen, Act for the increase and encouragement of, 219-20.—Registering of. The Commissioners for registering Seamen examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 305.—Admiralty Order respecting the Impressment of, 315.—Proposals made by the Admiralty for improving the Register Act, 341-42.—Report

Seamen -cont.

INDEX.

from the Navy Board on the Act, 344-45.—Letter from the Commissioners for registering Seamen to the Navy Board on the Act, 345-46.—Similar letter to the Admiralty, 346-48.

Secret Service, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 165.

Security of the King's Person Act, Clauses added to, 245-47.

Sedgwick, Hitchcock r., Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.

> Mr., heard for the East India Company by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 9.

William, mentioned in the Appeal "Watkins r. Shatterden," 117.

Seers, Robert, Master of the merchant ship "Mary," from New England, taken by the French, 87.

Seizures, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 166.

Selby, Ja., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 118.

Selcroft, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Selwyn, William, a Brigadier, 132.—A Colonel of Foot, 132.

Sempringham (Lincolnshire), mentioned in the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, r. Rolle," 261.

Senegal, Re-taking of, 81.

Serjeant-at-Arms, Deputies of, See Emmot, Launcelot: Evison, Thomas.

Sergison, Charles, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Navy Board, 315.

Servants, from Scotland and Ireland, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 475, 486.—Provisions for facilitating the recovery of the wages of, in the Masters and Servants Bill, 227-29.—Proceedings in the case of the misbehaviour of, prescribed by said Bill, 227-229.

Servigney, Monsieur de, Expedition of, 84.

Seymour, Sir Edward, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Sir Thomas, Lord Admiral. His case cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Col. William, A Colonel of Foot, 133.

Shackerley, Sir Jeffrey, Respondent to the Appeal "Jones v. Jones," 197-98, 515.

- Shackerley-cont.
 - Peter, mentioned in connection with said Appeal, 515.
- Shaftesbury, Earl of, Letter from, ex-cusing himself from attending the House, 265.
- Shaftoe, Charles, becomes of, to Heron's Estate Act, 137.
- Shakerley, Peter, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Shallett, Arthur, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 33.
- Shanks, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "John and William," taken by the French on her voyage to Barbadoes, 77.
- Sharpe, William, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 423.
- Shatterden, Thomas, Respondent to the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden,"
- Shaw, J., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 215.
- Sheerness, Certain of H.M. ships stationed at, 97.
 - Dockyard, Account of Naval Stores at, 314.
- Shelden, Daniel, Papers from, delivered to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 12, 13, 49-52, 59-61.—Examined by the Committee, 61-62.
- Shend, John, Signature of, to Reports from the Collectors, &c., of the Port of London to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.
- Shephard, Samuel, a Warden of the Distillers' Company, Signature of to a Petition from the Company, 541.
- Shepheard, Samuel, Heard by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 4, 8, 9.—Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 32, 34.
 - Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.
- Shepherd, Edmund, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
- Sheppard, Samuel, One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
- Shepton Mallet, Manor of, vested in trustees to be sold for payment of a mortgage, &c. by Sands' Estate Act, 143.—Mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Strode v. Byrt," 516.

- Sherard, William, Signature of, to a Petition from the Lustring Company, 139.
- Sherbro, The merchant' ship "Unity" taken by the French at, 79.
- Sherbrooke, Henry, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill as to-Goodman's evidence at Cooke's. trial, 278,
- Sheriffs, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.—Bill for the ease of, 521-29.
- Sherwin, William, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.
- Sherwood, Capt., examined on said Bill, 240, 243.
 - Mr., Notice to, from E. Montagu's attorney, that the Earl insists upon his privilege in certain causes, 256.
- Shipman, John, Signature of, to papers delivered by Leeward Islands Merchants and East India Merchants, 21, 33, 34.
- Ships:

 - 1. Of H.M. Navy:
 "Adventure," 338, 356.
 - "Advice," 124, 323, 328, 332, 335, 338, 359, 365, 367.
 - "Africa," 125.
 - "Albemarle," 124, 316, 319, 321, 324, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Albrough," ketch, 125.

 - "Angel," bomb vessel, 340.
 "Anglesey," 86, 94, 103, 108, 110, 124, 321, 323, 324, 338, 364, 367.
 - "Archangel," 125.
 - "Archangel" (hired), 359.
 - "Arms of Rotterdam," hulk, 340:
 - "Arundel," 125, 323, 338, 343.
 - "Assistance," 124, 321, 323, 338.
 - "Assurance," 125, 338.
 - "Basilisk," bomb vessel, 321, 324, 330, 334, 340.
 - "Berkeley Castle," 107, 110, 115, 116.
 - "Berwick," 124, 316, 317, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 335, 339, 364, 367.
 - "Bideford," 125, 328, 338.
 - "Blackwall," 338.
 - "Blast," bomb vessel, 321, 324, 326, 330, 334, 340.
- "Blaze," fireship, 334, 339.
 "Bonadventure," 124, 339, 367.
 - "Bonadventure" (hired), 124, 319, 323, 339, 364.
 - "Boyne," 124, 334, 337.
 - " Breda," 124, 334, 335, 337.
 - "Bridget" galley, 107.
 - "Brilliant," sloop, 338.
 - "Bristol," 69, 90, 98, 124, 339, 342.

- Ships—1. Of H.M. Navy—cont.
 "Bristol," hospital ship, 334.
 - "Britannia," 124, 316, 328, 329, 330, 334, 339.
 - "Burford," 124, 316, 323, 325, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Burlington," 124, 323, 325, 328, 330, 335, 338, 364, 367.
 - "Cambridge," 124, 334, 337.

 - "Canterbury," 124, 338.
 "Canterbury," mastship, 93.
 "Canterbury," storeship, 339
 - "Captain," 69, 93, 112, 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 335, 337, 364, 367.
 - "Carcase," bomb vessel, 321, 324, 326, 330, 334, 340.
 - " Carlisle," 124.
 - "Centurion," 124, 318, 323, 338, 364, 367.
 - "Charles," galley, 125, 339.
 - "Charlotte," yacht, 324, 339. "Chatham," 124, 328, 338.

 - "Chatham," hulk, 340.
 "Chester," 125, 338.

 - "Chichester," 124, 334, 337.
 - "Cleveland," yacht, 339.
 - "Colchester," 67, 125, 319, 323, 325, 328, 338, 342, 359, 364, 367.
 - "Comet," bomb vessel, 321, 324, 330, 334, 340.

 - "Content," 124, 327, 328.
 "Content prize," 316, 330, 334,
 - "Cornwall," 124, 334, 337.
 - "Coronation," 125, 320, 323, 365, 367.

 - "Coventry," 93, 124, 338.
 "Crescent," fireship, 324, 330, 334, 335, 340, 359.
 - " Crown," 86, 92, 93, 95, 101, 125, 336, 338.
 - "Cumberland," 124, 316, 321, 322, 323, 324, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Defiance," 124, 316, 317, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 357, 364, 367.
 - " Deptford," 124, 328, 338.
 - "Despatch," brigantine, 324, 330, 334, 340.
 - " Devonshire," 107, 112, 124, 317, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 337, 359, 364, 367.
 - "Diligence," brigantine, 334, 335,
 - "Discovery," brigantine, 324, 330, 334, 335, 340.
 - " Dolphin," 125, 338.
 - "Dorsetshire," 69, 99, 112, 124, 334, 339.
 - "Dover," 125, 320, 321, 322, 324, 825, 336, 338.
 - " Dover prize," 125, 339.

- Ships—1. Of H.M. Navy—cont. "Dragon," 124, 338.

 - "Dreadnought," 86, 93, 94, 95, 107, 110, 111, 112, 114, 115, 116, 117, 124, 316, 319, 323, 332, 336. 337, 343.
 - "Duchess," 124, 316, 325, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - " Duke," 339.

 - "Dunkirk," 124, 330, 334, 339.
 Dunwich," 125, 320, 330, 338,
 - " Eagle," 337.
 - " Eagle," advice boat, 340.
 - "Edgar," 124, 316, 321, 323, 328,
 - "Elizabeth," 112, 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 330, 331, 334, 335, 339, 359, 364, 367.
 - " Endeavour," machine, 340.
 - " Essex," 337.
 - "Essex prize," 125, 338.
 - " Etna," fireship, 334, 340.
 - "Expedition," 124, 316, 323, 324, 328, 330, 334, 337, 359.
 - "Experiment," 125, 320, 330, 335,
 - "Express," advice boat, 338.
 - "Falkland," 338, 343.
 - "Falkland," mastship, 95.
 - "Falmouth," 124, 338.

 - "Feversham," 339.
 "Firebrand," fireship, 320, 324, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Firedrake," bomb vessel, 334,
 - "Flame," fireship, 334, 339.
 - "Flemish Longboat," smack, 340.
 - "Fly," brigantine, 330, 334, 340.
 - "Foresight," 86, 92, 94, 96, 101, 103, 104, 106, 107, 108, 110, 125, 321, 322, 324, 337, 338.
 - "Fortune," fireship, 324, 330, 334, 335, 338, 359.
 - "Fowey," 339.
 - "French Ruby," hulk, 340.
 - "Fubbs," yacht, 334, 339.
 - "Furnace," bomb vessel, 321, 324, 326, 330, 334, 340.

 - "Garland," 125, 339.
 "Germoon," advice boat, 93, 101, 107, 125.
 - "Germoon prize," 338.
 - "Gloucester," 110, 124, 338.
 - "Gosport," 339.
 - "Grafton," 339.
 - "Granada," bomb vessel, 321, 324, 326, 330, 334, 340.
 - "Greenfish," storeship, 339.
 - "Greenwich," 124, 338, 343.
 - "Greyhound," 125, 324, 328, 330, 334, 338.

Ships-1. Of H.M. Navy-cont.

- "Greyhound," bomb vessel, 340.
- "Griffin," fireship, 324, 325, 330, 334, 339, 359, 365, 367.
- "Guernsey," 338.
- "Hampshire," 125, 338, 342.
- "Hampton Court," 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 337, 359, 364,
- "Harwich," 125, 336, 339.
- "Hastings," 125, 338, 342.
- "Hawk," fireship, 68, 86, 93, 94, 95, 96, 103, 110, 111, 112, 113, 324, 325, 332, 339, 343, 359, 365,
- "Henrietta," yacht, 324, 339, 365,
- " Henry prize," 125, 338.
- "Hind," pink, 125, 330, 338.
- "Humber," 124, 334, 335, 339.
 "Hunter," fireship, 334, 339.
- "Intelligence," brigantine, 330, 334, 340.
- "Ipswich," 124, 334, 339. "Isabella," yacht, 334, 335, 339.
- "Isle of Wight," yacht, 339.
- "Jemmy," yacht, 339.
- "Jersey," 125, 324, 325, 338, 342.
- "John and Martha," machine, 340,
- "Jolly," 125, 367,
- "Jolly prize," 106, 324, 330, 338.
- "Joseph," 106, 125, 320.
- "Joseph," fireship, 329, 330, 334,
- "Josiah," hospital ship, 334.
- "Josiah," storeship, 339.

 "Julian," bomb vessel, 334, 340.
- "Katherine," yacht, 339.
 "Katherine," storeship, 106, 339.
- " Kent," 112, 113, 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 339.
- "Kingfisher," 94, 112, 125, 323, 328, 332, 338, 359, 364, 367.
- "Kitchen," bomb vessel, 334, 340,
- "Lancaster," 124, 334, 337.
- "Lark," 125, 324, 328, 330, 334, 338, 365.
- "Lenox," 69, 70, 72, 86, 92, 94, 95, 96, 99, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 111, 112, 113, 115, 117, 124, 316, 320, 339.
- "Leopard," hulk, 340.
- "Lichfield," 74, 86, 94, 95, 106, 112, 125, 323, 328, 330, 339, 364, 367.
- "Lightning," fireship, 324, 340.
- "Lincoln," 125, 323, 328, 330, 335, 339, 359, 364, 367.
- "Lion," 124, 328, 330, 334, 335, 339, 359.
- "Little London," smack, 340.

- Ships—1. Of H.M. Navy—cont. "London," 124, 316, 324, 325, **32**8, 330, 334, 339, 359,
 - "London Merchant," 125.
 - "London Merchant," hospital ship, 330, 334, 335.
- "Looe," 338.
 "Loyal Merchant," 124, 319, 323, 328, 343, 364, 367.
 - "Loyal Merchant" (hired), 338, 359.
 - "Lyme," 338, 356.
 - " Lynn," 338.
 - "Machine," fireship, 359.
 - "Maidstone," 125, 338.

 - "Mariana prize," 125, 338, "Martin," ketch, 125, 320, 324, 329, 365.

 - "Mary," 107, 124, 316, 317, 319, 323, 332, 337, 364, 367.
 "Mary," galley, 125, 321, 323, 328, 330, 335, 338, 365, 367.
 - " Mary," yacht, 339.

 - "Mary Ann," bomb vessel, 340.
 "Medway," 124, 334, 335, 338.
 "Mercury," advice boat, 338.
 - "Merlin," yacht, 339.
 - "Mermaid," 125, 320, 324, 338, 367.
 - "Messenger," advice boat, 338.
 - " Milford," 125, 320, 324, 338.

 - "Monk," 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 337, 359, 364, 367.
 "Monmouth," 124, 316, 323, 325, 329, 330, 334, 337.

 - "Monmouth," yacht, 339.
 "Montague," 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359, 364, 367.
 - " Mortar," bomb vessel, 334, 340.
 - "Muscovia Merchant," storeship, 339.
 - "Muscovy Merchant," hospital ship, 334.
 - "Navy," yacht, 339.
 - "Neptune," 124, 334, 339.
 - "Newark," 124, 334, 335, 337.
 - "Newcastle," 124, 319, 325, 328, 335, 338, 342, 359, 364, 367.

 - "Newport," 125, 343.
 "Nonsuch," 338.
 "Norfolk," 124, 316, 323, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Northumberland," 124, 316, 317, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359, 364, 367.
 - "Norwich," 125, 323, 325, 328, 334, 335, 338, 364, 367.

 - "Orford," 125, 324, 338, 343. "Ossory," 124, 316, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Owner's Adventure," bomb vessel, 340.

- Ships-1. Of H.M. Navy-cont.
 - "Owner's Goodwill," machine, 340.
 - "Owner's Love" fireship, 86, 94, 112, 320, 324, 330, 334, 335, 338,
 - "Owner's Love" bomb vessel,
 - "Oxford," 124, 338, 343, 357.
 - "Paramour," p.nk, 340.
 - "Pearl," 125, 324, 328, 339.
 - "Pembroke," 124, 334, 338. "Pendennis," 125, 338.

 - " Penzance," 125, 338.
 - "Phœnix," 41.

 - "Phœnix," bomb vessel, 334, 340.
 - "Phœnix," fireship, 334, 339.
 - "Play prize," 90, 125, 338, 342. "Plymouth," 337.

 - " Poole," 338.
 - "Portland," 73, 86, 94, 96, 104, 110, 112, 113, 125, 321, 323, 328, 330, 334, 338, 364, 367.
 - "Portsmouth," 328.
 - "Portsmouth," bomb vessel, 334, 340.
 - " Portsmouth prize," 125, 324, 328, "Post-boy," brigantine, 324, 330,
 - 334, 340,
 - " Prince George," 67, 125, 320, 324, 365, 367.
 - "Prince of Orange," 67, 110, 125, 325, 328, 343, 365. "Prince of Orange," (hired), 338,
 - " Princess Anne," 125, 323, 328, 335,
 - 342, 364, 367. "Princess Anne" (hired), 338, 359.
 - " Prudence," 125.
 - "Quaker," ketch, 125, 320, 324, 339.
 - "Queen," 124, 334, 337.
 - " Queenborough," 125, 338.
 - "Queenborough," yacht, 339.
 - "Reserve," 125, 338, 342.
 - "Resolution," 124, 316, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Restoration," 124, 316, 322, 328, 330, 334, 337.

 - "Richmond," 125, 338, 343.
 "Rochester," 92, 93, 94, 101, 103, 104, 110, 124, 338, 356.
 - "Roe," ketch, 125, 320, 329, 339.
 - "Roebuck," 108, 125, 338.
 - "Romney," 124, 338.
 - ' Rose," fireship, 339.
 - 'Royal," transport, 340.
 - "Royal Escape," smack, 340.
 - "Royal Katherine," 124, 316, 327, 328, 329, 330, 334, 339.

- Ships—1. Of H.M. Navy—cont. "Royal Oak," 124, 316, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 337, 364, 367.
 - "Royal Sovereign," 317.
 - "Royal William," 108, 124, 334
 - "Ruby," 79, 125, 330, 338.
 - "Ruby prize," 125, 320, 324, 338,
 - "Rupert," 75, 86, 92, 94, 95, 101, 103, 106, 116, 337,
 - "Rupert prize," 125, 338.
 - "Russell," 124, 334, 339.
 - "Rye," 338.
 - "St. Albans prize," 125, 338, 343.
 - "St. Andrew," 124, 316, 328, 329, 330, 334, 339.
 - "St. David," hulk, 340.
 - "St. George," 339.
 - "St. George," hulk, 340.
 - " St. Malo" (hired), 339.
 - "St. Martin," 335.
 - "St. Michael," 124, 316, 328, 330, 334, 335, 339, 359.
 - "St. Paul," fireship, 324, 329, 330,
 - "St. Vincent," fireship, 324, 330, 334, 335, 340, 359.
 - "Salamander," bomb vessel, 334,
 - "Samuel and Henry," 125.
 - "Sandwich," 124, 316, 325, 328, 330, 334, 339, 359.
 - "Sapphire," 125, 343.
 - " Saudadoes," 95, 125.
 - "Saudadoes prize," 125, 338, 343.
 - "Searborough," 331, 334, 335, 338.
 - "Scout," boat, 340.
 - " Seaford," 125, 340.

 - "Seahorse," 125, 338.
 "Serpent," bomb vessel, 321, 324 330, 334, 340.
 - "Severn," 125, 323, 328, 330, 334, 338, 359, 364, 367.
 - "Shark," brigantine, 324, 330, 334, 340, 365.
 - "Sheerness," 125, 338.
 - "Shoreham," 125, 338.
 - "Shrewsbury," 124, 331, 334, 337.
 - "Siam," hospital ship, 384, 338.
 - "Smyrna Factor," 106, 125, 320, 365, 366, 367.
 - "Smyrna Merchant," 125,
 - "Smyrna Merchant" (hired), 338.
 - " Society," 125.
 - "Society," bomb vessel, 334, 340.
 - "Society," hospital ship, 330, 334.

 - "Soesdyke," yacht, 339.
 "Solebay," 125, 320, 324, 326, 340.
 - "Sorlings," 125, 338, 343.

- Ships—1. Of H.M. Navy—cont. "Southampton," 125, 319, 323, 325, 328, 335, 338, 342, 359, 364,
 - "Southsea Castle," 339.
 - "Speedwell," 125, 338.
 - "Spy," brigantine, 338.
 - "Squirrel," yacht, 339.
 - "Star," bomb vessel, 334, 340.
 - "Stirling Castle," 87, 93, 94, 95, 104, 112, 124, 328, 330, 334, 335, 339, 359.
 - "Strombolo," fireship, 334, 339.
 - "Suffolk," 124, 316, 323, 325, 328, 329, 333, 339, 359.
 - "Suffolk," hagboat, 334, 339.
 - "Sun prize," 340.
 - "Sunderland," 124, 330, 331, 334, 335, 338.
 - "Swallow prize," 125.
 - "Swan," 125, 338, 342.
 - "Sweepstakes," 125, 338.
 - "Swift," brigantine, 324, 330, 334.
 - "Swiftsure," 337.

 - "Terrible," 338.
 "Terror," bomb vessel, 321, 326, 330, 334, 340.
 - "Thunder," bomb vessel, 321, 324.
 - "Thunderbolt," 338.
 - "Tiger," 66, 90, 98, 125, 323, 328, 332, 338, 359, 364, 367.
 - "Tiger" (hired), 125.
 "Torbay," 124, 334, 337.

 - "Trident," 124, 327, 330, 335.
 - "Trident prize," 339.
 - "True Love," bomb vessel, 340.
 - "Unity," 320, 324.

 - "Vanguard," 124, 334, 339.
 "Vesuvius," fireship, 334, 339.
 "Victory," 124, 317, 319, 323, 328, 330, 334, 337, 359, 361, 366, 367.
 "Virgin prize," 67, 125, 324, 325, 328, 342, 365, 367.
 - 338, 342, 365, 367.
 - "Vulcan," fireship, 334, 340.
 - "Vulture," fireship, 324, 332, 339. 359, 365, 367.
 - "Warspite," 339.
 - "Warwick," 338.
 - "Weymouth," 69, 86, 93, 94, 95, 96, 99, 100, 101, 102, 104, 105, 112, 125, 336, 338.
 - "William and Mary," yacht, 339.

 - "Winchelsea," 125, 338.
 "Windsor," 124, 323, 328, 332, 338, 359, 365, 367.
 - " Woolwich," 66, 67, 124, 319, 323, 325, 328, 338, 359, 364, 367.
 - "Wren," pink, 125, 320, 324, 338,
 - "Yarmouth," 124, 338.
 - "Yarmouth," hagboat, 334, 339.

- Ships—1. Of H.M. Navy—cont. "York," 338.
 - 2. Merchant Ships:
 - "Abigail," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - "Adventure," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76, 78.
 - "Advice," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 80.
 - "African," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 81.
 - "Agreement," from Antigua, taken by the French, 81.
 - "Agreement," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French near the Western Islands, 88.
 - "Alexander," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 79.
 - "Alexander," trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.
 - "America Merchant," from Bar-
 - badoes, taken by the French, 78.

 "Amity," belonging to the East
 India Company. Sir G. Rooke
 ordered to send two ships to
 convoy her to Galloway, 336.
 - "Ann," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 80.
 - "Ann and Mary," taken by the French, 80.
 - "Antelope," taken by the French,
 - "Antigua Merchant," taken by the French at Berehaven, 65, 89, 90. "Appletree," taken by the French
 - on her way to Barbadoes, 78. "Avarilla," taken by the French
 - on her voyage from Barbadoes, 68-69, 81, 87, 97-99.
 - "Barbadoes Merchant," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
 - " Barbara," trading between Scotland and Virginia, 464. "Benjamin," protected from the
 - French by the native prince at Surat, 8, 36.
 - "Benjamin," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Berkeley Castle," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 80.
 "Bilboa Factor," lost in a hurri-
 - cane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76, 81.
 - "Biscay Merchant," from Barba-does, taken by the French, 77, 81.
 - "Blackham," frigate, Letters of Mart granted to, 313, 363.
 - "Blossom," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Bordeaux Merchant," from Bar-badoes, taken by the French,

- Ships-2. Merchant Ships-cont.
 - "Bridgetown," from Jamaica. taken by the French, 81.
 - "Bridgwater," from Nevis, taken by the French, 78.
 - "Cæsar," taken by the French.
 - "Carmarthen," galley, Letters of Mart granted to, 362.
 - "Carolina," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Castor," from Barbadoes, forced ashore by the French, 76.
 - "Charles," trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia,
 - "China Merchant," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 81.
 - "Cloudesley," galley, Letters of Mart granted to, 362.
 - "Constantinople Merchant," pro-tected from the Dutch by the Mogul, 8, 36.
 "Content," lost in a hurricane at
 - Barbadoes, 64, 76.
 - "Crown," a provision ship from Ireland, 306.
 - " Dartmouth Merchant," Provision for upholding the sentence of the Admiralty Court on, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.
 - "David," trading between Scotland and Virginia, 464.
 - "Deborah and Martha," from Bar-badoes, taken by the French, 76,
 - "Defence," from Surat, taken by the French, 62, 75, 84, 86.
 - "Diamond," taken by the French,
 - "Diligence," taken by the French on her way to Barbadoes, 77.
 - "Dolphin," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
 - "Dolphin," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
 - "Don Carlos," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
 - "Dove," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - "Eagle," from Antigua, taken by the French, 81, 82, 88.
 - "Eagle," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - "Edward," from India, Convoy for, 94, 108.
 - "Elizabeth," belonging to the African Company, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Elizabeth," from New England, taken by the French, 87.

- Ships-2. Merchant Ships-cont.
 - "Elizabeth," of Queensferry, trad-ing between Scotland and Mary-
 - "Elizabeth," of Glasgow, trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.
 - "Elizabeth and Mary," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - "Endeavour," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 88.
 - "Endeavour," from the Leeward Islands, cast away in the West Indies, 89.
 - "Experiment," lost in a hurricane at Barbacloes, 76.
 - "Falkland," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - "Friend," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 85.
 - "Friends' Adventure," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
 - "Friends' Adventure," from New York, taken by the French, 78.
 - "Friends' Adventure," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 79.
 - "Friendship," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 78.
 - "Friendship," from New England. taken by the French, 87.
 - "George," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania.
 - "Gilbert," Provision for uphold-ing the sentence of the Admi-ralty Court on, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.
 - "Gilbert and Hester," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - "Good Friends," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French,
 - "Guinea," from Guinea, taken by the French, 80, 81, 87.
 - "Happy Conclusion," taken by the French on the coast of
 - Guinea, 79.
 "Hare," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 79.
 - "Hawk," belonging to the East India Company, successful en-gagement of, with two French privateers, 83.—Request made by the Company to the Admiralty that some of H.M. ships should meet her, 84.
 - "Hawk," frigate, Letters of Mart granted to, 363.
 - "Henry," a free-trading ship, de-nounced as a pirate vessel by the East India Company's Commissary General, 38.

Ships-2. Merchant Ships-cont.

"Henry," from Surat, forced ashore by the French in Dingle Bay, 76, 83, 94, 102, 103, 108.

Bay, 76, 83, 94, 102, 103, 108.
"Henry and William," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 81.

- "Herne," belonging to Sir Joseph Heron. Letters of Mart refused to her by the Admiralty, 307, 313, 350-51, 362-63.— But granted by the States General, 313, 350, 363.—Her successful engagement with a French frigate, 350.
- "Hopewell," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76, 81.
- "Industry," from Pennsylvania, taken by the French, 78.
- "Insiquin," belonging to the African Company, taken by the French, 80.
- "Isaac," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
- 4 James," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 80.
- "James," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
- "James and John," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
- "James and Robert," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
- "Jane," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 82.
- "Jeffreys," belonging to Mr. A. Stratton. Letters of Mart refused to her, 310.
- "Joan," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76, 88.
- "Joanna," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- "John," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- John," from Guinea, taken by the French, 78.
- "John," of Ayr, trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.
- "John," of Glasgow, trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
- "John," galley, Letters of Mart refused to, 307-308, 351.
 - John and William," taken by the French on her way to Barbadoes, 77.
 - Joseph," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
 - King William," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

Ships-2. Merchant Ships-cont.

- "La Modeste." Provision for upholding the sentence of the Admiralty Court on, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.
- "Lamb," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
- "Lark," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- "Lily," ketch, engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462
- "Lindsay," belonging to Mr. Forti. Some of her crew pressed, although she had Letters of Mart, 310.
- "Lion," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
- "Lisbon Merchant," belonging to the African Company, taken by the French, 80.
- "London," Request made by the East India Company to the Lords Justices that some of H.M. ships should meet her on her way home from India, 86.
- "Loyal Factor," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 79.
- "Loyal Steed," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 80.
- "Margaret," Letters of Mart refused to, 309.
- "Margaret," of New England, trading between Scotland and New England and Maryland, 464.
- "Mary," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
- "Mary," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- "Mary," from the Leeward Islands taken by the French, 89.
- "Mary," belonging to the East India Company. Sir G. Rooke ordered to send two ships to convoy her to Galloway, 336.
 - "Maryland," from Nevis, taken by the French, 79.
- "Massareene," galley, Letters of Mart refused to, 307-308, 351, 363
- "Mayflower," A provision ship from Ireland, 306.
- "Mayflower," trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.
- "Mediterranean," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 80.
- "Merchant Adventurer," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- "Mermaid," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

- Ships—2. Merchant Ships—cont.
 "Modena," belonging to the East India Company. Amount allowed in the Company's balance sheet for the value of cloth sold out of her in Persia, 56, 60.- Taken by the French, 61.
 - "Nassau," from the East Indies. Sir G. Rooke ordered to send two ships to convoy her from Scilly
 - "Neptune," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
 - "New Industry," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
 - "North Sound," from Nevis, taken by the French, 79.
 - "Ormond," galley, Letters of Mart refused to, 307-308, 351, 363.
 - "Palm Tree," from the Leeward Islands, cast away in the West Indies, 89.
 - "Patience," engaged in illegal to-462.
 - "Pelican," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - "Pennsylvania Merchant," from Pennsylvania, taken by the
 - French, 78.
 "Phœnix," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
 - "Phœnix," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
 - "Phoenix," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - "Planters' Adventure," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
 - "Plymouth," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 64, 76.
 - "President," attacked by Indian pirates, 41.
 - Princess," belonging to the East India Company, taken by the French, 62.
 - "Princess of Denmark," from the
 - Bay of Bengal, taken by the French, 75, 83, 84.

 "Prosperity," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes,
 - "Prosperous," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 81.
 - "Providence," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76, 82, 85,
 - "Providence," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania,
 - "Queen of Spain," Designs of the French privateers against, 305, 306.
 - "Reformation," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76, 82, 88.

- Ships—2. Merchant Ships—cont.
 "Resolution," from Surat, taken
 by the French, 62, 75, 84, 86.
 - "Return," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea, 81, 87.
 - "Richard and James," from Antigua, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Robert," from Barbadoes, forced ashore by the French, 76.
 - "Robert," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
 - "Robert and William," from Jamaica, taken by the French,
 - "Rose," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
 - Rose," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
 - "Royal James and Mary." Request made by the East India Company to the Admiralty that some of H.M. ships should meet her on her way from India, 84.
 - "St. John Baptist," Information from the Master of, respecting the
 - "St. Margaret," Letters of Mart granted to 313, 362.
 - "Samuel," from the Leeward Islands, cast away upon Scilly,
 - "Sarah," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76.
 - "Sarah," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - "Sarah," galley, Letters of Mart granted to, 308, 351, 363.
 - "Scipio," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Seaflower," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 80.
 - "Serpent," taken by the French at Cyprus, 78.
 - "Seymour," a free-trading ship, denounced as a pirate vessel by India Company's the East factors, 38.
 - "Seymour," belonging to the East India Company, taken by the French, 62, 75, 76, 83.
 "Shield," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76,
 - 81.
 - "Shrewsbury," galley, Letters of Mart granted to, 308, 351, 363.
 - "Soldadoe," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
 - "Stratford," frigate, belonging to Mr. C. Peers. Letters of Mart refused to her, 313, 314, 363.
 - "Success," protected from the French by the Mogul, 36.
 - "Success," from the Bay of Bengal, taken by the French, 75, 86.

Ships--2. Merchant Ships-cont.

- "Susanna," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.
- "Swallow," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
- "Swan," from Montserrat, taken by the French, 80.
- "Swan," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- "Swan," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
- "Thomas," belonging to the East India Company, 59, 62.
- "Thomas and Mary," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- "Three Brothers," taken by the French on her way to Barbadoes, 77, 80.
- "Three Brothers," from Montserrat, taken by the French, 81.
- "Three Brothers," from New England, taken by the French,
- "Tiger," belonging to the African Company, taken by the French, 81.
- "Trial," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
- "Trial," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- "Two Brothers," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- "Two Friends," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 80.
- "Union," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French,
- "Unity," taken by the French at Sierra Leone, 79.
- "Unity," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- "Upton," galley, Letters of Mart granted to, 313, 363.
- "Victory," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- "Vintage," from Antigua, taken by the French, 80.
- "William," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76, 81.
- "William and John," taken by the French on the coast of Guinea,
- "William and Mary," lost in a hurricane on her voyage from Barbadoes, 76, 81.
- "William and Mary," from New England, taken by the French,
- "William and Thomas," trading between Scotland and Virginia,
- "Wolf," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 88.

- Ships—2. Merchant Ships—conv.

 "Young Prince," Provision for upholding the sentence of the Admiralty Court on, in the
 - Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.
 - Shoebridge, Sarah, Petition of, for waiver of E. Nottingham's Privilege,
- Sir Bartholomew, appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 2, 63, 117, 120, 141, 144, 192, 194, 196, 197, 203, 214, 215, 261, 262, 272, 384, 520, 530, 538.—On Shore, certain Bills, 139, 151, 201, 217, 224, 239, 395, 505, 512, 536, 542, 547.—On certain Writs of Error, 154, 223, 269. -- On Petitions for waiver of Peers' Privilege, 251, 252, 254, 255, 259, 374.—Named by Sir J. Fenwick as one of his counsel on the Bill of Attainder, 274.--Letter from Sir J. Fen-wick requesting that Sir B. Shore should have access to him,
- Shovell, Capt., ordered to attend the Admiralty Board, 324.
 - Sir Cloudesley, a witness in a suit between M. Normanby and D. Devonshire, 259.--Employs Paul Bowen to give naval intelligence, 306 .-- Admiralty Orders to him, 309, 326, 327, 328, 329, 329-31, 359, 362,
- Shrewsbury, Lands held of the Mayor and Burgesses of, mortgaged by T. Bromhall to A. Smith, 400.
 - Charles Duke of, takes the Oaths, 1 .-- Acquaints the East India Company that the Lords Justices had ordered a squadron of menof-war to look out for the Company's ships, 83 .- One of H.M. ships appointed to follow him, 92, 97.--Amount paid to him for Secret Service, 165.—Amount paid to him as an Officer of State, 175 .-- Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 290, 291, 292.—Requested to communicate Smith's letters to the House, 292.—Letter from him on this subject, 294, 299-300 .-- A Committee appointed to read Smith's letters to him, 294.—Smith's correspondence with him read in the House, 294-95. — Letter from Sir G. Rooke, acknowledgfrom Sir G. Rooke, acknowledge-ing receipt of the King's Orders for his return to England, com-municated by the Duke to the Admiralty, 360, 362.—King's Orders to Sir G. Rooke for his return from Cadiz sent to him by the Duke, 360.

- Sierra Leone, The merchant ship "Unity" taken by the French at 79.
- Silk Dyers, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243-44.
- Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 238-45, 509-11.
- Sill, Richard, made a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.
- Silver Money, Provisions respecting, in the Coinage (Silver) Bill, 128-31,
- Simkins, Francis, haberdasher, Petition of creditors of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.
- Simon, William, Attestation of, to a Consent to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.
- Simms, John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Montserrat, 423.
- Simpson, Thomas, Master of the merchant ship "Merchant Adventurer," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.—Signature of, to a Petition from Stationers, 517.
- Sinclair, James, Master of the "James and John," trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.
 - Robert, Master of the "Elizabeth," trading between Scotland and Maryland and Virginia, 464.
- Singleton, John, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.
- Skaife, George, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyne' Estate Act, 63.
- Skelmersdale, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Skinner, Thomas, a Subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.
- Skynner, Stephen, Signature of, to a paper delivered by Barbadoes Merchants, 88.
- Slater, Richard, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.
- Sledall, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.
- Sloane, Mr., appears as counsel on a Petition for waiver of a Peer's Privilege, 251.—Motion made by him for quashing the inquisition against Richard Manlove, Warden of the Fleet, 395.
- Small Tithes Recovery Act, 153.

- Smart, Alexander, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458, 460.—Master of the "Patience," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Smelt, Leonard, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.
- Smith, Anthony, Petition of, for amendment of the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 396, 400.—Saving Clause, for securing a debt due to him, added to the Bill, 399, 402, 406.
 - Benedict, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
 - Capt., Master of the merchant ship "William," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.
 - Edward, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - Sir Edward, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 50%.
 - George, an Appellant in the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's," 143-44.—Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - J., Signature of, to a direction appended to an Order of Council, 446.
 - James, a Subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 5.
 - John, Under-Sheriff of Middlesex. Proceedings relating to his discharge of a prisoner protected by L. Morley, 379-80.
 - Sir John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
 - Margaret, an Appellant in the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's," 143-44.
 - Matthew, ordered to attend the House in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 289.—Ordered to be taken into custody, 290, 292.—His examination, 290-91, 298.—A Committee appointed to read his letters to D. Shrewsbury, 294.—Examined by said Committee, 294.—His Minutes and Correspondence with D. Shrewsbury and Mr. Vernon read in the House, 294-95.—Resolution agreed to that he does not deserve any further reward, 295.—Mentioned in one of the three Papers delivered by Lady M. Fenwick, 297.—Letter from D. Shrewsbury giving an account of his relations with Smith, 299-300.

Smith-cont.

Noble, Arrival of, with three privateers, at Cross Haven,

Simon, Amount paid out of the Customs to, 175.

Thomas, Master of a brigantine trading between Scotland and Virginia, 464.

William, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.—Examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 240.—One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of New York, 423.

Capt. William, Commander of H.M. ship "Portland." His Journal read by the Committee on M. Carmarthen's charges against Capt. Cole, 74, 113.

Smithfield Market, Consequence of reducing the value of guineas to the dealers at, 234.

Smoke Silver, Prohibition of the exaction of, by Sheriffs, &c., 526.

Smyrna, One of the three places at which the Turkey Company had established trade, 42.

Smyth, Sir Edward, Petition of, against the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Bill, 225.

Snipe, Samuel, Master of the merchant ship "Content," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.

Soame, Richard, Signature of, to a paper delivered by New England Merchants, 87,

Soames, Henry, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Solicitor General appears as counsel on an Appeal, 531.—See also Trevor, Thomas.

Solihull, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533

Solomon, Mrs. Hannah, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 294, 298.

Somers, Francis, mentioned in the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.

Sir John, Lord Keeper and Speaker of the House, Amount of pension paid to, 187.—Letters to, from several Lords excusing themselves from attending the House, 212-13, 263-68.—Heard on an Appeal as to the grounds of his action in the Court below, 214 .-Heard on the Petition of "Sawrey v. E. Derby," 252.—Letter to him from William Fuller, respecting Jacobite Plots, 272–74.—Letter to him from Sir J. Fenwick, requesting that his

Somers, Sir John-cont.

counsel and solicitor should have access to him, 295.—Letter to him from the Duchess of Norfolk, praying to be heard if E. Monmouth has contradicted anything she has said, 298.— Letter to him from D. Shrewbury, giving an account of his relations with Smith, 299-300.

Somerset, Duchess of, mentioned in E. Warrington's Answer to the Petition of C. Booth, 543.

Somerset, Duchess of, L. Chamberlain and E. Northumberland v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 254.

Duke of, a Teller on a Division,

372.

Sotherne, J., Signature of, to papers de-livered by the Navy Board, 315.

Soundings, The, Cruisers in, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 82, 83, 85, 86, 90, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 108, 110, 112, 113, 114, 321, 329.—Merchant ships taken by the French there, 77, 88, 89, 90, 98.-French men - of - war and privateers constantly there, 91 .- Sir G. Rooke empowered to call off Capt. Foulkes' squadron from the Soundings, 335.

South Seas, Fortresses of the East India Company of Holland in, 34.

Southampton, Restriction on the exportation of wool from, to the Channel Islands, by the Trade with France Prevention Bill, 195.

Charles, Duke of, takes the Oaths, 3.—Amount paid to him out of the Excise, 183.—Mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191.

Duke of, Bp. Lichfield and Coventry v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

—v. Wood, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 254.

Southwell, Sir Robert, One of the Commissioners of Customs, examined by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 3, 7.
—Signature of, to papers de-livered from the Commissioners, 17, 22, 24, 26, 446, 454, 472, 491, 501.—Unable, through illness, to attend the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 411.

Sowdon, John, mentioned in Moyle's Estate Bill, 518.

Spademan's (Llandinam Rectory) Bill, 529-30, 533.

John, Clerk. Llandinam Rectory proposed to be re-vested in him by said Bill, 529, 533.

Spanish Trade, incorporated by James I., 47.

Speaker of the House of Commons. Bill ! for reversing a Judgment against a former Speaker, 190-91. See also Trevor, Sir John.

of the House of Lords.—See Somers, Sir John. Speaker

Speeches of the King .- See King's Speech. Spencer, Robert, mentioned in the Petition of Sawrey v. E. Derby, 251. William. His Protection vacated,

Spice Islands, Fortresses erected by the Dutch for the preservation of,

Spice, William, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Spinall, John, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 280.

Spirit Duties Bill, Petition to be heard on, 250.

Spithead, Certain of H.M. ships stationed at, 97.

Sprat, Dr., Bishop of Rochester, refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208,

Sprignoll, William, mentioned in the Writ of Error "Oldys r. Domville," 154.

Stafford, Case of, in time of Henry IV., quoted in connection with the Writ of Error "Walcott v. The

King," 270. Jonathan, Vicar of Woolston, Consent of, to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.

Stamford, Thomas Earl of, takes the Oaths, 1.—Letter to him
from Lady Kent, consenting to
E. Kent's Estate Act, 118.—
Chairman of the Committee of
the Whole House on the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Bill, 224.-On the Stockbrokers' Bill, 551 .-On the Poor Relief Amendment Bill, 551.

Stancomb, Henry, Amount paid out of the Customs to, 177.

Stanhope, Alex., Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 384.

Stanley, Col. James, a Colonel of Foot,

Thomas, Countersignature of, to

an Appeal, 119. William. Petition of the Executors of his sole Executor for waiver of E. Derby's Privilege, 536.

Stanton, Sir Jeoffrey, Case of, cited on the hearing of Baldwin Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet,

388, 390, 393. Startuppe, Robert, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

State of the Nation, Committee of the Whole House on, 3, 6, 64, 125, 204, 302.

Stationers, Petition from, to be heard on the Vellum, &c. Bill, 517.

Stationery, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 169.

Staveley, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage,"

Stead, Thomas, Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 370.

Stedman, James, Countersignature of, to an Answer to an Appeal, 142. —To an Appeal, 203.

Steinbock, Col., Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.

Stephens, Capt., Report from, as to Capt. Kerr not following some of the enemy's privateers, 326.

Robert, examined on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 278.

William, Vicar of Great Linton, Respondent to the Appeal "Martin v. Stephens," 382-83.

Stepney, Petition from, in favour of the Silks (Persia and East Indies)

Steuart, William, a Brigadier, 132 .- A Colonel of Foot, 133.

Stevens, John, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

Mrs., Consent of the trustees of, to said Act, 135.

Thomas, mentioned in said Act

Steward, -, mentioned in a list of vessels trading between Scotland and the Tobacco Plantations, 464.

Steward, Lord. See Devonshire, Duke of. Stewart, Walter, a Subscriber to the Scotch East India Company, 4.

William, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 34.

Stiles, Thomas, Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants Trading to the Eastland Countries, 153.

Stillingfleet, Dr. (Bishop of Worcester, formerly Dean of St. Paul's), Respondent to the Appeal "Smith v. Dean of St. Paul's,"

Stockbrokers Act, 551-52.

Stocker, Antony, an Appellant in the Appeal "Bampfeild v. Popham,"

Stock-jobbers, Number, &c. of, regulated by the Stockbrokers Act, 551.

Stock-jobbing, Evils of, 32, 33.

Stockley, Deborah, Consent of, to Stockleys's Estate Act, 511.

Jeoffrey. Account of debts owing by him, in connection with said Act, 511.

Mary, Consent of, to said Act, 511. Stockton, Richard, Consent of, to act as trustee under said Act, 511.

- Stokoe, Cuthbert, Attestation of, to Consents to Heron's Estate Act, 137.—Affidavits of in connection with said Consents, 137.
- Stone, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Swan," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - John, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - Thomas, Agent of Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petitions complaining of Tilley's conduct, 404, 410.—
 Committed to the Custody of Black Rod for an Assault committed at the House of Lords, 407–408.
- Stoneleigh, Thomas Lord Leigh, Baron of, Consent of, to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.
- Stoner, John, mentioned in Stoner's Estate Act, 136.
- Stoner, Thomas, enabled to make a settlement of his estate by said Act, 136.
- Stopford, Major Joseph, called as a witness by M. Carmarthen before the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 70-71.
- Storey, E., Signature of, to Reports made by the Collectors, &c. of the Fort of London to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.
- Story, Mr., mentioned in J. Curtis' affidavit respecting the charges made against J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 408.
- Stoughton, Henrietta, an Appellant in the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler," 530-31.
 - Sir Lawrence, Bart., Estate of, vested in trustees for payment of debts, &c. by Stoughton's Estate Act, 118.—Mentioned in the Appeal "Whitfield r. Payler," 530
 - Sir Nicholas, Estate of, vested in trustees for payment of debts, &c. by Stoughton's Estate Act, 118.—Mentioned in the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler," 530.
 - Sarah, an Appellant in said Appeal, 530-31.
- Strafford, Earl of. His case quoted on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.
- Straits, The, A squadron sent to, 68, 95, 96.
- Strangford, Philip Viscount, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Strangways, Thomas, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

- Stratton, Anthony, examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 310.—Letters of Mart refused to him, 350.
- Street, T., Signature of, to an Order for the enlargement of the Fleet Prison, 402.
 - William, Attestation of, to Consents to Milward's Estate Act, 381.
- Stretton and Princethorpe Act, Consents to, 229-30.
- Strickland, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140.
- Stringer, William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.
- Strode, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Good Friends," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 89.
 - Edward, Plaintiff in the Writ of Error "Strode v. Byrt," 515-16.
 - Mr., mentioned in connection with the Petition of E. Montagu v. E, Bath, 256, 257, 258.
- Strathnaver, Earl of, a Colonel of Foot, 133.
- Stroud, Thomas, Provision respecting the debt of, in Midford's Estate Act, 192.
- Strowd, Mr., offers amendments to the Committee on Poulet's Estate Act, 127.
- Strutt, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "New Industry," from Barbadoes, taken by the French 76.
- Stydolph, Sigismond, Respondent to the Appeal "Leigh v. Stydolph," 519.
- Stystead, Edward, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 120.
- Suffolk, Earl of, to be attached for not attending the House, 263.
- Sumatra, Some of the pepper trade secured by the East India Company's fortifications in, 41, 42.
- Summans, Peter, One of the Proprietors of New Jersey, 442.
 - Sunderburg, Prince of, a Colonel of Danish Foot, 134.
 - Sunderland, Capt., Master of the merchantship "America Merchant," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 78.
- Sunton, William, Attestation of, to Consents to Midford's Estate Act, 192.
- Surat, English merchant ships protected by the Mogul from the French at, 36.—East India Company's debt there, 37, 57, 61.—Amount allowed in the Company's balance

Surat-cont.

sheet for good debts, &c. there, 56, 60. — Amount allowed for lead remaining there, 56, 60.— Certain of the Company's ships from, taken by the French, 75, 76, 86.—Wool exported thither by the Company, 242.

Survivorships, Amount of Annuities on,

Sussex, Proposal in the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill respecting elections of Members for, 377-78.

(America), subject to the planta tion laws, 21.—Mr. Penn, Proprietor of, 441.—Grant of, by Charles II. to James II. when Duke of York, 441.—Lease of, by Duke of York, to Mr. Penn, 441.

Earl of, not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.

Sutton, Richard, a Respondent to the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.

Thomas, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.

Swanage, Manor of, to be sold under Hanham's Estate Act, 270.

Swayne, Bennet, Plaintiff in the Writ of Error "Swayne v. Middleton," 223.

Hezekiah, mentioned in connection with said Writ of Error, 223.

William, a Respondent to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203-204.

Swayton, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193.

Sweetapple, Bealing v., E. Montagu insists upon his privilege in the cause of, 256.

Rolfe r., ditto.

Swift, Samuel, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Symes, Andrew, Attestation of, to a Consent to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.

Symmonds, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Agreement," from the Leeward Islands, taken by the French, 88.

Symonds, Mrs., mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.—Examined, 288, 298.

Symons, Thomas, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242. T_{i}

Talbot, Catherine, Consent of, to Poulet's Estate Act, 127.

Sir John, gives his consent to Stoner's Estate Act, 136.

Tallies, Amount paid on, 159.

Tankerville, Ford Earl of, introduced, 193.—Chairman of the Select Committee appointed to draw up an Address to the King in reply to his speech respecting Fenwick's Conspiracy, 202.—A Teller on Divisions, 205, 295.—One of the Commissioners of Trade, 416.—Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 430.

Tanner, Mr., mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Walcott v. The King," 269, 270.

Tanton, Andrew, Attestation of, to Consents to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.

Tartary, Trade established by the East India Company in, 31, 43.

Tatnall, John and Anne, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.

Tattershall Castle (Lincolnshire), mentioned in the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 261.

Tavistock, Elizabeth Marchioness of, Consent of, to M. Tavistock's Estate Act, 225.

Wriothsley Marquess of, ditto.

Taxes, Account of the net produce of, 162. — Amount received from, 170.

Tayler, John, Amount paid out of the Customs to, 177.

Payleure, Jo., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528

Taylor, George, Marshal of the King's Bench Prison, examined by the Select Committee on the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 396.—Petition of Robinson, complaining of his conduct, 398.—J. Withers allowed by him to go at large, 403.—List of prisoners transferred to him by W. Briggs, 404.—Petition of, against certain clauses in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 409.—Grant to him of the office of Marshal by W. Briggs, 409.

John, Master of the merchant ship "Mermaid," from Jamaica, taken

Taylor, John-cont.

by the French, 79.—One of Mr. Randolph's witnesses before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 412, 444.

Mr., One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.
—Mentioned in connection with Knight's Divorce Act, 547.

Robert, Master of the Distillers' Company, Signature of, to a Petition respecting the Spirit Duties Bill, 250.

Sir Thomas, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Tea, Coffee, &c., Amount received from, 164.—Account of, 180, 181.

: Tebbat, Samuel, examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243.

Temporalities, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.

Tench, Alderman, heard for the East India Company by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 8.

Rebecca, Consent of, to Fallow's Estate Act, 509.

William, ditto.

Tengnagel, Col., Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.

Tenths, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 168.

Terrell, —, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.

Tew, Thomas, a pirate frequenting Rhode Island, 443.

Thanet, Earl of, a Teller in a Division, 153.—Refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.

Theed, Thomas, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Thirlby, Clifford, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Cliffon," 119.
Robert, ditto.

Thomas, John, Master of the merchant ship "Industry," from Pennsylvania, taken by the French, 78.

Thompson, Arabella, Petition of, to be heard on the Papists (Disinheriting Protestants, &c.) Bill, 249-50.

L., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 242.

Sir William, Serjeant-at-law, deceased. Act for the payment of his debts, 536-37.

Thomson, Thomas, Affidavits in connection with Parkyn's Estate Act sworn before, 63.

Thoresway, Thomas L. Colepeper, Baron of, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Thorley, Richard, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Tilley v. Richardson," 373.

Thorne, Elizabeth, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Richard, mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Strode v. Byrt," 515.

Thornhagh, John, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyn's Estate Act, 63.

Thornhill, Henry, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

John, mentioned in the Appeal "Thornhill v. Clifton," 119.

Capt. John, Appellant in said Appeal, 119.

R., Countersignature of, to said Appeal, 119.—To Answers to another Appeal, 521.

Threekingham, Manor of, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 262.

Thresher, William, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

Thynne, Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Hall v. Potter," 117:

Tidcomb, Col. John, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Tiffin, Col. Zachariah, a Colonel of Foot, 133.

Tilley, John, Appellant in the Appeal
"Tilley v. Richardson," 372-73.

—His claim to the office of
Warden of the Fleet, 387, 399.

—Charges made against him,
396-98, 401-402, 404, 406, 408409, 410.—Petition of, for a day
to hear his witnesses, 408.

Tipper, —, mentioned in the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy," 196.

Tippits, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Friendship," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 78.

Tithes, Small, Recovery Act, 153.

Tiverton, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

Tobacco, Amount received at the Exchequer from, 164, 178.

Toddy, —, Master of the merchant ship "Neptune," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

Tolson, Richard, Solicitor, mentioned in connection with the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 142.

Tonnage, Amount of Annuities on, 159.—
Amount received at the Exchequer from, 164.—Account of, 180, 181.

- Tonquin, Trade established by the East India Company in, 42.—Amount allowed in the Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c. there, 56.
- Tooke, Elizabeth, a Respondent to the Appeal "Sir Robert Atkyns r. Tooke," 213-15.
 - Thomas, mentioned in said Appeal, 213.
- Topsham, Loss of the fleet bound from Ireland to, 305.
- Torrington, Earl of, Chairman of the Committee appointed to prepare reasons for the Lords insisting on certain amendments to the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 152-53, 154.
- Tory, Joseph, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Nevis, 423.
- Toulon Squadron, Intelligence received by the Admiralty respecting, 352-59.
- Tower, Christopher, Signature of, to Reports made by the Collectors, &c. of the Port of London to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.
- Tower, The, Amount paid for diet and lodging of prisoners in, 157, 169.

 -Intended to be delivered up to the French, 212.
- Townsend, Edward, Executor of Joseph Kettle, Repondent to the Appeal "Kettle r. Townsend," 368-70.
 - Elizabeth, mentioned in the Answer to said Appeal, 370.
 - George, a Respondent to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62.
- Townshend, Thomas, Consent of, to Neve's Estate Act, 379.
- Trade, The Admiralty to give an account of what orders have been given for the preservation of, 302.— Copy of the Commission of Trade delivered to the Committee on Trade and Planta-tions, 411, 416-19. — Papers delivered from the Commissioners, 411-12, 445-56. - Account of things done in pursuance of the Commission, 414-16.—Act of Trade not duly executed in the proprietary Plantations, 424.- Representation from the Commissioners of Trade respecting the Governors of those Plantations, 426. — Representation respecting Admiralty Courts in such Plantations, 427-28.-Representations relating to a Governor for the Bahama Islands, 429-30. - Acts of Trade not observed in Pennsylvania,

- Trade-cont.
 - 442.—Instructions to the Governors of the Plantations for the observance of the Acts, 494-99.
- Trade and Plantations, Inquiry concerning, 410-504.
- Trade with France Prevention Bill, 194-195.
- Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 145-153.
- Treason, Amount received from forfeitures for, 170.
- Treat, Col. Robert, Governor of Connecticut, 442.
- Treating, Provision against, in the Parliamentary Elections (Qualification of Members) Bill, 200-201.
- Treby, Lord Chief Justice of the Common Pleas. See Chief Justice of the Common Pleas.
- Tredenham, Jchn, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
 - Seymour, M.P., ditto.
- Tregony, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378,
- Trelawny, Col. Henry, a Colonel of Foot, 132.
- Trelony, Peter, Master of the "Rose," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Trench, Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden," 117.
- Trenchard, Sir J., Amount paid to, for Secret Service, 165.—Amount paid to him as an Officer of State, 175.
- Trent, Maurice, Master of a brigantine engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania, 462.
- Trevillian, Sir John, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.
- Trevissick, Estate of Richard Moyle of, proposed to be vested in trustees, for payment of debts, by Moyle's Estate Bill. 517.
- Trevor, Sir John, alleged to have received a bribe, when Speaker of the House of Commons, from J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 398.—A party, when Master of the Rolls, to a deed recited in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
 - Thomas, Solicitor General, Signature of, to a Report on the grants of Newcastle, &c. to Mr. Penn, 426.—His Opinion as to the erection of Admiralty Courts in the proprietary Plantations, 428.
- Treyagoe, Manor of, settled by Mynors' Estate Act, 367.

Trinity House Corporation, a certain portion of naval prize money proposed to be allotted to, by the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 146.

Tripoli, Consul at, Amount paid to, 177.

Trotman, Mary, wife of Lenthall Trotman, Consent of, to Trotman's
Estate Act, 517.

Samuel, deceased, Lands of, to be sold under said Act, 517.

Trott, M., late Governor of the Bahama Islands, 440.

Samuel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Bermudas, 424.

Trumball, Sir William, Amount paid to, for Secret Service, 165.—Amount paid to him as an Officer of State, 175.—Mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 290, 291.—Letter from him complaining that Sir J. Fenwick had been allowed the use of writing materials in Prison, 295-96.

Tryan, Rowland, Signature of, to a paper delivered by Barbadoes Merchants, 88.

Tucker, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Isaac," from Barbadoes, taken by the French, 76.

Tullie, Philip, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Turk, Col., a Colonel of Hanover Horse, 134.

Turkey Company, A Paper delivered by, to the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 6.
21.—A regulated company, 19,
20, 42.—Limited operations of,
42.—Premiums taken by them for employing gentlemen's sons,
43.—Charge brought by them against the East India Company, 52, 53.—Papers delivered by them to the Committee on Naval Miscarriages, 64, 77-78.

Turner, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Palm Tree," from the Leeward Islands, cast away in the West Indies, 89.

Edmond, sworn at the hearing of the Petition of E. Montagu v. E. Bath, 255.

Mr., Attorney to Anne Wade, mentioned in connection with the Writ of Error "Heath v. Wade," 268.

Turney, John, Signature of, to a Petition from London Merchants and Traders, 551.

Turton, Mr. Justice, mentioned in connection with the Petition of Sawrey v. E. Derby, 252.—Heard Turton, Mr. Justice—cont.
on a Writ of Error, 270.—Heard
on Fenwick's Attainder Bill.
275.—Mentioned in an Answer
to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v.
Attorney General for Duchy of
Lancaster," 386.—Heard on the
Offices (Sale and Purchase) Bill,

Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Act, 221-25.

Tuthil, George, Amount of pension paid to, 173.

Twiddall, Capt. John, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Twisden, Sir William, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 210.

Twopenny, Joseph, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Tyas, Jo., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Tyrril, Capt., Commander of H.M.S. Phoenix, attacked by Indian pirates, 41.

U.

Underbarrow, Manor of, mentioned in the Appeal "Grahme s. Harnage," 140.

Union between England and Scotland, Proposal for, 6.

Upholland, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Upholsterers, Petition of, to be heard on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244.

Upton, Henry, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.

Upton, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Ushant, A squadron sent to, to look out for the East India Company's Ships, 83.

V.

Vallis, Joseph, Master of a brigantine trading between Scotland and Maryland, 464.

Vandebendy, Abraham, mentioned in the Appeal "Countess Dowager of Radnor v. Vandebendy," 538.

Vandebendy-cont.

John, a Respondent to said Appeal, 538-39.

Vandeburg, Henry, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 460.

Vandergoes, S. V. Nagt., in command of Dutch ships, 344.

Vanlaugerken, —, mentioned in the Appeal "Davenant v. Midy," 196.

Vaughan, John Lord, takes the Oaths, 1.

Vaughton, John, Petition of, for insertion of a clause for the Quakers in the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c:) Bill, 406-407.

Vellum, &c., Act, 517.

Ventris, Charles, an Appellant in the Appeal "Whitfield r. Payler," 530-31.

Frances, ditto

Mr. Justice, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 385.

Ventry Bay. The "Henry" East Indiaman forced ashore there by the French, 76, 83, 84.

Vernon, Col., mentioned in connection with the Tutbury (Needwood Forest) Act, 224.

James, acquainted by Capt. Porter with Clansey's endeavour to buy off his evidence against Sir J. Fenwick, 275. — Good-man's Information against Sir J. Fenwick, taken by him upon oath, called for by counsel for the Bill of Attainder, 276.— Examined on the Bill, 277, 278. -Examined as to his dealings with Smith, 291, 292, 294. Smith's correspondence and minutes of conversations with him read in the House, 295,--Desired by D. Shrewsbury to talk with Smith, 299.--Examined by the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 305.—Papers delivered by him, 306. 350.—Letter from him to Mr. Bridgeman inclosing information respecting privateers, 348.

John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua 423.

T., Countersignature of, to the Answer to an Appeal, 194.

Vestries, Select, Clause respecting, proposed to be added to the Poor Relief Amendment Bill, 548-51.

Villiers, Mr., Amount repaid to the Exchequer by, 170.

Vincke, Joannes, Information of, as to the movements of privateers, 349.

Virginia. Convoy for the Virginia Fleet, 66, 67.—The Fleet detained for want of convoy, 311.—Ships stationed there, 343.—Proposed Commission for administering the oath to the Governor of, 422.—The Governor commissioned by His Majesty, 444.—Illegal trade from, 446.—Names of vessels trading between Scotland and Virginia, 464.—Silk and Oil produced there, 471.—Customs duties levied there applied to education, 474.—Instructions to the Governor respecting the Scotch East India Company, 481-83.

Vittenghoff, Col., Colonel of a Squadron of Dutch Horse, 134.

Vivian, —, Master of the merchant ship "Phœnix," from Jamaica, taken by the French, 79.

Vizagapatam, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good debts, &c., at, 56.

Vyner, Sir Robert, deceased, Petition of certain creditors of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.—Petition of other creditors in favour of the Bill, 506.

Thomas, Executor of Sir R. Vyner, mentioned in above Petition against the Bill, 505.

W.

Wade, Anne, Defendant in the Writ of Error "Wade v. Heath," 268.

Capt., appointed by M. Carmarthen to take the command of Capt. Cole's ship, 115, 117.

Wadman, John, Attestation of, to a Conssent to Trotman's Estate Act, 517.

Wagstaff, Frances Lady, Consent of, to Wagstaff's Estate Act, 216.

Sir Thomas, enabled to raise a portion for his daughter by said Act, 216.

Waite, N., Signature of, to a paper de livered by East India Merchants, 33.

Wakefield, Thomas, Consent of, to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.

Walcott, John, Plaintiff in the Writ of Error "Walcott v. The King," 269-70.

Walcott-cont.

Thomas, Attainder of, for High Treason sought to be reversed by said Writ of Error, 269-70.

Walden, Major, mentioned in connection with the Creditors' Relief (Frivileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397.

Wales, Grand Sessions of, Competency of an Appeal from, 126.

Walesby, Lands at, menoc. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534. Lands at, mentioned in L.

Walford, Elizabeth, examined in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293.

Walker, Capt. Hovenden, Commander of H.M.S. "Foresight," a member of certain Councils of War called by M. Carmarthen, 107, 109.-To cruise at Kinsale, 108.-Admiralty Orders to him, 322, 324-

> J., Signature of, to Reports made by His Majesty's Five Searchers to the Commissioners of Customs, 25, 26.

> Mr., Fee paid to, for the filing of an Answer to an Appeal, 3 .-Delivers a paper to the Committee on the State of the Fleet, 304.

Waller, George, mentioned in connection with Dawson's Estate Act, 143.

> Mr., formerly Member for York, alleged to have received bribes from J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 398.

Walley, John, mentioned in E. Randolph's justification of his statements respecting the American Plantations, 460.—Master of the "Lark," engaged in illegal tobacco trade from Pennsylvania,

Walliam, James, Letter from, complaining of illegal trade in Pennsylvania, 465.

Walter, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Wallis, Mr., One of the merchants illtreated by the Admiralty, 350.

> William, mentioned in the Appeal Whitaker v. Pawlin, 3.

Blewet, Trustees enabled to Wallop, make leases of the estate of, by Wallop's Estate Act, 198.

John, ditto.

Wallup, Richard, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Barbadoes, 424.

Walter, Thomas, mentionéd in an Answer to the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 216.

Walters, B., Attestation of, to Consents to Parkyn's Estate Act, 63.

War, The, Proposed inquiry into the management of, 302.

Warburton, Ann, mentioned in Warburton's Estate Bill, 513.

Anne, ditto, 514.

Frances, ditto, 514.

Jane, ditto, 513.

John, ditto, 513.

Katherine, ditto, 513.

Mary, ditto, 514.

Richard, Estate of, proposed to be sold for payment of debts, &c., by said Bill, 513.

Sarah, mentioned in said Bill, 513. Thomas, ditto, 513.

Ward, Anthony, Letter from Dame Margaret Chamberlain to, consenting to Chamberlain's Estate Act, 153.—Prisoners from Ivelchester rescued by him and others in Westminster, 401.

> Anthony, Attorney for E. Montagu, Notice from, that the Earl insists upon his Privilege in cer-

tain causes, 256.

Edward, Attorney-General, Signature of, to a Report on the grants of Newcastle, &c., to Mr. Penn, 426.

Henry, gives evidence on the hearing of the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215.

J., One of the Commissioners of Customs, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Commissioners, 17, 22, 24, 26.

John, Countersignature of, to an Answer to an Appeal, 142.

Mr., a Paper from the East India Company delivered by, 12.

Sir Patience, One of the Commissioners of Customs, heard by the Committee on the East India Company (Scotland), 4.—Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 446, 454.

Wardrobe, Master of the Amount paid to, 157, 165.

Warner, Edmund, Trustees of, enabled to " sell part of his estate for payment of debts, &c., by Warner's Estate Act, 135, 262.

Dr. John, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner r. Widows of Bromley College," 193.

Lee, Appellant in said Appeal, 193-94.

Mrs., consents to Warner's Estate Act, 135.

Warren, Capt. Admiralty Order to him 332.

Warrington, Earl of. George E. Warrington, takes his seat, 251 .--Petition for revocation of a Protection given by him, 541Warrington, Earl of-cont.

42.— Petition for waiver of his Privilege, 542-45.

Henry E. Warrington; deceased, mentioned in the Petition of C. Booth v. E. Warrington, 542.

John E. Warrington, proposed to be appointed a trustee under Warburton's Estate Bill, 514.

Warwick, Earl of. Charles E. Warwick, mentioned in the Appeal "Countess Dowager of Radnor v. Vandebendy," 538.

debendy," 538.
Edward E. Warwick, takes the Oaths, 1.

Sir Philip, mentioned in the Appeal "Lee Warner v. Widows of Bromley College," 193.

Waterbeach, Lands at, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368, 369.

Waterson, William, Signature of, to Reports made by the Collectors, &c., of the Port of London to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.

Watkins, Frances, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Griffith v. Harries," 63.

Jane, ditto.

John, an Appellant in the Appeal "Watkins v. Shatterden," 117.

Samuel, ditto.

William, ditto.—Examined in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293.

Watkinson, Mary, Petition of, against Knight's Divorce Bill, 547.

Watson, Dr., Bishop of St. Davids, refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.—Charges brought against him, 220-21.

Thomas, Attestation of, to a Certificate from Bearcroft that Bp. Worcester is unable to attend the House, 263.

Watts, Edmond, mentioned in the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215.

Samuel, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 504-505.

Watts v. Hoskins, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

Wearre, Henry, Signature of, to Orders of the House, 531, 532.

Weavers, Provisions respecting, in the Lustrings Bill, 138-40.

Webb, Anthony, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191.

Barbara, Consent of, to Poulet's Estate Act, 127.

Elizabeth, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Casar Wood v. Webb," 191.

Webb-cont.

Henry, ditto.

Capt. Nicholas, proposed as Governor of the Bahama Islands, 429-30. — Recommended by L. Howard of Effingham, late Governor of Virginia, 430.— Appointed Governor, 440.

Robert, mentioned in connectiou with Capt. Markham, Governor of Penusylvania, 413.—Named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 459.—A prisoner from Ivelchester, rescued by rioters in Westminster, 401.

Thomas, Respondent to the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood r. Webb," 191-92.

Webber, —, a letter from Sir J. Fenwick to his wife delivered by, 278.

Weedon, Caven, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 373. — Appears as counsel on a Bill, 398.

Weekes, Abel, Keeper of the New Prison. Proceedings relating to his discharge from prison as being protected by L. Morley, 379-80.

Weems, Capt. James, Colonel of an Independent Company, 133.

Weight, Edward, Petition of, against the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.

Weld, George, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Welden, George, sent by the East India Company to the Mogul to sue for peace, 37.

Weldon, Susanna, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Wells, Manor of, vested in trustees to be sold for payment of a mortgage, &c., by Sands' Estate Act, 143.

Welsh, —, Commander of a French privateer, 109.

Wendover, Lands at, mentioned in Cock's Estate Bill, 507.

Wensley, Liberty of. Lead mines there, the subject of the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney General for Duchy of Lancaster," 385.

Wentworth (alias Dilke), Fisher, Consent of, to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.

Lady, mentioned in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 279.

Sir Michael, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Wergg, Thomas, mentioned in the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.

- West Ham, Lands at, to be sold under Trotman's Estate Act, 517.
- West Indies, The trade to, secured by the Acts of Trade and Navigation, 17.—Small profit made by planters therein, 19.—Merchant ships cast away there, 89.—Certain of H.M. ships stationed there, 97.—Provision respecting ships taken as prize there, in the Trade with France Prohibition Bill, 151.—Designs of French privateers against, 342.
- West, Mr., examined on the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 243.
 - William, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281.
- Westcomb, Lewis, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petitions complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 401, 409, 410.
- Western Islands. See Azores.
- Westminster (St. James' Parish) Act, 204.
- Westmorland, Rachel Countess of, Petition of, for redress against E. Derby, 539-41.
 - Vere, Earl of, deceased, mentioned in connection with said Petition, 539.
- Weston, Charles Lord (E. Arran in Ireland), not present when the Book of Protections was read, 372.—Enabled to make leases of his Irish estate by D. Ormond's Estate Act, 381. See also Arran, Earl of.
- Wethered, Thomas, Plaintiff in the Writ of Error "Wethered v. Roberts," 272.
- Weymouth, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.
- Weymouth, Thomas Viscount, declines to sign the Association for the King's Safety, 206, 212-13.—
 Takes the Oaths, 268.
- Wharton, Andrew, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 141.
 - Counsellor, desired by Mr. Penn as a witness before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 457.
 - Thomas Lord, takes his seat, 202.

 —A Teller on Divisions, 270, 551.—Ordered to attend the King with an Address, 284.—
 Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.
 - William, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 520.
- Wheeler, Capt., Master of the merchant ship "Castor," from Barbadoes, forced ashore by the French, 76.

- Whelpdale, Andrew, Signature of, to a Petition from London merchants and traders, 551.
- Whetstone, Capt. William, a Member of a Council of War called by M. Carmarthen, 108.
- Whicham, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.
- Whiston, Mr., heard on the Stockbrokers Bill, 551.
- Whitaker, Edward, Appellant in the Appeal "Whitaker v. Pawlin," 3.
- Whitchcote, Sir Jeremy, mentioned in connection with the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 399, 406.
- White, Anne, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 370.
 - Dr., Request of Sir J. Fenwick to have the ministrations of, 283.
 - Edward, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 135.
 - George, Signature of, to Papers delivered by East India Merchants, 32, 34.
 - John, Attestation of, to a Consent to Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.
 - Joseph, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 370.—Petition of Appellant in said Appeal that he may enter into a recognizance on her behalf, 370.
 - Mr., a Free-trader, accused of piracy by the East India Company's Commissary General, 38.
 - Richard, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Kettle r. Townsend," 370.
 - William, Examination of, in connection with Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 293, 298.
- White Paper Makers' Company, Petition of, to be heard on the Vellum, &c., Bill, 517.
- Whitelocke, Sir William, Countersignature of, to certain Appeals, 3, 117, 196, 215.—Appears as Counsel on certain Appeals, 196, 203, 214, 272, 530.—Countersignature of, to the Answer to a Petition in connection with an Appeal, 539
- Whitfield, Elizabeth, an Appellant in the Appeal "Whitfield v. Payler," 530-31.
 - Timothy, ditto.
- Whiting, Capt., mentioned in connection with the Creditors' Relief (Privileged Places, &c.) Bill, 397, 402.
- Whitson, Mary, Attestation of, to a Consent to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.

- Whittel, Charles, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Jamaica, 423.
- Whitwood, John, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petition complaining of the conduct of J. Tilley, Warden of the Fleet, 402.
- Wich, John, mentioned in R. Luxford's Petition, 401, 410.—Petition of, against the release of Luxford from custody, 409.
- Wickham, Daniel, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.
- Widdrington, Col. Ralph, one of the Executors of William V. Widdrington, Petition of, for waiver of E. Derby's Privilege, 536.
- Isle of, The merchant ship "Industry," from Pennsylvania, Wight, taken by the French off, 78.
- Wightwicke, George, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.

Humphrey, ditto.

- Wilcox, J., Attestation of, to Consents to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.
 - John. Payment of a debt due to him provided for by Dawson's Estate Act, 143.
- Wild, Capt., desired by Mr. Penn as a witness before the Committee on Trade and Plantations, 457.
 - Capt. Baron, Commander of the Northumberland." Admiralty Order to him, 316.
 - Capt., Master of the merchant ship "John," lost in a hurricane at Barbadoes, 76.
- Wilks, Col., a Colonel of Dutch Foot, 134.
- Williams, David, mentioned in the Appeal "Norwood v. Norwood," 195.
 - John, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua,
 - John, Bishop of Chichester, takes the Oaths, 370.
 - Mr., named by E. Randolph as an authority for his statements respecting the American Plantations, 458.
 - Richard, Attestation of, to Consents to Haynes' Estate Act, 136.
 - Capt. Robert, Master of the mer-chant ship "Adventure," from Barbadoes, taken by the French,
 - Rowland, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua,
 - W., M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety.

- Williams-cont.
 - Sir William, appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 63, 269 .--Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 218.-Appears as counsel on Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 388, 389. — Bill for him, when Speaker of the House of Commons, 190-91.
 - William Prece, Countersignature of, to the Answer to a Petition. in connection with an Appeal,
- Willis. Susanna, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 533.
- Willoughby de Broke, R takes his seat, 213. Richard Lord,
- Willoughby de Parham, Hugh Lord, takes the Oaths, 3.
- Willoughby of Eresby, Robert Lord, takes the Oaths, 1.
- Willows, Thomas, Deposition of, read on the hearing of the Appeal "Martin r. Stephens," 383.
- Willshaw, Thomas, Signature of, to papers delivered by the Navy Board,
- Wilmot, Gregory, Affidavit of, as to the value of an estate affected by Parkyns' Estate Act, 63.
 - Nicholas, Countersignature of, to an Appeal, 384 .-- Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.
 - Robert, an Appellant in the Appeal "Sir J. Harper v. Attorney-General of Duchy of Lancaster, 383-87.
- Wilson, --, Master of the merchant ship "Sarah," from New England, taken by the French, 87.
 - Anthony, mentioned in the Answer to the Appeal "Watkins r. Shatterden," 117.
 - Edward, Consent of, to Crowle's Estate Act, 537.
- Wiltshire Clothiers, Petition of, against the Silks (Persia and East In-dies) Bill, 244.—Heard by coun-sel against the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Prohibition Bill,
- Winchelsea, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.
- Winchelsea, Earl of, refuses to subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 208.-Leave of absence given to him during the proceedings on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 285.—A Teller on a Division,

Winchester, Petition from, against the Parliamentary Elections Regulation Bill, 378.

Winchester, Anne, an Appellant in the Appeal "Winchester v. Fowke," 268-69.

Henry, ditto.

Windsor, Col. Thomas, a Colonel of Horse,

Dean of, v. Bp. Sarum, Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 259.

Forest, Amount paid for hay for deer in, 157, 169.

Wines, from Madeira and the Azores, excepted from the Act of Navigation, 17, 476,—Amount received at the Exchequer from, 164, 166, 173.

Wingfield, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Mason v. Berrington," 217.

Winkeley, Mr., mentioned in connection with the Petition of the Countess of Westmorland v. E. Derby, 541.

Winnington, Sir Francis, appears as counsel on certain Appeals, 117, 373.—Appears as Counsel against Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 388.

Winslow, Manor of, to be sold under Goodwin's Estate Act, 382.

Winthorpe, Samuel, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Antigua, 423.

Wirtemberg, Duke of, Colonel of the Danish Guards, 134.—Colonel of the Dutch Guards, 134.

Prince Charles of, a Colonel of Danish Horse, 134.

Wiseman, Jacques, a Respondent in the Appeal "Grahme v. Harnage," 140-43.

Wither, S., Attestation of, to Consents to the Stretton and Princethorpe Act, 229.

Withers, John, allowed by the Marshal of the King's Bench to go at large,

William, Petition of, in favour of the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 506.

Witherslack-cum-Arnside, Manor of, mentioned in the Petition of the Countess of Derby v. E. Derby, 537.

Withington, Lands at, proposed to be sold by Warburton's Estate Bill, 513

Wittersham, Lands at, mentioned in L. Fairfax's Estate Bill, 534.

Wivell, Capt., Commander of the "Kent." Letter from him asking for more ships to prevent the enemy's vessels coming out of Calais and Dunkirk, 327. Wivill, Sir Marmaduke, M.P., does not subscribe the Association for the King's Safety, 209.

Wodehouse, Sir John, M.P., ditto.

Wolf, -, Case of, cited on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 281,

Wolfembuttle Foot, strength of, 134.

Wolstenholme, Henry, Signature of, to Reports from the Collectors, &c. of the Port of London to the Commissioners of Customs, 24, 26.

Wood, Amount received at the Exchequer from the sale of, 168.

Alias Cranmer, Sir Cæsar, Appellant in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191-92.

Col. Cornelius, a Colonel of Horse, 132.

Sir Henry, mentioned in the Appeal "Sir Cæsar Wood v. Webb," 191.

John, ditto.

Mary, ditto, 192.

Thomas, ditto, 191.

Dr. Thomas. Bishop of Lichfield and Coventry, ditto, 191.

Wood, Duke of Southampton v., Case of, quoted on a question of Peers' Privilege, 254.

Woodroffe, George, mentioned in Warburton's Estate Bill, 514.

Woodward, Godfrey, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the City of London, 515.

Wool, exported to France by means of the French privateers, 194.—
Allowed to be imported from Ireland at certain places, 195.—
Restriction on the exportation of, from Southampton to the Chaunel Islands, by the Trade with France Prevention Bill, 195.— Exported by the East India Company, 242.—Act for preventing the exportation of wool, and for encouraging the importation thereof from Ireland, 247.

Woolfe, Jo., Signature of, to a Petition from Merchants and Shipowners against the Plantation Trade Bill, 233.

Joseph, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 33.

Woolwich, Lands at, to be sold under Trotman's Estate Act, 517.

> Dockyard, Accounts of Naval Stores at, 314, 315.

Wooton, John, mentioned in the Appeal "Kettle v. Townsend," 368-70.

Worcester, Dr. Stillingfleet Bishop of (formerly Dean of St. Paul's), Respondent to the Appeal Worcester-cont.

"Smith r. Dean of St. Paul's," 144.—Letter from, excusing himself from attending the House, 263.

Worcestershire Clothiers, Petition of, against the Silks (Persia and East Indies) Bill, 244.

Works, Amount issued from the Exchequer for, 157, 167, 187.

Wormelow Hundred (Herefordshire), Petition from Justices, &c. of, respecting the Wye and Lugg Navigation Bill, 201.

Wormley, Christopher, One of the proposed Commissioners for administering the oath to the Governor of Virginia, 422.

Ralph, ditto.

Wright, John, Signature of, to a paper delivered by East India Merchants, 34.

Mr. Serjeant, appears as counsel against a Petition in connection with an Appeal, 127.—On a Petition for waiver of a Peers' Privilege, 255.—On certain Appeals, 261, 373, 383, 531.—For the Bill of Attainder against Sir J. Fenwick, 275.—On the Creditors' Relief (Compositions) Bill, 505.

Wrightson, W., Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Wrington, Lands at, the subject of the Appeal "Hort v. Hort," 215-16.

Writs of Error:

Byrt, Strode v., 515-16.
Cary, Clarke v., 222-23.
Clarke v. Cary, 222-23.
Domville, Oldys, v., 154-55.
Heath v. Wade, 268.
King, The, Walcott v., 269-70.
Middleton, Swayne v., 223.
Oldys v. Domville, 154-55.
Roberts, Wethered v., 272.
Strode v. Byrt, 515-16.
Swayne v. Middleton, 223.
Wade, Heath v., 268.
Walcott v. The King, 269-70.

Wethered v. Roberts, 272.

Wroth, William, Signature of, to a Petition from Officers in the Exchequer, 528.

Wyatt, Lord Mayor, Case of, quoted on Fenwick's Attainder Bill, 276.

Wyc and Lugg Navigation Act, 201-202. Wyke, Property at, mentioned in the Petition of L. Hastings v. E. Huntingdon, 374.

Wyndham, Col. Hugh, a Colonel of Horse, 132.

Wynn, Richard, a Respondent to the Appeal "Lincoln, Earl of, v. Rolle," 260-62.

Wynn, Roberts v.; Case of, cited on the hearing of Leighton's Petition relating to the office of Warden of the Fleet, 389, 391, 393.

Wyrksworth, Lead mines at, the subject of the Appeal "Sir John Harper r. Attorney General of Duchy of Lancaster," 383-87.

Y.

Yard, Warwick, Accountant to the African Company, Signature of, to papers delivered from the Company, 81, 82.

Yarde, Amy, Attestation of, to a Consent to Fownes' Estate Act, 190.

Edward, Consent of, to said Act, 190.

Gilès, Attestation of, to a Consent to said Act, 190.

James, ditto. .

Yarmouth, Amount of Pension paid out of the Excise to the Bailiffs of, 157, 185.—English vessels taken by the French at, 349.

Yeovileton, Mr. Sandys, Rector of, Respondent to the Appeal "Eastmond v. Sandys," 262.

Yonge, Walter, one of the Commissioners of the Customs, Signature of, to papers delivered from the Commissioners, 17, 22, 24, 26, 420, 445, 446, 454, 472, 491, 501.

York, Duke of. See James II.

York Fort, Amount allowed in the East India Company's balance sheet for good-debts, &c. at, 56.

Z.

Zouch, Edward, mentioned in an Answer to the Appeal "Foyle v. Swayne," 203.

Henry, mentioned in said Appeal, 203.

William, ditto.

Zuylen, Col., a Colonel of Hanover Horse, 134.

HISTORICAL MANUSCRIPTS COMMISSION.

REPORTS of the Royal Commissioners appointed to inquire what papers and manuscripts belonging to private families and institutions are extant which would be of utility in the illustration of History, Constitutional Law, Science, and General Literature.

Date.	_	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1870 (Re-		f'cap.	[C. 55]	s. d. 1 6
1874.)	Colleges; Abingdon, and other Corporations, &c. SCOTLAND. Advocates' Library, Glasgow Corporation, &c. IRELAND. Dublin, Cork, and other Corporations, &c.			
1871	SECOND REPORT, WITH APPENDIX, AND INDEX TO THE FIRST AND SECOND REPORTS	99 ·	[C. 441]	3 10
1872 (Re- printed 1895.)	THIRD REPORT, WITH APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— ENGLAND. House of Lords; Cambridge Colleges; Stonyhurst College; Bridgwater and other Corporations; Duke of Northumberland, Marquis of Lansdowne, Marquis of Bath, &c. SCOTLAND. University of Glasgow; Duke of Montrose, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde; Black Book of Limerick, &c.	,	[C. 673]	6 0
1873	FOURTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I. Contents:— ENGLAND. House of Lords; Westminster Abbey; Cambridge and Oxford Colleges; Cinque Ports, Hythe, and other Corporations, Marquis of Bath, Earl of Denbigh, &c. SCOTLAND. Duke of Argyll, &c. IRELAND. Trinity College, Dublin; Marquis of Ormonde.		[C. 857]	6 8
1878	DITTO. PART II. INDEX	99	[C.857i.]	2 6

Date.	-	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1876	FIFTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I Contents :-	feap.	[C.1432]	s. d. 7 0
	ENGLAND. House of Lords; Oxford and Cambridge Colleges; Dean and Chapter of Canterbury; Rye, Lydd, and other Corporations, Duke of Sutherland, Marquis of Lansdowne, Reginald Cholmondeley, Esq., &c. SCOTLAND. Earl of Aberdeen, &c.		1	
1876	DITTO. PART II. INDEX	٠,	[C.1432 · i.]	3 6
1877	Sixth Report, with Appendix. Part I Contents:-	111	[C.1745]	8 6
The second secon	ENGLAND. House of Lords; Oxford and Cambridge Colleges; Lambeth Palace; Black Book of the Archdeacon of Canterbury; Bridport, Wallingford, and other Corporations; Lord Leconfield, Sir Reginald Graham, Sir Henry Ingilby, &c. SCOTLAND. Duke of Argyll, Earl of Moray, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde.			
(Re- printed 1893.)	DITTO. PART II. INDEX	,,	[C.2102]	1 10
1879 (Re- printed 1895.)	SEVENTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX. PART I. Contents:— House of Lords; County of Somerset; Earl of Egmont, Sir Frederick Graham, Sir Harry Verney, &c.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	[(1.2340]	7 6
(Re- printed 1895.)	DITTO: PART II. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— Duke of Atholl, Marquis of Ormonde, S. F. Livingstone, Esq., &c.	77	[C. 2340 i.]	3 6
1881	EIGHTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX AND INDEX. PART I Contents: — List of collections examined, 1869-1880. ENGLAND. House of Lords; Duke of Marlborough; Magdalen College, Oxford; Royal College of Physicians; Queen Anne's Bounty Office; Corporations of Chester, Leicester, &c. IRELAND. Marquis of Ormonde, Lord Emly, The O'Conor Don, Trinity College, Dublin, &c.	27	[C.3040]	[Out of print.]
1881	DITTO. PART II. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— The Duke of Manchester.	,,,	[C. 3040 i.]	[Out of print.]

Date.	The state of the s	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
				s. d.
1881	EIGHTH REPORT. PART III. APPENDIX AND INDEX Contents:— Earl of Ashburnham.	f'cap.	[C.3040	[Out of print.]
1883 (Re- printed 1895.)	NINTH REPORT, WITH APPENDIX AND INDRX. PART I Contents: St. Paul's and Canterbury Cathedrals; Eton College; Carlisle, Yarmouth, Canterbury, and Barnstaple Corpora-	1 A 2 1997 1 B 6	[C.3773	5 2
1884 (Re- printed 1895.)	tions, &c. DITTO. PART II. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents:— ENGLAND. House of Lords, Earl of Leicester; C. Pole Gell, Alfred Mor-	(T. 3)	.[C.3773	6 3
	rison, Esqs., &c. Scotland. Lord Elphinstone, H. C. Maxwell Stuart, Esq., &c. IRELAND. Duke of Leinster, Marquis of Drogheda, &c.			
1884	DITTO. PART III. APPENDIX AND INDEX - Contents : Mrs. Stopford Sackville.		[C.3773 ii.]	[Out]of print.]
1883 (Re- printed 1895.)	CALENDAR OF THE MANUSCRIPTS OF THE MARQUIS OF SALISBURY, K.G. (OF CECIL MSS.). PART I.	8vo.	[C.3777]	3 5
1888	DITTO. PART II.	, 12 12 12 12 12 12 1 	[C.5463]	3 5
1889	DITTO. PART III 1100 - 1100 -	***	[C. 5889 v.]	2 1
1892	DITTO. PART IV	22	[C.6823]	2 11
1894	DITTO. PART V.	1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	[C.7574]	2 6
1896	DITTO. PART VI.	1. 1. 6. 3 1. 6. 1201.	[C.7884]	2 8
1899	DITTO. PART VII.	29	[C.9246]	2 8
1899	DITTO. PART VIII	· 35.15	[C.9467]	2 8
1902	DITTO. PART IX. 10 -/- 1 - 1 1 1 2-	130000	[C. 928]	2 3
1885	TENTH REPORT This is introductory to the following:		[C.4548]	[Out of print.]
1885 (Re- printed 1895.)	(1.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - Earl of Eglinton, Sir J. S. Maxwell, Bart., and C. S. H. D. Moray, C. F. Weston Underwood, G. W. Digby, Esqs.	37	[C.4575]	3 7
1885	(2.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Family of Gawdy.		[C. 4576 iii.]	1 4
1885	(3,) APPENDIX AXD INDEX Wells Cathedral,	22	[C. 4576 ii.]	[Out of print.]

Date.	-	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1885	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Earl of Westmorland; Capt. Stewart; Lord Stafford; Sir N. W. Throck- morton; Sir P. T. Mainwaring, Lord Muncaster, M.P., Capt. J. F. Bagot, Earl of Kilmorey, Earl of Powis, and others, the Corporations of Kendal, Wenlock, Bridgnorth, Eye, Plymouth, and the County of Essex; and Stonyhurst College.	8vo.	[C.4576]	e. d. [Out of print.]
1885 (Re- printed 1895.)	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Marquis of Ormonde, Earl of Fingall, Corporations of Galway, Waterford, the Sees of Dublin and Ossory, the Jesuits in Ireland.	27	[C. 4576	2 10
1887	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Marquis of Abergavenny, Lord Braye, G. F. Luttrell, P. P. Bouverie, W. Bromley Davenport, R. T. Balfour, Esqs.	59	[C.5242]	1 7
1887	ELEVENTH REPORT This is introductory to the following:-	22	[C. 5060 vi.]	0 3
1887	(1.) APPENDIX AND INDEX H. D. Skrine, Esq., Salvetti Correspondence.	29	[C.5060]	1 1
1887	(2.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - House of Lords, 1678-1688.	22	[C. 5060 i.]	2 0
1887	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Corporations of Southampton and Lynn.	39	[C. 5060 ii.]	1 8
1887	(4.) Appendix and Index Marquis Townshend.	>>	[C. 5060 iii.]	2 6
1887	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Earl of Dartmouth.		[C. 5060 iv.]	2 8
1887	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Duke of Hamilton.	29	[C. 5060 v.]	1 6
1888	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Duke of Leeds, Marchioness of Waterford, Lord Hothfield, &c. Bridgewater Trust Office, Reading Corporation, Inner Temple Library.	* 23	[C.5612]	2 0
1890	TWELFTH REPORT This is introductory to the following:-	52	[C.5889]	0 3
1888	(1.) APPENDIX Earl Cowper, K.G. (Coke MSS., at Melbourne Hall, Derby). Vol. I.	>>	[C.5472]	2 7
1888	(2.) APPENDIX	99	[C.5613]	2 5

Date.		Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1889	(3.) Appendix and Index . Earl Cowper, K.G. (Coke MSS., at Melbourne Hall, Derby). Vol. III.	8vo.	[C. 5889 i.]	s. d. 1 4
1888	(4.) APPENDIX The Duke of Rutland, G.C.B. Vol. I.	"	[C.5614]	3 2
1891	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Ditto. Vol. II.	77	[C. 5889 ii.]	2 0
1889	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX House of Lords, 1689-1690.	"	[C. 5889 iii.]	2 1
1890	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX S. H. le Fleming, Esq., of Rydal.	59	[C. 5889 iv.]	1 11
1891	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Duke of Athole, K.T., and the Earl of Home.	"	[C.6338]	1 0
1891	(9.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Duke of Beaufort, K.G., the Earl of Donoughmore, J. H. Gurney, W. W. B. Hulton, R, W. Ketton, G. A. Aitken, P. V. Smith, Esqs.; Bishop of Ely; Cathedrals of Ely, Gloucester, Lincoln, and Peterborough; Corporations of Gloucester, Higham Ferrers, and Newark; Southwell Minster; Lincoln District Registry.	9)	[C. 6338 i.]	2 6
1881	(10.) APPENDIX The First Earl of Charlemont. Vol. I. 1745-1783.	>>	[C. 6338 ii.]	1 11
1892	THIRTEENTH REPORT	,,	[C.6827]	0 3
1891	This is introductory to the following:— (1.) APPENDIX The Duke of Portland. Vol. I.	,,	[C.6474]	3 0
1893	(2.) Appendix and Index Ditto. Vol. II.	,,	[C. 6827 i.]	2 0
1892	(3.) APPENDIX - J. B. Fortescue, Esq., of Dropmore, Vol. I.	"	[C.6660]	2 7
1892	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Corporations of Rye, Hastings, and Hereford; Captain F. C. Loder-Symonds, E. R. Wodehouse, M.P., J. Dovaston, Esqs., Sir T. Barrett Leonard, Bart., Rev. W. D. Macray, and Earl of Dartmouth (Supplementary Report).	"	[C.6810]	2 4
1892	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX House of Lords, 1690-1691.	"	[C.6822]	2 4
1893	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Sir W. Fitzherbert, Bart.; the Delaval Family, of Seaton Delaval; the Earl of Ancaster; and General Lyttelton- Annesley.	22	[C.7166]	1 4

Date.		Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1893	(7.) Appendix and Index The Earl of Lonsdale.	8vo.	[C.7241]	s. d. 1 3
1893	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - The First Earl of Charlemont. Vol. II. 1784-99.	>>	[C.7424]	1 11
1896	FOURTEENTH REPORT This is introductory to the following :	2)	[C.7983]	0 3
1894	(1.) Appendix and Index The Duke of Rutland, G.C.B. Vol. III.	"	[C.7476]	1 11
1894	(2.) Appendix The Duke of Portland. Vol. III.	>>	[C.7569]	2 8
1894	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - The Duke of Roxburghe; Sir H. H. Campbell, Bart.; the Earl of Strathmore; and the Countess Dowager of Seafield.	>>	[C.7570]	1 2
1894	(4.) APPENDIX AND INDEX I lord Kenyon.	"	[C.7571]	2 10
1896	(5.) APPENDIX J. B. Fortescue, Esq., of Dropmore. Vol. II.	37	[C.7572]	2 8
1895	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - House of Lords, 1692-93. (Manuscripts of the House of Lords, 1693-1695, Vol. I. (New Series). See H.L. No. (5) of 1900. Price 2s. 9d. See also Manuscripts of the House of Lords, 1695-1697, Vol. II. (New Series). H.L. No. (18) of 1903. Price 2s. 9d.)	,,,	[C.7573]	1 11
1895	(7.) APPENDIX - The Marquis of Ormonde.	9>	[C.7678]	1 10
1895	(8.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - Lincoln, Bury St. Edmunds, Hertford, and Great Grimsby Corporations; the Dean and Chapter of Wor- cester, and of Lichfield; the Bishop's Registry of Worcester.	>>	[C.7881]	1 5
1896	(9.) APPENDIX AND INDEX Earl of Buckinghamshire; Earl of Lindsey; Earl of Onslow; Lord Emly; T. J. Hare, Esq., and J. Round, Esq., M.P.	,,,	[C.7892]	2 6
1895	(10.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Earl of Dartmouth. Vol. II. American Papers.	"	[C. 7883]	2 9

Date.		Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1899	FIFTEENTH REPORT This is introductory to the following:—	8⊽0.	[C.9295]	s. d. 0 4
1896	(1.) Appendix and Index The Earl of Dartmouth. Vol. III.	**	[C.8156]	1 5
1897	(2.) APPENDIX J. Eliot Hodgkin, Esq., of Richmond, Surrey.	>>	[C.8327]	1 8
1897	(3.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - Charles Haliday, Esq., of Dublin; Acts of the Privy Council in Ireland, 1556-1571; Sir William Ussher's Table to the Council Book; Table to the Red Council Book	,,	[C.8364]	1 4
1897	(4.) APPENDIX - The Duke of Portland. Vol. IV.	"	[C.8497]	2 11
1897	(5.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Right Hon. F. J. Savile Foljambe.	"	[C.8550]	0 10
1897	(6.) APPENDIX AND INDEX The Earl of Carlisle, Castle Howard.	>3	[C.8551]	3 6
1897	(7.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - The Duke of Somerset; the Marquis of Ailesbury; and Sir F. G. Puleston, Bart.	,,,	[C.8552]	1 9
1897	(8.) Appendix and Index - The Duke of Buccleuch and Queensberry, at Drumlanrig.	,,,	[C.8553]	1 4
1897	(9.) APPENDIX AND INDEX - J. J. Hope Johnstone, Esq., of Annandale.	,,	[C.8554]	1 0
1899	(10.) Shrewsbury and Coventry Corporations; Sir H. O. Corbet, Bart., Earl of Radnor, P. T. Tillard; J. R. Carr-Ellison; Andrew Kingsmill, Esqs.	2)	[C.9472]	1 0
1898	Manuscripts in the Welsh Language: Vol. I.—Lord Mostyn, at Mostyn Hall, co. Flint.	37	[C.8829]	1 4
1899	Vol. I. Part IIW. R. M. Wynne, Esq., of Peniarth.	"	[C.9468]	2 11
1902	Vol. II. Part I.—Jesus College, Ox- ford; Free Library, Cardiff; Havod; Wrexham; Llanwrin; Merthyr; Aberdår.	"	[C.1100]	1 9
1899	Manuscripts of the Duke of Buccleuch and Queensberry, K.G., K.T., preserved at Montagu House, Whitehall. Vol. I.	**	[C.9244]	2 7
1899	Ditto Marquis of Osmonde, K.P., preserved ' at the Castle, Kilkenny. Vol. II.	,,	[C.9245]	2 0

Date.	warmen)	Size.	Sessional Paper.	Price.
1899	Manuscripts of the Duke of Portland, K.G.	8vo.	[C.9266]	s. d. 2 9
1899	Vol. V. Ditto J. M. Heathcote, Esq. of Conington Castle.	39	[C.9469]	1 3
1899	Ditto J. B. Fortescue, Esq., of Dropmore. Vol. III.	32	[C.9470]	8 1
1899	Ditto F. W. Leyborne-Popham, Esq., of Littlecote.	33	[C.9471]	1 6
1900	Ditto Mrs. Frankland-Russell-Astley, of Chequers Court, Bucks.	22	[Cd.282]	2 0
1900	Ditto Lord Montagu of Beaulieu, Hants -	>>	[Cd.283]	1 1
1900	Ditto Beverley Corporation	29	[Cd.284]	1 0
1901	Ditto the Duke Portland, K.G. Vol. VI., with Index to Vols. IIIVI.	22	[Cd.676]	1 9
1901	Ditto. Vol. VII	22	[Cd.783]	2 3
1901	Ditto Various Collections. Vol. I Corporations of Berwick-on-Tweed, Burford and Lostwithiel; the Counties of Wilts and Worcester; the Bishop of Chichester; and the Dean and Chapters of Chichester, Canterbury, and Salisbury.	22	[Cd.784]	2 0
1902	Ditto Calendar of the Stuart Manuscripts at Windsor Castle, belonging to His Majesty	"	[Cd.927]	2 11
1902	the King. Vol. I. Ditto Marquess of Salisbury, K.G. Part IX.	>>	[Cd.928]	2 3
1902	Ditto Marquess of Ormonde, K.P., at Kilkenny Castle. New Series. Vol. I.	"	[Cd.929]	1 7
	Ditto the Duke of Buccleuch, K.G., K.T., at Montagu House, Whitehall. Vol. II.	23	[Cd.930]	[In the Press.]
1902	Ditto Colonel David Milne-Home, of Wedderburn Castle, N.B.	22	[Cd.931]	I 4
	Ditto Various Collections. Vol. II Sir Geo. Wombwell, the Duke of Norfolk, Lord Edmund Talbot (the Shrewsbury Papers), Lady Buxton, and others.	39	[Cd.932]	[In the Press.]















40381



Mills for age Library



